

LUND UNIVERSITY

Parents' experiences of participation in physical activities for children with cerebral palsy – protecting and pushing towards independence

Lauruschkus, Katarina; Nordmark, Eva; Hallström, Inger

Published in: Disability and Rehabilitation

DOI: 10.3109/09638288.2016.1161841

2017

Document Version: Peer reviewed version (aka post-print)

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Lauruschkus, K., Nordmark, E., & Hallström, I. (2017). Parents' experiences of participation in physical activities for children with cerebral palsy – protecting and pushing towards independence. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, *39*(8), 771-778. https://doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2016.1161841

Total number of authors: 3

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

- Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the
- legal requirements associated with these rights

· Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117 221 00 Lund +46 46-222 00 00

1	Parents' experiences of participation in physical activities for
2	children with cerebral palsy – protecting and pushing towards
3	independence
4	
5	Authors: Katarina Lauruschkus*, Eva Nordmark, Inger Hallström
6	Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden
7	
8	
9	Journal: Disability & Rehabilitation
10	
11	
12	Corresponding author* at: Department of Health Sciences, Lund University, Faculty of Medicine,
13	Box 157, SE-221 00 Lund, Phone: +46 7075 19 88, Fax: +46 46 222 18 08. E-mail address:
14	katarina.lauruschkus@med.lu.se
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Keywords: cerebral palsy, children, parents' experiences, participation, physical activity

1 Abstract

Purpose: To explore how parents of children with cerebral palsy (CP) experience their child's
participation in physical activities and to identify facilitators and barriers for being physically
active and reducing sedentary behaviour.

Methods: Twenty-five parents of sixteen children, aged 8-11 years old with CP, with varying
gross motor, cognitive and communicative functions and with different cultural backgrounds,
participated in focus group or individual interviews. Content analysis was used for analysis.

Results: Five subcategories addressing children's participation in physical activity were found: "Belonging and taking space in the family", "Important persons facilitating and hindering", "Friends important but hard to get", "Good for the body but challenging" and "Availability and opting out possibilities". The subcategories built the main category "Protecting and pushing towards independence", expressing the challenges parents experienced when their child wanted to be physically active.

14 Conclusions: Parents desire competent persons to be available for support in participation in 15 physical activities. They want support in finding friends for their child to be physically active 16 with. Family culture and attitudes affect their child's motivation for being physically active and 17 should be taken into account when designing interventions for increased participation in physical 18 activities and for reduced sedentary behaviour in children with disabilities.

19

1 INTRODUCTION

Children with cerebral palsy (CP) participate in lower levels of habitual physical activity 2 compared to children without CP and are at risk of increased sedentary time [1]. Physical activity 3 4 in free-living conditions (activities performed in the home or community) is defined as habitual 5 bodily movement produced over one full day [2]. Differences in frequency of physical activity in relation to children without CP increase with the severity of limitations in gross motor and 6 cognitive function [3,4]. CP is the most common physical disability in childhood with an 7 estimated prevalence of 2.0 to 2.5/1000 children, and is often accompanied by conditions such as 8 intellectual, communication, and behavioural impairment, as well as epilepsy and pain [5,6]. An 9 active lifestyle and increased physical fitness are recommended for promoting health for children 10 with CP [7,8,9]. Gross motor function in children with CP is extremely variable and it is likely 11 12 that their energy expenditure and muscle activity differs in postures such as sitting and standing. Replacing sedentary time with light physical activity might be a beneficial way to reach health-13 enhancing physical activity goals for children with CP [10]. 14

15 Physical activity is important for all children and young people for developing healthy musculoskeletal tissues, a healthy cardiovascular system, neuromuscular awareness and for 16 17 maintaining a healthy body weight [9]. Moreover, participation in physical activity is associated with psychological and social benefits such as relief of anxiety and depression, opportunities for 18 self-expression, and a boost to self-confidence, social interaction, and integration [9]. Even if 19 20 children in general meet the global recommendations of 60 minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity per day [9], awareness of physical activity levels for the remaining time 21 22 increases [10]. Independent effects on health outcomes, physical function and human metabolism 23 are found for sedentary behaviour time [11]. Sedentary behaviour is defined as any waking

behaviour characterized by little physical movement and low energy expenditure while in a sitting or reclining posture [12]. Whether this definition suits children with CP is being debated as children with severe CP may be in a sitting posture in their wheelchair when they are physically active. For these children focus should be on energy expenditure rather than on their position [13,10].

6 When promoting participation of children with disabilities in physical activities, individual activity preferences, availability of equipment and appropriate programs, and the overall health 7 8 status of the child should be considered [14]. In focus group interviews with parents of 9 ambulatory youth with CP, personal factors such as the physical ability of the child, and environmental factors such as the parents not accepting the extent of the disability or lacking 10 awareness of the benefits of physical activity were found to have an impact on the child's 11 12 participation in physical activities [15]. Furthermore, the interviews provided insights about physical activity behaviour associated with different stages of change, which might be of interest 13 when supporting the families individually [16]. Environmental and personal factors, the variation 14 in preferences for physical activities, and health benefits were identified as facilitators for being 15 physically active in interviews with parents of youth with CP of varying severity in gross motor 16 17 function [17]. Family preferences and attitudes towards exercise were described as personal factors for participation in physical activities for children with CP, whereas physical, social and 18 financial support, as well as transportation and access to information were seen as environmental 19 factors of importance for facilitating or hindering physical activity [7]. Attitudes at school and in 20 21 the community, difficulties accessing personal equipment and both the natural and built 22 environment were perceived as general barriers to participation by the parents of children with 23 CP [18]. A popularised thinking in childhood disability, focusing on every child's individual

issues and strengths, is encouraged through the concepts of the 'F-words': function, family,
fitness, fun, friends and future, which should be the focus in childhood disability according to the
authors [19]. The concepts can be seen as a theoretical framework, grounded in the International
Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) [20], inspiring 'new' points of entry to
research regarding disabled children.

6 Parents are important for increasing participation in physical activity and reducing sedentary time for each individual child with CP. There is a need for more knowledge about the ways in 7 which physical activity can be viewed and encouraged among parents and their children with 8 9 varying degrees of capability and different cultural backgrounds. In addition, the parents' perception of their child's participation in physical activities in different settings should be 10 examined: at home, school sports, in leisure time activities and at their habilitation centre. The 11 12 experiences of children with CP with different limitations in gross motor, cognitive and communicative function and their participation in physical activities have been presented in 13 another study. The children explained in individual and focus group interviews that they wanted 14 to be physically active, they wanted to make choices, have fun and enjoy the sensation of speed 15 [21]. The purpose of this study was to explore how the parents to the interviewed children with 16 CP experience their child's participation in physical activities. Another purpose was to identify 17 facilitators and barriers to becoming, being and staying physically active and reducing sedentary 18 behaviour, taking different cultural backgrounds into account. 19

20

21 METHOD

22 Design

The study had an inductive qualitative approach including focus group and individual
 interviews [22,23].

3

4 **Procedure**

5 As all children with CP in Sweden are offered support from a local habilitation centre a letter of invitation with information about the study was sent to all legal guardians of children with CP 6 aged 8 to 11 in the Skåne region in southern Sweden (N=117) during the period from January to 7 June 2012 by an administrative assistant at the Child and Youth Habilitation Services. The age 8 9 interval 8 to 11 years was chosen as it included school children before puberty. Both children and their legal guardians were invited to participate in interviews and the results of the children's 10 11 interviews are presented elsewhere [21]. After two weeks, a reminder was sent to all legal guardians who had not answered. Twenty-five legal guardians of 16 children agreed to 12 participate, and the first author contacted them for verbal information and to determine whether 13 they wanted to participate in a focus group or an individual interview. In addition, time and place 14 for the interview according to their wishes, as well as the need of a language interpreter were 15 determined. 16

17

18 **Participants**

There were 25 participants in the study. Nine married or cohabiting couples, six mothers and one father agreed to participate in the study. Twenty-three of the participants were biological parents, and two were foster home parents; from here on, the term 'parent' will be used for all participants. The parents had different backgrounds regarding to ethnicity, gender, language, socioeconomic status and geographical area. Eleven parents were born abroad (44 %) and eight

of them were born outside Europe; five of whom requested a language interpreter for the
interviews. In Sweden, the social security system provide for personal assistance to facilitate
social participation and equality of living conditions [24,25] and five parents in the present study
were employed as personal assistants to their child. The characteristics of the parents and their
children are shown in Table 1 and 2.

6

7 Data collection

The interviews were carried out from March to June 2012. The participants were offered the 8 9 choice to participate in a focus group or an individual interview in order to enable the 10 participation for all parents. In connection with the interview, the parents filled in a sociodemographic questionnaire, giving characteristics of themselves and their child with CP 11 12 (Table 1 and 2). Information about gross motor and cognitive function was provided according to the parents' perceived opinion. Three parents chose to be interviewed individually, and four 13 couples chose to be interviewed at the same time. When being interviewed as couples, both 14 15 mothers and fathers were asked to answer the questions individually. All individual interviews 16 were conducted by the first author either in the parents' homes or at a habilitation centre and lasted between 40 and 75 minutes. Fourteen parents participated in four focus group interviews; 17 18 according to the parents' wishes or for practical reasons one focus group consisted of six 19 participants, two of three, and one of two. Three focus group interviews were conducted at a 20 habilitation centre, and one at a hospital. They were all moderated by the first author, three of them with the second author, and one with a doctoral student as an observer and lasted between 21 22 90 and 120 minutes.

1 Both the individual and focus group interviews were semi-structured and started with the 2 parents giving a short presentation of themselves and their child. In order to get a better understanding of what "physically active" meant to each participant and to reach a consensus 3 4 about it between each parent and the researcher, several minutes were spent discussing their own 5 experiences and habits regarding physical activities. The parents were then asked to narrate their perceived experiences of their child with CP's physical activity in different environments: at 6 home, during leisure time, at school, and at the habilitation centre. Thereafter, they were asked 7 about perceived facilitators and barriers to their child being physically active. During the 8 9 interviews, additional questions were asked aiming to encourage further and more reflective narration such as, 'Can you tell me more about this?' They were also asked 'What do you 10 mean?' or 'Can you give me an example?' The procedure was the same in individual and focus 11 12 group interviews, except that the participants in the focus groups were discussing and reflecting together. All interviews were audio tape-recorded and transcribed verbatim by the first author. 13

14

15 Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board at Lund University (Reg. no. 2011/350) and carried out in accordance with the WMA Declaration of Helsinki [26]. The participants were guaranteed confidentiality and the right to discontinue the interview at any time. They all gave written, informed consent and agreed to the interviews being tape-recorded.

21 Data analysis

22 Content analysis was used to understand the underlying meaning of the interview texts23 [27,28]. The transcribed interviews were read several times, with the aim of the study in mind,

1 by all three authors in order to obtain a sense of the content. From all interviews, meaning units relating to the parents' experiences of physical activity were identified. Meaning units are used 2 3 to describe a constellation of words or sentences relating to the same central meaning [27]. The 4 meaning units were condensed and designated as codes. After determination of similarities and 5 differences among the codes, subcategories were abstracted from all codes sharing a commonality. Agreement about codes and subcategories were achieved after a process of 6 reflection and critical discussion among all authors. Finally, one main category was described as 7 emerging from the subcategories. During the whole process, from planning and conducting the 8 9 interviews, to all steps of the analysis, all of the authors, who had longstanding experience of working with children with disabilities or chronic diseases, discussed their pre-understanding. 10 This also meant that they reflected about the ways the pre-understanding might affect the 11 12 analysis, abstraction and interpretation. In addition, the results were discussed in a multidisciplinary research group. To indicate the trustworthiness, quotations were used in the 13 results, where parents participating individually or in couples in the interviews were presented as 14 Parent (1-11), and parents participating in focus groups as Parent FG (1-14). 15

16

17 **RESULTS**

The interviews revealed the challenges parents faced when their child was being physically active, with the main category formulated as "Protecting and pushing towards independence". Wanting the best for their children was important and natural for the parents, in addition to wishing to protect them from negative experiences. On the other hand, they wanted their children to become as independent as possible and learn to cope with different challenges. Parents tried to monitor and communicate their child's interests to those who were close to the child in order to

facilitate and enable them getting support. The subcategories describe aspects of parents'
experiences according to their child's participation in physical activities, which affected their
approach towards their child's independence: "Belonging and taking space in the family",
"Important persons facilitating and hindering", "Friends important but hard to get", "Good for
the body but challenging" and "Availability and opting out possibilities".

6

7 Belonging and taking space in the family

The child was part of the family, taking time and space with everything that needed to be 8 9 done. Parents arranged for ordering and adjusting of assistive devices, for home programmes with stretching or exercising, and transporting the child to different activities or medical 10 assessments and treatments; this took a lot of time. The parents experienced a strong coherence 11 12 within the family even though requirements for attention from their child with CP and their siblings were causing troubles for them as they tried to share their time between their children. 13 'Her sister is playing golf once a week, and when their father can't make it, I have to take 14 her to the golf course as well... then she plays with a golf ball besides her sister and the 15 others... her sister doesn't say anything, but she doesn't like it.' (Parent FG 14 to child 16 17 with mild physical impairment and severe intellectual disability, born in Sweden) It was a challenge to find time for their own exercise and, when parents did not prioritise their 18 own physical activities, it was difficult to support their child's participation in physical activities. 19 20 Not having relatives living close to the family for support hindered the parents from being physically active themselves. In addition, members of the extended family such as grandparents 21 22 and cousins were important for supporting physical activities. Grandparents had time to come

and watch when the child had a performance, whereas cousins were seen as natural playmates
 similar to siblings.

'He has a cousin of the same age who often comes to play with him and his brother.' (Parent 3 to child with mild physical impairment and with mild intellectual disability,

5 born outside Europe)

3

4

The parents expressed a desire to protect their child and traditions and values formed individual family cultures: Parents supported their child being independent as it was seen as the best protection for their child. The desire that their child would become more independent included often a distinct pushing towards participation in physical activities. On the other hand, parents born outside Europe were sometimes inhibited by their own experiences and wanted to protect their child from any risks.

12 'I have gone through very tough life experiences. So when one lives in a calm and safe 13 environment, these flash-back visions from the war appear. Therefore I am very scared 14 about him going out, I am afraid that something could happen to him.' (Parent 1 to child 15 with mild physical impairment and with mild intellectual disability, born outside Europe, 16 language interpreter was present)

Such experiences formed a more protective family culture which might decrease any pushing oftheir child towards participation in physical activities.

19

20 Important persons facilitating and hindering

The competence of and engagement among stakeholders dealing with the child were crucial for the child's participation in physical activities. Parents were satisfied and confident when their children had teachers, physiotherapists and coaches who were competent and interested in

information about them and who could motivate them to participate in physical activities.
 Coaches with a disability themselves were found particularly important as role models and
 motivating the children to try new activities and also to do necessary exercises.

4 'As soon as you want her to try something new, it is 'No!" or 'I refuse to do it!" Then
5 you may coax a while, which this guy, the coach, is very good at. So after a while she
6 dares to actually try new things.' (Parent FG 10 to child with mild physical impairment
7 and without intellectual disability, born in Sweden)

8 It was a huge relief for the parents when their child was pushed towards participation in physical 9 activities by others. On the other hand, when parents experienced that adults were not competent 10 to work with their child and not interested in gaining knowledge, they believed it inhibited the 11 child's independence and activity. Assistants at school, who could not do their work properly 12 because of their lack of interest in addition to frequent sick leave, were seen as hindering the 13 child's participation in school. This forced the parents into a protecting role, including the desire 14 to become more involved in decisions about any assistance that their child received.

15 'The assistant got all the information and cannot remember ... and she is often ill, and 16 then young girls come and can do nothing... so there is no development.' (Parent FG 3 to 17 child with severe physical impairment and without intellectual disability, born in 18 Sweden)

19

20 Friends important but hard to get

Having fun together with others was seen as positive and a strong factor for increased participation in physical activities by the child. Friends were important; doing physical activities together with friends pushed the children towards their physical limits in a motivating way. But

parents found it hard for their children to make friends. Parents to children with mild or no
cognitive limitations, attending an ordinary school, perceived a greater need of support in
making friends compared to parents to children attending special schools. This need increased as
the children grew older. In groups at the habilitation centre the children found peers in similar
situations, and parents wished more support from the habilitation staff in making friends.

6 'It's a pity that when you find children who are like her in a group at the habilitation
7 centre... then the personnel could [help get in touch and] ask, 'Is it ok that we give out
8 your phone number?" But they don't do that.' (Parent FG 2 to child with mild physical
9 impairment and without intellectual disability, born in Sweden)

When the child's own friends became more independent, leaving them behind and needing support from an adult, it became more difficult to keep up with friends of the same age. The family and important adults became more essential for the child over time compared to their peers. Parents talked to the peers about their child's disability with the hope that their child would be included and not bullied. They believed that it could be a combination of being bullied and the behavioural problems of their child if the situation did not improve, which made them want professional help from teachers or a psychologist from the habilitation centre.

'Sometimes I think it still works with friends as it used to do when he was younger. Then
suddenly they'll phone me because he's hit or pushed other children. I don't know why
he's like that; I don't want him hitting someone. Still, I don't want them to bully him
either.' (Parent 3 to child with mild physical impairment and with mild intellectual
disability, born outside Europe)

Parents were also troubled when their child spent most of their leisure time in the company of
 pets or adults instead of being with other children. On the other hand, pets motivated the child to
 be physically active when the child for example walked or played with a dog.

4

5 Good for the body but challenging

The parents believed that specific exercises, which they equated with physical activity, was good for their child and they were certain that exercises would improve their child's motor ability or prevent the deterioration of function. Exercises were seen as something that had to be done but it was difficult to motivate the child to be more active. It became even more challenging when the child became older and the need to push the child towards independence became more pronounced.

'It is much easier when they are younger, then you can trick them into activity a lot more
easily.' (Parent FG 7 to child with mild physical impairment and without intellectual
disability, born outside Europe)

Parents were worried about the time their child spent in inactivity. They were concerned when their child was sitting playing computer games or doing activities at a table and they had a bad conscience about not always succeeding in supporting the child's exercise. They doubted that their child was supported enough during day time at school.

19 'When she goes to school she becomes very passive and just sits in her wheelchair

- 20 waiting for someone to help her. At home she always drives the wheelchair herself.'
- 21 (Parent FG 2) to child with moderate physical impairment and with moderate intellectual
- 22 disability, born in Europe, language interpreter was present).

1 Parents were also concerned about the lack of energy, fatigue and pain that affected their child's 2 ability to participate in physical activities, which was an increasing issue the more limited in gross motor function their child was. When the child got tired of walking the same distance as 3 4 the others, the ability to keep up with the peers was reduced. Walking to a place was just an act 5 of getting around for the peers, while the child with CP did not have any energy left to play when 6 they reached the destination. Parents felt that they had to protect their children and demand a special solution for those situations. On the other hand, parents could push their children to 7 8 continue with physical activities because of assumed positive effects even if the child was not 9 enjoying it. Although a parent was aware that the sitting position during horse-riding with legs apart was painful for the child, the parent wanted the child to continue with the activity because 10 11 of perceived effects of improved balance and reduced spasticity.

12

13 Availability and opting out possibilities

Parents spontaneously expressed that they were satisfied with the opportunities and support 14 children had for participating in physical activities. During the interviews they came to change 15 their minds as they experienced that they had to fight for information, help and the best treatment 16 17 for their children. They believed that the children had limited access to physical activities that were both possible and reasonable for them to participate in. Parents were annoyed by the 18 habilitating staff's lack of knowledge about what suited their child the best and what the best 19 20 possibilities were. More information about opportunities that suited their particular child for 21 participating in physical activities was requested. Furthermore, they asked for support for the 22 child to try an activity in a sports club. Concerning this, parents worried whether limited 23 financial resources in the society implied difficult access to assistive devices or less quality or

quantity in the help their child would receive from a school or the habilitation centre. Available
 resources should be used effectively and in the best way for the child and parents asked for
 action instead of talking.

4 'I don't care so much about meetings; I care about what actually happens. The time we
5 spend on all the meetings... add that time to the help he (the child) gets at school, when
6 there are limited resources. We sit here as six persons at a meeting for one and a half
7 hours, instead of giving him nine hours support and doing the planning by email." (Parent
8 11 to child with mild physical impairment and with mild intellectual disability, born in
9 Europe)

10 Knowledge and information about assistive devices that matched their child's needs and could 11 increase the availability to participate in physical activities were seen as particularly important 12 for children with more severe limitations.

'It feels as if we parents must keep track of which assistive devices there are. We have to 13 claim her needs for that. There might be very useful assistive devices, but we don't know 14 about them. And without knowledge you can't claim anything.' (Parent FG 14, to child 15 with severe physical impairment and with mild intellectual disability, born in Sweden) 16 17 Parents were also concerned about long travel times to get to activities and the need for support in transportation, which complicated their child's participation in physical activities. Logistics 18 and planning took extra time and affected all members of the family. Parents struggled with 19 20 protecting their children from getting too tired whilst at the same time pushing them towards 21 participation. Parents often opted out of attractive physical activities because they were offered 22 far away, at inconvenient times or they were too expensive. Regular playtime with peers from 23 the neighbourhood needed support from an adult and could be difficult to achieve.

In families with limited competence in the Swedish language, parents experienced that
 information and communication about their child's interests and needs was reduced. Language
 was experienced as a barrier when trying to support the child's participation in physical
 activities.

5

6 **DISCUSSION**

By exploring parents' experiences, facilitators and barriers for being physically active and 7 reducing sedentary behaviour for their children with various limitations in gross motor, cognitive 8 9 and communicative function were identified. The results showed that parents' attitudes and cultural background formed each particular family culture, which affected the child's motivation 10 11 for being physically active. Parents wanted greater access to physical activities that suited their 12 child as well as competent persons who would gently push them towards participation in physical activities. In addition, they asked for support in getting friends for their child to be 13 physically active with. 14

15 Qualitative interviews allowed the parents to tell their stories from their own perspectives. The choice of participating in either focus group or individual interviews made it possible even 16 17 for parents with a full work schedule, who needed a language interpreter or who wanted to be interviewed together with their partner, to participate. Focus groups are used to understand how 18 19 people think about an issue and to understand differences in perspectives [22]. The response 20 from one parent triggered thoughts in other parents in the groups, and the interaction and 21 discussion were lively. The parents, who participated in individual interviews as couples, also 22 had the possibility to discuss with each other. In the interviews with one parent, the interviewer 23 asked follow-up and in-depth questions when it was important to get more reflective answers.

1 Ten of the participants were male and eleven were born abroad, which is interesting since 2 these participants are rarely seen in qualitative interviews. However, the Swedish population includes 16% with a foreign background [29], which enhances the need of including parents 3 4 born abroad in studies. This is in accordance with another study finding that healthcare staff 5 needs to listen and deal with foreign-born parents' concerns seriously to prevent powerless dependence [30]. In addition, the families in the present study came from both rural and urban 6 areas, lived in various family constellations, and their children had various gross motor, 7 cognitive and communicative functions. The variation among the parents suggests a 8 representativeness of the total population of parents of children with CP aged 8 to 11 years in 9 Skåne. On the other hand, only few of the invited families answered, and it is conceivable that 10 they were those families who were especially interested in physical activity. Some participating 11 12 families perceived that they were overwhelmed with information which might explain why many families missed the invitation letter for the study or opted out of participating. 13 Five parents chose to use language interpreters, which might have affected the parents' 14 statements. It could be a challenge for the language interpreters to understand both the parent and 15 the interviewer correctly. The language interpreters were asked to translate verbatim without 16 17 interpreting the underlying meaning, and the interviewer asked the same questions with different formulations in order to cross-check the answers. On the other hand, opting out of using 18 language interpreters by some parents, might have limited their expression of perceived 19 20 experiences. This approach is in accordance with the results of another study supporting the use of interpreters in order to facilitate trustful cooperation and persuasive communication, taking 21 22 risks of loss of information control and information compacting into account [31]. Therefore, 23 during the analyses, all authors reflected on the parents' narrations based on their different

perspectives and pre-understanding, and whether they had been present during the interview or
 not.

The parents in the present study had different cultural backgrounds which were crucial to how 3 4 they might perceive the needs of their children and in considering how they either pushed more 5 towards participation in physical activities or became more protective. Parents who came from 6 non-European countries worried about possible risks for their child when being physically active. They seemed to be more protective and would rather slow down their child's engagement when 7 they actually wanted to be physically active. A similar point was shown by Rawlins et al. [32] in 8 9 which parents of obese children who came from diverse ethnic groups participated in a study aiming to identify barriers and facilitators of healthy lifestyles. Their limited knowledge of 10 physical activity guidelines, low priority for physical activity, girls' dislike for physical 11 12 education, and the cost of physical activities were described as barriers within and between ethnic groups [32]. These findings were only partly consistent with the results of the present 13 study, where the parents were interested in physical activity, which might be due to their 14 voluntary participation in a study about physical activity. However, Callanan & Waxman [33] 15 urge researchers to avoid assumptions of homogeneity within groups, emphasising the risk of 16 17 labelling. Another study described the predominance of cultural difference theory in science education and its uncritical use without attention to its limitations, such as disregard of possible 18 intra-group differences [34]. A critical approach and sensitivity when describing groups of 19 participants and the identification of individual family cultures seem to be essential for planning 20 21 interventions aiming to increase participation in physical activities for all children. 22 The results of the present study showed that limited competence of the Swedish language

23 reduced the access to information and the parents' ability to support their child being physically

active, which is in accordance with the findings of another study of children with severe
 disabilities from multilingual backgrounds [35]. Being aware of language limitations might lead
 to an increased use of language interpreters when discussing and planning with non-native
 speaking families.

5 Despite ethnicity and language, socioeconomic status and geographical area were shown to be 6 facilitators of or barriers to participation in physical activity in the present study. Physical 7 activities that must be paid for or required the purchase of equipment might not fit the family's 8 abilities, or activities which were only offered far away from their living area were sometimes 9 passed over by the parents, implying the need to focus on these factors. That cost and 10 affordability of physical activities, as well as transportation time act as barriers to participation in 11 physical activities, was also described in other studies [5,16,18].

Access to physical activities that suited their particular child facilitated participation in 12 physical activities, but parents perceived the range of suitable physical activities as being narrow. 13 The children's opportunities for natural play and natural physical activity were limited, and 14 parents experienced that they often had to take responsibility for every single part in an activity 15 for the child to succeed. Difficulties in getting access to and information about suitable physical 16 17 activities for their children are also shown to be problems in other studies [16,18,36,37]. There seems to be a need for future studies exploring natural physical activities for children with 18 19 disabilities. Parents in the present study worried whether their child was doing enough and the 20 right kind of exercises. Barriers such as fatigue and pain increased with the severity of gross 21 motor function limitations, implying a dilemma for parents who described the continuous need 22 for their children to do exercises. Other studies have also found pain to be a barrier to participation in children with CP, and suggest considering pain in the planning of interventions 23

1 [18,37]. As shown by Gibson et al. [39], social beliefs regarding the value of walking and treatment priorities differ between children with CP, aged 9 to 18 years, and their parents. While 2 walking was perceived as exercise by the children, their parents felt the need of trying anything 3 4 regarding walking exercise as part of being a good parent where they could maintain hope and 5 avoid feelings of guilt and doubt [39]. These findings are in accordance with the findings in the 6 present study, indicating risks for treatment choices by parents without asking the child about his or her opinion, which might lead to negative self-identities for the child. The social values of the 7 children and their parents, as well as individual activity preferences should always be discussed 8 9 when planning interventions.

10 As shown in the present study, important persons were strong facilitators for participation in physical activities who could motivate as role models, or by means of their competence, 11 12 enthusiasm and interest. On the other hand, they could also be barriers because of lack of interest, knowledge or competence. The results also highlighted parents' desire to become more 13 involved in decisions about assistants at school or other help their child received, as access to 14 assistive devices for enhanced participation in physical activities. Moreover, skilled staff were 15 described as a facilitator to physical activity in a systematic review [37]. To our knowledge, the 16 17 individual access to assistive devices for enhanced participation in physical activities is not described as an important factor elsewhere, but funding and cost of equipment are found to be 18 19 barriers in other studies [18,36].

The results of the present study showed that the family and other important persons became more essential for the child with CP compared to their peers who were getting more independent over time. For some children this became a facilitator enabling them to develop independence, while others needed more support. A change of factors perceived as facilitators or barriers to

participation in physical activity between childhood and adolescence is also described in another
 study [18], which should be taken into account and discussed when planning physical activities
 with families.

4 Having fun together with friends, when being physically active, was perceived as facilitating; 5 however, difficulties in getting friends were a concern for many parents. They expressed the 6 need for support in finding friends, as from the habilitation centre for example, where their child could meet other children in similar situations. Fun and social contacts were identified as 7 facilitators to physical activity in other studies as well [36,37]. In the present study, family 8 9 cultures were described as being protective and sometimes pushing. The parents had concerns when talking about their child's future and they worried about how to improve their child's 10 function and fitness. Our results support the idea of focusing on every child's individual issues 11 12 and strengths and are consistent with the concepts of the 'F-words': function, family, fitness, fun, friends and future [20]. These concepts seem to integrate the children's and their families' 13 decision making and quality of life. While parents to children with CP were worrying about 14 whether their child had friends or the right support for participation in physical activities, 15 children with CP said in interviews [15] that they want to enjoy the sensation of speed, have fun 16 17 together with others and make their own choices about physical activities. Using the 'F-words' might benefit children, their families and personnel when designing fun and personalised 18 19 interventions for increased motivation when participating in physical activities.

20

21 Conclusion

Parents desire competent personnel and persons to be available for support in participation inphysical activities for their children. While having friends for their children to be physically

1 active with can be a facilitator, difficulty in getting those friends is a barrier to participation in 2 physical activities; parents want support in getting friends for their children. Parents' attitudes, cultural background and previous life experiences that formed each particular family culture 3 4 prove to be facilitators or barriers for their child's motivation to be physically active, and should 5 be taken into account when designing interventions for increased participation in physical activities and reduced sedentary behaviour for children with disabilities. In future studies it 6 would be interesting to investigate the siblings' participation in physical activities and the impact 7 8 that has on the participation in physical activities for children with disabilities.

9

10 Declaration of interests' statement

11 None of the authors reports any conflict of interest.

12

13 Acknowledgements

14 We wish to thank all participating parents who shared their experiences and doctoral student

15 Elisabet Björquist for research discussions. This research was supported by the Research

16 Platform for Disability Studies in Habilitation, Region Skåne, Sweden, and by RBU, the Swedish

17 National Association for Disabled Children and Young People.

18

19 References20

- Carlon S, Taylor N, Dodd K, Shields N. Differences in habitual physical activity levels of young people with cerebral palsy and their typically developing peers: a systematic review. Disability and Rehabilitation 2013, 35(8):647-55.
- Bania T, Dodd KJ, Taylor N. Habitual physical activity can be increased in people with cerebral palsy: a systematic review. Clinical Rehabilitation 2011, 25:303–315.
- Dalvand H, Dehghan L, Reza Hadian M, Feizy A, Hosseini SA. Relationship between
 Gross Motor and Intellectual Function in Children with Cerebral Palsy: A Cross Sectional Study. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2012, 93:480-4.

- Lauruschkus K, Westbom L, Hallström I, Wagner P, Nordmark E. Physical activity in a total population of children and adolescents with cerebral palsy. Research in Developmental Disabilities 2013, 34:157–167.
- 5. Rosenbaum P, Paneth N, Leviton A, Goldstein M, Bax M, Damiano D, Dan B, Jacobsson
 B. A report: The definition and classification of cerebral palsy April 2006.
 Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology 2007, 49:8-14.
- 7 6. Novak I, Hines M, Goldsmith, S, Barclay R. Clinical prognostic massages from a systematic review on cerebral palsy. Pediatrics 2012, 130(5):1-28.
- 7. Claassen AA, Gorter JW, Stewart D, Verschuren O, Galuppi BE, Shimmell, LJ.
 Becoming and staying physically active in adolescents with cerebral palsy: protocol of a qualitative study of facilitators and barriers to physical activity. BMC Pediatrics 2011, 11:1.
- Fowler EG, Kolobe THA, Damiano DL, Thorpe DE, Morgan DW, Brunstrom JE, Coster
 WJ, Henderson RC, Pitetti KH, Rimmer JH, Rose J, Stevenson RD. Promotion of
 physical fitness and prevention of secondary conditions for children with cerebral palsy:
 Section on Pediatrics Research Summit Proceedings. Physical Therapy 2007,
 87(11):1495-1510.
 - World Health Organization, ed. Disability and health. Fact Sheet Nº 352; 2010. Available from: <u>http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs352/en/index.html</u> (accessed 13/07/14).

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

36

37

- Verschuren O, Darrah J, Novak I, Ketelaar M, Wiart L. Health-enhancing physical activity in children with cerebral palsy: more of the same is not enough. Physical Therapy 2014, 94(2):297-305.
- 11. Hamilton M, Healy G, Dunstan D, Zderic T, Owen N. Too little exercise and too much sitting: inactivity physiology and the need for new recommendations on sedentary behaviour. Current Cardiovascular Risk Reports 2008, 2(4):292-298.
- 12. Trembley M. Letter to the editor: standardized use of the terms sedentary and sedentary behaviours. Applied Physiology 2012, Nutrition, and Metabolism, 37:540-542.
 - 13. Innes J, Darrah J. Sedentary behaviour: implications for children with cerebral palsy. Pediatric Physical Therapy 2013, 25:402-408.
- 14. Murphy N, Carbone P. Promoting the participation of children with disabilities in sports, recreation, and physical activities. Pediatrics 2008, 121:1057-1061.
- 15. Verschuren O, Wiart L, Hermans D, Ketelaar M. Identification of facilitators and barriers
 to physical activity in children and adolescents with cerebral palsy. The Journal of
 Pediatrics 2012, 191(3):488-494.
 - Verschuren O, Wiart L, Ketelaar M. Stages of change in physical activity behaviour in children and adolescents with cerebral palsy. Disability & Rehabilitation 2013, 35(19):1630-1635.
- 17. Shimmell L, Gorter J, Jackson D, Wright M, Galuppi B. "It's the participation that
 motivates him": Physical activity experiences of youth with cerebral palsy and their
 parents. Physical & Occupational Therapy in Pediatrics 2013, 33(4):405-420.
- 42 18. Vogts N, Mackey AH, Ameratunga S, Stott, NS. Parent-perceived barriers to
 43 participation in children and adolescents with cerebral palsy. Journal of Paediatrics and
 44 Child Health 2010, 46: 680–685.

1	19.	Rosenbaum P, Gorter JW. The "F-words" in childhood disability: I swear this is how we
2	20	should think! Child: Care, Health and Development 2011, 38(4):457-463.
3	20.	World Health Organization, ed. International Classification of Functioning, Disability
4		and Health (ICF). Geneva, Switzerland; 2001. Available from:
5		http://www.who.int/classifications/icf/en/ (accessed 23/07/14).
6	21.	Lauruschkus K, Nordmark E, Hallström I. "It's fun, but" Children with cerebral palsy
7		and their experiences of participation in physical activities. Disability & Rehabilitation
8		2015, 37(4):283-9.
9	22.	Krueger RA, Casey MA. Focus Groups: a practical guide for applied research. SAGE
10		Publications 2009, 4 th Ed., Inc.
11	23.	Kvale S, Brinkmann S. Interviews: Learning the craft of qualitative research
12		interviewing. Thousand Oaks, Calif.: Sage Publications 2009, Inc.
13	24.	SFS 2010:387. Lagen om stöd och service till vissa funktionshindrade (LSS) [Act on
14		support and service to certain disabled individuals]. Stockholm: Socialdepartementet
15		[Ministry of Health and Social Affairs].
16	25.	SFS 2010:110. Socialförsäkringsbalk [Social insurance code]. Stockholm:
17		Socialdepartementet [Ministry of Health and Social Affairs].
18	26.	WMA Declaration of Helsinki - Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving
19		Human Subjects. 2008. Available from:
20		http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/index.htm (accessed 19/06/14).
21	27.	Downe-Wamboldt B. Content analysis: method, applications, and issues. Health Care for
22		Women International 1992; 13:313-321.
23	28.	Graneheim UH, Lundman B. Qualitative content analysis in nursing research: concepts,
24		procedures and measures to achieve trustworthiness. Nurse Education Today 2004,
25		24:105-112.
26	29.	Migrationsinfo. Available from: <u>http://www.migrationsinfo.se/migration/sverige</u>
27		(accessed 09/08/14).
28	30.	Pergert P, Ekblad S, Björk O, Enskär K, Andrews T. Protecting Family Interests: An
29		Interview Study with Foreign-Born Parents Struggling On in Childhood Cancer Care.
30		International Journal of Pediatrics 2012, Article ID 681301, 7 pages.
31		doi:10.1155/2012/681301.
32	31.	Pergert P, Ekblad S, Enskär K, Björk O. Obstacles to transcultural caring
33		relationships: experiences of health care staff in pediatric oncology. Journal of
34		Pediatric Oncology Nursing 2007, 24(6):314–328.
35	32.	Rawlins E, Baker G, Maynard M, Harding S. Perceptions of healthy eating and physical
36		activity in an ethnically diverse sample of young children and their parents: the DEAL
37		prevention of obesity study. Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics 2013, 26:132-144.
38		doi:10.1111/j.1365-277X.2012.01280.x
39	33.	Callanan M, Waxman S. Commentary on special section: deficit or difference?
40		Interpreting diverse developmental paths. Developmental Psychology 2013, 49(1):80-83.
41		doi:10.1037/a0029741.
42	34.	Carlone H, Johnson A. Unpacking 'culture' in cultural studies of science education:
43		cultural difference versus cultural production. Ethnography and Education 2012,
44		7(2):151-173. doi:10.1080/17457823.2012.693691.

- 35. Pickl G. Communication intervention in children with severe disabilities and multilingual backgrounds: perceptions of pedagogues and parents. Augmentative and Alternative Communication 2011, 27(4):229-244. doi:10.3109/07434618.2011.630021.
 - 36. Buffart L, Westendorp T, van den Berg-Emonds R, Stam H, Roebroeck M. Perceived barriers to and facilitators of physical activity in young adults with childhood-onset physical disabilities. Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine 2009, 41:881-885. doi:10.2340/16501977-0420.
 - 37. Shields N, Synnot A, Barr M. Perceived barriers and facilitators to physical activity for children with disability. British Journal of Sports Medicine 2012, 46:989–997. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2012-090236.
 - 38. Ramstad K, Jahnsen R, Skeldal O, Diseth T. Parent-reported participation in children with cerebral palsy: the contribution of recurrent musculoskeletal pain and child mental health problems. Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology 2012, 54:829-835.
 - 39. Gibson B, Teachman G, Wright V, Fehlings D, Young N, McKeever, P. Children's and parents' beliefs regarding the value of walking: Rehabilitation implications for children with cerebral palsy. Child: Care, Health and Development 2011, 38(1):61-69.
- 40. Palisano R, Rosenbaum P, Bartlett D, Livingston M. Content validity of the expanded
 and revised Gross Motor Function Classification System. Developmental Medicine and
 Child Neurology 2008, 50(10):744-750.
- 41. World Health Organization (Ed.) (2010): International Classification of Diseases (ICD).
 Available from: <u>http://www.who.int/classifications/icd/en/</u> (accessed 10/10/12).

Table 1. Characteristics of the parents	
Parents (N=25)	Ν
Age (years)	
30-35	4
36-40	3
41-45	9
46-50	6
51-64	3
Gender	
Female	15
Male	10
Ethnic origin	
Sweden	14
Europe	3
Outside Europe	8
Language interpretation	5
Marital status	
Married/cohabitant	21
Single parent	4
Children living in the household	
1	3
2	9
3	5
4	5
5	3
Level of education	
Elementary and middle school	6
High school	8
College/University	11
Employment status	
Working full time	12
Working part time	5
Studying	1
Unemployed	7
Working as personal assistant to the child	
Main work	2
Part time	3

1	Table	1.	Characteristics	of	the	parents	
---	-------	----	-----------------	----	-----	---------	--

Table 2. Characteristics of the children

Children with CP (N=16)	Ν	
Age (years)		
8	7	
9	4	
10	2	
11	3	
Gender		
Female	9	
Male	7	
GMFCS-E&R ^a		
level I	6	
level II	5	
level III	2	
level IV	2	
level V	1	
Cognitive level ^b		
No mental retardation	9	
Mild mental retardation	5	
Moderate to profound	2	
mental retardation		
Augmentative and alternative	4	
communication		
Family situation		
Living with biological parents	15	
Living in foster home	1	
Living with both parents	12	
Living with one parent	4	
^a Gross Motor Function Classification ^b WHO's International Classification mental retardation [38]		3, ICD-

1 Implications for Rehabilitation

- Friends and competent adults facilitate participation in physical activities and reduce
 sedentary behaviour
- Information on accessible and tailored physical activities is an important facilitator for
 participation in physical activities
- Service planning and design of interventions may be facilitated by taking the individual
 family culture into account