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Abstract

Objective: To study the association between sexual orientation and self-rated health, including trust, offense, threat of violence and violence.

Design/setting/participants/measurement: The 2008 public health survey in Skåne is a cross-sectional postal questionnaire study. A total of 28,198 persons aged 18–80 years responded (55%). Logistic regressions analyzed the association between sexual orientation and self-rated health.

Results: A 27.4% of all men and 30.0% of all women rated their health as poor. Poor self-rated health was significantly more prevalent in higher age, among immigrants, people with lower education, low social support, low trust, experience of being offended, experience of threat of violence and violence, and bisexual and other orientation. Homosexual and bisexual men and women had higher age-adjusted odds ratios of having felt offended compared to heterosexual respondents. The odds ratios of low trust, threat of violence (men) and experience of violence (women) were significant for respondents with bisexual orientation but not for respondents with homosexual orientation. In the age-adjusted model, no significant association was observed between homosexual orientation and poor self-rated health among women. All other associations between sexual orientation and health were significant in the age-adjusted model but non-significant in the multiple models.

Conclusions: Associations between sexual orientation and health disappear after multiple adjustments including trust, and experience of offense, threat of violence and violence. The study suggests that the group with bisexual orientation seems to be more exposed to low social capital (trust), threat of violence and violence than the group with homosexual orientation.

Key words: Social capital, sexual orientation, offense, violence, self-rated health, Sweden.
**Introduction**

One of the most important health policy goals in Sweden and other countries is to reduce differences in health between different segments of the population (1). International research findings suggest that people of homosexual and bisexual orientation have poorer health than the general population (2,3,4,5), although the study results mostly stem from populations of young people in the USA and Australia while relatively little knowledge has been gathered in Europe (2). The national public health report in Sweden revealed poorer health, health behaviors and psychosocial conditions in the bisexual and homosexual groups compared to the heterosexual group (1). One possible reason discussed is that homosexual and bisexual as well as other sexual minority persons are marginalized in society for instance by discrimination, prejudice, violence and adverse attitudes (6,7). Recent studies suggest that sexual minority adolescents and adults are exposed to an increased risk of discrimination, hate crimes and violence (8,9). Some studies have reported greater risk of violence and greater severity of violence directed at sexual minority groups in the USA (10), although some over-representation seems to be due to higher risks of between-partner (cohabitant) violence (11).

Discrimination is sometimes defined as “the process by which a member or members of a socially defined group is, or are, treated differently (especially unfairly) because of his/her/their membership of that group” (12). Three forms of discrimination are institutional discrimination, i.e. by discriminatory official policies by state, other public or non-governmental organizations, structural discrimination, i.e. the mechanisms by which a society reproduces discrimination, and interpersonal discrimination. In Sweden, all forms of discrimination are prohibited by the law, but as in all or at least most social systems discrimination still exists. Discrimination in its various forms affects health as well as
economic and social well-being (13). Systematic experiences of discrimination may have long-term health effects through psychosocial, psychological and physiological mechanisms. Self-reported experience of discrimination has been demonstrated to be associated with self-rated health (14).

Discrimination exists in social environments, and social capital is an essential characteristic of social environments. There is a variety of aspects of social capital such as civic engagement among citizens, social participation, generalized trust in other (unknown) people, institutional trust towards public institutions (sometimes also non-governmental organizations) and high expectations of generalized reciprocity (15,16). Social capital has been hypothesized and shown to be associated with different aspects of health. In 1999, Kawachi et al. outlined a number of plausible causal pathways between social capital and health including health effects of social capital such as decreasing psychological and psychosocial stress, increased access to health care, lower rates of particularly violent crime, and norm improvement in relation to health related behaviours and lifestyles (17). Social capital can be either bonding, i.e. it may comprise trusting and cooperative relations based on similarity and shared social identity, or bridging, i.e. it may comprise relations of trust, respect and mutuality between people who know they are not similar in some socio-demographic sense (18) as for instance sexual orientation. It may be hypothesized that if minority status, e.g. belonging to a sexual orientation minority, is stressful for example in terms of low bonding and/or bridging social capital and low social support, and if stress is related to poorer health, then minority groups such as sexual orientation minorities would be expected to have poorer health than the majority population, a notion which has been termed “the minority stress hypothesis” (19).
The present study concerns the self-rated (physical and mental) health of sexual majority and minority parts of the population in Skåne, southern Sweden in 2008. Self-rated health is an item with good validity because it is a strong prospective predictor of incidence and mortality of a broad variety of diseases including cardiovascular diseases (20). Self-rated health has in earlier studies been shown to be associated with age, sex, country of birth and socioeconomic status measured by education (21) as well as with emotional and instrumental support (22). Generalized trust in other people is positively associated with self-rated health (21). It seems plausible that bisexual and homosexual and other sexual minority orientations may be associated with lower levels of generalized trust in other people, feeling of having been offended, having experienced threat of violence, having experienced violence and poor self-rated health.

The aim of this study is to investigate the association between sexual orientation and self-rated health, taking generalized trust in other people, experience of offense, threat of violence and violence into account. A complementary aim is to investigate whether trust is negatively associated with homosexual and bisexual orientation.

**Methods and materials**

**Study population**

The 2008 survey concerning public health in Skåne (southern Sweden) has a cross sectional study design. It is based on a random sample drawn from the public population registers of people born between 1928 and 1990 living in Skåne. In August and September 2008, a total of 28,198 persons answered a postal questionnaire, which represents approximately a 55%
response rate in relation to the original sample. Two reminder letters were also dispatched. Ethical approval has been granted by the Ethical Committee at Lund University, Sweden.

**Dependent variable**

*Self-rated health* was measured by the question “How do you estimate your health status in general (physically and mentally)?” which included five alternative answers “Very good”, “Good”, “Fair”, “Poor”, and “Very poor”. These alternatives were in this study dichotomized into “Good” (the two first alternatives) and “Poor” (the three latter alternatives).

**Independent variables**

*Sexual orientation* was assessed by the question “Do you regard yourself today as 1) heterosexual, 2) bisexual, 3) homosexual, 4) other?”

*Age* was divided into the groups 18-24, 25-34, 35-44, 45-54, 55-64 and 65-80 years.

Stratification according to *sex* was conducted in all analyses in this study.

*Born in Sweden/born in other country than Sweden.* All participants born outside Sweden were merged into a single immigrant group which was compared to those born in Sweden.

*Education* was divided regarding length of education into 13 years of education or above, 10-12 years of education and 9 years or below.
*Emotional support* was measured with the item “Do you feel that you have someone or some persons who can give you proper personal support to cope with the stress and problems of life?” which had four alternatives answers: “Yes, I am absolutely certain to get such support”, “Yes, possibly”, “Not certain”, and “No”. The three latter were collapsed as low emotional support.

*Instrumental support* was retrieved with the question “Can you get help by some or several persons in case of illness or practical problems (borrow minor items, help with reparation, help to write a letter, getting advice or information)” which contained the same alternatives as the emotional support item, and was dichotomized accordingly.

*Generalized trust in other people* assesses the individual’s level of generalized trust in other people. It was appraised by the item “Generally, you can trust other people” which entails the four answer alternative: “Do not agree at all”, “Do not agree”, “Agree”, and “Completely agree”. These were dichotomized, the two first alternatives denoting low trust and the two latter denoting high.

The respondents were asked whether they had been treated in a way at one or several occasions during the past three months that had made them feel *offended*. The alternative options “No”, “Yes, at one occasion”, and “Yes, at several occasions” were given. In table 3 the item was dichotomized by collapsing the two latter alternatives.

The respondents were asked whether they had been *exposed to threat of violence* at any occasion during the past twelve months with the answer alternatives “No” and “Yes”.

---

---

---

---

---
The respondents were also asked whether they had been exposed to violence at any occasion during the past twelve months with the optional answers “No” and “Yes”.

Statistics

Prevalences (%) of self-rated health, age, birth country, education, emotional support, instrumental support, trust, experience of having been offended during the past three months, exposed to threat of violence during the past twelve months and exposed to violence during the past twelve months, and sexual orientation stratified by sex were calculated (table 1). Prevalences (%) and odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (OR:s, 95% CI) of self-rated health were calculated according to sexual orientation, age, country of birth, education, emotional support, instrumental support, trust, offended during the past three months, threat of violence during the past twelve months and exposed to violence during the past twelve months (table 2). Prevalences (%) and crude and age-adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals of trust in other people, offended during the past three months, threat of violence during the past twelve months and exposed to violence during the past twelve months were calculated according to sexual orientation (table 3). Age-adjusted and multiple adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals of self-rated health were calculated according to sexual orientation (table 4). The statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software package version 18.0 (23).

Results

Table 1 indicates that 27.4% of the men and 30.0% of the women rated their health as health. The distribution (prevalence) according to age, country of birth, education, emotional support,
instrumental support, trust, experience of offense, having been exposed to threat of violence and having been exposed to violence, and sexual orientation are also shown in table 1.

Table 2 shows that the odds ratios and prevalence (%) of poor self-rated health in bivariate logistic regression analyses were significantly higher among older participants, immigrants, persons with medium and low education, with low emotional support, with low instrumental support, with low trust, having felt offended during the past three months, having been exposed to threat of violence during the past twelve months, having been exposed to violence during the past twelve months and among persons of bisexual and other orientation among both men and women.

The prevalence (%) and crude and age-adjusted odds ratios of low trust in others were significantly higher among both men and women of bisexual orientation and other orientation compared to the heterosexual category. In contrast, the homosexual category did not significantly differ from the heterosexual orientation category, age-adjusted odds ratios 0.93 (0.59-1.47) for men and 0.97 (0.62-1.53) for women. Both the bisexual and homosexual male and female respondents had significantly higher odds ratios of having felt offended at one or several occasions during the past three months. A significantly higher odds ratio of having felt offended was also observed for men in the other category. The age-adjusted odds ratios of threat of violence were significantly higher among bisexual men, odds ratio 2.42 (1.44-4.09), but not among bisexual women, odds ratio 1.45 (0.93-2.26). On the other hand, the age-adjusted odds ratios of having been exposed to violence during the past twelve months were significantly higher among bisexual women, odds ratio 2.50 (1.55-4.04) but not among bisexual men, odds ratio 1.30 (0.60-2.84) (table 3).
Table 4 shows that the age-adjusted odds ratios of poor self-rated health were significantly higher among the bisexual, homosexual and other categories among men compared to heterosexual men. The odds ratios among bisexual and homosexual men became not significant with the introduction of trust in the model. The odds ratio of poor health in the other category became not significant among men after the introduction of having been offended during the past three months in the model. The odds ratios of self-rated health among bisexual women became not significant after the introduction of having felt offended during the past three months in the model, while the odds ratios of self-rated health remained not significant among homosexual women throughout the age-adjusted and multiple analyses. The odds ratio in the other category among women became not significant after entering emotional support.

**Discussion**

In the age-adjusted model, no significant association was observed between homosexual orientation and self-rated health among women. All other associations between sexual orientation and health were significant in the age-adjusted model, but became non-significant in the multiple models. The results also indicate that the bisexual and “other” categories have lower trust than the heterosexual orientation part of the population, while the homosexual orientation category does not significantly differ from the heterosexual majority group. Homosexual and bisexual men and women had higher age-adjusted odds ratios of having felt offended compared to heterosexual respondents. The odds ratios of trust, threat of violence (men) and experience of violence (women) were significant for respondents with bisexual orientation but not for respondents with homosexual orientation. Age-stratified calculations reveal that bisexual respondents in the age groups 18-24, 25-34 and 35-44 years, homosexual
respondents aged 24-34 years, and other respondents aged 18-24 and 55-64 years had significantly higher odds ratios of having experienced offense (not in tables). Low trust seems to be connected with threat of violence and violence but not with the experience of offense among sexual minority respondents. Some findings, e.g. that females with bisexual orientation seem to be exposed to violence to a higher extent than heterosexual women and that respondents of “other” sexual orientation have particularly high odds ratios of low trust, call for further research. The results are important because self-rated health is an important predictor of incidence and mortality in a variety of diseases, most importantly cardiovascular diseases.

After age-adjustments the bisexual, homosexual and other group all had significantly higher odds ratios of poor self-rated health. Self-rated health is a variable with high validity, and it is a strong predictor of incidence and mortality of a variety of diseases (20). The causes behind the significant differences in self-rated health between the sexual minority categories and the heterosexual category may entail social and psychosocial conditions (including social capital), health related behaviours, sexually transmitted infections (STI:s) and also differences in not only physical health but also mental health. They also most probably include aspects of discrimination, i.e. “the dislike of the unlike” (12). This study demonstrates that social support, social capital, offense, threat of violence and violence reduce the higher odds ratios of poor self-rated health when comparing with heterosexuals to not significant levels even without adjusting for e.g. health related behaviours and STI:s. Still, the reduction of the odds ratio for the group with homosexual orientation is only from 1.56 (1.01-2.42) to 1.41 (0.83-2.40) which leaves some remaining variance which may be explained by health behaviours and STI:s. Homosexuality and bisexuality are often regarded as sexual orientations diverging from the norm of heterosexuality, and other studies have indicated presence of different forms
of discrimination (3,7,24). The results of this study thus partly support the minority status hypothesis (19). Discrimination against sexual minorities may also lead to higher alcohol consumption, poorer psychosocial conditions and other disadvantageous conditions for health (4,5,25,26). These plausible pathways should also be investigated in future research. Analyses stratified by age show that respondents aged 18-24 and 25-34 with bisexual orientation had significantly higher odds ratios of poor self-rated health compared to the heterosexual group, while the odds ratios for the homosexual and other groups did not significantly differ (not shown in tables).

The item concerning offense during the past three months had a follow-up question which specified the offence. The options included reasons of ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, age, functional disability, religion, colour of skin, appearance, and “unknown”. The gender reason was more prevalent among the bisexual (13.5%) and the heterosexual (8.0%) groups than the heterosexual (3.6%) group. The bisexual (4.7%) and the homosexual (13.5%) groups also differed more from the heterosexual (0.2%) group than the “other” (1.3%) group regarding the reason sexual orientation. Concerning appearance the bisexual (15.1%) group differs from the homosexual (4.2%), “other” (5.1%) and heterosexual (3.3%) groups (not in tables).

In the “other” sexual orientation group the odds ratio of poor self-rated health was reduced more than in the other groups after the introduction of education in the model. This group has a substantially higher proportion of respondents with low education (not in tables).

The sexual norms of society are partly interconnected with other norms in society entailed in the concept of social capital such as for instance norms of reciprocity, generalized trust in
other people and political and institutional trust. Social capital may thus be partly involved in some of the pathways explaining differences in health between groups with different sexual orientations. The explanation why the bisexual orientation group, but not the homosexual group, has significantly higher odds ratios of low generalized trust in other people remains to be disentangled, although the significantly higher odds ratios of not only low trust in the bisexual group but also significantly higher odds ratios of having experienced threat of violence and violence compared to people with heterosexual orientation may represent a part of the explanation.

Strengths and limitations

The approximately 55% response rate follows the internationally declining trend, but an earlier article has shown a very good correspondence between participants in a survey concerning public health in Skåne in 2000 with a very similar design and response rate, and the whole population in Skåne drawn from official population registers (27). The risk of systematic error in the form of selection bias is thus acceptable. The numbers of respondents with non-heterosexual orientation are comparatively small but the low prevalence corresponds well with findings in national Swedish data (28).

Confounders like age, sex, country of birth and education, social support, trust, having felt offended, having experienced threat of violence and having experienced violence were controlled by adjustment, and by sex-stratification. These adjustments affected the estimates as demonstrated in table 4.
The validity of self-rated health has been studied internationally. Self-rated health is a good predictor of morbidity and mortality (20). The item concerning sexual orientation has been used previously in the whole of Sweden in an investigation conducted by a state authority under the Swedish government, and this investigation yielded similar prevalence for the sexual orientation groups as this study (28).

The cross-sectional design of this study makes conclusions concerning causal inference formally impossible. However, the only plausible direction of causality in this study would be from sexual orientation to self-rated health.

Conclusions

Associations between sexual orientation and health disappear after multiple adjustments including trust, and experience of offense, threat of violence and violence. The study suggests that the group with bisexual orientation seems to be more exposed to low social capital (trust), threat of violence and violence than the group with homosexual orientation.
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Table 1. Prevalence (%) of self-rated health, demographic characteristics, socioeconomic characteristics, emotional support, instrumental support, generalized trust in other people, feeling offended, threat of violence, violence and sexual orientation. Men (n = 12,726), women (n = 15,472), and total (n = 28,198). The public health survey in Skåne 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men (n = 12,726)</th>
<th>Women (n = 15,472)</th>
<th>Total (n = 28,198)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-rated health</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>71.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(250)</td>
<td>(396)</td>
<td>(646)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-80</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Born in Sweden/born in other country than Sweden</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>86.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other country</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(273)</td>
<td>(282)</td>
<td>(555)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-year</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-12 years</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;9 years</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(1098)</td>
<td>(1631)</td>
<td>(2729)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emotional support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(289)</td>
<td>(357)</td>
<td>(646)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instrumental support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>74.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(295)</td>
<td>(338)</td>
<td>(633)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trust</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(522)</td>
<td>(685)</td>
<td>(1207)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Violated/offended past three months</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>71.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, at one occasion</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, several times</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(311)</td>
<td>(407)</td>
<td>(718)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Threats of violence past 12 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>94.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(222)</td>
<td>(214)</td>
<td>(436)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exposed to physical violence past 12 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(266)</td>
<td>(279)</td>
<td>(545)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual orientation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Value 1</td>
<td>Value 2</td>
<td>Value 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(1145)</td>
<td>(1616)</td>
<td>(2761)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Prevalence (%) and odds ratios (OR, 95% CI) in bivariate analyses of poor self-rated health according to sexual orientation, age, country of origin, education, emotional support, instrumental support, generalized trust in other people, offense, threat of violence and violence. Men (n = 12,726) and women (n = 15,472). The public health survey in Skåne 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men (n=12,726)</th>
<th>Women (n=15,472)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual orientation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>49.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(1292)</td>
<td>(1884)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-80</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>42.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(250)</td>
<td>(396)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Born in Sweden/born in other country than Sweden</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other country</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(456)</td>
<td>(616)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-year</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-12 years</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9 years</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>45.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(1267)</td>
<td>(1920)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emotional support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(466)</td>
<td>(686)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instrumental support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(473)</td>
<td>(665)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trust</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(706)</td>
<td>(1009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Offended past three months</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, at one occasion</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, several times</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(478)</td>
<td>(735)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Threats of violence past 12 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>42.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(403)</td>
<td>(549)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exposed to physical violence past 12 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(447)</td>
<td>(614)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Prevalence (%), and crude and age-adjusted odds ratios (OR, 95% CI) of low
generalized trust in other people, offense, threat of violence and violence according to sexual

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trust</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)^a</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)^b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>1.65 (1.16-2.35)</td>
<td>1.58 (1.11-2.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>0.94 (0.60-1.49)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.59-1.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>1.89 (1.35-2.64)</td>
<td>1.94 (1.38-2.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(1324)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>2.09 (1.59-2.74)</td>
<td>1.78 (1.35-2.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>1.05 (0.67-1.65)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.62-1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>1.92 (1.33-2.77)</td>
<td>2.03 (1.40-2.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(1829)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offended</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)^a</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)^b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>1.83 (1.28-2.62)</td>
<td>1.64 (1.14-2.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>1.64 (1.07-2.53)</td>
<td>1.61 (1.03-2.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>1.55 (1.09-2.21)</td>
<td>1.69 (1.18-2.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(1202)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>3.33 (2.52-4.39)</td>
<td>2.27 (1.71-3.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>2.26 (1.47-3.46)</td>
<td>1.89 (1.22-2.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>1.13 (0.78-1.64)</td>
<td>1.34 (0.91-1.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(1702)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threat of violence</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)^a</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)^b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2.78 (1.66-4.65)</td>
<td>2.42 (1.44-4.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.52 (0.70-3.29)</td>
<td>1.46 (0.67-3.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.60 (0.86-2.98)</td>
<td>1.73 (0.92-3.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(1217)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>2.16 (1.39-3.35)</td>
<td>1.45 (0.93-2.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.93 (0.34-2.54)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.27-2.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.79 (0.96-3.35)</td>
<td>2.10 (1.12-3.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(1675)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposed to violence</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)^a</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)^b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.67 (0.78-3.60)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.60-2.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.01 (0.32-3.20)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.29-3.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.65 (0.21-2.04)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.22-2.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(1247)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>4.14 (2.38-6.65)</td>
<td>2.50 (1.55-4.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Lower CI</td>
<td>Upper CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>(1711)</td>
<td>(0.50)</td>
<td>(0.59)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Crude.
b Adjusted for age.
Table 4. Age-adjusted and multiple adjusted odds ratios (OR, 95% CI) of poor self-rated health according to sexual orientation. Men (N=12,726) and women (N=15,472). The public health survey in Skåne 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Men OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Men OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Men OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>1.95 (1.35-2.82)</td>
<td>1.84 (1.27-2.68)</td>
<td>1.81 (1.22-2.69)</td>
<td>1.56 (1.04-2.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>1.56 (1.01-2.42)</td>
<td>1.51 (0.96-2.36)</td>
<td>1.79 (1.12-2.85)</td>
<td>1.69 (1.05-2.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.55 (1.80-3.61)</td>
<td>2.38 (1.68-3.39)</td>
<td>1.87 (1.29-2.71)</td>
<td>1.62 (1.11-2.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R² Nagelkerke</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>Women OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>Women OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>Women OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>1.53 (1.01-2.29)</td>
<td>1.43 (0.94-2.16)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.85-1.98)</td>
<td>1.29 (0.84-1.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>1.66 (1.02-2.68)</td>
<td>1.60 (0.96-2.66)</td>
<td>1.49 (0.89-2.52)</td>
<td>1.41 (0.83-2.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.58 (1.08-2.32)</td>
<td>1.54 (1.05-2.27)</td>
<td>1.44 (0.97-2.13)</td>
<td>1.46 (0.98-2.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R² Nagelkerke</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Women OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Women OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Women OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Women OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>1.58 (1.45-2.61)</td>
<td>1.93 (1.44-2.60)</td>
<td>1.77 (1.30-2.43)</td>
<td>1.61 (1.17-2.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>1.40 (0.87-2.25)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.79-2.06)</td>
<td>1.59 (0.96-2.64)</td>
<td>1.38 (0.82-2.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.33 (1.62-3.36)</td>
<td>2.16 (1.50-3.11)</td>
<td>1.78 (1.17-2.70)</td>
<td>1.46 (0.95-2.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R² Nagelkerke</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Women OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Women OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Women OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Women OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>1.58 (1.15-2.19)</td>
<td>1.53 (1.10-2.11)</td>
<td>1.35 (0.97-1.88)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.93-1.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>1.38 (0.82-2.33)</td>
<td>1.45 (0.85-2.46)</td>
<td>1.37 (0.81-2.33)</td>
<td>1.40 (0.82-2.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.41 (0.92-2.17)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.82-2.01)</td>
<td>1.32 (0.84-2.06)</td>
<td>1.31 (0.83-2.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R² Nagelkerke</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Adjusted for age.
b Adjusted for age and country of origin.
c Adjusted for age, country of origin and education.
d Adjusted for age, country of origin, education and emotional support.
e Adjusted for age, country of origin, education, emotional support and instrumental support.
f Adjusted for age, country of origin, education, emotional support, instrumental support and generalized trust in other people.
g Adjusted for age, country of origin, education, emotional support, instrumental support, generalized trust in other people, and offended past 3 months.
h Adjusted for age, country of origin, education, emotional support, instrumental support, generalized trust in other people, offended past 3 months, threat of violence past 12 months and violence past 12 months.