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I. INTRODUCTION

Since the proton’s magnetic moment was revealed to be 2.79 times the size of the Dirac magnetic moment [1], studying the internal structure of the proton has been a vibrant field of physics research. Early deep-inelastic electron-nucleon scattering (DIS) experiments found that leptons were elastically scattered off of partons [2–4], and further measurements have led to detailed understanding of the parton distribution functions (PDFs) that can be used to describe the collinear quark and gluon structure of the nucleon. At leading order in a perturbative quantum chromodynamics (pQCD) expansion in the strong coupling
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\( \alpha_s \), PDF \( f(x) \) represents the probability of a parton of flavor \( f \) carrying momentum fraction \( x \) of the total proton momentum. The PDFs themselves are nonperturbative and cannot be calculated directly in pQCD; they must instead be extracted from experimental measurements. From the development of QCD until the 1990s, experimental and theoretical studies focused on the one-dimensional momentum structure of the nucleon, in which the partons are treated as moving collinearly with the parent nucleon. Over the past two decades, a variety of theoretical and experimental tools have been developed to study other aspects of nucleon structure, including parton transverse dynamics within the nucleon. The measurement of transverse single spin asymmetries (SSAs) provides one window into dynamical spin-momentum correlations both in QCD bound states and in the process of partonic hadronization.

Leading-twist pQCD calculations predict very small transverse single spin asymmetries, less than \( O(10^{-4}) \) at high-\( p_T \)\( (p_T > \text{few GeV}/c) \) [5]. However, strikingly large transverse SSAs, up to \( \sim 40\% \), have been measured at forward rapidity for hadrons produced from transversely polarized proton collisions \( (p^\uparrow + p \rightarrow h + X) \), revealing significant spin-momentum correlations in the nonperturbative structure of the proton. These asymmetries have been observed for collision energies ranging from \( \sqrt{s} = 4.9 \) to 500 GeV [6–16] and for hadron transverse momenta \( (p_T) \) up to 7 GeV/c [16]. The persistence of transverse SSAs into kinematic regimes where pQCD is applicable offers an opportunity to describe this nonperturbative behavior in terms of well-defined functions using the framework of pQCD. At midrapidity, no significant \( A_N \) has been observed [15,17].

Multiple approaches have been proposed to describe the large transverse SSAs observed in hadronic reactions. Transverse-momentum-dependent (TMD) PDFs include explicit dependence not only on the partonic collinear momentum fraction but also on the partonic transverse momentum \( (k_T) \) within the nucleon. Similarly, TMD fragmentation functions (FFs) depend on both the collinear momentum fraction of the scattered parton acquired by the produced hadron as well as the transverse momentum of the hadron with respect to the direction of the scattered parton. Reactions involving scattering of a proton with its spin perpendicular to its momentum inducing the production of a hadron can provide sensitivity to both initial-state (PDF) and final-state (FF) effects.

Sivers proposed a TMD PDF [18,19] as a possible origin of the large observed transverse SSAs, corresponding to a correlation between the spin of the proton and the transverse momentum of the quarks. Semi-inclusive DIS experiments have found evidence for a nonzero Sivers TMD PDF [20–23]. Collins alternatively proposed a TMD FF [24] that generates transverse SSAs, corresponding to a correlation between the (transverse) polarization of a scattered quark and the angular distribution of pions in the quark jet. The outgoing quarks in \( p^\uparrow + p \) collisions will have a net transverse polarization if the transversity distribution in the proton is nonzero. Electron-positron annihilation, as well as semi-inclusive DIS measurements, has now found evidence for a nonzero Collins TMD FF as well as a nonzero transversity distribution [20,22,25–29]. All these results indicate that there are sizable spin-momentum correlation effects in QCD bound states as well as in the process of hadronization.

While these spin-momentum correlations are present in the proton and in the process of hadronization, inclusive hadron production in \( p^\uparrow + p \) collisions cannot probe TMD PDFs and FFs directly as a function of \( k_T \). However, these asymmetries do have sensitivity to the TMD PDFs and FFs integrated over \( k_T \), and attempts to describe the data phenomenologically using the Sivers and Collins effects have been done [30–32].

Perturbative QCD calculations using collinear higher-twist quark-gluon correlations [33–37] can be performed and compared to data for inclusive SSAs in hadronic collisions. While these correlation functions do not contain direct information on the transverse momentum distributions of partons, this approach has been related to \( k_T \) moments of TMD PDFs and FFs such as the Sivers and Collins functions for multiparton correlations in the initial and final states, respectively [38]. Prior RHIC transverse SSA measurements for inclusive hadron production have been described relatively well by a combination of twist-3 effects in the initial and final states [39–42], but further refinement in both the theoretical calculations, for example through a better understanding of uncertainties, and in experimental measurements, for example through multidifferential measurements in more than one kinematic variable simultaneously, will be needed to test and understand these correlations in detail.

It has been predicted that TMD factorization may be broken when the partonic transverse momentum is explicitly taken into account, and the partons in the two incoming protons can no longer be described by independent PDFs but instead become correlated across the two protons [43]. In this case any phenomenology used to describe the asymmetries might become more complex, depending on the size of the effects from factorization breaking. The breakdown of TMD factorization leads to the prediction of additional spin asymmetries in the case of hadron production in \( p^\uparrow + p \) collisions [44], with the possible magnitude of any new asymmetries still unknown. These effects, due to color exchange, will be interesting to explore further at RHIC once phenomenological predictions become available.

This paper reports on measurements of the cross section and transverse single spin asymmetry for \( \eta \) mesons at forward pseudorapidity \( (3.0 < |\eta| < 3.8) \) from the 2008 RHIC data taking period at \( \sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV} \). A total
integrated luminosity of $\mathcal{L} = 6.65$ pb$^{-1}$ was sampled for these results. The measurement of different produced particle species will help to advance our understanding of the transverse SSAs ($A_{NN}$) observed in $p^+ + p$ collisions. The comparison of pions, $\eta$ mesons, and kaons can shed light on initial- versus final-state spin-momentum correlations as well as possible isospin, strangeness, and mass effects.

A review of the RHIC polarized $p + p$ collider facility and the PHENIX experiment and detectors used for the measurements is given (Sec. II), followed by a description of the analysis procedure (Sec. III) used to procure the measurements of the cross section (Sec. IV) and transverse single spin asymmetry (Sec. V). A final section is reserved for discussion of the results derived from these measurements.

II. EXPERIMENT

A. RHIC polarized $p + p$ collider

The Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) is a particle accelerator located at Brookhaven National Laboratory. RHIC has the capability of bunching, storing, accelerating, and colliding polarized protons [45], as well as other ions, over a broad range of center-of-mass energies ($\sqrt{s} = 62.4$ to 510 GeV for polarized protons). The injected beam into RHIC is typically made up of 111 bunches of polarized protons, which contain up to $O(10^{11})$ protons per bunch for $p + p$ collisions and are collided at several different points around the ring. One such interaction point is located at the PHENIX experiment [46]. For the 2008 RHIC $p + p$ running, PHENIX (Fig. 1) consisted of two spectrometer arms at central pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 0.35$, two muon arms at pseudorapidity $1.2 < |\eta| < 2.4$, two global detectors, and two calorimeters (called the MPC detector) at forward pseudorapidity $3.1 < |\eta| < 3.9$.

A key aspect of the asymmetry measurements is the ability to align the spin vectors of the protons in the beam in a desired direction. The net fraction of protons in the beam with their spin vectors aligned along this desired direction is called the polarization ($P$). This must be measured to provide the correct scale for any asymmetry measurement. The polarization of the beams in RHIC is determined to within an uncertainty $\Delta P/P \sim 4\%–7\%$ using two different kinds of polarimeters: a proton-carbon polarimeter [47] and a hydrogen-jet polarimeter [48]. The proton-carbon polarimeter provides fast relative measurements of the polarization several times during a fill, while the hydrogen-jet polarimeter measurement takes several hours but yields the absolute polarization.

The polarization direction alternates for consecutive bunches which minimizes potential time-dependent and spin-dependent systematic uncertainties. In particular, detector efficiency and acceptance effects are minimized, as spin direction alternation in bunches allows use of the same detector for both polarization directions. During the 2008 RHIC run, the average clockwise beam (also known as the blue beam) polarization was measured to be $P = 0.490 \pm 0.021$, while the average counterclockwise beam (yellow) polarization was $P = 0.410 \pm 0.030$. The stable polarization direction in RHIC is transverse, i.e., perpendicular to the accelerator plane.

B. PHENIX local polarimetry

The polarization direction is also measured locally at PHENIX using a pair of zero-degree calorimeters (ZDCs). The ZDCs comprise two hadronic calorimeters, located $\pm 18$ m from the nominal PHENIX interaction point. A shower maximum detector (SMD) combined with the ZDC measures the transverse single spin asymmetry of very forward ($\eta \gtrsim 6$) neutrons which is found to be nonzero, and as large as $A_N \sim 10\%$ [49,50]. A study of neutron $A_N$ in 2008 using the ZDC/SMD showed that the north-going (blue) polarization axis was oriented off-vertical by $\phi_{\text{blue}} = 0.263 \pm 0.03$ (stat) $\pm 0.090$ (syst) radians. The south-going polarization axis was found to be consistent with the nominal vertical direction, $\phi_{\text{yellow}} = 0.019 \pm 0.048$ (stat) $\pm 0.103$ (syst).
C. PHENIX beam-beam counters

The beam-beam counters (BBC) (see Fig. 1) comprise two arrays of 64 quartz Čerenkov radiators connected to photomultiplier tubes (PMTs). The BBC is \( z = \pm 144 \) cm from the nominal interaction point and covers \( 3.0 < |\eta| < 3.9 \). The primary functions of this detector are to measure the position of the collision along the beam \((z)\) axis to a precision of \( \sigma(z_{\text{vertex}}) = 2 \) cm, to provide a minimally biased trigger, and to measure the luminosity.

D. PHENIX MPC detector

The muon piston calorimeter (MPC) comprises two forward electromagnetic calorimeters, referred to as the south and north MPCs (see Fig. 1), placed \( \pm 220 \) cm from the nominal interaction point along the beam axis. The south (north) MPC is made up of 196 (220) \( 2.2 \times 2.2 \times 18 \) cm\(^3\) PbWO\(_4\) crystal towers and is read out with Hamamatsu S8664-55 avalanche photodiodes (APD). The MPC covers the pseudorapidity regions \(-3.7 < \eta < -3.1\) and \(3.1 < \eta < 3.9\), respectively. The primary goal of the MPC is to identify \( \pi^0 \) and \( \eta \) mesons and measure their energy.

PbWO\(_4\) crystals were chosen for their short radiation length \((0.89 \) cm\) and small Molière radius \((2.0 \) cm\). Similar PbWO\(_4\) crystals were originally used and extensively tested for the PHOS detector [51], part of the ALICE experiment at CERN. The MPC is not cooled and runs at the ambient temperature of its location in PHENIX. The gain variation with time, due largely to temperature variations and radiation damage to the crystals and APDs, is tracked with time, due largely to temperature variations and radiation damage to the crystals and APDs, is tracked using a LED calibration system. The absolute gain calibration comprises the LED tracking and tower by tower calibrations using \( \pi^0 \)'s. The relative energy resolution after calibration was found to be \( \sigma(E)/E = 13\% / \sqrt{E \text{ GeV}} \). Comparisons between the \( \pi^0 \) and \( \eta \) mesons using real data and simulations showed that an overall energy scale uncertainty of \( 2\% \) remained after all the calibrations, and also determined that the position resolution for clusters was about 2 mm. A schematic of the north MPC is given in Fig. 2.

E. Triggers

Readout of the PHENIX detector was done using one of two independent triggers for this analysis. The minimum bias (MB) trigger initiated readout when at least one BBC PMT in each array is hit, and when the collision vertex is within \( |z| < 30 \) cm of the nominal interaction point in PHENIX. As the number of collisions delivered by RHIC exceeds the data-taking rate of the PHENIX data acquisition system, only a small fraction of events can be recorded with “minimum bias.” To enhance the rarer (higher momentum) \( \eta \) mesons in the data stream an additional trigger is used to record the high-\( p_T \) part of the cross section. This higher momentum trigger (called the \( 4 \times 4B \) trigger) records an event when the total sum in any of the \( 4 \times 4 \) trigger arrays of MPC towers satisfies an energy threshold of \( E \geq 20 \) GeV. The \( 4 \times 4 \) trigger arrays are particular groupings of towers and are called tiles. Each tile overlaps by two towers in the horizontal and vertical directions, as shown in Fig. 2, to provide even coverage for the trigger over the whole detector. The \( 4 \times 4B \) trigger is formed without the requirement of a collision vertex from the BBCs.

III. IDENTIFICATION OF \( \eta \) MESONS IN THE MPC

To identify \( \eta \) mesons in the MPC, the decay channel \( \eta \to \gamma \gamma \) is used which has a branching ratio of \( \text{BR} = 0.3941 \pm 0.0020 \) [52]. Clusters of MPC towers from a single event are combined to form photon candidates. To increase the likelihood that a cluster is due to a real photon, clusters which do not possess the characteristic electromagnetic shower shape are discarded. Clusters with their central tower tagged as noisy or inactive are also removed from the analysis. Once a sample of clusters is reduced to an enhanced sample of real photon candidates, clusters are paired together and an invariant mass is calculated, Eq. (1),

\[
M_{\gamma\gamma} = \sqrt{4 \cdot E_1 \cdot E_2 \cdot \sin(\theta_{12}/2)},
\]

where \( E_{1,2} \) is the measured energy of each cluster, and \( \theta_{12} \) is the opening angle between the momentum vectors of the two clusters. Additional kinematic cuts are made on paired clusters for the minimum bias and \( 4 \times 4 \) \( B \) data sets. A minimum energy \( E_1 + E_2 > 7 \) GeV and 10 GeV, respectively, is imposed. A maximum energy asymmetry, \( \alpha = |E_1 - E_2|/E_1 + E_2 | \), of \( \alpha = 0.6 \) and 0.8, respectively, is required. The difference in the energy asymmetry cut between the
two triggers is due to differences in the signal to background figure of merit. Finally, the separation between the two clusters $\Delta R$ has to be greater than 2.6 cm, minimizing merging effects between cluster showers. After the application of these cuts, the invariant mass is calculated for all pairs, which is shown in Fig. 3 as open symbols.

IV. THE $\eta$ MESON CROSS SECTION

The cross section can be written in terms of measured quantities as

$$E \frac{d^3\sigma_k}{dp^2} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_{pp,\text{inel}}} \frac{1}{2\pi p_T} \frac{1}{BR \cdot \epsilon_{\text{reco}} \cdot \epsilon_{\text{trig}}} \Delta N^\text{meas}_\eta \Delta y,$$

(2)

where $\Delta N^\text{meas}_\eta$ is the number of measured (raw) $\eta$ mesons over a rapidity range $\Delta y$ and transverse momentum interval $\Delta p_T$. Note $\Delta y \approx \Delta \eta$ for $\eta$ mesons at forward rapidity at the $p_T$ measured in this analysis. The data are scaled by the integrated luminosity ($\mathcal{L}_{pp,\text{inel}}$) and the branching fraction, $BR$, for this decay channel. To account for inefficiency in triggering and reconstruction, the $\Delta N^\text{meas}_\eta$ is corrected by factors $\epsilon_{\text{trig}}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{reco}}$, respectively. Each of these components is described in the following sections.

A. Integrated luminosity ($\mathcal{L}_{pp,\text{inel}}$)

The luminosity is calculated as the ratio of the number of minimum bias events sampled for each trigger condition, within $|z| < 30$ cm, divided by the part of the $p + p$ cross section to which the BBCs are sensitive. This cross section is $\sigma^{\text{BBC}}_{pp} = 23.0 \pm 2.3$ mb which is determined using a Vernier scan procedure [53]. The total integrated luminosity of the minimum bias data set is $\mathcal{L}_{\text{MB}} = 0.0192$ pb$^{-1}$ and that of the $4 \times 4B$ data set is $\mathcal{L}_{4\times4B} = 3.87$ pb$^{-1}$.

B. Yield extraction ($\Delta N^\text{meas}_\eta$)

The invariant mass distribution (Fig. 3) has two distinct components: correlated pairs (for example from $\eta$ meson decays) and uncorrelated (combinatorial) background pairs, due to pairing of clusters from different parent sources. To account for this combinatorial background in the minimum bias data set ($0.5 < p_T < 3.0$ GeV/c), photon candidates are analyzed from different events (which necessarily removes all real combinations) to form a mixed event distribution. The mixed event pair distribution is normalized (green closed circles in Fig. 3) to the real pair distribution by taking the ratio of the real and mixed distributions and fitting with a constant at high invariant mass, and then subsequently scaling the mixed event distribution by this constant. The subtraction from this real pair distribution results in a final $\gamma\gamma$ invariant mass spectrum which has all uncorrelated background pairs removed (blue closed circles in Fig. 3). Using the same mixed event procedure, only a small fraction of the $4 \times 4B$ background was found to be uncorrelated, and the rest is made from a jet correlated background made primarily from $\pi^0$ decays. The mixed-event subtraction removes only a small fraction of the uncorrelated background in the $4 \times 4B$ triggered data set, so it is not applied in this case [see Figs. 3(c) and 3(d)].

Raw yields are extracted by fitting the invariant mass distributions (mixed-event subtracted in minimum bias sample) with a function for the correlated background plus a constant term times a normalized Gaussian distribution representing the signal peak (gray lines in Fig. 3). The optimal background function for the minimum bias ($4 \times 4B$) data set was an exponential (gamma distribution) function. Variation of the functional form of the background (second, third order polynomial) was used to evaluate the systematic uncertainty on the yield extraction.

C. Efficiency corrections ($\epsilon_{\text{reco}}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{trig}}$)

Measured (raw) yields must be corrected for reconstruction and trigger inefficiencies. Simulations are used to calculate the reconstruction efficiency ($\epsilon_{\text{reco}}$), which

FIG. 3 (color online). The invariant mass distribution for minimum bias [panels (a) and (b)] and $4 \times 4B$ [panels (c) and (d)] samples. In all panels, open red circles represent all real pairs formed from MPC clusters. In panels (a) and (b) the small green closed symbols show the combinatorial background from mixed events (see text) and the closed blue symbols show the combinatorial-subtracted real pairs. Panels (b) and (c) show the same $p_T$ selection and illustrate the importance of triggering to enhance the statistical significance at large momenta. Grey lines show the fit to the data used to extract the yield.
corrects for geometric acceptance and detector resolution effects. To produce an $\eta$ meson $p_T$ spectrum which is similar to that in real data, a full Monte Carlo sample of single $\eta$ mesons are initially generated flat in $p_T$ and pseudorapidity in the MPC kinematics, and with the same $z$-vertex distribution as measured in data. These generated single $\eta$ mesons are passed through a GEANT (3.21) [54] description of the PHENIX detector and subsequent energy deposits are embedded into real data minimum bias events. Minimum bias events here do not necessarily contain an $\eta$ meson from the collision. The same cluster identification and pair cuts are applied, followed by the full reconstruction, similar to that in the real data analysis.

The next step weights the reconstructed and generated $\eta$ mesons in $p_T$ and pseudorapidity to mimic the measured data distribution. This accounts for $p_T$ smearing effects on an exponential spectrum, and for the falling pseudorapidity dependence in the forward region. As the weighting is dependent on the shape of the corrected spectrum, an iterative procedure is used to ensure the efficiency correction converges to a stable value. The reconstruction efficiency is calculated as the ratio of reconstructed $\eta$ mesons divided by the number generated. The reconstruction efficiency for the south and north MPC for both triggers is shown in Fig. 4. The north MPC has a lower reconstruction efficiency than the south, due to a more restrictive noisy/inactive tower map in the north. The reconstruction efficiency shape is predominantly due to the geometric acceptance coupled to the narrowing $\gamma\gamma$ opening angle from low to higher momenta. At low momenta, wider opening angles can prohibit the measurement of both $\eta$s in the detector. At high momenta, cluster merging increasingly inhibits the detection of distinct $\eta$ pairs. Significant cluster merging effects occur when the cluster separation is less than 1.5 times the tower width ($\Delta R < 3.3 \text{ cm}$).

The trigger efficiency ($\epsilon_{\text{trig}}$) is estimated by taking the ratio of $\eta$ meson yields found using the trigger of interest (for example minimum bias) in coincidence with any other trigger which is unrelated (unbiased) divided by the same unrelated trigger without the coincidence requirement,

$$\epsilon_{\text{trig}} = \frac{N_{\text{unbias}}}{N_{\text{unbias}}}.$$

For the minimum bias trigger efficiency, $\epsilon_{\text{MB}}$, the $4 \times 4B$ trigger is used as this maximizes the $\eta$ meson yield statistics. The measured minimum bias trigger efficiency is found to be $\epsilon_{\text{MB}} = 0.76 \pm 0.01 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.06 \text{(syst)}$. There is a slight dependence on $p_T$, which has been factored into the systematic uncertainty.

For the $4 \times 4B$ trigger efficiency for $\eta$ mesons, $\epsilon_{\text{4x4B},\eta}$, the minimum bias trigger is used as the unrelated trigger. The statistics in the minimum bias sample is limited, however, and the efficiency can only be determined from the data up to $p_T < 3.0 \text{ GeV}/c$ [see Fig. 5(c), open symbols]. Instead, the trigger efficiency for $\eta$ mesons in the $4 \times 4B$ triggered sample is calculated by simulating the $4 \times 4B$ trigger.

The $4 \times 4B$ trigger comprises a total of 56 (61) overlapping $4 \times 4$ tower array sums from the south (north) MPC. An example of the efficiency of an individual $4 \times 4$ array from data is shown in Fig. 5(a). This efficiency is fit with a double error function

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} [a g_1(x') + (1-a) g_2(x')] dx',$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $g_1(x)$ and $g_2(x)$ are Gaussian distributions. The efficiency curve shown in Fig. 5(a) covers the entire data-taking period, and relative gain changes throughout the RHIC run due to temperature variations and radiation damage to the detector cause a large spread in the rise of the efficiency curve. This gain variation is monitored with an LED calibration system. The trigger threshold ($\theta_{\text{thresh}}$) at any given instant is a step function and is thus implemented in the simulation as a step function. The changes in the effective threshold due to the gain variation over the run are accounted for in the simulation by varying the threshold using the data from the LED monitoring. Fit parameters from the 117 different trigger tile efficiency curves are derived and used in the trigger simulation to determine an optimal $\theta_{\text{thresh}}$, and thus trigger efficiency for $\eta$ mesons.

To tune the trigger simulation, reconstructed $p + p$ events from PYTHIA (tune A) [55] were processed through the trigger simulation and matched to real data. The cluster trigger efficiency is well reproduced in the simulation when using a mean tile trigger threshold of $\theta_{\text{thresh}} = 0.66$, determined from the fit parameters in Eq. (4) [see solid
The systematic uncertainties are divided into three types. Statistical and point-to-point uncorrelated systematic uncertainties are added in quadrature to form type-A uncertainties. Type B represents correlated uncertainties between \( p_T \) bins. Type C is external global systematic uncertainties which underlay the measurement.

The functional form of the background used in the yield extraction was varied and contributes 5%–15% to the type-A uncertainties. The systematic uncertainty due to energy scale (type B) was found to vary from 3% to 30% for \( p_T = 0.5 \) to 5.0 GeV/c. A global reconstruction efficiency uncertainty (type B) of 11.5% (27.5%) is applied for \( p_T > 0.75 \) GeV/c (\( p_T < 0.75 \) GeV/c). An additional reconstruction efficiency uncertainty of 1% to 20% for \( p_T = 3.0 \) to 5.0 GeV/c is assigned due to cluster merging effects (type B). The systematic uncertainty on varying the turn-on threshold (type B) for the 4×4B trigger leads to 30% uncertainty at \( p_T = 2.0 \) GeV/c, which decreases exponentially to 5% at \( p_T = 5.0 \) GeV/c. A further global (type C) systematic uncertainty of 9.7% is applied based on the luminosity monitoring of the BBC.

**E. Cross section results**

The cross section is calculated using Eq. (2) independently for the south and north MPC, and for both the minimum bias and 4×4B data sets. For both trigger conditions, the south and north reconstructed cross sections agree to within 2% across \( p_T \). The south and north cross sections measured for each trigger are weighted together to determine the final cross section spectrum. Agreement in the overlap region (2 < \( p_T < 3 \) GeV/c) between the minimum bias and 4×4B cross section was within 7% across \( p_T \) and is within the type-A systematic uncertainties. In the overlapping region, data points from the two data sets are combined as a weighted average.

The invariant cross section of \( \eta \) mesons is shown in Fig. 6 and Table I as a function of transverse momentum, measured between 0.5 < \( p_T < 5.0 \) GeV/c within a pseudorapidity range of 3.0 < \( |\eta| < 3.8 \). The results are compared to a next-to-leading-order (NLO) pQCD calculation for three different choices of scale \( \mu \) [56,57], over the same pseudorapidity region as the measurement. Here, \( \mu \) represents the factorization, renormalization, and fragmentation scales, which are set to be equal to one another.

---

**Figure 5** (color online). (a) The trigger efficiency for a single 4×4 tower array in the 4×4B trigger. The solid, dashed, and dotted lines represent \( \theta_{\text{thresh}} = 0.66, 0.60, \) and 0.75, respectively. (b) A comparison of the cluster efficiency as a function of energy to the simulated efficiency generated using the different \( \theta_{\text{thresh}} \). (c) The \( \eta \) meson 4×4B trigger efficiency, \( \epsilon_{\eta,4\times4B} \) (systematic error not included). The open symbols represent \( \epsilon_{\eta,4\times4B} \) calculated using Eq. (3) with the minimum bias trigger as the unrelated trigger. The closed points represent \( \epsilon_{\eta,4\times4B} \) calculated from simulation. South (north) efficiencies are shown as circles (squares).
The polarization direction. To first order the azimuthally measured cross section and the NLO pQCD. For is the vertical beam polarization, and where $\sigma$ is the measured cross section and each of the NLO pQCD calculations. Error bars (bands) represent type-A (type-B) systematic uncertainties. A global scale uncertainty (type-C, 9.7%) is due to the luminosity and global reconstruction uncertainties.

The lower panel shows the comparison between the measured cross section and the NLO pQCD. For $p_T > 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c$, the NLO pQCD calculation is in very good agreement with the measured cross section. Upon approaching the pQCD limit at low momentum ($p_T < 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c$) the agreement is less clear, but well within the factorization uncertainty.

V. THE TRANSVERSE SINGLE SPIN ASYMMETRY FOR $\eta$ MESONS

In polarized $p^+ + p$ collisions, the cross section of hadron production can be modified in azimuth, with respect to the polarization direction. To first order the azimuthally dependent cross section can be written as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} \right)_0 (1 + P_y \cdot A_N \cdot \cos \phi),$$

where $\left( \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} \right)_0$ is the unpolarized differential cross section, $P_y$ is the vertical beam polarization, and $A_N$ is the transverse single spin asymmetry. This dependence can be measured as

$$P_y \cdot A_N \cdot \cos \phi = e_N(\phi),$$

where $e_N(\phi)$ is the measured raw asymmetry which, to first order, is an azimuthal cosine modulation. For this analysis, $A_N$ is found by first measuring the raw asymmetry [$e_N(\phi)$], fitting it with a cosine function, and then dividing the amplitude by the average beam polarization. The raw asymmetry is measured in this analysis using two methods [58].

The first method is known as the polarization formula,

$$e^\text{pol}_N(\phi) = \frac{N^\uparrow(\phi) - N^\downarrow(\phi)}{N^\uparrow(\phi) + N^\downarrow(\phi)},$$

which uses two different polarization yields (up—↑ and down—↓) in one azimuthal region. This method is preferred if the acceptance is not homogeneous, but relative luminosity effects ($\mathcal{R} = \frac{R_{\uparrow}}{R_{\downarrow}}$) must be taken into account.

A second method is known as the square-root formula, Eq. (8), which uses the geometric mean of the yields $N$ from two azimuthal regions on opposite sides of the MPC ($\phi$ and $\phi + \pi$) and two polarization directions (up—↑ and down—↓). When there is little loss of acceptance, particularly dead areas in azimuthal space, this method is advantageous as detector efficiency and relative luminosity effects cancel.

$$e_N \propto \sqrt{N^\uparrow(\phi) \cdot N^\uparrow(\phi + \pi) - N^\downarrow(\phi) \cdot N^\downarrow(\phi + \pi) \over N^\uparrow(\phi) \cdot N^\downarrow(\phi + \pi) + N^\downarrow(\phi) \cdot N^\uparrow(\phi + \pi)}.$$
The final transverse single spin asymmetry result reported uses the square-root formula. The polarization formula serves as a cross-check.

### A. Polarization

To measure $A_N$, the polarization and spin information of only one beam is used, while the other beam’s spin information is ignored, such that it is integrated over to a net polarization of zero. As one chooses which beam to use as “polarized,” two independent $A_N$ measurements can be made: one utilizing the north-going beam’s polarization, and one utilizing the south-going beam’s polarization. Effectively, as the south and north MPC detectors are independent with differing systematics, two independent measures of $A_N$ are derived, allowing for more reliable evaluation of systematic uncertainties on the results.

### B. $A_N$ analysis

To measure the raw $A_N$, the $\phi$ distribution of the reconstructed $\eta$ meson is divided into 12 azimuthal bins, and spin dependent $\eta$ meson yields are obtained for each bin.

To extract the $\eta$ meson yields for the $A_N$ measurements, the invariant mass spectra from all photon pairs are first formed independent of spin direction and $\phi$, binned in $x_F$ (or $p_T$). These invariant mass spectra are then fit with a signal Gaussian and background function. The signal Gaussian establishes the peak mass ($M_\eta$) and width ($\sigma_\eta$) which are used to define an $\eta$ mass window for the given $x_F$ ($p_T$) bin. The counts from the background function and signal are also used to form a relative contribution under the peak region from the background ($r = \frac{N_\text{BG}}{N_\text{BG} + N_\eta}$).

Spin dependent and $\phi$ dependent invariant mass spectra are then formed, with the spin and $\phi$ dependent yields determined by integrating the invariant mass spectra between $M_\eta \pm 2\sigma_\eta$. An example of the signal and background regions are shown in Fig. 7.

The asymmetry in the peak region $\epsilon = \frac{N_\eta - C_6}{2\sigma_\eta}$ is then simply calculated from Eqs. (7) and (8). The resultant asymmetries are then fit with a cosine function; see Fig. 8 using the square-root formula, Eq. (8). Note that Fig. 8 has six points, because azimuthal bins on opposite sides of the MPC are folded into each other when using the square-root formula.

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_F$ bin</th>
<th>$A_N^{\text{M+2\sigma}}$ Statistical</th>
<th>$A_N^{\text{bg}}$ Statistical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$-0.7$ to $-0.6$</td>
<td>$0.0385$</td>
<td>$0.0602$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-0.6$ to $-0.5$</td>
<td>$0.0110$</td>
<td>$0.0186$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-0.5$ to $-0.4$</td>
<td>$0.0094$</td>
<td>$0.0094$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-0.4$ to $-0.3$</td>
<td>$0.0135$</td>
<td>$0.0117$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.3$ to $0.4$</td>
<td>$0.0314$</td>
<td>$0.0127$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.4$ to $0.5$</td>
<td>$0.0537$</td>
<td>$0.0102$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.5$ to $0.6$</td>
<td>$0.0353$</td>
<td>$0.0196$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.6$ to $0.7$</td>
<td>$0.0974$</td>
<td>$0.0628$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The $x_F$ dependence of $A_N$. The vertical error bars show the statistical uncertainty, the blue bands represent uncorrelated systematic uncertainties (see text for details). The relative luminosity effect systematic uncertainties are not shown (see text and Table III).
The transverse single spin asymmetry in the \( \eta \) meson peak region is then calculated, \( A_N^{M \pm 2\sigma} \), using Eq. (6). As mentioned, the amplitude of the cosine function, divided by the final measurement of the background regions. The peak region, and expressed as

\[
A_N^\eta = \frac{A_N^{M \pm 2\sigma} - rA_N^{bg}}{1 - r},
\]

where \( r \) is the background fraction in the \( \pm 2\sigma \) region around the \( \eta \) mass peak, \( A_N^{M \pm 2\sigma} \) is the measured asymmetry of the peak region, and \( A_N^{bg} \) is the measured asymmetry of the background regions. The \( r \) values are found from the spin-independent signal and background invariant mass spectrum fits mentioned above. For the lowest \( x_F \) bins, calculated from the minimum bias data, \( \langle r_{MB} \rangle = 0.60 \). For the highest \( x_F \) bins, calculated from the 4 \times 4B data, \( \langle r_{4B} \rangle = 0.37 \). \( A_N^{bg} \) was found to be consistent in the low and high mass regions. Overall the background correction from Eq. (9) had a moderate effect of \( A_N^\eta > A_N^{M \pm 2\sigma} \). Table II summarizes \( A_N^{M \pm 2\sigma} \) and \( A_N^{bg} \) from the 4 \times 4B triggered data set.

### C. \( A_N \) Results

The \( x_F \)-dependent \( A_N \) is shown in Fig. 9 and Table III, based on the weighted mean of the measured south and north MPC \( A_N^\eta \) values. The average pseudorapidity of the measured \( \eta \) mesons is \( \langle \eta \rangle = 3.52 \). The procedure to obtain \( A_N \) from the minimum bias triggered data set is the same as that in the 4 \times 4B data set, and where the triggers overlap in \( x_F \), the \( A_N \) values are weighted together. For forward \( x_F \) (\( x_F > 0 \)), a clear rising asymmetry is seen, ranging from 2% to 20% over the measured \( x_F \) range. For backward \( x_F \) (\( x_F < 0 \), \( A_N \) is flat and consistent with zero when averaged for details).

### TABLE III. \( A_N \) for \( \eta \) mesons measured as a function of \( x_F \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x_F ) bin</th>
<th>( \langle x_F \rangle )</th>
<th>( \langle p_T \rangle ) [GeV/c]</th>
<th>( A_N^\eta )</th>
<th>Statistical</th>
<th>Uncorrelated</th>
<th>Correlated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( -0.7 ) to ( -0.6 )</td>
<td>(-0.63)</td>
<td>(3.41)</td>
<td>(-0.0503)</td>
<td>(0.1054)</td>
<td>(0.0791)</td>
<td>(0.0024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -0.6 ) to ( -0.5 )</td>
<td>(-0.535)</td>
<td>(3.04)</td>
<td>(0.0417)</td>
<td>(0.0319)</td>
<td>(0.0385)</td>
<td>(0.0023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -0.5 ) to ( -0.4 )</td>
<td>(-0.444)</td>
<td>(2.68)</td>
<td>(0.0376)</td>
<td>(0.0165)</td>
<td>(0.0161)</td>
<td>(0.0021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -0.4 ) to ( -0.3 )</td>
<td>(-0.358)</td>
<td>(2.34)</td>
<td>(0.0094)</td>
<td>(0.0219)</td>
<td>(0.0095)</td>
<td>(0.0023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -0.3 ) to ( -0.2 )</td>
<td>(-0.231)</td>
<td>(1.35)</td>
<td>(0.0226)</td>
<td>(0.0339)</td>
<td>(0.0179)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0.2 ) to ( 0.3 )</td>
<td>(0.231)</td>
<td>(1.35)</td>
<td>(0.0212)</td>
<td>(0.0342)</td>
<td>(0.0204)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0.3 ) to ( 0.4 )</td>
<td>(0.358)</td>
<td>(2.34)</td>
<td>(0.0491)</td>
<td>(0.0232)</td>
<td>(0.0127)</td>
<td>(0.0020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0.4 ) to ( 0.5 )</td>
<td>(0.444)</td>
<td>(2.68)</td>
<td>(0.0792)</td>
<td>(0.0177)</td>
<td>(0.0083)</td>
<td>(0.0018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0.5 ) to ( 0.6 )</td>
<td>(0.535)</td>
<td>(3.04)</td>
<td>(0.0372)</td>
<td>(0.0335)</td>
<td>(0.0179)</td>
<td>(0.0020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0.6 ) to ( 0.7 )</td>
<td>(0.629)</td>
<td>(3.41)</td>
<td>(0.1939)</td>
<td>(0.1092)</td>
<td>(0.0392)</td>
<td>(0.0019)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 10 (color online). Comparison between the \( \eta \) meson \( A_N \) and other results. Panel (a) compares with \( \pi^0 \) meson \( A_N \) results from PHENIX [15], STAR [13], and E704 [9] in red circle, blue star, and green square symbols, respectively. Panel (b) compares to the STAR \( \eta \) meson \( A_N \) result [14] (blue stars), the E704 \( \eta \) meson \( A_N \) result [11] (green squares), and a twist-3 calculation [59] (curve).
over $x_F$ within 1.7σ of the statistical plus systematic uncertainties. An uncorrelated systematic uncertainty is shown as bands around the points and is found by varying the functional form of the background functions. This changes the $M \pm 2\sigma$ range and relative $r$ values, which affects the number of $\eta$ mesons used in the calculation of $A_N$. It also includes systematic uncertainty estimation from three different cross-checks on the measurement of $A_N$: increasing the mass window to $M \pm 2.5\sigma$, the difference from the polarization formula measurement [Eq. (7)], and adding higher order cosine terms to the raw asymmetry fit. The correlated systematic uncertainty (not shown in Fig. 9; see Table III) is due to small residual relative luminosity effects in the square-root formula.

Figure 10 shows the measured $A_N$ for $\eta$ mesons compared to other $A_N$ measurements. The upper panel shows a comparison between $\eta$ meson and $\pi^0$ meson asymmetries in overlapping $x_F$ and similar pseudorapidity ranges at various collision energies. The $\eta$ meson $A_N$ is similar to the $\pi^0$ $A_N$ measurements at a lower center-of-mass energy made by the PHENIX experiment using the MPC [15], as well as $\pi^0$ from the E704 [9] and STAR [13] experiments. The similarity between the $\eta$ and $\pi^0$ asymmetries suggests that initial-state spin-momentum correlations could play a role, or a common spin-momentum correlation is present in the fragmentation of $\pi^0$ and $\eta$ mesons.

The lower panel of Fig. 10 shows a comparison to measurements made by E704 [11] ($\sqrt{s} = 19.4$ GeV) and STAR [14] at the same collision energy ($\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV). The average pseudorapidity of the PHENIX result is $\langle \eta \rangle = 3.52$, while the average pseudorapidity of the STAR result is $\langle \eta \rangle = 3.68$. For $x_F > 0.55$, the STAR $\eta$ meson $A_N$ is larger than this PHENIX $\eta$ meson $A_N$ measurement, but these two results are consistent with each other within type-A uncertainties.

The asymmetries in Fig. 10 are compared to a twist-3 calculation by Kanazawa and Koike [59] based on [40], performed for the PHENIX kinematics. It describes the magnitude of the asymmetry well at the lowest and highest points in $x_F$, but it is unclear whether the observed shape for the middle $x_F$ values is well described. No theoretical uncertainty on the calculation is available at this time; a better understanding of the theoretical uncertainties will be

![Graph showing $A_N$ for $p+p$ collisions](image)

**FIG. 11 (color online).** The $p_T$ dependence of $A_N$. The vertical error bars show the statistical uncertainty; the blue bands represent uncorrelated systematic uncertainties (see text for details). The relative luminosity effect systematic uncertainties are not shown (see text and Table IV). The purple line shows a prediction from a twist-3 calculation based on quark-gluon correlation functions [59].

### TABLE IV. $A_N$ for $\eta$ mesons measured as a function of $p_T$. Uncertainties listed are those due to the statistics, the $p_T$ uncorrelated uncertainties due to extracting $A_N$, and the correlated relative luminosity uncertainty (see text for details).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p_T$ bin [GeV/c]</th>
<th>$\langle p_T \rangle$ [GeV/c]</th>
<th>$\langle x_F \rangle$</th>
<th>$A_N^\eta$</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Statistical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0-1.5</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5-2.0</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.0189</td>
<td>0.0512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0-2.5</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.0355</td>
<td>0.0228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5-3.0</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.0343</td>
<td>0.0191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0-3.5</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.0214</td>
<td>0.0259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5-4.0</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.0147</td>
<td>0.0452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0-4.5</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.0211</td>
<td>0.0887</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$x_F < -0.2$

$x_F > 0.2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p_T$ bin [GeV/c]</th>
<th>$\langle p_T \rangle$ [GeV/c]</th>
<th>$\langle x_F \rangle$</th>
<th>$A_N^\eta$</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Statistical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0-1.5</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.0143</td>
<td>0.0409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5-2.0</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.0511</td>
<td>0.0514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0-2.5</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.0713</td>
<td>0.0251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5-3.0</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.0605</td>
<td>0.0206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0-3.5</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.0564</td>
<td>0.0274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5-4.0</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.1443</td>
<td>0.0480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0-4.5</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.1066</td>
<td>0.0944</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
necessary in order to draw a quantitative conclusion on the agreement with data.

The \( p_T \) dependence of the asymmetry is shown in Fig. 11 and Table IV. For \( A_N \) measured at forward \( x_F \) (\( x_F > 0.2 \)), a clear nonzero asymmetry is seen (\( \langle A_N \rangle = 0.061 \pm 0.012 \)), while \( A_N \) for backward \( x_F \) (\( x_F < -0.2 \)) is consistent with zero within 1.7\( \sigma \). The uncorrelated and correlated systematic uncertainties are evaluated the same way as in the \( x_F \) dependence of \( A_N \).

Figure 11 also shows the measured \( A_N \) as a function of \( p_T \) compared to the twist-3 calculations. Similar to the case for the \( x_F \) dependence, the twist-3 calculation describes the magnitude of the asymmetry well at the lowest and highest measured points in \( p_T \), but it is not clear if it describes the observed shape in the mid-\( p_T \) range. It should be noted that the data points in \( p_T \) are integrated over a wide range of \( x_F \), 0.2 < \( x_F < 0.7 \).

VI. SUMMARY

By utilizing data taken by the MPC detector installed at forward rapidity in the PHENIX experiment at RHIC, the invariant cross section as a function of \( p_T \) and the transverse single spin asymmetry \( A_N \) as a function of \( x_F \) and \( p_T \) have been measured for inclusive \( \eta \) mesons produced at forward rapidity (\( \langle \eta \rangle = 3.52 \)) from \( p^+ + p \) collisions at a center-of-mass energy of \( \sqrt{s} = 200 \) GeV. The NLO pQCD calculation was found to be consistent with the invariant cross section measurement at momentum of \( p_T > 1.5 \) GeV/c. This measurement can be used to improve constraints on the hadronization process of \( \eta \) mesons in future global analyses of the \( \eta \) fragmentation function. Nonzero asymmetries measured at forward \( x_F \) are consistent with previous \( \pi^0 \) meson results within statistical uncertainties. Because the \( x_F \) and \( \eta \) mesons are produced from potentially different parton fractions, and also might have different polarized fragmentation functions due to isospin or mass differences or the presence of strange quarks in the \( q \), these data will help to restrain the relative importance of spin-momentum correlations in the initial-state polarized protons versus that of spin-momentum correlations in the fragmentation. The dependencies of the measured asymmetry on \( x_F \) and \( p_T \) are reasonably well described by twist-3 calculations using quark-gluon correlation functions; a quantitative comparison can be made once uncertainties become available on the calculations.

With higher statistics from future data sets, a doubly differential measurement of the asymmetry binned in both \( x_F \) and \( p_T \) simultaneously could provide a much more stringent test of any available calculations and better constrain twist-3 quark-gluon correlation functions if they turn out to be the dominant contribution.
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