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ABSTRACT

An engineering approach to the evaluation of the TCP as a narrowband bearer for short messages in the low latency train-trackside communication scenario is described in this report. The project was developed in cooperation with Bombardier Transportation Sweden AB as a part of the “ETCS over GPRS” venture.

With the increase of the demands from the railway industry, the currently used circuit-switched GSM-R technology becomes unsatisfactory from the radio system capacity point of view and the need of a new solution is highly required. The packet-switched GPRS solution using TCP as a suite is under research for this specific scenario. The investigated problem in this report concerns the tuning of the retransmission mechanism, which includes the TCP features TCP_RTO_MIN and TCP_KEEPALIVE. This implies the tuning of those features to be able to detect a loss of communication and to react less aggressively for the short and instantaneous changes in the network delay.

This thesis work began with a preparation phase in which a broad literature analysis of the background theory was made and followed by the development of applications that realizes the traffic model. Later in the performance phase the required changes were applied on the system and finally tested in a lab. The tests have been performed using one and four pairs of client-server applications communicating over an emulated link. The TCP features were modified at two levels, the TCP_RTO_MIN by a kernel recompilation and the TCP_KEEPALIVE by changes on the live system. Results from the tests have shown that for the higher than the default value of the TCP_RTO_MIN the less retransmissions were triggered. The TCP_KEEPALIVE has proven to be a sufficient feature to indicate a loss of the link. However the achieved improvement in performance was not as high as expected, but acceptable for this scenario. The train-trackside communication system could benefit from the proposed changes.
The point of this thesis was to evaluate the modifications of transmission control protocol (TCP), the most common transmission control protocol in the Internet, for an operation in a specific scenario. Similar to a diplomatic protocol, which defines the rules for each separate activity for relations in the field of diplomacy, TCP does the same for a digital communication over the world’s biggest network, Internet or as it is sometime called World Wide Web. The functionality of TCP was formed to support the communication over a wired network. Later, when cellular communication systems like GSM started to conquer the world, it was a natural step forward to introduce TCP to a wireless environment. One main problem was addressed: how to make TCP to work well in a wireless environment? TCP was offered for the mobile phones first using a circuit-switched technology, where two network points establish a dedicated communication channel (circuit) before the communication starts. Later it started using a packet-switching technology, where the information is divided and stored in separate small data-containers (packets) that can be transmitted individually allowing to establish multiple communication sessions over one medium. This technology was implemented in GPRS, a successor of GSM. When the first GPRS service was launched publically providing the packet-switched Internet for a mobile phones, the railway industry was finishing the GSM-R specification. GSM-R (GSM for Railways) is a communication system that allows to organize and control the train traffic by using a radio interface instead of optical signals, where each train is governed by its corresponding control center. This solution improved greatly the offered service seen as a number of trains per hour in a certain area (system capacity). During the last 15 years the telecommunication standards have evolved from GSM up to modern solutions, but until present day only GSM-R technology has been used by the railway industry. Nowadays, the growth of the railway infrastructure has ultimately led to a situation where the circuit-switched technology is insufficient from the radio system capacity aspect, which is hindering the further development of the railway infrastructure. It means that the physical architecture could support more trains on the tracks but the current, radio-based train control system (GSM-R) has no more resources for upgrade. Because of that problem the European Railway Agency has chosen GPRS as the solution, utilizing TCP as the communication protocol. If we compare this to diplomacy, if I want to share a certain information with the British Queen I will speak English and the diplomatic protocol will help me to choose proper words. In a similar manner GPRS will define the technology for communication and TCP will tell what rules that technology should follow. At this point the main problem of our thesis could be introduced: how to modify TCP to make it suitable for a train control system over a wireless link? First thing that we had to change in TCP was its reliability feature (retransmission mechanism). When one endpoint sends data to another, the other has to acknowledge a successful reception by sending ACKs. If the source will not get any ACK within a specific time after sending the data is assumed lost or damaged and it will be retransmitted. Based on the transmission properties of the networks operating on a wire medium, the minimum time the sender will wait for ACK was estimated to 1 s. However, a radio link has different transmission properties, i.e. much longer delays can occur, when compared to wire-based communication. We modified this minimum waiting window to 2 seconds, making TCP more patient and reacting less aggressively to the shorter delays in the wireless link. We ran the experiments over a simulated, wireless link using two computers communicating over a wire-based connection via a network emulator, which imitates the radio channel characteristics. In total our results show a decrease in number of retransmissions (system performance is improved as less resources are used to maintain the link), but achieved progression was lower than expected. This is due to the complexity of the TCP instructions. Second thing that we changed in TCP was the link state monitor feature. We allowed TCP to detect a loss of communication in a much shorter amount of time than the default. Our results provide another argument that justifies the migration towards a packet-switched technology in the train industry and could potentially be implemented as a part of this venture.
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1.1 Background

The point of this thesis was to evaluate the modifications of the transmission control protocol (TCP), the most common transmission control protocol in Internet, for an operation in a specific scenario. Similar to a diplomatic protocol, which defines the rules for each separate activity for relations in the field of diplomacy, TCP does the same for a digital communication over the world’s biggest network, Internet or as it is sometimes called World Wide Web. The functionality of TCP was formed to support the communication over a wired network. Later, when cellular communication systems like GSM started to conquer the world, it was a natural step forward to introduce TCP to a wireless environment. One main problem was addressed: how to make TCP to work well in a wireless environment? TCP was offered for the mobile phones first using a circuit-switched technology, where two network points establish a dedicated communication channel (circuit) before the communication starts. Later it started using a packet-switching technology, where the information is divided and stored in separate small data-containers (packets) that can be transmitted individually allowing to establish multiple communication sessions over one medium. This technology was implemented in GPRS, a successor of GSM. When the first GPRS service was launched publically providing the packet-switched Internet for a mobile phones, the railway industry was finishing the GSM-R specification. GSM-R (GSM for Railways) is a communication system that allows to organize and control the train traffic by using a radio interface instead of optical signals, where each train is governed by its corresponding control center. This solution improved greatly the offered service seen as a number of trains per hour in a certain area (system capacity). During the last 15 years the telecommunication standards have evolved from GSM up to modern solutions, but until present day only GSM-R technology has been used by the railway industry. Nowadays, the growth of the railway infrastructure has ultimately led to a situation where the circuit-switched technology is insufficient from the radio system capacity aspect, which is hindering the further development of the railway infrastructure. It means that the physical architecture could support more trains on the tracks but the current, radio-based train control system (GSM-R) has no more resources for upgrade. Because of that problem the European Railway Agency has chosen GPRS as the solution, utilizing TCP as the communication protocol. If we compare this to diplomacy, if I want to share a certain information with the British Queen I will speak English and the diplomatic protocol will help me to choose proper words. In a similar manner GPRS will define the technology for communication and TCP will tell what rules that technology should follow.

Bombardier Transportation with the other members of the “Union of Signaling industry” are currently working on a venture called “ETCS over GPRS”. The purpose of this great project is to replace the circuit-switched protocols with the packet-oriented TCP and this thesis work is responsible for the research of some chosen TCP features.

1.2 Problem Description

The idea of this topic was to evaluate a set of TCP features to transfer small packages with a low latency over a narrowband bearer. The bearer is defined by a specific GPRS coding scheme, which provides a maximum bandwidth of 12 kbps to a user. The problem addressed in this master project is a small part of a larger venture to migrate from circuit-switched GSM-R protocols to the packet-switched GPRS using TCP as a suite. TCP is a set of rules that provides a reliable communication between two endpoints by using a congestion control strategy. The problem was divided into two smaller parts. Since the
communication between the train and the trackside is real-time and event-driven, the first part of the problem was to choose an adequate set of time-related TCP features where the tuning of those is aimed to satisfy the expectations of this specific scenario. The second requirement refers to the updating of the TCP configuration in a way that will enable the protocol itself to indicate a loss of communication longer than 20 seconds and trigger an appropriate reaction. The update should also result in a less aggressively behavior for a fast changing channel.

1.3 Purpose

The purpose of the investigation that is presented here was to find a solution to one of the problems presented in a modern “European Train Control System”. This implied the replacement of the old and rarely used circuit-switched protocols with the standard TCP. The second part was to analyze whether the TCP as the transport layer protocol is able to decrease the length of the system downtime in case of instantaneous communication losses.

1.4 Method

To fulfill the requirements of a thesis, i.e. to produce test results, document a written report and perform the work in a scientific way, two main methods were used.

Literature studies for GSM-R, GPRS, TCP, traffic model and the network emulator was required. GSM-R, to understand the circuit-switched communication system that is used today in the railways compared to the traditional GSM. GPRS, to be more familiar with the packet-switched solution and its specific requirements for ERTMS. TCP, to analyze its features and from those choose a set that are important for our specific case. The traffic model, to learn about the different phases during a train journey and to analyze the results from the field tests that were performed and provided by Bombardier. The network emulator, to be able to properly configure and to imitate a wireless channel.

The second main method concerned the preparations and the performance of the communication link simulations. The simulations were performed on two computers running on an open source operating system that allows the chosen TCP features to be modified. During the tests, our own applications programmed in “C#” were representing the traffic model. To emulate a channel between the two endpoints the network emulator “WANem” was used. To monitor the system at the network level, the “Wireshark” was applied as a network sniffer. The current values of the TCP features were read from the system socket library using custom scripts in the Linux shell.

1.5 Scope

Within this project, the performed task was limited due to a finite amount of resources. Only TCP Reno was investigated as the transport layer protocol dialect and the tests do not consider the complete list of TCP features. From the TCP modification point of view the possible improvement to the system performance should be achieved by only applying the time-related input parameters to the system. Our traffic model implementation focuses only on emulating the communication between the instance of the train and the instance of the control unit, not the entire traffic model. The tests consider only some specific link cases, i.e. the single pair and four pairs of the instances.
1.6 Report Layout

This report is organized in six chapters. In Chapter 1 a wider introduction is provided, it starts with a brief background and is followed by the problem description. This chapter is later supplied with the purpose, the method, the scope and summarized with a report layout. In Chapter 2 the required background theory is provided as a supplement to the investigation that is presented in the following chapters with focus on GSM-R, GPRS, TCP, the related works and the general description of the traffic model. In this chapter we also analyze the results from the field test documentation provided by Bombardier. In Chapter 3 the testbed, applications and the network emulator that we used during the experiments are explained. In Chapter 4 a detailed description of the test specification is provided. In Chapter 5 we present and discuss the test result and in Chapter 6 we conclude our achieved results and suggest possible future works that can be proceeded.
CHAPTER 2 THEORY

2.1 INTRODUCTION

As expected, the technical progress affects and will in the future affect more aspects of our everyday reality. From the public transportation point of view it does not only come with the improvement of the vehicular speed, the travel safety and the overall passengers comfort but also in the efficiency of the traffic management systems. In the railway industry, the last years came up with the implementation of projects realized by EU in initiative called the European Rail Traffic Management System (ERTMS). This complex operation is based on two main components:

- European Train Control System (ETCS)
- GSM for Railways (GSM-R)

While the ETCS brings flexible solutions supporting the train flow management with a real-time communication between the on-board and the trackside equipment, the topic is out of the scope for this thesis. On the other hand GSM-R, which is the standard for the railway mobile communication, should be seen as a basis for the upcoming innovations and in the next section a basic introduction to the GSM-R is provided. Subsequently, in the following subsections of this chapter a supplementary revision for “ETCS over GPRS” is provided [7]. Since GPRS is the key point of interest of that venture an extended description of the GPRS characteristics is provided using [3], [4]. Later, the wider repetition of the information concerning TCP with a closer look at the TCP Reno dialect and a more detailed description of two important TCP features from this project perspective is presented. The input from this section is crucial to cover some of the challenges that will have to be faced during the migration from a circuit-switching to a packet-switching technology when the internet protocol suite will be deployed to serve the ETCS in the nearest future. Next, scientific publications that are similar to our work are summarized in the related works section and finally the last section introduces the traffic model that is considered in this document.

2.2 GSM-R

GSM-R is a standard GSM adapted for the railway communication system. It transports both the train related data (ETCS messages) to the train on-board unit directly from the trackside control unit and exchanges voice services between the train driver and the operator in the regulation center. The GSM-R architecture can be summarized as a product of two subsystems, BSS and NSS [3], and is shown in Fig 2.1.
The **Network Switching Subsystem (NSS)** is also called the GSM core network and takes care of the network functions such as the mobility management etc. The **Mobile Switching Center (MSC)** is responsible for the telephony switching in the network, the user authentication and ensures the confidentiality. The **Gateway MSC (GMSC)** works as a gateway node, i.e. handles the inter-networking connections. The **Home Location Register (HLR)** is a database that stores all the data about the subscribers in the network, e.g. telephone numbers, available service for a specific subscriber etc. The **Visitor Location Register (VLR)** is a database that stores the information about the subscribers that are temporarily connected to the network that belongs to one MSC. The **Equipment Identity Register (EIR)** is a database that stores the information about the mobile equipment. This register might be useful when it comes to identify stolen electronic equipment such as mobile phones etc. The **Authentication Center (AuC)** is the component that handles the authentication of the subscribers. Once a subscriber is authenticated to the network it can use the provided services.

The **Base Station Subsystem (BSS)** takes care of the signaling and the traffic from MS to NSS. The **Base Transceiver Station (BTS)** is the base station that controls the radio signaling between the network and the **Mobile Station (MS)** and is responsible for the encryption/decryption of the communication with the BSC. The **Base Station Controller (BSC)** controls a service area over a number of BTSs and is the link between BTS and MSC.

### 2.2.1 GSM-R Services

To meet the requirements from the railway industry [1], the GSM technology had to be extended by several functionalities which are stated in Fig. 2.2 (VGCS, VBS, eMLPP and REC). The **Voice Group Call Service (VGCS)** is a group call where only one person can talk at a time. The **Voice Broadcast Service (VBS)** is a group call where only the call originator talks and the rest are just listening. The enhanced **MultiLevel Precedence and Pre-emption service (eMLPP)** is a service that prioritizes the users to support the resource reallocation in case of an emergency request. The **Railway Emergency Call (REC)** is a high priority form of a VGCS.
Another vital difference in relation to the traditional GSM is the band allocation in the radio spectrum for the GSM-R. Within the territory of EU GSM-R occupies a reserved 4 MHz band (UpLink (UL): 876-880 MHz and DownLink (DL): 921-925 MHz) which fulfills the interoperability requirement. The system is very robust, i.e. the high level reliability, the availability and the redundancy, due to its serial structure and closeness to the trackside infrastructure. All those features are maintained with a guaranteed performance up to 500 km/h. The previously mentioned features comes with the significantly reduced operational costs compared to the legacy national railway systems, which is a reason why GSM-R becomes more and more popular even outside EU.

Within the GSM network, the network area is divided into Service Areas (SA), where each SA is controlled by one MSC (see Fig. 2.3). Each single SA consist a number of smaller regions (Location Areas (LA)) where each LA covers a group of hexagonal cells. In GSM-R the same structure is used as in regular GSM, but with the difference that the BSs are placed along the track.
2.2.2 GSM Drawbacks

GSM is an old mobile communication technology which is evolved into modern solutions (like UMTS or the more recent LTE) as a response for the new demands from the commercial mobile networks and its user. These new expectations, e.g. the constantly increased throughput or the better energy efficiency of the hardware, are not that important for the railway industry as other issues like the service reliability or the interoperability.

In the railways nowadays the requirements for the data communication dominates over the need of the voice services, whereas the successors of the traditional GSM in a public sector constantly develop and support a regular voice communication.

Yet another problematic issue is related to the interference from the public operator’s infrastructure. Since the commercial sector is constantly channeling its efforts to provide a better coverage, the development of the GSM-R Base Stations (BS) along the trackside ends up in situations where they interfere with each other. This problem could be solved by cooperation at the level of the network planning, i.e. in places where commercial network cells overlap their coverage with the railway network cells. It would though require a closer dialog, which is not a common practice.

One of the most challenging shortcomings of GSM-R is the limited radio capacity. The 4 MHz bandwidth is divided into 19 channels, where each channel has the width of 200 kHz and contains a total number of 8 separate time slots. Within one GSM-R cell only some of those can be used. The reason is that the neighboring cells cannot reuse the same channels due to co-channel interference.

Furthermore, if we assume that within one channel 7 out of 8 time slots are available for the users with a fixed throughput, the resources are enough to support the voice traffic. On the other hand, the evolution of the ERTMS brings up requirements for more capacity that will support the ETCS level-2 communication [2]. This new data-oriented service demands to establish and maintain the continuous ETCS connection between OBU and RBC for all the trains and each OBU-RBC connection must use at least one time slot. Previously mentioned issue comes as an effect of the CS-mode, which is substantially inefficient in this case. The ETCS level-2 and above communication is based on exchanging small messages in an infrequent manner so a virtual circuit has to be established and maintained between the endpoints. During the process, when both the endpoints are in an inactive mode, the scarce radio resources are wasted due to the slot reservation properties, i.e. there is no possibility for sharing of the virtual circuit with other connections.

GSM-R does not support services such as internet distribution for the passengers etc., which are becoming more and more required by the customers, i.e. it does not satisfy the requirements for the throughput and the delay.

2.3 GPRS

As it was previously shown, GSM and its railway optimized version GSM-R have some major limitations that can restrain the development of heavy public transportation services, e.g. voice communication, internet distribution etc. This issue was on the scope of a research made by the European Railway Agency (ERA) for the last few years and meanwhile several different candidate technologies, like the General Packet Radio Service (GPRS), Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS) and Long Term Evolution (LTE) were under an extended investigation. Regardless of all the advantages and the drawbacks of the two more modern technologies (UMTS and LTE) ERA has
decided to choose GPRS as the solution for the nowadays demands. In this chapter a more detailed supplementary description is provided.

GPRS should be seen as an extension of GSM that provides a packet-switched service, which works as a conventional data communication like the internet. Migrating from GSM to GPRS in a nutshell means moving from the circuit-switched mode to the packet-switched mode, i.e. instead of having an open connection between the transmitter and the receiver the sent packets contain the sources and the destinations addresses. GPRS uses the same radio resources (frequencies, modulation schemes, Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) frame structure etc.) as GSM but in a more efficient way, e.g. the frequency band (Packet Data CHannels (PDCH)) is shared among the users and each user can use several time slots when transmitting data. This effect can be seen for instance in the change of the service area structure.

### 2.3.1 GPRS Architecture

From an architectural point of view in order to support the packet data service two new elements are introduced to the GSM architecture (see Fig. 2.4), Gateway GPRS Support Node (GGSN) and Serving GPRS Support Node (SGSN). GGSN is the inter-network component that handles the exchange of packets to other networks and SGSN is the intra-network component that manages the packets that are sent within the network, e.g. the user authentication, registration etc.

![GPRS Architecture](image)

*Gb, Gn, Gr, Gs, Gi, and Um are the different interfaces between the components in the GPRS network.*

### 2.3.2 GPRS Services

The GPRS supported services are divided into two groups, PTP and PTM.

Point-To-Point (PTP) can be described as a link between two endpoints where only the two can hear each other. The PTP is divided into two different connection types (PTP-CONS and PTP-CLNS). The **PTP-Connection Oriented Network Service (PTP-CONS)** is aimed for a group of applications that have to establish a session before the data transmission, where the order of the exchanged data is the same at the both ends of the link. The **PTP-ConnectionLess Network Service (PTP-CLNS)** is intended for a
group of applications that allow the packets to be routed separately using various paths so they can be received in a different time and order.

In Point-To-Multipoint (PTM) one user is distributing messages to several/all receivers in a specific area. The most common types of the PTM services are split in two components (PTM-M and PTM-G). The **PTM-Multicast (PTM-M)** is designed to distribute the message to anonymous receivers in a scheduled way and is uni-directional. The **PTM-Group call (PTM-G)** supports applications like conferences where all the members that have joined the group hear the transmitter. It is in real-time and the transmission can be uni-, bi- or multi-directional.

### 2.3.3 GPRS Service Areas

Previously mentioned services are provided within the range of the SAs, but in GPRS several cells are gathered into Routing Areas (RA) instead of LAs. Each SA is controlled by one SGSN and each RA occupies a relatively smaller space than a LA and can be seen as a part of one LA in the combination of GSM/GPRS (see Fig. 2.5). When operating on smaller areas the radio resources are easier to optimize, e.g. the signaling.

![GPRS Network Service Areas](image)

Fig. 2.5: GPRS Network Service Areas

### 2.3.4 GPRS Terminals

The terminals in the GPRS systems are divided into three classes (class-A, class-B and class-C) and the difference between them is the number of simultaneously supported services. In class-A a user is allowed to transmit and receive voice calls (CS) and data (PS) simultaneously, both the GSM/CS- and GPRS/PS-connection have equal priority. Class-B is a special case since it allows a user to connect to both GSM and GPRS but cannot service them simultaneously. It maintains the data transmission during a voice call, where the voice call has a higher priority. In situations when the packets are under process and a voice call starts, the data that is processed gets suspended and proceeds when the voice call is finished.
This means that the voice call pre-empts the data transmission. The Class-C terminal provides access to only one type of the services GSM/GPRS (CS/PS) at any particular time.

### 2.3.5 GPRS Transmission & Signaling Planes

In GPRS the transmission and signaling planes are stacked according to Fig. 2.6 where each component is responsible for different tasks. The **Sub-Network Dependent Convergence Protocol (SNDCP)** provides a fragmentation of the outer network formats into sub-network formats and makes the data transmission more efficient. The **GPRS Mobility Management (GMM)** and the **Session Management (SM)** supports the mobility management. The **Logical Link Control (LLC)** operates between L2 and L3, it provides a logical link between MS and SGSN and supports PTP and PTM services. The **Radio Link Control (RLC)**, the **Medium Access Control (MAC)** and the **GSM Radio Frequency (GSM RF)** layers takes care of the radio link, e.g. controls the channel allocation, the frequencies etc. The **Base Station System GPRS Protocol (BSSGP)** supplies the data transfer procedures and manages the **Quality of Service (QoS)** of the link between SGSN and BSS. The **GPRS Tunneling Protocol (GTP)** manages the tunneling of the user’s data packets between SGSN and GGSN. The frame relays are used to utilize the virtual circuits to multiplex the data from several MSs. L1, L2, IP, UDP/TCP and the application from the OSI model are assumed to already be familiar and therefore not explained.

### 2.3.6 GPRS Security

In order to increase the reliability of the network the security is vital subject, especially when it comes to protect the subscribers from unwanted visitors. The following introduces the security in the backbone, the user authentication and how to protect the data sent between the users using ciphering. The **GPRS Backbone security uses different ways of protection**, it secures the network from other networks using firewalls and if the backbone is based on IP it can use the security protocol IPsec which supplies the network layer security.

Regarding the user authentication, GPRS uses a temporary code which varies every time a subscriber connects to it. This is done instead of the less secure method of a **Personal Identification Number (PIN)**.
The user authentication is performed by SGSN according to Fig. 2.7. HLR sends a random number (RAND) and a signed result value (SRES) to SGSN. Then SGSN forwards RAND to MS, which calculates SRES using RAND and its own secret parameter (Ki) and sends it to SGSN. At the end SGSN compares SRES from both HLR and MS and if they are equal MS gets authenticated.

![Fig. 2.7: GPRS Authentication Procedure](image)

Ciphering is used in the area between MS and SGSN to protect the data that is exchanged between the users. Both MS and SGSN are equipped with a ciphering key (Kc) that encrypts/decrypts the messages.

### 2.3.7 GPRS MOBILITY MANAGEMENT

At this section we are going to evaluate how GPRS manages the subscribers that are connected to the network, i.e. how to access the network, the states they can remain in and how the network keeps track of them.

**GPRS ATTACH - ACCESSING THE GPRS NETWORK**

Accessing the GPRS network means to connect the MS to one SGSN, this is done by a procedure called the GPRS attach. To perform a GPRS attach the MS sends the necessary information (identity, ciphering key etc.) about itself to the network, where the identity is defined by the Temporary Logical Link Identifier (TLLI). For a deeper interest of the GPRS attach please read [5].

**PDP CONTEXT ACTIVATION**

Once the GPRS attach is performed an activation of one or more Packet Data Protocol (PDP) contexts can take place. The PDP context activation can be requested both by MS and external PDNs shown in Fig. 2.8. By activating PDP contexts a MS specifies which PDN’s it wants to have access to. In easier words MS requests SGSN to establish tunnels (routing paths between two points) to GGSNs, where the tunnels are created according to GTP and identified by Tunneling IDentifier (TID). To access other networks the data is transmitted via different GGSNs and the PDNs that are to be accessed are identified by the Network Service Access Point Identifier (NSAPI). For the cases where one MS activates two or more PDP contexts there are two types of addresses (static and dynamic) that can be assigned to MS. When assigning a static address all the PDP contexts have same address. If the addresses are dynamic it means that each PDP context has its own address. For a deeper interest of the PDP context activation please read [5].
Fig. 2.8: GPRS 3 PDP Contexts Activations by 1 MS

**MOBILITY MANAGEMENT STATES**

The states that a MS can remain in, due to the GPRS benefit to share the channels among the subscribers, are illustrated in Fig. 2.9:

- **Idle** - in this state MS is not connected to the GPRS network and therefore not reachable. The only receptions that are done by MS in this state are general broadcast. In short the mobility management context between MS and SGSN is inactive.

- **Ready** - can be explained as MS’s active state, where it performs data transmissions and receptions. To not waste resources MS is controlled by a timer and can be put into standby mode. MS can also return to idle mode in case it requests to or becomes (by the network) disconnected from the network.

- **Standby** - this state can be described as a ready-to-send state but for the moment not sending, i.e. MS is connected to the network but on the other hand inactive. Even here the MS is controlled by a timer and can return to idle in case of a time-out. Once MS is about to either receive or transmit data it moves back to the ready mode.
GPRS LOCATION MANAGEMENT

At this part we are going to discuss about how GPRS manages the location of MSs in the network [6]. First of all it is necessary to mention that a MS is getting information about its position by specific channels broadcasting general information that includes the network-, RA and the cell identities. When a MS is about to change cell, RA or SA, it sends a request to SGSN, that controls SA where MS will change its location to, and gets accepted to perform the change. It is important to mention that MS will notify SGSN about changing cell only when it remains in the ready state. However, this rule does not follow when MS is moving into a new RA since it has to inform SGSN about that change, even when it is in idle or in standby mode. In GPRS there are three ways to manage the location of a MS as stated in Fig. 2.10. The first procedure (Cell-Update) is performed when a MS changes cell but remains in the same RA and SA. The second (Intra-SGSN Routeing Area Update) takes place when a MS changes cell and RA but remains in the same SA. The last (Inter-SGSN Routeing Area Update) occurs when a MS changes cell, RA and SA, i.e. gets controlled by another SGSN.

Fig. 2.10: GPRS Location Management Procedures
The location updating procedures are dependent on which state a MS remains in. If MS remains in the idle state then no updates are performed at all. If MS exists in the standby state no cell updates are performed, but both the intra-SGSN update and the inter-SGSN update may occur. When MS lasts in the ready state then SGSN is always informed by MS in case it changes cell, RA or SA, i.e. both the cell update, the intra-SGSN update and the inter-SGSN update are performed.

SGSN can simply trace if a MS is new in its SA or if it is just changing an RA inside its SA. When a MS requests an RA-update, it also includes the parameter (old-RAN-ID). When SGSN knows the old-RAN-ID of the MS it can easily control if that RA belongs to its SA or not. If the old-RAN-ID belongs to the same SA then an intra-SGSN routeing area update is performed. In case the old-RAN-ID belongs to another SA then an inter-SGSN routeing area update is performed. The procedure starts with that the MS requests a routeing area update (1 in Fig. 2.11). Then the new SGSN sends a request to the old SGSN regarding the Mobility Management (MM) and the PDP contexts (2). As a response the old SGSN provides the new SGSN the MM- and PDP contexts (3). Next, the new SGSN informs GGSN about the update (4) and at the same time the new SGSN updates the location of MS in HLR (5). When the MS location is updated HLR requests the old SGSN to remove the MS location data (6) and provides information about MS to the new SGSN (7). At last the new SGSN accepts the routeing area update (8).

![Fig. 2.11: GPRS Inter-SGSN Routeing Area Update](image)

### 2.3.8 GPRS End-to-End Packet Routing

After the setup phase where the MS connects to the network, performs the PDP context activations, the creations of the tunnels take part etc., how is the data transmission performed? There are two ways of transmitting the data from one point to another illustrated in Fig. 2.12, the mobile originated and the mobile terminated. **Mobile Originated (MO)** means that the packets are transmitted from MS to the other endpoint in another PDN according to the following procedure: First MS sends the PDUs to its serving SGSN including the destination address. Then SGSN looks for the GGSN that is connected to the desired PDN and tunnels the PDUs. Lastly GGSN hands out the PDUs to the correct PDN. **Mobile Terminated (MT)** is opposite of MO, i.e. MS receives PDUs from another endpoint and follows the procedure: First
GGSN receives the PDUs from the other endpoint. Then GGSN looks for the SGSN that serves the desired MS and forwards the PDUs and at last SGSN hands out the PDUs to the proper MS.

![GPRS MO & MT Packet Switching Procedures](image)

Fig. 2.12: GPRS MO & MT Packet Switching Procedures

### 2.3.9 GPRS Coding Schemes

Dependent on the channel conditions a GPRS system uses one of the coding schemes that are presented in Table 1. The higher transmission rate a scheme contributes the better channel is it used in, e.g. CS-1 is used when the channel is very noisy and CS-4 for very good channels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coding Scheme</th>
<th>Bitrate (kbps/slot)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS-1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS-2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS-3</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS-4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: GPRS Coding Schemes

### 2.4 ETCS over GPRS

In 2014 Bombardier performed both the in-lab (Phase 2 cases) and the on-track (Phase 3 cases) tests in the network rails facilities at the ERTMS National Integration Facility (ENIF) and the SNCF high-speed line Paris-Strasbourg for the “ETCS over GPRS” (EoG). The results have confirmed that the specified EuroRadio dual protocol stack (Circuit-Switched (CS) and Packet-Switched (PS)) solution provides a sufficiently enough protected data transmission that meets the requirement for EoG. Furthermore, the new solutions showed improvement of the usage of the radio resources when compared to the currently implemented solutions. In general the tests have shown that GPRS as a bearer technology probably satisfies all the demands to support the ETCS application for public usage in the train communication services within the European Union (EU).

During the field campaign the performance of a wireless link was examined with respect to the common scenario of the train traffic movement (see section 2.7):

- ETCS Start-up
- Level-2 Session
ETCS Termination

Within the above-mentioned phases, the typical train behavior was tested during normal operational conditions with focus on some special cases such as the “RBC-Handover” and the “Emergency Message”.

From this project’s point of view the most important results come from the “level-2” scenario which represents the worst case scenario from the normal train functionality scope. This kind of scenario corresponds to the situation when the On-Board Unit (OBU) is receiving the new Movement Authority (MA) from the Radio Block Centre (RBC), which is the heaviest message type used in this communication system. The conclusions from EoG Phase 2 tests sustain what is already well known about the GPRS characteristics (low packet loss rate and no packet reordering) from the research done for the previous generation (2.5G) of the telecommunication standards. Due to this fact the major focus of interest is directed to the delay. The received averaged value of the Round Trip Delay (RTD) for the MA message was 0.78 s and for 95% of all the cases it turned out to be <1 s for a single OBU-RBC link using the PS-mode, which represents the typical transmission delay. Furthermore, according to the results from the lab simulations in Phase 2, the MA updating time, i.e. the longest time a train can continue its travel without any changes like speed, shall not exceed 12 s in 99.99% of the cases.

Another valid result comes from the tests of the RBC-RBC-Handover scenario, where the goal was to compare the time needed to perform the packet-switched mode transmissions during a cell reselection. The average measured value turned out to be 1.16 s (the mobile terminal of OBU was GPRS attached and the PDP context was activated). The result from this test case is important because it represents the event that occurs frequently during the train travel and has a more periodic characteristic than a random and instantaneous degradation of the radio channel.

According to the report, the weather conditions during the field test were good (clear sky with no interference from atmospheric phenomena). All relevant tests were performed in communication between the single pair of OBU-RBC equipment (test track limitations) [7].

2.5 TCP

2.5.1 Core Functionality

TCP is the standard nowadays, i.e. the most popular transmission protocol that is used to exchange information in form of fragmented portions of the data and is widely supported by different operating systems. The TCP is reliable thanks to several mechanisms that control the state of the telecommunication link, the packet degradation and the reorganization.

In the old data networks when TCP was implemented for the first time the Quality of Service (QoS) was usually affected by sudden changes in the offered load and this caused network congestions. Network congestion can be explained as the effect of the queuing delay, a connection blocking or a packet loss. In this situation the sender is not receiving the expected ACKnowledgements (ACK) for the sent data and usually reacts by sending retransmissions. If the throughput decrease was not caused by a temporary incident the system remains in the state when the demand traffic is much higher than the available useful throughput. The problem stacks and leads to the congestion collapse state. This was the common
scenario for the very early TCP implementations and it was the first evidence of the fact that the retransmission mechanism was not enough to efficiently maintain data links.

2.5.2 Congestion Control Strategy

The previously mentioned problem was the reason for developing the congestion control strategy. TCP monitors the link state for each independent connection in the congestion window (cwnd) where the information of the maximum number of unacknowledged transmitted packets between the end points is stored. In Fig. 2.14 it is shown that in the connection initialization phase or after the timeout, the slow start mechanism is triggered. In slow start, the cwnd is initially set to one Maximum Segment Size (MSS) (largest amount of data that can be transmitted in a single TCP segment; in IPv4: 1 536 octets) and after the first acknowledgement it is increased to two MSS. Then after receiving each consecutive ACK, the cwnd is increased by two. Due to this the slow start phase is also known as the exponential growth phase and can be seen Fig 3.13. During the data flow when the cwnd increases there is a moment when some fixed value is exceeded, called the slow start threshold (ssthresh), and after that TCP moves into another phase (the congestion avoidance). From that point the cwnd is increased by one MSS for each consecutive acknowledgement which makes the congestion window growth linear (see Fig. 2.13). That phase usually ends by a timeout (no response from second endpoint) or by receiving duplicated ACKs for the previous segment. In case that occurs, the exponential back-off is applied (reduce the cwnd to 1 MSS) and move to the slow start phase. However more up-to-date mechanisms improve the performance of the TCP protocol and are presented in different algorithms gathered and described as TCP dialects (e.g. Reno, New Reno, CUBIC, Westwood+, PRR etc.). Each dialect represents the different protocol behavior after a packet is assumed lost by applying various versions of the congestion avoidance algorithms [8].

![Fig. 2.13: TCP Slow Start & Congestion Avoidance](image)
TCP Reno is a common algorithm (implemented from Kernel 2.6.19.1 and enabled by default) presented in the vast majority of the Linux distributions and, as mentioned in the project limitations, this thesis focuses only on this dialect.

In Reno, after receiving a total number of four ACKs for the same segment (one ACK and three duplicated ACKs), cwnd will be reduced by half of its size and the value of ssthresh will become equal to the updated cwnd. At this moment Reno retransmits the assumed missing packets using the fast retransmit enhancement (forcefully retransmits the packet before its retransmission timer expires) and moves to the fast recovery phase (directly to congestion-avoidance) instead of to the slow start. The procedure is shown in Fig. 2.15.
2.5.3 RTO

In case no acknowledgement is received by the transmitter within a specific time window, a packet loss or a packet corruption is assumed and a retransmission is triggered. Since the communication in nowadays networks can exist between two distant endpoints, the sending side has to be informed about the influence of a transmission delay which results in the receiver’s response to arrive later, not instantly as it is within a small LANs. Based on the current feedback from the link the sender is calculating the size of the time window during which the delayed response from the receiver is expected to arrive. That time window is stored in a parameter called the Retransmission Time-Out (RTO) and pursuant to [9] it is computed according to the following algorithm:

- Before receiving the first Round Trip Time (RTT) measurement for the segment that is exchanged between sender and receiver, set: $RTO = 1\, s$.

- After the first RTT measurement ($R$) is made the sending host have to set:
  1. Smoothed Round Trip Time: $SRTT = R$
  2. Round Trip Time Variance: $RTTVAR = R/2$
  3. $RTO = SRTT + \max(G, 4 \times RTTVAR)$, where “G” is system clock granularity

- When a consecutive RTT measurement ($R$) is made the host is obliged to update:
  1. $RTTVAR_{new} = (1 - beta) \times RTTVAR_{old} + beta \times |SRTT - R'|$
  2. $SRTT_{new} = (1 - alpha) \times SRRT_{old} + alpha \times R'$
  3. $RTO = SRRT_{new} + \max(G, 4 \times RTTVAR_{new})$

- If the calculated $RTO < 1\, s \rightarrow RTO = 1\, s$.

The parameters alpha and beta represent the influence of the previous measurements on the current estimation of the RTO. From RFC the recommended values are $alpha = 1/8$ and $beta = 1/4$. The smaller the values are, the less important become the most recent measurements and therefore TCP might not adapt to changes fast enough. However, if the values are close to 1 the old measurements will have negligible impact on the current RTO and some temporary incidents (short in time duration, large in scale of change) will ruin the estimation of the real RTT.

It is also important to mention that TCP have to follow a principle called Karn’s algorithm [10], i.e. the input data to the updated RTO (RTT) must not come from the retransmitted segment as it is difficult to distinguish the ACK for the retransmission from the original segment which is shown in Fig. 2.16. (requires TCP timestamp [11] extension enabled known later as the Eifel algorithm [12]).
Despite the fact, according to RFC the final RTO value could not be set below 1 s, several operating systems have implemented much smaller values for the lower bound of the retransmission timeout stated in Fig. 2.17 [13]. The motivation behind that change comes from the fact, that in modern systems, especially in data centers and Storage Area Networks (SANs), RTT of the packets is usually far below the 1 s due to extremely higher link throughputs compared to the performance of the links from the time of the TCP RTO appearance. In addition, even over Wide Area Networks (WAN) we usually reach RTT below the value suggested by RFC and the transmission delay will be improved even more as we still modernize the telecommunication links.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>OS</th>
<th>RTT (ms)</th>
<th>RTO(_{min}) (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WAN</td>
<td>Linux</td>
<td>~ 100</td>
<td>~ 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datacenter</td>
<td>BSD</td>
<td>~ 1</td>
<td>~ 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN</td>
<td>Solaris</td>
<td>~ 0.1</td>
<td>~ 400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TCP\(_{\text{RTO\_MIN}}\) is a static parameter that defines the lower bound of RTO, i.e. even though RTO adapts to the changing RTT according to TCP it can never drop below a specific minimum value. Its magnitude is usually hard-coded in the OS kernel along with other TCP regular instructions. It protects TCP from unwanted unnecessarily long time-outs triggered by e.g. delayed acknowledgements, packet losses etc. According to RFC 1122 the default TCP\(_{\text{RTO\_MIN}}\) value should be measured in fractions of a second (to accommodate high speed LANs).

Since the transmission channel affects RTT and in turn RTO, TCP\(_{\text{RTO\_MIN}}\) is related to the channel performance. The better channel the faster the acknowledgements from the receiver arrive which in turn means that TCP\(_{\text{RTO\_MIN}}\) must be set to a low value. In case of noisy channels, e.g. wireless traffic in the train-trackside communication, where the RTTs and their equivalent RTOs are expected to be higher, it is recommended to tune TCP\(_{\text{RTO\_MIN}}\) to a higher value. For the case of this project a suitable value is 2 s, since for a single OBU-RBC link the transmission delay <1 s, i.e. in two directions the total RTTs becomes less than 2 s [14].
2.5.5 TCP_KEEPALIVE

TCP_KEEPALIVE is another TCP feature that allows monitoring the status of the existence of the second transmission endpoint. The purpose of TCP_KEEPALIVE is to keep the TCP session alive for a certain amount of time even though no data is exchanged. Within the OS implementation we can distinguish three variables that control this feature:

- **TCP_KEEPALIVE_TIME**: defines the time window in seconds that the socket has to wait until starting to send the first probe when there is no transmission.

- **TCP_KEEPALIVE_PROBES**: defines the number of probes that are sent to investigate whether the other side is in idle or terminated.

- **TCP_KEEPALIVE_INTVL**: defines the interval in seconds between the probes.

A typical scenario for TCP_KEEPALIVE is shown in Fig. 2.18, where PC1 is communicating with PC2 and then suddenly PC2 stops receiving packets (no FIN flag present) and waits for an amount of time (specified by TCP_KEEPALIVE_TIME) until it starts to react. If PC2 still does not receive anything after this amount of time, then PC2 sends the first probe to PC1 to investigate whether PC1 is just in idle mode or if the link is down. If PC1 does not respond after an amount of time (specified by TCP_KEEPALIVE_INTVL), then PC2 sends the second probe and continues until it send the last probe (specified by TCP_KEEPALIVE_PROBES). When the last probe is sent and PC1 still does not respond, then after the last probe interval PC2 terminates the connection.

![Fig. 2.18: TCP_KEEPALIVE Scenario](image)

TCP_KEEPALIVE is implemented by default as one of the TCP features. The initial values for our chosen Linux distribution were TIME = 7200 s, PROBES = 9 and INTVL = 75 s. However, a specific application wants to take advantage of using this feature has to request it. This is achieved by enabling TCP_KEEPALIVE option in the system socket instructions within the application code.
To fulfill the requirement for the link state control functionality of TCP we have decided to modify TCP_KEEPALIVE parameters according to the case specified by the project requirements, i.e. to indicate a link loss and reset a communication session within 20 s. We have proposed two constellations of TCP_KEEPALIVE that realize the previously mentioned requirement [15].

2.6 RELATED WORKS

As the key point of interest of our degree project becomes highly correlated with TCP, i.e. its features and performance over a wireless link, a closer look to that protocol at the level of different Operating Systems (OS) core implementation was required. We already state in the limitations that only TCP was analyzed amongst the popular transport protocols as the requirement from Bombardier.

We found a sufficient introduction to an issue similar to our thesis problem in [16], where the TCP’s behavior and functionality over mobile systems were described and analyzed. Since the majority of the end-to-end delays are caused by the wireless link, which is usually a last hop between the mobile terminal and the fixed network, the interesting idea was to split the connection. In the Indirect-TCP (I-TCP) and TCP for Mobile cellular networks (M-TCP) we found the principle that the original TCP source is hidden from the wireless link errors behind the base station or by higher level instances, which are triggering retransmissions only in the short end section of the link. However in these options the buffer at the border node between the split connections could easily become overloaded in the presence of bursty traffic, which is nothing uncommon for modern users. Mechanisms like the Forward Error Correction (FEC) are able to deal with high Bit Error Rate (BER) scenarios, but in case of a good channel the maximal achievable throughput is unnecessarily limited by the delay that is introduced into the system. Even though the authors have focused on the solutions that provide improvements without modifications of the TCP protocol, their final conclusion is that the majority of the problems in lossy links still comes from the congestion avoidance algorithm that triggers inopportune lots of spurious retransmissions.

The impact of the small files transmission on the delay in the communication over GPRS networks is examined in detail in [17]. The authors have highlighted that the characteristics of a transmission using small files is extremely different than in generally large IP packets scenarios. Subsequently even though GPRS could handle the instantaneous adverse channel degradation conditions, due to the presence of the reliable Selective Repeat Automatic Repeat reQuest (SR-ARQ) mechanisms in the radio link control, the system efficiency is lowered by protocols (i.e. TCP) at the higher layers. When the TCP RTO is less than the time needed by the radio link control to repeat the transmissions at the lower layer, the higher layer protocol will assume a packet loss and trigger a retransmission. The final findings were that the shape of the transmission delay distribution is highly correlated to both the channel quality and the packet size while the dynamic link optimization should be performed by tuning the TCP features and parameters.

In [18] the authors reinvestigate the influence of the minimum TCP retransmission timeout on the unwanted spurious retransmissions in the communication between the most popular modern OS’s endpoint instances. It was shown that the two main issues that enforced the fixed conservative lower bound (1 s) could be mitigated. First of them is the parameter presented in the RTO computation, the clock granularity which is the smallest time interval that the system timer can measure. Since in modern OSs we have a fine-grained clocks compared to the time when RTO was introduced (i.e. 25 ms versus 500 ms), the previously mentioned obstacle does no longer exist. The second issue concerns the delayed acknowledgement that is when an ACK appears delayed more than the actual RTO the spurious
retransmission is triggered. To mitigate that problem a new mechanism was introduced, in which the host is notified about a possible longer delay for an ACK. The update was evaluated in the tests over the network simulator. The conclusion was that the TCP performance is possible to improve in the next generation wireless-access networks, operating on high-speeds and in the presence of content-rich data streams (100 kBs) and the motivation for a secure and 1 s lower bound is obsolete. However, the simulations did not cover the scenarios, where very short streams of data (i.e., 25-500 kBs) are infrequently used over narrow bandwidth links.

The impact of the RTO timer settings alpha and beta on the system performance of a bare PC Web server operating without neither an OS nor the kernel was analyzed in [19]. During the tests different setups of the alpha and beta values under the influence of different levels of the background traffic were examined. The investigation contained also the test cases, where the lower than the recommended minimum RTO was presented. The results have shown that there is no best combination of alpha and beta for the various levels of the background cases. Only in a few specific scenarios the lower RTO minimum parameter was more efficient than the recommended value.

2.7 TRAFFIC MODEL

The traffic model that is to be presented in this section is developed and released by UNISIG [20] intended to estimate the network optimization, the theoretical gain of the radio bearer systems etc. The model is simplified and does not cover all the ETCS scenarios and realizations, since the communication between OBU and RBC is hard to predict. The OBU-RBC communication depends on several parameters and some of those are the national requirements, the local requirements, the traffic density etc. The model consists of three phases: ETCS start-up, level-2 and the ETCS termination session as stated in Fig. 2.19.

The presented ETCS system service levels (‘0’, ‘1’, ‘2’) in this section should not be confused with the ISO/OSI reference model layers (e.g. Layer 3: Network, Layer 4: Transport) as they refer to different standards of a train control system. Our simplified traffic model represents the most common scenario (full supervision phase) of the train journey. In the full supervision phase the train is under full control of the corresponding block controller. This means that the train has to report its position periodically to the controller and receiver movement authorities which provide the permission to continue the journey.

![Typical Train Journey Phases (Simplified Traffic Model)](image-url)
2.7.1 ETCS START-UP SESSION

In the very beginning when the train starts a travel, an ETCS session must be initiated and the start-up illustrated in Fig. 2.20 starts by the Safety Layer (SL) connection setup, i.e. a mutual AUthentication (AU) between OBU and RBC is performed by a three-way-handshake. The AU is responded by an Authentication Response (AR) from RBC. The ETCS communication application is then initiated. When the communication session is established the validated train information is sent to RBC and acknowledged. Finally the train sends its first POSition report (POS) and RBC responds by sending General Messages (GM) containing the national values and the train parameters.

![Fig. 2.20: ETCS Start-up Session](image)

2.7.2 LEVEL-2 SESSION

The level-2 session consists of three stages: Entry, Full supervision and Exit, where those are described and illustrated below. At the Entry phase of the level-2 area it is shown in Fig. 2.21 that OBU continuously sends POSs and requests the first MA from RBC. The entrance to the level-2 area is confirmed when RBC send the first MA.
In the Full Supervision phase OBU and RBC communicate continuously and can be described in two processes: the position report process shown in Fig. 2.22 and the movement authority process shown in Fig. 2.23. In the position report process OBU sends POSs to RBC. In theory the value of the period can vary between 0-254 s and depends on which country OBU is travelling in and is also estimated according to the traffic density. In case OBU is close to a train station the POSs are sent more frequently and for the case when OBU travels in deserts the POSs are sent more rarely due to much lower traffic density.

In the movement authority process RBC sends MAs to OBU. A MA is sent either according to the traffic situation or to a time-table. In theory this value can vary between 0-(infinity).

Since the entire track cannot be controlled by only one RBC it is divided into track-sections, where each section is controlled by one RBC. When OBU travels from one to another section a RBC handover must be performed, since only one RBC can send MAs to OBU even though OBU can be connected to several RBCs. The procedure is shown in Fig. 2.24 can be divided into three phases. First OBU is fully controlled by RBC1, i.e. OBU sends POSs to and receives MAs from RBC1. When OBU comes closer...
to the handover point it entries the handover phase, which is an intermediate phase where OBU is connected to both RBC1 and RBC2. In this phase OBU performs the ETCS start-up procedure and the level-2 entry procedure for RBC2 and exits the RBC1 area. At last OBU is under full supervision of RBC2 and totally disconnected from RBC1, i.e. OBU reports its positions to and receives MAs from RBC2.

At the end of the travel when leaving the level-2 area OBU sends its last POS, terminates the ETCS session and releases the SL connection according to Fig 2.25, i.e. DI disconnects (DI) from RBC.

Fig. 2.24: RBC-RBC HO

Fig. 2.25: Level-2 Exit
CHAPTER 3  SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

3.1 TEST APPLICATIONS

For the realization of the previous mentioned simplified traffic model two custom applications have been developed. These applications operate in a client-server mode and were designed to imitate the OBU-RBC communication link, where RBC is the server and OBU is the client. In our model POSs are sent periodically (every 6 s) and MAs are sent every 15 s. It is important to remind that the number of the covered scenarios is limited to the full-supervision phase only since it is the most common case. Our custom applications have been designed to work in the following way:

1. RBC application, launched as a first in order, is listening for the connection requests from OBU.

2. OBU application, when executed, tries to connect to RBC.

3. When the RBC-OBU session is successfully established both the applications are exchanging the proper messages:
   
   i. RBC starts to send MAs repeatedly after each 15 s with the first message sent immediately when the connection is established.

   ii. At the same time OBU starts to send POSs repeatedly after each 6 s with the first message sent immediately when the connection is established.

   iii. Both RBC and OBU are sending ACKs when a consecutive message is received. In this moment it is important to highlight that the mentioned ACKs are a separate type of messages possible to detect in the console and are not the acknowledgements used by the transmission control protocol.

   iv. The RBC application contains the enabled TCP_KEEPALIVE feature that will indicate a communication loss and reset the connection in case of the inactivity of the other endpoint, since RBC is the server and is not directly connected to the wireless network.

3.2 TESTBED

The testbed was built up by three active components stated in Table 3.1 and illustrated in Fig. 3.1: PC#1 running the network emulator, PC#2 running the OBU application and PC#3 running the RBC application. In the testbed the internet access was required, since there was a need of a Domain Name System (DNS) server when a connection was about to be established between RBC and OBU. This requirement was added to provide an extension for future studies with possible internet access to the OBU/RBC.
### Table 3.1: Laboratory Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Software</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC#1 – Network Emulator</td>
<td>WANem v3.0, Knoppix 6.7.1</td>
<td>Emulate the Wireless Channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC#2 – OBU</td>
<td>Linux Ubuntu, Kernel 3.16</td>
<td>Run the Client Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC#3 – RBC</td>
<td>Linux Ubuntu, Kernel 3.16</td>
<td>Run the Server Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network Switch</td>
<td></td>
<td>Receive, Process and Forward Data between the Devices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To imitate the wireless channel over a LAN the instance of the network emulator had to be introduced. Several candidate network emulators have been briefly analyzed (e.g. WANem, netem, dummynet etc.), but our decision fell on WANem. The **Wide Area Network emulator (WANem)** [21] was chosen, since it is a free software licensed under the GNU General Public License and intended to ensure a close-to-real-experience of the internet for network applications tests providing access to its options via a basic interface. WANem also supports the access to features like network delay, packet loss, packet corruption and packet reordering over a link with a customizable bandwidth, which in our case makes it possible to emulate the GPRS channel with a specific coding scheme. Last but not least, it is worth to mention that it can be used through the comprehensive **Graphical User Interface (GUI)** and from the console commands system.
CHAPTER 4  EXPERIMENTS

4.1 EXPERIMENT ORGANIZATION

The purpose of this section is to introduce the experiment-setup, define a test plan and provide the test cases for our experiments. The experiments are aimed to examine the time-related TCP features (TCP_RTO_MIN and TCP_KEEPALIVE) and their influence on the transmission efficiency in a train communication environment. All the test cases were repeated 10 times to increase the reliability of the report.

The tests are split into two major categories:

- TCP_RTO_MIN
- TCP_KEEPALIVE

To apply a more realistic approach with respect to the network load, all the tests were performed with a limited system bandwidth (12 kbps) according to the GPRS coding scheme CS-2. The consecutive test cases will also cover the scenarios from a single pair of the OBU-RBC link (1:1) to four (4:4) parallel links finalized by the “system under load” emulated scenario where each POS sent by OBU and each MA sent by RBC have an increased load.

4.2 TCP_RTO_MIN

TCP_RTO_MIN is about to be examined over two values (200 ms and 2 s). 200 ms is the default value implemented in the system and 2 s is chosen based on discussions with our supervisors from Bombardier and the test results from the lab test performed by Bombardier.

The main reason for choosing this specific value (TCP_RTO_MIN = 2 s) is that both the 99%-time average uplink delay and the 99%-time average downlink delay were below 1 s each during the field tests made by Bombardier. Based on that the minimum retransmission time-out for the train-trackside communication in our experimental testbed was defined as $2 \times 1 \text{s} = 2 \text{s}$.

For the tests regarding TCP_RTO_MIN the values of the delay at the network emulator are chosen to 200 ms, 600 ms and 1200 ms. These are applied as a one-way communication parameter and for the two-way communication they become 400 ms, 1200 ms and 2400 ms. The purpose of those values is to trigger retransmissions for different cases:

- 200 ms - the minimum applied delay value estimated for the tests of the default TCP_RTO_MIN functionality. This value presents a typical behavior of a good radio channel in a train scenario.

- 600 ms - is chosen in accordance with the test results performed by Bombardier, where the measured transmission delay for the packet-switched mode during a cell reselection was 1160 ms. This since $1200 \text{ ms} > 1160 \text{ ms}$.

- 1200 ms - to trigger retransmissions in the case of instantaneous radio channel degradation (bad channel). This since $2400 \text{ ms} > 2000 \text{ ms}$.
4.3 TCP_KEEPALIVE

TCP_KEEPALIVE includes three parameters (TIME, PROBES and INTVL) and is going to be tested by examining two different constellations of those. The impact of the default constellation (TIME = 7200 s, PROBES = 9, INTVL = 75 s) is negligible since it takes 7200 s to react to an inactivity and it is not under the scope of this thesis to test its default performance.

As we know from the traffic model, OBU sends POS each 6 s and RBC sends MA each 15 s during the level-2 surveillance mode. In case of an emergency, when the train loses contact with the control unit, OBU executes the safety procedure. The safety procedure has its own timer (TNV_contact) which will forcefully stop the train after 40 s of the RBC inactivity. To avoid unnecessary train stops OBU should be able to detect a link loss before the 40 s and restore the communication. Due to this the value of 20 s is chosen. This is the reason for tuning the TCP_KEEPALIVE constellations to:

- TIME = 8 s, PROBES = 3 and INTVL = 4 s
- TIME = 8 s, PROBES = 6 and INTVL = 2 s

With that setup and in case of a noisy channel, after maximum 20 s OBU should terminate the communication session and reset the connection.

4.4 Test Groups

To evaluate the correctness of the proposed modifications to the TCP features we have designed a set of experiments. These experiments will show how effectively the performed changes reflect the overall system performance. The presented test cases are divided and organized in the following groups:

- Test group #0 is aimed to investigate the testbed and the network emulator’s influence on the system performance, both with and without applications running in the background. TC#0A examines the delay that is only caused by the testbed without any running application in the background. The delay caused by the testbed for both the 1:1 and the 4:4 link case is described respectively in TC#0B and TC#0C.

- Test group #1 specifies the tests for the different TCP_RTO_MIN values (200 ms and 2 s) for the different RBC-OBU constellations (1:1 and 4:4). TC#1A and TC#1C examines the default TCP_RTO_MIN value (200 ms) for both the 1:1 and the 4:4 link cases respectively. TC#1B and TC#1D analyze the modified value if TCP_RTO_MIN (2 s) for the both 1:1 and 4:4 link case respectively.

- Test group #2 focuses on the tests for the different TCP_KEEPALIVE feature setups for the different RBC-OBU constellations (1:1 and 4:4). TC#2A and TC#2C investigate the TCP_KEEPALIVE setup (TIME = 8 s, PROBES = 3 and INTVL = 4 s) for both the 1:1 and the 4:4 link case respectively. TC#2B and TC#2D investigate the TCP_KEEPALIVE setup (TIME = 8 s, PROBES = 6 and INTVL = 2 s) for both the 1:1 and the 4:4 link case respectively. As an addition for this test group, we have repeated the tests in TC#2C and TC#2D with the extra functionality of heavy packet and those are respectively described in TC#2E and TC#2F.
Test group #3 is aimed to test the combined scenario of both the modified TCP_RTO_MIN value (2 s) and the TCP_KEEPALIVE feature setup (TIME = 8 s, PROBES = 3 and INTVL = 4 s) in case of a simulated link loss. TC#3A examines the influence using the 1:1 link, whereas TC#3B analyzes the 4:4 link case and finally TC#3C investigates the impact of heavy packets in the 4:4 link case.

For a detailed description of the prerequisites and the execution procedure of each test case please see Appendix A.
CHAPTER 5  RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Within this chapter the different test group results are presented and discussed.

5.1 TEST RESULTS

To properly introduce the behavior of TCP over the different scenarios the result values and the figures are chosen from the tests whose results were closest to the average results of the repeated tests.

5.1.1 TEST GROUP #0

The goal for this test group was to investigate the testbed and the emulator influence on the system performance both with and without an application running in the background. The ping response from the other endpoint was less than 1 ms when only the emulator was present as can be seen in Fig. 5.1.

![Fig. 5.1: TC#0A Ping Response](image)

When testing the changes of the delay parameter at the network emulator according to the execution in TC#0B with the presence of both the 1:1 (Fig. 5.2) and the 4:4 (Fig. 5.3) link the emulator did not cause any extraordinary delay different than the applied. RTT of the ping was always equal to the double of the applied delay at the emulator, since RTT represents the two-way-delay.
The goal for this test case group was to investigate the influence of TCP_RTO_MIN on the retransmission frequency. The results show that when TCP_RTO_MIN = 2 s there were less retransmissions presented than in the case of when TCP_RTO_MIN = 200 ms.

For TC#1A (TCP_RTO_MIN = 200 ms and 1:1), as can be seen in Fig. 5.4, 9 retransmissions were in total presented, where 6 retransmissions appeared when the delay at the emulator was changed from 100 ms to 600 ms and 3 retransmissions appeared when the delay at the emulator was changed from 100 ms to 1200 ms.
For TC#1B (TCP_RTO_MIN = 2 s and 1:1), shown in Fig. 5.5, 7 retransmissions were in total presented, where 3 retransmissions appeared when the delay at the emulator is changed from 100 ms to 600 ms and 4 retransmissions appeared when the delay at the emulator is changed from 100 ms to 1200 ms.
For TC#1C (TCP_RTO_MIN = 200 ms and 4:4), presented in Fig. 5.6, 46 retransmissions were in total presented, where 5 retransmissions appeared when the delay at the emulator is changed from 100 ms to 200 ms. Next, 20 retransmissions appeared when the delay at the emulator is changed from 100 ms to 600 ms. Then 4 retransmissions appeared when the delay is changed from 600 ms to 100 ms. After that 14 retransmissions appeared when the delay is changed from 100 ms to 1200 ms and the last 3 retransmissions appeared when the delay changed from 1200 ms to 100 ms.

![TC#1C: RTT & RTO](image)

**Fig. 5.6: TC#1C RTT, RTO & the Retransmission Frequency**

For TC#1D (TCP_RTO_MIN = 2 s and 4:4), as can be seen in Fig. 5.7, 25 retransmissions were in total presented, where 13 retransmissions appeared when the delay is changed from 100 ms to 600 ms. Next, 1 retransmission appeared when the delay is changed from 600 ms to 100 ms. Then 9 retransmissions appeared when the delay is changed from 100 ms to 1200 ms and the last 2 retransmissions appeared when the delay is changed from 1200 ms to 100 ms.
5.1.3 Test Group #2

The core requirement for this part of the tests was achieved by a successfully identification of the communication loss by using the TCP_KEEPALIVE feature. This feature of TCP was able to indicate the inactivity of the other endpoint and terminate the TCP session within 20 s. The behavior of TCP_KEEPALIVE in the test cases where heavy packets were present (TC#2E and TC#2F) was exactly the same as for the regular packets.

For TC#2A in Table 5.1 the TCP_KEEPALIVE constellation: TIME = 8 s, PROBES = 3 and INTVL = 4 s for the 1:1 link case can be observed. After 8 seconds (at time: 60 s) of the destination’s inactivity, i.e. last packet received (at time: 52 s), the source sent the 1st probe. Since there was no response, the 2nd probe was sent 4 s later (at time: 64 s) and after 4 s more (at time: 68 s) the 3rd and last probe was sent. 4 s after the last probe (at time: 72 s) the socket terminated the connection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>OBU-inactivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>RBO</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>RBO</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>RBO</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1: TC#2A

For TC#2B in Table 5.2 the TCP_KEEPALIVE constellation: TIME = 8 s, PROBES = 6 and INTVL = 2 s for the 1:1 link case is presented. The last packet was sent after 24 s of the test duration. 8 s later (at time: 32 s) the 1st probe was sent. Due to the inactivity of the other endpoint all the 6 probes were sent with an interval of 4 s in between. The 6th and last probe was sent after 42 s of the test duration and 2 s later (at time: 44 s) the socket terminated the connection.
Table 5.2: TC#2B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>OBU inactivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>4th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>5th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>6th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reset Connection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3: TC#2C & TC#2E

For TC#2C and TC#2E in Table 5.3 the TCP_KEEPALIVE constellation: TIME = 8 s, PROBES = 3, INTVL = 4 s for the 4:4 link case is illustrated. Both TC#2C and TC#2E are presented here since the tests did not show any difference between the transmission of the regular packets and the heavier packets. Since there are four links and in total 12 probes are presented, where each connection uses 3 probes each, before each consecutive socket terminates its session.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>Reset Connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>Reset Connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>Reset Connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>Reset Connection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.4: TC#2D & TC#2F

For TC#2D and TC#2F in Table 5.4 the TCP_KEEPALIVE constellation: TIME = 8 s, PROBES = 6, INTVL = 2 s for the 4:4 link case is illustrated. Both TC#2D and TC#2F are presented here since the tests did not show any difference between the transmission of the regular packets and the heavier packets. Since there are four links and in total 24 probes are presented, where each connection uses 6 probes each, before each consecutive socket terminates its session.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>4th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>4th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>5th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>4th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>5th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>6th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>4th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>5th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>6th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>Reset Connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>5th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>6th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>Reset Connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>6th Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>Reset Connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>Reset Connection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.4: TC#2D & TC#2F

5.1.4 Test Group #3

The purpose behind the test group #3 was to analyze if the both TCP features (TCP_RTO_MIN and TCP_KEEPALIVE) can work together simultaneously. The test cases in this group were not designed to measure the change of the system performance and therefore only the presence of retransmissions is analyzed, not the retransmission frequency. For each test case the functionality was presented in two parts, the retransmission part and the termination part.

In the retransmission part first the socket stops to receive packets from the other endpoint. Then it activates the TCP_KEEPALIVE feature, i.e. send probes. After that it starts to receive packets from the other endpoint again and as a reaction the socket deactivates the TCP_KEEPALIVE feature and starts to send packets again.

In the termination part the socket stops to receive packets from the other endpoint. Then it activates the TCP_KEEPALIVE feature, i.e. send probes and when the last probes is sent and the other endpoint is still not responding the socket terminates the connection.

Table 5.5 and 5.6 show the results for TC#3A for TCP_RTO_MIN = 2 s and TCP_KEEPALIVE: TIME = 8 s, PROBES = 3 and INTVL = 4 s in the 1:1 link case.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.5: TC#3A Retransmission Part

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.2</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OBU-inactivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128.2</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132.2</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136.2</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140.2</td>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>OBU</td>
<td>Reset Connection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.6: TC#3A Termination Part

Table 5.7 and 5.8 shows the results for TC#3B for TCP_RTO_MIN = 2 s and TCP_KEEPALIVE: TIME = 8 s, PROBES = 3 and INTVL = 4 s. in the 4:4 link case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>Retransmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>Retransmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>Retransmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>Retransmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.7: TC#3B Retransmission Part
Table 5.8: TC#3B Termination Part

Table 5.9 and 5.10 shows the results for TC#3C for TCP_RTO_MIN = 2 s and TCP_KEEPALIVE: TIME = 8 s, PROBES = 3 and INTVL = 4 s. in the 4:4 link case for the heavy packet transmission.

Table 5.9: TC#3C Retransmission Part
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>145.5</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145.7</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147.3</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147.5</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148.4</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148.6</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149.9</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150.1</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153.7</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155.5</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156.6</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157.7</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158.1</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>1st Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159.5</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160.6</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161.7</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162.1</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>2nd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164.5</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164.6</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165.7</td>
<td>RBC1</td>
<td>OBU1</td>
<td>Reset Connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166.1</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>3rd Probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168.5</td>
<td>RBC2</td>
<td>OBU2</td>
<td>Reset Connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168.6</td>
<td>RBC3</td>
<td>OBU3</td>
<td>Reset Connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170.1</td>
<td>RBC4</td>
<td>OBU4</td>
<td>Reset Connection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.10: TC#3C Termination Part

5.2 Discussion
Within this subsection each test group result are discussed.

5.2.1 Test Group #0
From the result of the test group #0 it can be seen that for all the test cases (A, B and C) the testbed combined with the network emulator adds a delay that is negligible for the system performance, i.e. the delay that the testbed adds is less than 1 ms.

This results was expected since the testbed size is at the level of a LAN. The network emulator is sufficient enough as a network emulation tool and did not add any unwanted delay to the testbed.

5.2.2 Test Group #1
The goal for the test group #1 was to investigate the influence of TCP_RTO_MIN on the retransmission frequency. The results show that when TCP_RTO_MIN = 2 s was used there were less retransmissions presented than in the case of when TCP_RTO_MIN = 200 ms. Directly after the change of the delay at the network emulator we observed the appearance of retransmissions but not for all the applied delay profiles. From the four different test cases we can notice that only in the case of the 4:4 link and when TCP_RTO_MIN = 200 ms (TC#1C) the unwanted retransmissions were triggered when the first delay profile was set up (Delay: 100 ms → 200 ms) while in the other remaining three test cases in the group there were no retransmissions. When the second delay profile was enabled (Delay: 100 ms → 600 ms) the retransmissions were surprisingly present in all the test cases (both for TCP_RTO_MIN = 200 ms and TCP_RTO_MIN = 2 s). The same result was observed for all test cases when the last delay profile was used (Delay: 100ms → 1200 ms) but here the behavior was the expected since RTT was greater than TCP_RTO_MIN (2400 ms > 2000 s).
In Fig. 5.5 and Fig. 5.7 we can notify that when TCP_RTO_MIN = 2 s was applied, the retransmission were triggered under the second delay profile even though the estimated RTO value was much greater than the actual RTT.

The performance was predicted to be lower for a higher number of links, i.e. the 4:4 case should have more retransmissions than the 1:1 case and it is clearly shown in the results. It is also important to highlight the decrease of the number of retransmissions for one link when using the same link constellation but over the different TCP_RTO_MIN values.

For the 1:1 case (TC#1A and TC#1B) an improvement in performance can be seen in a reduced number of retransmissions, i.e. 23% less retransmissions appeared in the case of TCP_RTO_MIN = 2 s when compared to the default TCP_RTO_MIN. In addition, it should be stated that for TCP_RTO_MIN = 2 s the retransmissions are not omitted when the channel delay was at the level of 1200 ms (600 ms delay profile) and 2400 ms (1200 ms delay profile). When considering the 1200 ms delay case it should not trigger retransmissions since 1200 ms < 2000 ms, but those were triggered.

For the 4:4 case (TC#1C and TC#1D) the improvement in the system performance was significantly higher than in the 1:1 case, i.e. for this case 46% less retransmissions appeared when TCP_RTO_MIN = 2 s.

Increasing TCP_RTO_MIN value from 200 ms to 2 s means that TCP becomes more patient and does not send retransmissions for small channel delays compared to TCP_RTO_MIN. Since the modified parameter does not exist in the RTO algorithm that is described in RFC, it is possible that its role in the RTO computation is more complex than we assumed and the further, a more deep analysis of the TCP implementation within the system kernel is required.

Our explanation for why the retransmissions are triggered even in the case of the 1200 ms channel delay is that the final RTO value depends on both the previous RTO and the new measured RTT. Our assumption is that the recommended values for alpha and beta (according to the formula in Section 2.5.3) should probably be lowered to focus more on the past values and make TCP reacting slower to the changes in the channel since the specific nature of the communication in the train-trackside scenario does not require such an aggressive attitude from the transmission protocol.

For TCP_RTO_MIN itself it is possible that this parameter becomes highly efficient when the operational scenario is related to more modern systems that works with a high throughput of data over very low RTT connections (like the data centers and the SANs). If the achieved RTTs are far below 100 ms then the TCP should obviously not follow the typical behavior and set the RTO to 1s. Instead it may probably use a custom parameter (like TCP_RTO_MIN) that defines the lower bound for the retransmission timeout. Based on that conclusion our effort of modifying TCP_RTO_MIN for the rather slow emulated wireless connection in the train-trackside scenario was partly unsuccessful.

5.2.3 TEST GROUP #2
The TCP_KEEPALIVE feature is sufficient for controlling the link state and is very flexible since it can be updated on a live system, i.e. there is no need of complex and time consuming kernel operations.
5.2.4 Test Group #3

In all the test cases we found that when the channel delay is 10 s TCP_KEEPALIVE starts to send probes and when the delayed packets finally arrives TCP_KEEPALIVE is correctly switched off. This is because the other endpoint is still active but the transmission is delayed by the applied 10 s so it takes up to 16 s for the POS message to travel through the link. Later when the 100% loss is applied at the network emulator the TCP_KEEPALIVE feature is activated again and after sending the last probe TCP terminates the connection.

One negative thing that we discovered was that when using the same socket for both sending and receiving the TCP retransmission mechanism will override the TCP_KEEPALIVE timers (TCP_KEEPALIVE_TIME and TCP_KEEPALIVE_INTVL) so even though the communication is down, the retransmissions from the sending thread will prevent TCP_KEEPALIVE from closing the socket.

TCP is possible to be tuned for the sake of a specific type of scenarios like the wireless train-trackside communication. By changing the TCP_RTO_MIN values the protocol might react slower to the instantaneous (and short in magnitude) changes of the radio link performance and therefore less retransmission could be triggered. The TCP_KEEPALIVE mechanism is possible to be enabled as a link state monitoring feature that can close the socket and notify the higher layers (application) before the reaction from the lower layers.

Another important conclusion is the ethical aspects of customizing TCP that is operating over a common thing like the Internet. It is a very popular opinion that the wide scale functionality of TCP that includes its design, analysis and implementation, should be performed in a way of discussion among the wide public authority.
CHAPTER 6 REPORT CLOSURE

An overview of the discussed conclusions and the possible future works are provided in this section.

6.1 CONCLUSIONS

The main objective of this thesis was to modify the part of the TCP functionality for the purposes of a wireless transmission over a railway specific scenario. The communication link between a traveling train and its corresponding control center has an infrequent and periodic characteristics, which is also event-driven and time-related. In a train control system small messages are exchanged over a narrowband channel. The specific properties of this link were analyzed and subsequently imitated using a simple network emulator. The traffic model was realized by two constellations of the custom client-server applications: a single pair of the RBC-OBU instances and a four independent pairs of the similar RBC-OBU instances, which have emulated the system under load. The actual protocol evaluation idea was concerning a modification of one of the two OS kernel features (TCP_RTO_MIN).

6.1.1 TCP_RTO_MIN

This feature was expected to be a limiting lower bound of the TCP’s retransmission timeout (RTO), which should be implemented in nowadays systems to meet the requirements from the modern most demanding network solutions (e.g. SANs) as it was introduced in [18] from the Related Works section. Our solution was based on the assumption: if in cutting-edge technologies the achieved ultra-low RTTs (<1 ms, described in [18]) justifies the resignation of the fixed and inadequate TCP_RTO_MIN (equal to 1 s and recommended by RFC), then perhaps a higher TCP_RTO_MIN than the default value could mitigate the influence of a noisy channel on the radio transmission in the train-trackside scenario. After applying this update, TCP should become more patient and send less retransmissions and in this way improve the overall system performance. This goal of the thesis was achieved since we managed to change the TCP_RTO_MIN in the system by a complex kernel recompilation.

When TCP_RTO_MIN = 2 s, the results show a decreased number of retransmission compared to the default value when TCP_RTO_MIN = 200 ms. However, the results were not as good as expected. Even though the log from the current socket was showing the RTO much higher than the applied at the network emulator delay, some spurious retransmissions were still presented. Overall, the percentage of retransmissions decreased when the tests were performed in the higher link constellation case (4:4).

Our motivation for the first finding is that the TCP implementation in the OS kernel is a complex structure dispersed over many smaller parts which interact with each other (e.g. when RTO is computed) making it difficult to trace all the required paths that the modification procedure should follow. Probably TCP_RTO_MIN becomes somewhere overwritten by the one second value that is suggested by RFC and our update did not cover this situation.

Secondly, TCP_RTO_MIN is not present in the RTO algorithm defined in RFC, since it was originally introduced as an enhancement for solutions that offer much lower RTTs. It is highly possible that somewhere in the code of the RTO algorithm, the computed value is directly compared with the default “1 s minimum” and only when it is smaller (i.e. high throughput system is present) the RTO_MIN feature is enabled. We also find a strong motivation for the harmful influence of RTTVAR (round trip...
time variance), when there is a noticeable difference between a measured RTT and the updated RTO_MIN value (2 s).

The reason for the performance improvement observed in the higher constellation is that when the system approaches its theoretical capacity (i.e. when the load feature of the four links was presented), TCP_RTO_MIN gains the higher priority over the default “1 s minimum” rule making our modification efficient. However, this uncertainty requires further analysis of the problem of the TCP source code fragmentation, which was not possible to perform during the limited time of this project.

6.1.2 TCP_KEEPALIVE

The secondary objective of this research was concerning the link state functionality of TCP. In the communication session in a train-trackside scenario, where TCP operates as a bearer over a wireless channel, the transport layer protocol should be able to indicate a loss of communication within 20 s. If this could be achieved without the involvement of the lower layer protocols, the total time needed to reestablish the connection would be reduced by removing the unnecessary system downtime. TCP provides an option to monitor the state of the link by the functionality related to the TCP_KEEPALIVE setup. However, the default configuration of TCP_KEEPALIVE, which is enabled in the system, works highly inefficiently for our scenario, i.e. it takes 2 hours (7200 s) to react for the possible loss of the link.

We managed to change TCP_KEEPALIVE so that the communication session is terminated after 20 s of the other endpoint’s inactivity. This update was possible to perform on the live system (the feature instructions are not hardcoded in the OS kernel) and the complexity of that operation is negligible. However, the TCP_KEEPALIVE feature has to be requested by the application itself and is not provided by default, even though it is enabled at the system level.

6.1.3 GENERAL

The general conclusion is that TCP, despite being a very mature protocol, is still tunable when there is the possibility of using it in new scenarios. The train-trackside case that will utilize TCP over a wireless medium could definitely benefit from the modifications of the protocol retransmission and the link state features that are proposed in this paper. Due to the higher chance for instantaneous and short changes in the radio channel properties, the supporting protocol should not completely follow its original principles, which were defined for wire-based links.

By applying suggested changes to modify the fixed TCP_RTO_MIN and TCP_KEEPALIVE, the overall performance of the train control system will be improved, since it will make the system to work more consistently. The modification of TCP_RTO_MIN makes the system to react less aggressively to the short and instantaneous changes in the channel, while the modification of TCP_KEEPALIVE allows the system to react faster for the true loss of communication.

Since the train scenario that is covered in this paper does not utilize the bursty transmission with high bitrates, the drawbacks of the Forward Error Correction presented in [16] and used in GPRS have negligible impact on the system performance. Our proposed modification of TCP_RTO_MIN noticeably improves the behavior of TCP congestion control performance over a fitful radio link. Even though that change is not dynamic, which was suggested in the conclusion of [17], it still enhances the overall system work consistency. In addition, as a contradiction to the findings in [19] we have found a scenario, where
a specific combination of smaller values of alpha and beta in RTO, could optimize the behavior of TCP. This idea requires further research, which was not possible to perform during our thesis.

One more important issue that is worth to mention is the direct improvement to the overall service security that should be observed if our suggestions for TCP modernization would be implemented. In case of an unexpected event (e.g. a train disaster) during the bad channel conditions (e.g. trains travelling within a storm) the reduced number of retransmissions will release the resources for Emergency Messages (a group call feature) allowing them to travel without unwanted delays making important reaction to be performed as soon as possible.

The conclusions from our report provide another argument that justifies the migration towards a packet-switched technology in the “EoG” venture.

### 6.2 Future Work

During the project development we faced several obstacles that led to the final shape of this thesis. We were able to solve many of them but some questions still remain unanswered due to the resource limitations. Here we present the potential candidates for the future studies and some recommendations for possible solutions, which could be worth to analyze.

The parameters (alpha and beta) that are mentioned in RFC, presented in the RTO formula and responsible for controlling the relation between the past and the current measurement of RTO should be analyzed more accurately.

As mentioned in the project limitations we focused only on one specific TCP dialect, its implementation and functionality in a chosen OS environment. The proposed tests could be repeated for different TCP dialects.

From the wide spectrum of the open source Linux distribution we decided to investigate the mechanisms implemented in Ubuntu. There is a strong motivation to compare the TCP implementation over different Linux distributions (e.g. RedHat), which possibly might provide access to TCP_RTO_MIN from the live system level and there is no need of a time consuming kernel recompilation.

The TCP implementation within the Ubuntu kernel is complex, i.e. with the structure of the nested functions that computes RTO using a range of different optional parameters like timestamp etc. Hence, the idea of the protocol optimization could be developed over a few more separate thesis projects. If RFC recommends in the most actual version that for smaller RTTs, RTO should be set to 1 s, an interesting approach might be to localize this one specific instruction in the TCP code and set it to the upper value if it is required by any other specific scenario.

Bombardier can proceed with our results and perform more complex on-truck tests using a real GPRS link in a real train-trackside environment. An extended scenario should focus on the influence of a higher number of trains operating within a close neighborhood on the communication link performance.
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## APPENDIX A  TEST CASE TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case No, Title:</th>
<th>TC#0A, “eq_only”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose:</strong></td>
<td>Examine the delay that is only caused by the testbed without the OBU-RBC applications running in the background at the endpoints, WANem is present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Local Prerequisites:** | 1) System:  
TCP_RTO_MIN=200 ms  
2) WANem:  
BW=12 kbps  
Delay=0 ms  
Jitter=0 ms |
| **Expected Result:** | Average RTT of ping < 1 ms |
| **Execution:** | (0-30 s) ping the 2nd endpoint |

Table A.1: Test Case 0A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case No, Title:</th>
<th>TC#0B, “eq+1:1”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose:</strong></td>
<td>Examine the delay caused by the testbed equipment in the 1:1 link case, WANem is present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Local Prerequisites:** | 1) System:  
TCP_RTO_MIN=200 ms  
2) WANem:  
BW=12 kbps  
Delay=100 ms  
Jitter=0 ms |
| **Expected Result:** | RTT of the ping:  
- min < 201 ms  
- avg < 401 ms  
- max < 801 ms |
Execution:

1) (1-50 s) ping the 2nd endpoint
2) (1-10 s) initialization phase
3) (11-20 s) modify WANem:
   Delay=200 ms
4) (21-30 s) modify WANem:
   Delay=400 ms
5) (31-40 s) modify WANem:
   Delay=200 ms
6) (41-50 s) modify WANem:
   Delay=100 ms

Table A.2: Test Case 0B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case No, Title:</th>
<th>TC#0C, “eq+4:4”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Purpose: Examine the delay caused by the testbed equipment in the 4:4 link case, WANem is present

Local Prerequisites:

1) System:
   TCP_RTO_MIN=200 ms
2) WANem:
   BW=12 kbps
   Delay=100 ms
   Jitter=0 ms

Expected Result: RTT of the ping:
- min < 201 ms
- avg < 401 ms
- max < 801 ms

Execution: Same as TC#0B

Table A.3: Test Case 0C
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case No, Title:</th>
<th>TC#1A, “RtoMinDefault1:1”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose:</strong></td>
<td>Examine the core TCP functionality with the default TCP_RTO_MIN in the 1:1 link case</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Local Prerequisites:** | 1) System: 
TCP_RTO_MIN=200 ms  
2) WANem: 
default_test_config |
| **Expected Result:** | After the initialization phase, when the delay is increased, RTO is recalculated and retransmissions are triggered |
| **Execution:** | 1) (1-30 s) initialization phase  
2) (31-90 s) modify WANem: 
Delay=200 ms  
3) (91-110 s) modify WANem: 
default_test_config  
4) (111-170 s) modify WANem: 
Delay=600 ms  
5) (171-190 s) modify WANem: 
default_test_config  
6) (191-250 s) modify WANem: 
Delay=1200 ms  
7) (251-270 s) modify WANem: 
default_test_config |

Table A.4: Test Case 1A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case No, Title:</th>
<th>TC#1B, “RtoMin2s1:1”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose:</strong></td>
<td>Examine the influence of a higher TCP_RTO_MIN value on the TCP retransmission mechanism in the 1:1 link case</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Local Prerequisites:** | 1) System: 
TCP_RTO_MIN=2 s  
2) WANem: |
### default_test_config

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expected Result:</th>
<th>After the initialization phase, when the delay is manually increased, the retransmissions are only triggered when the new calculated RTO &gt; RTO_MIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Execution:</td>
<td>Same as TC#1A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A.5: Test Case 1B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case No, Title:</th>
<th>TC#1C, “RtoMinDefault4x4”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose:</td>
<td>Examine the core TCP functionality with the default TCP_RTO_MIN in the 4:4 link case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prerequisites:</td>
<td>Same as TC#1A, but here consider the 4:4 OBU-RBC link case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Result:</td>
<td>Similar to TC#1A, but here the delays are possibly higher than the applied delays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execution:</td>
<td>Same as TC#1A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A.6: Test Case 1C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case No, Title:</th>
<th>TC#1D, “RtoMin2s4:4”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose:</td>
<td>Examine the influence of a higher TCP_RTO_MIN value on the TCP retransmission mechanism in the 4:4 link case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prerequisites:</td>
<td>Same as TC#1B, but here consider the 4:4 link case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Result:</td>
<td>Similar to TC#1B, but here the delays are possibly higher than the applied delays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execution:</td>
<td>Same as TC#1B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A.7: Test Case 1D**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case No, Title:</th>
<th>TC#2A, “KeepAlive834_1:1”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose:</strong></td>
<td>Investigate the efficiency of an updated connection state control mechanism (TCP_KEEPALIVE) in the 1:1 link case</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Local Prerequisites:** | 1) System: TCP_KEEPALIVE  
_TIME=8 s  
_PROBES=3  
_INTVL=4 s  
2) WANem:  
 default_test_config |
| **Expected Result:** | After the initialization phase, when the packet loss is applied at WANem, TCP starts to investigate the chance for a link loss. If there is still no response from the end-host then switch down the connection |
| **Execution:** | 1) (1-30 s) initialization phase  
2) (31-connection loss) modify WANem:  
 Loss(%)=100 |

**Table A.8: Test Case 2A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case No, Title:</th>
<th>TC#2B, “KeepAlive862_1:1”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose:</strong></td>
<td>Similar to TC#2A, but a more frequent setup applied in the 1:1 link case</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Local Prerequisites:** | 1) System: TCP_KEEPALIVE  
_TIME=8 s  
_PROBES=6  
_INTVL=2 s  
2) WANem:  
 Loss(%)=0 |
<p>| <strong>Expected Result:</strong> | Similar to TC#2A, but at smaller time windows of link loss TCP should confirm the existence of a neighbor faster |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table A.9: Test Case 2B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Execution:**         | 1) (1-30 s) initialization phase  
                        | 2) (31-connection loss) modify WANem: 
                        | Loss(%)=100 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table A.10: Test Case 2C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Test Case No, Title:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Prerequisites:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expected Result:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Execution:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table A.11: Test Case 2D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Test Case No, Title:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Prerequisites:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expected Result:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Execution:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table A.12: Test Case 2E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Test Case No, Title:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prerequisites:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Result:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execution:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.12: Test Case 2E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case No, Title:</th>
<th>TC#2F, “KeepAlive862_4x4L”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose:</td>
<td>Same as TC#2E, but a more frequent setup applied in the 4:4 link case under usage of heavier packets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prerequisites:</td>
<td>Same as TC#2E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Result:</td>
<td>Similar to TC#2E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execution:</td>
<td>Same as TC#2E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.13: Test Case 2F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case No, Title:</th>
<th>TC#3A, “RtoMin2s_KeepAlive834_1:1_10s”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose:</td>
<td>Examine the influence of a higher TCP_RTO_MIN value on the TCP retransmission mechanism combined with the updated connection state control mechanism in the 1:1 link case at the 10s delay breakpoint (link loss by delay &amp;Loss %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prerequisites:</td>
<td>1) System:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) TCP_RTO_MIN=2 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) TCP_KEEPALIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i) _TIME=8 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii) _PROBES=3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iii) _INTVL=4 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) WANem:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>default_test-config</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Result:</td>
<td>After the initialization phase, when the very high delay is applied at WANem, the TCP_KEEPAlive mechanism is triggered but the link stays “Up”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
due to the delayed POS from OBU (~16 s)

| Execution | 1) (1-30 s) initialization phase  
|           | 2) (31-90 s) modify WANem:  
|           |   Delay=9999 ms  
|           |   Loss=0%  
|           | 3) (91-150 s) modify WANem:  
|           |   default_test_config  
|           | 4) (151-170 s) modify WANem: Loss=100% |

**Table A.14: Test Case 3A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case No, Title:</th>
<th>TC#3B, “RtoMin2s_KeepAlive834_4:4”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose:</td>
<td>Similar to TC#3A but the 4:4 link case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prerequisites:</td>
<td>Similar to TC#3A, but the 4:4 link case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Result:</td>
<td>Similar to TC#3A, but here the delays are possibly higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execution:</td>
<td>Same as TC#3A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A.15: Test Case 3B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case No, Title:</th>
<th>TC#3C, “RtoMin2s_KeepAlive834_4:4L”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose:</td>
<td>Examine the influence of a higher TCP_RTO_MIN value on the TCP retransmission mechanism combined with the updated connection state control mechanism in the 4:4 link case at the 10 s delay breakpoint (link loss by delay and Loss %) with usage of heavier packets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prerequisites:</td>
<td>Similar to TC#3A, but here “heavy” POS are sent by OBU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Result:</td>
<td>Similar to TC#3A, approach system capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execution:</td>
<td>Same as TC#3A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A.16: Test Case 3C**