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Abstract

Voluntary Sector Organisation Public Partnerships (IOP) are a new collaboration form for voluntary and public organisations aiming to give financial support to a welfare service provided by the voluntary actor. The aim of this study is to examine to what extent a voluntary organisation can be independent in order to be able to advocate as an IOP-participant. The object of study is the Red Cross and their treatment centre for war wounded and tortured in Malmö. Their three IOPs established with Region Skåne and Malmö stad are in focus. As theoretical framework, theory on resource dependence theory and isomorphism is used.

Through interviews with relevant actors as well as a document review, it is concluded that the Red Cross has been able to retain quite independent as an IOP-participant. Because of dialogue-based follow-ups and soft guidelines, they do not perceive themselves as too steered by the public actors. Even though parts of the organisation are professionalised and have a low member-orientation, this process happened before the Red Cross entered the IOPs. In the case of the Red Cross, a certain professionalisation seems to have helped the organisation to remain independent when working closely with public actors.
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Introduction

An independent civil society is a precondition for every prospering democracy. In Sweden for instance, voluntary organisations have traditionally had the important role to push different political interests and thereby bring a democratic pluralism to the system. In this way, civil society has been able to give a voice to weak groups in society. However, in contrast to other European countries, the voluntary sector has not played a major role as a provider of welfare service, instead the public sector has acted as the major provider.

Apart from this advocating function, the voluntary organisations have also been seen as “democracy schools” for Swedish citizens where citizens learn how to take part in the democratic process (Lundström – Wijkström 1997, p. 248). Since voluntary organisations have been seen as desirable as to both bring democratic pluralism and to teach citizens about democracy, they have been financially supported by the state through associational grants meant to support the existence of organisations. The aim with these grants has been to facilitate the ability of organisations to act as independent opinion-makers.

During the end of the 1980s and beginning of the 1990s, the emphasis for voluntary organisations changed from promoting voices and interests to producing welfare services to a larger extent. This development could be seen as challenging the traditional Swedish welfare model in which the state has been the major provider. The result of this was that a stronger emphasis was put on remunerations for services, in other words funding that requires performance in exchange as to get financing from the state. This financing form can be perceived as more steered than associational grants, that are solely meant to support the existence of an organisation, facilitate recruiting of new members and to maintain democratic forms of organisation (Lundström – Wijkström 2002, p.148-149).

In order for civil society to take on the important role of giving input to the political debate and give voice to weak groups, independence from the public sector is needed. In order to stay independent, the organisations and their members need to be able to decide over their own resources and to implement activities in the way they want without the influence of public actors. Independence is necessary in order to be able to criticise decisions and to give expression to the ideology of an organisation, in the public debate as well as in its conduction of activities. This advocating role is desired by Swedish politicians and is stated in the Policy for Civil Society1.

As voluntary organisations offer welfare services financed by public actors, it can lead to a situation in which they resemble public organisations through

1 See section 2.2.
professionalisation. In turn, that can lead to a focus on production of high-qualitative services rather than on helping and highlighting the needs of weak groups dismissed by the state. If a loyalty to public actors is created and the members cannot influence the organisation’s values and beliefs, it will lose its legitimacy as an actor independent from the state. Such legitimacy is crucial as for voluntary actors to be a democratic voice.

Recently, one can see a tendency towards an increased reliance on voluntary organisations as new societal challenges arise, for instance due to increased migration. In order to facilitate the collaborations with the voluntary sector, making it possible for organisations to provide services that contribute to societal development without losing its independence from public actors, a new collaboration model has been developed: Voluntary Sector Organisations Public Partnerships\(^2\) (IOP). One of the main purposes with IOPs is that they should enable the voluntary actor to stay independent and free, despite collaborating with public actor organisations and getting funding for provision of certain activities. As the organisations keep the ownership to their activities as partner in an IOP, it is prevented that voluntary organisations are changed into just being a sub-contractor to the state (Socialt forum 1). In other words, this model should make it possible for organisations to combine a voice and a service function as organisations are able to retain their independence. The IOP is a new chapter in the history of public-voluntary sector relations in Sweden, and might increase the number of voluntary actors in the Swedish welfare system.

### 1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this study is to examine how the IOP-model implemented affects the capacity of voluntary organisations to stay independent when they produce services financed by the public sector. By understanding in what ways the voluntary actors are influenced and steered by the collaborating public partner, more can be learnt about the capacity of voluntary organisations to keep their independence. With this new knowledge, it can be further analysed to what extent voluntary organisations are able to exercise their voice function and contribute to democracy as a partner in an IOP.

IOP is promoted as a funding model for services that facilitates independence of voluntary organisations\(^3\). According to previous research, municipal funding that is given for provision of a certain service is more threatening to the independence of voluntary organisations than grants aimed to support the existence of organisations (Johansson 2005). When the voluntary organisations are paid based on the condition to provide certain services for public actors, it

\(^2\) In Swedish: Idéburna offentliga partnerskap. In the thesis, the Swedish abbreviation IOP is used because of its establishment.

\(^3\) In this study, this and other collaboration models will be treated as given institutions.
often implies that the organisation in some way is constrained. Shocking examples can be found in countries such as the UK, where so called “gagging clauses” are getting more common, that is voluntary organisations providing services financed by the public sector which are prohibited to criticise the societal development (SOU 2016:13 p.160). Even though this is an extreme example, this motivates the question whether the IOPs is a funding model created in order to further legitimise voluntary organisations as welfare providers without in practice giving them possibilities to retain independence. Therefore, this study will focus on the actual possibilities the voluntary organisations have to keep their independence as participant in an IOP.

Three IOPs established between the Red Cross and Malmö stad/Region Skåne will be in focus for the study. The three IOPs concern activities offered at the treatment centre for tortured and war wounded immigrants and refugees in Malmö started up in 1988 by the Red Cross (The Red Cross 1). The partnerships in focus concern psychiatric care in different forms to war wounded and tortured refugees and immigrants - target groups not fully covered by the Swedish welfare system. Interviews are used as method in order to collect material about the different actors’ perceptions of different aspects in the IOP-agreements. This material is complemented with information from different documents describing the agreements of the IOPs.

There exist a number of studies with similar research questions as the ones chosen, but there is a lack of research focusing on IOPs and what consequences this model has for the participating voluntary organisations. As the first IOPs were established in Gothenburg, there are a number of student theses focusing on the partnerships there, but no research has been conducted that focuses on IOPs in Malmö. This motivates the conduction of a study that can update the prevailing theory to the context of this new collaboration model. New knowledge in the field can be beneficial both for voluntary actors considering to try the IOP-model, but also for political science research as to understand which consequences this new development in collaboration models has for civil society in Sweden.

1.2 Research questions

In this section, the three research questions are presented. As to understand more about the possibilities for the Red Cross to act as a voice within an IOP, the main research question of this study reads as:

- How can we understand the capacity of the Red Cross to stay independent from public actors in IOPs?

In order to answer this question, independence needs to be operationalised. Hence, this question is followed by two sub-questions that have a descriptive character. These two sub-questions are guided by two different theories that operationalise
independence in different ways. With help from these two questions, the main research question can be answered.

Independence is understood in two ways: 1) as a freedom to use resources the way an organisation wants 2) as a freedom to do things in a way that is in line with the idea of the organisation and its members. These two understandings originate from two theories that are further explained in the theory section: resource dependence and isomorphism.

The two sub-questions examined in this study read as:

- How are the resources and activities of the Red Cross being controlled by public actors in the three IOPs concerning a treatment centre for war wounded and tortured refugees in Malmö?

- Which homogenising processes have taken place since the Red Cross entered these three IOPs that have made the organisation resemble a public service provider?

As to find out more about the control mechanisms as well as indicators of homogenising processes making the organisation resemble a professional service provider, interviews are held with relevant persons from the Red Cross as well as from Region Skåne and Malmö stad. Furthermore, information about funding, control routines, participation of volunteers and influence of members etc, is searched for in the documents describing the IOP-agreements. With help of this material, the question of whether Red Cross has capacity to stay independent as participant in IOPs can be answered. In the following chapter, a background to the major concepts of the study is given.
2 Background

As to give a background to the research question, this section will elaborate on the major concepts in this study: voluntary organisations and IOPs. Definitions and historical contexts of the concepts are provided as well as background information on the Red Cross, its treatment centre and the IOP-agreements.

2.1 Voluntary organisations

In order to pinpoint which characteristics are needed for an organisation to be classified as a voluntary organisation in this study, a definition will be presented. This definition stems from the international research project The John Hopkins Comparative Nonprofit Sector Project, aiming to through comparative studies create a definition that allows a comparison of civil society between different states.

According to this definition, a voluntary organisation is firstly formalised or institutionalised to some degree. This means for instance having a board, by-laws or regular meetings. Secondly, it is private, in the meaning of separated from the state. Thirdly, it is non-profit distributing, which implies that economic profit is not distributed to shareholders. Fourthly, it is independent and able to control its business on its own. Finally, it is characterised by ideality, implying that the organisation is supported through donations from individuals or voluntary work (Lundström – Wijkström 2002, p.8)

Within the research on civil society, there exists a range of different concepts to describe organisations. The concept chosen in this study is voluntary organisations. Other concepts commonly used but not chosen are civil society organisations, social movements or non-profit/non-governmental organisations.

The concept civil society organisations often refers to the whole range of organisations within what is covered by civil society. For instance, Robert Putnam uses this concept in a broad sense, covering organisations such as social, civic and leisure groups, fostering civility (Putnam 2000, p.49) which thereby covers many smaller associations. For this study, this concept is too broad as bigger organisations, able to provide welfare services, are in focus.

Social movement is a concept that has become more common recently, especially as social media has become a facilitating way for new movements to mobilise. In a Swedish context, the word folkrörelse is often used referring to the popular mass movements’ establishment in the early 20th century. These movements had a certain organisational style and ideology that have had major
importance for the structuring of the voluntary sector in Sweden today. Thörnberg has defined the Swedish concept as organised efforts in relation to certain values or interests (Thörnberg 1943, p.7-8). The reason to not choose this concept is that it might capture movements with a too informal character (Lundström – Wijkström 1997, p.26).

In international political science research, *non-governmental organisations (NGOs)* is a common concept that distinguishes these organisations from the state. Lately, the concept *non-profit organisations (NPO)* has also become more common to distinguish actors providing welfare services non-profit from private actors on the market (Johansson 2005, p.23). The main function of these concepts is to show what they are not, but otherwise they do not carry that much meaning.

The concept chosen for this study is *voluntary organisations*, a concept that suits the organisations taking part in IOPs. This concept highlights the unique nature of these organisations in terms of that its members are driven to work voluntary for fulfilling the purpose of the organisation. In addition, the organisation has been created “voluntary”, which is contrary to public organisations. This resembles the Swedish concept “ideell organisation”, frequently used by Lundström & Wijkström. An advantage with the Swedish term is that it carries the meaning of that the organisation is built on an idea that is the driving force of the organisation.

### 2.2 The voluntary sector in Sweden – a historical overview

In this section, a historical overview is presented that lines up important stages for the role of voluntary organisations and their role in Swedish society. This background information facilitates the understanding of the development of the IOP-model.

Historically it has been common to describe the relation between the state and civil society as a contract, a conceptualisation originating from the era of the enlightenment. Rousseau, Hobbes and Locke all recommended the establishment of a social contract between the citizens and a king, or the state, as to create predictability in a society. In order to organise and represent certain common interests among the citizens, there was a need for organisations (Wijkström 2012a, p.4-6). During the 20th century, the social movements in Sweden had big influence over the “input” in the Swedish political system. The input role implied advocacy work in favor of weak groups in society not getting their voices heard. These social movements created political parties and the labour union movement (Wijkström 2012a, p.12). The close relations between the state and the civil society in Sweden at this time can be described as corporatist, which resulted in an institutionalisation of organisations within the state system (Wijkström 2012b, p. 104-106). The central role of voluntary organisations in evaluating and within the circulation of comments for amendments is an example of how this specific
role manifested itself. Since the 1990’s, voluntary organisations can as well be found on the “output”-side of the political system as welfare providers, getting remunerations for conducted services instead of grants as funding by the public sector. It seems to have become emphasised to a larger extent that voluntary organisations have an important task in bringing welfare pluralism to the Swedish system.

As a reaction to the reliance on the voluntary sector in welfare provision and apprehensions about a too heavy dependence on the public sector for voluntary organisations, the right-centre alliance government then in power established the so called “Överenskommelsen” in 2008 together with the voluntary sector and the Swedish Association for Local Authorities and Regions (Sveriges Kommuner och Landsting). This was a formal agreement purposed to strengthen the voluntary organisations’ role as independent creators of public opinion, but also to support the diversity of providers in care and health care. The independence of organisations is seen as a fundamental value in Överenskommelsen, and it is emphasised that one of the main tasks of civil society is to mobilise, activate, organise, challenge and debate. The main message in Överenskommelsen according to Reuter is that it should be able for voluntary actors to combine the role as service provider with the role as voice (Reuter 2012, p. 219, 229, 231).

In 2009, a new policy for civil society was initiated by the same government promoting a similar view on the role of voluntary organisations. This replaced the folkrörelsepolitik introduced in 2001 (Prop. 2009/10:55 p.31). The two different roles are manifested in the new policy, as one of its stated goals is to “strengthen the conditions for civil society to contribute to societal development and welfare, both as voice and service provider and with a diversity of activities” (my own translation and italicising, Prop. 2009/10:55 p.44). Six principles are suggested in the document that will guide the evaluation of the goals of the civil society policy, one of them is independence (Prop. 2009/10:55 p.44).

2.3 Voluntary Sector Organisation Public Partnerships (IOPs)

In 2010, a collaboration model was developed that guarantees independence for voluntary organisations when providing services in cooperation with the public sector, the so-called Voluntary Sector Organisation Public Partnership (IOP). The theoretical IOP-model was developed by the umbrella organisation Socialt forum which consists of voluntary organisations focusing on social issues. They lobby

---

4 In English: "the Agreement"
5 This English translation is used by Social Forum.
6 In English: “Social Forum”
for a removal of barriers that hinder voluntary organisations’ independence and develop new tools facilitating for them to run their activities (Socialt forum 1).

The idea with IOPs is to create a funding model for partnerships between public and voluntary actors that enables the voluntary sector to get continuous funding for welfare services they provide. Traditionally, the alternatives for funding have been either *associational grants* or *tendered services*. The purpose of grants is solely to support the existence of organisations, as it is desirable and contributes to the Swedish democracy to have a prospering associational life. In other words, the scope of the grants is too narrow in order to give voluntary organisations possibilities to provide services. Tender contracts on the other hand need to be done according to the Law of Public Procurement in order for municipalities to guard free competition. As it is the organisation and not the municipality that owns the activity in the contract, this law is hard to apply. Furthermore, the contract is commercial, which implies that the organisations have to compete with private actors on market-based values (Socialt forum 2).

Social forum has constructed a model that is a mix of the grant and the tender form, IOP, which implies a mutual financing of an activity, provided by a voluntary organisation, that contributes to society. Financing can have a monetary content, but can also be in terms of work force. A partnership implies that the partners should be equal and regular dialogues ensure that the agreements are created in accordance with the preferences of all actors. The voluntary organisation owns the activity and should therefore be able to keep a certain distance from the municipality in order to guarantee independence. Continuous funding of an activity is provided as long as the agreed partnership lasts (Socialt forum 2).

**Table 1. Funding models for governmental-nongovernmental collaborations.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Associational Grants</th>
<th>Tender contracts</th>
<th>IOPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aim</strong></td>
<td>To support existence of an organisation</td>
<td>Delivery of a welfare service</td>
<td>To support independence of an organisation and delivery of a welfare service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Characteristics of funding</strong></td>
<td>From public actor to organisation</td>
<td>From public actor to organisation</td>
<td>Mutual funding of a welfare service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initiator of collaboration</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>The public actor</td>
<td>The voluntary organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Desired function of voluntary organisations</strong></td>
<td>Voice function</td>
<td>Service provider</td>
<td>Service provider with maintained possibilities to have a voice function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Socialt forum 2*
2.4 The Red Cross

The voluntary organisation in focus of this study is the Red Cross, one of the most well-known humanitarian aid organisations in the world. In this section, further information is given on the main actor of the study.

The Red Cross helps in catastrophe areas by e.g. handing out food and does preventive work in order to avoid future catastrophes. They are active in 190 countries around the world and the organisation is called the Red Crescent in Muslim countries (The Red Cross 2). The founder of the movement was Henry Dunant, a Swiss banker that got the idea to organise volunteers in order to help war wounded after having passed by the Italian town Solferino during the battle between Austrian and French-Italian forces in 1859. In 1863, the Red Cross was founded (The Red Cross 3).

In Sweden, the Red Cross has about 1000 local clubs and engage approximately 30 000 volunteers. Especially in the period between the world wars, the Red Cross took several initiatives as to improve the situation for poor people in Sweden. Many of these initiatives were later on taken over by the state, such as nurse education started up in 1866 and taxi service for disabled and elders initiated by the Red Cross in 1960 (The Red Cross 2).

The Red Cross Sweden is financed by private donations, companies, international organisations and the government. According to the annual report for 2014, 50 % of the incomes came from donations whereas 34 % of the incomes were public financing (The Red Cross 4).

Every fourth year, the members of the Red Cross have the opportunity to influence the organisation and its activities during a national meeting by submission of motions (Riksstämma). Moreover, the national board is elected at this occasion (The Red Cross 5).

2.5 The IOP-agreements of the Red Cross’ treatment centre for war wounded and tortured

The treatment centre of the Red Cross for war wounded and tortured in Malmö has three IOPs with public actors that are further described in this section. In 2013, the first IOP was established with Region Skåne that concerns funding of 270 treatment spots for patients suffering of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). This IOP-agreement has recently been prolonged until 2017-12-31. The target group is war wounded and tortured refugees and asylum seekers in Skåne. Except from this service, the Red Cross provides lectures and knowledge transfer to actors within Region Skåne as part of the IOP (Region Skåne 1).

As from 1st of Jan 2016, another two-year-long IOP with Malmö stad as partner came into force. This IOP has a focus on a similar target group as in Region Skåne’s IOP, except from that the patients in focus are registered at
JobbMalmö, an institution that facilitates establishment on the labour market. The target group consists of patients getting support at Malmö stad’s PTSD-centre. The IOP concerns 50 treatment spots for specialised care offered by the Red Cross. The responsible department at Malmö stad for this IOP is the Administration for Labour Market, Secondary School and Adult Education (Malmö stad).

Simultaneously as this IOP came into force, a three-part IOP created by the Red Cross, Malmö stad and Region Skåne took effect. This IOP concerns activities for the children and families of war wounded and tortured patients with PTSD. The Red Cross has the capacity to welcome about 70 children and 40 parents to the activities. These activities have a social character and are not clinical treatment. Furthermore, volunteers lead most activities. The IOP-agreement also lasts for two years and in contrast to the former mentioned IOP with Malmö stad, the Administration for Social Resources at Malmö stad is in charge of this certain IOP (Region Skåne 2).

From here on, the different IOPs are mentioned IOP 1, IOP 2 and IOP 3. In next chapter, the theoretical framework applied to these three IOP-agreements is presented.

Table 2. The IOPs of the Red Cross Treatment centre for war wounded and tortured in Malmö

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IOP</th>
<th>Public partner</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Target group</th>
<th>Time scope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Region Skåne</td>
<td>Treatment of PTSD</td>
<td>Asylum seekers and immigrants</td>
<td>2013-2017 (prolonged)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Malmö stad (the Administration for Labour Market, Secondary School and Adult Education)</td>
<td>Treatment of PTSD</td>
<td>Asylum seekers and immigrants registered at JobbMalmö suffering of PTSD</td>
<td>2016-2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Region Skåne + Malmö stad (the Administration for Social Resources)</td>
<td>Children activities</td>
<td>Children and families of asylum seekers and immigrants with PTSD</td>
<td>2016-2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Documents describing the three IOP-agreements, (Region Skåne 1, Malmö stad, Region Skåne 2)
3 Theory

As to further motivate why a voluntary organisation needs independence from public actors, the first part in this section describes the voice function of voluntary organisations. After that follows a presentation of earlier studies in the field that has given inspiration to the research questions of this study. In the section thereafter, two different theories that serve as the major theories in this study are described: the theory on resource dependence first presented by Pfeffer and Salancik and the isomorphism theory developed by DiMaggio and Powell. These theories are used to show how independence of voluntary organisations can be at risk in governmental-nongovernmental partnerships.

3.1 The voice function of voluntary organisations

In order to bring a theoretical understanding to IOPs, this section discusses the voice and service functions of organisations as well as the potential to combine them. As to highlight the importance of the voice role, scholars claiming that voluntary organisations have an important contribution to democracy are presented thereafter.

3.1.1 The two functions of voluntary organisations: Voice and service

As to describe the two major functions of voluntary organisations in society, Wijkström has developed the dichotomy voice and service that has become established in Swedish civil society research. The first function, voice, is the democratic function. It can be described in terms of an input to the political system, for instance remiss procedures or debating articles in mass media. In addition to the democratic representation that elected politicians in different democratic institutions incorporate, the organisations can represent the voices of weak groups in society or criticise the way that governmental power is being exercised in the period between elections.

The organisations can also have a service function, as an output in the political system, having its emphasis on the production of welfare services. By providing services, the organisations can for example contribute to welfare pluralism by creating a wider choice of employers for people working with welfare services. In addition, voluntary organisations can contribute with their peculiar nature as non-
profit, value-driven actors that can provide humanity and mobility to welfare provision.

These two functions are in turn connected to different discourses. Whereas the discourse of voice and influence in society has characteristics such as focus on the collective, democratic ideals, members, corporatist solutions and focus on the Swedish arena, the other discourse focusing on service and output has characteristics such as charity, the individual in focus, efficiency, professionalism, a liberal market model and a local/transnational arena (Wijkström 2012b, p. 115-116). Wijkström’s observation was that the Swedish voluntary organisations have gone from being characterised mostly by their “voice” function towards a bigger emphasis on the “service” function (Lundström - Wijkström 1997, p.232-235). This is in line with the development from government to governance often discussed in research on public administration. Characteristic of governance is a plural state with a number of interdependent actors providing services (Osborne 2006, p.381-382) which can explain why voluntary actors now act as service providers to a larger extent than before. It should be mentioned that this does not apply to organisations that offer activities to their own members such as sport or recreation clubs. Instead, services produced to groups found outside the organisations are emphasised by Wijkström (Lundström - Wijkström 1997, p.243).

Lately, politicians have desired a combined voice and service role for voluntary organisations in Sweden. This was manifested in both Överenskommelsen and in the Policy for Civil Society, and is probably the motivation for developing a tool as IOP. As the voice and the service function operate in different discourses, it is not obvious how these two roles can be combined. The voice function requires certain independence from the state and influence from members. For the state to secure a certain quality of services and a reasonable use of tax money, control of voluntary organisations is required. If an organisation is controlled by public actors, can it be independently steered by its members?

One possible way of combining these two functions could be through an avant-garde role. Blennberger has described different roles of voluntary organisations when providing services in relation to the state: as avant-garde, complement, alternative or substitute. These roles indicate what intentions voluntary organisations have with their service provision. The first mentioned role as an avant-garde for society implies a role as an observer, looking out for new problems or target groups that the welfare state does not address. The role implies provision of such a service neglected by the state and parallel to the service provision, the organisation tries to get as much attention as possible for this intervention. The intention is that the public sector should take over the intervention eventually, either by providing services to this certain neglected group or using the new methods developed by the organisation. In this way, an organisation can combine the voice and the service function by starting up a certain service delivery and after that, the responsibility for the provision can be taken over by the state (Blennberger 1993, p.44). In that way, the relation to the public actors is kept at a distance. The remaining three roles of voluntary
organisations when providing services have their major emphasis on solely service provision. Research shows that state and municipal actors in the 1990’s put a stronger focus than before on services voluntary organisations can provide which then figure as a complement, alternative or substitute to publicly provided services. Less attention is put to the democracy aspect and the capacity of the organisations to have an avant-garde role (SOU 2001:52 p.176-177).

Other possible ways to handle the problem of combining voice and service role could be to de-couple the organisations into different sections. Sections that cooperate tightly with the government through service provision are then somehow separated from the section doing advocacy work. This was a strategy to structure the governmental-nongovernmental relation of some organisations in a study of Johansson (Johansson 2005, p.154-155). The aim of this study is to examine to what extent the IOP-model allows the Red Cross to combine the voice and the service role.

To sum up, traditionally, voluntary organisations have mainly had a voice function in Swedish society. As to conduct such advocacy, certain independence is needed to be able to think in new ways or to be able to represent the voice of weak groups in society not addressed by the state. As the organisations started to take on a service role to a larger extent, the financial relation to the state seemed to threaten the independence of organisations. A counter-reaction to this has been to promote possibilities of combining the voice function with the service function. IOPs are an example of such an attempt. This understanding of IOP, as a way to have both a voice and a service role despite that these roles can be hard to combine, motivates why it is of relevance to examine whether a voluntary organisation can retain its independence, needed to implement the voice function, as participant in an IOP.

3.1.2 The voice function’s contribution to democracy

The view of civil society as an actor with an important contribution to democracy through its voice function is not a new one. In his classical publication “Democracy in America”, de Tocqueville emphasises the importance of associations as counterforces to government, having a certain responsibility to “stand in lieu with those powerful private individuals whom the equality of conditions has swept away”. Associations of civil society are stated to protect against the “tyranny of majority” and to facilitate the circulation of ideas among citizens (de Tocqueville 2000, p. 632).

Another scholar that acknowledged the democratic role of civil society is Robert Putnam, who compared the democratisation process in regions of northern and southern Italy. His conclusions showed that the social capital generated when associational life bloomed helped to stabilise democratic institutions (Putnam 1992). These findings motivate many states to promote civil societal engagement.

In addition, more recent research highlight the connection between civil society and democracy, such as Per-Ola Öberg claiming that the possibility to freely engage in civil society and thereby be able to influence politics in other
ways than voting, is an aspect that distinguishes democracies from dictatorships (Öberg 2013).

Except from that, voluntary organisations have an important task in teaching citizens how to exercise democratic rights (Lundström – Wijkström 1997, p.248). By fostering people in a school of democracy, they can learn how to raise their voice and mobilise, but also take part of the basic values of democracy, such as respect of other’s opinions. Traditionally in Sweden, engagement in the political parties has also been a way to foster future politicians and to find persons suitable for political posts in decision-making bodies (SOU 2016:13 p.114).

In addition to this, the voluntary organisations have characteristics that can be seen as more democratic than the features of governmental or private actors that also might contribute to the democratising effect. Hultén and Wijkström identify internal democratic organisations with horisontal decision-making processes as a characterisation perceived as unique for voluntary organisations (Hultén – Wijkström 2006, p.27). Internal democratic structures might be a result of a value-system imbuing the organisation, promoting equality as well as creating an organisation’s legitimacy. The fact that organisations in Sweden often are more member-oriented and unprofessionalised than their European counterparts could be a reason for the tradition of having a strong emphasis on pushing interests rather than providing services (Johansson 2005, p. 26). The flat organisation makes it possible for its members to have an influence on what ideas it should represent and advocate for.

The question of how civil societal organisations without internal democratic structures or with outspoken anti-democratic goals are contributing to democracy is out of the scope of this thesis as a democratic structure is included in the definition of voluntary organisations. As this section has showed, the democratic voice of voluntary organisations is important for many reasons which motivates why it is desirable that organisations participating in IOPs keep this function.

3.2 Former studies on civil society’s capacity to keep the democratic voice in public partnerships

As earlier mentioned, there are no scientific articles published yet examining IOPs. However, the research questions in this thesis and the complications arising as voluntary organisations cooperate with the public sector have been examined in a big number of studies. Here a few prominent studies are presented and their contributions to the research field of how the democratic voice of voluntary organisations can be retained in public partnerships.

Under the era of a growing number of interest organisations and folkrörelser in Sweden in the 1950’s and their increasing consensual cooperation with public actors, Heckscher (1951) made an influential study that examined the relation between the “organisational Sweden” and the state. The study was a criticism
against the evolution of corporatism causing a threat towards democracy. Heckscher meant that the organisations were too incorporated in the bureaucracy to be able to practice their democratic role.

About 40 years later, in 1992, Kuhnle & Selle (1992) made a study with the ambition to combine the research fields of the voluntary sector with the one of welfare studies. The point of the study was to show that it does not make sense to talk about the voluntary sector without taking its relation with the public sector into account, as there are states of dependence between them. The study wants to put an end to myths about the conflictive relation between the two sectors and the view of voluntary organisations as being fully independent. With concepts as autonomy and nearness, the authors compare the independence of the voluntary sectors in different countries.

Nonprofits for hire is a study from an American perspective, (Smith & Lipsky 1993) that problematises the contracting culture resulting in an increasing participation of voluntary actors on the welfare market. Smith & Lipsky use an organisational approach and emphasises the change of management, clientele and policies of voluntary organisations as they get governmental funding. In terms of accountability, the loyalty seems to shift towards the governmental employer rather than the organisations’ community of origin. The conclusions suggest that the increased efficiency created by contracts is affecting the capacity to advocacy for nonprofit organisations in a negative way.

A similar conclusion was made of Bergmark (1994) in his study of the distribution of associational grants to voluntary organisations in five Swedish municipalities. He concluded that the proportion of grants has decreased in favor of funding models reminding of tender contracts. This development might according to Bergmark threaten the original purposes of many voluntary organisations.

In Håkan Lorentzen’s disputation Frivillighetens integrasjon (1993) is the concept integration central for describing and analysing the relations between the state and organisations. He examines the cooperation between the Norwegian state and three voluntary organisations and asks how conflictive integration processes between public and voluntary actors can be explained. The disputation concludes that the civil engagement is threatened by an increased professionalisation of interest organisations. Employees lobbying towards the state do often not treat the organisations’ members as that important.

More recently, Johansson (2005, 2001) has conducted research on the influence of municipal funding on voluntary organisations’ independence and its consequences for the organisation’s role in the Swedish welfare model. In Ideella mål med offentliga medel, the municipalities Värnamo and Göteborg’s funding to voluntary organisations are examined. A tendency highlighted is that associational grants from the municipalities to organisations have turned to be connected to a certain activity to a larger extent than before, so-called project or activity grants. These grants have features of remuneration for a service rather than being a grant solely meant to support the existence of organisations. The study concludes that more performance-based grants imply an increased steering from the state of the organisations’ use of financial resources.
This thesis will use former studies as a starting point. The research of Johansson will for instance be very useful as it suggests that funding related to a certain service to be at a higher risk of being steered by the state than funding solely meant to support an organisation’s existence. In difference to traditional associational grants, the funding in IOPs is more focused on provision of services than maintenance of organisations. This fact could imply that the independence of voluntary organisations could be hard to maintain in IOPs, even though this is not how IOPs are presented. As well, the idea to focus on professionalism builds on the conclusions made of Lorentzen in this disputation. If the voluntary organisation have a too weak member-orientation, it can result in a decreased independence and instead, a strengthened loyalty to public collaboration partners.

In the next section, it is further clarified how the theories resource dependence and isomorphism can explain that independence of voluntary organisations might be at risk in IOPs.

### 3.3 The constraining forces of Resource Control and Isomorphism

In order to understand how partnerships between state and voluntary organisations might influence the capacity for organisations to stay independent, inter-organisational theory is used. The resource dependence theory serves to explain how financial relations can create states of dependence and control. The isomorphism theory applied to partnerships shows how voluntary organisations can turn more alike public service providers, creating ties of loyalty that damage its legitimacy and independence. They will be used to examine whether IOPs allows for voluntary organisations to exercise their voice function to a larger extent than in other collaboration forms.

These two theories have different assumptions about the world and the behaviors of agents. Whereas the resource control theory assumes that actors are acting rational and strategic as to maximise their self-interests, the isomorphism rest on the assumption that the will of actors is shaped by the behavior of other actors and other environmental factors. Such a pluralistic view on actors and reasons for their behaviour enrichen the study and its results. It also gives the study an interdisciplinary approach by combining ideas from rational choice and sociological quarters.

### 3.3.1 Resource dependence

The first theory explaining how voluntary organisations can be constrained in an IOP emphasises resource dependence.

The survival of voluntary organisations is dependent on unsecure donations and voluntary work. In general, insufficient resources are a feature of the
Voluntary sector, which implies that governmental support is unavoidable for many organisations. If governmental financial support is conditioned in any way, the voluntary organisations can lose some freedom to steer their activities. As there might be a fear of losing resources when expressing criticism towards public actors, governmental financial support can result in a decreased freedom of expression (Salamon 1987, p.39-40,43).

A theory focusing on environmental factors as determining for the behavior of organisations is the resource dependence theory developed by Pfeffer & Salancik (2003). This theory claims that as organisations are dependent on their resources, they will also be dependent on environmental factors affecting their resources, for instance, other organisations that provide them resources. In order for the organisation to survive, it will have to negotiate the possibilities to make adjustments with the funding organisation to secure the funding. This implies that the actors with resources have power to control the activities of the organisation. However, as organisations want to stay autonomous, they try to avoid external control in order to be able to stay independent.

This puts the organisation in a dilemma, as it must choose between autonomy and certainty. In order to get funding and stability, the organisation must give away some of its discretion. In other words, environmental factors such as inter-organisational structures can affect the behavior and autonomy of organisations (Pfeffer & Salancik 2003, p. 258-262).

By using this theory, it can be examined whether the voluntary organisations in IOPs are dependent on public actors when it comes to resources by looking at controlling routines and conditions for the funding. If funding to organisations is very conditioned and controlled by the public actor, this implies a steering of the voluntary organisation’s resources. As organisations need funding in order to secure their survival, resource dependence might result in that an organisation does not dare to express criticism towards governmental actors.

A strategy for avoiding resource dependence is diversification. That is an attempt to reduce the dependence of single resource providers by finding multiple sources for funding, in other words, an organisational response to the environment. A high proportion of resources coming from the state might increase dependence as that increases the necessity of state funding as for ensuring the survival of the organisation (Pfeffer & Salancik 2003, p. 126-127).

As the funding in an IOP concerns a certain activity and is not supposed to solely support the existence of an organisation, it is relevant to apply this theory to see how the flows of resources affect the conditions of dependence within an IOP in comparison to other funding models. Since former research has shown that the resource dependence theory applies to other funding models e.g. associational grants, it is of interest to apply it to IOPs and see how the partnership can affect the capacity for voluntary actors to keep their independence.
3.3.2 Isomorphism – processes of homogenisation

In this section, the isomorphism theory is presented that is used to answer whether homogenising processes has taken place since the Red Cross entered IOPs making voluntary organisations deviate from the ideas of its members.

As mentioned in the description of the democratic contribution of voluntary organisations, the internal democratic structure is a distinctive aspect that manifests how voluntary organisations choose to organise. This structure is crucial in order for members to be able to influence the organisation. If the organisation becomes more steered by the state than of its members, it loses its legitimacy to do advocacy work for groups dismissed by the state. An organisation that has a strong loyalty to the public actors cannot act as counterpart to the state (Lindgren 1999, p. 223). As well, a strong member-orientation is needed in order to generate new ideas, either in an avant-garde way through new kinds of service provision or thoughts that question the politics of the government. In other words, a voluntary organisation needs to be steered by its member-base in order have the ability to act as a voice for groups dismissed by the state.

DiMaggio and Powell have developed an extensive theory on homogenisation of organisations that is used to explain how voluntary can resemble public ones in IOPs. It states that as rationalisation of an organisational field takes place, it results in a homogenisation and bureaucratisation of the actors in it. An organisational field is defined as a recognised area of institutional life, in other words, all relevant actors in a certain activity field. The field is held together by connectedness, implying transactions tying organisations to one another. In this field, powerful forces urge organisations to become more similar. The central process in this theory is isomorphism, defined as “a constraining process that forces one unit in a population to resemble other units that face the same set of environmental conditions”. The state and the professions are stated to be the main rationalisers affecting the processes of isomorphism (DiMaggio – Powell 1983, p. 147-149). The state can introduce formal laws or require financial reports whereas professions can contribute to isomorphism through norms. These can be created during education or enter organisations via inter-hiring between different organisational sectors. It is important to emphasise that the isomorphic process itself is irrational though, and might “turn organisations more similar without making them more efficient” (Lorentzen 1993, p.339).

Three kinds of institutional isomorphism are presented by DiMaggio and Powell:

1) **Coercive isomorphism.** This implies laws, rules and decisions that one organisation can exert on another. The legal environment that an organisation operates within is an example of a streamlining process. It can also imply adaption to informal rules that gives organisations legitimacy in an organisational field.

2) **Mimetic isomorphism.** If there is uncertainty within an organisation, an imitation process of a successful organisation might take place.

3) **Normative isomorphism.** This process stems from professionalisation and occurs as professionals within an occupation wants to establish a base and
legitimisation for their occupational autonomy. The result is that organisations tend to turn more alike. (DiMaggio – Powell 1983, p. 150-152).

In the case of this study, coercive and normative isomorphism seem most applicable. If voluntary organisations work closely connected to public actors in IOPs, within the same areas and with extensive personal contact, it is possible that these mechanisms of isomorphism make the organisations more alike. A partnership seems to fit into the theory as an organisational field. One can imagine that as voluntary organisations act as service providers, they do perceive a pressure to turn more alike other, professional providers in the field from the private or the public sector. As there is an expectation to deliver services with a certain quality, there might be a pressure on voluntary organisations to follow certain laws or to act professional. Since the public actors are the actors most established in the field of welfare production, it is more credible that the voluntary organisations are influenced by the public ones than the other way around.

This can imply a loss of the member base of an organisation if the members get the impression that the goal of the organisation is to deliver high quality, professionalised services to the state rather than helping weak groups in society. In this way, a professionalisation can be a sign of that an organisation in an implicit way wants to please the public actors rather than fulfill the will of its members. Therefore, homogenising processes can be a sign of that an organisation is dependent on public actors, which can influence the capacity of it to act as a democratic voice. In other words, there is a tension between the ideas of the member base and an increasing professionalism.

To sum up, the purpose of the study is to answer the question whether voluntary organisations are able to stay independent and to be able to keep their voice function as participant in an IOP, at the same time as they produce services funded by public actors. As voluntary organisations are funded by public actors and work in the same organisational field as them as participant in an IOP, the use of the theories on resource control and isomorphism can explain how independence can be influenced. Partnerships implying a control of a voluntary organisation’s resources made of the public organisations could imply a loss of autonomy but a gain in financial certainty, resulting in a less critical voice from voluntary organisations. In addition, isomorphistic processes imply that a voluntary organisation resembles a public organisation and the goals of it when it is active in the same organisational field, which could create distance to its member-base that it should be independently steered by. Therefore, these two theories are used to answer whether participation in IOPs can threaten the capacity of the Red Cross to stay independent.
3.4 Operationalisation of theory

In this table, the theories and concept from the theory sections are operationalised, showing features that can influence the possibilities for voluntary organisations to retain independence in partnerships with the public sector. These features guide the conduction of interviews and review of IOP-agreements, that is further addressed in next chapter describing the chosen methodology of the study.

Table 3. Features affecting the capacity for voluntary organisations to stay independent in partnerships with the public sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low ability to remain independent, strong service orientation</th>
<th>High ability to remain independent as service provider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resource dependence</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High degree of frequent control similar to a tender contract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The conditions of the IOP were worked out mainly of the public actor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All funding of the IOP comes from public actors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low degree of control as to get funding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The conditions of the IOP were worked out in cooperation between all actors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The IOP is co-financed by the Red Cross and the public actors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Isomorphism</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptation to legal environment, norms and rules of the public sector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orientation on expert knowledge, competence and efficiency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close personal relations to public sector partners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High professionalism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacking possibilities for members to influence activities of the treatment center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy work and service provision not de-coupled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No need of adaptation to new legal environments, norms and rules</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orientation on avantgarde role, highlighting the need of new target groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loosen personal relations to public sector partners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member-orientation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good possibilities for members to influence activities of the treatment centre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De-coupling of advocacy work and service provision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The initiative to the IOP taken by a public actor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More steered than during the time of being funded by grants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The initiative to the IOP taken by the Red Cross</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No different from being funded by grants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table compiled with inspiration from Johansson (2001,2005).
4 Methodology

In order to find out more about the potential of independence for voluntary organisations in IOPs, a case study is conducted on the three IOPs that the Red Cross’ treatment centre for war wounded and tortured in Malmö is a partner in. To examine in what ways the Red Cross is controlled as a participant in IOPs as well as whether homogenising processes have taken place, two different materials are used, documents and, as primary material, interviews.

As to give a background about different aspects of the three IOPs, documents describing the agreements are studied. By analysing the agreements through certain aspects, a material is given that guides the interview questions. In a second step, interviews are conducted with representatives from both the voluntary and the public organisations. With help from these interviews, a material is created describing how the Red Cross and public actors perceive different aspects in the IOP-agreements. As to give an answer to whether the capacity of the voluntary organisations to exercise a voice function has changed since the establishment of IOPs, the study has a retrospective character, focusing on the time before the establishment of the IOPs as well as the development of them.

4.1 Choice of the Red Cross as a case

As to answer the research questions, a case study is conducted that focuses on the Red Cross treatment centre for war wounded and tortured and their three IOPs established with Malmö stad and Region Skåne. As focus lies on the Red Cross as an actor with a preference to stay independent as opinion-maker while producing welfare services as participant in IOPs, it is treated as the case. Red Cross as organisation is chosen as it is established and well-known and therefore fits the chosen definition of voluntary organisations. To some extent, the three IOPs of the Red Cross are compared as well as the influence from Region Skåne versus Malmö stad, but this study does otherwise not have a comparative ambition.

Malmö is one of the biggest cities in Sweden, but there exist no previous studies on IOPs in this part of the country yet, therefore Malmö is a relevant site to conduct this study. The fact that Region Skåne established some of the first IOPs in the country makes the motivation even stronger.

The partnerships chosen for the study concern the treatment centre for war wounded and tortured refugees and immigrants that was founded by the Red Cross in 1988 (Red Cross 1). In 2013, a first IOP was established between the Red Cross and Region Skåne. Since then, two more IOPs have been developed and
Malmö stad has tried out the IOP-model as to finance activities at the centre as well. Before that, the centre was financed through associational grants from Region Skåne and Malmö stad. This development in funding models makes the treatment centre an interesting case and allows for a retrospective study of it. On purpose, an organisation is chosen that has been part of an IOP longest time possible. This increases the possibility that it has been influenced by the partnership, which motivates the choice of the Red Cross’ treatment centre whose IOP with Region Skåne was one of the first partnerships in Skåne and in Sweden. It is also worth to mention that the IOPs of the Red Cross have worked as a role model for other similar IOPs in Sweden, for instance in Gothenburg (The Red Cross 6).

The reason for choosing a case study is in order to get a rich, in-depth material that can bring a deeper understanding of IOPs. Since IOP is such a new phenomenon and considering the absence of research in the field, a case study is suitable in order to develop knowledge and theory about this certain partnership model. As more partnerships have been established in Sweden and the research field has grown, it will make more sense to test theories on a bigger number of cases.

### 4.2 Methodological approach - Interviews

As to give an answer to whether independence can be maintained in IOPs, a material is generated from conduction of semi-structured interviews. In this section, the choice of this certain method is motivated. After that, further information about the interviewees and the conduction of interviews is given, as well as ethical aspects of the methods.

#### 4.2.1 Semi-structured interviews

The main material is collected with help from semi-structured interviews. The research questions could also have been answered by means of surveys, but it would not have enabled such a rich material as interviews can, such as information about the interviewees’ experience of aspects in the IOP-agreements and their affection on the independence of the Red Cross. When using this method, the researcher has guidelines for questions and topics that should be systematically addressed during the interviews, but still has the freedom to digress from the question scheme. As the intention is to interview persons in different roles from both public and voluntary organisations, it is necessary to be able to adapt questions to the interviewee. Berg suggests that semi-structured interviews can reflect an awareness of that individual interviewees understand the world in different ways (Berg 2009, p. 107). As this study has a qualitative character and aims to explore the study field of public-voluntary partnership forms, this approach allows the interviewees to come up with new relevant information not
asked for. This new information can contribute to development of new theory in the field.

4.2.2 Selecting interviewees

Ten persons have been interviewed for the study. Four of them are representatives from the Red Cross, three represent Malmö stad and three are from Region Skåne. These interviewees were chosen because of their knowledge about these certain IOPs. As all of them work with IOPs in their organisations on a daily basis in different ways, they are able to give good answers to the interview questions. No members of the Red Cross were selected as interviewees because of their presumably lacking knowledge of IOPs, even though this option was considered.

Three of the interviewees were at the time of the interview not working anymore for the organisation they represent in the interview. Two of the interviewees from the Red Cross were retired, one of them in spring 2014 and another just a few weeks before the interview. As well, one of the interviewees from Region Skåne is now working for Lund Municipality with establishment of IOPs. Since the study has a retrospective ambition, it was necessary to use some interviewees not working with these specific IOPs anymore. As the interviewee from the Red Cross that retired in 2014 still is engaged in the organisation and the interviewee working in Lund is still working with IOPs, their knowledge is considered to be updated and during the interviews, they gave the impression that they still could bring useful information about the establishment of the IOPs.

The selected interviewees work either 1) specifically with one of the IOPs in focus or 2) with establishment and management of IOPs in general in their organisation. At the Red Cross, three of the interviewees had knowledge of all three IOPs, whereas one interviewee is the manager of the children activities and could therefore only answer questions about that certain IOP. Since two different administrations are in charge of the two IOPs that Malmö stad is a partner in, two interviewees from the Administration for Labour Market, Secondary School and Adult Education were chosen and one from the Administration for Social Resources. All interviewees from Region Skåne had worked more generally with both IOPs and could answer questions about both IOPs in which Region Skåne is a partner.

4.2.3 Conduction of interviews

All interviewees were initially contacted via email. The names of the first interviewees are mentioned as contact persons in the IOP-agreements, and

---

7 A list of the interview persons and the interview scheme can be found in the appendix.
through the snowball effect, it was possible to find a couple of more relevant interviewees.

Eleven interviews were conducted with ten interviewees. Nine interviews were face-to-face interviews that were conducted mostly at the interviewee’s working places or, as in two cases, at cafés. Because two of the interviewees had busy schedules, their interviews were conducted via telephone. Telephone interviews imply a reduced communication between interviewer and interviewee as the interviewer cannot catch the interviewee’s body language or face expressions. Although, an article of Irvine et. al. proves that telephone interviewers in general stay more nuanced or critical towards how lack of visual cues affect the interaction (Irvine et al. 2012, p.89). As to strengthen the reliability of the material from at least one of the telephone interviews, this interview could be complemented with a face-to-face interview at a later stage. As many other interviewees mention this certain interviewee as a person with a lot of knowledge in the IOP field, it was motivated to interview her twice.

All interviews were recorded with either a dictaphone or a call recorder application, and transcribed. In order to gain rapport and make the interviewee feel at ease, every interview began with that the interviewees were asked to tell about their role in the organisation they represent and in which way they were involved in the IOP-establishment. The more technical and sensitive questions, for instance about the capacity to stay independent when being funded by public actors, were asked later on in the interviews.

After the conduction of interviews, all interviewees were offered to approve their quotations that are used in the thesis and the translation of them.

4.2.4 Ethical aspects of the method

In the interview situation, it is important for the researcher to be aware of ethical issues related to the collection of material that might appear, therefore a few ethical aspects that have been reflected on are mentioned in this section.

There might be a risk of that the interviewees working with an IOP on a daily basis are positively biased towards it. The interview questions therefore need to be formulated in a way that do not explicitly reveal the purpose of the study that can enable as unbiased answers as possible. However, ethical research involves explaining the study to the participants (Prior 2003, p. 94), so a suitable trade-off must be made. When the interviews were conducted, the interviewees were informed about the purpose and research question of the study at the end of the interview.

The interviewees might also feel fear to express their opinions on the IOP as that could endanger the collaboration and create unnecessary conflicts. By offering confidentiality to participating interviewees, it could be justified to still conduct the study. Although anonymity of the interviewees decreases the intersubjectivity of a study, it is prioritised to protect the identities of the interviewees. The interviewees were informed before the interview that they were free to refuse to answer questions or stop the interview whenever they want, even
though they have earlier given consent to participate in the study (Kapiszewski 2015, p.226). Due to desires from the interviewees, the names of the interview persons are not written out in connection to each quotation.

### 4.3 Additional material: Documents

In order to discover more about resource control mechanisms and homogenising processes of the three IOPs, the documents describing the IOP-agreements as well as Social Forum’s description of IOPs are examined in addition to the interview material. The material from the documents is used in different ways that is further explained in this section.

Each IOP has an agreement that describes the activity in focus, the conditions for the IOP and the basic values it is based on. As these documents constitute the partnership, they are indeed a relevant material to use. However, the interviews serve as the primary material as the agreement is assumed to just describe the partnership in theory, not implemented.

In a first step, the information from the documents primarily feeds into the background information on IOPs in general that is presented in the background section of the thesis, especially the material from Social Forum documents. As well, the document material guides the formulation of interview questions. In a second step after the conduction of interviews, the documents are analysed once again. In this way, the documents also make a triangulation of the interview material possible.

In this second step, the analysis of the documents is based on the two first research questions of this study: control of resources and activities and homogenisation of organisations. With these questions in mind, the agreements are searched through after aspects that might tell something about the capacity of the Red Cross to stay independent as a partner in an IOP.

When using documents, Prior recommends the researcher not to treat the content as a static material, but to be aware of that the process of reading the document makes the content situated rather than fixed. The content of the document must be viewed from the perspective of both the production and the consumption of it, processes taking place in the context of socially organised circumstances (Prior 2003 p.4, 10-11). Similar to when analysing poems, books or scientific papers, there is a need to see the author as a subject also when analysing formal documents such as acts of legislation or reports (Prior 2003, p. 12).

With this in mind, the documents are used in a critical way, for instance, it is assumed that the IOP-agreements are written in a way that does not give a picture of the Red Cross as dependent on public actors. The reading of documents is conducted in an as unbiased and transparent way as possible and the information is compared with the answers of the interviewees.
4.4 Analytic strategy

A content analysis is used to examine and analyse the interview material. This method consists of two steps, first, a *coding operation*, and then a *data interpretation process* (Prior 2003, p.339).

The first process is a coding of the content of the material, in other words, a data reduction. It is important to make a data reduction that maintains the context of a certain word or expression but that still enables creation of concepts (Lantz 1993, p.82-84). The codes are created with inspiration from the table in the theory section showing how theoretical concepts are operationalised (see section 3.4). It is also looked out for new codes emerging from the data. In a second step, these codes are turned into dimensions that mirror the raw material in terms of how the interviewees answered the interview questions (Lantz 1993, p.84). In a final step, it is searched for patterns in the data.

The identified patterns as well as new categories of evidence immersing from the data are thereafter interpreted in the light of theory and former research. With help from this interpretation, conclusions are drawn.

4.5 Limitations of the study

In this section, the limitations of the methodology of the study are discussed.

The major weakness of a case study is the limited ability to generalise. Even though the study surely can generate insights about the IOPs in focus, it is hard to tell whether these conclusions apply to other IOPs in Sweden. Although, the study has a potential to develop theory and reveal causal mechanisms through the deeper understanding of IOPs generated from a qualitative material.

The choice of primary method, interviews, can also imply a risk of a non-reliable material. As the study focuses on comparisons in time, it will be crucial that the interviewees have a good memory and can give an accurate picture of the time before the establishment of the IOPs. Hopefully, the additional use of documents can alleviate this limitation to some extent.

The use of interviews does also imply the risk that the material gets biased due to the specific interview situation. For instance, perceptions of the interviewer’s identity and personal traits might affect the interviewees’ answers and can thereby cause an interviewer effect (Kapiszewski 2015, p.222). By showing awareness of the reflexivity process when conducting the interviews and analysing the material, an attempt is made to make this bias as small as possible.

Another limitation of this study is the, to some degree, limited availability to interviewees. Two persons from Malmö stad that probably could have contributed with useful information as they participated in the formulation of the IOP-agreements were not available for an interview in the time span earmarked for the
conduction of interviews. Two other useful interviewees from Malmö stad that have also worked with the IOPs in focus were interviewed instead and the information they could contribute with is considered as sufficient in order to make reliable conclusions.

As to further track the homogenising processes, the methods used in this study could have been complemented with an ethnographic approach as to examine the relations between voluntary and public actors at the steering groups’ meetings. Due to the limited time scope of this study, such an approach was not possible since these meetings only take place twice a year.

In the next chapter, the material generated from the interviews and the document review is presented and categorised in accordance with the theoretical framework, showing evidence of potential resource control and isomorphistic processes.
5 Results

After having conducted the 11 interviews and the document review, a result was given that in many ways indicates that it has been able for the Red Cross to keep their independence despite of their participation in IOPs, even though some evidence also indicates a certain resource dependence and homogenisation. The categorising of the results in this chapter mirrors the theory of the study and the way the material was coded. Every section begins with a presentation of expressions and formulations from the IOP-agreements and documents from Social Forum. Thereafter the material from the interviews follows.

All quotations in this section have been translated into English, as it is the language of the thesis. To increase the transparency of the study, the original formulations in Swedish have been added in the appendix.

5.1 Evidence for resource control

As to collect evidence for potential resource control, the interviewees were asked questions related to co-financing, the commitments of the IOPs as well as the control of the fulfillment of these commitments. In this section, the material related to these aspects are presented.

5.1.1 Co-financing

A way to decrease resource dependence is to diversify the sources of funding. By reviewing the documents describing the IOP-agreements, information on the financing of the IOPs was found. In two of the three IOP-agreements (IOP 1 & 3), there exists some kind of co-financing meaning that not only the public actors but also the Red Cross contributes in monetary terms to the activity concerned in the IOP (see table 4). In both these IOPs, the Red Cross is also expected to contribute with volunteers. In IOP 3, it is even stated that the voluntary work is part of their self-financing (Region Skåne 2). The IOP with Malmö stad does not have a self-financing component, the city covers the entire cost of 1 500 000 SEK per year.
Table 4. The monetary co-financing of IOPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total amount</th>
<th>Region Skåne</th>
<th>Malmö stad</th>
<th>The Red Cross</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IOP 1</td>
<td>8 150 000 SEK</td>
<td>6 520 000 SEK</td>
<td>1 630 000 SEK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP 2</td>
<td>1 500 000 SEK</td>
<td>1 500 000 SEK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP 3</td>
<td>1 070 000 SEK</td>
<td>400 000 SEK</td>
<td>400 000 SEK</td>
<td>270 000 SEK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Region Skåne 1 & 2, Malmö stad

According to the interviewees from the Red Cross, it has been important for the Red Cross to keep a certain self-financing in the IOPs. The reason for this is that public actors should not be able to make heavy demands on them. By paying a part of the IOP-cost themselves, they are freer to express, for instance, their opinions on access to health care by offering services to migrants that otherwise do not have access to health care. As expressed in the following quotation, the fact that the Red Cross does not require patient fees is a consequence of the independence gained from co-financing:

“…We are not 100% financed by the region, but the majority of the money is from the region, about 80-85%. And that is kind of a conscious strategy from our side, because we also want a certain freedom when it comes to the attitude we take towards the health care we provide, that we have a possibility to keep our independence. It could e.g. manifest itself through that you don’t pay any patient fee at our centre…”

(Interviewee 1, the Red Cross, 2016)

In addition to that, the self-financing allows the Red Cross to run other activities parallel to the activity described in the IOP, such as advocacy and formation of public opinion. Moreover, they can apply for financing through other ways depending on what activities they want to arrange. This is beneficial for the children activities at the centre:

”…then I can apply for money depending on what different activities I want to do. And then it does not have to be that steered. For instance summer camps, then I can apply from funds that finance summer camps. Or if I want to develop something I can apply for money on that basis. So it gets freer in some way as well.”

(Interviewee 2, the Red Cross, 2016)

Interviewees from Malmö stad highlight the feeling of participation and a mutual dependence rather than the independence aspect.

“I think it rather is about project as an ownership and that both partners should feel both participation and responsibility”

(Interviewee 1, Malmö stad, 2016)

Hence, they seem to have a slightly different view on the advantages with co-financing than the Red Cross has.
5.1.2 Commitments and the creation of them

By examining the commitments of the IOP-agreements and the way these were agreed upon, more can be learnt about how the entrance in IOPs has affected the Red Cross’ independence. The commitments can be interpreted as conditions as to get funding.

In the IOP-agreements, the commitments of each actor are described. In IOP 1, except from that the Red Cross is supposed to have about 270 patients in treatment every year as earlier mentioned, they should offer lectures and occasions for knowledge-sharing to collaboration partners within Region Skåne (Region Skåne 1).

In IOP 2, 50 treatment spots should be offered by the Red Cross. Both partners in the IOP are assumed to contribute to sharing of knowledge, even though this is not further specified in the agreement (Malmö stad). The same holds for the third IOP, except from that activities should be conducted for about 70 children and 40 adults, knowledge-sharing is merely mentioned in passing (Region Skåne 2). In IOP 1 & 3, the quotas of patients are, as highlighted above, not absolute but approximate which speaks for a rather weak control of commitments.

When it comes to the formulation of goals, in IOP 3 it is stated that the agreement was worked out in cooperation with all partners. This is supported in the interviews with the Red Cross as all interviewed actors answered that there was a common, equal discussion with the other actors.

“…yes, I think there was an equal discussion about that. And we had talked pretty much about it and as I said before, our cooperation had a history as well that we could base it on.”

(Interviewee 3, the Red Cross, 2016)

This picture is also confirmed by the public actors. An interviewee from Malmö stad claims that the fact that Red Cross is such a professional organisation with professionalised activities facilitated an equal discussion:

…”if we had made an IOP with another organisation and within an area that was not that professionalised we could have had another situation. Now it is so professionalised that they are under the jurisdiction of the Health and Medical Services Act\(^8\), and with that, the role they have played, they have strength in what they do. So I think… so that implies that I think we were equal.”

(Interviewee 2, Malmö stad, 2016)

An interviewee from Region Skåne points out that the Red Cross formulated the text in the IOP-agreement that described their task by themselves, which indicates low tendencies of resources control.

\[^8\] From now on mentioned HSL, the abbreviation for the Swedish translation "Hälso- och sjukvårdslagen".
However, both the interviewees from Red Cross and Malmö stad state that it was a bit hard to formulate the agreement for IOP 2. One of the Red Cross interviewees meant that the civil servants tended to talk in terms of public procurement:

“…’one of the IOPs with Malmö Stad […] there I think it was pretty difficult. There I think we had a worse dialogue about what it was that should be delivered and how and which kind of follow-ups that should be made. There I think the municipality touched on, at least at the beginning, had a reasoning that was close to a tender contract”

(Interviewee 1, the Red Cross, 2016)

A representative from Malmö stad meant that they had problems to agree on the targets in the creation of this IOP:

”’What I perceive as different with this process, that is pretty much how tough, we had to work a lot with the agreement, or the writing of the IOP, as for us to have the same picture…”

(Interviewee 2, Malmö stad, 2016)

In other words, it appears to have been harder for the Red Cross to make an IOP with Malmö stad than with Region Skåne.

5.1.3 The control of the fulfillment of commitments

Control of the different actors’ fulfillment of stated commitments is similar in all IOPs of the treatment centre. This is an aspect that in a clear way can indicate resource dependence. The Red Cross is supposed to produce an activity plan and an annual report every year (Region Skåne 1, Malmö stad, Region Skåne 2). Another part of the follow-up is meetings held at least twice a year (for IOP 2 & IOP 3). In IOP 1, Region Skåne does regularly follow-up visits to the centre.

When asking the interviewees about how they perceive these control routines, several of the Red Cross’ representatives highlight the importance of that they are not paid per hour of treatment but per treatment spot. This enables the centre to adapt the treatment to the needs of the target group and to stay independent to a larger degree. One interviewee talks about this in a context of the trend of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) which might not always suit the target group of the centre:

“But it has been one type of method that has been popular, everyone should have the same number of treatment sessions, for example 10 times. And then one should run the programme and this I think it is dangerous when financiers come in and steer what kind of treatments one should use and especially in an organisation where one has that much experience of this specific target group.”

(Interviewee 3, the Red Cross, 2016)
Interviewees from the Red Cross also answer that the quotas are not maximised and free in form:

“We also have an agreement that says that we should give treatment to about 200 patients, it is approximately that number of treatment hours, but it is not followed up in that way "now you only treated 197, you should have done 200"”
(Interviewee 1, the Red Cross, 2016)

The public actors interviewed agree on that the control is not that strict. One of them answers that the follow-up rather is based on dialogue than on facts.

”...it is based on dialogue, because there are no concrete goals they should fulfill more than good health care […] it can't be based on facts so it has to be based on dialogue”
(Interviewee 2, Region Skåne, 2016)

When comparing the follow-up and control routines of the IOP-agreements in comparison to former funding models, a couple of actors from different organisations answer that the difference is not that big, but the requirements are a bit clearer and that it is regulated how often the participating actors should meet.

”...yes, I would say that we have put a number of requirements related to control, transparence and follow-up that I think were met with sympathy in the agreement.
Interviewer: And they are a bit clearer than earlier during the time of the associational grant?
- Yes, I would say.”
(Interviewee 2, Malmö stad, 2016)

To sum up, the co-financing in two of the IOPs seem to have been beneficial for the independence of the Red Cross. As well, the equal creation of commitments and a quite soft control of them indicates low resource dependence.

5.2 Evidence for homogenisation processes

In order to get evidence of whether the Red Cross has resembled a public service provider, the interviewees were asked questions related to professionalism, contact and member-orientation. In this section, their answers on this theme are presented.

5.2.1 Adaption to laws and norms

A clear way that indicates homogenisation in this context is if the Red Cross has had to adapt to laws and norms of the public sector, making it resemble a public
organisation (coercive isomorphism). When examining the IOP-agreements, paragraphs describing what laws the Red Cross is expected to follow as partner of the IOP can be found. All three IOP-agreements mention that they are under the jurisdiction of the HSL as they are care providers (Region Skåne 1, Malmö stad, Region Skåne 2). As well, it is stated in IOP 1 & IOP 3 that the Red Cross commit itself to follow the laws, rules and norms that have been issued by the public authorities. In these two IOP-agreements, the Red Cross is also expected to follow laws that all employing organisations have to be under (Region Skåne 1 & 2).

When asking the interviewees from the Red Cross, it becomes evident that the Red Cross was under the jurisdiction of the HSL long before the establishment of the IOPs with public actors. The adaption to the HSL took place about 10 years ago according to one of the interviewees:

"No, we had that before already. I mean, we are a care provider under the jurisdiction of the HSL, we have to follow all regulations as to work as a care provider within the HSL. We write patient journals according to approved systems and we have the same kind of inspection, deviations, reporting, patient safety, everything that applies to any care provider […] 10 years ago since the Patient Safety Act law was sharpened, so that has helped us. So from that point of view nothing changed”

(Interviewee 1, the Red Cross, 2016)

Furthermore, the activity leader for the children activities has an obligation to report if she sees that a child fares badly according to Swedish law. This is also not an adaption that was made because of the IOP.

A few interviewees from both the Red Cross and Region Skåne answer that other organisations or associations might have been more influenced as they became IOP-partners than the Red Cross, as they were already working with activities that required a certain professionalisation before the IOP. As the Red Cross is offering health care services, it has been unavoidable already before the IOP to adapt to certain laws and thereby professionalise. In other words, it is the field of activity that matters when it comes to whether an adaption has to be made.

"I think it can be different for other activity areas, that if it is not health care it is possible that one has to undergo some kind of adaption, but it has not affected our activities at all.”

(Interviewee 4, the Red Cross, 2016)

For instance, an interviewee from Region Skåne says that some other associations had to elect an Operations Manager when they entered an IOP.

…”simultaneously there were many things that were new for some other organisations, you must have an Operations Manager, you have to follow this, the health care laws... you have to keep track on this […] In that way it become revolutionary for some of the other organisations, but not for the Red Cross”

(Interviewee 1, Region Skåne, 2016)
On the other hand, an interviewee from Malmö stad highlights that voluntary organisations have to allow an insight in their accounts when entering an IOP, which can indicate that an adaption process took place because of the IOP.

### 5.2.2 Professionality and quality

As to infer whether a normative isomorphism has taken place, perceptions of increased professionality and quality are addressed in this subsection. In the first IOP-agreement signed with Region Skåne, IOP 1, the professionality of the Red Cross is emphasised as it is stated that the personnel group consists of legitimised treaters (Region Skåne 1), otherwise no further indicating information on this theme could be found.

In the interviews, the interview persons from the public organisations were asked if they perceive the Red Cross as a professional actor. Interviewees from Malmö Stad answer that they perceive the Red Cross as an organisation as professional as their own:

"I do not perceive that it is voluntary forces but it is a professional organisation that meets another professional organisation.”

(Interviewee 2, Malmö stad, 2016)

A Region Skåne representative answers that she does not perceive the Red Cross as less professional than other organisations but also highlights that the voluntary organisations are not expected to deliver quality in the same way as private actors:

"No, I cannot say that I think that, but maybe we do not use as strict means of control as we use for the private ones […] I mean there are more concrete quality targets that they should fulfill and we don’t have that for the voluntary organisations, not today at least”

(Interviewee 2, Region Skåne, 2016)

Contradictory to the former quotations, the interviewees from Region Skåne also emphasise the importance of that voluntary organisations in general need a certain maturity in order to participate in an IOP.

"The voluntary sector has to get a bit more mature, they have to mature a bit more before […] not really there yet. And I think this relates to the fact that the Swedish society does not build on this”

(Interviewee 3, Region Skåne, 2016)

This can indicate a certain pressure on voluntary actors to professionalise in order to qualify for an IOP.

When asking the Red Cross representatives in what way the participation in IOP has affected the quality of their services they all answer that it allowed them to have a long-term perspective that enabled them to develop their activities.
“…because we have got money now from Malmö stad and Region Skåne, we do not need to apply for, to worry about what happens 2017 or 2018 because we know that we have financing until 2018. And then we can develop much more and do it in a way that strengthens the activities much more.”
(Interviewee 2, the Red Cross, 2016)

Due to the increased amount of money that the first IOP resulted in, more personnel could be hired that also increased the quality of their services according to an interviewee, which can be interpreted as an increased professionalisation.

5.2.3 Contact and distance

According to the isomorphism theory, an organisational field is tied together of transactions between actors, making contacts an important aspect to examine. In all of the IOP-agreements it is stated that the parts in the partnership will communicate continuously. It is further specified in IOP 1 that a dialogue will be held about the needs of the target group (Region Skåne 1). In IOP 2, it is stated that the parts in the partnership are expected to participate in a collegial learning process (Malmö stad).

IOP 2 & 3 also further specify that steering groups with representatives from each participating organisation should meet at least twice a year (Malmö stad, Region Skåne 2). In IOP 1, these are, as earlier mentioned, replaced with two yearly visits at the treatment centre made by representatives from Region Skåne (Region Skåne 1). However, it is hard to infer from this information how extensive the contact is in practice.

When asking the interviewees whether the contact with the other parts in the IOP has increased or decreased since the start of the IOP, most interviewees answer that it has increased even though it is not that extensive. The partners have most contact before an IOP is followed-up or renegotiated. According to the Red Cross, the conversations focus on the need of the target group.

“…so there are much more contact and as well more reasoning about the target group and the needs of the target group. Definitely”
(Interviewee 1, the Red Cross, 2016)

Except from the steering group meetings, it is mentioned in the interviews that IOP 2 has an operative collaboration group meeting more frequently than the civil servants represented in the first mentioned group do.

Distance is not emphasised by any person interviewed, rather the opposite. The Red Cross interviewees state that close relations favour their target group and that they are a sufficiently established organisation so that there is no need to keep a distance in order to resist influence from public actors.
"We have not stressed distance because we have not been afraid of being influenced […] That would surely be a more important question for a smaller organisation I think”

(Interviewee 4, the Red Cross, 2016)

Public actors interviewed mention several reasons for why close relations are beneficial. One of the Region Skåne interviewees states that it can be both essential in order to understand each other’s organisations and beneficial for the target group that might be in need of both organisations’ activities. Interviewees from Malmö stad state that closeness is necessary in order to create trust:

"…I have tried to build close relations with the associations and the people working there, just because we have been really clear about that if there is something you want to ask […] contact us! And for them to dare to do it and not feel like “now I have to contact Malmö stad again” […] this requires a close relationship and an open dialogue.”

(Interviewee 1, Malmö stad, 2016)

However, some of the interviewees emphasise that some distance has to remain as the organisations drive different politics, which indicates that distance still is emphasised to some extent:

"…and then I think that in general it is good that we take care of our kind of organisation, that we have a cooperation but that it does not become blurred…There are questions where it differs a lot, for instance, when it comes to politics and that, where we should act as a consultation body there and express our own opinions.”

(Interviewee 3, the Red Cross, 2016)

Moreover, an interviewee from Malmö stad highlights that the activities are conducted in the premises of the Red Cross. This creates a clear distinction and distance between the Red Cross and (in this case) Malmö stad.

5.2.4 Volunteers – participation and recruitment

Another way to learn more about the degree of professionalisation of the Red Cross is to examine the presence of volunteers and which requirements that are put on them. The extent to which volunteers deliver services is not that specified in the IOP-agreements. As earlier mentioned, voluntary work is mentioned in IOP 1 & 3, in IOP 3 in a more certain way, “as a part of the partnership the Red Cross stands for volunteers”, (Region Skåne 1,Region Skåne 2, my own translation) than in IOP 1.

The Red Cross interviewees state that they only use volunteers in the children activities, in other terms, in IOP 3. These volunteers are recruited and since they commit to the Red Cross to work as a volunteer, the Red Cross can make demands on them, such as that they have to come and work in the activities every week.
(About the recruitment of volunteers:) "I interview all volunteers and then I choose the ones I consider suit to the organisation. Sometimes, I mean many of the ones that apply are good persons, they want to do something good, but if I only have 10 spots and 20 that apply, I have to elect these that I think can make a good group together”

(Interviewee 2, the Red Cross, 2016)

The volunteers are bound by professional secrecy. Additionally, they are expected to treat people with a certain attitude and to have knowledge about the Red Cross according to one interviewee. Even though many volunteers are students of psychology or social work, which could contribute to a professionalisation of volunteers, such educational background is not a requirement. Within the children activities the Operation Manager is the only employed, she is also a former volunteer, so in this activity, the interaction between professionals and volunteers seems to be unproblematic.

No volunteers are working in the clinical activity even though the centre has received requests from legitimised psychologists. The Red Cross interviewees see difficulties with having volunteers and professionals that work in the same activity, neither is it allowed according to HSL:

“When it comes to the treatment centre there is no voluntary work at all because the HSL does not allow that clinical activities are conducted by volunteers. So it does not work. And yes, we have had clinicians, legitimised clinicians that want to work on voluntary basis. Especially last autumn when so many came. And then I have said no to that.”

(Interviewee 4, the Red Cross, 2016)

Hence, it seems important to the Red Cross to de-couple the activities that build on voluntary work from the ones that build on clinical work.

5.2.5 Members and their possibility to influence the centre’s work

By asking the interviewees about the possibility of the members to influence the activities at the centre, it can be inferred from this whether the organisation has strong connections to the member-base, important as for the organisation to be independently steered. No information related to this aspect can be found in any of the IOP-agreements. When asking the interviewees from the Red Cross about the possibility for individual members to influence the activities at the centre, they answer that they are steered by the national board of the Red Cross. One therefore has to go through the national meeting every fourth year, when the organisation decides on which main priorities the Red Cross should have during the following four years, in order to influence.

"I mean it is a pretty long way because of that it is a democratic organisation, so we are steered, the members choose representatives that shape the direction on our national
meetings [...] it is on overall level so we never go into the details and decide that this activity should look in a particular way on these premises but you make decisions on the overall level. So it is actually there you can influence the content in the activities.”  
(Interviewee 1, the Red Cross, 2016)

Also another interviewee agrees on that it is a long way to go if one wants to influence the activity, but that there are many connections to the voluntary-driven clubs. The same interviewee states that some of the centre’s local branches are housed together with the local clubs. She also highlights that volunteers can feel certain participation by engaging in the children activities:

"And then I think that there are possibilities to work with the children activities and in this volunteer bank and there you still get insight and participation, but you are not a clinical staff”  
(Interviewee 4, the Red Cross, 2016)

Another interviewee from the Red Cross states that the centre could pick up the ideas and the willingness of the volunteers to work in a better way than what is done today. This answer indicates that the member-orientation could be improved at the treatment centre.

The evidence presented in this section suggests that a certain professionalisation of the centre took place before the IOPs. The contact between the Red Cross and public actors has increased since the establishment of IOPs which might be beneficial for the target group though. The member-orientation seems to be quite low in certain parts of the centre’s activities, but higher in some other parts. Accordingly, some evidence infers homogenisation because of the IOP establishment, some evidence does not.

5.3 Evidence generally related to independence

A few other aspects of IOPs not directly related to resource dependence or isomorphism have also been examined, such as the ownership and initiative of IOP as well as possibilities to do advocacy work within the IOPs. These aspects and other aspects immersing from the data are presented in this section.

5.3.1 Ownership

According to Social Forum, a voluntary organisation is supposed to keep the ownership to its activities as a partner in an IOP. This is a way of keeping influence. The IOP-documents do not address this literally, but in IOP 1 and 3 it is stated that the activities should be run by the Red Cross in their own premises, which can be interpreted as an expression for this (Region Skåne 1 & 2).
Some of the interviewees from the Red Cross and Malmö stad state that ownership should be understood in this way, as that the Red Cross should be running the activities:

…”it is not the municipality that runs the activities in an IOP, this is a partnership but it is not we that run it, it is the organisations that run the activities”
(Interviewee 3, Malmö stad, 2016)

Additionally, a representative from Region Skåne states that it is necessary that they own the activity for the capacity for organisations to stay innovative.

“…one thinks that there is some kind of innovative power and capacity that, I mean in some way it is easier to use the flexibility and to think in new ways than that one lifts in something into the big organisation of the region.”
(Interviewee 2, Region Skåne, 2016)

Although most interviewed persons agree on that it is important that the ownership belongs to the voluntary organisation, one interviewee from Malmö stad and one from the Red Cross highlight the importance of a joint ownership rather than one that belongs to the voluntary organisation:

”…It starts with a common vision and a common definition of goals where we together identify a societal challenge and say that we want to do this together. We have set the goals together with the organisations, so of course we feel a joint ownership.”
(Interviewee 1, Malmö stad, 2016)

The emphasis on a joint ownership is, as can be read in the quotation, motivated by the fact that the actors have a common vision.

5.3.2 The initiative to the IOP

Although it is not stated in the separate IOP-agreements, the theoretical model made by Social Forum emphasises that IOPs should be initiated by the voluntary organisation. This characteristic of IOP differentiates it from a tender contract. No information about this can be found in the IOP-agreements.

Almost all interviewees agreed on that the Red Cross initiated their IOPs.

”We have suggested the form, the discussion about, primarily when they said from the region’s side that we have to make a tender contract, then we have said “no, we don’t have to, there is another alternative””
(Interviewee 1, the Red Cross, 2016)
In IOP 2 however, the political committee steering the Administration for Labour Market, Secondary School and Adult Education was a driving force according to the interviewees from Malmö stad.

"…we have a very active political committee, that is active politicians that really wanted IOPs, and as IOP was new and because of that there were not that many concrete experiences and examples, it was actually our politicians that said “we want to try this”. This led to a study that later lead to a few IOPs”
(Interviewee 1, Malmö stad, 2016)

There was also a very strong political support from the politicians from Region Skåne, that contributed to the establishment of the first IOPs. Even if the Red Cross seems to have taken the initiative, the public actors appear to have been very keen on IOPs as well.

5.3.3 Knowledge transfer / Advocacy work

Except from care, lectures are offered by the Red Cross about the specific target group and their needs as a part of IOP 1 (Region Skåne 1). This could be seen as a kind of advocacy work since it is a chance for the Red Cross to highlight this target group.

In the other two IOPs, this part is only vaguely formulated. In IOP 2, it is stated that knowledge dispersion is part of the agreement and that both parts in the agreement have the responsibility for it (Malmö Stad). In IOP 3, knowledge dispersion is further clarified. It focuses the need of the target group as well as structural work on local, regional, national and international level according to the agreement (Region Skåne 2).

The interviewees from the Red Cross state that the clinicians instruct at the lectures, in other words, the same personnel that is working with care financed by the public actors, which could indicate a decreased independence.

“We offer lectures, workshops or education at the PTSD-centre and tomorrow I will lecture for the employees of Malmö stad, so it is the clinical staff out there that do that as well.”
(Interviewee 4, the Red Cross, 2016)

According to the Red Cross interviewees, these lectures are not only an opportunity to spread their expert knowledge in the field to the public actors, but also to do advocacy work undercover in terms of highlighting the needs of the target group:

“…simultaneously as we actually have 30 years of experience no one else has. But actually they know that we come there and tell about the target group and the needs of the group, that is greater than what is met and what is being paid for”
(Interviewee 1, the Red Cross, 2016)
This becomes evident as not only clinicians working in the public sector but also civil servants participate in these lectures.

5.3.4 Other factors affecting independence

As an ending question in every interview, the interviewees were asked to mention aspects that they consider important as for a voluntary organisation to retain independence in an IOP. This open question generated different answers from the different interviewees, but two main tracks can be found.

Some interviewees, both from the Red Cross and the public actors, emphasise agreements and dialogues between voluntary and public actors as important for the voluntary sector to keep its independence. A local agreement that will strengthen and clarify cooperation between Malmö stad and voluntary organisations is in the making according to an interviewee. This is a way to retain independence in partnerships.

An interviewee from Region Skåne highlights trust and an open dialogue as a good way to sustain independence:

“But it must build on some kind of mutual trust… when it comes to the Red Cross it is an organisation that we have, we have a big trust in that they see the needs and that they put attention to possibly risks and lacks in their own activities. I mean, that builds on openness in the dialogue.”

(Interviewee 2, Region Skåne, 2016)

Another recurring theme is that the voluntary organisations have a responsibility in retaining independence themselves. A strong identity and idea is necessary as to remain independent according to several public actors and one of the representatives from the Red Cross interviewed.

"But on the other hand I think that if you have a strong identity and a strong idea that holds, that should be enough. It should carry you in this as well, when you sit down with the public actor”

(Interviewee 3, Region Skåne, 2016)

The public actors interviewed also emphasise the fact that the IOP only concerns a limited part of the activities of an organization and that they are free to do other activities beside of these. This is an aspect contributing to the capacity to independence, suggesting that IOP is a good model for keeping independence.

“…so in that way one can say that the IOP is very focused on a certain area where we have a common interest. When it comes to all other interests the organisations and these other associations have the freedom to do that themselves”

(Interviewee 1, Region Skåne, 2016)
The statement that IOP is good for independence is also supported by a representative of the Red Cross, stating that the participation in IOPs has made them more visible because of the regular follow-ups, which also have given them a stronger position in the dialogue with public actors.

The interviewees from the Red Cross also emphasise that public actors actually want them to be a voice and to criticise the way they do things. That is an incentive for them not to steer voluntary organisations. In addition to that, another interviewee from the Red Cross claims that strict steering can imply that added values desired by the public actors get lost, as these values are non-quantifiable.

A couple of interviewees also mention clusters as a future solution for IOPs that can allow smaller organisations to join IOPs. A cluster consists of several voluntary organisations that together make an IOP with a public actor in a certain area. That implies that each organisation takes on a different role and does not have to be that dependent on the public actor.

In summary, most interviewees answered that the Red Cross should own their activities in an IOP. The Red Cross has initiated the IOPs according to most interviewees and the IOPs have given them opportunities to advocacy work. Other aspects central in keeping independence in IOPs which were mentioned were also presented in this section, such as local agreements, the necessity of that voluntary organisations have a strong idea and cluster IOPs.

5.4 Summary of the material and conclusions

According to the results presented in the former sections, evidence indicates that the Red Cross has managed to keep a certain independence as an IOP-participant.

The material suggested that the ways that the Red Cross is controlled by the public actors are not that threatening to the independence of the organisation. For instance, co-financing, equal discussions on creation of commitments as well as soft guidelines and approximate quotas are evidence that point towards a capacity to retain independence.

When it comes to the presence of homogenisation, an adaption to public laws as well as a general professionalisation of the centre seems to have taken place – but before the establishment of the IOPs. On the other hand, the quality of the activities seems to have increased because of the entrance in IOPs and the contacts with public actors as well.

There are volunteers that take part in some activities de-coupled from the more professional, clinical work, inferring a certain member-orientation. In the clinical part of the centre’s activities, the member-orientation seem to be quite low. These factors can indicate that even though the Red Cross is quite professionalised, parts of the centre still have characteristics of a voluntary organisation, which increases its capacity to stay independent from the public sector and close to its members and ideas.
Moreover, evidence such as that the Red Cross is perceived to have the ownership of the activities due to most actors as well as that most interviewees state that they were the initiators of the IOP, support that there is a capacity of independence. Another interesting fact is the possibility to educate public actors about the need of the target group (as in IOP 1) that is a clear way to conduct advocacy within the framework of the IOP.

Overall, despite certain professionalism, the Red Cross seems to have quite good capacity to remain independent as part of these IOPs, at least more independent than a smaller, less professionalised organisation would have capacity to be.

In table 5, the material from the interviews and the documents is roughly summarised and categorised according to the source of the material. In chapter 6, these conclusions are further discussed in the light of the theory of the study.
Table 5. Summary of material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence for resource control</th>
<th>Category of evidence</th>
<th>Document review</th>
<th>Interviews: The Red Cross</th>
<th>Interviews: Public actors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Co-financing</td>
<td>in 2 of 3 IOPs</td>
<td>good as to have a say about access to health care and to freely be able to start up new activities with external funding</td>
<td>creates a mutual feeling of participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitments and the creation of them</td>
<td>Certain amount of treatment spots as well as lectures should be delivered</td>
<td>Equal discussions, a bit hard to agree on IOP 2</td>
<td>Equal discussions, a bit hard to agree on IOP 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The control of the undertakings</td>
<td>Activity plan, annual report, follow-up meetings</td>
<td>Good not to be paid per hour and to not have maximised quotas</td>
<td>Dialogue-based follow-up, soft guidelines but still clearer than during the time of grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence for homogenising processes</td>
<td>Adaption to laws and norms</td>
<td>Care provider under HSL, should follow laws issued by public authorities</td>
<td>Adaption to HSL and other laws earlier than IOP</td>
<td>Other smaller organisations more exposed to adaption in IOPs than the Red Cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professionality and quality</td>
<td>In IOP 1: the personnel group are legitimised treaters</td>
<td>IOPs helped to increase quality in terms of strengthened activities and more personnel</td>
<td>Malmö stad considers the Red Cross as professional, Region Skåne highlights that organisations need a certain maturity to be in an IOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contact and distance</td>
<td>Continuous communication and collegial learning process mentioned</td>
<td>More contact than before, but not that extensive. Close relations good for target group, steered by different directorates though</td>
<td>Operative collaboration meeting meets quite frequently, close relations good as to build trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volunteers – participation and recruitment</td>
<td>In IOP 3: The Red Cross stands for volunteers</td>
<td>Are recruited, make commitments as volunteers, no volunteers in clinical activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Members and their possibility to influence the centre’s work</td>
<td></td>
<td>Can influence at the national meetings, certain contact with local clubs, could be better at picking up new ideas from volunteers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence generally related to the capacity of independence</td>
<td>Categories of evidence</td>
<td>Documents</td>
<td>Interviews: The Red Cross</td>
<td>Interviews: Public actors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td>The activities should be run by the Red Cross in their own premises</td>
<td>It is important that the Red Cross runs the activities</td>
<td>It is important that the Red Cross runs the activities as to keep innovativeness. Malmö stad emphasises joint ownership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The initiative to the IOP</td>
<td>The Red Cross suggested the financing form</td>
<td>The Red Cross suggested the financing form</td>
<td>The Red Cross suggested the form, but according to Malmö stad, a political committee was very keen on IOPs. As well, strong political support from Region Skåne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge transfer / Advocacy work</td>
<td>Red Cross provides lectures as part of the IOP, all parts have the responsibility for knowledge dispersion</td>
<td>The clinicians are the ones educating, expert knowledge is generated but also occasion for advocacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other factors affecting independence</td>
<td>Agreements and open dialogues, IOPs have given the Red Cross a stronger positions in the dialogues, strong identity, no incentives for public actors to steer them, clusters</td>
<td>Agreements and open dialogues, strong identity and idea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6 Discussion

As to put the findings from the last chapter, showing that the Red Cross has been able to keep a certain independence in the IOPs, in a context and to bring a deeper understanding to these results, they are further discussed and reflected on in this chapter.

6.1 Follow-ups characterised by dialogue

According to the interviews made with the Red Cross’ representatives, they do not perceive themselves as that steered by the public actors as a participant in an IOP. Furthermore, it seems as if the control mechanisms are perceived as reasonable and that they had put the foot down in cases when public actors have started to talk in terms of tender contracts. As well, the public actors seem to be conscious about that they cannot put the same kind of requirements on voluntary actors as on private actors, which would threaten the independence of the voluntary organisations desired by public actors. In other words, it seems as if the continuous financing gained thanks to the participation in IOPs has generated a certainty to the Red Cross, which is more important than the autonomy lost due to steering of the public actor, that however seems to be marginal.

As stated by most interviewees, the follow-ups are dialogue-based and circle around the needs of the target group. As well, the creation of commitments is stated to have been done in an equal way with influence from all participating actors. Even though it seems as if Region Skåne was more obliging in the creation the IOP-agreements than Malmö stad that seemed to prefer an IOP with more control, both participating actors seem to be satisfied with the final formulation of IOP 2. This difference between Region Skåne and Malmö stad can possibly be explained by the fact that the region has a longer experience with IOPs than the city. Therefore, they might be more aware of the desire of voluntary organisations to keep their independence, motivating them to initiate IOPs.

These equal dialogues could infer that the power relations created by resource dependence are evened out. Since the voluntary actors have expert knowledge about the target group in focus to contribute with in the IOP, equality and reciprocity can be created. In other words, as it is not only the public actors that have resources to offer, the relation between the Red Cross and the public actors seems to be mutual.

Although, a picture expressed by some of the public actors is that IOP is a way that makes it possible to dictate to a new extent than before what is expected by
the voluntary organisations in exchange for the funding. It is mentioned in the interviews that an IOP is a more regulated partnership than the associational grants. Despite that dialogue is emphasised, IOP seems to be perceived as a way for the public actors to put more requirements on voluntary actors than before during the time of grants. The fact that there was a great increase in money as the grants were turned into IOPs makes this suspicion increase as that could legitimise a stronger regulation. Still, the requirements seem to be less strict than in a tender contract. As well, this picture is just supported by some of the interviewees.

As to further confirm how the soft guidelines affect the activities of the treatment centre, a more extensive study with an ethnographic approach would have been needed. As well, to understand to what degree the expressed perceptions of the interviewees are true stating that the discussions have had an equal character, another study with a discursive character could have been useful. Due to the limited time scope, these approaches could not be used in this study.

6.2 The Red Cross – a professionalised organisation before the IOPs

In the material, it becomes quite clear that the Red Cross’ treatment centre is a professionalised activity with employed staff and that the activities had reached a certain degree of professionalisation already before they entered IOPs. For instance, the Red Cross had to adapt to HSL a few years before 2013 when their first IOP came into force.

Even though many of the homogenising processes happened before the IOPs, there are some signs of a further professionalisation after the IOP entrance as well. The adaption to HSL can e.g. be interpreted as coercive and the increased quality of the activities as normative isomorphism.

According to public actors, an IOP is most suitable for voluntary organisations with a professionalised character as they want high quality services from their IOP-partners. This attitude of the public actors can push the professionlisation of voluntary organisations further and make them, for instance, increase the quality of their services and number of employees, as was the case for the Red Cross. The fact that the contact between the organisations is stated to have increased since the establishment of the IOPs also indicates that a homogenisation might happen because of the IOPs. This might affect the connection to the member-base of the Red Cross in a bad way.

Neither before nor after the establishment of the IOP, there have been strong connections between the clinical part of the centre and the member-base of the Red Cross. Although there are volunteers working in the children activities, these are recruited in a way that reminds of a recruitment process for a paid job and the volunteers do not seem to have that many possibilities to influence the activities of the centre. According to theory, this loose coupling to members in some parts of the organisation could imply that the activities are more steered by Region
Skåne and Malmö stad than of the members of the Red Cross. That could result in difficulties to do advocacy work criticising these actors. As well, a too far-reaching professionalisation that results in that high requirements are put on voluntary workers might deter volunteers to engage.

Although, one can question if it actually is necessary for the entire organisation to be member-oriented in order for it to be independent and able to advocate. As long as certain parts of the organisation have a strong member-orientation and the organisation is overall democratically governed, it could be legitimised for certain branches of it to act professionally in order to influence. In the case of the centre, the member-oriented, voluntary-based activities are clearly de-coupled from the more professionalised, clinical activities, which require more contact with public actors.

In this study, just a few indicators showed that IOP strengthened the professionalisation of the Red Cross. One can imagine that the improvement in quality caused by the IOP is a factor that contributes to a decreased member-orientation as that might decrease the influence of members and presence of volunteers in the organisation. That in combination with increased contact with public actors might result in that the Red Cross’ representatives shift their loyalty from their members towards colleagues in the public organisations, making it harder to stay independent and uninfluenced from them. However, a professionalisation of parts of the organisation might contribute to the independence of the organisation as that can strengthen their position in negotiations with public actors. It should be mentioned that another study conducted from the perspective of the organisation’s members could have generated other conclusions.

6.3 New possibilities to use the voice function in the IOPs

An interesting aspect emerging in the study is the possibility for the Red Cross to educate public actors about the target group that they have sound experience of. This is mentioned as a good way to do advocacy work in disguise. From the perspective of the public actors, this is rather seen as a way for them to disperse and use the expert knowledge of the Red Cross in their organisation. Overall, the big focus on the need of the target group in lectures, dialogues and follow-ups must be seen as very beneficial for the Red Cross as highlighting this group’s needs is the main issue that the centre advocates for. This infers that the IOPs allow the Red Cross to have an avant-garde role. Even though this advocacy activity is not that de-coupled from the provision of health care but rather treated as a service as well, the message of the lectures seems to be in line with the issues the centre wants to promote. Because of this, it probably does not affect the independence of the centre negatively that the clinical staff both provides health care services and educates.
By offering these lectures, it seems as if the Red Cross can make use of their established brand and expert knowledge as an IOP-participant to do advocacy on new arenas. They also state that an increased visibility because of the IOP have strengthened their positions in negotiations with public actors. Advocacy work is in other words done within the system with help from collaborations with public actors, in other words, the voice role can be exercised parallel as services are produced. One can question to what extent such advocacy made of the Red Cross can be progressive and how independent they are in such cooperation. The fact that there is a certain co-financing in the IOPs seems to open up for a freedom to stay independent to some degree in the advocacy work, a diversification strategy stated in the interviews. It should be mentioned though that the Red Cross indeed is in need of the public resources for the centre’s survival, as a big majority of the money comes from Region Skåne and Malmö stad, which creates certain resource dependence irrespective of that the control from the public actor’s side is not perceived to be that strict.

However, one can question how much independence that actually is needed in order to be able to exercise a voice function as a voluntary organisation. Should not voluntary organisations make use of that they are demanded as welfare providers and through such missions seize the opportunity to make their voice heard? On the other hand, is it really worth it to give up some of their independence in order to play on the same arena as public actors? The answer to that question might depend on which organisation one talks about and how much its opinions differ from the ones of public actors.

6.4 IOP – a new way for public actors to legitimise the voluntary sector as welfare provider?

The apprehensions that IOP might be a way to legitimise the entrance of voluntary organisations in the welfare production, without in practice giving them opportunities to remain an independent voice, might be justified to some extent when taking the results of the study in consideration. Many of the interviewees highlight civil society as an important welfare provider in the future and IOP as a way to give voluntary organisations recognition in this field. According to these interviewees, the Swedish welfare model should become more like the continental one, relying on voluntary actors. Even though the Red Cross according to most interviewees was the initiator of the IOPs, there seems to have been a strong political will of both Region Skåne and Malmö stad to establish IOPs and an eagerness to get more voluntary actors into the Swedish welfare system.

A functioning IOP should ideally not affect the voice function of the voluntary organisations, but this eagerness of the public actors can create a risk of that independence is forgotten. A difference between the interview answers of the Red Cross and the public actors in this study is that whereas possibilities to independence is emphasised by the Red Cross when talking about self-financing
and ownership, the public actors did not emphasise this that much. Rather, expressions such as co-financing and joint ownership were used in the interviews. That shows that whereas voluntary organisations see IOPs as a mean for independence, public actors mainly emphasise cooperation as its main advantage.

In the interviews, this ignoring of independence was indicated as some public actors emphasised that voluntary organisations need a certain degree of professionalism in order to participate in an IOP. It was also stated that professionalism could be beneficial as for voluntary actors to have a strong position in discussions with public actors, even though voluntarism was still mentioned as an important added value of the voluntary sector.

As for voluntary organisations to keep independence in IOPs, they seem to need to take a big responsibility themselves as to keep their identity and idea. As some public actors seem to see them primarily as welfare providers with certain added values rather than opinion makers, the voluntary organisations in the discussion need to remind them of that they need certain independence in order to be able to continue to have this role as participant in an IOP. The Red Cross seems to have succeeded with that as they have not accepted any offers reminding of tender contracts. Probably the fact that they are an established organisation with a good reputation has also helped them to stay strong in negotiations with the public sector. The risk of losing some of the capacity of independence seems definitely to be higher for a smaller organisation participating in an IOP than for the Red Cross.
In this study, the capacity of voluntary organisations to stay independent when acting as service provider in IOPs with public actors has been examined. With help from interviews and a document review, it has been concluded that despite potential risks of resource dependence and isomorphism processes created as voluntary organisations cooperate close with public ones, the Red Cross in Malmö has been able to stay independent to a large extent as an IOP participant. As this is a case study, this result might not be that generalisable to other units, except from organisations with a similar size and degree of professionalisation cooperating with Region Skåne and Malmö stad perhaps. Nevertheless, the study has generated new theoretical knowledge in the field of IOPs that can be used in future research.

This study shows that it is important that public actors do not treat voluntary actors in the same way as they treat private ones. As for voluntary organisations to stay independent and be able to have a voice function in cooperation with the public sector, steering and control mechanisms cannot be too strict. In 30 years, the treatment centre of the Red Cross has done a great job to highlight the needs of a forgotten target group. With too hard restrictions from the public actors on funding, it would not have been possible for the Red Cross to provide care to this group and to develop knowledge about it. Even though the IOP-agreement is a bit stricter than during the time of grants, the Red Cross does not perceive it as that steered; the impression is instead that the IOPs allow them to keep some of their independence in comparison to what would have been the case if they had been financed through a tender contract.

The avant-garde role is essential in Sweden of today as to spread knowledge about the needs of newly arrived groups. If a dialogue about the need of independence is held when creating IOP-agreements, the IOP-form can probably work as a good way for organisations to exercise a voice function as a welfare provider, especially in combination with development of local/regional agreements. According to this study though, a certain measure of professionality might be good as for voluntary organisations as to feel equal to the public actors, or alternatively, that an IOP is made with a cluster of small organisations as not to give the public actor too much influence over each organisation. As to keep the member-orientation in the organisation, it seems to be a good solution to do as the Red Cross and de-couple the organisation. By separating the organisation into different parts such as treatment centres and local clubs, they can let different activities have a more or less professional character. This results in that some parts of the organisation can have a closer relation to the public actors whereas
others can stay more distant to the state and fulfill its mission as a voice, and thereby contribute to democracy.

7.1 Suggestion of further research

After having met the interviewees that all seemed committed to the topic of IOPs, there cannot be any doubt that this is a topic of current interest for both voluntary and public organisations. The financing model is currently being tried out in a large number of municipalities and regions. This fact calls for a more extensive research in the field, especially as complement to evaluations made of public actors themselves that might not take the perspective of the voluntary organisations in consideration. Research need to address IOP from an outside perspective and question what consequences the voluntary sector’s entrance in the welfare production will have for civil society in Sweden and its contribution to democracy.

In this thesis, it is concluded that IOPs to certain extent allows the Red Cross’ treatment centre for war wounded and tortured to keep their capacity to independence. These conclusions to some extent contradict the research made of Johansson, which states that grants given to organisations as to support a certain activity are more steering than grants solely meant to support the existence of organisations. However, in these IOPs, dialogue between the actors resulted in a discussion about how to make the guidelines softer, as not to make the Red Cross feel too dependent. Even though the member-orientation was low in some parts of the Red Cross’ activities, a certain professionalism has helped them to keep independence when working closely with public actors.

In future research, it can be further discussed how IOP-constellations can be formed as to keep independence in the best way. For instance, an interesting topic would be to further examine the consequences of so-called cluster IOPs with a big number of actors involved. As well, comparative research focusing on differences in capacity to stay independent for big-sized, established organisations compared to small-sized, unestablished ones could surely bring further understanding to the importance of professionalism in this context. Anyhow, consequences of voluntary-public actor relations is definitely a growing research field that should be further emphasised in political science.
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9 Appendix

9.1 Appendix 1: Interview persons

*The Red Cross*
Eva Hall, Care Manager of the Red Cross
Anette Carnemalm, Manager of the Treatment Centre
Elin Magnusson, Employee at the Treatment Centre
Barbro O’Connor, Former Manager of the Treatment Centre

*Region Skåne*
Tommy Aspegren, Civil Servant
Bim Soerich, Civil Servant
Johan Larsson Boström, Former Civil Servant

*Malmö stad*
Nidal Al-Mudafar, Civil Servant at the Administration for Social Resources
Elin Ewers, Civil Servant at the Administration for Labour Market, Secondary School and Adult Education
Tommy Malmstedt, Civil Servant at Jobb Malmö

9.2 Appendix 2: Interview questions

9.2.1 Questions for the Red Cross interviewees (in English)

Introducing Questions

- Can you shortly describe what role you have in your organisation and in what way you work with IOPs?
- The initiative to establish new IOPs are supposed to come from the voluntary actors, was the Red Cross the initiating actor for these IOPs?
  - If not, why not?
As I understand it, you are not only offering health care services but do also educating about the needs of your target group as part of the IOP. Which parts of the personnel do this interest work and which do service production?

-Do these functions coincide to some extent and if, in which way?

How would you say that the IOP-form differ from other funding forms when it comes to the possibilities for you to stay independent?

How does the fact that the services are co-financed by both the Red Cross and public actors affect the inter-organisational relation?

-What is the advantage with that?

Why is it important that the Red Cross has the ownership of the services concerned in IOPs? -How does this manifest itself in practice?

Which are the main advantages with funding through an IOP compared to funding through an activity grant or a tender contract according to you?

Homogenisation

As you entered the IOP, did the Red Cross have to adapt their activities to the laws of the public sector because of the participation in IOPs?

-For instance, the publicity law or in other terms of transparency?

In what way are the activities different from other organisations’ activities? Would you say that the organisation of the Red Cross in some way has turned more alike a public organisation since you entered IOPs?

-If, how?

Are all active at the centre being paid? If not, how do you handle the interaction between professionals and volunteers?

-What possibilities are there for members of the Red Cross to influence the activities of the centre?

Would you say that the quality of the activities of the centre has improved since the establishment of the IOP?

-Has the share of workers being paid increased?

Have you professionalised because of being a partner in an IOP?

Control / Conditions

If turning to the more formal parts of the agreements, what kind of commitment do the Red Cross and the public actors have in the agreement?

-How were these commitments created?

According to the IOP-agreements, the Red Cross is supposed to deliver an operational plan and an annual report every year. Except from this, how is it controlled that every actor has fulfilled the commitments?

-How does that affect your capacity to decide independently over your organisation?

As the public actors stand for about 80-85% of the funding in these IOPs, how do you handle that you are that dependent on public actors when it comes to resources?

In what ways did you have to adapt your activities as the IOP was established in order to fulfill your commitments and the conditions from the public actors?

-For instance, did the organisational structure change?
Distance

- Except from the dialogue meetings two times a year, how much contact do you have with Region Skåne/Malmö stad?
- How important is it for you to keep a distance to public actors in IOPs?
  - How do you think this can affect your capacity to independence?

Winding-up

- To sum up, which factors do you consider important as for the Red Cross to have a possibility to be able to independently conduct advocacy work as a partner in an IOP?
  - How can these factors be strengthened?

9.2.2 Questions for the public actor interviewees (in English)

Introducing Questions

- Can you shortly describe what role you have in your organisation and in what way you work with IOPs?
- The initiative to establish new IOPs are supposed to come from the voluntary actors, was the Red Cross the initiating actor for these IOP:s?
  - If not, why not?
- How does the fact that the services are co-financed by both the Red Cross and public actors affect the inter-organisational relation?
  - What is the advantage with that?
- Why is it important that the Red Cross has the ownership of the services concerned in the IOPs?
  - How does this manifest itself in practice?
- Which are the main advantages with funding through an IOP compared to funding through an activity grant or a tender contract according to you?

Homogenisation

- When entering the IOP, did the Red Cross (the voluntary organisations) have to adapt their activities to the laws of the public sector as a consequence of the participation in IOPs?
  - For instance, the publicity law or in other terms of transparency?
- In what ways differ the activities of the Red Cross from other organisations’ activities?
- When working with the Red Cross, do you perceive them as professional as other public or private actors? Do they meet the requirements on quality in the same way as other actors do? -- Has this changed since the IOPs were established?

Control / Conditions
• If turning to the more formal parts of the agreements, what kind of commitment do the Red Cross and the public actors have in the agreement?
  -How were these commitments created?
• According to the IOP-agreements, the Red Cross is supposed to deliver an operational plan and an annual report every year. Except from this, how is it controlled that every actor has fulfilled their commitments?
• As the public actors stand for about 80-85% of the funding in these IOPs, how do you handle that the Red cross is that dependent on you when it comes to resources?

Distance

• Except from the dialogue meetings two times a year, how much contact does Region Skåne/Malmö stad have with the Red Cross?
• How important is it for you to keep a distance to the Red Cross in IOPs?
  -Do you think this can affect their independence?

Winding-up

• To sum up, which factors do you think are important for voluntary organisations to have a possibility to conduct an independent advocacy work as a partner in an IOP?
  -How can these factors be strengthened?

9.2.3 Questions for the Red Cross interviewees (in Swedish)

Inledande frågor

• Kan du kort beskriva vilken roll du har i din organisation och på vilket sätt jobbar du med IOP-avtal?
• Initiativet till att etablera IOP-avtal är det tänkt ska komma från de ideella aktörerna som jag förstått det. Var det Röda korset som initierade detta/dessa IOP?
  -Om inte, varför inte?
• Som jag förstår det erbjuder ni inte enbart vårdtjänster utan utbildar också om behoven hos den målgrupp ni vänder er mot. Vilka i verksamheten utför sådant intressearbete och vilka utför servicearbete?
  -Sammanfäller dessa slags funktioner och i så fall hur?
• Vilka skillnader skulle du säga att finns det mellan IOP och andra finansieringsformer när det gäller möjligheterna till att bevara självständighet?
• Hur påverkar det faktum att verksamheten samfinansieras av både Röda korset och offentliga aktörer den interorganisatoriska relationen?
  -Vad är fördelen med detta?
• Varför är det viktigt att Röda korset i IOP har ägandekapet till sin verksamhet?
  -Hur tar detta sig uttryck i praktiken?
• Vilka är de största fördelarna med att finansieras via ett IOP jämfört med verksamhetsbidrag eller upphandlingskontrakt enligt dig?
Homogenisering

- När detta IOP startades, behövde Röda korset anpassa sin verksamhet på något sätt till de lagar som offentliga aktörer agerar inom som följd av medverkan i IOP?
  - T ex, lagen om offentlighet eller i termer av ökad transparens och insyn på andra sätt?
- Vad skiljer Röda Korsets verksamhet från andra organisationers verksamhet? Skulle du säga att Röda korset som organisation på något sätt blivit mer lik en offentlig organisation sedan ni ingick i IOP?
  - I så fall, hur?
- Är alla som är aktiva vid behandlingscentret betalda? Om inte, hur hanterar ni interaktionen mellan professionella och volontärer?
  - Vilka möjligheter finns det för Röda korsets medlemmar att påverka centrets verksamhet?
- Skulle du säga att verksamhetens kvalité förhöjts sedan etableringen av IOP?
  - Har andelen anställda ökat?
- Har ni professionaliserats sedan ni blev en partner i IOP?

Kontroll/Villkor

- Om vi nu fortsätter med de mer formella delarna av avtalen, vilka slags åtaganden har Röda Korset respektive offentliga aktörer i avtalens?
  - Hur gick det till när dessa utformades?
- Enligt IOP-avtalen ska Röda Korset rapportera hur medlen används varje år med hjälp av en verksamhetsplan och en årsberättelse. Frånsett detta, hur kontrolleras det att alla aktörer fullföljer sina åtaganden?
  - Hur påverkar detta er förmåga till självbestämmande över er verksamhet?
- Då offentliga aktörer står för ca 80-85% av finansieringen i dessa IOP, hur hanterar ni det faktum att ni är så pass beroende av offentliga aktörer när det gäller resurser?
- På vilka sätt var ni tvungna att anpassa er verksamhet som partner i ett IOP för att fullfölja era åtaganden och villkor från offentliga aktörer?
  - T ex, ändrades organisationsstrukturen?

Distans

- Förutom de två dialogmöten som sker varje år, hur mycket kontakt har ni med Region Skåne/Malmö stad?
- Hur viktigt är det att för er att hålla en distans till offentliga aktörer?
  - Tror du detta kan påverka organisationens självständighet?

Avrundning

- Vilka faktorer är viktiga för att Röda korset ska ha möjlighet kunna bedriva ett självständigt intressearbete som partner i ett IOP?
  - Hur skulle dessa faktorer kunna stärkas?
9.2.4 Questions for the public actor interviewees (in Swedish)

Inledande frågor

- Kan du kort beskriva vilken roll du har i din organisation och på vilket sätt jobbar du med IOP-avtal?
- Initiativet till att etablera IOP-avtal är det tänkt ska komma från de ideella aktörerna som jag förställt det. Var det Röda korset som initierade detta/dessa IOP?
  - Om inte, varför inte?
- Hur påverkar det faktum att verksamheten samfinansieras av både Röda korset och offentliga aktörer den interorganisatoriska relationen?
  - Vad är fördelen med detta?
- Varför är det viktigt att Röda korset i IOP har ägandespaket till sin verksamhet?
  - Hur tar detta sig uttryck i praktiken?
- Vilka är de största fördelarna med att finansieras via ett IOP jämfört med verksamhetsbidrag eller upphandlingskontrakt enligt dig?

Homogenisering

- När detta IOP startades, behövde Röda korset anpassa sin verksamhet på något sätt till de lagar som offentliga aktörer agerar inom som följd av medverkan i IOP?
  - T ex, lagen om offentlighet eller i termer av ökad transparens och insyn på andra sätt?
- Vad skiljer Röda Korsets verksamhet från andra organisationers verksamhet?
- I kontakt med Röda Korset, känner du att de lever upp till samma krav på professionalitet och kvalité som offentliga eller privata aktörer? Har detta förändrats över tid sedan IOP-avtalen etablerades?

Kontroll/Villkor

- Om vi nu fortsätter med de mer formella delarna av avtalen, vilka slags åtaganden har Röda Korset respektive offentliga aktörer i avtalen? Hur gick det till när dessa utformades?
- Enligt IOP-avtalen ska Röda Korset rapportera hur medlen används varje år med hjälp av en verksamhetsplan och en årsberättelse. Frånsett detta, hur kontrolleras det att alla aktörer fullföljer sina åtaganden?
- Då offentliga aktörer står för ca 80-85% av finansieringen i dessa IOP, hur hanterar ni det faktum att Röda korset är så pass beroende av er när det gäller resurser?

Distans

- Förutom de två dialogmöten som sker varje år, hur mycket kontakt har Region Skåne/Malmö stad med Röda korset/ideella organisationer?
- Hur viktigt är det att för er att hålla en distans till Röda Korset?
  - Tror du detta kan påverka organisationers självständighet?
Avrundning

- Vilka faktorer tror du är viktiga för att ideella organisationer ska ha möjlighet kunna bedriva ett självständigt intressearbete som partner i ett IOP?
  - Hur skulle dessa faktorer kunna stärkas?

9.3 Appendix 3: Original quotations in Swedish

*Interviewee 1, the Red Cross*

"…vi är inte 100 % finansierade av landstinget, utan vi är, majoriteten av pengarna är från landstinget, det ligger på cirka 85-80 % av kostnaderna finansieras av landstinget. Och det är lite grann, det är en medveten strategi från vår sida, för vi vill också ha en viss frihet när det gäller, hur vi förhåller oss till vården vi ger, att vi har en möjlighet att behålla vår självständighet. Det kan t ex visa sig genom att hos oss betalar man ingen patientavgift"

"…det var den här ena IOP:n med Malmö staf […] där tyckte jag det var struligt. Där tyckte jag att vi hade en sämre dialog i förhållande till alltså vad det var som skulle levereras och hur och vilka typer av uppföljningar som skulle ske, där tycker jag att det tangerade, i alla fall inledningsvis, kommunen ett resonemang som närmar sig upphandling."

"Vi har ju också avtal som säger vi ska behandla ungefär 200 patienter, det är ungefär det antalet behandlingstimmar, men det följs inte upp på det sättet nu gjorde ni bara 197 ni skulle göra 200."

"Nej, alltså det hade vi redan innan. Alltså vi är en vårdgivare under sjukvårdslagen, vi är underordnade alla regelverk om gäller för att fungera som en vårdgivare inom hälso- och sjukvårdslagen, vi skriver patientjurnaler enligt godkända system och vi har alltså samma typ av tillsyn, avvikelser, rapporteringar, patientsäkerhet, allt det som gäller för vilken vårdgivare som helst […]10 år sen sedan man skärpte upp patientsäkerhetslag och så, så har det här hjälpt oss. Så ur den aspekten ändrade sig ingenting"

"…så att kontakterna är mycket mer och också resonemang om mängden och målgruppernas behov. Helt klart"

"Alltså det blir ju ganska lång väg om man säger så eftersom det är en demokratisk organisation så styrs vi då liksom medlemmarna väljer ju ombud som gör inriktningen på våra stämmor […] vi är övergripande form av övergripande nivå så går man aldrig in i detalj och bestämmer den här verksamheten ska se ut på det här sättet på de här premisserna utan man fattar ju ofta beslut på den övergripande nivån. Så att det är ju egentligen där du kan påverka i så fall innehållet i verksamheten."

"Det är också vi som har föreslagit formen alltså—discusisonerna kring, framförallt när man från landstingens sida har sagt ni måste upphandla det här, då har vi sagt, nej det måste vi inte alls, det finns ett annat alternativ"
”…samtidigt som man då ser att det är ju faktiskt så att vi sitter på 30 års erfarenhet ingen annan har. Men så faktiskt vet de att vi kommer dit berätta om gruppen berätta om gruppens behov som är större än vad man tillgodoser och det betalar man för så att säga”

**Interviewee 2, the Red Cross**

”…då kan ju jag söka pengar utifrån olika insatser som jag vill göra. Och då behöver det inte vara lika styrt t ex sommarläger, då kan jag söka pengar från fonder som ger till sommarläger. Eller om jag ska utveckla nånting så kan jag ju söka pengar därifrån. Så det är ju lite friare blir det på nåt sätt också.”

”…eftersom vi har fått pengar nu från Malmö stad och Region Skåne inte behöva söka, oroa oss för vad som händer 2017 eller vad som händer 2018 för nu vet vi att vi har finanser till 2018 och då kan vi utveckla mkt mer och göra det så att det stärker upp verksamheten mycket mer.”

”Jag intervjuar ju alla volontärer och sen så väljer jag de som jag anser passar bra i verksamheten. Ibland, alltså väldigt många som säker sig hit är ju bra personer, de vill ju göra något annat, men om jag bara har 10 platser och det är 20 som söker så måste jag ju välja ut de som jag anser kan bli en bra grupp tillsammans.”

**Interviewee 3, the Red Cross**

”… ja jag tycker det var jämbördig diskussion om det.  Och vi hade ju, vi hade pratat ganska mycket om det, och som sagt så fanns det ju en historia i vårt samarbete också som man kunde grunda det på.”

”Men det har ju varit en sån sak som har varit i ropet så, det ska alla ha och det säger man då 10 ggr. Och sen så ska man köra genom det och där tror jag är farligt när finansiärer kommer in och styr vilka behandlingsmetoder man har och särskilt i en verksamhet där man har så pass mkt erfarenhet av just den patientgruppen.”

”Och sen så tror jag allmänt det är bra att vi är rädda om vår typ av organisation, att vi har ett samarbete men att det inte flyter ihop… Det finns ju frågor där det skiljer sig ganska så rejält, så t ex för oss är det ju, om man nu ser på politik, och så, där vi ju då ska vara remissinstanser och uttrycka våra åsikter och så.”

**Interviewee 4, the Red Cross**

”Jag tror det kan vara annat för andra verksamheter och det är det jag är ute efter, att är det inte hälso- och sjukvård så är det möjligt att man får göra någon slags ackommodering, för vår verksamhet har jag inte inträckt att det alls har påverkat”

”Vi har inte betonat avstånd. Därför att vi inte har varit rädd för att påverkas. […] Det skulle säkert vara en mer viktig fråga för en mindre organisation tror jag.”

”När det kommer till behandlingscentret finns det ingen frivilligdel överhuvudtaget för hälso- och sjukvårdslagen inte tillåter att klinisk verksamhet levereras av frivilliga. Så att det går inte helt enkelt.
Och ja, vi har haft kliniker, legitimerade kliniker som vill jobba på volontärbasis. Speciellt i höstas när det kom så många. Och då är det jag som har sagt nej till det.”

"Och sen tänker jag att det finns möjlighet att arbeta knutet till den här verksamheten i barnverksamheten och i den här upparbetade frivilligbanken och då får du ju fortfarande insyn och delaktighet men du är inte behandlare”

"Vi erbjuder utbildningar, föreläsningar, workshops eller utbildning på PTSD-center eller imorgon ska jag föreläsa för Malmö stad:s medarbetare, så är det ja, det är vi kliniker där som är ute och gör det också”.

**Interviewee 1, Malmö stad**

"Jag tänker snarare att det handlar om projekt som ägarskap och att båda ska känna sig både delaktiga och ansvariga”

"…jag har nog försökt och vara mån om att verkligen skapa nära relationer med föreningarna och de som jobbar där, just också för att vi har varit väldigt tydliga med att till exempel det måste ni ha laget och all relative och att ni kan få hjälp här. Och för att de ska våga göra det och inte känna att t.ex. jag ringa Malmö stad igen […] då krävs det ju också en nära relation och öppen dialog och så.”

"För oss handlar det, det utgår från en gemensam målbild och en gemensam målformulering där vi tillsammans identifierar en samhällsutmaning och säger att det här vill vi göra tillsammans. Det är ju ingenting som, vi har ju tillsammans med de här föreningarna satt målen för verksamheten, så att det är klart då känner vi ju också gemensamt ägandeskap.”

"…vi har en väldigt aktiv nämnd, alltså dvs aktiva politiker som väldigt gärna ville ha IOP och i och med att IOP var nytt och att det inte fanns så mkt konkreta erfarenheter och exempel att hämta ifrån så var det faktiskt våra politiker som sa nämen vi vill testa det här och drev på det och det ledde till att vi tog fram en utredning som senare ledde till att vi tog fram ett par IOP”

**Interviewee 2, Malmö stad**

"…om vi hade gjort ett IOP med en annan förening och inom nåt område som inte var så professionaliserat så skulle vi kunna hamna i en annan relation. Nu är det så pass professionaliserat så de lyder under hälso- och sjukvårdslagen, om med den, alltså den rollen man har spelat så har man också en tyngd i det man gör. Så det tycker jag… så det innebär jag tycker vi var likvärdiga.”

"Det jag uppfattar som blivit skillnaden medan den här processen, det är ganska så hur tuff, vi fick jobba en del med själva avtals-, eller IOP-skrivandet för att vi skulle ha samma bild”

"… ja det skulle jag nog säga att vi har ju ställt ett antal krav kring, ja kring kontroll, transparens och uppföljning som jag tycker att vi har fått gehör för i överenskommelsen.

Intervjuare: Och de är lite tydligare än tidigare under föreningsbidragstiden?

-Ja, det skulle jag nog säga.”
"Jag uppfattar inte att det är ideella krafter med utan det är en professionell verksamhet som möter en annan professionell verksamhet."

**Interviewee 3, Malmö stad**

"Att de som driver det, att det är inte kommunen som driver verksamheten i ett IOP, det är partnerskap men det är inte vi som driver det, det är föreningarna som driver verksamheten."

**Interviewee 1, Region Skåne**

"…samtidigt var det många saker där som var nytt för en del andra föreningar, så ni måste ha en verksamhetschef, ni måste följa de här, alltså sjukvårdslagarna… ni måste ha koll på detta […] På det sättet blev det ju, en del av de andra föreningarna blev ju omvändande, men inte för Röda Korset”...

"…Så på det sättet så kan man säga att IOP:n är väldigt liksom riktad kring ett område där vi har ett gemensamt intresse. Alla andra intressena är liksom fördelade på det sättet att det får föreningarna och de här samfundena ha frihet att göra själva"

**Interviewee 2, Region Skåne**

"…det är dialogbaserat eftersom det inte finns liksom några konkreta mål de ska uppfylla mer än god vård och det är liksom det kan ju inte bli faktabaserat utan det måste bli dialogbaserat"

"…nej det kan jag nog inte säga att jag tycker men vi kanske inte har lika starka styrmedel som vi har för de privata[…] alltså det finns liksom mer konkreta kvalitetsmålta som de ska uppfylla och de har vi inte idag i alla fall på de idéburna”

"…man tror ju att där finns nånting typ utav innovativ kraft och förmåga som, alltså att det på nåt sätt är lättare att få ut den liksom flexibilitet och nytänkande och så att än att man lyfter in nånting i den stora landstingskolossen liksom”

"Men alltså det måste bygga på någon typ av ömsesidigt förtroende, alltså… och vad gäller Röda Korset så är det ju en organisation som vi har alltså, vi har ju stort förtroende för att de ser behoven och att de uppmärksammar eventuella liksom risker och brister i sin egen verksamhet också. Alltså det bygger på en öppenhet i dialogen.”

**Interviewee 3, Region Skåne**

"…idéburna sektorn har en liten mognadsväg att gå, de måste mogna lite till innan […] Inte riktigt där. Och det här tror jag också hänger samman med att det svenska samhället bygger inte på det här”

"Men å andra sidan så tror jag att om det är så att man har en stark egen identitet och stark egen bärande idé så bör det väl räcka. Så bör det väl bära en i detta också. När man sätter sig med den offentliga företrädaren där.”