



LUND UNIVERSITY

Determinants of Falls and Fear of Falling in Ambulatory Persons With Late Effects of Polio

Brogårdh, Christina; Flansbjer, Ulla Britt; Lexell, Jan

Published in:
PM and R

DOI:
[10.1016/j.pmrj.2016.08.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmrj.2016.08.006)

2017

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version (aka post-print)

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Brogårdh, C., Flansbjer, U. B., & Lexell, J. (2017). Determinants of Falls and Fear of Falling in Ambulatory Persons With Late Effects of Polio. *PM and R*, 9(5), 455-463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmrj.2016.08.006>

Total number of authors:
3

Creative Commons License:
CC BY-NC-ND

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

1

2 **Determinants of falls and fear of falling in** 3 **ambulatory persons with late effects of polio**

4
5

6 **Christina Brogårdh, RPT, PhD^{1,2}, Ulla-Britt Flansbjer¹ and Jan Lexell, MD, PhD^{1,2,3}**

7

8 ¹Department of Health Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden, and

9 ²Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden

10 ³Department of Health Science, Luleå University of Technology, Luleå, Sweden

11
12

13 Running title: Determinants of falls and fear of falling in persons with prior polio

14
15

16 Corresponding address: Christina Brogårdh, RPT, PhD, Physiotherapy Research Group,
17 Department of Health Sciences, Box 157, Lund University, SE-221 00 Lund, Sweden.

18 Phone: (+46) 766 48 63 20. E-mail: christina.brogardh@med.lu.se

19

20 **Acknowledgements**

21 The authors are grateful to the persons who volunteered to participate. Valuable statistical
22 advice was given by Jonas Björk, Professor in Epidemiology, Department of Occupational
23 and Environmental Medicine, Lund University. The study was prepared within the context of
24 the Centre for Ageing and Supportive Environments (CASE) at Lund University, supported
25 by grants from Skane county council's research and development foundation, Alfred
26 Österlunds Foundation, Stiftelsen för bistånd åt rörelsehindrade i Skåne, Norrbacka-Eugenia
27 Foundation and Promobilia Foundation.

28
29
30
31

32 **Abstract**

33 **Background:** Falls and fear of falling (FOF) are common in persons with late effects of polio
34 but there is limited knowledge of associated factors.

35 **Objective:** To determine how knee muscle strength, dynamic balance and gait performance
36 (adjusted for gender, age and BMI) are associated with falls and FOF in persons with late
37 effects of polio.

38 **Design:** A cross-sectional study.

39 **Setting:** A university hospital outpatient clinic.

40 **Participants:** Eighty-one ambulatory persons with verified late effects of polio (43 men;
41 mean age 67 years).

42 **Main Outcome Measurements:** Number of falls the past year, Falls Efficacy Scale –
43 International (FES-I) to assess FOF, a Biodex dynamometer to measure knee muscle strength,
44 the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test to assess dynamic balance and the Six Minute Walk test
45 (6MWT) to assess gait performance. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses
46 were used for falls (categorical data) and linear regression analyses for FOF (continuous data)
47 as dependent variables.

48 **Results:** Fifty-nine % reported at least one fall during the past year and 79% experienced
49 FOF. Reduced knee muscle strength in the more affected limb and gait performance were
50 determinants of falls. An increase of 10 Nm in knee flexor and knee extensor strength
51 reduced the OR between 0.70 and 0.83 ($P=.01$), and an increase of 100 meter in 6MWT
52 reduced the OR to 0.41 ($P=.001$). All factors were determinants of FOF; reduced knee muscle
53 strength in the more and less affected limbs explained 17% to 25% of the variance in FOF,
54 dynamic balance 30% and gait performance 41%. Gender, age and BMI only marginally
55 influenced the results.

56 **Conclusions:** Reduced gait performance, knee muscle strength and dynamic balance are to a
57 varying degree determinants of falls and FOF in ambulatory persons with late effects of
58 polio. Future studies need to evaluate if rehabilitation programs targeting these factors can
59 reduce falls and FOF in this population.

60

61 **Key words:** Accidental falls; postural balance; muscle strength; postpoliomyelitis syndrome;
62 walking

63

64 **Introduction**

65 Decades after an acute poliomyelitis infection, many people experience new symptoms and
66 impairments, referred to as late effects of polio or post-polio syndrome [1]. These
67 impairments, i.e. reduced muscle strength, muscle fatigue, general fatigue and musculo-
68 skeletal pain [2-5], can lead to decreased balance, walking limitations [6-9] and increase the
69 risk of falls [10]. Studies have shown that between 50% and 84% of persons affected by late
70 effects of polio report at least one fall during a year [10-16]. This is considerably higher than
71 in non-disabled elderly people, where the fall frequency is about 20% to 40% [17]. The
72 occurrence of falls in persons with late effects of polio is most commonly reported outdoors
73 [10, 16] and when walking [10, 12, 16]. Many sustain an injury because of the falls and up to
74 35% a limb fracture [10, 11, 13, 14, 16].

75 The experience of falls can also lead to fear of falling (FOF). It has been shown
76 that up to 95% of persons with late effects of polio express FOF when performing daily
77 activities [10, 11, 14, 15, 18], especially activities related to walking [10, 15]. This, in turn,
78 can affect their quality of life negatively [18].

79 Even if falls and FOF are common in persons with late effects of polio, there is
80 overall limited knowledge which factors are associated with falls and FOF in this population.
81 Factors shown to be associated with falls are reduced ankle dorsiflexor muscle strength [11,
82 19], decreased postural control [14, 19], FOF [14] and leg-length discrepancy [16]. Muscle
83 weakness in the knee extensors in the most affected leg was associated with falls in one study
84 [14], but this could not be confirmed in another [16]. Thus, the limited knowledge of how
85 knee muscle strength and gait performance are associated with falls in persons with late
86 effects of polio underscore the need for further studies. Moreover, to the best of our
87 knowledge, no study has comprehensively evaluated factors that are determinants of FOF in
88 this population.

89 In a previous study of 325 persons with late effects of polio [10] we showed
90 that self-reported impairments, self-perceived walking limitations and FOF were greater
91 among the fallers than the non-fallers. A subgroup of 81 participants from that study [10] was
92 also assessed with regard to knee muscle strength, dynamic balance and gait performance.
93 The aim of the present study is to determine the association between these factors. Our
94 hypothesis was that reduced muscle strength, dynamic balance and gait performance
95 (adjusted for gender, age and BMI) are determinants of falls and FOF in ambulatory persons
96 with late effects of polio.

97 **Materials and Methods**

98 *Participants*

99 From our previous cohort of 325 participants with late effects of polio [10], 102 persons were
100 randomly invited to participate in the present study. Eighty-one persons accepted the
101 invitation (response rate 79%) and met the following inclusion criteria: (i) a confirmed
102 history of acute poliomyelitis affecting their lower limbs; (ii) a period of recovery and
103 functional stability of at least 15 years; (iii) clinically verified post-polio including new
104 symptoms that had persisted for at least a year, such as muscle weakness and/or loss of
105 functioning, in one or both lower limbs; (iv) between 50-80 years of age; and (v) ability to
106 walk 300 meters with or without an assistant device. The exclusion criteria were: (i) other
107 diseases (such as stroke, Parkinson’s disease, severe osteoarthritis, or cardiovascular or
108 pulmonary diseases due to late effects of polio) that could impact on their mobility and/or
109 risk of falling. The participants had previously participated in another study about the
110 relationship between physical activity and self-reported impairments, walking limitations,
111 fear of falling, and incidence of falls [15].

112 All participants had undergone an electromyogram (EMG) examination of their
113 upper and lower limbs, as part of the initial routine clinical examination and verification of
114 prior polio. They all had EMG findings indicative of prior polio in at least one lower limb and
115 no other neurological disorders or medical reasons that could explain their increased or new
116 problems. Following the individuals’ own perception, one lower limb was defined as the
117 “less affected” and the other as the “more affected”.

118

119 *Ethics*

120 Before inclusion, oral and written information about the purpose of the study was provided
121 and each participant gave their written informed consent. The principles of the Declaration of
122 Helsinki were followed and the study was approved by the Ethics Research Committee of
123 Lund University, Lund, Sweden (Dnr 2011/582).

124

125 *Questionnaires*

126 The participants responded to questions about their current medical and physical situation,
127 living situation, use of mobility aids and orthotic devices, incidence of falls during the past
128 year and FOF.

129 A fall was defined as an event which results in a person coming to rest
130 inadvertently on the ground or floor or other lower level [20]. Fall incidence was
131 dichotomized as “yes” (one or more falls during the past year) or “no” (no falls during the
132 past year).

133 Fear of falling (i.e. an ongoing concern about falling that ultimately limits the
134 performance of daily living) [21] was assessed with the Falls Efficacy Scale-International
135 (FES-I). The scale was developed by the Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE)
136 group and asks how concerned persons are about falling [22] when performing the following
137 16 daily activities: cleaning the house, getting dressed or undressed, preparing simple meals,
138 taking a bath or shower, going to the shop, getting in or out of a chair, going up or down
139 stairs, walking around in the neighborhood, reaching for something above the head or on the
140 ground, going to answer the telephone before it stops ringing, walking up or down a slope, on
141 uneven or slippery surface, visiting a friend or relative or going out to a social event. The
142 response options in FES-I range from 1 (not at all concerned) to 4 (very concerned). The
143 score for each item is summarized, yielding a total score of 16 to 64 points; a greater score
144 indicates that the person is more concerned about falling. The FES-I score can also be
145 categorized as low FOF (16-19 points), moderate FOF (20-27 points) or high FOF (28-64
146 points) [23, 24]. The FES-I has shown good psychometric properties [25] and is commonly
147 used in persons with different neurological and neuromuscular diseases [10, 14, 15, 24, 26,
148 27].

149

150 *Assessments of knee muscle strength*

151 Isokinetic knee extensor and flexor muscle strength was measured with a Biodex® Multi-
152 Joint System 3 PRO dynamometer using a standard protocol shown to be reliable for persons
153 with late effects of polio [28]. The participants were seated without shoes or orthotics in an
154 adjustable chair, firmly stabilized with straps across the shoulders, waist and thigh. The ankle
155 cuff of the lever arm was strapped 3 cm proximal to the malleoli of the tested leg. After a
156 structured warm-up, each subject performed, in successions, three maximal concentric knee
157 extensor and flexor contractions at 60°/s and the highest peak torques were recorded (Newton
158 meter; Nm). Consistent verbal encouragement was given throughout. Before each
159 measurement, the range of motion was set and the Biodex software applied the gravity
160 correction. All measurements started with the less affected lower limb followed by the more
161 affected lower limb.

162 Because of muscle weakness in the more affected lower limb, five participants

163 were unable to perform isokinetic knee extension and six were unable to perform isokinetic
164 knee flexion. Muscle strength for these measurements was recorded as “0” to allow for a
165 complete statistical analysis.

166

167 *Assessments of dynamic balance and gait performance*

168 Dynamic balance was assessed by the Timed “Up & Go” test (TUG) [9, 29] and gait
169 performance by the 6-Minute Walk Test (6MWT), according to a standardized test protocol
170 [7]. Both tests are shown to be reliable in ambulatory persons with late effects of polio [7].

171 For the TUG, the participants sat in a chair placed at the end of a marked 3-m
172 walkway. They were instructed to sit with their back against the chair, and on the word “go”,
173 stand up, walk at a comfortable speed and pass the 3-m mark, turn around, walk back and sit
174 down in the chair. Each participant did one trial to become familiar with the test, and then
175 performed the TUG twice with a one-minute rest between each trial. The time from the start
176 until the participant sat down in the chair with back support was measured and the mean of
177 the two tests was recorded.

178 For the 6MWT, the participants were instructed to walk 30 meters between two
179 marks on the floor. After passing the mark, they were told to turn and walk back. They were
180 instructed to cover as much ground as possible and to walk as far as possible during six
181 minutes. The 6MWT was performed once and the number of 30 m-lengths was counted.
182 Every meter was marked on a wall so the distance walked could be measured.

183

184 *Data analysis*

185 Demographic data and clinical characteristics are presented as mean \pm SD (range) or
186 proportions (%). Differences between fallers and non-fallers were analyzed with the
187 independent sample t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test. Associations between fear of falling
188 (categorized as low, moderate or high FOF) and demographics and clinical characteristics
189 were analyzed with the non-parametric Jonckheere-Terpstra Test.

190 To determine how falls and FOF were related to knee muscle strength of the
191 more and less affected limb, dynamic balance and gait performance (adjusted for gender, age
192 and BMI), we conducted univariable and multivariable regression analyses. In these analyses
193 knee muscle strength was calculated in intervals of 10 Nm and gait performance in intervals
194 of 100 meters. A logistic regression analysis was used for falls (categorical data) as the
195 dependent variable, whereas a linear regression analysis was used for FOF as the dependent

196 variable (continuous data). As an initial step in the model building, correlations between the
197 independent variables were analyzed using the Spearman rank correlation coefficient (ρ).
198 As knee muscle strength (knee extension and knee flexion) in both the more and the less
199 affected lower limbs as well as dynamic balance and gait performance were highly correlated
200 (ρ : -0.74 to 0.74), four regression models were established (see Table 3 and 4). In the
201 univariable analyses, each of the six variables was entered separately. Thereafter, in the
202 multivariable regression analyses gender, age and BMI were added together. Additionally, in
203 the linear regression analysis with FOF as dependent variable, we adjusted for falls (yes/no).

204 The fits of the linear regressions were checked by graphic presentations of the
205 residuals. Data were analyzed using the IBM SPSS Statistics version 22 (IBM Corporation,
206 Armonk, New York, United States). Significance level was set at $P < .05$.

207

208 **Results**

209 Of the 81 participants, 43 were men and 38 were women. Their mean age was 67 ± 6 years
210 (range 54 to 80 years), their mean BMI 27 ± 4 (18 to 38) and the mean time since onset of
211 new symptoms was 16 ± 9 years (range 1 to 46 years). A total of 33% lived alone, 20% used
212 a mobility device (such as a cane, a crutch or a rollator) and 21% used an ankle foot orthosis
213 (AFO) when walking. Forty-eight of the participants (59%) reported at least one fall during
214 the past year and 79% experienced moderate or high FOF (>20 points on FES-I).

215

216 *Differences between fallers and non-fallers*

217 In Table 1, the demographics and clinical characteristics of the fallers and non-fallers are
218 presented. There were no significant differences between the groups regarding demographics,
219 but in clinical characteristics. The fallers had significantly lower knee extensor and knee
220 flexor muscle strength ($P=.002$) of the more affected limb than the non-fallers and
221 significantly reduced dynamic balance ($P<.05$) and gait performance ($P=.001$). The fallers
222 were also significantly more afraid of falling when performing daily activities than the non-
223 fallers ($P<.001$).

224

225 *Insert Table 1 about here*

226

227

228 ***Differences with regard to fear of falling***

229 In Table 2, the demographics and clinical characteristics of the participants with low,
230 moderate and high FOF are presented (n=81). There were no significant differences between
231 the three groups in demographics, but for all clinical characteristics ($P<.001$). Higher FOF
232 was associated with significantly lower muscle strength in the knee extensors and knee
233 flexors in both lower limbs, and significantly more reduced balance and gait performance.
234 The proportion of fallers was also significantly higher among those with higher FOF.

235

236 ***Insert Table 2 about here***

237

238 ***Factors associated with falls***

239 In Table 3, the results from the univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses are
240 presented. Knee muscle strength in the more affected limb was significantly associated with
241 falls. An increase of 10 Nm in knee extensor muscle strength decreased the odds of falling
242 (OR) to 0.83 (95% CI: 0.74 to 0.94; $P=.003$), and an increase of 10 Nm in knee flexor muscle
243 strength decreased the OR to 0.70 (95% CI: 0.56 to 0.88; $P=.002$). When we adjusted for
244 gender, age, BMI in the multivariable analyses the results only changed marginally.

245 A decreased dynamic balance tended to increase the odds of falling, but the
246 association was not significant ($P=.07$). Gait performance was significantly associated with
247 falls; an increase of 100 meter in 6MWT decreased the OR to 0.41 (95% CI: 0.24 to 0.71;
248 $P=.001$). When we adjusted for gender, age, BMI in the multivariable analyses for both
249 dynamic balance and gait performance the results only changed marginally.

250

251 ***Insert Table 3 about here***

252

253 ***Factors associated with fear of falling***

254 In Table 4, the results from the univariable and multivariable linear regression analyses are
255 presented. Muscle strength in both the more and less affected limbs was significantly
256 associated with FOF. For the more affected limb, an increase of 10 Nm in knee extensor
257 muscle strength decreased the B coefficient to -1.12 (95% CI: -1.55 to -0.70; $P=.001$), and an
258 increase of 10 Nm in knee flexor muscle strength decreased B to -1.68 (95% CI: -2.49 to -
259 0.87; $P=.001$). For the less affected limb, an increase of 10 Nm in knee extensor muscle
260 strength decreased B to -1.08 (95% CI: -1.48 to -0.67; $P=.001$), and an increase of 10 Nm in

261 knee flexor muscle strength reduced B to -1.90 (95% CI: -2.64 to -1.17; $P=.001$). The knee
262 muscle strength in the more and less affected limb, respectively explained 17% to 25% of the
263 variance in FOF. When we adjusted for falls, gender, age and BMI in the multivariate
264 analyses, the variance increased with 5% to 12% (where falls represented 5% to 11%).

265 A decreased dynamic balance significantly increased B to 1.44 (95% CI: 0.96 to
266 1.92; $P=.001$). Dynamic balance explained 30% of the variance in FOF and when we
267 adjusted for falls, gender, age and BMI the variance increased with an additional 6% (where
268 falls represented the increase alone).

269 Gait performance was also significantly associated with FOF; an increase of
270 100 meter in 6MWT decreased B to -5.84 (95% CI: -7.39 to -4.29; $P=.001$). Gait
271 performance explained 41% of the variance in FOF. When we adjusted for falls, gender, age
272 and BMI the variance was unchanged.

273

274 *Insert Table 4 about here*

275

276 **Discussion**

277 This is, to the best of our knowledge, the first study that has determined how knee muscle
278 strength, dynamic balance and gait performance, adjusted for gender, age and BMI, are
279 associated with both falls and FOF in ambulatory persons with late effects of polio. Our
280 hypothesis that these factors are determinants of falls and FOF was partly confirmed. We
281 found that reduced knee muscle strength in the more affected limb and gait performance were
282 determinants of falls, whereas all factors were determinants of FOF.

283

284 *Falls*

285 More than half of the participants (59%) had experienced falls during the past year, which is
286 in agreement with other studies in persons with late effects of polio [10-14, 16]. When
287 analyzing differences in clinical characteristics between the fallers and the non-fallers, we
288 found that the fallers were more disabled and more afraid of falling compared to the non-
289 fallers (see Table 1). In the logistic regression analyses, reduced knee muscle strength in the
290 more affected limb (both knee extensors and knee flexors) and gait performance were
291 determinants of falls. Somewhat unexpectedly, decreased dynamic balance was not
292 associated and the influence of gender, age, and BMI was very limited. Our findings are
293 partly in agreement with other studies. It has been shown that muscle weakness in the knee
294 extensors in the more affected limb [14] and difficulties to maintain balance [14, 19] are

295 associated with falls in persons with late effects of polio. However, Bickerstaffe et al [14],
296 did not find that walking ability was a predictor of single nor recurrent falls, and younger age
297 was only associated with recurrent falls. Moreover, Nam et al [16] did not find that balance,
298 knee muscle strength, gender or age were associated with falls. Plausible explanations for the
299 divergent results could be differences in the outcome measures used or in the study
300 populations. However, our result that reduced gait performance is a determinant of falls has
301 been found in elderly non-disabled persons [30] and in persons with other neurological
302 conditions [10, 31, 32].

303

304 *Fear of falling*

305 A majority of the participants in our study (79%) experienced FOF, which is in agreement
306 with other studies [10, 11, 14, 18]. We found that those with a high FOF had greater
307 disability, and the proportion of fallers was also highest among those with higher FOF (cf
308 Table 2). We have previously described that persons with late effects of polio are most
309 concerned about falling when performing activities related to walking [10]. This is also
310 reported in the study by Bickerstaffe et al [14], who found that the participants had reduced
311 their walking distance because of FOF. Moreover, Legters et al [18] described that their
312 participants were most afraid of falling when being tired (34%) and outdoors (21%).

313 In our linear regression analyses we found that reduced knee muscle strength in
314 both lower limbs, dynamic balance and gait performance were determinants of FOF. Of the
315 factors included, gait performance had the strongest explanation to the variance in FOF
316 (41%), followed by dynamic balance (30%). Furthermore, not only reduced knee extensor
317 strength but also reduced knee flexor strength was a determinant of FOF, explaining up to
318 24% of the variance. The multivariable analyses also revealed that experience of falling
319 contributed up to 11% of the variance in FOF, whereas gender, age and BMI influenced the
320 results only marginally. As this is the first study using multivariable analyses to investigate
321 determinants of FOF in persons with late effects of polio, our results are difficult to compare
322 with others. However, in persons with other neurological diseases, such as stroke and
323 Parkinson's disease (PD), decreased balance [33], muscle weakness in the lower limbs [27]
324 and walking limitations [24, 34] have been shown to be associated with FOF.

325

326 *Clinical implications*

327 Our study contributes with new and important knowledge about factors associated with falls
328 and FOF in persons with late effects of polio. However, our results together with previous

329 studies raise the question if and how the incidence of falls and FOF can be reduced. As many
330 persons in this population experience falls, are concerned about falling, have osteoporosis and
331 thereby an increased risk for fractures [13], targeted rehabilitation intervention or falls
332 management programs are needed. In elderly persons, there is evidence that individually
333 tailored exercise training and multifactorial interventions (such as reducing home hazards,
334 vision impairment, inappropriate footwear, use of drugs, cognitive impairments and
335 education about risk factors) can reduce falls [17, 35]. Moreover, in persons with stroke [31]
336 and PD [36] task-specific exercise programs aiming to improve balance and walking ability
337 seem to reduce the number of falls. However, much less is known about the effects of
338 interventions to reduce FOF. A Cochrane review in elderly [37] concluded that exercise
339 training may, to some extent, reduce FOF immediately after the intervention, but further
340 studies are warranted to make conclusions about the long-term effects of such interventions.

341 There are reasons to believe that exercise training and other multifactorial
342 interventions may also reduce the number of falls and FOF in persons with late effects of
343 polio. Focused interventions, such as balance training and strength training for the less
344 affected limb, may be feasible and beneficial for persons that are mildly to moderately
345 affected by their prior polio. In a previous study [38] we showed that knee muscle strength, in
346 particular knee flexor strength, was associated with gait performance (especially the 6MWT)
347 in persons with late effects of polio. Therefore, interventions aiming at maintaining, or even
348 increasing, muscle strength and improving gait performance can potentially decrease the
349 incidence of falls and FOF. However, as falls are of multifactorial nature that can lead to
350 physical and psychosocial consequences, interdisciplinary interventions should also target
351 self-efficacy, activity limitations and participation restrictions as well as environmental
352 factors in order to increase a person's overall functioning and life satisfaction. Future
353 randomized controlled studies should therefore focus on evaluating the effects of a
354 comprehensive interdisciplinary goal-oriented falls management program in persons with late
355 effects of polio, both in a short-term and long-term perspective. Qualitative studies are also
356 warranted to obtain a deeper understanding how the experiences of falls can influence a
357 person's everyday life.

358

359 ***Strengths and Limitations***

360 A strength of the present study is that new determinants of falls and FOF were evaluated for
361 ambulatory persons with late effects of polio. However, as the measurements of knee muscle

362 strength (knee extension and knee flexion for both lower limbs) as well as dynamic balance
363 and gait performance were highly correlated they could not be included in one regression
364 model. Instead, we had to build different regression models in which the variables were
365 entered separately. The analyses revealed that all variables contributed to falls and FOF to a
366 varying extent and that not only knee extensor strength but also knee flexor strength and gait
367 performance are important to consider in the rehabilitation of these persons. Furthermore,
368 another strength of the present study is that we used reliable outcome measures for the
369 targeted study population. By using the 6MWT, which is shown to be highly correlated with
370 outdoor walking in persons with late effects of polio [39], it may also be possible to estimate
371 if a person has an increased risk for falls ‘in real life’. We included a relatively large study
372 population given that the measurements of muscle strength, balance and gait performance are
373 quite time-consuming. However, despite the relatively large sample size, the number of
374 potentially associated factors to falls and FOF had to be limited. Therefore, it cannot be
375 excluded that other factors also may be of importance, for example poor vision, fatigue,
376 reduced self-efficacy, depression, poor concentration, bladder incontinence as well as
377 weakness in other muscle groups in the lower limbs. Moreover, as only ambulatory persons
378 with mild to moderate late effects of polio were included in the study the results cannot be
379 generalized to the entire population of polio survivors.

380

381 **Conclusion**

382 This study showed that reduced gait performance, knee muscle strength and dynamic balance
383 are, to a varying degree, determinants of falls and fear of falling in ambulatory persons with
384 late effects of polio. Future studies need to evaluate if rehabilitation programs targeting these
385 factors can reduce falls and fear of falling in this population.

386

387 **References**

- 388 1. Lexell J. Postpoliomyelitis Syndrome. In: Frontera, W, Silver, J, Rizzo, J, editors.
389 Essentials of physical medicine and rehabilitation Third ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders;
390 2014. p. 775-781.
- 391 2. Trojan DA, Cashman NR. Post-poliomyelitis syndrome. Muscle & Nerve 2005;31:6-19.
- 392 3. Farbu E, Gilhus NE, Barnes MP, Borg K, De Visser M, Driessen A. EFNS guideline on
393 diagnosis and management of post-polio syndrome. Report of an EFNS task force. Eur J
394 Neurol 2006;13:795-801.

- 395 4. Meldrum D, Cahalane E, Conroy R, Guthrie R, Hardiman O. Quantitative assessment of
396 motor fatigue: normative values and comparison with prior-polio patients. *Amyotroph Lateral*
397 *Scler* 2007;8:170-176.
- 398 5. Jensen MP, Alschuler KN, Smith AE, Verrall AM, Goetz MC, Molton IR. Pain and
399 Fatigue in Persons With Postpolio Syndrome: Independent Effects on Functioning. *Arch Phys*
400 *Med Rehabil* 2011;92:1796-1801.
- 401 6. Willén C, Stibrant Sunnerhagen K, Ekman C, Grimby G. How Is Walking Speed Related
402 to Muscle Strength? A Study of Healthy Persons and Persons With Late Effects of Polio.
403 *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2004;85:1923-1928.
- 404 7. Flansbjerg U-B, Lexell J. Reliability of gait performance tests in individuals with late
405 effects of polio. *PM R* 2010;2:125-131.
- 406 8. Brogårdh C, Lexell J. How various self-reported impairments influence walking ability in
407 persons with late effects of polio. *NeuroRehabilitation* 2015;37:291-298.
- 408 9. Lehmann K, Sunnerhagen KS, Willen C. Postural control in persons with late effects of
409 polio. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2006;113:55-61.
- 410 10. Brogårdh C, Lexell J. Falls, fear of falling, self-reported impairments, and walking
411 limitations in persons with late effects of polio. *PM R* 2014;6:900-907.
- 412 11. Silver JK, Aiello DD. Polio survivors: Falls and subsequent injuries. *Am J Phys Med*
413 *Rehabil* 2002;81:567-570.
- 414 12. Hill KD, Stinson AT. A pilot study of falls, fear of falling, activity levels and fall
415 prevention actions in older people with polio. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2004;16:126-131.
- 416 13. Mohammad AF, Khan KA, Galvin L, Hardiman O, O'Connell PG. High incidence of
417 osteoporosis and fractures in an aging post-polio population. *Eur Neurol* 2009;62:369-374.
- 418 14. Bickerstaffe A, Beelen A, Nollet F. Circumstances and consequences of falls in polio
419 survivors. *J Rehabil Med* 2010;42:908-915.
- 420 15. Winberg C, Brogårdh C, Flansbjerg UB, Carlsson G, Rimmer J, Lexell J. Physical Activity
421 and the Association With Self-Reported Impairments, Walking Limitations, Fear of Falling,
422 and Incidence of Falls in Persons With Late Effects of Polio. *J Aging Phys Act* 2015;23:425-
423 432.
- 424 16. Nam KY, Lee S, Yang EJ, Kim K, Jung SH, Jang SN, et al. Falls in Korean Polio
425 Survivors: Incidence, Consequences, and Risk Factors. *J Korean Med Sci* 2016;31:301-309.
- 426 17. Gillespie LD, Robertson MC, Gillespie WJ, Sherrington C, Gates S, Clemson LM, et al.
427 Interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database*
428 *Syst Rev* 2012;9:CD007146.

- 429 18. Legters K, Verbus NB, Kitchen S, Tomecsko J, Urban N. Fear of falling, balance
430 confidence and health-related quality of life in individuals with postpolio syndrome.
431 *Physiother Theory Pract* 2006;22:127-135.
- 432 19. Lord SR, Allen GM, Williams P, Gandevia SC. Risk of falling: predictors based on
433 reduced strength in persons previously affected by polio. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*
434 2002;83:757-763.
- 435 20. World Health Organization. Retrieved May 17, 2016 from <http://www.who.int/en/>. 2012.
- 436 21. Tinetti ME, Richman D, Powell L. Falls efficacy as a measure of fear of falling. *J*
437 *Gerontol* 1990;45:P239-243.
- 438 22. Yardley L, Beyer N, Hauer K, Kempen G, Piot-Ziegler C, Todd C. Development and
439 initial validation of the Falls Efficacy Scale-International (FES-I). *Age Ageing* 2005;34:614-
440 619.
- 441 23. Delbaere K, Close JCT, Mikolaizak AS, Sachdev PS, Brodaty H, Lord SR. The Falls
442 Efficacy Scale International (FES-I). A comprehensive longitudinal validation study. *Age*
443 *Ageing* 2010;39:210-216.
- 444 24. Jonasson SB, Ullén S, Iwarsson S, Lexell J, Nilsson MH. Concerns about falling in
445 Parkinson's disease: association with disabilities and personal and environmental factors. *J*
446 *Parkinsons Dis* 2015;5:341-349.
- 447 25. Kempen GI, Todd CJ, Van Haastregt JC, Zijlstra GA, Beyer N, Freiburger E, et al. Cross-
448 cultural validation of the Falls Efficacy Scale International (FES-I) in older people: results
449 from Germany, the Netherlands and the UK were satisfactory. *Disabil Rehabil* 2007;29:155-
450 162.
- 451 26. van Vliet R, Hoang P, Lord S, Gandevia S, Delbaere K. Falls Efficacy Scale-
452 International: a cross-sectional validation in people with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med*
453 *Rehabil* 2013;94:883-889.
- 454 27. Kim EJ, Kim DY, Kim WH, Lee KL, Yoon YH, Park JM, et al. Fear of falling in
455 subacute hemiplegic stroke patients: associating factors and correlations with quality of life.
456 *Ann Rehabil Med* 2012;36:797-803.
- 457 28. Flansbjer U-B, Lexell J. Reliability of knee extensor and flexor muscle strength
458 measurements in persons with late effects of polio. *J Rehabil Med* 2010;42:588-592.
- 459 29. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed "Up & Go": a test of basic functional mobility for
460 frail elderly persons. *Am J Geriatr Soc* 1991;39:142-148.

461 30. Stalenhoef PA, Diederiks JP, de Witte LP, Schirricke KH, Crebolder HF. Impact of gait
462 problems and falls on functioning in independent living persons of 55 years and over: a
463 community survey. *Patient Educ Couns* 1999;36:23-31.

464 31. Weerdesteyn V, de Niet M, van Duijnhoven HJ, Geurts AC. Falls in individuals with
465 stroke. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2008;45:1195-1213.

466 32. Matsuda PN, Verrall AM, Finlayson ML, Molton IR, Jensen MP. Falls among adults
467 aging with disability. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2015;96:464-471.

468 33. Schmid AA, Arnold SE, Jones VA, Ritter MJ, Sapp SA, Van Puymbroeck M. Fear of
469 falling in people with chronic stroke. *Am J Occup Ther* 2015;69:doi:
470 10.5014/ajot.2015.016253.

471 34. Nilsson MH, Hariz GM, Iwarsson S, Hagell P. Walking ability is a major contributor to
472 fear of falling in people with Parkinson's disease: implications for rehabilitation. *Parkinsons*
473 *Dis* 2012;2012:713236.

474 35. Stubbs B, Brefka S, Denking MD. What Works to Prevent Falls in Community-
475 Dwelling Older Adults? Umbrella Review of Meta-analyses of Randomized Controlled
476 Trials. *Physical Therapy* 2015;95:1095-1110.

477 36. Shen X, Wong-Yu IS, Mak MK. Effects of Exercise on Falls, Balance, and Gait Ability in
478 Parkinson's Disease: A Meta-analysis. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2015;21:pii:
479 1545968315613447. [Epub ahead of print].

480 37. Kendrick D, Kumar A, Carpenter H, Zijlstra GA, Skelton DA, Cook JR. Exercise for
481 reducing fear of falling in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*
482 2014;28:doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD14009848.pub14651852.

483 38. Flansbjer UB, Brogårdh C, Lexell J. Muscle strength is only a weak to moderate predictor
484 of gait performance in persons with late effects of polio. *NeuroRehabilitation* 2013;33:457-
485 464.

486 39. Brogårdh C, Flansbjer UB, Espelund C, Lexell J. The 6-Minute Walk test indoors is
487 strongly related to walking ability outdoors in persons with late effects of polio. *European*
488 *Journal of Physiotherapy* 2013;15:181-184.

489

490

Table 1. Demographics and clinical characteristics of the 81 participants with late effects of polio, divided into fallers and non-fallers.

	Fallers (n=48)	Non-fallers (n=33)	P-value
Age: mean years \pm SD (range)	67.5 \pm 6.0 (56-80)	65.1 \pm 7.5 (35-74)	.13
Gender: (men/women), n	22/26	19/14	.30
Body Mass Index: mean \pm SD (range)	27.5 \pm 4.1 (18-38)	26.0 \pm 3.3 (21-35)	.08
Strength measurements (60°/s)			
More affected limb			
Knee extension (Nm): mean \pm SD (range)	54.2 \pm 37.6 (0-168.5)	84.5 \pm 44.4 (0-161.8)	.25
Knee flexion (Nm): mean \pm SD (range)	28.4 \pm 18.0 (0-61.7)	45.9 \pm 26.9 (0-103.2)	.002
Less affected limb			
Knee extension: mean \pm SD (range)	98.2 \pm 46.1 (5.1-196.5)	109.8 \pm 42.8 (23.2-210.5)	.15
Knee flexion: mean \pm SD (range)	53.5 \pm 25.2 (0.8-131.0)	61.6 \pm 24.0 (7.1-112.2)	.002
Dynamic balance			
Timed Up & Go (sec): mean \pm SD (range)	11.3 \pm 4.3 (6.9-32.8)	9.7 \pm 2.2 (7.2-17.9)	.03
Gait performance test			
6-Minute Walk test (m): mean \pm SD (range)	396 \pm 93 (140-590)	477 \pm 103 (250-720)	.001
Fear of falling			
FES-I (points): mean \pm SD (range)	30.9 \pm 8.8 (18-54)	23.4 \pm 8.6 (16-46)	<.001

Fallers= those that reported at least one fall during the last year; Non-fallers= those that did not report any falls during the last year. Continuous variables were analyzed with the independent sample t-test and categorical variables with the Mann-Whitney's U-test test. Nm=Newton meters; sec=seconds; m=meters

Table 2. Demographics and clinical characteristics of the 81 participants with late effects of polio, divided into those with low, moderate or high fear of falling (FOF).

	Low FOF (n=17)	Moderate FOF (n=26)	High FOF (n=38)	<i>P</i> -value
Age: mean years \pm SD (range)	64.9 \pm 8.9 (35-74)	68.0 \pm 5.0 (59-78)	66.3 \pm 6.5 (54-80)	.66
Gender: (men/women), n	11/6	14/12	16/22	.11
Body Mass Index: mean \pm SD (range)	25.7 \pm 2.5 (22-30)	26.2 \pm 3.9 (18-36)	27.8 \pm 4.1 (21-38)	.70
Strength measurements (60°/s)				
More affected limb				
Knee extension (Nm); mean \pm SD (range)	100.3 \pm 46.7 (11.9- 168.5)	77.4 \pm 35.9 (0-139.6)	43.9 \pm 32.2 (0- 121.4)	<.001
Knee flexion (Nm); mean \pm SD (range)	55.3 \pm 29.1 (7.0- 103.2)	37.8 \pm 19.2 (0-78.3)	25.1 \pm 17.0 (0-63.2)	<.001
Less affected limb				
Knee extension (Nm); mean \pm SD (range)	136.9 \pm 24.8 (82.0- 210.5)	112.7 \pm 41.5 (46.6- 196.5)	81.0 \pm 39.7 (5.1- 167.0)	<.001
Knee flexion (Nm); mean \pm SD (range)	73.4 \pm 22.8 (37.9- 112.2)	63.5 \pm 23.9 (31.0-131.0)	44.7 \pm 20.5 (0.8- 99.4)	<.001
Dynamic balance				
Timed Up & Go (sec); mean \pm SD (range)	8.6 \pm 1.4 (6.9- 11.5)	9.9 \pm 1.9 (7.5- 17.5)	12.1 \pm 4.6 (8.4- 32.8)	<.001
Gait performance test				
6-Minute Walk test (m); mean \pm SD (range)	532 \pm 88 (376-720)	452 \pm 77 (261-590)	368 \pm 84 (140- 518)	<.001
Proportion of fallers (%)	18	61	76	<.001

Low FOF= 16-19 points; Moderate FOF= 20-27 points; High FOF= 28-64 points. Nm=Newton meters; sec=seconds; m=meters. Differences between the groups were analyzed with the non-parametric Jonckheere-Terpstra Test.

Table 3. The association between falls, knee muscle strength, dynamic balance and gait performance for the 81 participants with late effects of polio.

Regression model	Unadjusted			Adjusted for gender, age, BMI		
	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value
1. Strength more affected limb						
Knee extension 60°/s (10 Nm)	0.83	0.74 to 0.94	.003	0.80	0.70 to 0.92	.002
Knee flexion 60°/s (10 Nm)	0.70	0.56 to 0.88	.002	0.66	0.51 to 0.87	.003
2. Strength less affected limb						
Knee extension 60°/s (10 Nm)	0.94	0.85 to 1.04	.25	0.96	0.85 to 1.08	.51
Knee flexion 60°/s (10 Nm)	0.87	0.73 to 1.05	.15	0.92	0.72 to 1.18	.53
3. Dynamic balance						
Timed Up & Go (sec)	1.22	0.98 to 1.51	.07	1.14	0.92 to 1.40	.23
4. Gait performance						
6-Minute Walk test (100 m)	0.41	0.24 to 0.71	.001	0.46	0.26 to 0.82	.009

Results were obtained by univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses. OR=Odds Ratio; 95% CI= 95% Confidence Interval; BMI= Body Mass Index. Nm= Newton meter. Muscle strength is calculated in intervals of 10 Nm and gait performance in intervals of 100 meter. Nm=Newton meters; sec=seconds; m=meters. An OR above 1.0 indicates an increased likelihood of falling whereas an OR below 1.0 indicates a reduced likelihood of falling.

Table 4. The association between fear of falling (FOF), knee muscle strength, dynamic balance and gait performance for the 81 participants with late effects of polio.

Regression model	FOF				FOF			
	Unadjusted				Adjusted for falls, gender, age, BMI			
	B	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value	R ²	B	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value	R ²
1. Strength more affected limb								
Knee extension 60°/s (10 Nm)	-1.12	-1.55 to -0.70	.001	0.25	-1.07	-1.53 to -0.60	.001	0.32
Knee flexion 60°/s (10 Nm)	-1.68	-2.49 to -0.87	.001	0.17	-1.40	-2.36 to -0.45	.005	0.22
2. Strength less affected limb								
Knee extension 60°/s (10 Nm)	-1.08	-1.48 to -0.67	.001	0.25	-1.12	-1.55 to -0.69	.001	0.36
Knee flexion 60°/s (10 Nm)	-1.90	-2.64 to -1.17	.001	0.24	-2.34	-3.23 to -1.44	.001	0.36
3. Dynamic balance								
Timed Up & Go test (sec)	1.44	0.96 to 1.92	.001	0.30	1.27	0.77 to 1.76	.001	0.36
4. Gait performance								
6-Minute Walk test (100 m)	-5.84	-7.39 to -4.29	.001	0.41	-5.21	-6.98 to -3.45	.001	0.41

Results were obtained by univariable and multivariable linear regression analyses. B= Unstandardized Beta Coefficients; 95% CI= 95% Confidence Interval; BMI= Body Mass Index. Knee muscle strength is calculated in intervals of 10 Nm and gait performance in intervals of 100 meters. Nm=Newton meters; sec=seconds; m=meters