



LUND UNIVERSITY

Numerical and Symbolic Methods for Dynamic Optimization

Magnusson, Fredrik

2016

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Magnusson, F. (2016). *Numerical and Symbolic Methods for Dynamic Optimization*. [Doctoral Thesis (monograph), Department of Automatic Control]. Department of Automatic Control, Lund Institute of Technology, Lund University. https://www.control.lth.se/media/2016/dynopt_thesis_web.pdf

Total number of authors:

1

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

Numerical and Symbolic Methods for Dynamic Optimization

Errata

- The second paper in the list of publications on p. 12, “Symbolic elimination in dynamic optimization based on block-triangular ordering”, has been accepted for publication in *Optimization Methods and Software* subject to minor revision.
- On p. 52, u_0 and u_1 should be replaced by $u_{1,0}$ and $u_{1,1}$, respectively.
- On p. 72, L and U should be replaced by z_L and z_U , respectively.
- The incorrect initial guess was used in the experiments for Distillation Column in Chapter 6. This had significant impact on a few parts of Tables 6.7 and 6.10 and insignificant impact on Table 6.9 as well as Figures 6.1, 6.2, and 6.3. Correct versions of Tables 6.7 and 6.10 are shown below without sample standard deviation and number of iterations. The biggest difference is that SCHEME 0 no longer fails all instances and even outperforms some of the other schemes when using global collocation.

Table 6.7 Local collocation.

SCHEME	Success	Time
0	27.9%	12.8
1	88.8%	10.3
2	86.5%	11.6
3 ₄₀	94.2%	4.2
3 ₃₀	93.8%	4.5
3 ₂₀	93.2%	5.9
3 ₁₀	93.4%	4.1
3 ₅	94.3%	4.9
4 ₄₀	93.0%	3.9
4 ₃₀	94.1%	3.1
4 ₂₀	94.4%	2.7
4 ₁₀	94.7%	2.4
4 ₅	94.0%	3.6

Table 6.10 Global collocation.

SCHEME	Success	Time
0	95.6%	6.2
1	99.9%	10.0
2	100.0%	9.5
3 ₄₀	99.9%	3.2
3 ₃₀	99.9%	3.7
3 ₂₀	99.8%	2.7
3 ₁₀	100.0%	2.5
3 ₅	100.0%	2.9
4 ₄₀	100.0%	6.5
4 ₃₀	96.0%	5.0
4 ₂₀	100.0%	2.8
4 ₁₀	100.0%	4.0
4 ₅	99.9%	2.1

- The offline performance bottleneck discussed on p. 115 has largely been resolved. In particular, the offline time for Fourbar1 has been reduced from 43 s to 27 s and for Distillation Column the offline time has been reduced from 119 s to 26 s.