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Abstract

Background:

After more than 4 decades experience of total knee arthroplasty (TKA) there is still a group of patients who are not satisfied with the outcome. In spite of the improvement of many aspects around the procedure, for unexplainable reasons patient dissatisfaction is still approximately the same. We conducted this study to analyse correlations between preoperative psychological aspects and dissatisfaction after TKA.

Methods:

A total of 186 patients were operated with a primary TKA. Patients filled out the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, Visual Analogue Pain Scale (0-100) and Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score preoperatively and 4 years postoperatively. Four years postoperatively the patients also scored their satisfaction degree with the outcome of the surgery.

Results:

Of 186 patients, 27 (15%) reported that they were dissatisfied or uncertain with the result of their TKA 4 years postoperatively. Sixteen of those 27 patients had reported anxiety / depression preoperatively compared to 11 of 159 (7%) in the satisfied or very satisfied groups. Patients with preoperative anxiety or depression had more than 6 times higher risk to be dissatisfied compared to patients with no anxiety or depression (p-value < 0.001). Patients with deep prosthetic infection had 3 times higher risk to be dissatisfied with the operation outcome (p-value = 0.03). Dissatisfied patients had 1-day longer hospital stay compared to the satisfied group (p-value < 0.001).

Conclusion:
Preoperative anxiety and/or depression is an import predictor for dissatisfaction after TKA.

Psychological assessment and treatment preoperatively might improve degree of satisfaction.

**Introduction:**

Dissatisfaction after unrevised TKA has historically been between 6% and 14% [1-12] and if one adds the group uncertain to the dissatisfied group, it can be up to 28% [13]. There are several explainable reasons of poor outcome after TKA like patella related problems, infection, stiffness, instability, periprosthetic fracture, tendon rupture, loosening and nerve injury. Other well-known extra-articular reasons of poor outcome like hip, spine, vascular disease, or chronic regional pain syndrome might be contributing [14]. There are studies of joint arthroplasties that suggest that factors not primarily related to structural tissue changes but rather of psychological nature are involved [13, 15-17]. In our previous study from the Swedish Knee Arthroplasty Register we found that patients, who were dissatisfied, had similar performance tests, clinical and radiographic findings as compared with those who were very satisfied. The patients who reported poor response after TKA were unhappy, as demonstrated by Visual Analog Pain Scale (VAS) pain and Hospital Anxiety and Depression scale (HAD), despite the absence of a discernible objective reason for revision [18]. A limitation of the study was the absence of preoperative data regarding psychological assessment of patients. Based on this we designed the present study to better analyze correlations between preoperative psychological factors and dissatisfaction.
Patients and methods:

A total of 186 consecutive TKA patients having primary osteoarthritis were included. We excluded patients having bilateral TKA, dementia, or not being able to speak Swedish. The patients in this study were included in another study regarding the effect of continuous intraarticular analgesia on pain and rehabilitation after total knee arthroplasty [19]. Total number of patients included in that original article were 200, and 14 of these patients were lost during the follow-up period (12 deceased, 2 refused to participate). The patients were operated on between January 2010 and April 2011. All patients had a standard straight central skin incision, medial parapatellar arthrotomy, and preparation of femur and tibia according to the instructions of the prosthesis manufacturer. Patients received either the cruciate retaining Triathlon knee (Stryker, United Kingdom) in 151 patients or the cruciate retaining PFC knee (DePuy, United Kingdom) in 35 patients, depending on the surgeon’s preference. Five orthopedic surgeons who were subspecialized in arthroplasty performed the surgeries. Spinal anaesthesia was used as a standard method (87%), while the remaining patients received general anaesthesia. Premedication and postoperative analgesia were standardized. Patients filled out the HAD [20], VAS pain (0–100 mm, where 0 = no pain and 100 = intolerable pain), Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) questionnaire (0-100, where 0 = major problem and 100 = no problem) [21], and pain drawing with predefined body region to identify patients with chronic widespread pain [22] preoperatively, and 4 years postoperatively. Four years postoperatively patients also filled out their satisfaction degree regarding the operated knee as very satisfied, satisfied, uncertain or dissatisfied [10]. For statistical analysis reasons, we merged the satisfaction degree data to 2 groups: satisfied (very satisfied and satisfied groups) and not satisfied (uncertain and dissatisfied groups). Active range of motion (ROM) of the knee (goniometry) was measured preoperatively; VAS pain, analgesic consumption, and wound-healing complications were also recorded. Preoperative
radiographic assessment was done according to Kellgren and Lawrence system for
classification of osteoarthritis. The patient’s files regarding complications and reoperation
were checked 4 years postoperatively in a complications registry and patient files.

Statistics:
A Cox multiple regression analysis with constant follow up and robust variance estimation
[23] was used to study relative risks for categorical variables among the dissatisfied group.
Regarding continuous variables, like the mean difference between 2 groups, they were
analyzed by the analysis of covariance method. In both methods, patients’ gender, age and
body mass index preoperatively and at 4 years postoperatively were included. A p-value of <
0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. A power analysis had been performed for
the original article [19], which estimated that 200 patients were sufficient to find differences
between the 2 groups for that study, and our statistician considered it to be valid also for the
outcome in this study. Statistical analyses were performed using the Stata 12.0 program.

Ethics:
The study and study registration was performed in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration,
and all patients had given their informed written consent. The ethics committee of the Faculty
of Medicine, Lund University, approved the study (Dnr 2009/368). This is the same
approval number as the original study about continuous intraarticular analgesia [19].

Results:
Patient characteristics and the overall result are shown in table 1-3. A total of 27 of the 186 patients (15%) reported that they were not satisfied (uncertain or dissatisfied) with the result of their TKA 4 years after surgery. A total of 16 of those 27 patients reported anxiety and/or depression according to the HAD score compared with 11 of 159 (7%) in the satisfied group (satisfied and very satisfied) at the 4-year follow-up. As shown in table 2, we found that the patients who preoperatively had anxiety/depression had more than 6 times higher risk to be dissatisfied after TKA as compared with patients without preoperative anxiety/depression. Mean length of stay in hospital at the time of surgery for the group that was dissatisfied at 4-year follow-up was 1 day more as compared with the satisfied group. Patients who had a postoperative deep infection had 3 times higher risk to be dissatisfied 4 years after TKA while superficial infection or stiffness as well as preoperative radiographic mild osteoarthritis and chronic widespread pain did not have any higher risk of dissatisfaction 4 years postoperatively. All KOOS 5 subscales were significantly improved in both groups.

Discussion:

We found in this study that preoperative psychological distress had a significant correlation with patient dissatisfaction 4 years after TKA. In fact, psychological distress, defined as anxiety and/or depression, had the strongest statistical correlation with dissatisfaction 4 years after TKA of all variables studied. The presence of radiographic grade 1 or 2 osteoarthritis according to the Kellgren and Lawrence classification did not correlate with dissatisfaction. Longer postoperative hospital stay correlated as well with dissatisfaction, which could be explained as patients with anxiety/depression might feel safer in the hospital and are maybe more afraid of going home early. In our hospital, length of stay is standardized to large extent, and patients with preoperative higher risks or major postoperative complications are frequently performed or moved to our larger university emergency hospital. Another reason
for longer hospital stay is postoperative complications, but it is unlikely that the anxiety
and/or depression group is more prone to general postoperative complications.

A limitation of this study is lack of clinical examination, performance tests, and radiographic
examination at the 4-year follow-up. However, in our previous study, we could not find
differences between dissatisfied and very satisfied patients regarding these subjects [18].
Another limitation in this study could be the use of 2 different types of prosthesis, and that 5
different surgeons were involved. On the other hand, this might be advantageous to generalize
the result. In addition, all surgeons in our study have nearly equal TKA outcome according to
our local registry.

An important reason for dissatisfaction after TKA is pain and poor knee function, and there
are several well-known explanations for these symptoms such as patella-related problems,
infection, stiffness, loosening, instability, fracture, tendon rupture, neurovascular injuries. In
Sweden, the 4 most common (more than 85%) reasons for primary TKA revisions of patients
with osteoarthritis during the period 2004-2013 were infection, loosening, patella-related
problems and instability [24].

Since the introduction of TKA there has been a continuous refinement in many of the aspects
of the surgery, including navigation, prosthesis design, preoperative templating, pain
management and infection prophylaxis. Despite these improvements the proportion of
dissatisfied patient is still relatively high (8%-28%) [13]. Khatib et al [13] reported in a
systematic review article of 19 studies that preoperative psychological status may affect the
outcome of TKA. However, the follow-up time was 1 year or less in 16 of the 19 studies
which is a relatively short follow-up time. Bonnin et al [15] reported in a review article of 10
studies that factors associated with a painful knee after TKA were preoperative anxiety /
depression, female gender and age less than 60 years. We presented our results as both crude
and adjusted to age, gender and body mass index. In a review article of 10 TKA cohort studies
Paulsen et al. found a correlation between preoperative distress and functional outcome in 6
studies while 4 did not [16]. Several studies have shown correlation between preoperative
psychological distress and poor surgical outcome after TKA [1, 25-32]. Most of these studies
had either fewer patients, or shorter follow-up times compared with our study. On the other
hand, there are studies showing no correlation between preoperative psychological distress
and surgical outcomes [33-36]. Valdes et al [37] found that patients with lower preoperative
radiographic scores and depression reported higher postoperative pain score. We found,
however, no correlation between the radiographic degree of OA and dissatisfaction. Dápuzzo
et al. [38] found that fibromyalgia patients after TKA had more complications and higher
incidence of remaining pain, but despite this, most patients felt satisfied with the procedure.
We did not find that patients with chronic widespread pain had higher risk of being
dissatisfied after TKA. Matsuda et al [39] found a negative correlation between satisfaction
and limited ROM. On the other hand Devers et al. [40] found no correlation between knee
flexion and satisfaction. Interestingly, in our study, all KOOS 5 subscales were significantly
improved at 4 years postoperatively, including the dissatisfied group, even though patients
reported being dissatisfied with the surgical outcome (Figure 1).

In summary, our results confirm the strong correlation between preoperative anxiety and
depression and later dissatisfaction of the surgical outcome. Psychological assessment and
treatment preoperatively might improve degree of satisfaction for TKA patients.
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Table 1:

Patient characteristic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Not Satisfied (n = 27)</th>
<th>Satisfied (n = 159)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>72 (8)</td>
<td>73 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, F/M</td>
<td>16/11</td>
<td>104/55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30 (5)</td>
<td>30 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA 1/2/3</td>
<td>7/17/3</td>
<td>34/108/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charnley A/B/C</td>
<td>7/5/15</td>
<td>49/48/62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesia spinal/general</td>
<td>23 / 4</td>
<td>139 / 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;, d</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROM&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; preop</td>
<td>109 (9)</td>
<td>113 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&amp;L grade 1-2</td>
<td>9/27</td>
<td>37/159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic widespread pain preop.</td>
<td>7/27</td>
<td>21/159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic widespread pain 4y</td>
<td>14/27</td>
<td>26/159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; pain preop.</td>
<td>65 (12)</td>
<td>60 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; pain 4 y.</td>
<td>56 (18)</td>
<td>11 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety/depression preop.</td>
<td>14/27</td>
<td>12/159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety/depression 4y</td>
<td>16/27</td>
<td>11/159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep infection</td>
<td>2/27</td>
<td>3/159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial infection</td>
<td>2/27</td>
<td>4/159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness (flexion &lt; 90 degree)</td>
<td>2/27</td>
<td>7/159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; LOS, length of stay in hospital; K&L, Kellgren and Lawrence; VAS, Visual Analog Pain Scale; ROM, range of motion; ASA, American Society of Anaesthesiologists Physical Status Classification.

<sup>a</sup> Mean value, standard deviation in brackets
Table 2.

Crude estimates, Relative Risk (RR) for dissatisfaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.94-1.03</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.39-1.63</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.97-1.11</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep infection</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0.93-9.04</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial infection</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.73-7.91</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness (flexion &lt; 90°)</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.44-5.66</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&amp;L grade 1-2</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.32-1.36</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.47-1.71</td>
<td>.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.34-1.82</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic widespread pain preop.</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.87-2.14</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS pain preop.</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00-1.05</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROM preop.</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96-1.00</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety/depression preop.</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>3.52-12.49</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOOS Pain preop.</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97-1.01</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOOS Symptoms preop.</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.96-1.01</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOOS ADL preop.</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97-1.01</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOOS Sport/Rec preop.</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97-1.02</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOOS QOL preop.</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97-1.02</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; K&L, Kellgren and Lawrence; LOS, length of stay in hospital; VAS, Visual Analog Pain Scale; ROM, range of motion; ASA, American Society of Anaesthesiologists Physical Status Classification; KOOS, Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; ADL, activity of daily living; QOL, quality of life.
Table 3:
Relative Risk (RR) for dissatisfaction adjusted for differences in age, gender and BMI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deep infection</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.1-8.4</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial infection</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.78-6.6</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness (flexion &lt; 90 °)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.43-6.2</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&amp;L grade 1-2</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.33-1.5</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.42-1.7</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3-2.0</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic widespread pain preop.</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.90-2.3</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS pain preop.</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00-1.05</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROM preop.</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96-1.00</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety/depression preop.</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.5-12</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOOS Pain preop.</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.98-1.02</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOOS Symptoms preop.</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.96-1.01</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOOS ADL preop.</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97-1.01</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOOS Sport/Rec preop.</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.96-1.02</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOOS QOL preop.</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.97-1.02</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; K&L, Kellgren and Lawrence; LOS, length of stay in hospital; VAS, Visual Analog Pain Scale; ROM, range of motion; ASA, American Society of Anaesthesiologists Physical Status Classification; KOOS, Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; ADL, activity of daily living; QOL, quality of life.
**Figure: 1**
KOOS 5 subscales preop. and 4 years postoperative. KOOS, Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; ADL activity of daily living; QOL, quality of life.
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