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Background and purpose The possibilities to reduce tied up capital have in most companies got more attention in recent years, this since the lock up constrains a more efficient use. The financial manager of Hyde AB, also the supervisor of this master thesis, has long wondered about the possibilities to reduce the total amount of tied up capital in the company by moving the goods in the finished goods inventory to an intermediate storage in the production. The products are then only finished at the arrival of a customer order.

The purpose of this master thesis project was to investigate the level of tied up capital for Hyde AB in their production process and finished goods inventory, in order to reduce unnecessary costs. This was to be done with the strategies of inventory management and production postponement. The purpose was also to present a well-executed recommendation and to give clear and reasonable evidence for the developed solution to Hyde AB.

Issues A complicating issue with inventory reduction at Hyde is that the demand varies a lot from month to month, since the customers are few and their orders large. Due to capacity constraints and recent growth there has not been any focus on lowering the tied up capital as the resources have been allocated to various expansion projects. This expansion has also made Hyde AB to consciously increase the inventory levels further to cope with future demand.
Delimitations
The company has several product families but only one is investigated in this project. There are today two main warehouses at the production site, the warehouse for raw material and the one for finished goods, where only the latter was studied. There are other places within the company where capital could be tied up as well, e.g. when products are being processed in a machine, but this was not examined either.

The inventories were investigated by questioning its size and location. The suggested changes might require capacity or technical adjustments in the production; the feasibility or practicality of implementing those changes in reality is not discussed in depth in this report.

Methodology
At first, a literature study was carried out to find useable analytical tools for the inventory review and to understand the possibilities and the limitations with a postponement strategy. Company knowledge was obtained through interviews, observations and from the information systems. A simulation model was created to investigate if it was possible to have an intermediate storage at different steps in the production.

Analysis
In the first part of the analysis the main finding was the high inventory level in the finished goods inventory. The level was far exceeding the level set by Hyde themselves (safety stock + forecast) resulting in a high cost of tied up capital. A review was also carried out to look upon if the supposed inventory levels would have been sufficient to avoid stock outs in 2012. It showed two stock-out occasions during the year, where it would not have been possible to deliver to the customer in time. Due to the high inventory levels this was no problem in reality.

Four different postponement scenarios were investigated where all or a few product groups were placed in an intermediate storage. Only one of the scenarios, to place product group YB before the mixing, was feasible since the other scenarios resulted in longer production times than the maximum time to shipping. This was true even though extensive investments in production capacity were accounted for.
Results and conclusion

The purpose of the master thesis has been fulfilled; ways to reduce the tied up capital have been recommended through general inventory reduction (871 kSEK/year) and partly the use of a postponement strategy. The most efficient way to reduce the inventory levels is to use a more flexible production planning where smaller batches are enabled. Flexible manufacturing is also required to make a postponement strategy possible. Postponement of some products could be beneficial but the savings are limited, hence postponement is only recommended if no additional investments are required.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The introduction aims to give the reader a general understanding of the content in this project. Areas covered in this part are the background to the project, the purpose and the issues to be solved. The focus is then further specified along with the scope. Finally the report disposition is presented.

1.1. BACKGROUND

This master thesis project was carried out at Hyde AB, a market-leading company within their field. The company continuously develops new, more efficient, products and expects a doubling in sales the coming five years. The production facility is already today used close to its maximum and expansion projects will be carried out the coming years. Within this expansion process a question was raised regarding how well the production is managed today:

Is it possible to store semi-finished products in an intermediate step in the production and by postponing¹ the product differentiation² decrease the costs of tied up capital³? How would it affect production lead time, capacity and the total cost?

If the production is delayed, the demand uncertainty could be reduced and the safety stock decreased. This would, in addition to the lower value of semi-finished products, in turn reduce the cost of tied up capital. Also problems with the finished goods inventory have been raised at Hyde, since the warehouse cannot hold what needs to be stored today. Therefore the investigation was extended to also include the finished goods inventory. To know appropriate inventory levels is also necessary to enable decisions about postponement.

The target groups of this report are university students with basic knowledge in logistics and statistics, as well as the case company Hyde AB. The report is written so that Hyde would be able to implement the proposed recommendations and understand the reasoning behind.

¹ Postponement is the delaying of the product differentiation until demand is more certain
² The action of distinguishing products from each other
³ Money is bonded in products and is locked from being used for other investments. The potential profit from an alternative investment is named the cost of tied up capital.
1.2. Purpose
The purpose of this master thesis project was to investigate the level of tied up capital at Hyde AB in their finished goods inventory in order to reduce unnecessary costs. This was to be done with the strategies of inventory management and production postponement.

The purpose was also to present a well-executed recommendation and to give clear and reasonable evidence for the developed solution to Hyde AB. The company presentation was to be understandable and show a solution clear enough so that it could be implemented by the company in the future.

1.3. Topic Background
Today, inventory management is of great importance in most companies. With concepts such as “lean manufacturing” the question about what inventory to carry has been emphasised. Lean manufacturing is a Japanese production philosophy with the aim to reduce the seven types of wastes; overproduction, waiting, transporting, inappropriate processing, unnecessary inventory, unnecessary/excess motion and defects. Unnecessary inventory tends to hide problems in the production process and contributes to long lead times, occupies floor space and delays problem identification. (McBride, 2003)

Inventory directly affects the return on assets (ROA) as well as the amount of working capital available to do other more profitable investments. Inventories are valuable when it comes to volatility in demand, when short lead times are expected and when there are problems in the production. Disadvantages, apart from the tied up capital, are the need of storage locations, material handling and IT-systems for tracking and control. (Strategos, n.d.)

The importance of an inventory investigation in this case is clear, since the characteristics of Hyde AB differ from the general assumptions in the main theory. The small number of customers and their large orders make the demand from month to month very volatile. This in combination with a long production lead time and high utilization of machines makes it important to accurately plan orders and have the right amount of inventory.
1.4. Issues
It is important to have a suitable level of tied up capital in a company since holding inventory is associated with an opportunity cost. The money tied up in products could be used for other investments that generate a greater future profit for Hyde AB. Due to the growth the last years there has not been any focus on decreasing the tied up capital. Instead, resources have been allocated to expansion projects for increased production capacity.

To be able to manage the volatile demand and still meet the desired service levels, Hyde is forced to have relatively high inventory levels. Furthermore, the production today is not planned to be efficient from a tied up capital point of view but to achieve a high utilisation rate. Large batch sizes are often used in the last production step, even if the products are often not needed in those quantities. For example, even if there is a forecasted demand of four tonnes, five tonnes are produced since that is the used batch size. This further pushes the inventory levels up.

Today, almost all volume in Hyde is stored as individual products in the finished goods inventory. To only store products as finished goods could result in high inventory levels, since the demand of finished products varies more than the aggregated demand of many semi-finished products. The production process of Hyde branches so that the number of different products increases in each of the three final steps; this enables the opportunity to aggregate the volatile demand in an intermediate buffer earlier in the production.

These things combined have made the production and warehouse crowded, leading to a situation where possibly higher levels of inventory than needed are used. If the inventory levels are reduced it would also ease the material handling, since today overflow inventory has to be transported to a separate storage location due to lack of space.

1.5. Focus and Scope
The focus and scope in the project have been decided together with Hyde AB. In inventory management, the safety and cycle stock levels of today were the main interests. In postponement, the focus was on the possibilities to aggregate risks by delaying production until a customer order arrives. The production time as well as the amount of required additional production capacity was also investigated.

---

4 The percentage of orders that are delivered full in time to the customer
Hyde has several product families but only one of them is considered in this report. Every product family has its own equipment and staff.

There are other places within the company where capital could be tied up as well, e.g. in the raw material storage or when products are being processed in a machine, but this is not examined in this thesis. The used products are returned from the customers and then remanufactured to reduce the cost of raw material. The possible implications of this for the production process were not considered.

The inventory was mainly investigated by questioning its size and location, in order to find a suitable solution. The suggested changes might require capacity or technical adjustments in the production; the feasibility or practicality of implementing for example additional machinery is not discussed in depth in this report. Further on, no technical solutions are presented for how to store the products or how material handling should be organised in practice.

1.6. STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT
This chapter, Introduction, aims to make the reader familiar with the reasons to why this master thesis project has been carried out. This familiarisation includes the purpose, an introduction to the topic, the issues and a discussion of where the focus will be. The following chapter is about the methodology used and is more theoretical, with focus on the science behind the research. Chapter three, Theoretical Framework, deals with theories used in the area of inventory management and postponement.

In chapter four, Empirical Framework, the current situation at Hyde is discussed. It includes the company background, the characteristics of the products and a description of the production process and the finished goods inventory. Further, the chapter Analysis is presented. In this chapter, the first part is about inventory management and includes reviews of the safety stock and the inventory levels. The second part is about postponement and how risk-pooling could reduce the cost of tied up capital. Finally, a conclusion summarises the most important findings from the analysis and gives a recommendation about future work.
2. Methodology

The purpose of the Methodology chapter is to show the approach to this project and the methods that have been used to obtain knowledge and information. The findings themselves are presented in chapter three, Theoretical Framework and chapter four, Empirical Framework.

2.1. Introduction
To introduce the reader to how this project has developed the chronological milestones are presented in a short-list below. Contact with personnel at and visits to Hyde AB have taken place and literature has been researched throughout the project. The writing process started early and the text was continuously developed as the project proceeded.

- Initial visit to Hyde AB to get an overview of the company, its production and the issue in concern. Further specification of the purpose, goals and scope.
- Find the most suitable approach to the project (develop the methodology)
- Literature study: mainly postponement and inventory management
- Empiricism: investigation of production and demand characteristics
- Simulation in ExtendSim: find the implications of a postponement strategy
- Analysis of the results
- Conclusion and recommendation

2.2. Short Vocabulary
To readers who are not familiar with the science of methodology, a short list of common vocabulary is presented below. Knowledge of this vocabulary facilitates the reading of this chapter.

Primary data is data collected directly by the researcher. (Andersen, 1998)

Secondary data is data collected by someone else than the researcher. (Andersen, 1998)

Qualitative methods are primarily descriptive and it is usually hard to do any generalisations based on qualitative data. (Holme & Solvang, 1997) Hence, analytical and statistical methods are rarely used in this context. The aim with a qualitative
method is mainly to understand a concept and not to find the cause of it. (Andersen, 1998)

**Quantitative methods** are analysis of quantitative data (numbers) with statistical and analytical methods. The researcher chooses the approach to the problem, which is usually stricter than in a qualitative analysis, leading to a greater control of what to study. (Holme & Solvang, 1997) The aim is primarily to find the cause to an observed issue and to enable predictions of future behaviour. (Andersen, 1998)

### 2.3. AMBITION

The ambition level and the width of a project could be described through the steps of knowledge in figure 1 below. Each step represents different depths of knowledge and actions where the previous steps usually have to be fulfilled before entering the next. (Nilsson, 2013) In this project the first four steps have been covered: the exploratory, the descriptive, the explanatory and the predictive.

![Figure 1: Steps of Knowledge](image)

The exploratory step aims to give an understanding of the problem and an impression of what is happening. Possible ways to do this is to be part of the system by observing it or to map the process. (Wallén, 1996) In this project, the understanding was given from several interviews, observations and thereafter a mapping of the process. Also an initial seminar was held with people at key-functions to get a general understanding of the issue and the context of the problem.
In the descriptive part the researcher has to understand the system in focus to be able to describe it. Data can be collected and used to illustrate cause and effect between different parts. The aim is to find the characteristics of the system. (Wallén, 1996) In this part of the project, production data such as the production rate per hour and the inventory levels was investigated.

The explanatory step aims to find the cause of the problem. The problem is identified and described in the previous steps and this step aims to find the reason to why it has occurred. (Wallén, 1996) In this project, the causes to the large amount of tied up capital were understood through interviews with key personnel at Hyde AB, observations and mapping/analysis of the production.

Predictive analysis uses the causes found in the explanatory step, to predict events and behaviours in the future. To do this, analytical or statistical models are used. The aim could be to predict the effect of a development project in a production process. (Nyce, 2007) In this project several analytical and statistical theories have been used to predict the behaviour of the recommended implementation. These theories are primarily covering postponement and inventory management, and are thoroughly described in chapter three, Theoretical Framework.

2.4. TYPE OF STUDY
A project could be approached in several different ways. To ensure the quality, regular contact with the company and a good connection to existing theory has been fundamental. In this project a combination of the two methods case study and action research has been used.

The purpose of a case study is to describe an event or object in depth, and is especially suitable if the phenomenon is hard to exclude from its surroundings. A case study describes a specific case and does not necessarily have to be directly applicable in other situations. The planning of a case study is flexible and could be changed and adapted during the work. Some common investigation techniques are interviews, observations and historical data analysis. (Höst, et al., 2006) All of these were used throughout the work with this project as described later on.

Action research is sometimes described as a variant of a case study. This is because the action research usually starts with the observation of a phenomenon in order to identify or clarify the problem. One of the methods to do this observation is a case study. Secondly, the researcher proposes a solution to the problem and suggests a way to
implement it in the company. (Höst, et al., 2006) In this project the first part, proposing a solution, has been carried out. Since this project is carried out as a master thesis project during one semester, the authors are not able to follow an eventual implementation. The last part of the action research is the evaluation of the implementation, which is not part of this project either. Of course, an in depth discussion of the proposed solution is included in this report.

2.5. RESEARCH APPROACH
The way theory and empiricism connects to each other could differ depending on the chosen research approach. A real situation could be described and analysed from existing theories in order to predict a behaviour (deduction), or general conclusions (or theories) could be found from studying the reality (induction). (Wallén, 1996) In this project, an abductive approach has been used. It could be seen as a mix between deduction and induction and is characterised as a way where the effects of a problem are known but there are many uncertainties about the causes. These causes are not always possible to affect or change, but need to be identified so that a solution to the problem could be found (Svennevig, n.d.).

When using the approach of abduction, in depth understanding and experience of the area under investigation is necessary. The conclusions do not necessarily result in a normative theory, but could be specific for the case in investigation. (Wallén, 1996) A common way to work when using abduction is to first get a general understanding of the situation, then search for available theories, followed by in depth empirical investigation and ending up with a conclusion about the problem. (Svennevig, n.d.) This is mainly the way the work within this project has been carried out.

2.6. TYPES OF DATA COLLECTION METHODS
In this part the methods for data collection used in the project are described.

2.6.1. INTERVIEWS
There are three main types of interviews, structured, semi-structured and open. The structured interview is close to a survey and is good to use for quantitative research. The interviewer asks questions that all have fixed answers. An open interview gives the opportunity to the interviewee to more freely talk about what she finds most interesting. This can give important information but there is also a risk that some topics are neglected. The semi-structured interview is a mix of the two others; there are both open
questions and fixed ones. When choosing interviewees for an open interview it is important to find persons representing all stakeholders. (Höst, et al., 2006)

In this project, semi-structured, qualitative interviews have been a vital part of the data collection. These were often conducted with key personnel within the company, such as the production planner and product manager, but also production staff. The sharing of experience from these people has been absolutely necessary to get an understanding of the company, the production and the inventory system. Since an interview can be seen as collection of secondary data the reliability and validity must be checked so that there are no misunderstandings.

2.6.2. Observation
When studying a phenomenon, it is possible to take the position as an observer. The observer can have different roles depending on the level of interaction with and visibility to the studied object: complete participation, complete observer or somewhere in between, as shown in table 1 below. The benefit with a “participant as observer” and complete participant is that they receive all information, while the complete observer can become somewhat excluded. If the observed people are aware of the observer, it would likely affect their behaviour, but if the observer is hidden to them it is instead an ethical dilemma. (Höst, et al., 2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observational research roles</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete participant</td>
<td>Hidden observation, participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant as observer</td>
<td>Visible observation, participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer as participant</td>
<td>Usually interviews. No in-depth relationship through a lengthy observation, no participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete observer</td>
<td>Experimental design, no participation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this project though, the role “observer as participant” was mainly used. Observations, visible or through interviews, were made to get an overview of the warehouse and how it functioned. There were no hidden observations made, and as far as possible there was an aim to see the daily work as much as needed. Observations of the production process
itself were hard to carry out due to the long production time (some steps are today up to 72 hours) Primary data, of qualitative character, could be collected through observations.

2.6.3. Data Collected from IT-resources
The company has an old-fashioned software solution for production planning where a limited amount of information could be found; for example the times for different production steps are saved only for a short period of time. The primary data used from this system was mainly approximate times for different production steps.

Primary forecast data was manually gathered from Microsoft Excel-files sent from the customer relationship manager to the production planner.

The WMS-system has been used to obtain primary data about inventory levels and shipping rates. Unfortunately continuous data was not accessible, furthermore the data received was not digital and had to be computerised by manually putting the values into an Excel-file. This further limited the amount of data that could be analysed.

2.6.4. Literature Study
A literature study is usually carried out in the initial part of a project to benefit from previous work by others. The literature study is a way to familiarise the researcher with the existing theory. The amount of initial research that is required depends on the researcher’s previous knowledge and experience. (Andersen, 1998) Literature study is a qualitative method where information from secondary data is gathered.

This project started with an extensive research among academic journals and textbooks mainly in logistics and supply chain management. The research has primarily taken place at the digital and physical libraries at Lund University, such as LibHub and the University Library. Other tools have also been used, for example Libris and Google scholar. Some of the textbooks were in Swedish which required careful translations of terminology. To assist the translations Jan Olhager’s “Produktionslogistiklexikon” has been used.

2.7. Simulation
A simulation model was built up with the purpose of finding out how much production capacity different postponement scenarios would need in order to handle the flow of incoming orders. The model was created in the simulation software ExtendSim and can be found in appendix 4.
A simulation model as a tool could be beneficial since a real life situation is imitated and its behaviour can be predicted cheaply. One deficiency with simulation is the risk of errors if the model is not correctly built or if faulty assumptions are made. (Stillwater, 2003)

The task in this project was to see whether a future situation is feasible or not. This meant that the simulated situation did not exist in reality, which resulted in difficulties to validate the results. All results received through the simulations were carefully checked to see whether they were plausible or not, but the results should be seen as guidance only.

2.8. QUALITY ASSURANCE
To ensure the quality of this project the concept of triangulation has been a key factor. Triangulation means that the data is received and confirmed from more than one source. It could, for example, be that different methods are used. (Höst, et al., 2006) In this project, production data has been compared to interviews with the production manager and the operational staff, and if there was any mismatch, further questions were asked to find the reasons behind. In addition to that, the same questions have been asked to several persons the same day.

Quality could be expressed by the measurements reliability and validity. Bullseye analogy (see figure 2) is a common way to illustrate these measures. Every dot is a sample of data (for example a production time), and the bullseye is what should be the result. If the dots are scattered close together, as in the first picture, it shows that the data collected is similar, thus the reliability is high. If the dots are spread evenly around the bullseye, as in the second picture, it shows that the measured data represents what the researcher was supposed to measure, the validity is high. The third picture shows a perfect match, where both the reliability and validity is high. (New Mexico Department of Health, 2012)
Reliability could be seen as a way to describe how trustworthy collected data is in a situation with random variations. (Höst, et al., 2006) A good measurement for reliability is whether the same results would be found at a later data collection or not. (Wallén, 1996) To ensure the reliability in this project, data from two years (2011 and 2012) was used to study the behaviour of the products and to detect extreme values.

In the qualitative analysis the main source of information was interviews with people at key-functions in the company, who have had the possibility to check the data to ensure that there were no misunderstandings. The slightly differing production times for the products and the old fashioned production system made it harder to find reliable data, as the products use the same machines. To achieve reliable production times, the times from the production system were compared to numbers given from interviews with in total five different people.

To check validity is to ensure that the right thing is measured. If the measure is not valid, the analysis becomes inaccurate and could lead to significant costs to the company. (Höst, et al., 2006) Bad validity gives a systematic error and could appear when there are problems with for example measuring equipment. It is important to ensure that not only the right thing is measured but also that nothing else is, for example it is important to know if the setup time is included or not in the production time for a certain step. To achieve this, it is important to have a good understanding of casual relationships. (Wallén, 1996) In this project the validity has been safeguarded through detailed interview questions to ensure that a full understanding has been obtained for all data used in the analysis.

In this project there was a trade-off between reliability and validity, to ensure high reliability data from several years had to be used. At the same time, the sales have increased and the products have changed over years. Therefore it was not preferable to
use data from a longer time horizon. Two years of data, 2011 and 2012, was considered to be valid and still give reliable results.

Representativeness is a measure of to what extent results can be transferred to other companies and contexts. (Höst, et al., 2006) The representativeness of this project is limited due to the somewhat special conditions. These conditions are carefully described in chapter four, Empirical Framework, and a reader planning to do a similar investigation in another company should carefully read those.
3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The purpose of this chapter is to describe the theories used in the project. It starts with a definition of what logistics is, followed by the main parts: Inventory Management, Inventory Management Costs, Push, Pull and Order Penetration Point and Postponement. In the end some additional theories are presented briefly.

3.1. DEFINITION OF LOGISTICS

To introduce this chapter the definition of logistics is first presented. The Council of Supply Chain Management (former Council of Logistics Management) defines logistics as following:

“Logistics is the part of the supply chain process that plans, implements, and controls the efficient, effective flow and storage of goods, services, and related information from point of origin to point of consumption in order to meet customers’ requirements.”

Another more simple way to describe the aims of logistics is the classical seven R’s.

“To ensure the availability of the right product, in the right quantity, in the right condition, at the right place, at the right time, at the right cost, for the right customer.”

Logistics can be divided into three main functions; supply, production and distribution. There are inventory between, and sometimes within, the functions. It is important to have a high degree of integration between those functions to achieve high profitability. If there is a lack of integration, each function will be optimised without regard to the other parts in the chain. This will sub-optimise the company as a whole. The integration has to include flow of both physical products and information. (Oskarsson, et al., 2006)

3.2. INVENTORY MANAGEMENT

The main reason for inventory is to decouple different parts of a process from each other in order to enable a more smooth production and distribution. In this part the different types and functions of inventory are described, followed by an in depth description about safety stock. Eventually theory about forecast accuracy and bias is presented.
3.2.1. INVENTORY SYSTEMS

A production consists of different levels, where each level can have its own inventory. Figure 3, 4 and 5 below show three different ways of how the inventory could be managed. Normally it is wise to have the main part of the inventory at a point where there are few items with a high and stable demand. (Axsäter, 2006)

The simplest type of inventory system is the serial structure (figure 3) where there is one storage following on the other, and the path the product takes is predetermined and the same for all variants. (Nilsson, 2006)

![Serial structure](image)

*Figure 3: Serial structure*

Another is the assembly structure (figure 4) where each level has at least one previous step.

![Assembly structure](image)

*Figure 4: Assembly structure*

In the general structure (figure 5) each step can have one or more previous steps and one or more subsequent steps. (Nilsson, 2006)

![General structure](image)

*Figure 5: General structure*

3.2.2. TYPES OF INVENTORY

There are several types of inventory and the most common are presented below.

Raw material inventory (RMI) is a way to reduce the dependence on timely and accurate deliveries from suppliers. An idle production due to shortage of material is
expensive and can cause lost sales. RMI will also enable the company to buy in bulk and thereby reduce the cost. (Shan, u.d.)

Buffers are, in this report, referred to as small inventories in between production steps to decouple sensitive parts of production. It could also be a way to ensure a high utilisation rate of constraining equipment. All semi-finished goods (SFG), no longer part of the RMI and not yet in the finished goods inventory, could be referred to as work in process (WIP). Buffers could be seen as storages holding WIP. (Oskarsson, et al., 2006)

Finished goods inventory (FGI) is a way to decouple the production and the sales processes from each other. The FGI does also decrease the time for delivery to customer, since finished products are ready for delivery. (Mattson & Jonsson, 2003)

3.2.3. FUNCTIONS OF INVENTORY

Within each of the inventory types described above the stored goods could have different functions. The two most common is safety stock and cycle stock. The safety stock is of significant importance in this project and is described in depth in the next part (3.2.4). Also the cycle stock is of importance and could be defined as the inventory on hand minus the safety stock. (Hartman, n.d.) Other inventory functions could be speculation, e.g. the raw material price is expected to rise in the future, or season, e.g. Christmas decorations could be produced throughout the year to decrease the capacity need but are only sold around Christmas. Thus, they have to be stored in wait for the right season.

3.2.4. SAFETY STOCK

The safety stock is a function of inventory with great importance; in this part, some statistical concepts are explained followed by a description of how the service level could be set and measured in a company.

The safety stock could be defined as a stock hold with the purpose of reducing the risk of stock-outs. This is especially useful in the case of high demand variability, or problems in production or supply. (Oskarsson, et al., 2006) The harder the demand and supply is to forecast, the more safety stock is required. The amount of safety stock is also dependent on the desired service level, as explained further. The service level is a strategic decision for a company to take, if they wish to almost always be able to deliver to customer straight from the stock, they will need a lot of safety stock which is costly. (Chopra & Meindl, 2010)
Statistical measures
Statistical measures are of high importance when for example dimensioning a safety stock. In this part, the normal distribution, standard deviation and coefficient of variance are presented. If a sample of data is normally distributed it enables the use of the most common methods to dimension a safety stock. The standard deviation could be seen as a measure of variability, and if for example the demand is very volatile, the standard deviation is large and more safety stock would be needed. The coefficient of variance could be used to see if a sample of data could be approximated with a normal distribution or not. It is also useful when comparing the variability of products with dissimilar average demand.

The Normal distribution
When using the most common formulas to dimension safety stock, the normal distribution is of great importance. If the sample follows a normal distribution, it is characterised by a symmetric probability function, as seen in figure 6. Shortly described, a probability function shows the probability of getting an observation in a certain area. The total area below the curve is always one, representing a probability of 100%. Since the curve is symmetric it is possible to know how much that is within boundaries of a certain number of standard deviations. If one standard deviation is added on each side of the mean (μ +/- σ), 68.27% of the data is included, for two standard deviations the same value is 95.45% and for three 99.73%. (Mattson & Jonsson, 2003)

Figure 6: Normal distribution, (Answers, n.d.)
The Standard Deviation
A standard deviation (1) is a measure of variation.

\[ \sigma = \sqrt{V(X)} = \sqrt{E[(X - \mu)^2]} \quad (1) \]

It is calculated from the square root of the variance, \( V(X) \). The variance is calculated from the expected value of the squared difference between the observation, represented by the stochastic variable \( X \), and the mean, \( \mu \). (Blom, et al., 2005)

When the standard deviation is used in practise, it is usually the standard deviation during the lead time that is of importance. It could be expressed by the following formula. (Chopra & Meindl, 2010)

\[ \sigma_L = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \sigma_i^2} = \sigma \sqrt{L} \quad (2) \]

Table 2: List of symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_L )</td>
<td>standard deviation during lead time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_i )</td>
<td>standard deviation in time period ( i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L )</td>
<td>number of time periods during lead time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coefficient of Variance
The coefficient of variance (3) is a measure of the relative variation and is equal to the quote between the standard deviation and the mean demand during a specific period of time.

\[ cv = \frac{\sigma_{\text{period}}}{D_{\text{period}}} \quad (3) \]

This measure could be used to see if a sample of data may be approximated with a normal distribution. Mattssson (2003) has made a collection of different methods to find when the normal distribution can be approximated. In that paper, for example Schönsleben’s findings are presented: if the coefficient of variance is 0.4 or smaller an
approximation is valid. The coefficient of variance could also be useful in comparisons of uncertainty between products with different average demand since the size of the demand is taken into account.

**Service level**

When dimensioning a safety stock, the company must be aware of its desired service level. The service level could be defined in a few different ways, where cycle service level and demand fill rate are the most common. These are briefly described below.

**Cycle service level (CSL):** the fraction of replenishment cycles\(^5\) without stock-outs that the company wishes to have. (Chopra & Meindl, 2010) In explicit, if there are 99 pieces delivered correctly in one cycle and one miss, the whole period is considered a failure.

**Demand fill rate (DFR):** the desired fraction of demand to be served directly from existing inventory. (Chopra & Meindl, 2010) In explicit, if 99 pieces are delivered correctly and one fails, there are 99 successes and one fail.

When a method with CSL is used to dimension the safety stock, a larger stock is required, for the same service level, than if a method with DFR is used. This comes from the fact that CSL is harder to fulfil. (Oskarsson, et al., 2006)

Both CSL and DFR assume that only one piece is sold at a time, while in reality most products are sold in greater quantities. This could increase the risk of shortage. Another assumption is that the demand is normally distributed. In industry however, these two measures of service level are very commonly used, even though the demand is not fully normally distributed. How to mathematically dimension safety stock from the service level is not further explained in this report.

**Measurement of Service Level**

The methods to determine service level described above are not used to measure the actual service level. The most commonly used measures are order fill rate and order line fill rate.

**Order fill rate (OFR):** the fraction of orders that can be served straight with products in inventory (Chopra & Meindl, 2010) Order fill rate is referred to as an external metric, telling something about how customers experience the availability of products. (Gibson & Novack, 2008)

---

\(^5\) A replenishment cycle is the time between two subsequent replenishment deliveries to a warehouse.
Order line fill rate (OLFR): the fraction of order lines that can be served straight with products in inventory. This is an internal metric which is a signal about how well the inventory levels are set for certain products. (Gibson & Novack, 2008)

3.2.5. Forecast Accuracy and Bias

It is important to measure the accuracy of the forecast and to find eventual bias. This since the production is planned after these forecasts and the safety stocks are set in accordance to the outcome.

Forecast bias is the systematic error found by calculating the average error between forecast and sales. Hence, the bias tells if the forecast is continuously too large or too small. A good forecast should not have any bias. (Mattson & Jonsson, 2003) The accuracy is measured by finding the standard deviation of the forecast error. If this standard deviation is large, the accuracy of the forecasts is generally low, and a larger safety stock is required. The importance of the standard deviation is stressed in Thomopoulos’ example where he shows that one percentage increase in the coefficient of variance for forecast errors results in 2,18% more safety stock to reach the same service level. (Thomopoulos, 2005)

Ritzman and King (1993) discuss how forecast errors affect the inventory levels and customer service in a manufacturing company. Two components of the forecast error are examined; the bias of the forecast and the standard deviation of the forecast errors (forecast accuracy). The bias is proven to be much more important than the forecast accuracy. What is also shown in their study is that the production lot size is an even greater driver than the forecast to reach the correct inventory levels. (Ritzman & King, 1993)

Another important factor while evaluating forecast is to find which demand that is “normal” and which is due to a large and non-reoccurring order or a demand peak due to a shortage in an earlier period. Peaks of this type should not be included during the evaluation since isolated occasions would have unacceptably high influence on the results. (Mattson & Jonsson, 2003)

An alternative and very simple way to measure the forecast accuracy is through the percentage error.

\[ \text{percentage error} = \frac{\text{forecast} - \text{actual value}}{\text{forecast}} \times 100 \quad (4) \]
3.3. **INVENTORY MANAGEMENT COSTS**

This part introduces the reader to some of the most important costs regarded in inventory management. First economies of scale and scope are elaborated followed by a presentation and discussion about the trade-off between inventory and setup costs.

### 3.3.1. ECONOMIES OF SCALE AND SCOPE

Economies of scale and scope are of importance when large volumes of or types of products could be produced in a factory. The greatest difference between the concepts is that scale refers to the volume of one product while scope is more concerning the number of different products. (The Economist, 2008)

Economies of scale states that increasing the volume of one product produced would decrease the individual cost of each and every product. (The Economist, 2008) The benefit from economies of scale appears since the fixed cost associated with a procedure is shared among the volume passing through. Hence the absorption cost of each and every product decreases. (Heakal, 2009)

Economies of scope, on the other hand, say that producing a wide variety of products would decrease the total costs since some vital functions like marketing and finance are shared. The benefits could also come from cross-selling, meaning that additional products or services could be sold to a customer. (The Economist, 2008)

### 3.3.2. INVENTORY AND SETUP COSTS

The costs mainly associated with inventory are the holding and inventory carrying cost. The holding cost is about the cost to run a warehouse and do not change when adding or removing a unit, while the inventory carrying costs mostly depend on the additional costs for each and every stock keeping unit (SKU). (Oskarsson, et al., 2006)

**Holding cost** includes the costs to run a warehouse such as: ownership, daily operations, employees, equipment and transports within the warehouse. These costs usually change between certain intervals of volume. (Oskarsson, et al., 2006)

**Inventory carrying cost** includes the cost for the stored products in the warehouse such as tied up capital and risk. The cost for risk includes obsolescence, waste, damage and insurance. The cost for tied up capital can be seen as the opportunity cost for not investing the money in a better way. If the money is freed up it can be used somewhere else and the return from those investments is seen as the cost for tied up capital. The

---

6 A SKU is a warehousing unit that is stored and accounted for separately from other items. (WebFinance Inc., n.d.)
return rate achieved from an investment is often called the internal rate of return (IRR). The inventory carrying cost is often directly proportional to the amount of goods stored. (Oskarsson, et al., 2006)

To calculate the inventory carrying cost, the inventory carrying charge (ICCh) could be used.

\[ ICCh = IRR + \frac{\sum \text{risk cost/year}}{\text{mean inventory value}} \]  

\[ \text{inventory carrying cost} = ICCh \times \text{mean inventory value} \] (6)

The Setup cost includes the costs associated with the preparation of a machine for production of a new batch of products. It could include cost for administration, to change tools, moving materials to the machine or some initial testing of the output. Since the machine is idle during the setup time there is also an opportunity cost of not being able to produce. (Averkamp, n.d.) The setup cost is closely linked to economies of scale; if the batch volume increases, the setup cost per product decreases.

3.4. PUS H, PULL AND ORDER PENE TRATION POINT

All manufacturing processes could be divided into two stages depending on if they are carried out in anticipation for or in response to a customer order; the push and pull process. When a pull process is executed, the customer demand is known with certainty; it could be seen as a reactive process. The push process on the other hand is supported by forecasts and could be seen as speculative. (Chopra & Meindl, 2010) A push/pull system could be consisting of an entire pull or push process, or a hybrid structure combining the two phases. (Rafiei & Rabbani, 2011)

The push/pull interface is located somewhere in the main process. This is the point where the pull and push phases are separated from each other and is called the order penetration point (OPP). It is from this point in the production that a specific product is allocated to a certain customer. Even though the pull phase is carried out with certain demand, some uncertainty is still present because of inventory and capacity decisions in the push phase. (Chopra & Meindl, 2010)
In table 3 different classifications of a push/pull system are shown. Depending on where the OPP is located a production system could be seen as of type make-to-stock (MTS), make-to-order (MTO) or a hybrid MTS/MTO. (Rafiei & Rabbani, 2011)

Table 3: Order penetration points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Procurement</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Make-to-Order</td>
<td>OPP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid MTO/MTS</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make-to-Stock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OPP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Benefits with the push phase are high capacity utilization and the simplicity of the coordination between actors in the process. The disadvantages are high throughput time and tied up capital because of inventory. The latter is of course dependent on the forecast accuracy. There is a great need for planning and the process is very production oriented. (Oskarsson, et al., 2006)

The benefits with the pull phase are low amount of tied up capital and that the only inventory used is to cater for small differences in demand. Disadvantages are that the flow could become sensible to disturbances in demand. (Oskarsson, et al., 2006)

3.5. POSTPONEMENT

Postponement is a suitable theory to use in situations where there is a need to combine especially two factors: high production volume and customization. The method suggests the company to take decisions about completion of generic semi-finished goods (SFG) when more information of the demand is known, in other words after the OPP. (Chopra & Meindl, 2010)

3.5.1. LOCATION OF ORDER PENETRATION POINT AND DIFFERENTIATION POINT

Figure 7 shows six different ways in which the OPP and differentiation point (DP) could be located. All figures except from “a” illustrate some kind of postponement. The options “a” and “b” show an entire push and pull system respectively. In “a”, the DP is before the start of production while the OPP is when all the products are finished. When the company receives an order, the product is taken directly from stock. The production
is planned completely from forecasts. In “b”, all production is executed in response to an arrived customer order. As the OPP is located in the beginning of the production, there are great possibilities for product differentiation. (Wong, et al., 2009)

In “c” and “d”, the generic SFG are produced to stock in order to aggregate the demand. In “c” the OPP is in the “customized product inventory”, while the DP is in the “generic component inventory”. The demand is not known in the DP, but it is probably more certain than in the beginning of the process, and since there is still just one generic SFG there is potential for risk-pooling. In “d”, the DP and OPP are both located in the “generic component inventory”. This means that the first phase of the process is of push type and the second of pull type. The demand for the finalized products is aggregated in the “generic component inventory”, also here contributing to risk-pooling. (Wong, et al., 2009)

Figure “e” and “f” could be seen as a combination of the previous figures. Here all generic SFG are produced through the same flow, but they are not stored in any intermediate step. In “e” some of the benefits of postponement are achieved since the DP is partly delayed, leading to more certain demand. The OPP is still in the “customised product inventory” meaning that all products are sold from stock. In “f”, all products use the same generic path, even though a customer order has already arrived before production starts. In the “generic component inventory” the products are differentiated, but there is no delay. (Wong, et al., 2009)
Due to production postponement, risk-pooling is possible since the aggregated demand of the generic SFG before differentiation is less volatile than the individual demand of each and every end product. If the forecast is based on the aggregate demand, it could lead to higher accuracy. (Chopra & Meindl, 2010)

Figure 7: Possible positioning of OPP and DP
3.5.2. HOW TO AGGREGATE DEMAND

When the order penetration point is moved backwards and the production decision is postponed, the generic SFG represents the new uncertainty. The uncertainty is measured in standard deviations.

The demand of the postponed products is the same as the sum of the individual demands, see formula 7 below. (Chopra & Meindl, 2010)

\[ D_{agg} = \sum_{i=1}^{X} D_i \quad (7) \]

To get the new standard deviation, the formulas 8 and 9 below are used. The uncertainty could be decreased due to risk-pooling. (Chopra & Meindl, 2010)

\[
\sigma_{agg} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{X} \sigma_i^2 + \rho_{agg}(\sigma_i, \sigma_j, \rho_{ij})} \quad (8)
\]

\[
\rho_{agg}(\sigma_i, \sigma_j, \rho_{ij}) = 2 * \sum_{i>j}^{X} \sigma_i * \sigma_j * \rho_{ij} \quad (9)
\]

Table 4: List of symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D_{agg}</td>
<td>aggregated demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{i}</td>
<td>demand for product i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\sigma_{agg}</td>
<td>aggregated standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\sigma_{i}</td>
<td>standard deviation for product i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>number of products in aggregated group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\rho_{agg}</td>
<td>the aggregated demand correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\rho_{ij}</td>
<td>correlation between products i and j</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key factors that positively affect the benefits of aggregation are: if the products show high demand variability, they are negatively correlated\(^7\), more products are being postponed and most importantly if they share the generic SFG. (Graman & Magazine, 2006) Another thing that is important is the demand between the products which has to be quite similar to make use of the benefits of risk-pooling. (Chopra & Meindl, 2010) Below is an example of how the aggregation of demand is very dependent on if one product has a much larger variability than the other.

When aggregating standard deviations, their squares are first added together, and then the new standard deviation is set to be the square root of that sum. The square of 20 is 400, and the square of 10 is 100. Hence, one product with a standard deviation of 20 and three of 0 will give the same aggregated standard deviation as four products with a standard deviation of 10. This makes postponement more beneficial if the standard deviations are similar for all products.

3.5.3. FACTORS FOR BENEFICIAL POSTPONEMENT

Three different main aspects can be identified to influence the benefits of postponement: market factors, process factors and product factors. (Swaminathan & Lee, 2003)

**Market factors** concern the customer demand and expectations of service level. Parameters included here are demand variability, correlation in demand between different products, lead time and service requirements. (Swaminathan & Lee, 2003)

**Process factors** regard the manufacturing and distribution process that the company can control themselves. Factors included here are the sequence of operations performed, capacity, resources, whether the product is made-to-order or made-to-stock, network of the supply chain (manufacturing and distribution sites) and how much and where inventories are stored. (Swaminathan & Lee, 2003)

**Product factors** relates to the design of the products. It concerns the level of standardization of the products, how much it would cost to standardize and to what degree the final products could be substituted by each other. (Swaminathan & Lee, 2003)

The benefit from postponement comes from the improved matching of demand and supply. But there is also a cost associated since the production cost usually increases due to the changed routines. It is important to thoughtfully consider the decision so that

\(^7\) When the total sales of one product go up, the sales of the other product go down.
the expected benefit exceeds the cost. (Chopra & Meindl, 2010) The activities after OPP have to be smoothly carried out in order to achieve efficient postponement. (Young, et al., 2004)

3.5.4. TYPES OF POSTPONEMENT

Postponement could be carried out in different ways, for example labelling, packaging, assembly, manufacturing and time postponement. Labelling postponement refers to the case when only the attachment of the label remains when the customer order arrives. In packaging postponement the product is finished but not fully packaged before OPP. Assembly and manufacturing postponement refers to the case when the manufacturing or assembly is not finished before a customer order arrives. Time postponement is when products are not shipped until an order has arrived from a specific market. (Swaminathan & Lee, 2003)

A general rule presented by Young et al. (2004), seen in chart 1, is that the lower the uncertainty of the product is, the later in the supply chain can the point of differentiation be located. If the demand is very uncertain it is advisable to locate the point of differentiation early in the process, as in the point of purchasing or product development. In this stage no physical inventory exists. If the commonality of the products is very low it could be better to postpone in the logistics (time postponement) or in the purchasing stages than in production and product development.

![Chart 1: Postponement strategies](image)
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In production postponement there are two different main types of changes to be made when adapting to a postponement strategy, the ones related to the processes and those related to the products. In process postponement the focus is on re-sequencing and standardizing the processes so that they could be used for different types of products. Product postponement refers to the work of developing commonalities between different products. (Swaminathan & Lee, 2003)

3.5.5. POSSIBLE ISSUES WITH POSTPONEMENT
A problem that could arise during the implementation of postponement is lack of cooperation and understanding between different functions in a company. To solve this, a cross-functional team representing all relevant functions in the company could be created to keep a holistic view of the changes. A tendency is that in older companies with strong local autonomy it is generally harder to make major changes in the processes compared to newly developed ones. (Graman & Magazine, 2006)

3.5.6. HYBRID POSTPONEMENT
One way of postponement is the hybrid structure, where only some of the material is postponed. The basic idea is that the incremental benefits of postponement diminish beyond a certain point, and that only the positive effect of postponement should be delayed. Chart 2 shows an example of how much the inventory could be reduced in response to different amounts of postponement of the expected demand. In this example, the benefit of postponement diminishes when about 30% of the expected demand is postponed. (Graman & Magazine, 2006)

![Chart 2: Hybrid postponement, the line indicates how the initial gains from postponing the first 20% of inventory is great but then the benefit is quickly decreasing](image-url)
3.5.7. POSTPONEMENT WITH MULTIPLE POINTS OF DIFFERENTIATION

A system with multiple points of differentiation is seen in figure 8, where $T_1$, $T_2$ and $T_3$ are lead times and the circles are points of differentiation. In the first stage, $T_1$, all variants are generic before they are transformed into different product families at differentiation point one. After the second differentiation point the actual products are formed. Early postponement could then be defined as changing $T_1$ to $T_1 + 1$ and $T_2$ to $T_2 - 1$. Thus, products will spend more time before differentiation point one than after. Alike, late postponement is defined as changing $T_2$ to $T_2 + 1$ and $T_3$ to $T_3 - 1$.

The demand for the system is assumed to be independent, normally distributed and identical during time periods, and the lead times, $T_1$, $T_2$ and $T_3$, are the same for every product. The system is assumed to use periodic review with a base stock policy\(^8\). The level of inventory at each circle, in figure 8 below, has to be so high that the storages could be seen as independent and decoupled from each other. In an environment fulfilling all these assumptions, the following is true: (Swaminathan & Lee, 2003)

- If $T_1 > T_2 > T_3$, then both early and late postponement are beneficial.
- If $T_2 >> T_1, T_3$, then late postponement is beneficial.
- If $T_2 << T_1, T_3$, then early postponement is beneficial.

\(8\) Base stock policy is also known as the policy of periodic review. Thus, a production order is placed after inspection of inventory levels, if the levels are under a set base stock. The inspections are done periodically.
3.6. ADDITIONAL THEORIES
In this part, some additional theories are presented.

3.6.1. THEORY OF CONSTRAINTS
Theory of constraints is a way to identify the bottleneck (the constraining factor) in a production. The constraining factor prevents the production to obtain its goal or develop in a desired direction. (Rahman, 1998) It is the part of the production that has the lowest throughput; hence it sets the production rate for the whole system. (Sullivan, 1998)

3.6.2. NET PRESENT VALUE
The net present value (NPV) is used to find if an investment is profitable or not. It could be very useful as it accounts for time value of money. To use the formula the company must be aware of its desired pay-back time, the internal rate of return and the cash flows.

The formula for NPV is seen below (10). When adding the desired values on the parameters, the formula gives a value larger than zero if the investment is profitable and oppositely, a negative value is the investment is not profitable. (Jan, n.d.) The symbols used are explained in table 5.

\[
NPV = -IC + \sum_{y=1}^{n} \frac{(1 + i)^y - 1}{i(1 + i)^y} \times R \quad (10)
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>payback time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>internal rate of return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>net cash flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>initial cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>year 1, 2,...,n</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. **EMPIRICAL FRAMEWORK**

The empirical framework describes the company today. The chapter begins with the general characteristics, followed by a description of the production process, inventory management and the products. The aim with this chapter is to make the reader familiar with Hyde AB in order to better understand the analysis.

4.1. **COMPANY BACKGROUND**

Today Hyde AB is the market leader within the product segment in consideration and has been so for several decades. The characteristics of the company are as shown in this part quite unique, and no similar studies regarding postponement or inventory management have been found.

The company has a limited number of customers, today about 160. The production facility in Sweden ships to either international customers or to a sales warehouse in the United States. In this project, the U.S. warehouse (and its clients) is considered as one customer, leading to a total of about 116 customers. Due to the nature of the product, the orders are large, similar in size for a specific customer and arrive at certain intervals. These intervals are about 8-12 months and the average order size is about 2.5 tonnes. In 2012, the production got 144 orders, on an average twelve per month.

The relationships with the customers are often long term and the customer service is of great importance. This is one of Hyde’s most important competitive advantages in combination with the offering of superior quality. Therefore late deliveries are not acceptable, even if it would incur high costs to avoid them. Today the service level is not measured, but the personnel responsible for customer orders cannot remember a single late order during their time in the company. If the service level was measured, order fill rate and not order line fill rate would have to be used since incomplete orders are of no interest to the customers. However, as mentioned in 3.2.4 order line fill rate can be interesting to evaluate the inventory levels.

In this project, one specific product family is regarded, involving 23 different products. They all originate from the same material, and this material is in the production process continuously modified into different products. In this report, the unfinished products are referred to as semi-finished goods (SFG). Of the 23 different products, six are pure and
17 mixed. How these appear is described further, but the mixes are pure products diluted with additional material.

4.2. PRODUCTION PROCESS
This section presents the production process at Hyde AB. It consists of two parts, here called A and B, which both have several steps. The two parts are decoupled from each other with a buffer, but almost all storage takes place after the production in the finished goods inventory. There is a graphical presentation of the production process in figure 9 on next page.

To ensure the anonymity of Hyde AB the production steps are not described in depth, but it should not affect the reader’s understanding of the report as a whole. Due to the nature of the products the production needs to follow the steps in the current order.

Ten people work five-shift in the production, which results in production 24 hours a day seven days a week. In addition to this there are one person employed to take care of the finished goods storage and several office-workers. In total, the company has about 90 employees.

4.2.1. PART A
Part A of the process has two steps. All SFG follows the same production steps and is made out of the same raw material; thus the SFG stored in the end of part A is of the same type for all products.

The steps in part A is now described in more detail. The first step is preparation of the raw material. There are two machines that produce independently from each other. When the preparation is finished, the machines empty themselves, one at a time, and the SFG goes into the next process step called drying. When the SFG dries, it is continuously transported away to the buffer where it is stored until needed in part B. The maximum capacity for this storage is 12,5 tonnes.

To empty one container with 245 kg of prepared raw material on to the dryer takes 2,5-2,9 hours. This gives a production rate of between 84-98 kg/hour, which is also the production rate from the dryer to the buffer. Part A needs to be closed for eight hours every ninth day. This represents a downtime of about 4 %, leading to an average production rate of 81-94 kg/hour.
Figure 9: Production process. Square figures indicate a value-adding step, triangles indicate storage or waiting. The labels below indicate how many product groups there are at each point.
4.2.2. Part B

Part B is given the main focus in this report. It has four different steps and there are three points of differentiation: the shaping, the oven and the mixing, graphically shown in figure 9. Different SFG groups are continuously formed in the process and a scheme of the connections between the final 23 products is shown in figure 10 below. In this figure it is possible to see which products that origin from the same group and where they start to differ. To understand the rest of the report this figure should be studied carefully since the introduced denotations are extensively used.

Granulation

When the SFG is needed, it is taken from the buffer to the granulation. Two substances are added and the material is granulated. This step is the same for all products. The granulation process including transport takes 2-3 hours.

*Figure 10*: Product scheme, at each differentiation point the number of product groups increases. In the end, there are 23 products.
**Shaping**

Shaping is the first point of differentiation. There are six shaping machines, all of which can work independently from each other. The machines have different capacity, the two largest always produce product group X or Z, while the four smaller produce X or Y. With today’s production planning the maximum production rate is between 118 kg/hour (product Z in the two biggest machines and X in the others) and 161 kg/hour (X in the larger machines, Y in the smaller). Z is produced with a rate that is 10% lower than product X.

The shaping machines require extensive maintenance. Most importantly, every 300 hours (12.5 days) the whole step is shut down for 3-4 days. There are ongoing investigations to find alternatives where the machines are closed at different times to enable partial production in the step at all times. The other type of maintenance is daily, every eighth hour all machines need to shut down for 10-15 minutes to be cleaned. Those two types of planned standstills represent about 24% of the total time. The average production rate, including those standstills, is 89-122 kg/hour.

After the shaping, the SFG wait in small buffers that open and release material every fourth hour for product group Y and every fifth hour for X and Z. Only one buffer releases at a time, meaning that if another buffer is open, some extra waiting may be necessary before the SFG are transferred into one out of three load carriers. Every load carrier can take 725 kg and there is only one at a time that can be filled up.

**Oven**

At the third production step in part B, the load carriers with SFG are placed in the ovens. At the time of this writing there are five ovens working independently, during the late spring of 2013 one of those will be replaced with a new one with double capacity. At the moment each oven can take one load carrier (725 kg) for every cycle. The cycle time is approximately 41 hours, slightly depending on the product group and oven. The time span is 38-42 hours with an emphasis on the longer times. Shorter oven time is not possible to achieve by decreasing the amount of goods in each load carrier. Instead, the quality cannot be guaranteed if the load carriers are not filled.

The ovens produce in three different temperatures, giving three different characteristics. All product groups before the ovens, X, Y and Z, are not given all these three characteristics. The theoretical maximum of different product groups after this step is nine, but in reality only six different groups are created. See the product scheme in figure 10 above for more details.
In the oven, water evaporates and after this step the load carrier holds 625 kg. So if the production rates before the ovens should be compared with the ones after, this reduction needs to be considered.

**Mixing and Packaging**

The last step in the production process is the mixing and packaging. The mixing is an adding of different quantities of inexpensive, extra material. From the six product groups entering\(^9\) the mixing/packaging station, 23 products are made. Of these 23, six are pure and do not receive the additional material. This step can take maximally 5 000 kg (eight load carriers) and just one product group at a time. From one batch in the oven, all end products of the same product group (see figure 10) can be created. I.e. if YB enters the mixing machine, YB100 (pure), YB50, YB55 and so on, can be created from the same load carrier. Further on in this report, the added material is not taken into consideration in the calculations, if the opposite is not clearly stated.

The setup time can be divided into two parts, firstly, 10 minutes to change the settings on the mixing machine when a new product group is to be mixed and then another 5-10 minutes to empty one load carrier. Naturally, this time is dependent on the number of load carriers mixed. Thus, today the setup-time is about one hour. In addition to this, time for the operator to get to the machine must be added.

The final packaging contains 50 kg product each, and there are 12 packages on one pallet. Hence, a pallet consists of 600 kg.

The production time in the mixing/packaging is dependent on the characteristics of the finished product. For a pure product that has no additional material it takes 1250 seconds to fill up a package. For mixed products each package requires less packing time (900 seconds) but as more packages are needed for the same amount of SFG entering, the total time is longer. Additional time of 30 minutes is needed in between each pallet. Production rates for all variants can be seen in table 6.

\(^9\)XA, XB, XC, YA, YB and ZA.
After this step there are six pure products as well as 17 mixed products.

4.2.3. Summary

The production rates and capacities for the different production steps are summarised in table 7 below. The weight reduction in the oven is considered and the steps before are reduced accordingly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Production rate (kg/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XA100, XB100, XC100, YA100, YB100</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZA100</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XA70, XC70, YA70, YB70</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB65</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XA60, XC60, YA60, YB60</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XA55, YA55, YB55</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XA50, XC50, YA50, YB50</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB45</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Production rates in the mixing/packaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Production rate (kg/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XA100, XB100, XC100, YA100, YB100</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZA100</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XA70, XC70, YA70, YB70</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB65</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XA60, XC60, YA60, YB60</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XA55, YA55, YB55</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XA50, XC50, YA50, YB50</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB45</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Production capacity for all steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production step</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part A</td>
<td>70-81 kg/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer (maximum capacity)</td>
<td>10 750 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and granulation</td>
<td>2-3 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaping</td>
<td>77-105 kg/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small buffers</td>
<td>Releases material every 4-5 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oven</td>
<td>76 kg/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixing and packaging</td>
<td>98-125 kg/hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**4.2.4. Production Planning**

Hyde has two different procedures for production. The more frequently sold products (representing 99.2% of the total volume and 57% of the products) are produced in response to forecasts. This procedure is named make-to-stock (MTS). The order penetration point (OPP) is then located in the finished goods inventory. Rarely sold products are only produced in response to customer orders and are in Hyde AB referred to as make-to-order (MTO). For those products the OPP is located in the buffer in the beginning of part B. According to the terminology used by Rafiei & Rabbani (2011) in 3.4, they should be referred to as hybrid MTS/MTO-products. To not confuse the readers from Hyde, the notation of MTO is used here as well.

Hyde plans the MTS-production from monthly forecasts. The production planner is given a document where the forecasted demand for the four coming months is presented. After receiving this data the production planner checks the current inventory levels. The month where the inventory level minus the forecasted demand falls below the safety stock a production order is placed. The production planner is responsible for the detailed planning, where he sets the production sequence and priority in intervals of 20 days. If an urgent order arrives, the prioritisation is flexible and rearrangements could occur.

The forecasts originate from the expected sales, which are then adjusted by the product manager according to prior experience. The forecast accuracy is today not measured specifically for each product, but only for the product family as a whole, on a yearly basis. The accuracy measured in percentage error (3.2.5) is then about 10%, which is considered accurate.

Hyde does not have a set delivery time; instead the aim is to always deliver when the customer wants the products. The time between order placement and desired delivery date varies a lot for different customers depending on, among others, the method of payment. The shortest time a customer can expect, from an order is placed until the products are shipped, is one week. Within this week, one to two days are required to pack the order. If the order contains at least one MTO product, the delivery time is about three weeks as there is no use of having just a few of the products earlier. The production planner has to confirm all orders that include MTO products before the customer gets to know the exact delivery date. In average, an order contains 3.5 order lines.
4.3. **INVENTORY MANAGEMENT**

This part discusses the inventory management at Hyde today. The inventory in the finished goods inventory (FGI) could broadly be divided into two different categories based on functions.

The first function is the safety stock, which fills the purpose of protection against uncertainties, as discussed in the section 3.2.4. In Hyde the total safety stock of all products are set as a twelfth (representing one month) of the total forecasted demand during a year. The total forecast for 2012 was 600 tonnes, leading to a safety stock of 50 tonnes. The division of safety stock between the products is decided by experience. The safety stock levels during 2012 are shown in table 8 below.

The second function of the inventory is the cycle stock. The inventory in this function originates from the forecasted demand the upcoming month plus excess inbound inventory. This value could vary significantly between months. In table 8 the average inventory level during 2012 is shown together with the targeted inventory level. The average inventory level is found as a mean of the inventory level in the beginning of every month, as no continuous data was available. The targeted level, on the other hand, shows the level of inventory the company aims to have. It is twice the safety stock and represents two months forecasted demand, where one month is the safety stock and one month the forecast.

Since the production is based on forecasts, there are months when the shipping is less than expected and there is a surplus of goods left in the finished goods inventory. If the sales/forecasts the upcoming month are less than the surplus, an aggregation of inventory will occur. If it is the opposite way, that the sales/forecasts next month are larger than the surplus, the production planner will have to produce the difference between the surplus and the forecast. The surplus is in this report referred to as excess inbound inventory.

Today the warehouse is crowded and all goods cannot fit, therefore an additional warehouse has to be used. This second warehouse is placed several hundred meters from the production plant.
4.4. Products

This part goes deeper into the individual products and studies the demand distribution and standard deviation among them. Shipping data has been the main source of information and, unless stated differently, the extra added material in the mixes has been subtracted to ensure accurate comparisons and give correct calculations further on in the report.

To start with, the demands of the products were studied and in chart 3 the sales volume relationship between them in 2012 is shown. It is obvious that the distribution between products is uneven. XB100 represents 42% of the total volume, three others (YB50, XC100 and ZA100) represent another 38%. Hence, four products are responsible for 80% of the total volume.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XA100</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XB100</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37,9</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XC100</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26,6</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XC60</td>
<td>MTS or MTO (2013)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA100</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA60</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB100</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6,8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB45</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB50</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB55</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB60</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB65</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB70</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>2 (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZA100</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15,5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chart 3: Division of total sales between the different products. MTO-products are excluded.

The variability in demand for the products during 2011 and 2012 is depicted in chart 4 and 5. To make it clearer, the pure variants are shown first and then the mixes. For clarity reasons, only the mixed products made-to-stock 2013 is depicted, notice that all those mixes except from one are of group YB. What could be seen from both graphs is that the demand is highly uneven for all products, both pure ones and mixes.

Chart 4: Shipped volumes during 2011 and 2012 for all pure products
**Chart 5:** Shipped volumes during 2011 and 2012 for all mixed MTS-products

In chart 6 the demand of all products has been added together and the total amount shipped in every month is shown. It is possible to see that the high variability is present even when the demands are aggregated. This phenomenon appears since there are few customers and they buy in large quantities. The variability makes forecasting and production planning more difficult.

**Chart 6:** Total shipped volume 2011 and 2012
In table 9 the average demand and the standard deviation of the monthly demands are presented for all products aggregated. The average amount of goods shipped per month in 2011 was 36 tonnes and in 2012, 40 tonnes. This corresponds to an increase of about 11% from the previous year. The standard deviation on the other hand decreased from 11.8 to 9.2 which is about 22%.

**Table 9:** Average demand and standard deviation on a monthly basis 2011 and 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shipping</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average demand</td>
<td>36,4</td>
<td>40,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>9,2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Analysis and Results

In this chapter, the demand uncertainty of the finished goods is first examined in detail. The current inventory levels are then questioned, including an in depth analysis of the safety stock level and risk of stock out. Further on, there is a thorough analysis into whether a postponement strategy would be profitable or not; the factors of customer delivery time and production capacity have been of major importance.

5.1. Inventory Management

In this part the finished products are analysed. First the demand uncertainties are identified through a review of demand variability and the forecast errors. Thereafter, a thorough investigation of the overall inventory levels of today and how they could be reduced is presented. This is followed by the development of a method to determine safety stock levels in a company where the normal distribution is not applicable. These developed safety stock levels are then compared to the ones used in Hyde today.

This is partly done to see whether there are any possibilities to reduce the amount of tied up capital in the finished goods inventory. The other reason is the importance of good understanding of the inventories in order to appropriately decide whether a postponement strategy is useful or not.

5.1.1. Demand Uncertainty

To start with, two methods have been used in order to analyse the demand uncertainty of Hyde AB, demand variability and forecast accuracy. This was done in an early stage and the implications of the uncertainties have been regarded throughout the project. To explain the two measurements, demand variability shows how the demand fluctuates in comparison to the average demand, while the forecast accuracy is a measure of how well the forecasts follow the registered demand. The standard deviation of the demand and the standard deviation of the forecast error are the measurements used to characterise these uncertainties. When investigating the forecasts, the bias was also studied to find potential systematic forecast errors.

Forecast Bias and Accuracy

If the forecasts have good accuracy, it indirectly affects the needed safety stock levels in a positive way. This is because the error between the demand and the forecast is generally small and the risk of shortage decreases. When finding the forecast accuracy, it is important to remove the bias from the forecast errors to give a correct result.
The forecast bias on the other hand does affect both the cycle and safety stocks. If there is a positive bias (too much forecasted), the cycle stock would become larger than what is actually sold. The extra inventory would in a way act as an additional safety stock. If the safety stock for the next period is dimensioned from those levels without removing the bias, the new safety stock would, if there is no bias next year, become too small since the risks for shortages have been very small during the year. If there is a negative bias, the cycle stock could become too low, leading to a risky situation where the safety stock is insufficient to cope with the systematically too low forecasts. On the contrary to positive bias the negative bias results in too large safety stocks the next period if it is not removed.

In table 10 below the bias for 2011/2012, the standard deviation of the forecast error and the coefficient of variance are presented for each and every product. The bias was found from the average of the forecast errors. The standard deviation was found from the unbiased forecast errors, in explicit the forecast error minus the bias for that year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Bias 2011 (tonne)</th>
<th>Bias 2012 (tonne)</th>
<th>Standard deviation (tonne)</th>
<th>Coefficient of variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XA100</td>
<td>-0,1</td>
<td>-0,1</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>3,05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XB100</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>-4,2</td>
<td>6,6</td>
<td>0,43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XC100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>0,85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA100</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA60</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>-0,1</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>1,83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB100</td>
<td>-0,1</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB45</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>1,50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB50</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>-0,4</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>0,47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB55</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>-0,1</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB60</td>
<td>-0,1</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB65</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>-0,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>0,60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0,3</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZA100</td>
<td>-0,5</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>0,83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interesting to notice is for example XB100 which showed a clear positive bias in 2011. On the contrary the following year the bias was negative. That aggravates the creation of an accurate safety stock. In this case, if the safety stock was set after the results in
2011 there could have appeared several shortages during 2012 if the bias from 2011 was not removed. YB50 shows a similar pattern as XB100, but was not spanning in as wide range. ZA100 shows the reversed behaviour from XB100, and XC100 has a considerably large positive bias in both 2011 and 2012.

To compare the forecast accuracy of different variants with each other the coefficient of variance was used. In this case it is a measure of the ratio between the standard deviation of the forecast error and the average demand. A low coefficient of variance is usually achieved for variants where it is easier to do the forecast. The products that show the least variability in comparison to their average demand are XB100 and YB50. The values are based on data from both 2011 and 2012.

In charts 7 and 8 the forecast is compared to the shipping for XB100 and YB50, the two products with the highest sales. What could be seen is that the forecast for XB100 is too high in 2011, but too small in 2012. The forecast for YB50, on the other hand, was continuously more even and the bias was smaller.

For XB100 and YB50, the accuracy is good and the coefficient of variance is the lowest of all products. The reason to the low coefficient of variance is their very large demand. In general, products with higher demand got lower coefficients of variance. Charts like 7 and 8 can be found for all products in appendix 1. In all those charts, the weight of the additional material is included for the mixed products. This does not affect the result, as the extra material is included in both the values for shipping and forecast.
The demand variability is a measure of the volatility in demand for a product. There are especially two reasons to why demand variability is studied in this report. It is an important factor in the context of inventory reduction as greater variability inhibits the easiness of making accurate forecasts, which in turn leads to a requirement of larger

**Demand Variability**

The demand variability is a measure of the volatility in demand for a product. There are especially two reasons to why demand variability is studied in this report. It is an important factor in the context of inventory reduction as greater variability inhibits the easiness of making accurate forecasts, which in turn leads to a requirement of larger
safety stock. The variability is also crucial when deciding whether postponement is profitable or not, as it affects the risk-pooling possibilities.

The demand variability is measured as the standard deviation of the monthly demands for each product. As discussed in section 4.4 the demand at Hyde is highly volatile. In chart 9 the shipped demands for popular XB100 (ave. 15.4 tonnes, st. dev. 5.7) and the less popular YB55 (ave. 1.3 tonnes, st. dev. 1.2) are shown.

![Chart 9: Demand for XB100 and YB55. The top line represents XB100 while the one below is YB55.](chart)

Standard deviations are hard to use when comparing different products since the measure does not include the average demand. Thus, a product with high demand appears as more volatile. To enable comparison, the coefficient of variance is presented in chart 10. This measure includes both average demand and standard deviation. What could be seen is that the greater the average demand is the lower coefficient of variance. This in turn means that products with greater average demand have smaller standard deviation in comparison with their demand than products with low demand. To interpret this into safety stock; a product with high demand would require a smaller share of its demand as safety stock than a product with low demand.
It is of great use to know whether the demand follows a normal distribution or not. According to Schönsleben (3.2.4) the coefficient of variance has to be less than 0.4 to make the approximation of normal distribution possible. This is the case for XB100 and YB50 with a coefficient of variance of 0.3 and 0.4 respectively. For the other products though, this estimation is not valid and the normal distribution can generally not be used at Hyde.

5.1.2. THE INVENTORIES TODAY

Now when the main demand uncertainties are identified, the inventories of today are further elaborated around. This part discusses the behaviour and level of the inventory, as well as how it could be improved from a tied up capital point of view. In the graphical illustrations, the features of XB100 and one other characteristic product is shown. XB100 is always used since it represents such a large share of the total demand. Information about all the other products can be found in chapter eight, Appendix.

Inventory Levels in Response to Safety Stock and Target Level

The first part to be studied is the inventory level in comparison to target level and safety stock during the last two years (2011, 2012). The two charts 11 and 12 are displayed below to show the inventory levels of XB100 and YB65.

*Chart 10:* Coefficient of variance for all products. The trend line is an exponential function set by Microsoft Excel.
Important to notice about the charts is that no continuous data of the inventory levels were available; instead the data is taken from the beginning of each month. Chart 11 shows the inventory levels for the most popular variant XB100 (41.9% of total) and chart 12 the fairly popular YB65 (6.6% of total). The red line indicates the safety stock.

**Chart 11:** The inventory levels for XB100 showcased together with the safety stock and the target level.

**Chart 12:** The inventory levels for YB65. The inventory levels increases which could be a preparation for the future growth.
level while the green line is the targeted level. The targeted level is the double safety stock and is in Hyde said to be the goal level of inventory. The safety stock is updated a few times per year, but only one report concerning 2011 and 2012 was reachable; hence the straight red lines. The lack of information does probably not affect the results significantly since the changes of safety stock levels have been limited between 2009 and 2013, from where other reports could be found.

In chart 11 the inventory level follows the target level pretty good, and during 2011 and 2012, there was no need to use the safety stock. A more common case for Hyde is shown in chart 12; the target level is reached three times during two years of time but most of the time the inventory level is much higher. For all the 13 products being produced to stock, three never go below the target level and nine of them never use the safety stock at all. For many of the products reaching the target level, a great share has an inventory level that just slightly goes below it. For the interested reader, the charts showing safety stock and target level for the other products are shown in appendix 2.

The target level is important as it is the level Hyde aims to have. This measure though is fairly inaccurate as it does not change if the forecast for one month is very large or very small. As Hyde has a very volatile demand, the result could turn out to be misleading. Because of this, the safety stock plus the monthly forecast is considered to be the desired inventory level in the remaining parts of this project. To not mix it with the target level, it is referred to as the supposed inventory level.

**Inventory Levels in Response to Demand**

Now, when it is shown that the inventory levels during the last two years have been high in comparison to the target/safety stock level, the analysis continues to study how large the inventories have been in relation to the shipped demand. In this section the implication of large inventory levels on the cost of tied up capital is also discussed.

Chart 13 and 14 below show the inventory levels and shipping rates for XB100 and YB60 during 2011 and 2012. In the charts, the material added to the mixes is included. The actual inventory level is usually much higher than shipped values; in July 2012 YB60 has the largest shipment during the two years and there are still 6.3 tonnes left in inventory. The lowest inventory level for YB60 is 2.7 tonne, which includes the safety stock set by Hyde of 1.8 tonne. See appendix 3 for charts of the other products.
To be able to find the implications of a large inventory level on the cost of tied up capital, it is firstly important to find what the inventory level should have been, i.e. the supposed average inventory level. It is calculated with formula 11 and 12 below. To first get the supposed total stock for each product, the safety stock, forecast and excess inbound inventory in the beginning of every month 2012 was added together (11). To then get the average inventory level, the total stock every month was subtracted by half
the shipped value that month. The given numbers for all months were summed together and then split by 12 to get the supposed average inventory level. (12) This measure assumes that everything that is forecasted for a month is in the finished goods inventory in the beginning of the month and that there is an even outtake. In reality, the forecasted demand would partly be produced during the month as well. Hence, the true inventory levels would be lower.

This value is then compared to the actual average inventory level. This number is estimated by summing the actual stock in the beginning of each month and divided by 12. (13) This assumes that during a year, the actual value in the beginning of a month is, on the whole, representative for the average. This might not always be the case, but during a year the shipments should be spread relatively even throughout the months, making this an acceptable simplification.

\[
Total\ stock = SS + F + EII \quad (11)
\]

\[
SI = \frac{\sum_{x=1}^{12} (total\ stock_x - \frac{\text{shipped}_x}{2})}{12} \quad (12)
\]

\[
AI = \frac{\sum_{x=1}^{12} \text{stock\ in\ beginning\ of\ month}}{12} \quad (13)
\]

**Table 11: List of symbols**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>actual average inventory level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>supposed average inventory level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>month 1,2,3...12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>safety stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>forecasted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EII</td>
<td>excess inbound inventory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In table 12 below, potential savings 2012 of having more control of the inventories are shown (including weight and value of extra material). The first column is the supposed average inventory level, while the second column is the actual average inventory level.
The result found is that the average inventory level could have been reduced with 92.6 tonnes, if Hyde followed the supposed level better. This reduction corresponds to the releasing of 152 pallet locations.

*Table 12: Supposed and actual average inventory levels. The reason to why some differences seems to be +/-0.1 is because rounded numbers are presented here but not used in the calculations.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Supposed average inventory 2012 (tonne)</th>
<th>Actual average inventory level 2012 (tonne)</th>
<th>Difference, supposed and actual average inventory level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XA100</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XB100</td>
<td>24,3</td>
<td>37,9</td>
<td>13,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XC100</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>26,6</td>
<td>13,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA100</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>8,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA60</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>1,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB100</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>6,8</td>
<td>4,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB45</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>5,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB50</td>
<td>15,7</td>
<td>24,2</td>
<td>8,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB55</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>18,0</td>
<td>13,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB60</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td>7,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB65</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>13,6</td>
<td>8,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB70</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>2,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZA100</td>
<td>9,9</td>
<td>15,5</td>
<td>5,6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reduction of cost of tied-up capital

Reduction of cost of tied-up capital

To get the opportunity cost of having tied up capital, the inventory carrying charge is used. It consists of the internal rate of return and the risks such as obsolescent products and theft. The risks are however quite small for this product and since it does not get old the inventory carrying charge has been simplified to only consider the internal rate of return. The reduction of cost is found through using formula 14. To cut 92.6 tonnes in the finished goods inventory relates to a cost saving of tied up capital of 871 kSEK per year. This reduction of cost must be weighed against the risk of shortages presented.
further. It is also important to notice that this cost reduction is obtained with data from 2012 and the number would be different another year, but it is a good indicator of the potential savings.

\[ \text{Reduction of cost for tied up capital} = (AI - HI) * i * v \quad (14) \]

**Table 13: List of symbols**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>Internal rate of return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>value of the product</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The Safety Stock**

In the section above it was found that the total inventories have been large at Hyde during the last two years. After the investigation of the total inventories, the safety stock was further investigated.

The total safety stock 2012 was set to 50 tonnes which equalled a twelfth of the total forecasted demand that year. How those 50 tonnes were distributed between the different products was set from experience. If this is not done correctly, it could give a too high safety stock for some products and too low for others, leading to stock-outs or excess tied up capital.

Preferably the safety stock should be calculated from safety stock dimensioning methods based on cycle service level or demand fill rate presented in section 3.2.4. These do unfortunately all assume normal distribution, which cannot be justified in this case, as the coefficient of variance for the products is generally large. In addition to that, the methods also assume that one product at a time is sold from the warehouse; in Hyde where the shipped quantities are very large, this assumption would be hard to justify.

When dimensioning a safety stock, the calculations are usually built on the uncertainty of demand during the production lead time. This time period is chosen because the most interesting is to have enough safety stock to manage the time it takes to produce new goods. A complicating factor for this project is that the production planning and forecasting is done on a month-to-month basis, while the production lead time is about two weeks. Because of that it is not practically possible to dimension a safety stock based on the lead time in production; instead the uncertainty during one month has been the measure in all calculations.
Due to the complicating issue of not being able to approximate the demand of the products with a normal distribution, a method was developed to find an appropriate safety stock. It is now described in detail. The method is firstly described graphically and later also analytically to ensure a clear understanding of the procedure. Observed values from 2011 were used to find the safety stock level for 2012.

The size of a dimensioned safety stock is usually dependent on the variability of demand, as a more fluctuating demand makes it harder to do accurate forecasts. In the developed method, the size of the standard deviation is not directly used, but to give the reader an understanding of the variability in demand for different products 2011, the average demand (15) and standard deviation of demand (16) per month for make-to-stock products are shown in table 14.

\[
\text{Average demand} = \frac{\text{Total demand 2011}}{12 \text{ months}} \quad (15)
\]

\[
\text{St. dev.} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{x=1}^{12}[(\text{Demand in month } x - \text{average demand})^2]}{12}} \quad (16)
\]
Table 14: Average demand and standard deviation for all MTS-products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Average demand 2011</th>
<th>Standard deviation 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XA100</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XB100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XC100</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>3,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA100</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA60</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB100</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>1,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB45</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB50</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>1,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB55</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB60</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB65</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>0,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZA100</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>3,4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the demand is normally distributed and if three standard deviations of demand are kept as safety stock, demand is met in 99,73 % of the months (3.2.4). When the normal distribution does not work, it is possible to originate from the empirical distributions of each and every product instead. The developed method starts by checking how much inventory, in addition to forecast and excess inbound inventory, was needed to cover all demand during a longer period of time. How this value turns out is dependent on the size of the forecast errors and the amount of excess inbound inventory.

Firstly, the method is described graphically in chart 15 shown below. The dashed line represents the shipped quantity and the solid lines the total stock with different amounts of safety stock. In this illustrative chart the safety stock is set to three different levels called safety stock one, two or three. With safety stock one there is a larger shortage in May with 1,3 tonnes, with stock three there is a risk of excess inventory. With number two on the other hand the shipping curve is almost equal in May. YB60 is by that regarded to need a safety stock of a size close to safety stock two. When using the method in this project, the needed safety stock was firstly set so that the total stock line
touched the shipped line. The bias was also considered and last some add-on stock was given to especially important products. This is explained in detail further. Chart 15 includes the additional mixing material.

![YB60 inventory levels and shipping](chart15.png)

*Chart 15: Depiction of the procedure to find accurate safety stocks.*

The procedure is now described analytically in a short list.

- The forecasts 2011 were firstly corrected to not include any bias.

- \( a \) is the unknown value of the safety stock.

- Total stock was calculated for every month 2011 by:

  \[
  \text{Total stock} = a + F + EII \quad (17)
  \]

- Total stock was compared to the shipped values (2011) in every month.

- The value of \( a \) was minimised to a point where the total stock did not fall below the shipped values in any month, but equalled in one.

  \[ \text{Total stock} \geq \text{Shipped} \]

- The safety stock for 2012 was firstly set to \( a \).
Lastly, the safety stock levels were finalised by multiplying the value in the prior point with an add-on that depended on how often the product was ordered. This add-on is discussed further.

Table 15: List of symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>variable deciding the safety stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EII</td>
<td>excess inbound inventory from the previous month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>forecasted demand in a month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the size of the largest forecast error is a main factor in the described method, it is important that the forecast is not biased. How a biased forecast would affect the developed safety stock is elaborated around in part 5.1.5.

If, for example, the studied time period is not as long as wished for, it could affect the reliability of the method. A good way to handle this risk is to divide the products into groups depending on their importance. Then more important products could be given an add-on of a certain percentage. The criteria to use and the percentage to add have to depend on the specific situation.

The add-on to the safety stock at Hyde was set as follows. The products that occurred on 0-2% of the orders were not given any extra safety stock. Products that were included in 2-10% of the orders were given an add-on of 15%. For products exceeding 10% the add-on was 25%. This is summarised in table 16. An example for further clarification; YB60 was present on 4.7% of the orders which resulted in a bonus of 15%.

Table 16: Distribution of bonus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency on order</th>
<th>Add-on</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-2%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%-10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reason for choosing the frequency a product is ordered as the parameter is because the customer does not have any use of deliveries with just some of the ordered goods, why the most important is not how much that cannot be delivered but how many orders
that are affected. This is also the reason to why the total share of the sales has not been used as the parameter. The procedure was taken to decrease their risk of causing any shortage. In some companies the add-ons of 15 and 25% could be regarded as generous, but the orders in Hyde are very large and if for example one new customer arrives with short notice it could affect the whole production significantly. Also the product development and expected future growth contributes with uncertainties.

From the procedure presented above, there were some exceptions as follows:

Firstly, YB70 was not a MTS-product in 2011, thus safety stocks could not be given to this product using the proposed method. Therefore YB70 was given a safety stock of 1000, which is the lowest safety stock used by Hyde today.

Secondly, if the safety stock was less than 1000 before eventual add-on, it was adjusted up to 1000. This happened for YA60 and YB45.

Finally, as discussed in 3.2.5 some extraordinary peaks in demand cannot be considered when dimensioning a safety stock. In Hyde, the variability in demand is overall large and most products vary normally with several hundred percent between the months. If all these extreme values were to be neglected, the evaluation of a new safety stock would become inaccurate. The authors have chosen to instead look upon extreme forecast errors. If the largest forecast error was more than 200% larger than the second largest, that month was neglected when finding the safety stock.

Occasions with those large errors were rare, it happened once for one product during two years of time. This product was YB100, where one forecast error was 640% larger than the second largest (and 4250% larger than the third largest). None of the other products were even close to numbers of that calibre. The fact that extremely large forecast errors are not accounted for in the method is of course a deficiency, but to set a safety stock to cover for such an unplanned happening would be very expensive. The reason for this peak could for example be the arrival of an unexpected new customer.

The developed method works on a month to month basis, meaning that if the monthly period service level is 95%, the percentage of fulfilled orders is generally higher. This is because there in one month could be more than one order. For example, if five orders have arrived in one month and the company fails to deliver one of them, the whole month is recognised as a failure, even though only 20% of the orders actually failed. However in Hyde AB, most products are ordered a few times per year and rarely more than once a month, which makes this discussion applicable on just a few of the products. The risk of shortage with the developed method compared to the one used by Hyde today is further elaborated in the next part of the report.
The found safety stocks were then compared to the ones developed by Hyde AB as seen in table 17 below. To notice is that the total developed safety stock is 3.5 tonnes smaller than the current 50 tonnes. For most individual products the developed safety stocks were similar to the ones used by Hyde. Only the bestseller XB100 got much lower (5.4 tonnes), which could also be seen as causing the main reduction from the level today.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Add-on</th>
<th>Developed safety stock</th>
<th>Safety stock Hyde 2012</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XA100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XB100</td>
<td>1,25</td>
<td>14,6</td>
<td>20,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XC100</td>
<td>1,25</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>7,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA100</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>2,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>2,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB45</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB50</td>
<td>1,25</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>5,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB55</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>2,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB60</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>1,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB65</td>
<td>1,25</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB70</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZA100</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>5,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>46,5</td>
<td>50,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 17: Developed safety stocks compared with the ones set by Hyde**

**Risk of Shortages**

This part looks at the risk for shortage with the supposed inventory level set by Hyde, as well as the risk with an inventory level having the developed safety stock. As discussed before, good customer relationships are very important to Hyde and to accomplish this, timely deliveries are extremely important and the aim is an order fill rate of 100%. In reality, there have not been any shortage occasions at Hyde during 2011 or 2012 thanks to the high inventory levels. To decrease those inventory levels is a trade-off against the risk of shortage.

The number of shortage occasions was found by comparing the total stock (18) in the beginning of a month with the shipped value in the same month. (In reality, the forecasts are not fully produced in the beginning of the month, but is partly also
produced during the month.) If the shipped value exceeded the total stock it was considered to be a shortage occasion.

\[ \text{Total stock} < \text{Shipped} \rightarrow \text{Shortage} \quad (18) \]

Table 18 below shows the number of months with shortage that would have occurred in Hyde during 2012 if the only available inventory was the supposed total stock (11). The second column in the table is the average inventory in the end of the month (19) and the last column is the shortage size. Shortage size is the difference between total stock and the shipped value expressed in tonnes. It is important to know this since a small shortage is easier to overcome through changes in production planning.

\[ \text{Average stock end of month} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{12} (\text{Total stock}_k - \text{Shipped}_k)}{12} \quad (19) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Number of months with shortage</th>
<th>Average stock end of month</th>
<th>Shortage size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XA100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XB100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XC100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZA100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>59.9</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What could be seen with the supposed inventory levels is that there would have been two shortage occasions during 2012. If those occasions were realised it could result in substantial loss of profit. This result should be considered an indication of potential risks with reduction of the inventory levels to the supposed inventory level. The shortage occasion where there is just 100 kg too little should be easy to avoid.
As the measure is in months with shortage, it could be interesting to see how many orders were affected in those months. In January, when the shortage occasion for XA100 happens there is just one order; hence it is just one order that cannot be fully delivered. When there is a shortage for YB60 there are two orders which both are larger than 800 kg. This means that one could be fully delivered, while the other one lacked 800 kg. Thus, in total two orders would have been affected during 2012. This gives an order fill rate of 98.6%, which was found through the calculations in formula 20.

\[
\frac{\text{#orders} - \text{#orders with shortages}}{\text{#orders}} = \frac{144 - 2}{144} = 98.6\% \quad (20)
\]

For XB100 and XC100, the average inventory level in the end of the month is very high. As discussed earlier, the forecast for XC100 has a positive bias, which is a probable cause to its high inventory level. For XB100 on the other hand, the reason is probably the large safety stock Hyde AB has used, and in average 15.8 tonnes are left in the finished goods inventory in the end of every month. The forecast for XB100 was too small in 10 months 2012, but the safety stock was still sufficient.
Table 19 shows what the same result would look like with the safety stock developed in the prior section.

**Table 19: Number of shortage occasions and the average stock in the end of the month with the developed safety stock**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product variant</th>
<th>Number of shortages</th>
<th>Average stock end of month</th>
<th>Shortage size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XA100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XB100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XC100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>0,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZA100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td><strong>50,1</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the developed safety stock there would have been only one shortage occasion 2012, and the average inventory level in the end of the month would go from 59,9 tonnes to 50,1 tonnes. In the month where the shortage occurred there were two orders which both had a size larger than 400 kg, meaning that only one order would have been affected. The order fill rate was found through the same calculations as above (18) and gave the result 99,3%.

Previously in 5.1.2, the actual average inventory level during 2012 was compared to the supposed average inventory level. If the developed safety stock would have been used instead in those calculations, the cost saving from today would be 905 kSEK in comparison to the 871 kSEK. The difference of 34 kSEK (905-871) is very small in the context and there is not really any reason to use the developed safety stock instead of the one Hyde uses today. The safety stock inventory levels are quite similar in size and in number of shortage occasions. The developed one is slightly better in both cases, and could give some inspiration for future safety stock dimensioning in Hyde.
5.2. POSTPONEMENT
This section analyses how a postponement strategy would affect the tied up capital in Hyde AB. The savings from postponement discussed here are the lower value of the semi-finished goods and the delaying of product differentiation so that risk-pooling is enabled. In the analysis the most suitable point of differentiation was firstly found for every product. From these locations, the feasibility and profitability was investigated by comparing the potential savings to the amount of extra production capacity needed. To achieve a feasible solution, the production lead time had to be shorter than the customers expected delivery time. Hyde shows many of the characteristics that make postponement beneficial, as discussed in 3.5.2. The demand shows a lot of variability and all products originate from the same SFG.

5.2.1. INTRODUCTION
In the finished goods inventory the lead time to customer is the shortest since all products are already manufactured. If the correct inventory is stored a high service level could be reached. To do this in a good way, very accurate forecasting is usually necessary. Otherwise a very extensive safety stock might be needed in order to handle the uncertainty of demand.

A way to solve this dilemma is to use a postponement strategy, where the benefits of risk-pooling are taken advantage of. The production is then planned according to forecasts until an intermediate storage at the point of differentiation, where the SFG wait until a customer order arrives. If a postponement strategy would be used, a greater flexibility in production capacity might be needed to match with fluctuating demand.

To analyse the postponement possibilities at Hyde AB, a simulation model of the production was developed. The purpose was to understand how well the production would be able to handle unplanned incoming orders. This is of importance when finding the average and maximum production lead time for the investigated scenarios. There is a trade-off between the production lead time and capacity; a greater capacity increases the cost, but gives a higher throughput rate and better possibilities to decrease the lead time to customer.

5.2.2. POSSIBLE INVENTORY LOCATIONS
In 3.5.1 different MTO and MTS alternatives were discussed. Figure 11 and 12 show how a scenario could look like before and after postponement. In figure 11, the order penetration point is in the “customised product inventory”, and the differentiation point in the “generic component inventory”. Here the customer order arrives when the product is already finished and there is no possibility of risk-pooling. In figure 12, a
postponed scenario is shown. Here the OPP and DP are at the same location, and products with the same generic SFG could be risk-pooled with each other.

![Figure 11: The current situation](image1.png) ![Figure 12: The postponed situation](image2.png)

There are, as mentioned in 4.2.2, three points of differentiation at Hyde AB; before shaping, before oven and before mixing. Those are the postponement options and together with the finished goods inventory the possible locations to place inventory. After each differentiation point there is an increase in the number of product groups. In figure 13, the different storage options are shown as well as the number of product groups in each step. The earlier in the production process the inventory is held, the more products share the generic SFG and the greater is the risk-pooling. The aim with an implementation of postponement would be to move the OPP backwards. Thus, to go from figure 11 which is the current situation to something more similar figure 12.

![Figure 11: Possible storage options](image3.png)

**Figure 11: Possible storage options**

---

10 For example product group X becomes product groups XA, XB and XC.
5.2.3. **The Simulation Model**

The simulation model was developed with the purpose of finding the average and maximum production lead time in different postponement scenarios when alternating the capacity. The needed production capacity is vital as it directly affects the achieved savings from postponement. Four plausible scenarios could be indentified and simulated from studying possible risk-pooling opportunities at the points of differentiation. How these four scenarios were found is shown further on in 5.2.5.-5.2.7.

To get a better understanding of the simulation model, it is described briefly further and depicted in appendix 4. First the most important assumptions are clarified. These were necessary in order to make the construction of the simulation model possible.

**General Assumptions**

If a customer order included products that could go together in a specific machine they were combined into a so-called matching group and produced together.

Each customer order was handed separately in the production process. It means that no customer orders were combined into the same matching group, even though it may be the case in reality. This made the simulated times slightly longer than they would be in reality. One customer order could become one or more production orders depending on the product.

With the tested scenarios the planning of the production would be somewhat different than today. At the moment most volume is made-to-stock, and with the proposed scenarios all or a great share would be made-to-order. To create a future situation with both stochastically arriving customer orders (MTO) and MTS production orders being produced at the same time, the priority function in ExtendSim was used. The customer order history was generated into the model with a priority set on postponed MTO products. This made prioritized products to go first into the system acting as a newly arrived customer orders, while the SFG already in production caused delays to prioritized orders, as in reality. In explicit, prioritised product groups were always produced first but if there already were SFG in the machine the prioritised had to wait until it was finished. The priorities depended on the scenario modelled.

The simulation model assumed that there were no shortages of material from supporting machines not being part of the model. To achieve this supporting level in reality, additional safety stock might have to be hold.

**Mixing Machine**

In the mixing machine the matching groups were split into an integer number of load carriers.
The mixing times were set specifically for each product group, XA, XB, YA etcetera. Different product groups got different mixing times depending on their share of extra material added since that is the driver of time. For example, YB has many large mixes which takes longer time to produce. Because of that, its time in the mixing machine per load carrier was longer than for the other product groups. To always produce filled load carriers leads to some overproduction, in reality the production manager would have to take this surplus into account when doing the detailed production planning.

Today, eight load carriers are usually filled in the mixing machine every time. In the simulation model the machine was set to be more flexible and was loaded with one load carrier at a time.

The time to walk to the mixing machine, change equipment and fill it was set to 30 minutes per load carrier.

**Oven**
In the oven, the matching groups were split in the same way as in the mixing machine: an integer number of load carriers were formed by dividing the matching group size by the possible weight in a load carrier and rounding up. Since the batches were rounded up some overproduction in the oven would result, in the same way as in the mixing machine. In the long run, it would lead to slightly shorter production times than in the simulation due to excessively produced inventory. If there are enough finished products, nothing new would need to be produced. Since the quality of the end product cannot be guaranteed if the load carriers are not filled, there is no option of not overproducing.

When the number of ovens in reality is increased, it is likely that single ovens will be replaced by double ovens to save space. In the simulation though, the incremental adding of ovens was represented by single ovens. This means that the actual times in the ovens would be slightly longer than the simulated times.

The last two simplifications have the opposite effect and aggregated they reduce the error and give more accurate simulation times.

**Shaping**
Due to the complexity of the shaping procedure it was not possible to model this part in the same way as the other two steps, oven and mixing. Because of that the time in the oven and mixing has been examined followed by an estimation of the extra time for the shaping machine. This gave a useful approximation.
**Description of the Model**
The simulation model can be found in appendix 4. To describe it briefly, all customer orders during 2011 and 2012 were generated into the system. The model then splits the customer orders into order lines and puts products with the same production characteristics together in a matching group. These matching groups go firstly through the oven and then pass by to the mixing machine. In both the oven and the mixing machine the production orders are split into multiples of 625 kg to illustrate the SFG in one load carrier. Depending on the scenario, the recorded time is either the completion time of a matching group (scenario 1, 2, 3 described further) or completion time of an order (scenario 4). The two different time measurements depend on if all products are postponed or just a few.

**5.2.4. Correlation Coefficients**
When the correlation in demand between two products goes positive the benefit of risk-pooling diminishes, and opposite. Two products with a high correlation will most often be bought together; causing peaks and dips in demand to coincide, which in turn reduces the risk-pooling. Because of that it was of interest to examine the correlation between products that would be postponed together.

When doing this investigation, the customer order correlation had to be used instead of the demand correlation. Customer order correlation looks at the correlation between products on individual customer orders, instead of the total demand. This approximation was necessary due to the large order sizes arriving within certain intervals. Misleading correlation would otherwise appear between products if for example two very large orders happen to coincide in the same month. Those orders are not correlated with each other even though they arrive at the same time. When the products are used by a customer however, there are certain combinations of products that are sold more often. The dependency among these combinations appears if the customer order correlation is used.

In table 20 the correlation coefficients exceeding 0.5 are presented. A complete table of the correlation between all variants can be found in appendix 5. Correlation was included in all future calculations in order to increase the accuracy of the results.
Table 20: Correlation coefficient based on demand variability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product variants</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YA70</td>
<td>YA55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA60</td>
<td>YA100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB50</td>
<td>XB100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB50</td>
<td>YB65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Between most variants the correlation coefficient is close to zero. An interesting observation though, is that high correlation is shown within the YA group. Between the two MTS-products, YA100 and YA60, the correlation is 0.6 and between YA70 and YA55 as large as 0.9. Due to the high correlations, the gain of postponing the order penetration point for only YA becomes smaller. The products in the YA group are standing for a very small share of the total demand (2.2%) and the effort to postpone this group is probably not profitable anyway.

YB50 and XB100 are the two variants that sell the most and the correlation of 0.6 would negatively affect the profitability if these products are postponed together. The only point of differentiation they have in common though is before shaping.

During 2012 YB50 and YB65 were the two YB-products with the largest sales, and their correlation of 0.5 can have impact on the gains from risk-pooling.

5.2.5. BEFORE MIXING
The points of differentiation are now examined more thoroughly, and the analysis starts with the location before mixing. There is no intermediate storage before mixing today except from load carriers waiting for the machine. The order penetration point and finished goods inventory is today positioned just after this differentiation point.

Grouping of Products
This section shows the reader possible risk-pooling opportunities between different products just before mixing.

The mixing is the last point of differentiation and, as seen in figure 13, the six product groups before mixing becomes 23 finished products afterwards. When comparing charts 16 and 17 it is possible to see that there are great opportunities to risk-pool product group YB. The seven products (chart 17) are one group (chart 16) before mixing and
there they represent 31% of the total demand. This could be an indication of possible gains if the differentiation for YB is postponed to before mixing.

The other product groups do not show any significant gains from postponement to before mixing. For two of the X-groups (XB, XC) the mixes are all MTO products and negligible in size compared to the pure ones. Therefore the possible risk-pooling is very limited. YA has two variants of similar (small) size and as described in section 5.2.4 the correlation between them is considerable. XA and ZA do not have any mixes. In chart 17 the labelling was excluded for MTO products to facilitate the reading.

![Chart 16: The share of each product group before the mixing/packaging step](image)

**Chart 16:** The share of each product group before the mixing/packaging step
According to the discussions above, the preferable option is to postpone group YB only, since the other products would not contribute to any significant risk-pooling in this step. Therefore postponing YB is the only scenario that is developed for this storage option. To postpone too many products would result in unnecessarily high production requirements.

**Safety Stock Levels**

In this part the risk-pooling opportunities for product group YB is further specified. First the possibilities for decreased demand variability are shown, followed by a presentation of the new safety stock with corresponding savings from reduced tied up capital.

In table 21, the standard deviation of demand during 2012 is shown for all YB products. To calculate the risk-pooling, the aggregation formula in 3.5.2 has been used. The formula uses the standard deviation to allocate the risk and find the aggregated uncertainty. The correlation is also included. By doing this, it is found that the aggregated standard deviation decreases with about 59 % if the YB products are postponed to before mixing. The safety stock is a measure of the demand uncertainty and as the risk is decreased 59 %, the new safety stock in postponement is assumed to also decrease with 59 %.
In table 23 the enabled savings are shown, as a function of the new safety stock and the one used today. This value is calculated in formula 21 and the inventory carrying charge is also her set to be the internal rate of return.

\[(SS_t \times v_{FGI} - SS_n \times v_m) \times i = \text{reduced cost of tied up capital}\]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

Table 21: Standard deviations for all YB products and the potential reduction if postponed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Standard deviation, 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YB100</td>
<td>1704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB45</td>
<td>699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB50</td>
<td>2219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB55</td>
<td>643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB60</td>
<td>1003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB65</td>
<td>1314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB70</td>
<td>764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>8345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postponement</td>
<td>3411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced variability</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 22: List of symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SS_n</td>
<td>safety stock new</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS_t</td>
<td>safety stock today</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>internal rate of return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v_m</td>
<td>value before mixing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v_{FGI}</td>
<td>value in the finished goods inventory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The possible savings from risk-pooling would be about 98 kSEK per year. The risk of shortage with the new safety stock was analysed in the same way as in 5.1.2. No shortage occasions appeared when using that method.

The value of the SFG at different points in the production was set to be dependent on the value adding time. A thorough analysis of the value adding time was outside the scope of this project, but the value of the finished products and the raw material per kg was already known in the company. The approximated value of the SFG in different storage locations was then dependent on the lead time from the previous location. The SFG were assumed to have 60% of its final value before shaping, 70% before the oven and 90% before the mixing.

**Cycle Stock**

A postponement solution does not only influence the safety stock but also the cycle stock. If an intermediate storage is to be built earlier in the production process, the value of the cycle stock held there is lower. This does affect the savings from a postponement solution positively. As mentioned previously in Safety Stock Levels, the semi-finished goods before mixing are said to have 90% of the total value of the finished products. The average cycle stock is calculated from formula 12 minus the safety stock. Thus, the semi-finished goods are assumed to be produced according to forecasts as today, but without the overproduction of today.

The reduced cost of tied up capital due to lowered value is 17 kSEK per year and is found through the calculation in (22). The average cycle stock per month during 2012 is multiplied by the internal rate of return and the difference in value between the FGI and the step where the product is stored.

### Table 23: The potential cost reduction with postponement

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety stock, today</td>
<td>13000 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety stock, new</td>
<td>5330 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced cost of tied-up capital</td>
<td>98 kSEK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The possible savings from risk-pooling would be about 98 kSEK per year. The risk of shortage with the new safety stock was analysed in the same way as in 5.1.2. No shortage occasions appeared when using that method.
\[(SI - SS_t) \times i \times (v_{FGI} - v_m)\] (22)

Table 24: List of symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>supposed average inventory level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS_t</td>
<td>safety stock today</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>internal rate of return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v_m</td>
<td>value before mixing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v_{FGI}</td>
<td>value in the finished goods inventory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total reduced cost for both safety stock and cycle stock is thereby 115 kSEK per year (98+17).

**Production Concerns**

The lead time from “before mixing” to the finished goods inventory could be fairly short but is dependent on the batch size and product group. For the interested reader, table 6 in section 4.2.2 presents the production rate for each product. These rates have been used to find the product group specific times in the mixing machine. In the simulation, YB was given first priority to illustrate the arrival of a customer order.

In chart 18, it is possible to see the maximum and average lead time for an order of YB-products to pass through the mixing step. A conclusion that can be drawn from studying the chart is the decreasing marginal benefit of additional mixing machines. To invest in one mixing machine decreases the maximum time from 4,7 days to 2,4 and the average lead time from 1,3 to 0,7, which is a halving of the production time. If yet another mixing machine is purchased the marginal benefit decreases significantly as the maximal time goes from 2,4 to 1,7 and the average time from 0,7 to 0,5. This is a time reduction of about 30 %. To have four mixing machines is not very plausible in reality, and if the times are compared, there is no significant decrease in the average time at all from having three machines. These times are also shown in table 25 below.
The usual delivery time the customer can expect is shipment of the order from the production facility after one week. Within this time one to two days are needed to pack the order. When considering one mixing machine, a maximum value of $4.7 + 2 = 6.7$ days should be acceptable. The average time is 3.3 days when preparation is included.

**Potential Cost Savings**
The cost of an additional mixing machine must be compared to the savings from reducing the tied up capital. To do this the method of net present value was used (10).
This calculation is dependent on the payback time period, internal rate of return and the price of new machines. These are confidential numbers to Hyde AB, but to give a feeling of the result the following example have been developed with fictive numbers.

Pay-back time: 7 years  
Internal rate of return: 7%  
Potential savings per year from reduced tied up capital: 115 000 SEK  
Initial cost: Machine cost  
Number of additional mixing machines: 1

\[
NPV = -IC + \sum_{y=1}^{n} \frac{(1 + i)^{y} - 1}{i(1 + i)^{y}} * R \quad (10)
\]

\[
0 = -IC + \sum_{y=1}^{7} \frac{(1 + 0,07)^{y} - 1}{0,07(1 + 0,07)^{y}} * 115\,000
\]

\[
IC = 620\,000\,SEK
\]

*Table 26: List of symbols*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>Payback time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>Internal rate of return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Net cash flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>Initial cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>Year 1, 2,...,n</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From this expression, IC could be found as 620 kSEK, which means that this is the maximum amount of money Hyde could invest in a new mixing machine. If the whole amount is used the investment is indifferent to the profitability.

**5.2.6. BEFORE OVEN**

The second option investigated was to postpone to the location before the oven. Here the differentiation occurs in the oven, and there is no intermediate storage at this location today except from load carriers waiting for an idle oven.
**Grouping of Products**
Before the oven there are three different product groups: X, Y, and Z. As mentioned earlier, Z remains the same throughout the process, hence there is no possibility to risk-pool in the location before the oven. Charts 19 and 20 show the share of the volume for every product group “before oven” and “before mixing”. When those two charts are compared it is possible to draw some conclusions.

**Chart 19:** The share of each product group before the mixing/packaging step

**Chart 20:** The share of each product group before the oven
Firstly, there is a possibility for risk-pooling of X-products. There are three X-variants before the oven representing 42%, 13%, and 1% of the total sold volume. Even though, as discussed in section 3.5.2, postponement is more beneficial when products show similar demand characteristics, it could be interesting to identify the possible gains in this case.

For Y-products on the other hand, the risk-pooling is not as large. YB represents 31% of the volume before mixing and, compared to that, YA is small with 2% of the total volume. An inclusion of Y would result in small gains and a lot of extra production capacity, since 33% of the products would be postponed.

The further investigation of the oven does only cover the postponement of X. Two different scenarios were investigated, since it was also found in the prior part (5.2.5) that postponing YB to before the mixing was beneficial. These two scenarios are; if just X is postponed, and secondly if X is postponed before the oven and YB before mixing.

**Safety Stock Levels**
The main gains from risk-pooling to before oven would be the postponement of product group X. In this case, only the pure products had to be considered. All the mixes are negligible; the demand is limited to up to a few hundred kilos per year. As shown in table 27, the demand variability could be reduced by 37% when X is postponed to before oven.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XA100</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XB100</td>
<td>5368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XC100</td>
<td>3366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>9185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postponement</td>
<td>5748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced variability</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 27: Standard deviation for X products and the potential reduction if postponed*
The new safety stock is given in table 28 below. These values are calculated in the same way as explained in before mixing (5.2.5). What could be seen is that the saving in percent (37%) is smaller than for postponing YB to before mixing, but that the reduced cost of tied up capital is larger (189 kSEK). This is because the safety stock for XB100 is very large today and that the SFG value is considerably lower before oven than before mixing. The risk of shortage with the new safety stock was analysed in the same way as in 5.1.2. No shortage occasions appeared when using that method.

Table 28: Possible cost reduction when postponing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Safety stock, today</th>
<th>28000 kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety stock, new</td>
<td>17522 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced cost of tied-up capital</td>
<td>189 kSEK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cycle Stock**
The lower value of the cycle stock would reduce the cost of tied up capital in the same way as in the scenario before mixing. Formula 22 has been used to do the calculations, with the difference that the value of the SFG before the oven is used instead of mixing

The reduced cost due to lowered value of the cycle stock is 40 kSEK. In total, the annual reduction of cost for tied up capital from safety stock plus cycle stock would be 229 kSEK (189+40).

If both X and YB (before mixing) is postponed, the savings would be 229 kSEK plus the 115 kSEK from YB. The total savings are then 344 kSEK.

**Production Concerns**
The ovens are currently the bottleneck in the production, with a production rate of 76 kg/hour and a value-adding time of normally 41 or 42 hours. This scenario resulted naturally in both a longer lead time and a greater production uncertainty than the option “before mixing”, since in this scenario the SFG pass through both the oven and the mixing. In contrary to the mixing machine, one batch in the oven can only create one outcome. It is necessary to produce in full batches of 625 kg, which cause over-production.

**Postpone X to Before Oven**
The oven and mixing machine was simulated together to give a hint of how much the production capacity would need to increase in order to finish the production orders
within the delivery time. Table 29 shows the average and maximum lead time for product group X, from before the oven until the finished goods inventory.

Table 29: The average and maximum lead times for product group X from the oven to the finished goods inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ovens</th>
<th>Mixing Machines</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>11,9</td>
<td>11,1</td>
<td>11,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>8,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>3,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>3,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td>8,6</td>
<td>7,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>3,2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this simulation only the results for product group X were studied, the other groups were assumed to be produced to stock and the lead times of those were therefore of less interest. The simulation showed that it is not possible to have just one mixing machine as the production lead time reaches a maximum of between 10,2 and 11,9 days depending on the amount of ovens. Even though these values are only attained a few times every year, it could be troublesome for a customer focused company as Hyde.

To buy a new mixing machine shows good marginal benefits, as seen in chart 21 below. At the same time, the benefit from three mixing machines was limited. This example is of having six ovens, but the same pattern is also shown for other combinations. With the production volumes of today, the optimal number of mixing machines would therefore be two.
When it was decided that two mixing machines were the optimal, the most suitable number of ovens had to be found. The marginal benefit between five and six ovens is clearly noticeable in chart 22 below, but the numbers did not change significantly when more ovens were added. When changing from six to eight ovens, the maximum time changed from 9.3 days to 8.6 days. This indicated that the main cause of the long delivery times is not the number of ovens, but the long production time in the ovens.

A problem is that the maximum times should not exceed one week including preparation time of 1-2 days. It does not seem like a plausible solution to postpone product group X to the location before oven. If Hyde changes the delivery time to a few days longer, the proposed solution is six ovens and two mixing machines.
Table 30: Max and average lead times for X products if YB is postponed as well

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 mixing machine</th>
<th>2 mixing machines</th>
<th>3 mixing machines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 ovens</td>
<td>Max 11,9</td>
<td>11,1</td>
<td>11,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average 5,3</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 ovens</td>
<td>Max 11,5</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>8,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average 4,7</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>3,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 ovens</td>
<td>Max 11,0</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average 4,7</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>3,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 ovens</td>
<td>Max 10,3</td>
<td>8,6</td>
<td>7,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average 4,6</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>3,2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In chart 23 the marginal benefit from increasing the number of mixing machines is shown, and in chart 24 the reduction in lead time when increasing the number of ovens is depicted. Just as in the scenarios above the marginal benefit from a second mixing machine is great but a third one does not reduce the lead times to any greater extent. This scenario has the same lead time problems as discussed above for postponement of only X. If postponement is made possible through longer delivery times, the recommendation is two mixing machines and six ovens for this scenario as well.

**Chart 23: Marginal benefit of additional mixing machines, with six ovens**

**Chart 24: Marginal benefit of additional ovens, with two mixing machines**
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5.2.7. **Before Shaping**
In the scenario before shaping, all uncertainty is aggregated in a storage before shaping where there is just one product group of generic SFG. Today there is a small buffer at this location, which would have to be expanded if postponement to this point is enabled.

**Grouping of Products**
As seen in chart 25 below, X and Y represent 89% of the total demand while Z is considerably smaller. If the decision is to postpone to “before shaping” all uncertainty for X and Y (and Z) is combined, resulting in significant risk-pooling. It is somewhat limited though, due to the correlation between YB50 and XB100, as they represent 56% of the total sales.

![Chart 25: The share of each product group before the oven](chart25.png)

**Safety Stock Levels**
In table 31, the reduced variability from aggregation of all standard deviations is shown. Due to the correlation between XB100 and YB50, it goes from 65% to 60%. The new safety stock level and the savings are presented in table 32. The safety stock of today would be reduced from 50 tonnes to 20 tonnes, and give a reduced cost of tied up capital with about 456 kSEK. This scenario has the greatest possible savings, but is the hardest to implement as discussed further in the part Production Concerns. The risk of shortage with the new safety stock was analysed in the same way as in 5.1.2. No shortage occasions appeared when using that method.
Before the shaping, the SFG is said to have 60% of its final value. This step enhances the greatest reduction in tied up capital for the cycle stock. With the same calculation as in formula 22, the additional reduced cost for tied up capital due to the lower value of the cycle stock is 157 kSEK. This results in a total cost reduction of 613 kSEK (157+456).

**Production Concerns**

Shaping is the first point of differentiation, thus to produce from here would incur the longest lead times. This is because the products have to pass through every production step in part B. In the other storage options some modifications can be done to only include the most beneficial products. However, there is just one generic SFG before mixing and the strength of this scenario is the inclusion of all products. This increases the requirements on the production process. Another interesting aspect is that the production time in part A is fairly short, which does not really encourage a storage before shaping, but preferably before part A if a solution where all products would be risk-pooled together was to be implemented. This is because the SFG has even less value in the beginning of the process.

The safety stock volume would be 20 tonnes if all products were stored before shaping. Today there is already a production buffer at this point with a maximum capacity of 10,75 tonnes. The storage would need to be increased with 186% to only meet the new

---

**Cycle Stock**

Table 31: Standard deviation for all products and the potential reduction if postponed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sum of standard deviations</th>
<th>23139</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sum of aggregated standard deviations</td>
<td>9281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced variability</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 32: Possible cost reduction when postponing

| Safety stock, today         | 50000 kg |
| Safety stock, new           | 20054 kg |
| Reduced cost of tied-up capital | 456 kSEK |
requirements of safety stock at this point. In addition to this, the forecasted demand must be produced and stored in wait for orders.

Table 33 shows the average and maximum time for an order to pass through the production process, without the inclusion of the shaping machine. By investigating the lead time to produce all products from oven to the finished goods inventory, it is possible to discard this option. Even with large investments (to a point where there are eight ovens, compared with today’s five and three mixing machines), the maximum production lead time would not fall below 9.6 days which is too much to guarantee timely deliveries. The lowest average is 4.1 days, which is also too high as neither the maximum nor the average include one to two days preparation for shipping or the time in the shaping and granulation. The shaping would take at least an additional 12 hours, and more during routine maintenance work. Today when all machines are maintained at the same time there is a three days delay every 300 hours. That could be improved with some re-scheduling, but probably not enough to save this option.

Table 33: Max and average order completion time for all products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 mixing machine</th>
<th>2 mixing machines</th>
<th>3 mixing machines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 ovens Max</td>
<td>26,5</td>
<td>25,8</td>
<td>25,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td>10,0</td>
<td>9,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 ovens Max</td>
<td>17,3</td>
<td>15,5</td>
<td>15,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 ovens Max</td>
<td>14,2</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>11,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>4,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 ovens Max</td>
<td>13,8</td>
<td>9,9</td>
<td>9,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>4,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Just as in the prior scenarios, there is a very limited marginal benefit to have three mixing machines compared to two. The trend is possible to see in chart 26. Chart 27 is a depiction of the marginal benefit from additional ovens when there are two mixing machines. The benefit diminishes quickly and is very small after the addition of a seventh oven.
Chart 26: Marginal benefit of additional mixing machines, with six ovens

Chart 27: Marginal benefit of additional ovens, with two mixing machines
5.2.8. **Hybrid Structure**

One possible option is to postpone only the uncertain demand. This could mean that the average demand subtracted by for example one standard deviation is put in the FGI. This would ease the pressure on the production when an order arrives and smoothen out the needed capacity. This is not considered a safety stock, but as a part of the forecasted demand. To store some demand in the FGI would slightly increase the tied up capital, as the value of the products is greater than for the SFG.

In table 34 below it could be seen that three very popular variants would have their safe demand in the finished goods inventory with this method. XB100 would have the most with eight tonnes.

*Table 34: Possible hybrid solution*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Average demand 2011</th>
<th>One standard deviation</th>
<th>To store in FGI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XA100</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XB100</td>
<td>14001</td>
<td>5784</td>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XC100</td>
<td>6052</td>
<td>3921</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA100</td>
<td>2173</td>
<td>2518</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA60</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA100</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>1836</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB45</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB50</td>
<td>3713</td>
<td>1858</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB55</td>
<td>1682</td>
<td>1489</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB60</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB65</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB70</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZA100</td>
<td>3721</td>
<td>3365</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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6. Conclusion

This chapter summarises the findings in the analysis and discuss how those answer to the purpose and research question asked in the introduction. The first part in the analysis was a thorough review of the inventory levels. This was followed by an equally thorough investigation of the possibilities and implications of implementing a postponement strategy. This chapter presents the conclusions in this order and eventually shows the recommendations.

6.1. Inventory Levels
This part first shows the main findings from the investigation of the inventory levels, followed by possible reasons to the situation today and potential savings if it is changed. Important to notice though is that this analysis was not only important on its own, but also for the understanding of the savings from postponement. If the inventories are wrongly dimensioned, the generated potential savings from postponement could appear wrong.

6.1.1. Main Finding
In the Inventory Management part (5.1) of the analysis the main finding was a confirmation of the perceived high inventory levels in the finished goods inventory. The total inventory level was close to twice as large as the supposed level set by Hyde themselves (safety stock + forecast), resulting in excessive tied up capital. For YB55 the inventory level was about 3.75 times larger than supposed. Important to notice is that the forecasts were fairly accurate and that the safety stock levels were good for most products. A review was also carried out to look upon if the supposed inventory levels would have been sufficient to avoid stock outs in 2012. It showed two stock-out occasions during the year, where, if the supposed levels were followed, there could have been delivery problems.

6.1.2. Possible Reasons
The authors have limited knowledge and experience about the company but have identified two possible reasons to this situation. First and foremost, today the production is quite inflexible; the mixing machine is always fed with eight load carriers (5000 kg). This is done even though the setup-time is limited compared to the total production time. According to the economy of scale (3.3.1) it is favourable to produce in large batches to enable allocation of the setup-time between many pieces (thus
reduce the cost per piece). If the setup-time is short, the powerfulness of economies of scale is limited. Instead the benefits from flexible production could be stronger. Ritzman and King (3.2.5) found that producing in correct batch sizes has larger influence on reaching the correct inventory levels than both low forecast bias and good forecast accuracy.

Another reason to the high inventory levels is that the production produces as much as possible at all times, which results in more finished products than demanded. The background to this is planned partial standstills due to a capacity expansion the upcoming years. To cater for the demand during that period, the inventories have been pushed up. The exceeding inventory levels (the inventory that is not forecasted, excess from last month or safety stock) are enough to cater for the total demand during almost four months, which appear to be more than necessary for this expansion. However, the expansion project cannot fully explain the high inventory levels during the beginning of 2011, which most products show.

6.1.3. POTENTIAL SAVINGS
The potential savings were found to be 871 kSEK per year if the actual inventory levels followed the supposed levels. These levels would have resulted in two delayed orders 2012, which could have been very expensive, especially if the reputation is impaired or the customer changes to a competitor. One of these orders had a shortage of only 100 kg, and could probably be fulfilled with flexible planning. The other shortage would have been about 800 kg and could have caused greater problems, since the amount equals two load carriers.

In the project, a redistributed safety stock that is slightly smaller than the current was developed from a method considering especially the maximum forecast error and the bias. With this method, data from 2011 was used to create a new safety stock for 2012, which was then tested and gave one shortage occasion. The potential annual savings with the new safety stock compared to the one developed by Hyde is 34 kSEK per year. Since the additional saving is rather small and some extra work would be required, there is no reason for Hyde to change their safety stock dimensioning method from the one used today. Never the less, the method can instead give some inspiration to the future creation of safety stocks in Hyde.
6.2. POSTPONEMENT
In this section the question raised in the beginning of the introduction is answered.

Is it possible to store semi-finished products in an intermediate step in the production and by postponing the product differentiation decrease the costs of tied up capital? How would it affect production lead time, capacity and the total cost?

6.2.1. MAIN FINDINGS
Four postponement scenarios, in three different locations, were simulated by placing all or a few product groups in an intermediate storage in wait for customer orders to arrive. The main requirement was that the maximum production time could not exceed the delivery time to customer of seven days. Only one of the scenarios, to place product group YB before the mixing, was feasible since the other resulted in very long production times, even with extensive investments. Even though it was possible to put YB before mixing, the potential saving would most likely not bear the investment expenses of another mixing machine, as might be necessary. The production today is running on its maximum, and if Hyde needs to buy a new machine anyway the cost and risk of postponement could be reduced significantly. The answer to the question if postponement is possible is therefore: A doubtful yes.

6.2.2. BEFORE MIXING
As discussed above, the scenario “before mixing” was feasible. The production time had a maximum of 4.7 days and an average of 1.3 days with one mixing machine (plus 1-2 days for customer order preparation). The simulation indicated that only one mixing machine would work out when neglecting the risk of machine break down. The only costs that would occur then are the ones to organise storage before the mixing step and to rearrange the production planning. However, to just have one mixing machine can be considered risky in case of a breakdown, since it then would be impossible to satisfy all arriving customer orders. If the customer order preparation time of two days is added to the maximum time it becomes 6.7 days, which is very close to the maximum delivery time of seven days. Worth to notice is the marginal time benefit of having two mixing machines, since the total lead time then could be reduced by half to a maximum of 2.4 and an average of 0.7 days. This increased margin would reduce the time risk with postponement significantly.

The amount of money that could be used to invest in new equipment has been found through the net present value method. All savings mentioned for postponement are based on the supposed inventory levels set by Hyde for 2012. The exact values are not presented in this report since the numbers are confidential. What could be seen though is that the cost savings from postponing YB to before mixing is around 115 kSEK per
year. In the example on page 80, the internal rate of return is 7% and the pay-back time seven years. With these numbers, 620 kSEK (lower with true values) could maximally be spent on buying a new mixing machine. This money would probably not be enough to cover the purchase of another machine. The production is today in the middle of an expansion project and if one more machine is to be bought anyway, the solution of postponing YB to before mixing could become profitable with a lower risk.

The “before mixing” scenario is also supported by Swaminathan & Lee (section 3.5.7). They found that late postponement is the most beneficial option if the production lead time for the middle step is much longer than the lead times for the steps before and after. In this case the oven takes much longer time than both the mixing and the shaping. This could be seen as an indicator of where to put the storage even though the demand does not fulfill the requirements of for example normal distribution.

A benefit of the “before mixing” scenario compared to the others is also the lower risk. Earlier in this section the risk of having only one mixing machine was mentioned, but in comparison with the other scenarios the total risk is smaller. Reduced risk originates mainly from two factors. Firstly, the mixing is the last part of the production, thus the risk of standstill comprises only one step. If one of the other scenarios would be chosen, the risks are found in all the remaining production steps. Secondly, the production is more flexible in the mixing than the other steps. If necessary, the amount produced can be exactly what is needed while in the oven it has to be batches of 625 kg.

To reduce the requirements on the production even more, it is advisable to make use of the hybrid solution discussed in the end of the analysis and produce a part of the demand straight to FGI.

6.2.3 BEFORE OVEN
For the before oven solution two different scenarios were investigated; one where only product group X was postponed and one where X was postponed to before the oven and YB to before mixing. The scenarios showed almost identical characteristics regarding production lead time but naturally, if YB was postponed as well, the potential savings were greater. Hence, to only postpone X does not make any sense. The total potential saving for X and YB combined, without including the cost for increased capacity, is 344 kSEK per year.

As mentioned in 6.2.1 this scenario is not feasible. Even with eight ovens and three mixing machines the maximal production time is almost eight days. A more plausible solution with six ovens and two mixing machines would result in a maximum lead time
close to nine days and an average of four days (plus customer order preparation time of 1-2 days).

The oven is the bottleneck of the production, in explicit the step with the lowest production rate. As discussed in 3.6.1, a bottleneck has to be used in an optimal way since it is the main inducer on the total production rate. Not only is the oven the bottleneck, the production time is also long, one load carrier spends 41 hours in there. In addition, the production in the oven has to be in full load carriers why the flexibility is reduced. The low production rate, the long production time and the inflexible characteristics of the step are also reasons to not postpone to before oven.

The only possibility to establish the storage before the oven would be to increase the delivery time to customer with a few days. If eleven days could be accepted in exceptional cases this solution would all of a sudden be possible. The average time around six days is below today’s limit of seven. Those numbers are valid for six ovens and two mixing machines, and include the order preparation time.

6.2.4. BEFORE SHAPING
The first point of differentiation is the shaping. Postponement in this step would be beneficial of two reasons; the added SFG value is little and the risk-pooling is maximal since there is just one generic product at this point. The possible gains from the scenario were found to be 613 kSEK per year. Even though the savings are large, the production lead time is too long even with major investments in production capacity.

To make this scenario possible the delivery time would need to increase to more than two weeks and still two new ovens and one additional mixing machine would need to be procured.

6.3. RECOMMENDATIONS
The greatest potential savings and the lowest risk can be found if the size of the inventory levels is reduced. Thus, that would be the natural place to start. It is also necessary to have a good overview of what the inventory levels should be before postponement can be realised, as it affects the size of the new storage location.

The first step to take is to allow more flexible production in the mixing machine. This is necessary both to reduce the inventory levels and to enable postponement of YB. This would not incur any extensive costs; the production staff will have to visit the location more often but that can hopefully be managed if considered when scheduling.
An easy measure to reduce the risk of stock out is to have a more flexible production planning. Today the production planning is updated every 20th day and with the current inventory levels there is no reason to change. However, if the levels are decreased as proposed, it could be advantageous to compare the forecasts and the incoming orders more frequently. If a certain product is ordered more often or in greater volumes than forecasted, this can be noticed early and the product would be given a higher priority. This should act as a way to reduce the risk of having a shortage occasion.

The postponement of YB is seen as considerably risky with just one mixing machine. At the same time, the savings are not large enough to pay for a new machine. Therefore the postponement of YB is only recommended if a second mixing machine is procured for other reasons.

The purpose of this report was to reduce the tied up capital for Hyde with the strategies of inventory reduction and postponement. Further, clear recommendations about how to implement the findings was also supposed to be given. In the thorough review of the inventory levels, possible savings were indicated. How to implement the findings is clearly summarised above. To sum up, the purpose of the report has been fulfilled.

6.4. ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS

The forecasts were reviewed and were found to be relatively good. The standard deviations of the forecast errors (forecast accuracy) are however in general quite large which indicates the difficulties with forecasting the correct month of order arrival. Today there is already some collaboration with the customers to find the reason to why orders do not arrive when planned. If this collaboration could be extended further, it would give an opportunity to even better forecasts. If the forecasting improves, there would also appear possibilities to reduce the safety stock levels. Furthermore, the average cycle stock would most likely decrease due to less excess inbound inventory.

Today, the information systems at Hyde are rather outdated and it is hard to obtain sufficient data to do accurate analysis. A system that gathers for example forecasts, inventory levels and sales data would facilitate the creation of forecasts and safety stocks in the future. Furthermore, it was hard to obtain product specific production data from a longer period of time, as the production lead times are not logged in a detailed way. This information could preferably also be identified and stored in a more efficient way than today, to enhance future production analysis.

Currently a lack of load carriers has been a challenge in the production. A flexible production in the mixing step would reduce this problem. At the moment a lot of load
carriers wait for eight of the same product group to be finished in the oven, and while waiting they occupy a load carrier. If only the amount of load carriers that were demanded got mixed at once, the waiting time and the number of occupied load carriers would be reduced.

6.5. CRITICISM
Even though this project has been performed at the authors’ best ability, there are reasons to criticise it on a few points. The number of assumptions has been held to a minimum. However, some have been necessary to be able to execute this project. One of these was that the mean of the actual inventory level in the beginning of each month, over the year, was representative for the average inventory level. To answer if this simplification is justified is hard without having information about the true values, but if the inventories are to be reduced according to the recommendation, the levels should be followed more in depth during a few months of time. How the expansion project has affected the overall inventory levels is also hard to know, and does probably also affect the outcome of expected savings.

The production times used in the simulation model are not as reliable as wished for, as there was no availability of data over an extended period of time. This resulted in a less accurate simulation model which has to be taken into consideration while evaluating the results in the project.

The value of the SFG was in this report estimated from knowing the initial value of the raw material and the final value of the products. The production time in each machine was then assumed to be the determining factor of value add-on. This estimation is probably not 100% correct and could affect the presented savings from postponement. If the company decides to further investigate the postponement results, the value of the SFG could easily be changed and elaborated with.

Due to the inconvenience of data collecting, the developed safety stock method has only been tested on 2012 with data from 2011. Preferably at least one more year should have been tested to ensure the validity and reliability of the method. As all numbers regarding the forecasts, inventory levels and shipping had to be rewritten into Excel manually, it was regarded too time consuming to include one more year. This decision was taken from the knowledge that the dimensioned safety stock did not differ dramatically from the safety stock developed by Hyde themselves.
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8. APPENDIX

8.1. APPENDIX 1: SHIPPED AND FORECASTED

**Shipped & Forecasted XA100**

![Graph of shipped and forecasted products XA100]

**Shipped & Forecasted XC100**
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8.2. APPENDIX 2: INVENTORY LEVELS
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8.3. APPENDIX 3: SHIPPED & INVENTORY

Shipped & Actual inventory level XA100

Shipped & Actual inventory level XC100
Shipped & Actual inventory level ZA100

Shipped & Actual inventory level YA60
Shipped & Actual inventory level YB45

Shipped & Actual inventory level YB50
Shipped & Actual inventory level YB55

Shipped & Actual inventory level YB65
8.4. APPENDIX 4: SIMULATION MODEL

Hierarchical simulation model of the production process
From order to matching groups
Mixing
## 8.5. Appendix 5: Correlation Coefficients

| XA100 | XB100 | XB55 | XB60 | XB70 | XC50 | XC60 | XC70 | YA100 | YA55 | YA60 | YA70 | YB45 | YB50 | YB55 | YB60 | YB65 | YB70 |
|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|       | 1.0   | -0.3 | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| XA100 | 1.0   | -0.2 | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| XB100 | 1.0   | 1.0  | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.0  | 0.1  | 0.0  | -0.1  | -0.1 | 0.0  | 0.1  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.1  | 0.0  | 0.6  | 0.0  | -0.1 |
| XB55  | 1.0   | 1.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| XB60  | 1.0   | 1.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| XB70  | 1.0   | 1.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| XC50  | 1.0   | 1.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| XC60  | 1.0   | 1.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| XC70  | 1.0   | 1.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| YA100 | 1.0   | 0.0  | -0.1 | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| YA55  | 1.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.9  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| YA60  | 1.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| YA70  | 1.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| YB45  | 1.0   | 1.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| YB50  | 1.0   | 1.0  | -0.2 | -0.2 | 0.5  | -0.1 | 0.1  | 0.1   | -0.1 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| YB55  | 1.0   | 1.0  | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.2  | -0.1 | 0.0  | 0.0   | -0.1 | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| YB60  | 1.0   | 1.0  | -0.2 | 0.2  | -0.2 | 0.2  | -0.2 | 0.2   | -0.2 | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.2  |
| YB65  | 1.0   | 1.0  | -0.1 | 0.1  | -0.1 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1   | -0.1 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| YB70  | 1.0   | 1.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  |
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