

LUND UNIVERSITY

Transcriptional Regulation by Hypoxia-Inducible Factors in Tumor Cells

Löfstedt, Tobias

2007

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Löfstedt, T. (2007). *Transcriptional Regulation by Hypoxia-Inducible Factors in Tumor Cells*. [Doctoral Thesis (compilation), Department of Translational Medicine]. Lund University Department of Laboratory Medicine Division of Molecular Medicine.

Total number of authors: 1

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights. • Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

- or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117 221 00 Lund +46 46-222 00 00 Institutionen för Laboratoriemedicin, Avdelningen för Molekylär Medicin, Lunds Universitet

TRANSCRIPTIONAL REGULATION BY HYPOXIA-INDUCIBLE FACTORS IN TUMOR CELLS

Akademisk avhandling

Som med vederbörligt tillstånd av Medicinska Fakulteten vid Lunds Universitet för avläggande av doktorsexamen i medicinsk vetenskap i ämnet molekylär medicin kommer att offentligen försvaras i Patologens föreläsningssal, Universitetssjukhuset MAS, Malmö

fredagen den 15 juni 2007 kl 08.30

av

Tobias Löfstedt

Fakultetsopponent

Professor Amato J. Giaccia Department of Radiation Oncology Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305, USA



LUND UNIVERSITY Faculty of Medicine

Organization LUND UNIVERSITY

Department of Laboratory Medicine Division of Molecular Medicine University Hospital MAS

Document name DOCTORAL DISSERTATION

Date of issue June 15, 2007

Sponsoring organization

Author(s)

Tobias Löfstedt

Title and subtitle

Transcriptional Regulation by Hypoxia-Inducible Factors in Tumor Cells

Abstract

Cancer is a major cause of human morbidity and mortality, and the risk of developing cancer is about one in three life times. Neuroblastoma is the most common extra-cranial solid tumor among children and arises from early sympathetic nervous system (SNS) cells arrested in their development. Generally, a low tumor cell differentiation correlates to poor prognosis. Solid tumors, like neuroblastoma, frequently contain regions of oxygen deficiency – hypoxia – caused by a high rate of cellular proliferation and abnormal intratumoral blood supply. In this hypoxic microenvironment cancer cells undergo genetic and molecular changes, allowing continued survival and proliferation. Tumor hypoxia is also associated with increased aggressiveness, resistance to therapy and poor outcome. Cancer cells become less differentiated in response to hypoxia, which we previously demonstrated in neuroblastoma as well as breast cancer cells, indicating an evolvement of a more aggressive phenotype. In the present studies we find evidence of potential mechanisms behind the hypoxia-mediated de-differentiation of neuroblastoma cells. Hypoxia (1% O₂) induced the expression of the negative transcription factors HASH-1 and dHAND. Hypoxic up-regulation of *ID2* was dependent on direct *in vivo* DNA-binding and activity of hypoxia-inducible factors (HIF), the master transcriptional regulators of oxygen homeostasis. Induction of *ID2* expersion occurs as an early HIF-mediated hypoxic event, potentially leading to a more immature state.

HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α , however differently, are both essential for normal development and are highly implicated in tumor progression. In Paper II we show that HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α share several target genes, but mediate regulation of these under different temporal and oxygen-dependent conditions. Interestingly, HIF-2 α , but not HIF-1 α , was present in neuroblastoma tumor cells near blood vessels, and thus in apparently better-oxygenized tumor regions. *In vitro*, HIF-1 α protein was transiently stabilized at hypoxia and primarily governed acute hypoxic responses, whereas HIF-2 α became more important at prolonged hypoxia. In addition, high HIF-2 α activity, including induction of classic hypoxic targets such as *VEGF*, was detected in cultured neuroblastoma cells already at 5% O₂, a physiologically relevant oxygen level, similar to the findings *in vivo*. In a large clinical neuroblastoma material, significant correlations between high HIF-2 α levels and high VEGF content, advanced tumor stage and poor outcome were found. These observations clearly suggest an oncogenic role of HIF-2 α , and implicate HIF-2 α as an independent prognostic marker in neuroblastoma.

The MXII (MAX-interactor 1) gene, a reported MYC antagonist, has been detected by us and others as a commonly hypoxia-induced gene. In Paper III we further demonstrate that HIF proteins, via direct binding to hypoxia-response elements (HRE), up-regulate MXII mRNA and protein in both hypoxic neuroblastoma and breast cancer cells. Interestingly, reducing MXII levels had no overall effects on MYC/MYCN activity in hypoxic neuroblastoma cells. Instead, MXII appeared to be important in augmenting the hypoxic response, potentially by enhancing specific HIF-1 target gene induction.

HIF proteins are primarily stabilized and activated in response to lowered oxygen concentrations. However, growth factorinduced signaling can promote HIF-1α protein synthesis as well as transactivation, even under normoxic conditions. In Paper IV we characterize a novel such a pathway, where stem cell factor (SCF)-evoked c-Kit-signaling leads to increased HIF-1α protein, HRE-activation and induction of several HIF-1α targets, such as VEGF and GLUT1, already at normoxia. In addition we find a reciprocal positive feedback loop between c-Kit and HIF-1α, where induced HIF-1α mediates reinforcement of c-Kit expression.

Overall, this thesis shows the impact of HIF proteins on tumor cell behavior, principally as central hypoxic transcriptional regulators governing the expression of genes with potential importance in several biological processes, such as growth and differentiation, determining cancer cell aggressiveness as well as adaptation to low oxygen conditions.

Key words: Cancer, neuroblastoma, transcription, hypoxia, HIF-1, HIF-2, differentiation, ID2, MXI1, MYCN, c-Kit

Classification system and/or index termes (if any):		
Supplementary bibliographical information:		Language
		English
ISSN and key title:		ISBN
1652-8220		978-91-85559-88-6
Recipient's notes	Number of pages 150	Price
	Security classification	

Distribution by (name and address) Tobias Löfstedt, Molecular Medicine, U-MAS Malmö, Sweden I, the undersigned, being the copyright owner of the abstract of the above-mentioned dissertation, hereby grant to all reference sources permission to publish and disseminate the abstract of the above-mentioned dissertation.

Signature Tobias Lofstedt

May 5, 2007 Date From the Department of Laboratory Medicine, Division of Molecular Medicine, Lund University, Sweden

TRANSCRIPTIONAL REGULATION BY HYPOXIA-INDUCIBLE FACTORS IN TUMOR CELLS

Tobias Löfstedt



LUND UNIVERSITY Faculty of Medicine

Academic Dissertation

Lund 2007

© Tobias Löfstedt ISBN 978-91-85559-88-6 Printed by Media-Tryck, Lund, Sweden 2007

For my Family

CONTENTS

LIST OF PAPERS	8
ABBREVIATIONS	9
INTRODUCTION	11
BACKGROUND	13
Cancer and tumor progression	13
Neuroblastoma	16
Neuroblastoma incidence, prognosis and staging	16
Genomic abnormalities in neuroblastoma	17
Aberrant gene expression patterns in neuroblastoma	19
The sympathetic nervous system (SNS)	20
Transcription factors in the regulation of SNS development	22
The MYC-MAX-MAD network	23
ID proteins	25
Tumor hypoxia	26
Responses to hypoxia and malignant progression	28
Hypoxia-Inducible Factors (HIFs)	30
Structure of HIF	30
Oxygen-dependent HIF regulation: stability	32
Oxygen-dependent HIF regulation: transactivation	34
Oxygen-independent regulation of HIF proteins	35
HIF proteins in development	38

	Adult tissue expression of HIF	41
	Functions and involvement of HIF proteins in tumors	41
	HIF-1 α versus HIF-2 α	44
Тн	E PRESENT INVESTIGATION	47
Α	ims	47
R	esults and Discussion	47
	The effects of hypoxia on differentiation	47
	HIF-1 α induces <i>ID2</i> expression – link to a de-differentiated and aggressivneuroblastoma phenotype (Paper I)	ve 49
	Regulation and target gene expression of HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α in neuroblastoma (Paper II)	52
	HIF-2 $lpha$ confers higher neuroblastoma aggressiveness (Paper II)	54
	HIF-induced <i>MXI1</i> does not affect MYC/MYCN signaling, but promotes specific HIF-1 α target gene expression in hypoxic neuroblastoma cells (Paper III)	56
	Reciprocal regulation between c-Kit signaling and HIF-1 α activity (Paper IV)	58
С	onclusions	60
Ро	PULARIZED SUMMARY IN SWEDISH	61
Ac	KNOWLEDGEMENTS	64
RE	FERENCES	66
Ар	PENDIX	
Pap Pap Pap	er I er II er III	

. Paper IV

LIST OF PAPERS

This thesis is based on the following papers, referred to in the text by their Roman numerals:

- Induction of *ID2* expression by Hypoxia-inducible factor-1: a role in dedifferentiation of hypoxic neuroblastoma cells.
 Tobias Löfstedt, Annika Jögi, Mikael Sigvardsson, Katarina Gradin, Lorenz Poellinger, Sven Påhlman and Håkan Axelson. *J Biol Chem*, 279(38), 39223-31, 2004.
- II. Recruitment of HIF-1α and HIF-2α to common target genes is differentially regulated in neuroblastoma: HIF-2α promotes an aggressive phenotype. Linda Holmquist-Mengelbier*, Erik Fredlund*, Tobias Löfstedt, Rosa Noguera, Samuel Navarro, Helén Nilsson, Alexander Pietras, Johan Vallon-Christersson, Åke Borg, Katarina Gradin, Lorenz Poellinger and Sven Påhlman. *Cancer Cell*, 10, 413-423, 2006. *Equal contribution.

III. MXI1 is a direct target of HIF and enhances specific HIF-1 target gene induction under hypoxia.
 Tobias Löfstedt, Erik Fredlund, Rosa Noguera, Samuel Navarro, Linda Holmquist Mengelbier, Siv Beckman, Sven Påhlman and Håkan Axelson. *Manuscript*.

 IV. Positive stem cell factor-induced feedback regulation by Hypoxia-Inducible Factorlα under normoxia.
 Malin Pedersen, Tobias Löfstedt, Jianmin Sun, Linda Holmquist Mengelbier, Sven Påhlman and Lars Rönnstrand. *Manuscript*.

Reprints of papers I and II were made with permission from the publishers: © 2004 The American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Inc © 2006 Elsevier Inc

ABBREVIATIONS

AK3L1	adenylate kinase 3-like 1
ARD1	arrest defective-1 protein
ARNT	aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator
ATF3	activating transcription factor 3
ATP	adenosine triphosphate
BCL	B-cell lymphoma protein
BDNF	brain-derived neurotrophic factor
bHLH	basic helix-loop-helix
BMP	bone morphogenetic protein
BNIP3	BCL2/adenovirus E1B 19kD-interacting protein 3
CBP	CREB-binding protein
ChIP	chromatin immunoprecipitation
CREB	cAMP-response element binding protein
dHAND	deciduum, heart, autonomic nervous system, and neural crest derivatives
EGFR	epidermal growth factor receptor
EPO	erythropoietin
ERK	extracellular signal-regulated kinase
ES	embryonic stem
FGF	fibroblast growth factor
FIH	factor inhibiting HIF
GAP43	growth-associated protein 43
GF	growth factor
HASH-1	human achaete-scute homologue-1
HAT	histone acetyltransferase
HDAC	histone deacetylase
HER2	human epidermal growth factor receptor 2
HES	hairy/enhancer of split
HIF	hypoxia-inducible factor
HK2	hexokinase 2
HRE	hypoxia-response element
ID	inhibitor of differentiation/DNA-binding
IGF	insulin-like growth factor
INSS	International Neuroblastoma Staging System
IPAS	inhibitory PAS domain protein
LOH	loss of heterozygosity
MAD	MAX dimerization protein
MAPK	mitogen-activated protein kinase
MAX	MYC-associated factor X
MCM7	minichromosome maintenance protein 7
MDM2	mouse double minute 2
MDR1	multidrug resistance gene 1
MSH	mutS homologue
mTOR	mammalian target of rapamycin
MXI1	MAX-interactor 1
MYC	myelocytomatosis protein
NADPH	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NB	neuroblastoma

NEMO	nuclear factor kappa-B (NF-кВ) essential modulator
NGF	nerve growth factor
NO	nitric oxide
NPY	neuropeptide Y
OCT-4	octamer-4 homeodomain transcription factor
ODC	ornithine decarboxylase
ODD	oxygen-dependent degradation domain
PAS	PER/ARNT/SIM
PDGF	platelet-derived growth factor
PHD	prolyl-hydroxylase domain-containing protein
PI3K	phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase
PTEN	phosphatase and tensin homologue, deleted on chromosome 10
QPCR	quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction
RB	retinoblastoma protein
RCC	renal cell carcinoma
ROS	reactive oxygen species
SCF	stem cell factor
SERPINB9	serine protease inhibitor, member 9
SHH	sonic hedgehog
SIF	small intensely fluorescent
SNS	sympathetic nervous system
SP1	specificity protein 1
TAD	transactivation domain
TGF	transforming growth factor
TH	tyrosine hydroxylase
TP53	tumor suppressor protein 53
TRK	tropomyosin receptor kinase
VEGF	vascular endothelial growth factor
VHL	von Hippel-Lindau

INTRODUCTION

This work was undertaken in order to increase our understanding of the biology of the pediatric cancer neuroblastoma, which is the most common extra-cranial solid tumor occurring during childhood. Neuroblastoma arises from the developing sympathetic nervous system (SNS), most likely as a consequence of aberrant or arrested differentiation of sympatho-adrenal precursors on their path to a terminal state as functional neurons and neuroendocrine cells. This enigmatic tumor type displays a high degree of heterogeneity, where some lesions are found to differentiate or spontaneously regress into a benign state, but where also severely aggressive high stage tumors with extensive metastases and poor prognosis are exhibited. Large efforts have been made to clarify the molecular mechanisms underlying this malignancy, and an important research field concerns the transcriptional regulation of the approximately 25,000 to 30,000 existing human genes. Knowledge about the transcription factors governing this extensive apparatus is fundamental for deciphering essential biological processes. The basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) superfamily of transcription factors are key regulators in development, cell fate determination and proliferation of a multitude of cell types. Abnormal function of bHLH proteins is therefore implicated in various diseases, including cancer. Understanding the neuroblastoma gene expression program, which is clearly an aberrant reflection of the corresponding program of the normal tissue from which the tumor originates, for neuroblastoma the early SNS progenitor cells, could aid in the explanation of the vast tumor heterogeneity observed and also in the elucidation of neuroblastoma tumorigenesis.

It is also of importance to consider the impact of tumor microenvironment on cancer cell gene expression and behavior. In most solid tumors, among them neuroblastoma, the high rate of cellular proliferation outpaces the formation of new blood vessels, leading to intra-tumoral regions with high interstitial pressure, insufficient supply of nutrients, acidification, and low availability of oxygen – hypoxia. In this apparently hostile tumor microenvironment cancer cells can ultimately adapt for continued survival and proliferation under hypoxic conditions. Intratumoral oxygen measurements have revealed that hypoxic tumors are more aggressive than better-oxygenated ones, and tumor hypoxia also correlates with increased resistance to anti-cancer therapy and worsened patient outcome in several human cancers. Neuroblastoma cells cultured at hypoxia appear to develop a more immature,

stem cell-like phenotype, or de-differentiate, a trait associated with more aggressive tumors in neuroblastoma children.

The most important mammalian proteins controlling the adaptation to low oxygen conditions are the hypoxia-inducible transcription factors (HIF)-1 α and HIF-2 α . HIF proteins belong to the bHLH/PAS (PER/ARNT/SIM) subgroup and are readily degraded under conditions of sufficient oxygen supply, but rapidly stabilized and activated at hypoxia. By binding to hypoxia-response elements (HREs) within gene regulatory DNA sequences, HIF proteins control the expression of an increasingly growing number of target genes involved in e.g. angiogenesis, glucose transport and metabolism, erythropoiesis, cell growth and apoptosis. Given that HIF proteins are critically involved in development, in postnatal physiology and in cancer patophysiology, the studies behind this thesis therefore focus on the role of hypoxia and HIF proteins in the biology of neuroblastoma and in other tumor cells.

BACKGROUND

Cancer and tumor progression

In the developed countries of the world, more than one person in five will die of cancer. The incidence of cancer is as high as one in three life times, and as the average age of the general population increases so does the rate of cancer diagnoses. More than 100 distinct types of cancer exist with different etiology and epidemiology, and subtypes of tumors can be found within specific organs. Cancer cells, by definition, proliferate in defiance of normal controls, i.e. they are neoplastic, and are able to invade and colonize surrounding or even distant tissues, i.e. they are malignant. By giving rise to secondary tumors, or metastases, cancer cells become hard to eradicate surgically, which also severely reduces patient survival.

Most cancers are thought to originate from a single cell that has undergone a somatic mutation, however, tumorigenesis is a multistep process and the progeny of this cell must undergo further changes before they become cancerous. Several types of human cancers are diagnosed with an age-dependent incidence, implicating four to seven rate-limiting stochastic events required for the development of a full-blown cancerous lesion [1]. Hanahan and Weinberg [2] have set up six acquired capabilities that are shared by most, if not all, types of human cancer: (i) self-sufficiency of growth signals, (ii) insensitivity to growth-inhibitory signals, (iii) evasion of apoptosis, (iv) unstrained replicative potential, (v) maintained angiogenesis and, (vi) tissue invasion and metastasis. The order in which these capabilities are acquired and the underlying mechanisms seem to vary among cancer forms. Since the progression of normal cells into cancer cells largely occurs through a succession of genetic changes, each yielding a certain growth advantage, tumor development is highly analogous to the concept of Darwinian evolution [3].

For initiation of proliferation, normal cells require mitogenic growth signals, transmitted via membrane-bound receptors that bind growth factors (GF), extracellular matrix (ECM) molecules, and cell-to-cell interaction components. In contrast, tumor cells display a greatly reduced dependence on exogenous growth stimulation, and are capable of generating many of their own mitogenic signals. Cancer cells may acquire the ability to synthesize GFs, e.g. PDGF and TGF- α , thereby crating a positive feedback loop known as autocrine stimulation [2]. Over-expression or activation mutations of growth factor-binding receptors, such as EGFR and HER2, may hypersensitize tumor cells to normally non-mitogenic levels of

GFs, or could even elicit ligand-independent signaling [4]. Aberrations of molecules in the down-stream intracellular signaling pathway can also lead to autonomy of growth stimulation, one example being RAS which is structurally altered in about 25% of human tumors [5]. Genes such as those mentioned above have normal functions in control of growth and survival, but are through dysregulated expression or hyperactivation converted into *oncogenes*, mimicking normal growth signaling in one way or another. In addition, tumors are heterotypic masses of cells, and successful cancer cells have also acquired the ability to induce growth-stimulating signals from their normal neighbors, such as fibroblasts, endothelial and immune cells [6].

In normal tissues, mitogenic stimulation is balanced by antiproliferative signals, mediated by transmembrane receptors coupled to cytoplasmic signaling circuits. Many of these growth-inhibitory signals ultimately converge onto the RB pathway, regulating the transition from G1 to S phase of the cell cycle. Human cancers frequently show disruption of RB function, often via direct *RB* gene mutation or sequestration by viral oncoproteins (in DNA virus-induced tumors), rendering cells insensitive to antigrowth factors normally operating along this pathway. *RB* is the prototype of a classical *tumor suppressor gene*, the loss of function of which promotes growth and malignancy. Cancer cells can also avoid growth-inhibitory terminal differentiation through over-expression of key oncogenic transcription factors, such as the bHLH MYC (or MYCN as in neuroblastoma) oncoprotein, favoring the formation of growth-promoting MYC-MAX complexes over differentiation-inducing MAD-MAX complexes within this transcription factor network [2].

A hallmark of perhaps all types of cancer is the resistance towards programmed cell death – apoptosis. The apoptotic program is latent in principally all cells throughout the body, until activated by DNA damage, signaling imbalance, survival factor deficiency or hypoxia, and is essential for maintaining homeostasis by balancing cell proliferation with cell elimination. Apoptosis can be avoided by induction of autocrine survival factors, such as insulin-like growth factors (IGF-1/2), or by directly inhibiting mitochondrial release of cytochrome C, a potent mediator of apoptosis. Members of the BCL2 protein family, being either pro-apoptotic (BAX, BAK, and BAD) or anti-apoptotic (BCL2, BCL-XL and BCL-W), regulate in part mitochondrial death signaling by controlling cytochrome C release [7]. Both this intrinsic pathway and extrinsic death signals activate downstream caspases, which are proteases attacking the genome and cellular structures, ultimately leading to a controlled elimination of the cell. The most common apoptosis-evading strategy used by cancer cells is the functional inactivation of the *TP53* tumor suppressor pathway, which is seen in more than

50% of human tumors. TP53 is central in detection of and cellular response to DNA damage and can elicit the apoptotic cascade, partly by inducing the pro-apoptotic protein BAX.

To ensure expansive tumor growth, in addition to growth signal autonomy, inhibition of antiproliferative signals and apoptotic resistance, cancer cells must also acquire the ability to multiply without limit - a process termed immortalization [8]. All mammalian cells, with the exception of germ cells and other normal cells bearing stem cell-like features, possess an intrinsic, cell-autonomous program that limits their multiplication. After a certain number of doublings normal cells stop dividing, which is known as a state of senescence, but cancer cells can circumvent this process by disruption of e.g. the RB and TP53 tumor suppressor proteins. However, successive cycles of proliferation causes shortening of the chromosomal ends, the telomeres, a process functioning as an internal "cell-clock" and eventually leads to the later stage of senescence, termed crisis, manifested by massive cell death. During embryogenesis and in cells with a high proliferation rate, *telomerase* protects the ends of the chromosomes, and almost all malignant cells have upregulated the expression of this enzyme and can thereby avoid senescence and crisis [9, 10].

During the continuous proliferation of cancer cells, the need for supply of oxygen and nutrients can at one point not be maintained by the blood vessels pre-existing within the tissue of tumor site. Supply of oxygen is limited to approximately ten cell diameters and induction of neovascuralization, or angiogenesis, is required for the progression of a neoplasm to a larger size [11]. This process is carefully regulated by a balance between positive and negative signals in normal tissues, ensuring a functional capillary network. Developing tumors induce a shift, also known as the "angiogenic switch", in this balance favoring angiogenesis-initiating signals, the most important being vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), over prototypical angiogenesis inhibitors such as thrombospondin. VEGF is potently induced by hypoxia, a feature present in most solid tumors (further discussed below). Attraction of blood and lymphatic vessels also greatly facilitates spread of the tumor by invasion and metastasis, two closely related processes involving changes in cell-cell and cellmatrix interactions and activation of extracellular proteases. Metastasizing cancer cells must move out from the primary tumor, invade adjacent tissues, and travel via the circulation to distant tissues for the foundation of new colonies. The dissemination of tumors via metastases is a major cause of human cancer deaths [12].

The progressive genetic alterations leading to the development of a malignant cell are limited by the inefficient process of mutating specific genes in succession. In normal cells, genomic integrity is maintained by several DNA monitoring and repair enzymes, ensuring that persistent mutations are rare events and that proliferation is blocked upon detection of genomic damage. Thus, by inducing dysfunction of these DNA caretaker systems, a premalignant cell can obtain genomic instability, which increases the frequency of mutations and enables genetic and signaling alterations needed for the six mentioned acquired capabilities to occur within a human life span.

Neuroblastoma

Neuroblastoma incidence, prognosis and staging

Neuroblastoma (NB) is the most frequent solid extra-cranial neoplasia affecting children, accounting for 7-10% of all pediatric malignancies with an annual incidence of 10-12 cases per million in the population younger than 15 years [13, 14]. An average of 14 children are diagnosed with neuroblastoma each year in Sweden [15], thereby comprising around 6% of the pediatric tumors. The median age at diagnosis is 18 months and only 2-4% of the cases occur after the age of ten [16]. Furthermore, children in whom the diagnosis is made before 1-2 years often have a better prognosis than cases found in older children. No major etiological factor causing neuroblastoma has yet been determined, and the importance of environmental risk factors is uncertain. Although neuroblastoma is a rare disease it is responsible for about 15% of all pediatric cancer deaths [17].

Neuroblastoma originates from abnormal cellular differentiation within the developing sympathetic nervous system (SNS). Tumors can occur at any SNS location and primary lesions are most common in the adrenal glands and abdominal sympathetic paraspinal ganglia, but NB metastases disseminate to other tissues, including bone, liver and lung [18]. Disease symptoms are quite unspecific, but include fatigue, abdominal pain, appetite loss and diarrhea [19]. Neuroblastoma prognosis is highly dependent on several clinical, biochemical and genetic features. Tumors are classified according to the International Neuroblastoma Staging System (INSS), which include parameters such as localization of the primary tumor, dissemination to lymph nodes and the level of metastasis [20]. This system highlights the vast degree of heterogeneity among neuroblastomas. Stage 1 and 2 tumors are localized, show good prognosis and may only require surgery or low-intensity chemotherapy. In contrast, stage 3 and especially stage 4 comprise extremely aggressive tumors with frequent metastases and poor prognosis, and are very hard to treat even with advanced radiation or chemotoxic

drugs. An additional stage, 4S, comprises a special subtype of tumors with limited dissemination to liver, skin and/or bone marrow, but with good prognosis and low requirements of treatment. These tumors only occur in children younger than one year of age, and are even known to spontaneously mature/regress, a phenomenon rarely exhibited in tumor biology [20, 21]. Treated neuroblastoma children have an overall survival rate of 50%, but this number vary greatly depending on the classification of the tumor, with approximately 80% survival for stage 4S but only around 20% for aggressive stage 4 neuroblastomas. Tumor classification by INSS and the age of the child at diagnosis are the most important clinically used parameters for predicting neuroblastoma outcome. A set of serum markers has also been proposed, mainly used in monitoring disease activity, including ferritin, lactate dehydrogenase, the disialoganglioside G_{D2} and neuron-specific enolase (NSE). Increased serum levels of these markers associates with advanced disease and low neuroblastoma survival [22-24]. In addition, tumors are classified according to a histopathological system [25], utilizing the tumor stromal content, tumor cell mitosis-karvorrhexis index, and the level of cellular differentiation. Several differentiation marker genes, expressed at different neuroblastoma stages, have been identified and compared to corresponding time-point of expression during development of the SNS [26]. Generally, a low level of tumor cell differentiation is associated with more aggressive neuroblastomas and worse prognosis [27, 28].

Genomic abnormalities in neuroblastoma

Only a subset of neuroblastoma children display an inherited predisposition to develop the disease. The median age at diagnosis for these cases is substantially lower than that of sporadic neuroblastomas, indicating consistency with Knudson's two-hit hypothesis for the origin of childhood cancer [29]. Possibly, alterations at a locus on chromosome 16p12-13 could be responsible for neuroblastoma predisposition [30]. However, most neuroblastomas occur spontaneously, and one important developmental factor concerns DNA content of the tumor cells. Somewhat paradoxical, infants with hyperdiploid or near-triploid tumors (but lacking *MYCN* amplification) show a favorable outcome [31]. The explanation for this finding could be that infants generally have whole chromosome gains without structural alterations, and the prognostic value of ploidy is also lost in neuroblastoma children older than 1-2 years, potentially suffering from several genomic rearrangements [32].

One of most significant prognostic markers of neuroblastoma is amplification of the *MYCN* oncogene on chromosome 2p24 [33], which has an overall prevalence of 22% in neuroblastoma tumors [34]. *MYCN* gene amplification correlates with advanced disease stage, rapid tumor progression and poor prognosis, irrespective of patient age [35, 36]. As an exception, this correlation is less evident in children with 4S tumors [37]. The reason why *MYCN* amplification relates to a more aggressive neuroblastoma phenotype is uncertain, but over-expression of the MYCN protein causes deregulated proliferation via formation of a transcription-activating complex with its obligate partner MAX. MYCN target genes such as *ODC* and *MCM7*, are also involved in progression through the G1 phase of the cell cycle. In addition, other genes located within the amplified region may contribute to the worsened outcome for children with neuroblastoma tumors carrying *MYCN* amplification.

Trisomy of the long arm of chromosome 17 (17q), often as an unbalanced translocation with chromosome 1p, is the most common genetic abnormality in neuroblastoma, found in more than half of the tumors and leads to more aggressive neuroblastomas [38]. The gain of chromosome 17q indicates a dosage effect of gene(s) located in this chromosomal region, providing a selective advantage. One candidate factor could be the anti-apoptotic protein – survivin [39]. Another common abnormality is deletion, or loss of heterozygosity (LOH), of the short arm of chromosome 1 (1p). Loss of this region has an actual prevalence close to 35% in neuroblastomas, and is associated with advanced disease and *MYCN* amplification [40, 41]. The exact site of deletion is not clarified, but investigations concerning a region at 1p36 have been ongoing for decades to identify one or more potential candidate tumor suppressor genes, the loss of which could be involved in the progression of neuroblastoma [42-44]. Furthermore, other potentially important chromosomal deletions with relatively high frequency have been identified in neuroblastoma tumors, primarily concerning loss of 11q [45] and 14q [46].

Interestingly, the *TP53* tumor suppressor gene, which is the most commonly mutated gene in human cancer, is rarely altered in primary neuroblastomas [47]. Although evidence suggests that *TP53* mutations are more common in tumors from relapse neuroblastoma children [48], the involvement of this gene in neuroblastoma is still controversial. Nevertheless, in the light of its general importance in cancer progression, the TP53 pathway might still be impeded in this malignancy. A recent report suggests that TP53 is retained in the cytoplasm by the parkin-like protein, PARC, representing a non-mutational mechanism of TP53 inactivation [49]. However, exposure of neuroblastoma cells to DNA-damaging agents still causes stabilization and nuclear translocation of TP53, despite potent

cytoplasmic sequestration before exposure, and further studies are therefore required to ascertain the precise role of the TP53 pathway in neuroblastoma pathogenesis [49, 50].



Figure 1. Genetic model of neuroblastoma development. This model indicates that all neuroblastomas have a common precursor, but commitment is made to progress into one of two main types. Type 1 is characterized by aberrant mitosis causing a hyperdiploid or near-triploid karyotype, but with a low frequency of genomic rearrangements. These tumors often show high TRKA expression, and may undergo differentiation or apoptosis depending on the presence or absence of NGF, respectively. The Type 2 neuroblastomas are generally near-diploid or near-tetraploid, and have several genetic alterations with gain of material on 17q as a common event. Two subsets of this type can be distinguished. One subset more often displays 11q deletion and/or 14q deletion, whereas the second subtype exhibits loss of heterozygosity at 1p, with or without amplification of the *MYCN* oncogene. The tumors with 1p deletion often have expression of both TRKB and its ligand, BDNF, potentially creating a positive autocrine survival loop. Adapted from [18].

Aberrant gene expression patterns in neuroblastoma

One major signaling pathway that could be involved in the malignant transformation of sympathetic neuroblasts to neuroblastoma cells concerns the neurotrophin tyrosine kinase receptors TRKA, TRKB and TRKC, and their respective ligands: nerve growth factor (NGF), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and neurotrophin-3. Activation of TRKA is linked to survival and differentiation, whereas TRKA inhibition can lead to apoptosis, indicating the important role of NGF on cellular behavior. High expression of TRKA in neuroblastoma has been linked to younger age, low tumor stage, lack of *MYCN*-amplification, and a favorable outcome [51, 52]. TRKA/NGF signaling is involved in the differentiation of neuroblasts to a more mature stage, and thus potentially in the differentiation or regression of specific neuroblastoma tumors. In contrast, deprivation of NGF in the tumor microenvironment could

result in TRKA-dependent induction of apoptosis, and thereby lead to neuroblastoma regression [51]. Similar to TRKA, the expression of TRKC is predominantly found in lower-stage tumors lacking *MYCN*-amplification. Expression of the full-length TRKB receptor is, however, strongly associated with *MYCN*-amplified, unfavorable neuroblastomas [53]. These tumors also frequently express the TRKB ligand, BDNF, possibly creating an autocrine or paracrine loop for increased survival [54].

Other genes, perhaps involved more in general aspects of tumor progression than in neuroblastoma specifically, with high or deregulated expression and of potential clinical significance have also been implicated in this tumor type, such as the multidrug resistance gene 1 (*MDR1*) [55] and *telomerase* [56]. A simplified model of neuroblastoma development is depicted in Figure 1.

The sympathetic nervous system (SNS)

Neuroblastoma tumors arise from immature cells of the developing sympathetic nervous system (SNS) [57, 58]. Thus, it is of importance to understand the regulation of SNS development, and the differentiation cues affecting the cells involved. The human nervous system is divided into the central nervous system (CNS) and the peripheral nervous system (PNS). The autonomic part of the PNS is subdivided into the sympathetic and the parasympathetic nervous systems, which control inner organ function. Three closely related cell types constitute SNS tissues (Fig. 2), sympathetic neurons, chromaffin cells and small intensely fluorescent (SIF) cells, all derived from sympatho-adrenal progenitors [59]. Sympathetic neurons and, during development, SIF cells make up the chains of sympathetic ganglia, located in parallel on both sides of the spinal cord and in the trunk. Chromaffin cells are the primary cell type of the adrenal medulla and of sympathetic paraganglia. All SNS cells produce catecholamines (adrenaline or noradrenaline) and, thus, the adult SNS controls e.g. respiration and cardiovascular activity, and has a primary function in handling physical and emotional stress responses, preparing the body for emergency situations, the so-called "fightor-flight" response. In the fetus, catecholamine production is mainly provided by the early SNS structures, the paraganglia (organ of Zuckerkandl), which have an important function in regulating responses to, for instance, hypoxia [60]. The paraganglia regress during early childhood and their role is overtaken by the adrenal glands.



Figure 2. Formation of the neural crest and the sympathetic nervous system. During the third week of gestation, signals elicited from the mesodermal notochord induces invagination of the ectodermal neural plate, thereby forming the neural tube. This process is termed neuralation and is completed by embryonic week 4. At the lateral margins of the closing neural tube, the neural crest cells are formed and begin to migrate throughout the body during the 4^{th} embryonic week. One neural crest cell type is the sympatho-adrenal progenitors, which later give rise to the different SNS cell lineages.

During development, the ectoderm, the endoderm and the mesoderm make up the three germ layers of the human embryo. Between weeks 3-4 of gestation, the ectodermally-derived neural plate invaginates ventrally toward the mesodermal notochord, thereby forming the neural tube which later develops into the central nervous system. From the lateral ridges of the closing neural tube, on the dorsal side, the neural crest cells are formed which later migrate throughout the embryo (Fig. 2). These migrating cells develop into several different cell types, such as melanocytes of the skin, cartilage and bone, smooth muscle cells, glial cells and most cells of the peripheral nervous system, including the sympatho-adrenal progenitors later forming the SNS cells. The ultimate cell fate is dependent on where on the embryonic anterior-posterior axis they are formed, on regional and cellspecific transcription factors [61], in addition to developmental signals derived from organizing structures surrounding the neural crest precursors and their site of destination. Important factors involved in this process are Sonic hedgehog (SHH), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), and the bone morphogenetic proteins (BMP-4 and -7, for example), the latter which are produced by the forming dorsal aorta and can induce tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), the ratelimiting enzyme in catecholamine synthesis [62, 63].

Transcription factors in the regulation of SNS development

Both extrinsic and intrinsic factors, involved in controlling cell fate and differentiation of the migrating neural crest cells and the forming SNS tissues, elicit different gene expression programs that are regulated by transcription factors. Neuroblastoma cells, which are inhibited in their differentiation, show several traits of immature sympathetic precursors, including sustained expression of transcription factors normally found only during certain periods of embryonic development. Many of these transcription factors belong to the basic helix-loophelix (bHLH) family, such as HASH-1 (human achaete-scute homologue-1) that has been shown to be required for proneuronal cell fate decision within neural crest and SNS development. Most neuroblastoma tumors and cell lines have also been shown to express HASH-1 [64]. This protein forms a transcription-activating complex with ubiquitously expressed bHLH factors named E-proteins, including E2-2, E12 and E47 [65-67]. Similar to the MYC network of transcription factors (see below), HASH-1 together with E-proteins bind E-boxes (consensus: CANNTG) of target genes such as *Phox2a*, which induces the sympathoadrenal progenitor marker genes choline acetyltransferase, SCG10, dopamine β -hydroxylase and TH, thereby contributing to a sympathetic neuronal phenotype [68]. The related paired homeodomain transcription factor gene, Phox2b, also seems important in autonomic, sympathetic ganglia formation, and is required in mice for maintaining expression of the HASH-1 homologue, Mash-1 [69]. In addition, Mash-1-deficient mice show arrested chromaffin cells and lack of catecholaminergic differentiation, and Phox2b knock-out mice demonstrate a requirement of Phox2b upstream also in this process [70].

Another bHLH factor, dHAND (deciduum, heart, autonomic nervous system, and neural crest derivatives), is expressed during development in early immature chromaffin cells of the adrenal glands, but is reduced upon differentiation [64]. In contrast, *dHAND* expression appears to persist during embryogenesis in sympathetic neurons and extra-adrenal chromaffin cells. Factors known to promote *dHAND* expression include Phox2b, GATA proteins and the SHH pathway, the latter leading to dHAND-dependent up-regulation of SHH factors and therefore establishment of a positive feedback loop [71, 72]. The *dHAND* gene is also expressed in both low- and high-stage neuroblastomas, and could potentially be used in the clinic for distinguishing neuroblastoma cells from other types of pediatric malignant cells [64].

The NOTCH pathway is involved in many cell-fate decisions during development, which has been demonstrated in *Drosophila* where neuroectodermal cells either

become neurons or epithelial cells, depending on activity and ligand-binding (e.g. by DELTA) to the transmembrane receptor NOTCH. Activation of NOTCH within a cell causes induction of the bHLH HES (Hairy/Enhancer of Split)-factors, which transcriptionally repress genes such as *HASH-1* and thus inhibit neuronal differentiation (reviewed in [73]). However, NOTCH can also promote differentiation into glial cells [74]. Down-regulation of proneuronal *HASH-1*, which also is an activator of *DELTA* expression, leads to reduced amounts of membrane-bound DELTA protein in NOTCH-activated cells. Thus, a cellular determination loop, also known as lateral inhibition, is created between neighboring cells. Neuronal differentiation is repressed in one cell due to low HASH-1 and DELTA levels, whereas an adjacent cell then is less stimulated via the DELTA/NOTCH pathway, and can adopt a neuronal fate [75]. NOTCH-1 and HES-1 have also been implicated in regulating differentiation of neuroblastoma cells [76, 77].

The MYC-MAX-MAD network

As described above, MYCN-amplification is one of the most significant markers determining prognosis of neuroblastoma tumors. Whereas expression of the related factor MYC is frequently present in proliferating cells of several different origins, MYCN expression is primarily found within the CNS and PNS, including neural crest derivatives [78]. These structures usually have low MYC levels, and MYCN is also detected in non-dividing cell that have undergone differentiation. However, MYCN can substitute for the effects of MYC, as shown in a mouse developmental model where MYCN was inserted into the MYC locus [79]. These proteins belong to the bHLHZ (Z = leucine zipper domain) subgroup, binding DNA Eboxes via the basic domain, together with their obligate dimerization partner MAX (MYCassociated factor X). Binding to MAX is, in turn, conferred by the helix-loop-helix and leucine zipper domains. MYC/MYCN-MAX complexes recruit histone acetyltransferases, which make chromatin more accessible, and thereby transcriptionally activate genes (although some transcriptional gene repression also has been reported). These target genes are involved in e.g. cell-growth, differentiation, immortalization and genetic instability, and apoptosis [80] (Fig. 3). MAX, and potentially also MLX (MAX-like protein X), appear in the center of this transcription factor network, and can also interact with the MAD proteins (MAD1, MXI1, MAD3 and MAD4). Heterodimers of MAX and MAD family members interact with the SIN3 co-repressor and recruit histone deacetylases (HDACs), resulting in transcriptional inaccessibility of chromatin and thereby gene repression (Fig. 3). By competing with

MYC/MYCN for MAX binding, and by down-regulating MYC/MYCN target genes, MAD proteins act as antagonists of MYC/MYCN function [81]. Consequently, MYC and MYCN (and their related factor MYCL) are considered to possess oncogenic roles, whereas MAD proteins are potential tumor suppressors. Interestingly, when we manipulate the levels of MXI1, a MAD family member, in neuroblastoma cells we see primarily MYC/MYCN-independent changes in gene expression (paper III).



Figure 3. The MYC-MAX-MAD network of bHLHZ transcription factors. MAX proteins form heterodimers (MAX-MAX homodimers also exist and are usually transcriptionally inert) with proteins from either the MYC or the MAD/MXI1 subgroups, principally leading to activation or repression of target genes, respectively. The general biological responses by these interactions are also given. MYC and MAD/MXI1 proteins compete for binding to MAX, which is central in this transcriptional network, although other proteins such as MLX may appear in the same position. Shown here is also the third identified MYC member, MYCL, which has a more restricted expression pattern than MYC and MYCN.

Mice lacking functional *MYC* or *MYCN* display embryonic lethality [78, 82], and MYC and MYCN have been shown to be important inducers of protein synthesis, cellgrowth and proliferation. However, cell-growth and cell-death are closely interconnected events, and in the lack of survival signals these proteins are also known to induce apoptosis [80]. During embryogenesis, MYC-expressing cells are associated with a proliferative, nondifferentiated state, whereas MYCN can be found in post-mitotic cells of several forming tissues of different origins. Moreover, *MYCN* knock-out mice show a reduced number of mature neurons in sympathetic ganglia, indicating an important role of MYCN in neural crest and SNS development [78, 83]. MYCN could, by inhibiting premature differentiation, inducing self-renewal, or promoting a neuronal fate be involved in creating a pool of neural crest cells that subsequently can differentiate into sympathetic neurons. In addition, MYCN might directly regulate embryonic migration of neural crest cells [84], a phenomenon possibly mirrored by the increased motility seen in MYCN-high neuroblastoma cells [85]. The connection to neuroblastoma is further demonstrated in mice with *MYCN* over-expression targeted to sympathetic progenitors, leading to the development of tumors that in occurrence and genomic changes resemble neuroblastomas [86].

ID proteins

The ID (inhibitor of differentiation/DNA-binding) proteins contain a helix-loop-helix (HLH) domain but lack a basic, DNA-binding region. All ID proteins are highly homologous and principally exert their functions by binding the ubiquitously expressed bHLH E-proteins, forming transcriptionally inactive complexes and/or sequester E-proteins from binding DNA. Because E-proteins themselves are obligate partners for tissue-specific bHLH factors, sequestering E-proteins allows ID to negatively regulate transcriptional responses and inhibit/regulate differentiation in several different cell types, irrespective of which tissuespecific dimerization partner of E-proteins that is expressed. Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), which are members of the TGF- β family, can repress neuronal and myogenic cell fates, and these regulatory activities have been linked to their ability to up-regulate ID proteins [87]. Concerning the nervous system, over- or ectopic expression of ID1 and ID3 has been shown to inhibit neurogenesis, in favor of a glial cell lineage, and combined loss of ID1 and ID3 results in premature neuronal differentiation [88]. Moreover, ID1 ID3 double knockouts are embryonic lethal, whereas *ID1* and *ID3* individual knock-out mice are viable, demonstrating the high functional similarity and redundancy between ID proteins. However, loss of ID2 in mice leads to ~ 25% perinatal lethality, and is thereby the most severe phenotype of the single ID knock-outs [89]. Importantly, ID2 has a role in neural crest cellfate determination, since over-expression of ID2 in the chick neural tube induces conversion of the overlying ectodermal cells to neural crest cells [90].

ID proteins are also highly implicated in cell-cycle regulation, driving proliferation and delaying senescence, partly by reducing expression of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p16^{INK4a} and p21^{Cip1} [91, 92]. Although E-proteins have been implicated in this process [93], the mechanism is more likely to be mediated by ID-dependent inhibition of DNA-binding of ETS transcription factors [91]. ETS proteins can also induce expression of *ID2*, which has been associated with a role in Ewing sarcoma [94, 95]. The growth-promoting

effect by ID has also been attributed to inhibited function of RB and the related factors p107 and p130, allowing progression through the cell cycle [96]. Conversely, hypophosphorylated RB has been suggested to sequester ID2, thereby counteracting proliferation but promoting differentiation. In addition, the ID proteins have been suggested to contribute to immortalization of several cell types.

It is well-established that ID proteins are over-expressed and implicated in tumorigenesis of several different human cancers (reviewed in [97]). In neuroblastoma, three of the four ID members, ID1, ID2, ID3, but not ID4, are expressed and are down-regulated upon induced differentiation [67]. Furthermore, the ID proteins were found to dimerize with HES-1, and could potentially activate transcription by inhibiting the repressive function of HES-1. Previous studies have suggested a link between MYCN and ID2 in neuroblastoma, with induced *ID2* expression in MYCN-transfected cells and high ID2 protein levels only in *MYCN*-amplified neuroblastoma cells [98]. However, recent investigations performed by our group and others have not confirmed these data [99-101]. Also, *MYCN* is down-regulated, whereas *ID2* is up-regulated in neuroblastoma cells grown under hypoxia ([102] and papers I and III), findings that further disagree with a potential MYCN-ID2 connection in neuroblastoma.

Tumor hypoxia

Early work showed that tumors grow in close proximity to blood vessels, and that tumor cells located more than 180 μ m away from blood vessels exhibit necrosis [103]. This distance correlates well with the diffusion limit of oxygen (100-150 μ m), when it passes from the capillary network and is metabolized within tissues. Similar to normal cells and tissues, cancer cells require supply of oxygen and nutrients for maintained membrane transport, chemical synthesis, growth and overall homeostasis, in addition to elimination of waste products by the blood circulation. When solid tumors expand in size the need for oxygen increases. However, the delivery of O₂ to malignant and stromal cells is frequently reduced or even abolished because the induced intratumoral development of new blood vessels (angiogenesis) is insufficient, show structural abnormalities and poor blood flow – ultimately leading to hypoxia [104]. In addition, paraneoplastic symptoms such as anemia can reduce the capacity of oxygen transport and therefore contribute to tumor hypoxia. These processes generate microregions with very low or even zero (anoxic) oxygen partial pressures, distributed heterogeneously within most solid tumors.

Biochemically, hypoxia is defined as oxygen-limited electron transport, and physiological hypoxia occurs when O₂ availability decreases below a certain threshold that restricts function of organs and cells [105]. Accordingly, tumor hypoxia is present when oxygen levels fall below a critical value, leading to a progressive decrease in ATP production. However, the critical oxygen level leading to hypoxia can vary widely among malignant tumors. Assessments of tumor oxygenation status by both invasive (e.g. via Eppendorf electrodes) and non-invasive methods have been performed (Fig. 4), and results indicate that an oxygen partial pressure (pO_2) of 10 mmHg (corresponding to 1.3% O_2) can be considered hypoxic [106]. This cut-off level was found to distinguish hypoxic cervical cancers with poor prognosis, from less-hypoxic corresponding tumors with significantly better survival. Measuring oxygen distribution within human malignancies, including cervical cancers, softtissue sarcomas, squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck, and breast cancer, has shown values of 10 mmHg or less in about 50% of the tumors [106-109]. Tumors with a pO_2 < 10 mmHg exhibit a substantially increased probability of invasion and metastasis [106, 110]. In contrast to the conditions found in solid tumors, oxygen levels in most normal tissues are in the range of 40-60 mmHg [111], correlating well with end-capillary oxygen levels of 5-6% O₂. Clinical studies reveal that tumor hypoxia is a predictive factor for poor outcome, independent of tumor characteristics such as patient age, tumor size and grade, and extent of necrosis [112].

Furthermore, tumor hypoxia is highly associated with increased resistance to anti-cancer therapy. Due to the decreased levels of available oxygen, which is the substrate for the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), causing DNA damage by photon therapy, hypoxia impedes radiation treatment [113]. In addition, hypoxia can confer radiation resistance by increasing the expression of heat-shock proteins, inducing cell growth, or by inhibiting the apoptotic pathway [114]. Furthermore, treatment of cancer patients with chemotherapeutic drugs is also hampered by tumor hypoxia, due to several different mechanisms [111]. The distancing of hypoxic cancer cells from blood vessels causes physical inaccessibility of therapeutic agents, and the abnormal architecture and insufficient circulation of intratumoral blood vessels causes higher interstitial pressure, which also is associated with low penetration of drugs. Furthermore, the therapeutic effect of several substances can be dependent on presence of oxygen. Many drugs are also dependent on a high range of proliferating tumor cells for proper function, and since hypoxia is known to be involved in decreasing proliferation, a diminished effect of the drugs might be at hand. In addition, hypoxia mediates an intratumoral selection for cells resistant to TP53-mediated apoptosis, thereby desensitizing cancer cells for apoptosis-inducing agents [114]. Finally, hypoxia can induce expression of genes providing drug resistance, as exemplified by the *MDR1* gene [115].



Figure 4. Solid tumors are less oxygenated than normal tissues. Measurements of oxygen distribution within normal tissue (top) and head and neck cancer (bottom), using polarographic O_2 needle sensors. Tumors show an oxygen tension median of 10 mmHg (~ 1.3% O_2), in contrast to a median of approximately 50 mmHg in normal tissue, with no values lower than 10 mmHg. Adapted from [108].

Responses to hypoxia and malignant progression

In response to hypoxia, normal cells undergo a multitude of biological changes that regulate cell growth, cell death and angiogenesis. Expression of erythropoietin (EPO) is up-regulated by hypoxia in the kidney, leading to raised hemoglobin production and increased blood supply [116]. Another hypoxic response that can mediate a systemic effect (in addition to local actions), is raised TH synthesis [117] which increases catecholamine production, for instance in SNS tissues.

Cancer cells are genetically and phenotypically altered in response to hypoxia, thereby allowing survival and growth of tumors under even very low oxygen tensions. Hypoxic cells switch their glucose metabolism from utilization of the oxygen-dependent tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, to oxygen-independent glycolysis. In hypoxic tumor cells, glycolysis is used as a primary source for ATP production, which occurs even under aerobic conditions (known as the "Warburg effect") and has also been linked to the process of transformation [118]. Constitutive up-regulation of glycolysis leads to increased acid production through an overload of lactate and CO₂, and cancer cells that are selected to resist acid-induced toxicity have a growth advantage within an environment that is toxic to other phenotypes, but harmless to themselves. In addition, environmental acid production facilitates

invasion and metastasis by disrupting cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions. Hypoxic upregulation of *carbonic anhydrase-9* (*CA-9*) may as well be involved in lowering pH within tumors and has been associated with poor prognosis [119]. Hypoxia also induces most glycolytic enzymes and the glucose transporters, *GLUT1* and *GLUT3* [118]. Several growth factors involved in promoting proliferation are induced by hypoxia, including IGF-2, TGF- β and PDGF, and the hypoxia-activated glycolytic pathway generates metabolites that are essential for cell growth [120].

One of the most studied hypoxia-induced responses is angiogenesis. Hypoxic exposure of cancer cells leads to increased expression and secretion of VEGF, the most important angiogenic factor, and up-regulation of VEGF receptors. Induction of these genes provide both auto- and paracrine survival effects on tumor cells, but primarily increase the vascular blood supply within the tumor by mediating proliferation and recruitment of endothelial cells [120]. Hypoxia also confers immortalization of cancer cells, e.g. by increasing *telomerase* expression [121]. Genetic instability and mutation rates are augmented under hypoxia [122], with hypoxic cells having a diminished capacity for both mismatch and double strand brake DNA repair [123, 124], all processes that would promote cancer progression.

However, the hypoxia-induced changes in tumors, affecting both neoplastic and stromal cells, can promote additional pathways that would appear detrimental for tumor progression, including cellular quiescence, apoptosis and necrosis. Apoptosis is a predominant response to hypoxia in transformed cells, often in a TP53-dependent manner [125], but hypoxia-mediated apoptosis can be avoided via activity of BCL2 family members [126]. The HIF pathway could also be implicated in apoptosis, potentially in co-operation with TP53 (discussed further below). Hypoxia-evoked cell cycle arrest is characterized by increased activity of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors such as p21^{Cip1} and p27^{Kip1}, and also hypophosphorylation of the RB protein, leading to a block in cell cycle progression [127].

Whether the net phenotypic result of hypoxia-induced intratumoral changes leads to tumor impairment or tumor promotion is influenced by the genomic status of the cancer cells, induced epigenetic changes, and effects by microenvironmental cells and factors, in addition to the degree of hypoxia. Hypoxia-mediated clonal expansion of cancer cells with advantageous alterations, causing reductions in growth-inhibition, less differentiation and lowered sensitivity to apoptosis have been demonstrated [114], and these changes may also favor hypoxia-associated mechanisms that promote tumor growth, angiogenesis, invasion and metastasis (Fig. 5). Furthermore, the increased aggressiveness of hypoxic tumor cells implicates that anti-angiogenic therapy, which aims at reducing tumor vascular and oxygen supply, could in fact increase hypoxic tumor cell adaptation and selection, and thus promote disease progression. Most, if not all, of these hypoxic responses show involvement by the principal transcription factors controlling adaptation to low oxygen conditions, the hypoxiainducible factors (HIFs), which are discussed below.



Figure 5. The importance of hypoxia in malignant progression of solid tumors. Dysregulated proliferation of neoplastic cells, and insufficient oxygen supply by the chaotic intratumoral microcirculation leads to the establishment of tumor hypoxia. Sustained or intermittent hypoxia augments genomic instability and heterogeneity, providing a selective pressure for cells that can survive and proliferate under even very low oxygen concentrations. This adaptive response to hypoxia within tumors also results in the emergence of cancer cells with increased potential for angiogenesis, tissue invasion and tumor dissemination, as well as therapeutic resistance. Adapted from [112].

Hypoxia-Inducible Factors (HIFs)

Structure of HIF

Although several transcription factors have been implicated in the hypoxic response, including NF- κ B, CREB, AP-1 and TP53 [128], HIF proteins have convincingly been demonstrated to play the major roles in cellular and systemic O₂ homeostasis, both during development and in postnatal life. HIF proteins are also highly involved in disease processes, including cancer. These proteins are heterodimeric transcription factors consisting of two subunits: an α -subunit, the protein levels of which increase with reduced oxygen tensions, and an oxygen-independent β -subunit. So far, three α -subunits have been discovered. HIF-1 α was initially identified by Semenza and Wang as a DNA-binding protein located in the 3'-region of the hypoxia-induced *EPO* gene [129]. Factors related to HIF-1 α in structure and function

were later found, with HIF-2 α , also named endothelial PAS domain protein 1 (EPAS1), HIFlike factor (HLF), HIF-related factor (HRF) and member of PAS family 2 (MOP2), having the highest similarity [130-133]. A third member, HIF-3 α , has also been cloned, and a splice variant from the HIF-3 α locus termed IPAS (inhibitory PAS domain protein) forms transcriptionally inactive heterodimers with HIF-1 α that prevents HIF-1 α -induced gene expression, e.g. for *VEGF*, causing maintenance of an avascular phenotype in tissues such as the cornea [134-136]. Although regulation of HIF-3 α appears similar to that of HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α , only a few reports are available for this α -subunit, and thus focus will be directed on HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α hereafter. The β -subunit, HIF-1 β or ARNT (aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator), besides functioning as a HIF- α co-factor, is known to bind the dioxin receptor and is involved in responses to environmental pollutants [137]. Lately, ARNT2 and ARNT3 have been identified, the expression of which are more restricted than the ubiquitous factor ARNT. All α -subunits can dimerize with all ARNT proteins, thereby adding complexity to the hypoxic signaling response.

HIF- α and ARNT proteins are relatively large proteins (770-870 amino acids, 90-120 kD) and contain bHLH domains, where the basic region confers DNA-binding and the HLH part mediates protein-protein interactions (Fig. 6). In addition, these proteins have two PAS (an acronym for the PER, ARNT, SIM proteins, in which this motif first was identified) domains, PAS-A and PAS-B, involved in HIF heterodimerization, but also in binding and modifications by other proteins such as the heat-shock protein 90 (HSP90) [138]. Functional HIF- $\alpha\beta$ complexes bind a specific DNA sequence (core motif: 5'-CGTG-3') termed hypoxiaresponse element (HRE), present in promoters or enhancers of HIF target genes [139]. The HIF- α proteins contain both N-terminal and C-terminal transactivation domains (N-TAD and C-TAD, respectively), the major function of which is to recruit co-activators that are crucial for transcriptional regulation of target genes (no C-TAD is present in HIF- 3α , accounting for its inhibitory effect on HIF-1 α -mediated transactivation). The N-TAD also comprises an oxygen-dependent degradation domain (ODD), involved in controlling HIF- α protein stability in response to changes in O₂ levels (the functions of HIF TADs are further described below). Under hypoxic condition, HIF- α proteins accumulate rapidly (<2 min) in the nucleus due to two nuclear localization signals and bind to ARNT (ARNT is a constitutive nuclear protein and is not necessary for HIF-a nuclear localization), recruit co-activators such as CBP/p300 and regulate target genes [140, 141]. Reoxygenation quickly reduces HIF DNA-binding and HIF- α protein levels, due to the short half-life (<5 min) of these factors [142].



Figure 6. Domain structure of HIF-1 α and ARNT, intradomain localization of major post-translational HIF-1 α modifications, and interactions of HIF-1 α . The oxygen-dependent degradation domain (ODD) of HIF-1 α regulates its stability via hydroxylation of proline(P)-402 and -564 (-405 and -531 in HIF-2 α) by PHD 1-3 enzymes, and acetylation of lysine(K)-532 by ARD1, leading to binding and ubiquitylation by the VHL E3 ligase complex, and subsequent proteasomal HIF-1 α degradation. HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α have two transactivation domains (TADs), the N-terminal and the C-terminal (HIF-3 α lacks the C-TAD), respectively, while ARNT only contains one TAD. Several co-activators, including CBP and p300, interact with the C-TAD and enhance HIF target gene transactivation. HIF- α proteins are also phosphorylated, which may increase transcriptional activity. Oxygen-dependent hydroxylation of asparagine(N)-803 in HIF-1 α (-851 in HIF-2 α) by the FIH enzyme blocks the interaction with CBP/p300 and thus inhibits HIF-1 α -dependent gene transcription. Hypoxia inhibits both PHD and FIH functions, leading to stable and active HIF- α proteins.

Oxygen-dependent HIF regulation: stability

The cellular oxygen-sensing mechanism controlling HIF- α stability (see Figs. 6 and 7) was independently discovered by two groups simultaneously [143, 144], involving a new group of prolyl-4-hydroxylases, now termed prolyl-hydroxylase domain-containing protein (PHD 1, 2 and 3), which in the presence of oxygen hydroxylate two conserved proline residues (Pro-402 and -564 in HIF-1 α , and -405 and -531 in HIF-2 α) within the respective ODDs, ultimately leading to degradation of the HIF- α subunits [144-146]. PHD2 appears to be the most abundant and primary HIF- α prolyl hydroxylase under normal conditions, although HIF-2 α is suggested to be better hydroxylated by PHD3 [147]. PHD1 is found primarily within the nucleus, PHD2 is mostly cytoplasmic, and PHD3 is both cytoplasmic and nuclear with cytoplasmic predominance, but the importance of this distribution is not yet clear [148]. The PHDs require 2-oxoglutarate, derived from the metabolic TCA cycle, and molecular oxygen as substrates, providing a direct link between oxygen availability and HIF regulation. These enzymes also exhibit relatively high K_m values for oxygen (230-250 μ M compared to 40 μ M for the procollagen prolyl hydroxylase) indicating that they could act as proper sensors for

hypoxia [149]. During catalysis, one oxygen atom is incorporated into the formed hydroxyl group on HIF- α , and the other is coupled to the oxidative decarboxylation of 2-oxoglutarate (2-OG), yielding succinate and CO₂ [150]. These enzymes are also dependent on iron (Fe²⁺) and ascorbate as co-factors, and can therefore be inhibited by hypoxia-mimicking agents such as iron chelators and transition metals, leading to stabilization of HIF- α subunits even at normal oxygen tensions.

Also, nitric oxide (NO) has been shown to inhibit HIF- α hydroxylation and induce HIF activity, primarily by competing with O₂ for binding to the PHDs [151]. However, other reports indicate both NO-induced inhibition of HIF and promotion of PHD function, suggesting a more complex regulation [152]. Both PHD2 and PHD3 (but not PHD1) are transcriptionally induced by hypoxia, potentially in order to mediate a more rapid destruction of HIF- α when cells are reoxygenated [146, 147]. HIF-1 α has been shown to activate both PHD2 and PHD3 transcription under hypoxia, and HIF-2 α may also be involved in PHD3 upregulation [153-155]. In contrast, hypoxia, potentially independent of HIF, can induce the accumulation of the Siah2 protein, which is suggested to be involved in polyubiquitylation and degradation of PHDs [156]. Thus, hypoxia causes both negative and positive feedback loops in the reciprocal regulation of PHD and HIF- α proteins. Furthermore, the production of alternative PHD transcripts could influence the rate of HIF hydroxylation [149]. A recent report also demonstrates that the OS-9 protein promotes HIF degradation via interactions with both HIF-1 α and PHD2 and PHD3 [157].

Prolyl hydroxylation of HIF- α is of central importance for its degradation pathway (Fig. 7). HIF- α proteins are constitutively expressed, but usually not detectable under normoxic condition [142]. However, the term normoxia is often carelessly used, since most hypoxic experiments on HIF proteins are compared with growth conditions at 21% O₂, which actually corresponds to a hyperoxic state, instead of more physiologically relevant oxygen levels as those found in end-capillaries (~ 5% O₂) [111]. Importantly, we readily find accumulation of nuclear HIF-2 α protein, to a higher degree than HIF-1 α , at 5% oxygen in neuroblastoma cells ([158, 159] and paper II). Generally though, degradation of HIF- α occurs in the presence of sufficient amounts of oxygen, and starts with binding of the β -domain of the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) tumor suppressor protein to the hydroxylated prolines within the HIF- α ODD [160]. Mutations in the *VHL* gene is common both in hereditary (e.g. patients with von Hippel-Lindau disease carrying one defect *VHL* allele and which develop tumors when the wild-type allele is somatically mutated) and in sporadic cancers such as clear cell renal cell carcinomas (RCC). In these tumors, HIF- α subunits are not degraded, leading to constitutive up-regulation of HIF targets such as *VEGF*, and a highly vascular phenotype. VHL belongs to a multiprotein E3 ubiquitin ligase complex, containing elongin B, elongin C, Rbx1 and cullin 2, which polyubiquitylates HIF- α subunits in the presence of oxygen [161-163], leading to proteolysis of HIF- α in the 26S proteasome [144, 164]. Recently, it was found that the E2-EPF ubiquitin carrier protein associates with and targets VHL for proteasomal degradation, thereby stabilizing HIF-1 α , which also was implicated in increased tumor growth and metastasis [165].

Another tumor suppressor that is involved in HIF biology is *TP53*. Recent data suggest that HIF-1 α can bind to MDM2, the ubiquitin ligase targeting TP53 for degradation, and thereby indirectly stabilize TP53 [166]. Importantly, TP53 may promote MDM2-mediated ubiquitylation and subsequent proteasomal destruction of HIF-1 α , independently of VHL [167]. In addition, acetylation of Lysine-532 of the HIF-1 α ODD by the acetyltransferase ARD1 (arrest-defective-1 protein) may increase HIF-VHL interaction, ubiquitylation and degradation of HIF-1 α [168], although other reports contradict these findings [169].

Oxygen-dependent HIF regulation: transactivation

Analysis of the HIF- α C-TAD has revealed that hydroxylation of an asparagine residue (Asn-803 in HIF-1 α and Asn-851 in HIF-2 α), blocks interaction with the CH-1 (cysteine/histidine rich) domain of the transcriptional co-activators p300 and CBP (the binding protein of CREB, c-AMP-response element binding protein), rendering HIF- α transcriptionally inactive under normoxic conditions [170] (Figs. 6 and 7). The CBP/p300 proteins are histone acetyltransferases and when bound to HIF- α they mediate chromatin accessibility, which facilitates transcriptional induction of HIF target genes. The relevant asparaginyl hydroxylase mediating the transcriptional inactivation of HIF- α subunits response was defined as the factor inhibiting HIF (FIH), which belongs to the same group of oxygen-, iron- and 2-oxoglutarate-dependent enzymes as the PHDs and can therefore be inhibited by hypoxia, iron chelators and 2-OG analogues [171, 172]. FIH is mainly localized to the cytoplasm and, unlike the PHDs, the mRNA of *FIH* is not induced by hypoxia [148]. Also, the FIH *K*_m for oxygen is approximately 90 μ M, which is substantially lower than that for the PHD enzymes, and thus more pronounced hypoxia may be required for full inhibition of FIH-induced

hydroxylation [173]. Nevertheless, asparaginyl hydroxylation provides a second oxygendependent mechanism by which HIF- α subunits that escape the degradation pathway can be transcriptionally inhibited (Fig. 7). In addition to p300/CBP, HIF interacts with the coactivator SRC-1 (steroid receptor co-activator-1) and the transcription intermediary factor 2, and these interactions enhance the transactivation potential of HIF-1 α in an O₂-dependent manner, producing a synergistic effect with CBP [174]. The latter is also potentiated by the redox regulatory protein Ref-1, which interacts with both the N-TAD and the C-TAD, but displays a higher effect on the C-TAD [174].

FIH is also reported to interact with VHL [171]. Although this interaction is not required for FIH activity, normoxic HIF-dependent transcription in cells lacking VHL is essentially complete [161], suggesting that VHL is essential for all oxygen-dependent controls of HIF, and that VHL function extends beyond ubiquitin-mediated HIF- α degradation. These findings also implicate the second HIF- α transactivation domain (N-TAD) in gene regulation. HIF-dependent genes can be insensitive to changes in FIH-mediated C-TAD hydroxylation, for instance observed with some HIF splice variants lacking the C-TAD domain but that still activate certain HIF targets. Recent data also suggest a co-operation between the two TADs in HIF-2 α -mediated renal tumorigenesis [175]. Furthermore, it was previously shown that the PHDs can recruit the candidate tumor suppressor ING4 (inhibitor of growth 4), mediating suppression of HIF-1 α stability [176]. Still, the importance of these interactions has not yet been fully clarified.

Oxygen-independent regulation of HIF proteins

HIF- α proteins can be stabilized and activated already under normoxic conditions (Fig. 7), and additional post-translational modifications, such as phosphorylation, appear to play major roles. Tumor suppressors (such as *PTEN* and *p14*^{*ARF*}) and oncogenes (e.g.*RAS* and *v-SRC* (*viral sarcoma oncogene*)) are able to affect HIF- α expression, independent on oxygen levels [177]. These pathways are usually not as efficient HIF regulators as hypoxia, and in contrast to hypoxia, they appear to operate in a more cell type-specific manner. Nevertheless, oxygen-independent regulation of HIF is important in several biological processes, and is highly involved in cancer. Binding of growth factors and cytokines, such as EGF, basic FGF, TGF- α , interleukin-1 β , IGF-1/2 and insulin to their cognate receptors has been shown to up-
regulate HIF-1 α , primarily involving the MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) and the phophatidylinositol (PI)-3-kinase (PI3K) pathways [178]. In turn, several growth factors (and their receptors) are HIF target genes themselves, possibly creating positive feedback loops [177].



Figure 7. Regulation of HIF-1 α . The HIF-1 α protein is constitutively expressed within most cells, but constantly degraded in the presence of sufficient oxygen. Under normoxic conditions, newly synthesized cytoplasmic HIF-1 α is rapidly hydroxylated by PHD enzymes (primarily PHD2) and acetylated by the ARD1 protein. These modifications lead to binding and ubiquitylation by the VHL E3 ligase complex and subsequent destruction of HIF-1 α in the 26S proteasome. Of note, HIF-1 α prolyl hydroxylation and degradation may also occur in the nucleus. The FIH protein is also active in the presence of oxygen and hydroxylates HIF-1 α proteins that have escaped PHD/VHL-mediated degradation, resulting in blocked interaction with CBP/p300 coactivators and inhibition of HIF target gene activation. Under hypoxic conditions, HIF-1 α is not hydroxylated and is therefore stabilized, can translocate to the nucleus where it binds ARNT and co-activators such as CBP/p300, and regulates target genes via hypoxia-response elements (HREs). However, growth-factor (GF)induced signaling via receptor tyrosine kinases can induce HIF-1 α protein accumulation and activity even at normoxia, mainly because the PHDs are not present within cells in a large excess over HIF-1 α and therefore have limited function. GF-mediated signaling frequently involves activation of the PI-3-kinase pathway, including major downstream targets such as AKT and mTOR, which stimulates translation- and ribosomeregulatory proteins, thereby increasing the rate of HIF-1a protein synthesis. In addition, signaling via receptor tyrosine kinases can activate the MAPK pathway, leading to phosphorylation of HIF-1 α and/or co-activators, which enhances HIF-1 α transactivation. See text for additional HIF regulatory mediators and for further details.

Direct phosphorylation of HIF- α appears to occur after stabilization of the protein under normoxic or hypoxic conditions [179, 180]. In one study, activation of the MAPK extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK)-1 and ERK-2 (p44 and p42, respectively)

led to HIF-1 α phosphorylation, whereas activation of p38 or JNK (c-Jun N-terminal kinase) MAPK kinases did not [180]. However, the C-TAD of HIF-1 α has been shown to be phosphorylated by both ERK and p38 MAPKs [179], and ERK-signaling can also increase HIF-2 α transactivation [181]. The specific residues phosphorylated by ERK and p38 have yet to be determined, but may involve threonine-796 in HIF-1 α and threonine-844 in HIF-2 α [182]. Phosphorylation seems not to influence stability or DNA-binding of HIF- α , but instead increases HIF transcriptional activity [180, 183]. One suggested underlying mechanism is that ARNT preferentially binds the phosphorylated form of HIF- α subunits [184]. However, recent studies indicate that direct phosphorylation of the HIF- α C-TAD does not correlate with HIF transcriptional activity, and instead can phosphorylation of the p300 co-activator increase the interaction between HIF- α C-TAD and p300, thereby augmenting HIF transcriptional ability [185].

Several mechanisms involving almost all activation steps within the PI3K pathway have been shown to increase HIF-1 α levels [178]. The PI3K downstream kinase AKT, involved in apoptosis, cell growth and translation, activates the mammalian target of rapamycin, mTOR, which in turn is an activator of the p70 ribosomal protein S6 kinase (p70^{S6K}) that can enhance HIF-1a translation. mTOR also phosphorylates and inhibits the translational regulatory protein 4E-binding protein (4EBP), which then prevents 4EBPmediated inhibition of the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E, resulting in an increase in translation rate. Conversely, the PI3K cascade can be inhibited by the tumor suppressor *PTEN* (phosphatase and tensin homologue), which also inhibits HIF-1 α stabilization and activity [186]. The PI3K/AKT pathway is frequently dysregulated in cancer, often through activation by growth factors (see above), leading to up-regulation of HIF-1 α . In a study of breast cancer cell lines, inhibition of PI3K caused a reduction in HIF-1 α expression and phosphorylation, and lower VEGF levels, without affecting HIF-2 α [187]. Cellular transformation by HRAS has been shown to increase HIF-1 α protein and target gene expression via PI3K pathway activation, independent on oxygen levels [188, 189]. Activated v-SRC can induce HIF-1 α levels under normoxia through a PI3K- or MAPK-dependent pathway, however, likely as a result of enhanced overall protein translation [190]. Also, RASand v-SRC-mediated HIF-1 α induction under normoxic conditions may be attributed to inhibition of PHDs, although activated AKT stabilized HIF-1 α independently of prolyl hydroxylation in the same study [191]. Furthermore, signaling from the epidermal growth factor receptor HER2 can increase HIF-1 α protein synthesis in a PI3K-dependent manner,

and in colon cancer cells stimulated with IGF-1 or prostaglandin E2, HIF-1 α protein is induced through MAPK and PI3K pathways [192-194].

Finally, several other post-translational modifications, including S-nitrosation may induce HIF transcriptional activity, whereas modification with the small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO) may repress activity [195].

HIF proteins in development

Functional studies *in vitro* have displayed considerable similarities between HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α in structure and transactivation properties [196, 197]. However, developmental models in mice show that these proteins are not redundant, probably to a large extent caused by the existing spatial and temporal differences in HIF- α subunit expression patterns during embryogenesis. To date there are no mouse genetic data regarding the function of HIF-3 α .

Two highly similar $HIF-1\alpha^{-/2}$ mouse phenotypes were initially published [198, 199]. Loss of $HIF-1\alpha$ in these mice resulted in morphological abnormalities by embryonic (E) day E8.0-E8.5, developmental arrest by E9.0, and all $HIF-1\alpha^{-/2}$ embryos died by E11. The greater severity of embryonic defects in these mice compared with ARNT-deficient mice suggests that HIF-1 α can dimerize with for instance ARNT2 or ARNT3 [200]. $HIF-1\alpha$ -null mice display a substantial decrease in somites, failure in closing the neural tube and massive defects in vascular structure and organization, especially in the cephalic region. Concomitant with the disruption of vascular development, massive cell death was observed within the cephalic mesenchyme [198]. Cardiac development is also aberrant in $HIF-1\alpha^{-/2}$ embryos, primarily with hyperplasia of the presumptive myocardium leading to reduced size of the ventricular cavity and outflow tract. The vascular defects in these mice are similar to those found in *VEGF*-deficient embryos, and hypoxic *VEGF* induction was absent in $HIF-1\alpha^{-/2}$ embryonic stem (ES) cells [198, 199].

However, a later study of HIF- 1α knock-out mice surprisingly demonstrated higher basal VEGF expression compared with wild-type embryos, possibly explained by the HIF- $1\alpha^{-/-}$ vascular defects resulting in, besides a deficient O₂ supply, glucose deprivation which induces VEGF independently of HIF- 1α [201]. Interestingly, HIF- 2α appears to be more involved in responses to hypoglycemia than HIF- 1α [202]. Rather than being associated with VEGF deficiency, the vascular and morphological abnormalities in HIF- $1\alpha^{-/-}$ embryos were temporally and spatially correlated with increased cell death, occurring prior to the onset of vascular defects [201]. The cell death was especially manifested at the neurosomatic junction, the site at which neural crest cells emigrate from the neural tube to populate the cephalic mesenchyme.

An additional study of $HIF-1\alpha^{-/-}$ embryos, using a different mouse genetic background, confirmed that that loss of $HIF-1\alpha$ results in cardiac defects due to faulty ventricular formation, as well as abnormal aortic outflow tract and cephalic vessels [203]. Furthermore, in concordance with the earlier mouse models, the malformations in these $HIF-1\alpha$ -null embryos were associated with defective neural crest cell migration, involving aberrant expression of neural crest developmental marker genes. Particularly interesting was the reduced expression of *ID2* found in E9.5 $HIF-1\alpha^{-/-}$ embryos [203], since this gene normally is required for proper neural crest development [90], and because our group later identified *ID2* as a HIF-1 target gene in hypoxic neural crest/SNS-derived neuroblastoma cells ([102] and paper I).

The published HIF-2 $\alpha^{-/-}$ mutants show large variations in overall phenotype, to a higher extent than the *HIF-1* α -null mouse models, likely due to the fact that mice with more pronounced differences in genetic background were used in the HIF- $2\alpha^{-/-}$ studies. The HIF- $2\alpha^{-1}$ mice created by Tian *et al.* were embryonic lethal by E16.5, however, displayed normal vascular development and no general morphological defects up to E15.5 [204]. The HIF-2 $\alpha^{-1/2}$ embryos exhibited pronounced bradycardia, likely caused by severely reduced catecholamine levels. Heterozygous animals also expressed high HIF-2 α levels in catecholamine-producing sympathetic ganglia by E11.5-E12.5, and during E12.5-E15.5 in the paraganglia, and these time-points correlated with the onset of death in $HIF-2\alpha$ -deficient embryos. The midgestational lethality was largely rescued by administration of catecholamine precursors to pregnant mice, which led to the conclusion that $HIF-2\alpha$ is involved during development as a sensor of hypoxia, mediating a response of increased levels of circulating catecholamine required for proper cardiac function [204]. Peng *et al.* generated different HIF-2 α^{-1} mice showing both subtle and severe vascular defects depending on genotype, but embryonic lethality was displayed for all mutants [205]. These embryos could be rescued, however limited, with supplementation of catecholamine precursors, indicating catecholaminedeficiency by loss of HIF-2 α similar to the findings by Tian et al. [204]. In a later study, the vascular defects observed in $HIF-2\alpha$ -null mice could be restored by endothelium-specific expression of HIF-2 α cDNA, which also allowed embryonal survival [206].

Compernolle *et al.* showed that *HIF-2\alpha*-deficiency can cause pre- or perinatally fatal respiratory distress syndrome [207]. Vascular development in these mice was normal until one day before birth when subtle pulmonary vessel defects occurred, temporally coinciding with the induction of HIF-2 α and VEGF in alveolar endothelial cells of wild-type mice. VEGF regulates fetal lung maturation and was reduced in embryos lacking HIF-2 α . Administration of VEGF improved lung function and survival of $HIF-2\alpha$ -null mice. Catecholamine production was lower also in this mouse model, but not connected with the overall pathology [207]. A postnatal HIF- $2\alpha^{-/}$ phenotype was obtained by Scortegagna *et al.* however, these mice demonstrated substantially shorter life spans and multiple organ pathology [208]. Adrenal gland catecholamine levels were reduced, however only slightly in these juvenile HIF- $2\alpha^{-}$ mice. Gross metabolic defects were observed, in addition to enhanced production of reactive oxygen species in combination with an impaired response to oxidative stress. Thus, HIF-2 α could function as a primary sensor of oxidative stress during development, governing a protective response to maintain ROS homeostasis, which HIF-1 α cannot substitute for [208]. In addition, HIF-2 α -deficient mice show defects in EPOdependent hematopoietic development and retinal neovascularization. HIF-2 α -null kidneys have severely decreased *EPO* expression and impaired erythropoietin induction by hypoxia. Treatment of mice lacking HIF-2 α with EPO causes a reversal of the hematopoietic and retinal vascularization defects [209-211].

Importantly, several of the *HIF-2* α knock-out models implicate HIF-2 α as a regulator of the sympatho-adrenal lineage, in particular Tian *et al.* showing strong HIF-2 α expression in the developing sympathetic ganglia, paraganglia, and also weaker expression in the adrenal glands, the latter confirmed in a study of HIF tissue distribution during development [212]. Our group has also demonstrated *HIF-2\alpha* expression in mouse E14.5 paraganglia, and HIF-2 α protein in human fetal week 8.5, approximately corresponding to the mouse E16 developmental stage [102, 159]. In addition, we see induction of HIF-2 α , but not HIF-1 α , protein levels in apparently well-oxygenated neuroblastoma tumors ([158, 159] and paper II).

Adult tissue expression of HIF

HIF-1 α mRNA is constitutively expressed in most cultured tissue cells, but *in vivo*, *HIF-1* α might be transcriptionally regulated in response to hypoxia and ischemia [213, 214]. As described above, HIF-1 α protein is constantly expressed in cells but also rapidly degraded, unless stabilized by hypoxia or induced by intracellular signaling pathways. Although these stimuli probably operate in most normal human cells, investigations have shown that HIF-1 α protein is usually not detected within normal tissues [215].

Regarding *HIF-2* α , early findings indicated a more restricted expression pattern than that of *HIF-1* α , with abundant *HIF-2* α levels found primarily in vascular endothelial cells of various organs such as brain, lung, kidney, liver and spleen [130-132]. In contrast, *HIF-1* α expression in endothelial cells is usually much lower than *HIF-2* α . Investigating adult mouse and human tissues however revealed that substantial *HIF-2* α expression is also found in other cell types, such as smooth muscle cells, hepatocytes, epithelial cells of the lung, kidney and intestine, glial cells and neurons, including SNS derivatives [131, 132, 196, 212, 216]. Nevertheless, protein levels of HIF-2 α are hardly detectable in normal tissues, similar to HIF-1 α , with the exception of strongly HIF-2 α -expressing bone marrow macrophages [215].

Functions and involvement of HIF proteins in tumors

In contrast to normal tissues, HIF-1 α and/or HIF-2 α proteins are abundant in most types of human tumors, including breast, lung, skin, colon, ovarian, pancreatic, prostate and renal tumors, however in a heterogeneous pattern [215, 217]. In addition, high HIF protein levels are also common in metastases [217]. Intratumoral HIF expression can be mediated by both physiological induction, primarily hypoxia, and by oncogenic activation/tumor suppressor loss-of-function, and these processes are not mutually exclusive.

HIF-1 α is associated with increased mortality in several human cancers, including cervical, breast, glioma, ovarian and endometrial tumors (reviewed in [177]). Data from xenografted tumors in mice are less consistent. Disrupting *HIF-1* α in embryonic stem (ES) cells causes inhibited xenograft growth in some reports, clearly implicating HIF-1 α as an important factor for solid tumor formation [199, 218], whereas other studies show xenograft growth of ES cells to be promoted by loss of *HIF-1* α [219, 220]. The varying net effects of

HIF-1 α -deficiency in these models could be caused by differences in genetic background of the ES cells, but it appears that HIF-1 α was inversely correlated with tumor growth only in studies involving ES cells that lack the large complement of genetic aberrations, characteristic of cancer cells. Still, inhibited tumor growth is consistently demonstrated in RCC as a result of normoxic HIF-1 α expression caused by VHL-deficiency [221-223].

In contrast, stabilization of HIF-2 α under normoxia is required for growth of *VHL*^{-/-} RCC and hemangioblastoma. Re-introducing VHL in *VHL*-null RCC causes abrogation of xenograft tumor formation, which is rescued by over-expression of HIF-2 α , but not HIF-1 α [221, 224, 225]. Nevertheless, despite xenograft data showing HIF-1 α to be negative for RCC growth, and the clear dominance of HIF-2 α in RCC cell lines, over-expression of HIF-1 α is seen in most *bona fide* primary human RCC tumors [215, 217], and HIF-1 α activity appears important in the earliest detectable RCC lesions, whereas HIF-2 α seems associated with more advanced disease [226]. HIF-2 α protein expression in human tumors has been associated with stromal cells, including tumor macrophages, a feature related to angiogenesis and poor outcome, and HIF-2 α also appears to be a negative prognostic marker for an increasing number of sporadic tumors such as non-small-cell lung, breast, bladder and colorectal cancers (reviewed in [227]), in addition to neuroblastoma (paper II).

More than 100 direct HIF target genes have been demonstrated, and it has been estimated that 1-5% of all human genes may be responsive to hypoxia in a HIF-dependent manner [139, 177]. However, for the majority of these genes, hypoxic induction is cell type-specific, and it is the functional interaction between HIF and other transcription factors that determines which HIF-responsive genes that are up-regulated in a particular cell under hypoxic conditions. HIF-1 α -dependent induction of glucose transporters (*GLUT1*, *GLUT3*) and glycolytic metabolism, via direct up-regulation of most glycolytic enzymes [198, 228], is well appreciated and is directly implicated as an important process for tumor progression, yielding growth advantage and enhancing cancer cell dissemination (see above) [118]. Recently, HIF-1 α was also shown to directly inhibit aerobic metabolism, via induction of *pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase*, potentially directing the limited oxygen supply in tumor cells to other cellular processes and reducing toxic ROS production [229]. In addition, HIF proteins up-regulate the *CA-9* enzyme, now considered as one of the best markers for tumor hypoxia, to deal with the increased acidification caused by the shift to glycolytic ATP production [119].

As described above, HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α , however differently, play essential roles in developmental angiogenesis, and as may be expected HIF proteins are also important in vascularization of tumors. One of the most commonly hypoxia-induced and studied HIF target genes is *VEGF*, so far identified as the strongest promoter of neoangiogenesis, a process that improves tissue oxygenation through increased capillary density and that is crucial for tumor development [230]. Hypoxia is an important environmental factor directing the "angiogenic switch", with HIF proteins activating this process through direct upregulation of *VEGF* mRNA, tilting the balance in favor of angiogenesis-induction over inhibition. Concomitantly, loss of tumor suppressor genes, in particular *TP53*, may cause a reduction of inhibitors such as thrombospondin-1 [231], thereby contributing to angiogenesis. VEGF is also an inducer of vascular permeability, causing high interstitial and oncotic pressure within solid tumors, associated with reduced penetration of therapeutic drugs, and inhibition of VEGF in tumors has been shown to improve drug delivery into tumor tissue [178].

In addition to DNA-binding to gene HREs, HIF-1 α has been demonstrated to bind and potentiate the activity of the intracellular domain of NOTCH-1, shown in a model where HIF-1 α required NOTCH signaling to inhibit muscle and neural cell differentiation under hypoxia [232]. However, in a previous report, inhibition of myogenesis by hypoxia was independent of both NOTCH signaling and HIF-1 α [233]. Furthermore, HIF-1 α is connected to cell cycle arrest, potentially by directly displacing MYC binding from the $p21^{Cip1}$ promoter, thereby inhibiting MYC-mediated repression of $p21^{Cip1}$, leading to a block in cell cycle progression [234]. Similarly, HIF-1 α can repress the DNA mismatch repair genes *MSH2* and *MSH6* via MYC displacement, however only when *TP53* is deleted, suggesting a direct role of HIF in hypoxia-induced genetic instability [235].

Invasion and metastasis are defining characteristics of cancer and are promoted by tumor hypoxia. A substantial number of proteins involved in these processes are HIFinduced, including vimentin, fibronectin, keratins 14, 18, 19, matrix metalloproteinase 2, urokinase plasminogen activator receptor, cathepsin D, TGF- α , autocrine motility factor and the proto-oncogene c-MET [177]. Loss of expression of *E-cadherin*, a hallmark of invasion, is also associated with HIF activity [236]. Key metastatic mediators such as the chemokine receptor CXCR4 and lysyl oxidase (LOX), which is associated with hypoxia and poor outcome in several tumor types, are up-regulated by HIF proteins [237, 238]. Despite all adaptation advantages HIF activity brings, gain-of-function mutations within the *HIF* genes have not been found in tumors. One explanation could be that HIF-induced processes, such as angiogenesis, are not clonally selected. Another explanation relies on the fact that HIF-1 α can induce apoptosis, for example by stabilizing the TP53 protein or via transactivation of the pro-apoptotic BCL2 family member *BNIP3* [166, 239]. In such cases, additional mutations that inactivate *TP53* or activate anti-apoptotic BCL2 proteins would be required for increased HIF-1 α activity to promote, instead of inhibit cancer cell survival. A few reports show enhanced growth of *HIF-1\alpha^{-/-}* tumors compared to wild-type tumors, and HIF-1 α -mediated reduction of proliferation together with increased apoptosis have been ascribed as underlying mechanisms [220]. However, most studies clearly demonstrate that loss of either HIF-1 $\alpha^{-/-}$ tumors when comparing with *HIF-1\alpha^{+/+}* tumors (reviewed in [178]). In addition, increased HIF-1 α activity, including up-regulation of *p21^{Cip1}* involved in cell cycle arrest, has been shown to confer protection against oxidative stress-induced apoptosis in cortical neurons [240].

HIF-1 α versus HIF-2 α

As mentioned above, the two most important transcription factors mediating adaptive responses to hypoxia, HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α , appear to play different roles in development and tumor formation. These differences are certainly in part linked to the spatial and temporal variations in HIF- α subunit expression during embryogenesis and in adult tissues, and which are ultimately reflected in cancer cells depending on their origin. Concerning the regulation of HIF- α proteins, differential HIF phosphorylation within the PAS-B domain has been shown to render HIF-2 α , but not HIF-1 α , unable to repress the expression of the DNA repair gene *NBS1* [241]. Interaction between HIF-2 α , but not HIF-1 α , and the NF- κ B essential modulator (NEMO) is also demonstrated, which enhances normoxic HIF-2 α transcriptional activity by facilitating CBP/p300 recruitment [242]. In addition, several reports indicate that HIF-2 α , compared to HIF-1 α , is relatively resistant to inhibition by FIH under normoxic conditions [173, 175, 243], which could have implications in the differences in HIF- α subunit regulation, observed in neuroblastoma cells (paper II).

Differential target gene preferences may also be involved in separating function of the two HIF- α proteins. Investigations, using microarrays and/or HIF-specific small

inhibitory RNAs, aiming at distinguishing between HIF-1 α - and HIF-2 α -mediated gene induction, indicate that HIF-1 α is the predominant HIF transcription factor in several cell types [177, 244]. This HIF-1 α dominance is not fully understood and although mechanisms for a potential inhibition of HIF-2 α function have been suggested, including cytoplasmic trapping and inactivation by a presumptive HIF-2 α -specific co-repressor [245, 246], this inhibitory effect appears to be highly cell type-specific. Furthermore, HIF-2 α dominance in HIF gene regulation is commonly found in VHL-deficient RCC [223, 247, 248]. In several *VHL*-negative RCC cell lines HIF-1 α protein is not expressed, possibly due to truncated HIF-1 α mRNA transcripts or transcriptional silencing [249]. The differential actions by HIFproteins seen in RCC cells, may however not be true for other cells with a functional *VHL* gene. Nevertheless, despite a clear HIF-1 α -dominance in gene regulation of breast cancer cells, hypoxia-induced migration of the same cells revealed a requirement of both HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α [247]. Interestingly, evidence suggest that HIF-2 α is not involved in hypoxiamediated apoptosis, and the pro-apoptotic *BNIP3* gene appears to be induced only by HIF-1 α , and not HIF-2 α [202, 223].

HIF-dependent induction of glycolytic enzymes seems to be preferentially mediated by HIF-1 α , but several classic HIF-1 α -regulated genes are becoming increasingly appreciated as HIF-2 α predominant targets, including EPO [210, 211, 250], TGF- α [223], and VEGF [196, 223, 251]. These finding are not restricted to HIF-2 α -only expressing RCC but also other cell types, such as neuroblastoma. Furthermore, transcriptional induction of the angiopoietin receptor, Tie-2, involved in angiogenesis, and the VEGF receptor-2 is mediated in a HIF-2 α -dependent manner, which has been associated with the predominant role of HIF- 2α in endothelial cells, but for the VEGF receptor-2 also as a result of co-operation between ETS transcription factors and HIF-2 α , but not HIF-1 α [130, 252]. These findings show, as mentioned before, that additional and potentially cell-type specific transcription factors can contribute to the differences seen in HIF- α subunit function. The HIF- 2α -ETS co-operation has been further evaluated in breast cancer cells. In these cells was HIF-1 α predominant in hypoxic gene induction, but a number of genes such as lysyl oxidase-like 2, involved in invasion and metastasis, and the HIF-1a negative regulator CITED2 were preferentially upregulated by HIF-2 α in collaboration with ETS family members [253]. Co-operation between HIF-2 α and the SP1 transcription factor has also been observed in HIF-2 α -mediated induction of the *plasminogen activator inhibitor-1* and *membrane type-1 matrix*

metalloproteinase genes [254], however also HIF-1 α has been demonstrated to interact with SP1. Perhaps the best example found so far of a HIF-2 α -specific target gene is *OCT-4*, a transcription factor essential for maintaining stem-cell pluripotency, thus indicating a role of HIF-2 α in stem cell function and regulation of differentiation [255].

THE PRESENT INVESTIGATION

Aims

The general objective of the work underlying this thesis was to further explore the role of hypoxia-inducible factors in tumor biology, with focus on HIF-mediated transcriptional responses in the childhood malignancy neuroblastoma.

The specific aims were:

To investigate the effects of hypoxia and HIF-1 α on the expression of the HLH transcription factor *ID2*, a gene involved in early SNS development and thus potentially important in the neuroblastoma phenotype.

To elucidate the differences in oxygen-dependent regulation and function of HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α in neuroblastoma, and the relevance of HIF-2 α in neuroblastoma aggressiveness.

To explore the regulation of the *MXI1* gene by hypoxia and HIF proteins in neuroblastoma cells, and the role of MXI1 within the MYC-network and in HIF-signaling.

To investigate the effects of stem cell factor-mediated c-Kit-signaling on HIF-1 α activity, and the potential reciprocal regulation between HIF-1 α and c-Kit.

Results and Discussion

The effects of hypoxia on differentiation

A small group of neuroblastomas show tumor cells with a spontaneous neuronal-toneuroendocrine/chromaffin lineage shift, close to zones of tumor necrosis, which led to the suggestion that hypoxia can drive neuroendocrine differentiation [58, 256]. Evidence for this trans-differentiation comes primarily from the observed hypoxic up-regulation of the neuroendocrine markers IGF-2 and TH in neuroblastoma cells. These genes are however foremost directly driven by hypoxia and HIF proteins, and therefore not necessarily associated with induction of differentiation. Also, the established neuroendocrine marker gene Chromogranin A was not induced in hypoxic neuroblastoma cells [256]. Instead, investigations from our group, based on results from several neuroblastoma cell lines, global gene expression analysis and xenografted tumor data, clearly show that hypoxic neuroblastoma cells loose their differentiated characteristics – de-differentiate – and adopt a more immature, neural crest-like phenotype [102, 159, 257]. Neuroblastoma cells grown at 1% O₂ decrease their expression of neuronal/neuroendocrine markers, including Chromogranin A and B, NPY, GAP43, dHAND, HASH-1, Phox2b and neurofilament, whereas genes involved in the determination process of neural crest cells into sympathetic precursors (c-Kit, NOTCH-1, HES-1 and ID2) are up-regulated. Moreover, in xenografted tumors, the neuronal markers dHAND and GAP43 were reduced in tumor cells adjacent to necrotic/hypoxic regions [102]. As part of the hypoxic adaptation, neuroblastoma cells also induce pro-survival genes, including IGF-2, TGF- β and VEGF [257]. The hypoxia-induced de-differentiated neuroblastoma phenotype appears to persist for 24 h or more upon reoxygenation, which could present a window for tumor cells to spread to secondary sites, in part as a consequence of their immature and hence possibly more motile characteristics [158]. Other reports further suggest that hypoxia and HIF proteins are involved in inducing an immature phenotype of neuroblastoma cells. A truncated form of TRKA, which is NGFindependent and constitutively activated in neural stem cells and in some neuroblastomas, was found to be up-regulated by cobalt chloride, a hypoxia-mimetic inducing HIF proteins [258]. Conversely, the VHL tumor suppressor can induce neuroblastoma cells into a neuron-like cell state [259].

Hypoxia-mediated de-differentiation is not restricted to neuroblastoma, since down-regulation of differentiation markers and induction of some stem cell characteristics by hypoxia are demonstrated in ductal breast carcinoma *in situ* [260]. Hypoxia has been shown to down-regulate differentiation-specific proteins in prostate cancer cells, which was associated with increased survival [261]. Although hypoxia-mediated differentiation has been suggested, most reports demonstrate that hypoxia induces block of differentiation and stem cell characteristics, not only in transformed cells but also in several differentiation may be one mechanism by which hypoxia contributes to the selection of a malignant phenotype, since low differentiation is generally correlated with increased aggressiveness and poor outcome in tumors, including breast cancer [262], and neuroblastoma [27, 28].

HIF-1 α induces *ID2* expression – link to a de-differentiated and aggressive neuroblastoma phenotype (Paper I)

Our observation that hypoxia leads to a less differentiated phenotype of neuroblastoma cells, potentially associated with increased cancer cell aggressiveness, led us to investigate the molecular mechanisms behind these processes. Our focus was first directed at ID2, a gene known to be involved in neural crest development [90], to inhibit pro-neuronal bHLH proteins [67], and that is induced in neuroblastoma cells grown under hypoxic conditions [102, 257]. In paper I we further explore the regulation of *ID2*, as well as the other two ID factors expressed in neuroblastoma, ID1 and ID3, by hypoxia (1% O_2) and the involvement of HIF- 1α . By Northern blotting and quantitative real-time PCR (QPCR) we detected a fast induction of ID2, and to some extent ID1 but not ID3, under hypoxic conditions in both neuroblastoma and breast cancer cells. The hypoxia-mediated ID2 up-regulation was dependent on functional transcription machinery and, in terms of kinetics, similar to the induction of the classic HIF target VEGF, suggesting involvement of HIF proteins also in ID2 regulation. Indeed, specific HIF-1 α -binding was found *in vitro* and *in vivo*, respectively determined by electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP), at two hypoxiaresponse elements within the *ID2* promoter. These HREs were also required for HIF-1 α mediated transcriptional activation of ID2, as determined by reporter gene experiments. The finding of two co-operating HIF-binding sites was interesting, since this mode of regulation is present in several previously known HIF targets, such as transferrin, GLUT1, PGK1 and IGF*binding protein-1* [139], however the functional consequences of this HRE distribution is not understood. A potential explanation for the existence of two or more HIF-binding sites regulating a gene, could involve a cellular mechanism that firmly ensures induction of the gene in response to HIF proteins, suggesting that up-regulation of *ID2* plays an important role in the adaptation to hypoxic conditions.

Hypoxic neuroblastoma tumors and cells exposed to hypoxia reduce expression of SNS markers, while concomitantly augmenting expression of genes regulating early neural crest development, overall indicating a de-differentiation away from SNS characteristics toward a stem cell-like phenotype with stronger neural crest traits [102, 257]. HIF-dependent *ID2* expression could therefore represent an early adaptive and differentiation-reducing response, occurring within hours of hypoxic exposure of neuroblastoma cells. By binding to and sequestering E-proteins, ID2 can indirectly inhibit DNA-binding and transcriptional activity of lineage-specifying transcription factors such as dHAND and HASH-1 (Fig. 8). Hypoxia also down-regulate these neuronal transcription factors, as well as the E-protein E2-2, the primary dimerization partner for dHAND, HASH-1 and ID2 [67, 102, 257]. This regulatory pattern within the bHLH network acts in concert in the de-differentiation process together with HIF-activated ID2. Mouse developmental models demonstrate that HIF-1 α is required for proper neural tube closing and neural crest formation, and that *ID2* expression is substantially reduced in *HIF-1\alpha^{-/-}* embryos [203]. In addition, induced differentiation in neuroblastoma cells leads to down-regulation of the ID proteins [67].



Figure 8. Potential effects of hypoxia/HIF-activated ID proteins. Increased levels of ID2 (and ID1) by HIF-1 α could potentiate sequestration of E-proteins (e.g. E2-2), thereby reducing interactions between lineagespecifying bHLH transcription factors and E-proteins. This process would lead to decreased expression of e.g. neuronal marker genes, which is also observed in hypoxic neuroblastoma cells, overall suggesting an induction of a less mature phenotype. In addition, hypoxia, through yet unknown mechanisms, down-regulates the tissuespecific transcription factors HASH-1 and dHAND, as well as the E2-2 protein. Hypoxia-induced ID1 could also increase sequestration of ETS transcription factors, leading to reduced *p16* expression and less p16-mediated repression of cyclin/cyclin-dependent kinase complexes. These actions would enhance phosphorylation of the RB tumor suppressor protein and thus release the block of RB on E2F-mediated transcription, leading to cell cycle progression. Furthermore, hyperphosphorylated RB may have less potential to bind and inhibit ID2 or, alternatively, HIF-1 α -activated ID2 could inhibit hypophosphorylated RB, resulting in increased proliferation.

Hypoxia-induced ID2, as observed in paper I, could play an essential role in several traits associated with increased aggressiveness of tumors, including neuroblastoma (Fig. 8). ID proteins can promote cell proliferation by inhibiting differentiation through E-protein sequestration [263], by antagonizing the ability of the *RB* tumor suppressor products to repress E2F-dependent transcription and cell cycle progression [96], or by blocking E-protein- and ETS transcription factor-mediated induction of $p21^{Cip1}$, $p15^{INK4b}$ and $p16^{INK4a}$

[91, 92, 264]. Furthermore, *ID* genes are frequently over-expressed in many human tumors, and enforced transgenic expression of ID proteins leads to development of tumors in several tissues (reviewed in [97]). Also, xenografted hypoxic neuroblastoma cells produced slightly larger and faster growing tumors than corresponding normoxic cells [102]. Studies have shown that combined loss of *ID1* and *ID3* in mice causes defects in developmental angiogenesis in the forebrain, and inhibits growth, metastasis and angiogenesis of transplanted tumors [88]. These findings are attributed to the inability of recruitment of endothelial precursors from the bone marrow in response to VEGF, causing impaired neovascularization of tumors [88, 265]. The *ID1/ID3* knock-out angiogenic defects appear confined to the CNS, reasonably as a result of compensation by ID2 in the rest of the body where it is expressed in endothelial cells (ID2 is not present in CNS endothelial cells), implicating ID2 in the angiogenic process as well.

However, these studies deal with aberrant responses due to *ID*-deficiency in non-transformed endothelial cells. Recent investigations, directly involving cancer cells *per se*, show that *ID1* is a HIF-1 α target, and promotes angiogenesis through activation of VEGF [266, 267]. In addition, ID1 can mediate cancer cell invasion and metastasis of tumor types such as breast and endometrial carcinomas, potentially via induction of matrix metalloproteinases [268]. In paper I, we also observed a fast hypoxic induction of the *ID1* gene, suggesting a direct HIF target, in both neuroblastoma and T47D breast cancer cells, known to de-differentiate in response to hypoxia [260]. Interestingly, a recent report suggests that hypoxia actually decreases *ID1* expression in many cell lines, via repression by the hypoxia-inducible ATF3 transcription factor, mediating a stress response pathway that reduces protein translation [269]. However, neuroblastoma cells appear to have insufficient levels of ATF3, and *ID1* is therefore up-regulated by hypoxia and HIF proteins in these cells. Due to the strong homology between ID proteins, it is likely that ID2, if expressed, can perform several of the functions manifested by ID1.

Earlier data implicated that ID2 was required for MYC/MYCN-induced neoplasia, particularly concerning neuroblastoma [98], however subsequent studies from our group and others could not substantiate these findings. ID2 appears not to be critically involved in MYC/MYCN-dependent transformation, and is not a prognostic factor in neuroblastoma [99-101]. Nevertheless, HIF-activated ID2 (and potentially ID1) could still play important roles in hypoxic regions of solid tumors, perhaps in a temporal manner, facilitating the aggressive cancer cell phenotype by counteracting differentiation, promoting proliferation, as well as taking part in angiogenesis and invasion. Thus, future experiments

involving manipulation of *ID* expression in neuroblastoma cells and tumors could enhance our understanding of the significance regarding HIF-induced *ID* factors.

Regulation and target gene expression of HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α in neuroblastoma (Paper II)

Initial work from our group [158, 159], and the findings from paper II demonstrate that neuroblastoma cells grown at hypoxia (1% O_2) induce both HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α within hours (acute hypoxia). At prolonged hypoxic exposure, however, HIF-1 α protein is down-regulated, whereas HIF-2 α levels are continuously increased. At 5% oxygen, close to a normoxic pO₂ tension *in vivo* (similar to end-capillary oxygen levels) [111], HIF-1 α is barely detected, in contrast to HIF-2 α , which is stabilized and increases over time. Also, low basal levels of HIF- 2α , can be observed in cultured neuroblastoma cells already at atmospheric oxygen tensions $(\sim 21\% \text{ O}_2)$. The time-dependent differential HIF stabilization seen in hypoxic neuroblastoma cells is consistent with findings from previous reports. Early results from the Semenza group showed a HIF-1 α stabilization peak within 4-8 h, and thereafter a decline in protein levels under continuous hypoxia in Hep3B cells [270]. An investigation of several cell types demonstrated similar oxygen-dependent stabilization and activation properties of HIF-1 α and HIF- 2α [243]. However, of interest regarding to our findings, rat pheochromocytoma PC12 cells were a prominent exception. These cells have neuronal and neuroendocrine SNS traits and exhibited a HIF pattern under prolonged hypoxia corresponding to neuroblastoma cells [243]. Lung epithelial cells also down-regulate HIF-1 α under extended hypoxia, possibly due to an increase of a natural antisense against HIF-1 α (aHIF) and destabilization of HIF-1 α mRNA [271]. The presence of aHIF has not been investigated in neuroblastoma cells, but since HIF-1 α mRNA levels are only moderately decreased by hypoxic treatment, translational and post-translational mechanisms are more likely involved in the reduction of HIF-1 α protein under prolonged hypoxia. In contrast, both HIF-2 α mRNA and protein are upregulated at hypoxia, also seen in lung epithelial cells [271], and at 5% oxygen in neuroblastoma cells, suggesting multiple levels of oxygen-dependent HIF-2 α regulation. Transcriptional induction of HIF-2 α expression in response to changes in oxygen concentration is generally uncommon [196] and may be a unique trait of neuroblastoma cells (paper II). More studies are required to determine which transcription factors are involved, and whether hypoxic *HIF-2* α mRNA induction is limited to neuroblastoma and a few other cell types.

Activation of HIF-2 α protein, but not HIF-1 α , at 5% O₂ has also been observed in previous studies. HeLa (cervical cancer) cells were found to induce HIF-2 α protein at higher oxygen levels than those required for HIF-1 α stabilization, and a number of additional cell lines display basal HIF-2 α , but no HIF-1 α protein expression under normoxic cell culture conditions [196]. Normoxic HIF-1 α protein in mouse tissues *in vivo* has however been reported [272], but in the same study was HIF-1 α up-regulation in e.g. the liver and kidney dependent on severe hypoxia and only transient, whereas in a study of hypoxic rats was HIF-2 α protein induced at relatively higher oxygen pressure and appeared sustained in all tested organs [216].

The PHD2 and PHD3 enzymes, highly involved in the HIF- α degradation pathway, are induced by hypoxia and can also possess functional hydroxylation ability even at low oxygen levels [147, 273]. In paper II we detected up-regulation of these enzymes, to a higher degree at 1% than at 5% oxygen, and since the PHDs do not catalyze de-hydroxylation it is likely that at least PHD2, which is the primary HIF-1 α -hydroxylating PHD [274], mediates the observed destabilization of HIF-1 α over time at reduced oxygen levels in neuroblastoma cells. In contrast, the maintained high HIF-2 α protein levels at prolonged hypoxia and at 5% O₂ clearly indicate that HIF-2 α is less sensitive to PHD-dependent hydroxylation than HIF-1 α . On the other hand, a potential increase in HIF-2 α translation at 1% and 5% oxygen, via the MAPK or the PI3K pathway, might also counteract PHDmediated HIF-2 α degradation.

In accordance with the time- and oxygen-dependent HIF protein patterns, increased HIF-2 α nuclear accumulation, HRE activation and DNA-binding to target genes were prominent at prolonged hypoxia and at 5% O₂ in neuroblastoma cells. In contrast, HIF-1 α appeared to be the predominant HIF protein in acute hypoxic responses. Furthermore, microarray and QPCR analyses of neuroblastoma cells showed that expression of *TH* mirrored the changes in HIF proteins, with a fast induction at 1% (similar to HIF-1 α) and a slower up-regulation at 5% O₂ (similar to HIF-2 α). A list of approximately 75 genes with an expression pattern clearly similar to that of *TH* were identified, and included both previously known and novel oxygen-regulated genes. Selective HIF siRNA analysis showed that HIF-2 α governs the expression of several of the identified genes at 5% O₂. Importantly, HIF-2 α appeared as the

main inducer of *VEGF* at 5% O₂, and the novel target gene *SERPINB9*, which is associated with metastatic melanoma [275], was severely affected by HIF-2 α knock-down. In summary, HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α seem to regulate several genes in common, however in different temporal and oxygen-dependent manners (Fig. 9). Future experiments will determine if these patterns apply to other tumor cell types, or if they are specific to neuroblastoma. Since the HIF response within a cell also is affected by additional mediators, it is possible that transcription factors such as ETS and SP1, working in co-operation with HIF-2 α (and/or HIF-1 α) in target gene activation [253, 254], or the NEMO protein, which enhances normoxic HIF-2 α but not HIF-1 α transcriptional activity [242], may be involved in specifying neuroblastoma HIF function. In addition, stronger inhibition of HIF-1 α by FIH, than of HIF-2 α could contribute in diversifying HIF- α subunit activity [173, 175, 243].



Figure 9. HIF activity in neuroblastoma cells. This model summarizes changes in protein levels and transactivation ability of HIF- α subunits in response to decreased oxygen tensions over time, as observed in paper II. HIF-1 α is rapidly but transiently increased during hypoxia, mediating early transcriptional responses at 1% O₂. At 5% oxygen, a level close to end-capillary pO₂ tensions, HIF-1 α is hardly detectable. In contrast, HIF-2 α is continuously accumulated both at 1% and at 5% oxygen, governing prolonged hypoxic gene transcription as well as HIF-dependent responses under "physioxic" conditions in neuroblastoma cells. From paper II.

HIF-2α confers higher neuroblastoma aggressiveness (Paper II)

The *in vitro* data concerning HIF protein patterns and function were also consistent with findings *in vivo* from neuroblastoma specimen. Nuclear HIF-2 α protein was frequently detected in neuroblastoma cells situated close to blood vessels in apparently well-oxygenized tumor areas. HIF-1 α protein was however not present in these vascularized neuroblastoma regions, again indicating that HIF-2 α has a more important role than HIF-1 α at higher oxygen levels. The HIF-2 α -high tumor areas also contained high VEGF protein expression, consistent with the predominant role of HIF-2 α over HIF-1 α in driving *VEGF* expression at 5% O₂ in cultured neuroblastoma cells. Furthermore, in a large neuroblastoma tumor material, arranged in a tissue microarray, there was a significant correlation between HIF-2 α and VEGF,

suggesting that HIF-2 α maintains *VEGF* expression also in the *in vivo* situation, and indicates a role of HIF-2 α in neuroblastoma angiogenesis and growth. Selective HIF knock-down by siRNA further demonstrated that reduction of HIF-2 α , but not HIF-1 α , significantly slowed early xenografted neuroblastoma growth, likely due to reduced HIF-2 α -dependent induction of genes such as *VEGF*.

A potentially higher importance of HIF-2 α than HIF-1 α in tumor growth has repeatedly been implicated in renal cell carcinomas [221, 224, 225], and a preferential role of HIF-2 α in up-regulating *TGF-\alpha*, which activates the growth-stimulatory EGF receptor pathway, in addition to increasing *VEGF* levels, are suggested underlying mechanisms [223, 276]. Also, teratomas derived from ES cells, where HIF-2 α was genetically introduced at the HIF-1 α locus, are larger and more proliferative, have higher *VEGF* and *TGF-\alpha* expression and show increased vascularization compared to teratomas from wild-type ES cells [277]. In addition, HIF-2 α -specific induction of the *OCT-4* gene blocks embryonic development and promotes ES cell-derived teratomas [255]. These reports, together with the findings in paper II clearly indicate a substantial role of HIF-2 α in tumor growth, and also link HIF-2 α activity to the de-differentiation observed in hypoxic tumors. Thus, it would be interesting to investigate possible connections between HIF-2 α and TGF- α , OCT-4 or the NOTCH pathway, implicated together with HIF-1 α in maintenance of low differentiation [232], as well as a potential role of HIF-2 α in *ID2* regulation (paper I), under prolonged hypoxia in neuroblastoma cells.

HIF-2 α , and in the same study also HIF-1 α , have benn claimed to operate as tumor suppressors in both glioblastoma and teratoma models [219]. In that report, high HIF-2 α was linked to VEGF expression and vascularization, similar to our findings, but the net effect of HIF-2 α (and HIF-1 α) on xenograft tumor growth was negative, which is in contrast to the situation in neuroblastoma cells. In addition, disagreement with a role of HIF proteins as tumor suppressor comes from several reports, including many showing a positive association between HIF-1 α and glioma progression [278]. In the investigated clinical neuroblastoma material, we found a significant correlation between high HIF-2 α content and poor survival of neuroblastoma children. Furthermore, HIF-2 α levels were also able to separate high-stage tumors, with high HIF-2 α predicting poor outcome in advanced neuroblastoma disease. The findings in paper II clearly suggest HIF-2 α as a prognostic marker independent of clinical staging, and overall indicate an oncogenic role of HIF-2 α . We find that HIF-2 α is an important factor mediating neuroblastoma aggressiveness, potentially by increasing tumor growth and angiogenesis. HIF- 2α can also induce genes associated with tumor invasion and metastasis, such as *SERPINB9*, and has potential to function as a clinical prognostic factor for low neuroblastoma survival.

HIF-induced *MXI1* does not affect MYC/MYCN signaling, but promotes specific HIF-1 α target gene expression in hypoxic neuroblastoma cells (Paper III)

HIF-1 α has been demonstrated to inhibit MYC function under hypoxic conditions, mainly by displacing MYC from binding to promoters of target genes, such as cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors and DNA repair genes, leading to reduced cell cycle progression and increased genetic instability, respectively [234, 235]. We and others have found that the *MXI1 (MAX-interactor 1)* gene, a reported antagonist of MYC [279], is consistently induced by hypoxia in several different cell types, including neuroblastoma ([257, 280, 281] and paper II), suggesting a general and thus important hypoxic adaptation response. Concerning neuroblastoma, *MYCN* is amplified in a substantial proportion of the tumors and constitutes a prognostic marker for poor outcome [34-36]. Interestingly, presumably as part of the hypoxia-mediated de-differentiation in neuroblastoma cells, *MYCN* expression is reduced [102]. Overall, these findings indicate that MYC/MYCN activity, despite a well-documented role of these proteins in proliferation and growth, may be negative for cancer cell progression in hypoxic tumor areas, and must be inhibited to avoid e.g. MYC/MYCN-induced apoptosis [80].

In this study (paper III), we show that MXI1 mRNA and protein is up-regulated by hypoxia in both neuroblastoma and breast cancer cells, and that *MXI1* represents a novel direct HIF target gene. HIF siRNA-treatment abrogated hypoxia-induced *MXI1*, and specific DNA-binding (via ChIP analysis) and reporter gene transactivation by HIF-1 α was detected within *MXI1* gene regulatory sequences. Although a tissue microarray of clinical neuroblastoma samples indicated that most tumors were negative for MXI1, a significant correlation was found between high MXI1 protein levels and strong HIF-1 α staining in this material. As might be expected from the observed differential HIF- α subunit activity in neuroblastoma (paper II), HIF-2 α also mediated *MXI1* induction at prolonged hypoxia.

By the use of siRNA against MXI1 we aimed at elucidating the function(s) of hypoxia-activated MXI1. Interestingly, despite investigating neuroblastoma cell lines with varying levels of MXI1, MYC and MYCN (amplified and non-amplified), no overall or

consistent effects by MXI1 knock-down on MYC/MYCN function were observed. As expected, MYC/MYCN expression and activity were reduced by hypoxia in some experiments, but MXI1 reduction did not generally alter MYC/MYCN levels or E-box transactivation, and did not largely affect endogenous MYC/MYCN target gene expression. These results were surprising, but a study where the DNA-binding region of MYC was switched with the corresponding domain of MXI1, showed that biological actions of MYC and MXI1 involve not only common genes but also distinct sets of genes [282]. In accordance, specific effects by MXI1 siRNA were found on several genes, previously not reported as direct MYC/MYCN targets. Two alternatively transcribed MXI1 isoforms, MXI1A and MXI1B, with endogenous protein expression have been identified, both in mouse and human [283, 284], and we show that MXI1B is the primary hypoxia- and HIF-responsive transcript. In human glioblastoma cells, this isoform was found to be a poor repressor of MYC target gene transactivation, which is in agreement with our results [284]. However, the underlying mechanism was suggested to be cytoplasmic retention of MXI1B, but we clearly observe increased nuclear MXI1 protein, both in neuroblastoma and breast cancer cells upon hypoxic exposure. Therefore our data indicate an augmented role of MXI1 as a transcription factor at low oxygen, and the need for alternative mechanisms explaining the lack of effects on MYC/MYCN activity by knock-down of MXI1. Future studies will hopefully clarify this matter. A recent report suggest that HIF-2 α can enhance MYC-induced cell transformation and MYC transcriptional activity, which is opposite to the antagonistic effects by HIF-1 α on MYC function [285]. It is possible that this diverging HIF-mediated response also is operational in neuroblastoma cells, given the importance of HIF-2 α in neuroblastoma behavior (paper II).

In contrast to the absent effects on MYC/MYCN function, microarray and QPCR experiments identified genes that, in addition to being reduced by *MXI1* knock-down, were induced by hypoxia. A closer examination displayed that reducing *MXI1* levels caused inhibition of specific endogenous HIF-1 α target gene induction, and also blocked transactivation of an *EPO* HRE reporter gene construct, which was primarily regulated by HIF-1 α , but not HIF-2 α . Of note, the *EPO* gene is in fact now considered a HIF-2 α preferential target, but its activation by HIF-2 α requires additional genomic sequences (and likely co-operation with other transcription factors) besides the HRE [250]. Furthermore, the changes in gene expression evoked by MXI1 siRNA were highly similar in the investigated neuroblastoma cell lines, irrespective of *MYCN*-amplification status, suggesting that

MYC/MYCN activity does not influence MXII function, neither on HIF-1 α -dependent nor on -independent gene regulation. Importantly, hypoxia- and HIF-1 α -induced expression of the *AK3L1 (adenylate kinase 3-like 1)* gene, involved in maintaining nucleotide metabolism [286], was dependent on MXI1 activity, implicating an important function of MXI1 in the adaptation to low oxygen conditions. The *Jagged-2* gene, encoding a ligand for the NOTCH receptor, was also regulated by both HIF-1 α and MXI1, suggesting an additional mechanism in the control of genes with a potential role in keeping neuroblastoma and other cell types at a low-differentiated state under hypoxia ([102, 232] and paper I). In summary, HIF proteins directly up-regulate *MXI1* expression, which also appears to be the most pronounced and consistent cellular response within the MYC-MAX-MAD network under hypoxic conditions. Knocking down MXI1 did not affect overall MYC/MYCN activity in neuroblastoma cells, but our results indicate that MXI1 can function as a HIF-1 α -specific co-factor, adding to the list of transcription factors and other proteins involved in specifying the HIF response within a cell [139].

Reciprocal regulation between c-Kit signaling and HIF-1a activity (Paper IV)

Signaling via the receptor for stem cell factor (SCF), c-Kit, is critically involved in hematopoiesis, melanogenesis and reproduction. Due to its promotion of cell growth and survival, increased c-Kit activity is implicated in the formation of several human cancers, including gastrointestinal tumors and leukemia [287]. However, in neuroblastoma there are conflicting reports regarding the role of c-Kit in disease progression and prognosis [288, 289]. Nevertheless, c-Kit is involved in determination of neural crest cell fate [290], and *c-Kit* expression can be upregulated in neuroblastoma cells in response to hypoxia, potentially taking part in the de-differentiation process at low oxygen levels [102].

Here we find that c-Kit activation via SCF leads to stabilization and activation of HIF-1 α in both megakaryoblastic leukemia and pro-B hematopoietic cells, overall indicating an induction of a hypoxic response, already under normoxic conditions. SCFstimulation alone caused HIF-1 α accumulation and subsequent up-regulation of HIF-1 α target genes, including *GLUT1*, *BNIP3*, *HK2*, and *VEGF*. When HIF-1 α expression was reduced, using siRNA, the transactivation of target genes was decimated. To ensure that the effects of SCF were dependent on c-Kit, we performed HRE reporter gene assays after overexpression of c-Kit in SK-N-BE(2)c neuroblastoma cells, which otherwise lack c-Kit protein under normoxic conditions. Still, prolonged hypoxia can up-regulate c-Kit mRNA, and thus potentially the cognate protein, suggesting that c-Kit activity is more important in neuroblastoma during hypoxic conditions [102]. SCF-treatment induced HIF-dependent HRE activity only when c-Kit was present. Furthermore, the c-Kit-mediated HIF-1 α accumulation occurred at the level of protein translation, and required activity of both the PI3K and the MAPK/ERK pathways. Inhibition of NADPH oxidase also blocked SCF-induced HIF-1 α . As expected, c-Kit protein levels were reduced by SCF treatment, presumably via polyubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation [291, 292]. Interestingly, concomitant with the SCF-dependent increase in HIF-1 α we observed a re-enhancement of c-Kit mRNA and cell surface protein levels, suggesting a positive feedback loop between c-Kit and HIF-1 α . This feedback was also abrogated by inhibition of the signaling pathways leading to HIF-1 α accumulation.

Our results are in agreement with a previous report showing a PI3K-dependent induction of HIF-1 α -binding to the VEGF promoter, and subsequent VEGF expression and secretion upon SCF treatment in small cell lung cancer cells [293]. These effects were also reduced by the c-Kit inhibitor imatinib. However, the actions of SCF were only markedly evident together with the HIF-1 α -stabilizing agent cobalt chloride, whereas we show clear effects with SCF-stimulation alone, and we demonstrate that c-Kit signaling under normoxia leads to a general hypoxic response with induction of several HIF-1 α target genes. Results in paper IV also provide evidence showing that the c-Kit tyrosine kinase receptor enforces its own expression via up-regulation of HIF-1 α , similar to the autocrine loops formed by HIF-1 α and several growth factors, such as IGF-2 [294]. A specific HIF-binding HRE within the c-Kit gene has not yet been identified, but further support to the finding of HIF-regulated c-Kit comes from an evaluation of a large tumor material, showing high c-Kit and SCF activity in perinecrotic glioblastoma tumor areas with high HIF-1 α levels [295]. SCF-mediated c-Kit signaling in cells can potentially elicit several biological responses associated with hypoxia and HIF activity, such as angiogenesis via VEGF induction. In addition, the positive c-Kit-HIF feedback loop may be involved in maintaining a stem cell-like phenotype in e.g. hematopoietic precursors, as well as in tumor cells, including neuroblastoma.

Conclusions

Paper I

The ID2 and ID1 genes are induced by hypoxia in neuroblastoma cells.

ID2 represents a novel direct target gene of HIF-1 α .

Paper II

HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α are differentially regulated in a temporal and oxygen-dependent manner in neuroblastoma.

HIF-1 α mediates acute hypoxic responses in neuroblastoma cells

HIF-2 α protein is detected in neuroblastoma tumor cells adjacent to blood vessels, and in cultured neuroblastoma cells HIF-2 α protein is present at 5% O₂, equivalent to normoxia *in vivo*.

HIF-2 α regulates gene expression at prolonged hypoxia and at 5% oxygen.

HIF-2 α is a positive factor for xenografted neuroblastoma growth, and high HIF-2 α protein correlates to overall poor outcome in neuroblastoma children.

High HIF-2 α levels correlate to worse prognosis within high stage tumors, and is an independent prognostic marker in neuroblastoma.

Paper III

MXI1 is directly induced by HIF proteins in hypoxic neuroblastoma and breast cancer cells.

Expression and function of MYC and MYCN are unaffected by MXI1 activity under hypoxic conditions in neuroblastoma cells.

MXI1 potentiates specific HIF-1 α target gene expression at hypoxia.

Paper IV

SCF/c-Kit signaling mediates accumulation of HIF-1 α protein and induction of HIF target gene expression under normoxic conditions.

SCF-induced HIF-1 α activity is dependent on multiple regulatory signaling pathways. A potential positive feedback loop exists between c-Kit and HIF-1 α .

POPULARIZED SUMMARY IN SWEDISH

Cancer är en av människans vanligaste folksjukdomar och utgör även en av våra vanligaste dödsorsaker. Begreppet "cancer" innefattar egentligen en stor grupp av sjukdomar, men alla uppstår då en cell i kroppen börjar dela sig okontrollerat, vilket ger upphov till en onormal, elakartad massa av celler – en tumör. Cancerceller kan därifrån även sprida sig och skapa dottertumörer, metastaser, i andra delar av kroppen, vilket oftast kraftigt försämrar patientens möjlighet till överlevnad.

Neuroblastom är en cancerform som drabbar barn, med de flesta fall i mycket unga år (0-2 år), och i Sverige diagnostiseras ungefär 14 barn med neuroblastom varje år. Detta är en komplex tumörsjukdom med kraftigt varierande prognos, t ex förekommer fall som uppvisar spontan tillbakabildning av tumören, vilket innebär en god prognos. Dock har många barn med neuroblastom aggressiva tumörer som medför mycket svår sjukdom, och ungefär 50% av de drabbade barnen kan inte räddas till livet. Neuroblastom har sitt ursprung i utvecklingen av det sympatiska nervsystemet, som är en del av det icke-viljestyrda nervsystemet. Tumörerna kan uppkomma vid alla ställen där sympatiska nervsystemet anläggs i kroppen, vanligast är i binjurarna, och utgörs av omogna nervceller vilka inte vidareutvecklas till sin funktionella roll. Genom att undersöka vilka gener, och deras verksamma produkter – proteinerna, som är aktiverade i cancercellerna kan deras mognadsgrad bedömas. Generellt innebär lägre mognad av cancercellerna en aggressivare tumörsjukdom, dålig prognos och sämre överlevnad för barnen.

Neuroblastom är en s.k. solid tumör, som t.ex. lung- och bröstcancer, till skillnad från icke-solida cancerformer som leukemi, vilket drabbar de cirkulerande blodcellerna. Växande solida tumörer behöver syre och näring, som tillförs via blodkärlen. Men den expanderande tumören medför att vissa cancerceller distanseras för långt från blodtillförseln, vilket skapar syrebrist i dessa – hypoxi. För att bibehålla inflöde av syre sätter tumörcellerna igång en nybildning av blodkärl. Dock är blodkärl i tumörer inte lika funktionella som motsvarande i normala organ, t.ex. anläggs de inte korrekt och de läcker. Således, trots detta iscensättande av ny kärlbildning kommer vissa områden med cancerceller fortfarande vara dåligt syresatta via blodet, vilket skapar kvarstående hypoxi inom tumören. Mätningar i tumörer har visat ett genomsnitt på ca 1% syre, vilket kan jämföras med normala vävnaders syretryck på ca 5-6%. Hypoxi i tumörer har kopplats till en ökad aggressivitet hos flera cancerformer och sämre prognos för patienterna, samt även ett ökat motstånd inom tumörerna mot cancerbehandling. En viktig aspekt som vi och andra påvisat är att hypoxi

orsakar lägre mognadsgrad hos cancerceller, inklusive i neuroblastom. Även detta kan vara en viktig del i den förhöjda aggressiviteten som observeras hos hypoxiska tumörer, vid jämförelse med bättre syresatta tumörer.

Alla yttre påverkningar, som t.ex. hypoxi, medför förändringar i aktiviteten av generna i en cell. Om en process i cellen kräver ökad aktivitet av en gen skrivs denna av, eller uttrycks, från arvsmassans DNA (transkription), vilket kontrolleras av proteiner som direkt binder DNA, s.k. transkriptionsfaktorer. De viktigaste transkriptionsfaktorerna som sköter anpassningen av en cell till en syrefattig miljö är de hypoxi-inducerbara faktorerna (HIF-1 α och HIF-2 α). Dessa proteiner ansamlas i stora mängder inom bara några minuter av syrebrist, medan de lika snabbt bryts ned då tillräckligt syre finns tillgängligt inne i cellen. HIF proteinerna kontrollerar över 100 gener, vilka arbetar för att säkerställa energibehovet och överlevnaden hos cellen. T.ex. aktiverar HIF viktiga gener som orsakar nybildningen av blodkärl, både i normala vävnader och i tumörer.

I denna avhandling undersöks HIF proteinernas ingående roller i kontrollen av gener, som kan ha stor betydelse för cancercellers beteende vid hypoxi. Dessutom behandlas hur själva regleringen av HIF proteinerna sköts under olika förhållanden, samt vad dessa processer har för betydelse för cancercellers/tumörers aktivitet och aggressivitet, med särskilt fokus på neuroblastom. I delarbete I visas att HIF-1 α i hypoxiska (1% syre) tumörceller, framför allt i neuroblastom, kan aktivera genen *ID2*, genom att direkt binda dess reglerande DNA. ID2, och även ID1 som aktiveras av hypoxi i samma studie, är negativa transkriptionsfaktorer d.v.s. de förhindrar andra transkriptionsfaktorers funktion. ID proteiner kan därmed blockera utmognad av celler, men även öka frekvensen av celldelning samt förmågan till cellspridning. Genom aktivering av *ID2* via HIF, kan cancerceller erhålla lägre mognadsgrad och dra nytta av flera fördelsgivande cellulära processer, vilket sammantaget skulle kunna bidra till ökad aggressivitet hos en tumör.

Delarbete II visar att HIF-1 α och HIF-2 α , vilka liknar varandra strukturmässigt och reglerar flera gemensamma gener, utför sina funktioner i neuroblastomceller beroende på vilken miljö de befinner sig i. Resultaten i studien tyder på att HIF-1 α är mest aktivt och fungerande vid akut hypoxi, medan HIF-2 α tar över regleringen av HIF målgener vid långvarig hypoxi. Detta kan ha stor betydelse i neuroblastomtumörer, då syretillförseln kan bli avbruten kortvarigt när ett blodkärl tillfälligt blir blockerat, eller då syrebristen blir mer utdragen. HIF proteinerna återfinns vanligtvis endast i tumörområden med syrebrist, och därför var det särskilt intressant att höga nivåer av HIF-2 α kunde identifieras i cancerceller belägna intill blodkärl, och således i uppenbarligen mer syresatta områden i neuroblastomtumörer. I neuroblastomceller odlade vid 5% syre, motsvarande en fysiologiskt normal syrenivå i kroppens organ, var dessutom HIF-2 α stabilt och kunde aktivera gener som annars bara är förknippade med hypoxi. I motsats upptäcktes inget HIF-1 α protein i cancerceller nära blodkärl i neuroblastomtumörer, och i odlade celler var närvaron och aktiviteten av HIF-1 α betydligt lägre än HIF-2 α vid 5% syre. Dessutom fastställdes en klar koppling mellan höga HIF-2 α nivåer och avancerad sjukdom och dålig överlevnad i ett större material med neuroblastomtumörer. Fynden i studien tyder på att HIF-2 α medför en ökad aggressivitet i neuroblastom, och att HIF-2 α kan användas vid framtida kliniska bedömningar av prognos för barn med neuroblastom.

I delarbete **III** demonstreras att HIF proteinerna styr den hypoxiska aktiveringen av genen *MXI1*, vars produkt också är en transkriptionsfaktor. MXI1 tillhör en grupp av transkriptionsfaktorer, vilka reglerar flera funktioner inom cellen såsom celldelning och proteinsyntes, där MXI1 fungerar som en hämmare medan proteinerna MYC och MYCN agerar som aktiverare. Dock verkar inte den ökade aktiviteten av MXI1 under hypoxi påverka funktionen av MYC eller MYCN i neuroblastomceller, kanske för att de sistnämnda proteinerna är så centrala i biologin av denna tumörtyp. Istället finns det klara bevis i studien att MXI1 driver upp nivåerna av andra hypoxikänsliga gener, och att MXI1 kan fungera som ett assisterande protein till HIF-1 α i regleringen av dessa gener.

HIF proteinerna aktiveras främst av sänkta syrenivåer. Det finns dock flera exempel på hur olika proteiner via sina receptorer (mottagare) på cellytan signalerar in i cellen och ger ökad ansamling och funktion av HIF. Delarbete **IV** beskriver en ny sådan HIFaktiverande signalväg, som omfattar receptorn c-Kit och dess bindande protein SCF (stamcellsfaktor). Signalering via c-Kit ger ökad mängd HIF-1 α och även påföljande aktivering av HIF målgener, trots avsaknad av hypoxi i cellerna. Dessutom ger denna signalväg en positiv återkoppling, på sådant sätt att den förhöjda HIF-funktionen av SCFstimulering driver upp mängden c-Kit på cellytan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was carried out at the Division of Molecular Medicine, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Malmö University Hospital, Lund University.

Financial support was provided by the Swedish Cancer Society, the Children's Cancer Foundation of Sweden, the Swedish Research Council, HKH Kronprinsessan Lovisas Förening för Barnasjukvård, Hans von Kantzow's Foundation, Åke Wiberg's Foundation, Ollie and Elof Ericsson's Foundation, the Crafoord Foundation, the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research, the Research Program in Medical Bioinformatics of the Swedish Knowledge Foundation, the Knut and Wallenberg Foundation via the Swegene Program, Malmö University Hospital Research Funds and the European Union.

Several people have contributed to this thesis and I would like to thank the following:

My supervisor **Sven Påhlman**, for your never-ending enthusiasm and support, and your invaluable constructive criticism. The past five years in your lab have truly been challenging, fun and inspiring!

My co-supervisor **Håkan Axelson**, for inviting me into the lab, for all your warmth and encouragement, and for numerous helpful discussions regarding science and other, more important matters!

All co-authors for excellent team work: Alexander Pietras, Annika Jögi, Erik Fredlund, Helén Nilsson, Jianmin Sun, Johan Vallon-Christersson, Katarina Gradin, Lars Rönnstrand, Linda Holmquist Mengelbier, Lorenz Poellinger, Malin Pedersen, Mikael Sigvardsson, Rosa Noguera, Samuel Navarro, Siv Beckman and Åke Borg.

The people in Sven's group, in particular **Helen Pettersson** for keeping us organized and for being such a nice person. The HIF-2 team: **Linda**, **Erik**, **Helén N** and **Alexander**, for taking our work to a higher level. **Marie Ovenberger**, for your kind spirit and for all pleasant conversations. Further thanks to **Linda** and **Erik**, for great travel company and for all the fun in Breckenridge, and to **Alexander**, who was my guide into the field of espresso. **Annika Jögi**, for taking care of me when I first came to the lab.

All other past and present members of the **Molecular Medicine** club, especially **Rickard Karlsson** for your friendship and golf companionship. **Jan-Ingvar Jönsson** for your generous personality, and of course for lots of "impozzible" laughs! **Christer Larsson** for all your scientific expertise. **Jonas Sjölund**, for providing great tips on good music. **Lovisa Sunesson**, for being the best lab bench-neighbor and for the occasional rounds of golf.

Siv Beckman, Elisabet Johansson and Carolin Jönsson, for being so helpful and caring, and for keeping the lab going!

Kristin Lindell and Inger Ahlström, for all help with administrative concerns.

Much appreciation to all old and new colleagues at the divisions of **Pathology** and **Experimental Pathology** for enjoyable spring excursions and fun at parties! In particular, **Oliver** and **Ladan** for being so friendly. Hope I will be seeing more of you in the future!

Anders Edsjö, for computer assistance and always interesting conversations. Caroline Holm, for your input on hypoxic matters, golf, and for getting me hooked on "Prison Break". Martin Johansson, for newfound friendship!

Thanks to "24", "Lost" and "Prison Break", for clearing my mind between writing sessions of this thesis!

Sincere gratitude to all my friends, you know who you are! Special thanks to **Fredrik Arvidsson**, my "big brother", and **Elisabet Westin**, my "big sister"!

Ett stort tack till hela min **Familj**, särskilt mina **Föräldrar**, **Else-Maj** och **Roland**, för allt stöd ni ger mig och er kärlek! **Birgitta** och **Jan**, för att ni tar så bra hand om mig och mina föräldrar. Mina underbara systrar, **Karoline** och **Kristine**. Jag hoppas innerligt vi kan träffas mer nu! Alla släktingarna i Östergötland, särskilt **Ylva**. Min **Mormor** och **Morfar**, samt min **Farmor** och **Farfar**.

Min "nya" familj, Lena, Ingemar, Paula och Jonas, ni har verkligen fått mig att känna mig välkommen! Tack till två små väldigt jobbiga och helt underbara busfrön, Toulouse och Felicia!

Mia, för din tro på mig, din tillgivenhet, och din kärlek! Jag älskar Dig!

REFERENCES

- M.J. Renan. How many mutations are required for tumorigenesis? Implications from human cancer data. *Mol Carcinog.* 7(3): 139-146 (1993).
- 2. D. Hanahan and R.A. Weinberg. The hallmarks of cancer. Cell. 100(1): 57-70 (2000).
- 3. P.C. Nowell. The clonal evolution of tumor cell populations. *Science*. **194**(4260): 23-28 (1976).
- P.P. Di Fiore, J.H. Pierce, T.P. Fleming, R. Hazan, A. Ullrich, C.R. King, J. Schlessinger, and S.A. Aaronson. Overexpression of the human EGF receptor confers an EGF-dependent transformed phenotype to NIH 3T3 cells. *Cell*. 51(6): 1063-1070 (1987).
- R.H. Medema and J.L. Bos. The role of p21RAS in receptor tyrosine kinase signaling. *Crit Rev Oncog.* 4(6): 615-661 (1993).
- M. Skobe and N.E. Fusenig. Tumorigenic conversion of immortal human keratinocytes through stromal cell activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 95(3): 1050-1055 (1998).
- 7. D.T. Chao and S.J. Korsmeyer. BCL-2 family: Regulators of cell death. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 16: 395-419 (1998).
- W.E. Wright, O.M. Pereira-Smith, and J.W. Shay. Reversible cellular senescence: Implications for immortalization of normal human diploid fibroblasts. *Mol Cell Biol.* 9(7): 3088-3092 (1989).
- J.W. Shay and S. Bacchetti. A survey of telomerase activity in human cancer. *Eur J Cancer.* 33(5): 787-791 (1997).
- T.M. Bryan and T.R. Cech. Telomerase and the maintenance of chromosome ends. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 11(3): 318-324 (1999).
- D. Hanahan and J. Folkman. Patterns and emerging mechanisms of the angiogenic switch during tumorigenesis. *Cell.* 86(3): 353-364 (1996).
- 12. M.B. Sporn. The war on cancer. *Lancet.* **347**(9012): 1377-1381 (1996).
- 13. J.L. Young, Jr., L.G. Ries, E. Silverberg, J.W. Horm, and R.W. Miller. Cancer incidence, survival, and mortality for children younger than age 15 years. *Cancer.* **58**(2 Suppl): 598-602 (1986).
- J.E. Powell, J. Esteve, J.R. Mann, L. Parker, D. Frappaz, J. Michaelis, R. Kerbl, I.D. Mutz, and C.A. Stiller. Neuroblastoma in Europe: Differences in the pattern of disease in the UK. Sense. Study group for the evaluation of neuroblastoma screening in Europe. *Lancet.* 352(9129): 682-687 (1998).
- P. Kogner, O. Björk, and E. Theodorsson. Neuropeptide Y in neuroblastoma: Increased concentration in metastasis, release during surgery, and characterization of plasma and tumor extracts. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 21(5): 317-322 (1993).
- R.P. Castleberry, J. Pritchard, P. Ambros, F. Berthold, G.M. Brodeur, V. Castel, S.L. Cohn, B. De Bernardi, C. Dicks-Mireaux, D. Frappaz, G.M. Haase, M. Haber, D.R. Jones, V.V. Joshi, M. Kaneko, J.T. Kemshead, P. Kogner, R.E. Lee, K.K. Matthay, J.M. Michon, R. Monclair, B.R. Roald, R.C. Seeger, P.J. Shaw, J.J. Shuster, et al. The international neuroblastoma risk groups (INRG): A preliminary report. *Eur J Cancer.* 33(12): 2113-2116 (1997).
- J.G. Gurney, J.A. Ross, D.A. Wall, W.A. Bleyer, R.K. Severson, and L.L. Robison. Infant cancer in the U.S.: Histology-specific incidence and trends, 1973 to 1992. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 19(5): 428-432 (1997).
- G.M. Brodeur. Neuroblastoma: Biological insights into a clinical enigma. Nat Rev Cancer. 3(3): 203-216 (2003).
- M. Kajanti. Neuroblastoma in 88 children. Clinical features, prognostic factors, results and late effects of therapy. Ann Clin Res. 15 Suppl 39: 1-68 (1983).
- G.M. Brodeur, J. Pritchard, F. Berthold, N.L. Carlsen, V. Castel, R.P. Castelberry, B. De Bernardi, A.E. Evans, M. Favrot, F. Hedborg, et al. Revisions of the international criteria for neuroblastoma diagnosis, staging, and response to treatment. *J Clin Oncol.* 11(8): 1466-1477 (1993).
- P.F. Ambros, I.M. Ambros, S. Strehl, S. Bauer, A. Luegmayr, H. Kovar, R. Ladenstein, F.M. Fink, E. Horcher, G. Printz, et al. Regression and progression in neuroblastoma. Does genetics predict tumour behaviour? *Eur J Cancer.* **31A**(4): 510-515 (1995).
- 22. S. Ladisch and Z.L. Wu. Detection of a tumour-associated ganglioside in plasma of patients with neuroblastoma. *Lancet.* **1**(8421): 136-138 (1985).
- H.W. Hann, A.E. Evans, S.E. Siegel, K.Y. Wong, H. Sather, A. Dalton, D. Hammond, and R.C. Seeger. Prognostic importance of serum ferritin in patients with stages III and IV neuroblastoma: The childrens cancer study group experience. *Cancer Res.* 45(6): 2843-2848 (1985).
- 24. P.M. Zeltzer, P.J. Marangos, A.E. Evans, and S.L. Schneider. Serum neuron-specific enolase in children with neuroblastoma. Relationship to stage and disease course. *Cancer.* **57**(6): 1230-1234 (1986).

- H. Shimada, J. Chatten, W.A. Newton, Jr., N. Sachs, A.B. Hamoudi, T. Chiba, H.B. Marsden, and K. Misugi. Histopathologic prognostic factors in neuroblastic tumors: Definition of subtypes of ganglioneuroblastoma and an age-linked classification of neuroblastomas. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 73(2): 405-416 (1984).
- L. Holmquist, T. Löfstedt, and S. Påhlman. Effect of hypoxia on the tumor phenotype: The neuroblastoma and breast cancer models. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 587: 179-193 (2006).
- F. Hedborg, C. Bjelfman, P. Sparen, B. Sandstedt, and S. Påhlman. Biochemical evidence for a mature phenotype in morphologically poorly differentiated neuroblastomas with a favourable outcome. *Eur J Cancer.* 31A(4): 435-443 (1995).
- J.S. Wei, B.T. Greer, F. Westermann, S.M. Steinberg, C.G. Son, Q.R. Chen, C.C. Whiteford, S. Bilke, A.L. Krasnoselsky, N. Cenacchi, D. Catchpoole, F. Berthold, M. Schwab, and J. Khan. Prediction of clinical outcome using gene expression profiling and artificial neural networks for patients with neuroblastoma. *Cancer Res.* 64(19): 6883-6891 (2004).
- A.G. Knudson, Jr. and L.C. Strong. Mutation and cancer: Neuroblastoma and pheochromocytoma. Am J Hum Genet. 24(5): 514-532 (1972).
- J.M. Maris, M.J. Weiss, Y. Mosse, G. Hii, C. Guo, P.S. White, M.D. Hogarty, T. Mirensky, G.M. Brodeur, T.R. Rebbeck, M. Urbanek, and S. Shusterman. Evidence for a hereditary neuroblastoma predisposition locus at chromosome 16p12-13. *Cancer Res.* 62(22): 6651-6658 (2002).
- 31. A.T. Look, F.A. Hayes, R. Nitschke, N.B. Mcwilliams, and A.A. Green. Cellular DNA content as a predictor of response to chemotherapy in infants with unresectable neuroblastoma. *N Engl J Med.* **311**(4): 231-235 (1984).
- A.T. Look, F.A. Hayes, J.J. Shuster, E.C. Douglass, R.P. Castleberry, L.C. Bowman, E.I. Smith, and G.M. Brodeur. Clinical relevance of tumor cell ploidy and N-MYC gene amplification in childhood neuroblastoma: A pediatric oncology group study. *J Clin Oncol.* 9(4): 581-591 (1991).
- M. Schwab, K. Alitalo, K.H. Klempnauer, H.E. Varmus, J.M. Bishop, F. Gilbert, G. Brodeur, M. Goldstein, and J. Trent. Amplified DNA with limited homology to MYC cellular oncogene is shared by human neuroblastoma cell lines and a neuroblastoma tumour. *Nature*. 305(5931): 245-248 (1983).
- G.M. Brodeur, J.M. Maris, D.J. Yamashiro, M.D. Hogarty, and P.S. White. Biology and genetics of human neuroblastomas. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 19(2): 93-101 (1997).
- G.M. Brodeur, R.C. Seeger, M. Schwab, H.E. Varmus, and J.M. Bishop. Amplification of N-MYC in untreated human neuroblastomas correlates with advanced disease stage. *Science*. 224(4653): 1121-1124 (1984).
- R.C. Seeger, G.M. Brodeur, H. Sather, A. Dalton, S.E. Siegel, K.Y. Wong, and D. Hammond. Association of multiple copies of the N-MYC oncogene with rapid progression of neuroblastomas. N Engl J Med. 313(18): 1111-1116 (1985).
- G.P. Tonini, L. Boni, A. Pession, D. Rogers, A. Iolascon, G. Basso, L. Cordero Di Montezemolo, F. Casale, P. Perri, K. Mazzocco, P. Scaruffi, C. Lo Cunsolo, N. Marchese, C. Milanaccio, M. Conte, P. Bruzzi, and B. De Bernardi. MYCN oncogene amplification in neuroblastoma is associated with worse prognosis, except in stage 4S: The Italian experience with 295 children. *J Clin Oncol.* 15(1): 85-93 (1997).
- N. Bown, S. Cotterill, M. Lastowska, S. O'Neill, A.D. Pearson, D. Plantaz, M. Meddeb, G. Danglot, C. Brinkschmidt, H. Christiansen, G. Laureys, F. Speleman, J. Nicholson, A. Bernheim, D.R. Betts, J. Vandesompele, and N. van Roy. Gain of chromosome arm 17q and adverse outcome in patients with neuroblastoma. *N Engl J Med.* 340(25): 1954-1961 (1999).
- A. Islam, H. Kageyama, N. Takada, T. Kawamoto, H. Takayasu, E. Isogai, M. Ohira, K. Hashizume, H. Kobayashi, Y. Kaneko, and A. Nakagawara. High expression of survivin, mapped to 17q25, is significantly associated with poor prognostic factors and promotes cell survival in human neuroblastoma. *Oncogene.* 19(5): 617-623 (2000).
- J.M. Maris, P.S. White, C.P. Beltinger, E.P. Sulman, R.P. Castleberry, J.J. Shuster, A.T. Look, and G.M. Brodeur. Significance of chromosome 1p loss of heterozygosity in neuroblastoma. *Cancer Res.* 55(20): 4664-4669 (1995).
- 41. T. Martinsson, R.M. Sjöberg, F. Hedborg, and P. Kogner. Deletion of chromosome 1p loci and microsatellite instability in neuroblastomas analyzed with short-tandem repeat polymorphisms. *Cancer Res.* **55**(23): 5681-5686 (1995).
- 42. G.M. Brodeur, A.A. Green, F.A. Hayes, K.J. Williams, D.L. Williams, and A.A. Tsiatis. Cytogenetic features of human neuroblastomas and cell lines. *Cancer Res.* **41**(11 Pt 1): 4678-4686 (1981).
- H. Caron, N. Spieker, M. Godfried, M. Veenstra, P. van Sluis, J. De Kraker, P. Voute, and R. Versteeg. Chromosome bands 1p35-36 contain two distinct neuroblastoma tumor suppressor loci, one of which is imprinted. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer.* 30(2): 168-174 (2001).

- S. Fransson, T. Martinsson, and K. Ejeskär. Neuroblastoma tumors with favorable and unfavorable outcomes: Significant differences in mRNA expression of genes mapped at 1p36.2. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer.* 46(1): 45-52 (2007).
- C. Guo, P.S. White, M.J. Weiss, M.D. Hogarty, P.M. Thompson, D.O. Stram, R. Gerbing, K.K. Matthay, R.C. Seeger, G.M. Brodeur, and J.M. Maris. Allelic deletion at 11q23 is common in MYCN single copy neuroblastomas. *Oncogene.* 18(35): 4948-4957 (1999).
- P.M. Thompson, B.A. Seifried, S.K. Kyemba, S.J. Jensen, C. Guo, J.M. Maris, G.M. Brodeur, D.O. Stram, R.C. Seeger, R. Gerbing, K.K. Matthay, T.C. Matise, and P.S. White. Loss of heterozygosity for chromosome 14q in neuroblastoma. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 36(1): 28-31 (2001).
- K. Vogan, M. Bernstein, J.M. Leclerc, L. Brisson, J. Brossard, G.M. Brodeur, J. Pelletier, and P. Gros. Absence of p53 gene mutations in primary neuroblastomas. *Cancer Res.* 53(21): 5269-5273 (1993).
- D.A. Tweddle, A.J. Malcolm, N. Bown, A.D. Pearson, and J. Lunec. Evidence for the development of p53 mutations after cytotoxic therapy in a neuroblastoma cell line. *Cancer Res.* 61(1): 8-13 (2001).
- A.Y. Nikolaev, M. Li, N. Puskas, J. Qin, and W. Gu. PARC: A cytoplasmic anchor for p53. *Cell*. 112(1): 29-40 (2003).
- S.C. Goldman, C.Y. Chen, T.J. Lansing, T.M. Gilmer, and M.B. Kastan. The p53 signal transduction pathway is intact in human neuroblastoma despite cytoplasmic localization. *Am J Pathol.* 148(5): 1381-1385 (1996).
- A. Nakagawara, M. Arima-Nakagawara, N.J. Scavarda, C.G. Azar, A.B. Cantor, and G.M. Brodeur. Association between high levels of expression of the TRK gene and favorable outcome in human neuroblastoma. *N Engl J Med.* 328(12): 847-854 (1993).
- J.C. Hoehner, L. Olsen, B. Sandstedt, D.R. Kaplan, and S. Påhlman. Association of neurotrophin receptor expression and differentiation in human neuroblastoma. *Am J Pathol.* 147(1): 102-113 (1995).
- 53. A. Nakagawara, C.G. Azar, N.J. Scavarda, and G.M. Brodeur. Expression and function of TRK-B and BDNF in human neuroblastomas. *Mol Cell Biol.* **14**(1): 759-767 (1994).
- A. Acheson, J.C. Conover, J.P. Fandl, T.M. Dechiara, M. Russell, A. Thadani, S.P. Squinto, G.D. Yancopoulos, and R.M. Lindsay. A BDNF autocrine loop in adult sensory neurons prevents cell death. *Nature*. 374(6521): 450-453 (1995).
- L.J. Goldstein, A.T. Fojo, K. Ueda, W. Crist, A. Green, G. Brodeur, I. Pastan, and M.M. Gottesman. Expression of the multidrug resistance, MDR1, gene in neuroblastomas. *J Clin Oncol.* 8(1): 128-136 (1990).
- 56. E. Hiyama, K. Hiyama, T. Yokoyama, Y. Matsuura, M.A. Piatyszek, and J.W. Shay. Correlating telomerase activity levels with human neuroblastoma outcomes. *Nat Med.* 1(3): 249-255 (1995).
- J.C. Hoehner, C. Gestblom, F. Hedborg, B. Sandstedt, L. Olsen, and S. Påhlman. A developmental model of neuroblastoma: Differentiating stroma-poor tumors' progress along an extra-adrenal chromaffin lineage. *Lab Invest.* **75**(5): 659-675 (1996).
- C. Gestblom, J.C. Hoehner, F. Hedborg, B. Sandstedt, and S. Påhlman. In vivo spontaneous neuronal to neuroendocrine lineage conversion in a subset of neuroblastomas. *Am J Pathol.* 150(1): 107-117 (1997).
- N.J. Francis and S.C. Landis. Cellular and molecular determinants of sympathetic neuron development. Annu Rev Neurosci. 22: 541-566 (1999).
- 60. A. Hervonen and O. Korkala. The effect of hypoxia on the catecholamine content of human fetal abdominal paraganglia and adrenal medulla. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* **51**(1): 17-24 (1972).
- 61. A.G. Bang and M.D. Goulding. Regulation of vertebrate neural cell fate by transcription factors. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* **6**(1): 25-32 (1996).
- K.F. Liem, Jr., G. Tremml, H. Roelink, and T.M. Jessell. Dorsal differentiation of neural plate cells induced by BMP-mediated signals from epidermal ectoderm. *Cell.* 82(6): 969-979 (1995).
- 63. E. Reissmann, U. Ernsberger, P.H. Francis-West, D. Rueger, P.M. Brickell, and H. Rohrer. Involvement of bone morphogenetic protein-4 and bone morphogenetic protein-7 in the differentiation of the adrenergic phenotype in developing sympathetic neurons. *Development.* **122**(7): 2079-2088 (1996).
- 64. C. Gestblom, A. Grynfeld, I. Øra, E. Örtoft, C. Larsson, H. Axelson, B. Sandstedt, P. Cserjesi, E.N. Olson, and S. Påhlman. The basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor dHAND, a marker gene for the developing human sympathetic nervous system, is expressed in both high- and low-stage neuroblastomas. *Lab Invest.* **79**(1): 67-79 (1999).
- J.E. Johnson, S.J. Birren, T. Saito, and D.J. Anderson. DNA binding and transcriptional regulatory activity of mammalian achaete-scute homologous (Mash) proteins revealed by interaction with a muscle-specific enhancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 89(8): 3596-3600 (1992).
- 66. P. Persson, A. Jögi, A. Grynfeld, S. Påhlman, and H. Axelson. HASH-1 and E2-2 are expressed in human neuroblastoma cells and form a functional complex. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* **274**(1): 22-31 (2000).

- A. Jögi, P. Persson, A. Grynfeld, S. Påhlman, and H. Axelson. Modulation of basic helix-loop-helix transcription complex formation by ID proteins during neuronal differentiation. *J Biol Chem.* 277(11): 9118-9126 (2002).
- M. Stanke, D. Junghans, M. Geissen, C. Goridis, U. Ernsberger, and H. Rohrer. The Phox2 homeodomain proteins are sufficient to promote the development of sympathetic neurons. *Development.* 126(18): 4087-4094 (1999).
- 69. A. Pattyn, X. Morin, H. Cremer, C. Goridis, and J.F. Brunet. The homeobox gene Phox2b is essential for the development of autonomic neural crest derivatives. *Nature*. **399**(6734): 366-370 (1999).
- K. Huber, N. Karch, U. Ernsberger, C. Goridis, and K. Unsicker. The role of Phox2b in chromaffin cell development. *Dev Biol.* 279(2): 501-508 (2005).
- M. Howard, D.N. Foster, and P. Cserjesi. Expression of HAND gene products may be sufficient for the differentiation of avian neural crest-derived cells into catecholaminergic neurons in culture. *Dev Biol.* 215(1): 62-77 (1999).
- M. Fernandez-Teran, M.E. Piedra, I.S. Kathiriya, D. Srivastava, J.C. Rodriguez-Rey, and M.A. Ros. Role of dHAND in the anterior-posterior polarization of the limb bud: Implications for the sonic hedgehog pathway. *Development.* 127(10): 2133-2142 (2000).
- 73. H. Axelson. The NOTCH signaling cascade in neuroblastoma: Role of the basic helix-loop-helix proteins HASH-1 and HES-1. *Cancer Lett.* **204**(2): 171-178 (2004).
- S.J. Morrison, S.E. Perez, Z. Qiao, J.M. Verdi, C. Hicks, G. Weinmaster, and D.J. Anderson. Transient NOTCH activation initiates an irreversible switch from neurogenesis to gliogenesis by neural crest stem cells. *Cell.* 101(5): 499-510 (2000).
- 75. P. Heitzler, M. Bourouis, L. Ruel, C. Carteret, and P. Simpson. Genes of the enhancer of split and achaete-scute complexes are required for a regulatory loop between NOTCH and DELTA during lateral signaling in drosophila. *Development*. **122**(1): 161-171 (1996).
- A. Grynfeld, S. Påhlman, and H. Axelson. Induced neuroblastoma cell differentiation, associated with transient HES-1 activity and reduced HASH-1 expression, is inhibited by NOTCH1. *Int J Cancer*. 88(3): 401-410 (2000).
- 77. M.T. Stockhausen, J. Sjölund, and H. Axelson. Regulation of the NOTCH target gene HES-1 by TGFα induced RAS/MAPK signaling in human neuroblastoma cells. *Exp Cell Res.* **310**(1): 218-228 (2005).
- B.R. Stanton, A.S. Perkins, L. Tessarollo, D.A. Sassoon, and L.F. Parada. Loss of N-MYC function results in embryonic lethality and failure of the epithelial component of the embryo to develop. *Genes Dev.* 6(12A): 2235-2247 (1992).
- B.A. Malynn, I.M. De Alboran, R.C. O'Hagan, R. Bronson, L. Davidson, R.A. Depinho, and F.W. Alt. N-MYC can functionally replace c-MYC in murine development, cellular growth, and differentiation. *Genes Dev.* 14(11): 1390-1399 (2000).
- C. Grandori, S.M. Cowley, L.P. James, and R.N. Eisenman. The MYC/MAX/MAD network and the transcriptional control of cell behavior. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol.* 16: 653-699 (2000).
- N. Schreiber-Agus and R.A. Depinho. Repression by the MAD(MXI1)-SIN3 complex. *Bioessays*. 20(10): 808-818 (1998).
- A.C. Davis, M. Wims, G.D. Spotts, S.R. Hann, and A. Bradley. A null c-MYC mutation causes lethality before 10.5 days of gestation in homozygotes and reduced fertility in heterozygous female mice. *Genes Dev.* 7(4): 671-682 (1993).
- J. Charron, B.A. Malynn, P. Fisher, V. Stewart, L. Jeannotte, S.P. Goff, E.J. Robertson, and F.W. Alt. Embryonic lethality in mice homozygous for a targeted disruption of the N-MYC gene. *Genes Dev.* 6(12A): 2248-2257 (1992).
- Y. Wakamatsu, Y. Watanabe, H. Nakamura, and H. Kondoh. Regulation of the neural crest cell fate by N-MYC: Promotion of ventral migration and neuronal differentiation. *Development*. 124(10): 1953-1962 (1997).
- L.A. Goodman, B.C. Liu, C.J. Thiele, M.L. Schmidt, S.L. Cohn, J.M. Yamashiro, D.S. Pai, N. Ikegaki, and R.K. Wada. Modulation of N-MYC expression alters the invasiveness of neuroblastoma. *Clin Exp Metastasis*. 15(2): 130-139 (1997).
- W.A. Weiss, K. Aldape, G. Mohapatra, B.G. Feuerstein, and J.M. Bishop. Targeted expression of MYCN causes neuroblastoma in transgenic mice. *EMBO J.* 16(11): 2985-2995 (1997).
- K. Nakashima, T. Takizawa, W. Ochiai, M. Yanagisawa, T. Hisatsune, M. Nakafuku, K. Miyazono, T. Kishimoto, R. Kageyama, and T. Taga. BMP2-mediated alteration in the developmental pathway of fetal mouse brain cells from neurogenesis to astrocytogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 98(10): 5868-5873 (2001).
- D. Lyden, A.Z. Young, D. Zagzag, W. Yan, W. Gerald, R. O'Reilly, B.L. Bader, R.O. Hynes, Y. Zhuang, K. Manova, and R. Benezra. ID1 and ID3 are required for neurogenesis, angiogenesis and vascularization of tumour xenografts. *Nature*. 401(6754): 670-677 (1999).

- Y. Yokota, A. Mansouri, S. Mori, S. Sugawara, S. Adachi, S. Nishikawa, and P. Gruss. Development of peripheral lymphoid organs and natural killer cells depends on the helix-loop-helix inhibitor ID2. *Nature*. 397(6721): 702-706 (1999).
- B.J. Martinsen and M. Bronner-Fraser. Neural crest specification regulated by the helix-loop-helix repressor ID2. Science. 281(5379): 988-991 (1998).
- N. Ohtani, Z. Zebedee, T.J. Huot, J.A. Stinson, M. Sugimoto, Y. Ohashi, A.D. Sharrocks, G. Peters, and E. Hara. Opposing effects of ETS and ID proteins on p16^{INK4a} expression during cellular senescence. *Nature*. 409(6823): 1067-1070 (2001).
- 92. S. Prabhu, A. Ignatova, S.T. Park, and X.H. Sun. Regulation of the expression of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 by E2A and ID proteins. *Mol Cell Biol.* **17**(10): 5888-5896 (1997).
- R.M. Alani, A.Z. Young, and C.B. Shifflett. ID1 regulation of cellular senescence through transcriptional repression of p16/^{lNK4a}. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 98(14): 7812-7816 (2001).
- H. Nishimori, Y. Sasaki, K. Yoshida, H. Irifune, H. Zembutsu, T. Tanaka, T. Aoyama, T. Hosaka, S. Kawaguchi, T. Wada, J. Hata, J. Toguchida, Y. Nakamura, and T. Tokino. The ID2 gene is a novel target of transcriptional activation by EWS-ETS fusion proteins in Ewing family tumors. *Oncogene*. 21(54): 8302-8309 (2002).
- M. Fukuma, H. Okita, J. Hata, and A. Umezawa. Upregulation of ID2, an oncogenic helix-loop-helix protein, is mediated by the chimeric EWS/ETS protein in Ewing sarcoma. *Oncogene*. 22(1): 1-9 (2003).
- A. Lasorella, A. Iavarone, and M.A. Israel. ID2 specifically alters regulation of the cell cycle by tumor suppressor proteins. *Mol Cell Biol.* 16(6): 2570-2578 (1996).
- M.B. Ruzinova and R. Benezra. ID proteins in development, cell cycle and cancer. *Trends Cell Biol.* 13(8): 410-418 (2003).
- A. Lasorella, M. Noseda, M. Beyna, Y. Yokota, and A. Iavarone. ID2 is a retinoblastoma protein target and mediates signalling by MYC oncoproteins. *Nature*. 407(6804): 592-598 (2000).
- J. Vandesompele, A. Edsjo, K. De Preter, H. Axelson, F. Speleman, and S. Påhlman. ID2 expression in neuroblastoma does not correlate to MYCN levels and lacks prognostic value. *Oncogene*. 22(3): 456-460 (2003).
- Q. Wang, G. Hii, S. Shusterman, Y. Mosse, C.L. Winter, C. Guo, H. Zhao, E. Rappaport, M.D. Hogarty, and J.M. Maris. ID2 expression is not associated with MYCN amplification or expression in human neuroblastomas. *Cancer Res.* 63(7): 1631-1635 (2003).
- D.J. Murphy, L.B. Swigart, M.A. Israel, and G.I. Evan. ID2 is dispensable for MYC-induced epidermal neoplasia. *Mol Cell Biol.* 24(5): 2083-2090 (2004).
- 102. A. Jögi, I. Øra, H. Nilsson, Å. Lindeheim, Y. Makino, L. Poellinger, H. Axelson, and S. Påhlman. Hypoxia alters gene expression in human neuroblastoma cells toward an immature and neural crest-like phenotype. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **99**(10): 7021-7026 (2002).
- 103. R.H. Thomlinson and L.H. Gray. The histological structure of some human lung cancers and the possible implications for radiotherapy. *Br J Cancer*. **9**(4): 539-549 (1955).
- P. Carmeliet and R.K. Jain. Angiogenesis in cancer and other diseases. *Nature*. 407(6801): 249-257 (2000).
- R. Zander and P. Vaupel. Proposal for using a standardized terminology on oxygen transport to tissue. Adv Exp Med Biol. 191: 965-970 (1985).
- M. Höckel, K. Schlenger, B. Aral, M. Mitze, U. Schaffer, and P. Vaupel. Association between tumor hypoxia and malignant progression in advanced cancer of the uterine cervix. *Cancer Res.* 56(19): 4509-4515 (1996).
- M. Nordsmark, S.M. Bentzen, and J. Overgaard. Measurement of human tumour oxygenation status by a polarographic needle electrode. An analysis of inter- and intratumour heterogeneity. *Acta Oncol.* 33(4): 383-389 (1994).
- M.F. Adam, E.C. Gabalski, D.A. Bloch, J.W. Oehlert, J.M. Brown, A.A. Elsaid, H.A. Pinto, and D.J. Terris. Tissue oxygen distribution in head and neck cancer patients. *Head Neck.* 21(2): 146-153 (1999).
- P. Vaupel, K. Schlenger, C. Knoop, and M. Höckel. Oxygenation of human tumors: Evaluation of tissue oxygen distribution in breast cancers by computerized O₂ tension measurements. *Cancer Res.* 51(12): 3316-3322 (1991).
- D.M. Brizel, S.P. Scully, J.M. Harrelson, L.J. Layfield, J.M. Bean, L.R. Prosnitz, and M.W. Dewhirst. Tumor oxygenation predicts for the likelihood of distant metastases in human soft tissue sarcoma. *Cancer Res.* 56(5): 941-943 (1996).
- 111. J.M. Brown and W.R. Wilson. Exploiting tumour hypoxia in cancer treatment. *Nat Rev Cancer.* **4**(6): 437-447 (2004).
- 112. M. Höckel and P. Vaupel. Tumor hypoxia: Definitions and current clinical, biologic, and molecular aspects. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* **93**(4): 266-276 (2001).

- 113. L.H. Gray, A.D. Conger, M. Ebert, S. Hornsey, and O.C. Scott. The concentration of oxygen dissolved in tissues at the time of irradiation as a factor in radiotherapy. *Br J Radiol.* **26**(312): 638-648 (1953).
- 114. T.G. Graeber, C. Osmanian, T. Jacks, D.E. Housman, C.J. Koch, S.W. Lowe, and A.J. Giaccia. Hypoxia-mediated selection of cells with diminished apoptotic potential in solid tumours. *Nature*. 379(6560): 88-91 (1996).
- K.M. Comerford, T.J. Wallace, J. Karhausen, N.A. Louis, M.C. Montalto, and S.P. Colgan. Hypoxiainducible factor-1-dependent regulation of the multidrug resistance (MDR1) gene. *Cancer Res.* 62(12): 3387-3394 (2002).
- K.U. Eckardt and A. Kurtz. Regulation of erythropoietin production. *Eur J Clin Invest.* 35 Suppl 3: 13-19 (2005).
- 117. P.O. Schnell, M.L. Ignacak, A.L. Bauer, J.B. Striet, W.R. Paulding, and M.F. Czyzyk-Krzeska. Regulation of tyrosine hydroxylase promoter activity by the von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein and hypoxia-inducible transcription factors. *J Neurochem.* 85(2): 483-491 (2003).
- 118. R.A. Gatenby and R.J. Gillies. Why do cancers have high aerobic glycolysis? *Nat Rev Cancer.* **4**(11): 891-899 (2004).
- 119. S.K. Chia, C.C. Wykoff, P.H. Watson, C. Han, R.D. Leek, J. Pastorek, K.C. Gatter, P. Ratcliffe, and A.L. Harris. Prognostic significance of a novel hypoxia-regulated marker, carbonic anhydrase IX, in invasive breast carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* **19**(16): 3660-3668 (2001).
- 120. A.L. Harris. Hypoxia-a key regulatory factor in tumour growth. Nat Rev Cancer. 2(1): 38-47 (2002).
- H. Nishi, T. Nakada, S. Kyo, M. Inoue, J.W. Shay, and K. Isaka. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 mediates upregulation of telomerase (hTERT). *Mol Cell Biol.* 24(13): 6076-6083 (2004).
- 122. J. Yuan, L. Narayanan, S. Rockwell, and P.M. Glazer. Diminished DNA repair and elevated mutagenesis in mammalian cells exposed to hypoxia and low pH. *Cancer Res.* **60**(16): 4372-4376 (2000).
- 123. V.T. Mihaylova, R.S. Bindra, J. Yuan, D. Campisi, L. Narayanan, R. Jensen, F. Giordano, R.S. Johnson, S. Rockwell, and P.M. Glazer. Decreased expression of the DNA mismatch repair gene MLH1 under hypoxic stress in mammalian cells. *Mol Cell Biol.* 23(9): 3265-3273 (2003).
- A.X. Meng, F. Jalali, A. Cuddihy, N. Chan, R.S. Bindra, P.M. Glazer, and R.G. Bristow. Hypoxia down-regulates DNA double strand break repair gene expression in prostate cancer cells. *Radiother Oncol.* 76(2): 168-176 (2005).
- C. Schmaltz, P.H. Hardenbergh, A. Wells, and D.E. Fisher. Regulation of proliferation-survival decisions during tumor cell hypoxia. *Mol Cell Biol.* 18(5): 2845-2854 (1998).
- S. Shimizu, Y. Eguchi, H. Kosaka, W. Kamiike, H. Matsuda, and Y. Tsujimoto. Prevention of hypoxiainduced cell death by BCL-2 and BCL-XL. *Nature*. 374(6525): 811-813 (1995).
- 127. L.B. Gardner, Q. Li, M.S. Park, W.M. Flanagan, G.L. Semenza, and C.V. Dang. Hypoxia inhibits G1/S transition through regulation of p27 expression. *J Biol Chem.* **276**(11): 7919-7926 (2001).
- E.P. Cummins and C.T. Taylor. Hypoxia-responsive transcription factors. *Pflügers Arch.* 450(6): 363-371 (2005).
- 129. G.L. Semenza and G.L. Wang. A nuclear factor induced by hypoxia via de novo protein synthesis binds to the human erythropoietin gene enhancer at a site required for transcriptional activation. *Mol Cell Biol.* **12**(12): 5447-5454 (1992).
- H. Tian, S.L. McKnight, and D.W. Russell. Endothelial PAS domain protein 1 (EPAS1), a transcription factor selectively expressed in endothelial cells. *Genes Dev.* 11(1): 72-82 (1997).
- 131. M. Ema, S. Taya, N. Yokotani, K. Sogawa, Y. Matsuda, and Y. Fujii-Kuriyama. A novel bHLH-PAS factor with close sequence similarity to hypoxia-inducible factor 1α regulates the VEGF expression and is potentially involved in lung and vascular development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 94(9): 4273-4278 (1997).
- 132. I. Flamme, T. Frohlich, M. von Reutern, A. Kappel, A. Damert, and W. Risau. HRF, a putative basic helix-loop-helix-PAS-domain transcription factor is closely related to hypoxia-inducible factor-1α and developmentally expressed in blood vessels. *Mech Dev.* 63(1): 51-60 (1997).
- 133. J.B. Hogenesch, W.K. Chan, V.H. Jackiw, R.C. Brown, Y.Z. Gu, M. Pray-Grant, G.H. Perdew, and C.A. Bradfield. Characterization of a subset of the basic-helix-loop-helix-PAS superfamily that interacts with components of the dioxin signaling pathway. *J Biol Chem.* 272(13): 8581-8593 (1997).
- 134. Y.Z. Gu, S.M. Moran, J.B. Hogenesch, L. Wartman, and C.A. Bradfield. Molecular characterization and chromosomal localization of a third α -class hypoxia inducible factor subunit, HIF3 α . *Gene Expr.* 7(3): 205-213 (1998).
- 135. Y. Makino, R. Cao, K. Svensson, G. Bertilsson, M. Asman, H. Tanaka, Y. Cao, A. Berkenstam, and L. Poellinger. Inhibitory PAS domain protein is a negative regulator of hypoxia-inducible gene expression. *Nature.* 414(6863): 550-554 (2001).
- Y. Makino, A. Kanopka, W.J. Wilson, H. Tanaka, and L. Poellinger. Inhibitory PAS domain protein (IPAS) is a hypoxia-inducible splicing variant of the hypoxia-inducible factor-3α locus. *J Biol Chem.* 277(36): 32405-32408 (2002).
- 137. W.K. Chan, G. Yao, Y.Z. Gu, and C.A. Bradfield. Cross-talk between the aryl hydrocarbon receptor and hypoxia inducible factor signaling pathways. Demonstration of competition and compensation. J Biol Chem. 274(17): 12115-12123 (1999).
- D.M. Katschinski, L. Le, S.G. Schindler, T. Thomas, A.K. Voss, and R.H. Wenger. Interaction of the PAS B domain with HSP90 accelerates hypoxia-inducible factor-1α stabilization. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* 14(4-6): 351-360 (2004).
- R.H. Wenger, D.P. Stiehl, and G. Camenisch. Integration of oxygen signaling at the consensus HRE. Sci STKE. 2005(306): re12 (2005).
- 140. D. Chilov, G. Camenisch, I. Kvietikova, U. Ziegler, M. Gassmann, and R.H. Wenger. Induction and nuclear translocation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1): Heterodimerization with ARNT is not necessary for nuclear accumulation of HIF-1α. J Cell Sci. 112 (Pt 8): 1203-1212 (1999).
- 141. P.J. Kallio, K. Okamoto, S. O'Brien, P. Carrero, Y. Makino, H. Tanaka, and L. Poellinger. Signal transduction in hypoxic cells: Inducible nuclear translocation and recruitment of the CBP/p300 coactivator by the hypoxia-inducible factor-1α. *EMBO J.* **17**(22): 6573-6586 (1998).
- 142. U.R. Jewell, I. Kvietikova, A. Scheid, C. Bauer, R.H. Wenger, and M. Gassmann. Induction of HIF-1α in response to hypoxia is instantaneous. *FASEB J.* **15**(7): 1312-1314 (2001).
- 143. M. Ivan, K. Kondo, H. Yang, W. Kim, J. Valiando, M. Ohh, A. Salic, J.M. Asara, W.S. Lane, and W.G. Kaelin, Jr. HIFα targeted for VHL-mediated destruction by proline hydroxylation: Implications for O₂ sensing. *Science*. **292**(5516): 464-468 (2001).
- 144. P. Jaakkola, D.R. Mole, Y.M. Tian, M.I. Wilson, J. Gielbert, S.J. Gaskell, A. Kriegsheim, H.F. Hebestreit, M. Mukherji, C.J. Schofield, P.H. Maxwell, C.W. Pugh, and P.J. Ratcliffe. Targeting of HIF-α to the von Hippel-Lindau ubiquitylation complex by O₂-regulated prolyl hydroxylation. *Science*. 292(5516): 468-472 (2001).
- R.K. Bruick and S.L. McKnight. A conserved family of prolyl-4-hydroxylases that modify HIF. Science. 294(5545): 1337-1340 (2001).
- 146. A.C. Epstein, J.M. Gleadle, L.A. Mcneill, K.S. Hewitson, J. O'Rourke, D.R. Mole, M. Mukherji, E. Metzen, M.I. Wilson, A. Dhanda, Y.M. Tian, N. Masson, D.L. Hamilton, P. Jaakkola, R. Barstead, J. Hodgkin, P.H. Maxwell, C.W. Pugh, C.J. Schofield, and P.J. Ratcliffe. *C. elegans* EGL-9 and mammalian homologs define a family of dioxygenases that regulate HIF by prolyl hydroxylation. *Cell.* 107(1): 43-54 (2001).
- 147. R.J. Appelhoff, Y.M. Tian, R.R. Raval, H. Turley, A.L. Harris, C.W. Pugh, P.J. Ratcliffe, and J.M. Gleadle. Differential function of the prolyl hydroxylases PHD1, PHD2, and PHD3 in the regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor. *J Biol Chem.* 279(37): 38458-38465 (2004).
- 148. E. Metzen, U. Berchner-Pfannschmidt, P. Stengel, J.H. Marxsen, I. Stolze, M. Klinger, W.Q. Huang, C. Wotzlaw, T. Hellwig-Burgel, W. Jelkmann, H. Acker, and J. Fandrey. Intracellular localisation of human HIF-1α hydroxylases: Implications for oxygen sensing. *J Cell Sci.* **116**(Pt 7): 1319-1326 (2003).
- M. Hirsila, P. Koivunen, V. Gunzler, K.I. Kivirikko, and J. Myllyharju. Characterization of the human prolyl 4-hydroxylases that modify the hypoxia-inducible factor. *J Biol Chem.* 278(33): 30772-30780 (2003).
- C.J. Schofield and P.J. Ratcliffe. Oxygen sensing by HIF hydroxylases. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 5(5): 343-354 (2004).
- 151. H. Kimura, A. Weisz, Y. Kurashima, K. Hashimoto, T. Ogura, F. D'acquisto, R. Addeo, M. Makuuchi, and H. Esumi. Hypoxia response element of the human vascular endothelial growth factor gene mediates transcriptional regulation by nitric oxide: Control of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 activity by nitric oxide. *Blood.* 95(1): 189-197 (2000).
- 152. F. Wang, H. Sekine, Y. Kikuchi, C. Takasaki, C. Miura, O. Heiwa, T. Shuin, Y. Fujii-Kuriyama, and K. Sogawa. HIF-1α-prolyl hydroxylase: Molecular target of nitric oxide in the hypoxic signal transduction pathway. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 295(3): 657-662 (2002).
- E. Metzen, D.P. Stiehl, K. Doege, J.H. Marxsen, T. Hellwig-Burgel, and W. Jelkmann. Regulation of the prolyl hydroxylase domain protein 2 (PHD2/EGLN-1) gene: Identification of a functional hypoxiaresponsive element. *Biochem J.* 387(Pt 3): 711-717 (2005).
- 154. N. Pescador, Y. Cuevas, S. Naranjo, M. Alcaide, D. Villar, M.O. Landazuri, and L. Del Peso. Identification of a functional hypoxia-responsive element that regulates the expression of the EGL nine homologue 3 (EGLN3/PHD3) gene. *Biochem J.* **390**(Pt 1): 189-197 (2005).
- O. Aprelikova, G.V. Chandramouli, M. Wood, J.R. Vasselli, J. Riss, J.K. Maranchie, W.M. Linehan, and J.C. Barrett. Regulation of HIF prolyl hydroxylases by hypoxia-inducible factors. *J Cell Biochem.* 92(3): 491-501 (2004).

- 156. K. Nakayama, I.J. Frew, M. Hagensen, M. Skals, H. Habelhah, A. Bhoumik, T. Kadoya, H. Erdjument-Bromage, P. Tempst, P.B. Frappell, D.D. Bowtell, and Z. Ronai. Siah2 regulates stability of prolylhydroxylases, controls HIF1α abundance, and modulates physiological responses to hypoxia. *Cell*. 117(7): 941-952 (2004).
- 157. J.H. Baek, P.C. Mahon, J. Oh, B. Kelly, B. Krishnamachary, M. Pearson, D.A. Chan, A.J. Giaccia, and G.L. Semenza. OS-9 interacts with hypoxia-inducible factor 1α and prolyl hydroxylases to promote oxygen-dependent degradation of HIF-1α. *Mol Cell*. **17**(4): 503-512 (2005).
- L. Holmquist, A. Jögi, and S. Påhlman. Phenotypic persistence after reoxygenation of hypoxic neuroblastoma cells. *Int J Cancer.* 116(2): 218-225 (2005).
- 159. H. Nilsson, A. Jögi, S. Beckman, A.L. Harris, L. Poellinger, and S. Påhlman. HIF-2α expression in human fetal paraganglia and neuroblastoma: Relation to sympathetic differentiation, glucose deficiency, and hypoxia. *Exp Cell Res.* **303**(2): 447-456 (2005).
- 160. M. Ohh, C.W. Park, M. Ivan, M.A. Hoffman, T.Y. Kim, L.E. Huang, N. Pavletich, V. Chau, and W.G. Kaelin. Ubiquitination of hypoxia-inducible factor requires direct binding to the β-domain of the von Hippel-Lindau protein. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2(7): 423-427 (2000).
- 161. P.H. Maxwell, M.S. Wiesener, G.W. Chang, S.C. Clifford, E.C. Vaux, M.E. Cockman, C.C. Wykoff, C.W. Pugh, E.R. Maher, and P.J. Ratcliffe. The tumour suppressor protein VHL targets hypoxiainducible factors for oxygen-dependent proteolysis. *Nature*. **399**(6733): 271-275 (1999).
- 162. M.E. Cockman, N. Masson, D.R. Mole, P. Jaakkola, G.W. Chang, S.C. Clifford, E.R. Maher, C.W. Pugh, P.J. Ratcliffe, and P.H. Maxwell. Hypoxia inducible factor-α binding and ubiquitylation by the von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein. *J Biol Chem.* 275(33): 25733-25741 (2000).
- 163. K. Tanimoto, Y. Makino, T. Pereira, and L. Poellinger. Mechanism of regulation of the hypoxiainducible factor-1α by the von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein. *EMBO J.* **19**(16): 4298-4309 (2000).
- 164. P.J. Kallio, W.J. Wilson, S. O'Brien, Y. Makino, and L. Poellinger. Regulation of the hypoxia-inducible transcription factor 1α by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. J Biol Chem. 274(10): 6519-6525 (1999).
- C.R. Jung, K.S. Hwang, J. Yoo, W.K. Cho, J.M. Kim, W.H. Kim, and D.S. Im. E2-EPF UCP targets pVHL for degradation and associates with tumor growth and metastasis. *Nat Med.* 12(7): 809-816 (2006).
- 166. D. Chen, M. Li, J. Luo, and W. Gu. Direct interactions between HIF-1α and MDM2 modulate p53 function. J Biol Chem. 278(16): 13595-13598 (2003).
- 167. R. Ravi, B. Mookerjee, Z.M. Bhujwalla, C.H. Sutter, D. Artemov, Q. Zeng, L.E. Dillehay, A. Madan, G.L. Semenza, and A. Bedi. Regulation of tumor angiogenesis by p53-induced degradation of hypoxiainducible factor 1α. *Genes Dev.* 14(1): 34-44 (2000).
- 168. J.W. Jeong, M.K. Bae, M.Y. Ahn, S.H. Kim, T.K. Sohn, M.H. Bae, M.A. Yoo, E.J. Song, K.J. Lee, and K.W. Kim. Regulation and destabilization of HIF-1α by ARD1-mediated acetylation. *Cell*. **111**(5): 709-720 (2002).
- 169. R. Bilton, N. Mazure, E. Trottier, M. Hattab, M.A. Dery, D.E. Richard, J. Pouyssegur, and M.C. Brahimi-Horn. Arrest-defective-1 protein, an acetyltransferase, does not alter stability of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α and is not induced by hypoxia or HIF. J Biol Chem. 280(35): 31132-31140 (2005).
- D. Lando, D.J. Peet, D.A. Whelan, J.J. Gorman, and M.L. Whitelaw. Asparagine hydroxylation of the HIF transactivation domain a hypoxic switch. *Science*. 295(5556): 858-861 (2002).
- 171. P.C. Mahon, K. Hirota, and G.L. Semenza. FIH-1: A novel protein that interacts with HIF-1 α and VHL to mediate repression of HIF-1 transcriptional activity. *Genes Dev.* **15**(20): 2675-2686 (2001).
- D. Lando, D.J. Peet, J.J. Gorman, D.A. Whelan, M.L. Whitelaw, and R.K. Bruick. FIH-1 is an asparaginyl hydroxylase enzyme that regulates the transcriptional activity of hypoxia-inducible factor. *Genes Dev.* 16(12): 1466-1471 (2002).
- 173. P. Koivunen, M. Hirsila, V. Gunzler, K.I. Kivirikko, and J. Myllyharju. Catalytic properties of the asparaginyl hydroxylase (FIH) in the oxygen sensing pathway are distinct from those of its prolyl 4hydroxylases. *J Biol Chem.* 279(11): 9899-9904 (2004).
- 174. P. Carrero, K. Okamoto, P. Coumailleau, S. O'Brien, H. Tanaka, and L. Poellinger. Redox-regulated recruitment of the transcriptional coactivators CREB-binding protein and SRC-1 to hypoxia-inducible factor 1α. *Mol Cell Biol.* **20**(1): 402-415 (2000).
- 175. Q. Yan, S. Bartz, M. Mao, L. Li, and W.G. Kaelin, Jr. The hypoxia-inducible factor 2α N-terminal and C-terminal transactivation domains cooperate to promote renal tumorigenesis in vivo. *Mol Cell Biol.* 27(6): 2092-2102 (2007).
- 176. A. Ozer, L.C. Wu, and R.K. Bruick. The candidate tumor suppressor ING4 represses activation of the hypoxia inducible factor (HIF). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **102**(21): 7481-7486 (2005).

- 177. G.L. Semenza. Targeting HIF-1 for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer. 3(10): 721-732 (2003).
- G. Höpfl, O. Ogunshola, and M. Gassmann. HIFs and tumors-causes and consequences. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 286(4): R608-623 (2004).
- 179. A. Sodhi, S. Montaner, V. Patel, M. Zohar, C. Bais, E.A. Mesri, and J.S. Gutkind. The Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpes virus G protein-coupled receptor up-regulates vascular endothelial growth factor expression and secretion through mitogen-activated protein kinase and p38 pathways acting on hypoxia-inducible factor 1α. *Cancer Res.* **60**(17): 4873-4880 (2000).
- D.E. Richard, E. Berra, E. Gothie, D. Roux, and J. Pouyssegur. p42/p44 mitogen-activated protein kinases phosphorylate hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α) and enhance the transcriptional activity of HIF-1. J Biol Chem. 274(46): 32631-32637 (1999).
- P.W. Conrad, T.L. Freeman, D. Beitner-Johnson, and D.E. Millhorn. EPAS1 trans-activation during hypoxia requires p42/p44 MAPK. *J Biol Chem.* 274(47): 33709-33713 (1999).
- K. Gradin, C. Takasaki, Y. Fujii-Kuriyama, and K. Sogawa. The transcriptional activation function of the HIF-like factor requires phosphorylation at a conserved threonine. *J Biol Chem.* 277(26): 23508-23514 (2002).
- 183. E. Lee, S. Yim, S.K. Lee, and H. Park. Two transactivation domains of hypoxia-inducible factor- 1α regulated by the MEK-1/p42/p44 MAPK pathway. *Mol Cells*. **14**(1): 9-15 (2002).
- 184. H. Suzuki, A. Tomida, and T. Tsuruo. Dephosphorylated hypoxia-inducible factor 1α as a mediator of p53-dependent apoptosis during hypoxia. Oncogene. 20(41): 5779-5788 (2001).
- N. Sang, D.P. Stiehl, J. Bohensky, I. Leshchinsky, V. Srinivas, and J. Caro. MAPK signaling upregulates the activity of hypoxia-inducible factors by its effects on p300. *J Biol Chem.* 278(16): 14013-14019 (2003).
- W. Zundel, C. Schindler, D. Haas-Kogan, A. Koong, F. Kaper, E. Chen, A.R. Gottschalk, H.E. Ryan, R.S. Johnson, A.B. Jefferson, D. Stokoe, and A.J. Giaccia. Loss of PTEN facilitates HIF-1-mediated gene expression. *Genes Dev.* 14(4): 391-396 (2000).
- 187. C. Blancher, J.W. Moore, N. Robertson, and A.L. Harris. Effects of RAS and von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) gene mutations on hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α, HIF-2α, and vascular endothelial growth factor expression and their regulation by the phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinase/AKT signaling pathway. *Cancer Res.* 61(19): 7349-7355 (2001).
- C. Chen, N. Pore, A. Behrooz, F. Ismail-Beigi, and A. Maity. Regulation of GLUT1 mRNA by hypoxia-inducible factor-1. Interaction between H-RAS and hypoxia. J Biol Chem. 276(12): 9519-9525 (2001).
- 189. N.M. Mazure, E.Y. Chen, K.R. Laderoute, and A.J. Giaccia. Induction of vascular endothelial growth factor by hypoxia is modulated by a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/AKT signaling pathway in Ha-RAStransformed cells through a hypoxia inducible factor-1 transcriptional element. *Blood.* **90**(9): 3322-3331 (1997).
- R. Karni, Y. Dor, E. Keshet, O. Meyuhas, and A. Levitzki. Activated pp60c-SRC leads to elevated hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α expression under normoxia. J Biol Chem. 277(45): 42919-42925 (2002).
- D.A. Chan, P.D. Sutphin, N.C. Denko, and A.J. Giaccia. Role of prolyl hydroxylation in oncogenically stabilized hypoxia-inducible factor-1α. *J Biol Chem.* 277(42): 40112-40117 (2002).
- 192. E. Laughner, P. Taghavi, K. Chiles, P.C. Mahon, and G.L. Semenza. HER2 (neu) signaling increases the rate of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α) synthesis: Novel mechanism for HIF-1-mediated vascular endothelial growth factor expression. *Mol Cell Biol.* **21**(12): 3995-4004 (2001).
- 193. R. Fukuda, K. Hirota, F. Fan, Y.D. Jung, L.M. Ellis, and G.L. Semenza. Insulin-like growth factor 1 induces hypoxia-inducible factor 1-mediated vascular endothelial growth factor expression, which is dependent on MAP kinase and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling in colon cancer cells. *J Biol Chem.* 277(41): 38205-38211 (2002).
- R. Fukuda, B. Kelly, and G.L. Semenza. Vascular endothelial growth factor gene expression in colon cancer cells exposed to prostaglandin E2 is mediated by hypoxia-inducible factor 1. *Cancer Res.* 63(9): 2330-2334 (2003).
- 195. C. Brahimi-Horn, N. Mazure, and J. Pouyssegur. Signalling via the hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α requires multiple posttranslational modifications. *Cell Signal*. **17**(1): 1-9 (2005).
- M.S. Wiesener, H. Turley, W.E. Allen, C. Willam, K.U. Eckardt, K.L. Talks, S.M. Wood, K.C. Gatter, A.L. Harris, C.W. Pugh, P.J. Ratcliffe, and P.H. Maxwell. Induction of endothelial PAS domain protein-1 by hypoxia: Characterization and comparison with hypoxia-inducible factor-1α. *Blood.* 92(7): 2260-2268 (1998).
- J.F. O'Rourke, Y.M. Tian, P.J. Ratcliffe, and C.W. Pugh. Oxygen-regulated and transactivating domains in endothelial PAS protein 1: Comparison with hypoxia-inducible factor-1α. *J Biol Chem.* 274(4): 2060-2071 (1999).

- N.V. Iyer, L.E. Kotch, F. Agani, S.W. Leung, E. Laughner, R.H. Wenger, M. Gassmann, J.D. Gearhart, A.M. Lawler, A.Y. Yu, and G.L. Semenza. Cellular and developmental control of O₂ homeostasis by hypoxia-inducible factor 1α. *Genes Dev.* **12**(2): 149-162 (1998).
- H.E. Ryan, J. Lo, and R.S. Johnson. HIF-1α is required for solid tumor formation and embryonic vascularization. *EMBO J.* 17(11): 3005-3015 (1998).
- E. Maltepe, J.V. Schmidt, D. Baunoch, C.A. Bradfield, and M.C. Simon. Abnormal angiogenesis and responses to glucose and oxygen deprivation in mice lacking the protein ARNT. *Nature*. 386(6623): 403-407 (1997).
- L.E. Kotch, N.V. Iyer, E. Laughner, and G.L. Semenza. Defective vascularization of HIF-1α-null embryos is not associated with VEGF deficiency but with mesenchymal cell death. *Dev Biol.* 209(2): 254-267 (1999).
- 202. K. Brusselmans, F. Bono, P. Maxwell, Y. Dor, M. Dewerchin, D. Collen, J.M. Herbert, and P. Carmeliet. Hypoxia-inducible factor-2α (HIF-2α) is involved in the apoptotic response to hypoglycemia but not to hypoxia. *J Biol Chem.* 276(42): 39192-39196 (2001).
- 203. V. Compernolle, K. Brusselmans, D. Franco, A. Moorman, M. Dewerchin, D. Collen, and P. Carmeliet. Cardia bifida, defective heart development and abnormal neural crest migration in embryos lacking hypoxia-inducible factor-1α. *Cardiovasc Res.* **60**(3): 569-579 (2003).
- 204. H. Tian, R.E. Hammer, A.M. Matsumoto, D.W. Russell, and S.L. McKnight. The hypoxia-responsive transcription factor EPAS1 is essential for catecholamine homeostasis and protection against heart failure during embryonic development. *Genes Dev.* 12(21): 3320-3324 (1998).
- J. Peng, L. Zhang, L. Drysdale, and G.H. Fong. The transcription factor EPAS-1/hypoxia-inducible factor 2α plays an important role in vascular remodeling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 97(15): 8386-8391 (2000).
- L.J. Duan, Y. Zhang-Benoit, and G.H. Fong. Endothelium-intrinsic requirement for HIF-2α during vascular development. *Circulation*. 111(17): 2227-2232 (2005).
- 207. V. Compernolle, K. Brusselmans, T. Acker, P. Hoet, M. Tjwa, H. Beck, S. Plaisance, Y. Dor, E. Keshet, F. Lupu, B. Nemery, M. Dewerchin, P. van Veldhoven, K. Plate, L. Moons, D. Collen, and P. Carmeliet. Loss of HIF-2α and inhibition of VEGF impair fetal lung maturation, whereas treatment with VEGF prevents fatal respiratory distress in premature mice. *Nat Med.* 8(7): 702-710 (2002).
- M. Scortegagna, K. Ding, Y. Oktay, A. Gaur, F. Thurmond, L.J. Yan, B.T. Marck, A.M. Matsumoto, J.M. Shelton, J.A. Richardson, M.J. Bennett, and J.A. Garcia. Multiple organ pathology, metabolic abnormalities and impaired homeostasis of reactive oxygen species in EPAS1^{-/-} mice. *Nat Genet.* 35(4): 331-340 (2003).
- M. Scortegagna, M.A. Morris, Y. Oktay, M. Bennett, and J.A. Garcia. The HIF family member EPAS1/HIF-2α is required for normal hematopoiesis in mice. *Blood.* 102(5): 1634-1640 (2003).
- 210. M. Morita, O. Ohneda, T. Yamashita, S. Takahashi, N. Suzuki, O. Nakajima, S. Kawauchi, M. Ema, S. Shibahara, T. Udono, K. Tomita, M. Tamai, K. Sogawa, M. Yamamoto, and Y. Fujii-Kuriyama. HLF/HIF-2α is a key factor in retinopathy of prematurity in association with erythropoietin. *EMBO J.* 22(5): 1134-1146 (2003).
- 211. M. Scortegagna, K. Ding, Q. Zhang, Y. Oktay, M.J. Bennett, M. Bennett, J.M. Shelton, J.A. Richardson, O. Moe, and J.A. Garcia. HIF-2α regulates murine hematopoietic development in an erythropoietin-dependent manner. *Blood*. **105**(8): 3133-3140 (2005).
- S. Jain, E. Maltepe, M.M. Lu, C. Simon, and C.A. Bradfield. Expression of ARNT, ARNT2, HIF1α, HIF2α and AH receptor mRNAs in the developing mouse. *Mech Dev.* **73**(1): 117-123 (1998).
- 213. M. Bergeron, D.M. Ferriero, and F.R. Sharp. Expression of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) in rat brain after focal ischemia. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* **17**(Suppl. 1): S505 (1997).
- 214. C.M. Wiener, G. Booth, and G.L. Semenza. In vivo expression of mRNAs encoding hypoxia-inducible factor 1. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* **225**(2): 485-488 (1996).
- 215. K.L. Talks, H. Turley, K.C. Gatter, P.H. Maxwell, C.W. Pugh, P.J. Ratcliffe, and A.L. Harris. The expression and distribution of the hypoxia-inducible factors HIF-1α and HIF-2α in normal human tissues, cancers, and tumor-associated macrophages. *Am J Pathol.* **157**(2): 411-421 (2000).
- M.S. Wiesener, J.S. Jurgensen, C. Rosenberger, C.K. Scholze, J.H. Horstrup, C. Warnecke, S. Mandriota, I. Bechmann, U.A. Frei, C.W. Pugh, P.J. Ratcliffe, S. Bachmann, P.H. Maxwell, and K.U. Eckardt. Widespread hypoxia-inducible expression of HIF-2α in distinct cell populations of different organs. *FASEB J.* 17(2): 271-273 (2003).
- 217. H. Zhong, A.M. De Marzo, E. Laughner, M. Lim, D.A. Hilton, D. Zagzag, P. Buechler, W.B. Isaacs, G.L. Semenza, and J.W. Simons. Overexpression of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α in common human cancers and their metastases. *Cancer Res.* **59**(22): 5830-5835 (1999).

- H.E. Ryan, M. Poloni, W. Mcnulty, D. Elson, M. Gassmann, J.M. Arbeit, and R.S. Johnson. Hypoxiainducible factor-1α is a positive factor in solid tumor growth. *Cancer Res.* 60(15): 4010-4015 (2000).
- T. Acker, A. Diez-Juan, J. Aragones, M. Tjwa, K. Brusselmans, L. Moons, D. Fukumura, M.P. Moreno-Murciano, J.M. Herbert, A. Burger, J. Riedel, G. Elvert, I. Flamme, P.H. Maxwell, D. Collen, M. Dewerchin, R.K. Jain, K.H. Plate, and P. Carmeliet. Genetic evidence for a tumor suppressor role of HIF-2a. *Cancer Cell.* 8(2): 131-141 (2005).
- P. Carmeliet, Y. Dor, J.M. Herbert, D. Fukumura, K. Brusselmans, M. Dewerchin, M. Neeman, F. Bono, R. Abramovitch, P. Maxwell, C.J. Koch, P. Ratcliffe, L. Moons, R.K. Jain, D. Collen, and E. Keshert. Role of HIF-1α in hypoxia-mediated apoptosis, cell proliferation and tumour angiogenesis. *Nature*. 394(6692): 485-490 (1998).
- J.K. Maranchie, J.R. Vasselli, J. Riss, J.S. Bonifacino, W.M. Linehan, and R.D. Klausner. The contribution of VHL substrate binding and HIF1-α to the phenotype of VHL loss in renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Cell*. 1(3): 247-255 (2002).
- 222. F.A. Mack, W.K. Rathmell, A.M. Arsham, J. Gnarra, B. Keith, and M.C. Simon. Loss of pVHL is sufficient to cause HIF dysregulation in primary cells but does not promote tumor growth. *Cancer Cell*. **3**(1): 75-88 (2003).
- 223. R.R. Raval, K.W. Lau, M.G. Tran, H.M. Sowter, S.J. Mandriota, J.L. Li, C.W. Pugh, P.H. Maxwell, A.L. Harris, and P.J. Ratcliffe. Contrasting properties of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) and HIF-2 in von Hippel-Lindau-associated renal cell carcinoma. *Mol Cell Biol.* 25(13): 5675-5686 (2005).
- 224. K. Kondo, J. Klco, E. Nakamura, M. Lechpammer, and W.G. Kaelin, Jr. Inhibition of HIF is necessary for tumor suppression by the von Hippel-Lindau protein. *Cancer Cell.* 1(3): 237-246 (2002).
- K. Kondo, W.Y. Kim, M. Lechpammer, and W.G. Kaelin, Jr. Inhibition of HIF2α is sufficient to suppress pVHL-defective tumor growth. *PLoS Biol.* 1(3): E83 (2003).
- S.J. Mandriota, K.J. Turner, D.R. Davies, P.G. Murray, N.V. Morgan, H.M. Sowter, C.C. Wykoff, E.R. Maher, A.L. Harris, P.J. Ratcliffe, and P.H. Maxwell. HIF activation identifies early lesions in VHL kidneys: Evidence for site-specific tumor suppressor function in the nephron. *Cancer Cell*. 1(5): 459-468 (2002).
- 227. T. Löfstedt, E. Fredlund, L. Holmquist-Mengelbier, A. Pietras, M. Ovenberger, L. Poellinger, and S. Påhlman. Hypoxia inducible factor-2α in cancer. *Cell Cycle*. 6(8) (2007).
- 228. G.L. Semenza, P.H. Roth, H.M. Fang, and G.L. Wang. Transcriptional regulation of genes encoding glycolytic enzymes by hypoxia-inducible factor 1. *J Biol Chem.* **269**(38): 23757-23763 (1994).
- J.W. Kim, I. Tchernyshyov, G.L. Semenza, and C.V. Dang. HIF-1-mediated expression of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase: A metabolic switch required for cellular adaptation to hypoxia. *Cell Metab.* 3(3): 177-185 (2006).
- C.W. Pugh and P.J. Ratcliffe. Regulation of angiogenesis by hypoxia: Role of the HIF system. *Nat Med.* 9(6): 677-684 (2003).
- K.M. Dameron, O.V. Volpert, M.A. Tainsky, and N. Bouck. Control of angiogenesis in fibroblasts by p53 regulation of thrombospondin-1. *Science*. 265(5178): 1582-1584 (1994).
- M.V. Gustafsson, X. Zheng, T. Pereira, K. Gradin, S. Jin, J. Lundkvist, J.L. Ruas, L. Poellinger, U. Lendahl, and M. Bondesson. Hypoxia requires NOTCH signaling to maintain the undifferentiated cell state. *Dev Cell.* 9(5): 617-628 (2005).
- 233. Z. Yun, Q. Lin, and A.J. Giaccia. Adaptive myogenesis under hypoxia. *Mol Cell Biol.* **25**(8): 3040-3055 (2005).
- M. Koshiji, Y. Kageyama, E.A. Pete, I. Horikawa, J.C. Barrett, and L.E. Huang. HIF-1α induces cell cycle arrest by functionally counteracting MYC. *EMBO J.* 23(9): 1949-1956 (2004).
- M. Koshiji, K.K. To, S. Hammer, K. Kumamoto, A.L. Harris, P. Modrich, and L.E. Huang. HIF-1α induces genetic instability by transcriptionally downregulating MutSα expression. *Mol Cell*. 17(6): 793-803 (2005).
- M.A. Esteban, M.G. Tran, S.K. Harten, P. Hill, M.C. Castellanos, A. Chandra, R. Raval, S. O'Brien T, and P.H. Maxwell. Regulation of E-cadherin expression by VHL and hypoxia-inducible factor. *Cancer Res.* 66(7): 3567-3575 (2006).
- 237. P. Staller, J. Sulitkova, J. Lisztwan, H. Moch, E.J. Oakeley, and W. Krek. Chemokine receptor CXCR4 downregulated by von Hippel-Lindau tumour suppressor pVHL. *Nature*. 425(6955): 307-311 (2003).
- J.T. Erler, K.L. Bennewith, M. Nicolau, N. Dornhofer, C. Kong, Q.T. Le, J.T. Chi, S.S. Jeffrey, and A.J. Giaccia. Lysyl oxidase is essential for hypoxia-induced metastasis. *Nature*. 440(7088): 1222-1226 (2006).
- R.K. Bruick. Expression of the gene encoding the proapoptotic NIP3 protein is induced by hypoxia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 97(16): 9082-9087 (2000).
- K. Zaman, H. Ryu, D. Hall, K. O'Donovan, K.I. Lin, M.P. Miller, J.C. Marquis, J.M. Baraban, G.L. Semenza, and R.R. Ratan. Protection from oxidative stress-induced apoptosis in cortical neuronal

cultures by iron chelators is associated with enhanced DNA binding of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 and ATF-1/CREB and increased expression of glycolytic enzymes, p21(Waf1/Cip1), and erythropoietin. *J Neurosci.* **19**(22): 9821-9830 (1999).

- K.K. To, O.A. Sedelnikova, M. Samons, W.M. Bonner, and L.E. Huang. The phosphorylation status of PAS-B distinguishes HIF-1α from HIF-2α in NBS1 repression. *EMBO J.* 25(20): 4784-4794 (2006).
- 242. C.P. Bracken, M.L. Whitelaw, and D.J. Peet. Activity of hypoxia-inducible factor 2α is regulated by association with the NF- κ B essential modulator. *J Biol Chem.* **280**(14): 14240-14251 (2005).
- 243. C.P. Bracken, A.O. Fedele, S. Linke, W. Balrak, K. Lisy, M.L. Whitelaw, and D.J. Peet. Cell-specific regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α and HIF-2α stabilization and transactivation in a graded oxygen environment. *J Biol Chem.* 281(32): 22575-22585 (2006).
- 244. J.D. Gordan and M.C. Simon. Hypoxia-inducible factors: Central regulators of the tumor phenotype. *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* **17**(1): 71-77 (2007).
- 245. S.K. Park, A.M. Dadak, V.H. Haase, L. Fontana, A.J. Giaccia, and R.S. Johnson. Hypoxia-induced gene expression occurs solely through the action of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α): Role of cytoplasmic trapping of HIF-2α. *Mol Cell Biol.* 23(14): 4959-4971 (2003).
- 246. C.J. Hu, S. Iyer, A. Sataur, K.L. Covello, L.A. Chodosh, and M.C. Simon. Differential regulation of the transcriptional activities of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α) and HIF-2α in stem cells. *Mol Cell Biol.* 26(9): 3514-3526 (2006).
- 247. H.M. Sowter, R.R. Raval, J.W. Moore, P.J. Ratcliffe, and A.L. Harris. Predominant role of hypoxiainducible transcription factor (HIF)-1α versus HIF-2α in regulation of the transcriptional response to hypoxia. *Cancer Res.* 63(19): 6130-6134 (2003).
- 248. V.A. Carroll and M. Ashcroft. Role of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1 α versus HIF-2 α in the regulation of HIF target genes in response to hypoxia, insulin-like growth factor-I, or loss of von Hippel-Lindau function: Implications for targeting the HIF pathway. *Cancer Res.* **66**(12): 6264-6270 (2006).
- 249. T. Shinojima, M. Oya, A. Takayanagi, R. Mizuno, N. Shimizu, and M. Murai. Renal cancer cells lacking hypoxia inducible factor (HIF)-1α expression maintain vascular endothelial growth factor expression through HIF-2α. *Carcinogenesis*. 28(3): 529-536 (2007).
- 250. C. Warnecke, Z. Zaborowska, J. Kurreck, V.A. Erdmann, U. Frei, M. Wiesener, and K.U. Eckardt. Differentiating the functional role of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α and HIF-2α (EPAS-1) by the use of RNA interference: Erythropoietin is a HIF-2α target gene in Hep3B and Kelly cells. *FASEB J.* 18(12): 1462-1464 (2004).
- 251. C.J. Hu, L.Y. Wang, L.A. Chodosh, B. Keith, and M.C. Simon. Differential roles of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α) and HIF-2α in hypoxic gene regulation. *Mol Cell Biol.* 23(24): 9361-9374 (2003).
- 252. G. Elvert, A. Kappel, R. Heidenreich, U. Englmeier, S. Lanz, T. Acker, M. Rauter, K. Plate, M. Sieweke, G. Breier, and I. Flamme. Cooperative interaction of hypoxia-inducible factor-2α (HIF-2α) and ETS-1 in the transcriptional activation of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (FLK-1). J Biol Chem. 278(9): 7520-7530 (2003).
- 253. O. Aprelikova, M. Wood, S. Tackett, G.V. Chandramouli, and J.C. Barrett. Role of ETS transcription factors in the hypoxia-inducible factor-2 target gene selection. *Cancer Res.* **66**(11): 5641-5647 (2006).
- 254. B.L. Petrella, J. Lohi, and C.E. Brinckerhoff. Identification of membrane type-1 matrix metalloproteinase as a target of hypoxia-inducible factor-2α in von Hippel-Lindau renal cell carcinoma. Oncogene. 24(6): 1043-1052 (2005).
- 255. K.L. Covello, J. Kehler, H. Yu, J.D. Gordan, A.M. Arsham, C.J. Hu, P.A. Labosky, M.C. Simon, and B. Keith. HIF-2α regulates OCT-4: Effects of hypoxia on stem cell function, embryonic development, and tumor growth. *Genes Dev.* 20(5): 557-570 (2006).
- F. Hedborg, E. Ullerås, L. Grimelius, E. Wassberg, P.H. Maxwell, B. Hero, F. Berthold, F. Schilling, D. Harms, B. Sandstedt, and G. Franklin. Evidence for hypoxia-induced neuronal-to-chromaffin metaplasia in neuroblastoma. *FASEB J.* 17(6): 598-609 (2003).
- 257. A. Jögi, J. Vallon-Christersson, L. Holmquist, H. Axelson, Å. Borg, and S. Påhlman. Human neuroblastoma cells exposed to hypoxia: Induction of genes associated with growth, survival, and aggressive behavior. *Exp Cell Res.* **295**(2): 469-487 (2004).
- A. Tacconelli, A.R. Farina, L. Cappabianca, G. Desantis, A. Tessitore, A. Vetuschi, R. Sferra, N. Rucci, B. Argenti, I. Screpanti, A. Gulino, and A.R. Mackay. TRKA alternative splicing: A regulated tumorpromoting switch in human neuroblastoma. *Cancer Cell.* 6(4): 347-360 (2004).
- H. Murata, N. Tajima, Y. Nagashima, M. Yao, M. Baba, M. Goto, S. Kawamoto, I. Yamamoto, K. Okuda, and H. Kanno. Von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein transforms human neuroblastoma cells into functional neuron-like cells. *Cancer Res.* 62(23): 7004-7011 (2002).

- K. Helczynska, Å. Kronblad, A. Jögi, E. Nilsson, S. Beckman, G. Landberg, and S. Påhlman. Hypoxia promotes a dedifferentiated phenotype in ductal breast carcinoma in situ. *Cancer Res.* 63(7): 1441-1444 (2003).
- M.A. Ghafar, A.G. Anastasiadis, M.W. Chen, M. Burchardt, L.E. Olsson, H. Xie, M.C. Benson, and R. Buttyan. Acute hypoxia increases the aggressive characteristics and survival properties of prostate cancer cells. *Prostate*. 54(1): 58-67 (2003).
- 262. R. Holland, J.L. Peterse, R.R. Millis, V. Eusebi, D. Faverly, M.J. van de Vijver, and B. Zafrani. Ductal carcinoma in situ: A proposal for a new classification. *Semin Diagn Pathol.* **11**(3): 167-180 (1994).
- 263. R. Benezra, R.L. Davis, D. Lockshon, D.L. Turner, and H. Weintraub. The protein ID: A negative regulator of helix-loop-helix DNA binding proteins. *Cell*. **61**(1): 49-59 (1990).
- P.R. Yates, G.T. Atherton, R.W. Deed, J.D. Norton, and A.D. Sharrocks. ID helix-loop-helix proteins inhibit nucleoprotein complex formation by the TCF ETS-domain transcription factors. *EMBO J.* 18(4): 968-976 (1999).
- 265. D. Lyden, K. Hattori, S. Dias, C. Costa, P. Blaikie, L. Butros, A. Chadburn, B. Heissig, W. Marks, L. Witte, Y. Wu, D. Hicklin, Z. Zhu, N.R. Hackett, R.G. Crystal, M.A. Moore, K.A. Hajjar, K. Manova, R. Benezra, and S. Rafii. Impaired recruitment of bone-marrow-derived endothelial and hematopoietic precursor cells blocks tumor angiogenesis and growth. *Nat Med.* 7(11): 1194-1201 (2001).
- 266. T.K. Lee, R.T. Poon, A.P. Yuen, M.T. Ling, X.H. Wang, Y.C. Wong, X.Y. Guan, K. Man, Z.Y. Tang, and S.T. Fan. Regulation of angiogenesis by ID-1 through hypoxia-inducible factor-1α-mediated vascular endothelial growth factor up-regulation in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 12(23): 6910-6919 (2006).
- 267. M.T. Ling, T.C. Lau, C. Zhou, C.W. Chua, W.K. Kwok, Q. Wang, X. Wang, and Y.C. Wong. Overexpression of ID-1 in prostate cancer cells promotes angiogenesis through the activation of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). *Carcinogenesis*. 26(10): 1668-1676 (2005).
- M.T. Ling, X. Wang, X. Zhang, and Y.C. Wong. The multiple roles of ID-1 in cancer progression. Differentiation. 74(9-10): 481-487 (2006).
- 269. S.M. Nemetski and L.B. Gardner. Hypoxic regulation of ID-1 and activation of the unfolded protein response are aberrant in neuroblastoma. *J Biol Chem.* **282**(1): 240-248 (2007).
- G.L. Wang, B.H. Jiang, E.A. Rue, and G.L. Semenza. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 is a basic-helix-loophelix-PAS heterodimer regulated by cellular O₂ tension. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 92(12): 5510-5514 (1995).
- 271. T. Uchida, F. Rossignol, M.A. Matthay, R. Mounier, S. Couette, E. Clottes, and C. Clerici. Prolonged hypoxia differentially regulates hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α and HIF-2α expression in lung epithelial cells: Implication of natural antisense HIF-1α. *J Biol Chem.* 279(15): 14871-14878 (2004).
- 272. D.M. Stroka, T. Burkhardt, I. Desbaillets, R.H. Wenger, D.A. Neil, C. Bauer, M. Gassmann, and D. Candinas. HIF-1 is expressed in normoxic tissue and displays an organ-specific regulation under systemic hypoxia. *FASEB J.* 15(13): 2445-2453 (2001).
- 273. J.H. Marxsen, P. Stengel, K. Doege, P. Heikkinen, T. Jokilehto, T. Wagner, W. Jelkmann, P. Jaakkola, and E. Metzen. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) promotes its degradation by induction of HIF-αprolyl-4-hydroxylases. *Biochem J.* **381**(Pt 3): 761-767 (2004).
- 274. E. Berra, E. Benizri, A. Ginouves, V. Volmat, D. Roux, and J. Pouyssegur. HIF prolyl-hydroxylase 2 is the key oxygen sensor setting low steady-state levels of HIF-1α in normoxia. *EMBO J.* 22(16): 4082-4090 (2003).
- 275. I.S. van Houdt, J.J. Oudejans, A.J. van den Eertwegh, A. Baars, W. Vos, B.A. Bladergroen, D. Rimoldi, J.J. Muris, E. Hooijberg, C.M. Gundy, C.J. Meijer, and J.A. Kummer. Expression of the apoptosis inhibitor protease inhibitor 9 predicts clinical outcome in vaccinated patients with stage III and IV melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 11(17): 6400-6407 (2005).
- 276. K. Smith, L. Gunaratnam, M. Morley, A. Franovic, K. Mekhail, and S. Lee. Silencing of epidermal growth factor receptor suppresses hypoxia-inducible factor-2-driven VHL⁴⁻ renal cancer. *Cancer Res.* 65(12): 5221-5230 (2005).
- 277. K.L. Covello, M.C. Simon, and B. Keith. Targeted replacement of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α by a hypoxia-inducible factor-2α knock-in allele promotes tumor growth. *Cancer Res.* 65(6): 2277-2286 (2005).
- R.L. Jensen. Hypoxia in the tumorigenesis of gliomas and as a potential target for therapeutic measures. *Neurosurg Focus.* 20(4): E24 (2006).
- 279. A.S. Zervos, J. Gyuris, and R. Brent. MXI1, a protein that specifically interacts with MAX to bind MYC-MAX recognition sites. *Cell.* **72**(2): 223-232 (1993).
- N.C. Denko, L.A. Fontana, K.M. Hudson, P.D. Sutphin, S. Raychaudhuri, R. Altman, and A.J. Giaccia. Investigating hypoxic tumor physiology through gene expression patterns. *Oncogene*. 22(37): 5907-5914 (2003).

- E.N. Maina, M.R. Morris, M. Zatyka, R.R. Raval, R.E. Banks, F.M. Richards, C.M. Johnson, and E.R. Maher. Identification of novel VHL target genes and relationship to hypoxic response pathways. *Oncogene.* 24(28): 4549-4558 (2005).
- R.C. O'Hagan, N. Schreiber-Agus, K. Chen, G. David, J.A. Engelman, R. Schwab, L. Alland, C. Thomson, D.R. Ronning, J.C. Sacchettini, P. Meltzer, and R.A. Depinho. Gene-target recognition among members of the MYC superfamily and implications for oncogenesis. *Nat Genet.* 24(2): 113-119 (2000).
- C. Dugast-Darzacq, M. Pirity, J.K. Blanck, A. Scherl, and N. Schreiber-Agus. MXI1-SRα: A novel MXI1 isoform with enhanced transcriptional repression potential. *Oncogene*. 23(55): 8887-8899 (2004).
- L.D. Engstrom, A.S. Youkilis, J.L. Gorelick, D. Zheng, V. Ackley, C.A. Petroff, L.Q. Benson, M.R. Coon, X. Zhu, S.M. Hanash, and D.S. Wechsler. MXI1-0, an alternatively transcribed MXI1 isoform, is overexpressed in glioblastomas. *Neoplasia*. 6(5): 660-673 (2004).
- 285. J.D. Gordan, J.A. Bertout, C.J. Hu, J.A. Diehl, and M.C. Simon. HIF-2α promotes hypoxic cell proliferation by enhancing c-MYC transcriptional activity. *Cancer Cell*. 11(4): 335-347 (2007).
- T. Noma. Dynamics of nucleotide metabolism as a supporter of life phenomena. J Med Invest. 52(3-4): 127-136 (2005).
- J. Lennartsson and L. Rönnstrand. The stem cell factor receptor/c-Kit as a drug target in cancer. Curr Cancer Drug Targets. 6(1): 65-75 (2006).
- S. Uccini, O. Mannarino, H.P. McDowell, U. Pauser, R. Vitali, P.G. Natali, P. Altavista, T. Andreano, S. Coco, R. Boldrini, S. Bosco, A. Clerico, D. Cozzi, A. Donfrancesco, A. Inserra, G. Kokai, P.D. Losty, M.R. Nicotra, G. Raschella, G.P. Tonini, and C. Dominici. Clinical and molecular evidence for c-Kit receptor as a therapeutic target in neuroblastic tumors. *Clin Cancer Res.* 11(1): 380-389 (2005).
- M. Krams, R. Parwaresch, B. Sipos, K. Heidorn, D. Harms, and P. Rudolph. Expression of the c-Kit receptor characterizes a subset of neuroblastomas with favorable prognosis. *Oncogene*. 23(2): 588-595 (2004).
- C.J. Langtimm-Sedlak, B. Schroeder, J.L. Saskowski, J.F. Carnahan, and M. Sieber-Blum. Multiple actions of stem cell factor in neural crest cell differentiation in vitro. *Dev Biol.* 174(2): 345-359 (1996).
- 291. K. Miyazawa, K. Toyama, A. Gotoh, P.C. Hendrie, C. Mantel, and H.E. Broxmeyer. Ligand-dependent polyubiquitination of c-Kit gene product: A possible mechanism of receptor down modulation in M07e cells. *Blood.* 83(1): 137-145 (1994).
- 292. K. Masson, E. Heiss, H. Band, and L. Rönnstrand. Direct binding of CBL to Tyr568 and Tyr936 of the stem cell factor receptor/c-Kit is required for ligand-induced ubiquitination, internalization and degradation. *Biochem J.* **399**(1): 59-67 (2006).
- 293. J. Litz and G.W. Krystal. Imatinib inhibits c-Kit-induced hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α activity and vascular endothelial growth factor expression in small cell lung cancer cells. *Mol Cancer Ther.* **5**(6): 1415-1422 (2006).
- 294. D. Feldser, F. Agani, N.V. Iyer, B. Pak, G. Ferreira, and G.L. Semenza. Reciprocal positive regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α and insulin-like growth factor 2. *Cancer Res.* 59(16): 3915-3918 (1999).
- H. Sihto, O. Tynninen, R. Butzow, U. Saarialho-Kere, and H. Joensuu. Endothelial cell Kit expression in human tumours. *J Pathol.* 211(4): 481-488 (2007).