

LUND UNIVERSITY

CAT

an advanced environment for the manual annotation of text and corpora

Moretti, Giovanni; Fuoli, Matteo; Sprugnoli, Rachele

2014

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA): Moretti, G., Fuoli, M., & Sprugnoli, R. (2014). *CAT: an advanced environment for the manual annotation of text and corpora*. Abstract from ICAME 35, Nottingham, United Kingdom.

Total number of authors: 3

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights. • Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117 221 00 Lund +46 46-222 00 00

CAT: an advanced environment for the manual annotation of text and corpora

This software demonstration will provide an overview of the functionality of the Content Annotation Tool – CAT, a general-purpose web-based tool for manual text annotation that can be successfully employed in corpus-based analyses of semantic/pragmatic and discourse phenomena. CAT can also aid the creation of databases of annotated corpus examples for multivariate corpus-based analyses (e.g. Geeraerts et al 1994, Gries 1999, Divjak 2006, Glynn 2009).

Corpus 👁 ✔ Confirm Markable 🗙 Delete Selection | 📋 | Task Selection STANCE affect **,*** ΘQ ÷ | ARI 2008 🚞 ARL 2009 Markable Attributes ARL 2010 BP_ARL_2010.txt BP_ARL_2010.txt Current Extent: deeply sorry CHEVRON ARL 2010.txt CONOCO_ARL_2010.txt 50 #BP ARL 2010 Markable type: affect EXXON ARL 2010.txt SHELL_ARL_2010.txt \$1 Dear fellow shareholder Training_text_Matteo.t... type: un/happiness ‡ 🗀 ARL 2011 The tragic events of 2010 will forever be written in the memory of this company and the people who work here . The BACKUP O positive polarity: 📔 Charlotte 52 shocked everyone within BP, and we feel great sadness that 11 people died. We are deeply sorry for the grief fel negative EVALUATION WS authorial EVALUATION_WS_NEW restore the loss of those men attribution attributed 📃 Matteo Reconciled_2.0 The accident on 20 April 2010 turned into an unprecedented oil spill with deep consequences for jobs , businesses , c exclusive_we \$ emoter: Reconciled bad files test_corpus this grew a corporate crisis that threatened the very existence of the company. And it all started in a part of the work \$3 comments: , and spent summers with my family swimming and fishing in the Gulf. I know those beaches and waters well. When picture how it might affect the people who live and work along that coast Yet, just days before the accident, I had been reflecting on the progress made by BP. The company had put safe d affect ed a corner on financial performance . Then came the unthinkable . A subsea blowout in deep wat *unsaved values S4 and we had turn 🗹 doubtful depistemicity by BP and the entire industry - but it happened Save evaluation 🗹 negation Following the accident, a search - and - rescue operation was carried out by the rig's owner, Transocean, together with BP and the US Coast Guard. This continued for fou days and covered 5,000 square miles. On 22 April 2010 the Deepwater Horizon sank, and a major oil spill resi se was activated. At its peak this involved the

Figure 1: the CAT interface

CAT provides a user-friendly interface for annotating text spans of variable length on the basis of an annotation scheme fully defined by the user (Figure 1). Text annotation is performed by highlighting a text span and manually assigning the desired category labels to it. Annotated data can be exported in stand-off XML format or, alternatively, in tabular 'case-by-variable' format (Figure 2), which can be used with spreadsheet and statistical software (e.g. *R*) for further processing and analysis. Finally, the program features a statistics module that calculates the frequency of annotated types and chance-corrected agreement between independent annotators (Dice coefficient).

annotated			evaluative type								
expression	POS	polarity/valence	(semantics)	engagement	graduation	hypotheticality	negation	stancetaker	target	report section	comments
improved	VP	positive	capacity	neutral	neutral	actual	no	company	company	environment	
competitive	ADJ	positive	normality	boost	neutral	actual	no	company	company	environment	
Efficient	ADJ	positive	capacity	neutral	neutral	actual	no	company	company	environment	
efforts	NP	positive	tenacity-reliability	neutral	neutral	actual	no	company	company	environment	
smart	ADJ	positive	valuation	neutral	neutral	actual	no	company	products- technology	environment	
improved	VP	positive	capacity	neutral	neutral	actual	no	company	company	environment	
Efficient	ADJ	positive	valuation	neutral	neutral	actual	no	company	products- technology	environment	
focused	ADJ	positive	composition	neutral	neutral	forecast	no	company	products- technology	environment	
substantial	ADJ	positive	valuation	neutral	neutral	actual	no	company	products- technology	environment	target: business
diverse	ADJ	positive	composition	neutral	neutral	actual	no	company	products- technology	environment	
actively	ADV	positive	tenacity-reliability	neutral	neutral	actual	no	company	company	environment	
actively	ADV	positive	tenacity-reliability	neutral	neutral	forecast	no	company	company	environment	
deeper understanding	NP	positive	capacity	neutral	neutral	aim	no	company	external entity	environment	generalized target
desire	ADJ	positive	non-authorial	neutral	neutral	actual	no	independent advisor	external entity	environment	target: stakeholders
attractive	ADJ	positive	reaction	neutral	neutral	actual	no	company	external entity	environment	target: business environment
sustainable	ADJ	positive	valuation	neutral	neutral	aim	no	company	products- technology	environment	
clean	ADJ	positive	valuation	neutral	neutral	aim	no	company	products- technology	environment	
reliable	ADJ	positive	valuation	neutral	neutral	aim	no	company	company	environment	1
advanced	ADJ	positive	valuation	neutral	neutral	aim	no	company	products- technology	environment	

Figure 2: an example of annotated data produced by CAT

Among the major strengths of CAT are its ease of use and flexibility. CAT does not require any programming skills or prior knowledge of XML for its installation and use and allows users to freely define and dynamically change the annotation scheme as the project progresses. Compared to similar software, e.g. the UAM Corpus Tool (O'Donnell, 2008), CAT offers several advantages. Most notably, it is web-based, so different people in different locations can work on the same annotation project simultaneously. Further, CAT allows to annotate discontinuous text spans and to export the annotation results in a caseby-variable format, facilitating sophisticated statistical analyses.

CAT has already been used in various Natural Language Processing projects. It has been successfully tested on TimeML annotation for the creation of part of the Ita-TimeBank, the largest Italian corpus annotated with information for temporal processing (Caselli et al., 2011). CAT has also been used to perform a semantic annotation of children's stories within the TERENCE European project¹ and to manually annotate customer interactions within the EXCITEMENT European project². Recently, CAT has been chosen as the tool for the annotation of temporal information, semantic roles and intra-document co-reference within the NewsReader European project³ (Fokkens et al., 2013).

While so far it has been mainly used to develop resources for training and evaluation of automatic NLP systems, CAT finds application in the field of corpus linguistics as well. As

¹ <u>http://www.terenceproject.eu/web/guest/project-overview</u> ² <u>http://excitement-project.eu/</u>

³ http://www.newsreader-project.eu/

part of the software demonstration, we will show a concrete example of the use of CAT in a corpus-based multifactorial analysis of *evaluation* (Bednarek, 2006; Hunston, 2011; Martin and White, 2005) in a small-sized specialized corpus of business reports. The case study will be used to demonstrate the advantages of using manual annotation and CAT for the quantitative analysis of evaluation and to show that insightful multivariate analyses can be performed on the basis of richly annotated corpora.

References

Bartalesi Lenzi, V., Moretti, G., Sprugnoli, R., 2012. 'CAT: the CELCT Annotation Tool'. In *Proceedings of LREC 2012*, Istanbul.

Bednarek, M. (2006). *Evaluation in media discourse: analysis of a newspaper corpus*. London & New York: Continuum International Publishing Group.

Caselli, T., Bartalesi Lenzi, V., Sprugnoli, R., Pianta, E., & Prodanof, I. 2011. 'Annotating Events, Temporal Expressions and Relations in Italian: the It-Timeml Experience for the Ita-TimeBank'. In *Proceedings of LAW 5*, 143-151.

Divjak, D. 2006. 'Ways of intending: A corpus-based cognitive linguistic approach to nearsynonyms in Russian'. St. Th. & A. Stefanowitsch (eds). *Corpora in Cognitive Linguistics*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 19–56.

Fokkens A., van Erp, M., Vossen, P., Tonelli, S., van Hage, W., Serafini, L., Sprugnoli, R., Hoeksema, J. 2013. 'GAF: A Grounded Annotation Framework for Events'. *In Proceedings of Workshop on Events: Definition, Detection, Coreference, and Representation*, NAACL HLT 2013, 11–20.

Geeraerts D., Grondelaers, S. & Bakema, P. 1994. *The Structure of Lexical Variation. Meaning, naming, and context.* Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Glynn, D. 2009. 'Polysemy, syntax, and variation. A usage-based method for Cognitive Semantics'. V. Evans & S. Pourcel (eds). *New Directions in Cognitive Linguistics*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 77–104.

Gries, Stefan Th. 1999. 'Particle movement: A cognitive and functional approach'. *Cognitive Linguistics* 10: 105-145.

Hunson, S. 2011. *Corpus approaches to evaluation: phraseology and evaluative language*. New York & London: Routledge.

Martin, J. & White, P. 2005. *The language of evaluation: Appraisal in English*. London & New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

O'Donnell, M. 2008. 'Demonstration of the UAM corpus tool for text and image annotation'. In *Proceedings of the 46th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics on Human Language Technologies: Demo Session*, pages 13–16. Association for Computational Linguistics.