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We present a personal view on the current state of statistical mechanics of Coulomb fluids with special emphasis on the interactions between macromolecular surfaces, concentrating on the weak and the strong coupling limits. Both are introduced for a counterion-only system in the presence of macroscopic, uniformly charged boundaries, where they can be derived systematically. Later we show how this formalism can be generalized to the cases with additional characteristic length scales and thus new coupling parameters into the problem. These cases most notably include asymmetric ionic mixtures with mono- and multivalent ions that couple differently to charged surfaces, ions with internal charge (multipolar) structure and finite static polarizability, where weak and strong coupling limits can be constructed by analogy with a counterion-only case and lead to important new insights into their properties that can not be derived by any other means.

I. INTRODUCTION

A Coulomb fluid refers specifically to any mobile (thermalized) collection of charges, which interact via Coulomb interactions. These charges may be small ions (such as Na+ and Ca2+ with sizes around 0.1 nm), ions with internal structure (such as the rod-like spermine and spermidine ions with sizes around a nanometer) as well as charged nano-particles and macromolecules (such as proteins, colloids, polymers and membranes), which are typically immersed in an aqueous solvent, as is the case in soft- and bio-matter context. Macromolecular surfaces get charged mainly due to the dissociation of their surface chemical groups in the solvent; this process releases small ions (referred to as co- and counterions depending on the sign of their charge w.r.t that of the surface) into the solution. The type, magnitude, and the particular distribution of surface charges and those of the resulting mobile ions depend also on the specific chemistry of the surface as well as a number of other key factors including, among other things, the pH, temperature, dielectric properties or, in general, the specific molecular properties of the solvent. Once dissolved, these mobile charges mediate the interactions between macromolecular surfaces.

The presence of Coulomb fluids can significantly alter electrostatic interactions of charged (bounding) surfaces and modify the behaviour of charged macro-molecular dispersions. The role of Coulomb fluids can be so drastic that, as evidenced by numerous experimental and theoretical investigations over the last several years, it can challenge our understanding of electrostatic effects as evidenced by non-conventional phenomena such as electrostatic attraction between like-charged surfaces, especially when multivalent ions (or counterions) are present in the system. Like-charge attraction is manifested in many experimental examples; a few notable cases include formation of large aggregates of like-charged polymers such as microtubules and F-actin as well as formation of large condensates of DNA in the bulk and in the DNA packaging inside viral shells that are observed in the presence of multivalent cations. Similarly, numerous numerical simulations have already demonstrated the emergence of like-charge attraction and investigated its underlying mechanism in many examples including charged membranes, colloids and polymers.

All major theoretical proposals that aim to explain the phenomenon of like-charge attraction go beyond the standard mean-field or Poisson-Boltzmann (PB) theories, which have been studied since the early years of the last century, by including the effects of electrostatic fluctuations and correlations that are neglected in the description of Coulomb fluids on the mean-field level. These proposals include integral-equation methods (see, e.g., Refs. 28, 61, 62), perturbative improvement of the mean-field theory including loop expansions and other Gaussian-fluctuations approximations (see, e.g., Refs. 63, 77), and local density functional theory (see, e.g., Refs. 79, 80). These approaches turn out to be applicable mostly at large separations between charged surfaces or, generally, for relatively small coupling (and/or electrostatic correlation) strengths. This regime is known as the weak-coupling (WC) or “high-temperature” regime. A complementary strong-coupling (SC) or “low-temperature” approach was pioneered by Rouzina and Bloomfield based on the observation that counterions tend to form two-dimensional strongly-correlated layers at oppositely charged bounding surfaces when the coupling parameter is large. Such structural correlations can lead to dominant attractive forces of mainly energetic origin between like-charged surfaces that have been studied by means of several different theoretical approaches in recent years (see, e.g., Refs. 44, 76).
A great deal of theoretical effort has been devoted recently to improve upon these simplifying assumptions, in particular regarding the solvent structure (see, e.g., Refs. \[132–145\] and references therein), electric bonding energies, ion sizes, solvent molecular size, or spacing between discrete surface charges, etc., see Ref. \[6\]), one can argue that within the primitive model discussed above only a few will be important.

The thermal energy $k_B T = \beta^{-1}$ can be compared with inter-ionic Coulomb interactions for ions, giving rise to the Bjerrum length $\ell_B = e_0^2/(4\pi\varepsilon\sigma k_B T)$, with gas-like behavior for small and liquid- or solid-like behavior for large $\ell_B$. For an ionic fluid consisting of ions (countersions) of charge valency $q$ at a charged interface of uniform surface charge density $\sigma_e$, the Gouy-Chapman length determines the strengths of the thermal energy w.r.t. the electrostatic interaction (attraction) with the surface, $\mu = 1/(2\pi q\ell_B|\sigma|)$ (we assume that $q > 0$ and $\sigma < 0$ with no loss of generality). The neutralizing countersions next to a charged surface are thus expected to form a diffuse gas-like phase when the electrostatic coupling parameter, defined as the ratio

$$\Xi = q^2\ell_B/\mu = 2\pi q^3\ell_B^2|\sigma|,$$

is small, i.e., $\Xi \ll 1$. In the opposite situation with large $\Xi \gg 1$, one observes a very different behavior \[49\] [65], where the ionic cloud is reduced from a three-dimensional (3D) layer to a quasi-two-dimensional (2D) sheet. For $\Xi \gg 1$, the mean separation view. Even on the level of the above simplified model assumptions, the underlying physics of the Coulomb fluids exhibits conceptual challenges and intriguing results, which have only recently been corroborated by computer simulations and, less often, experimental evidence.
FIG. 2: An extended 3D counterion layer in the WC regime, $\Xi \ll 1$, reduces to a quasi-2D layer in the SC regime, $\Xi \gg 1$, upon increasing the coupling parameter (from left to right). In the limit of $\Xi \to \infty$, a single particle description becomes relevant because of a large correlation hole, $a_\perp \to \infty$, forming around individual counterions.

between counterions, which follows from the local electroneutrality condition as $a_\perp \sim \sqrt{q/|\sigma|} \sim \mu \sqrt{\Xi}$, is much bigger than the thickness of the surface (counterion) layer given by $\mu$. Conjointly with the surface-counterion interaction, the inter-counterion repulsions, $q^2e_B/a_\perp \sim \sqrt{\Xi}$, also dominate the thermal energy. Therefore, increasing $\Xi$ engenders a strongly-correlated liquid, or even a crystalline phase of counterions, in the limit $\Xi \to \infty$. The preceding observations suggest that the WC and the SC regimes should follow as complementary descriptions from a single, unified formalism. This clearly transpires from the field-theoretic approach to Coulomb fluids wherein the WC and the SC limits can indeed be derived as asymptotic theories from a single ansatz for $\Xi \to 0$ and $\Xi \to \infty$, respectively [89].

In general, the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation allows the partition function of the primitive model to be mapped exactly to a functional integral over a fluctuating (electrostatic) potential, $\phi(r)$, as

$$Z = \int D\phi \, e^{-\beta S[\phi]},$$

where the effective “field-action” can be written (up to a “self-energy” prefactor, which we ignore here, see, e.g., [52, 54, 65, 66, 89]) as

$$S[\phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' u^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \phi(\mathbf{r}) \phi(\mathbf{r}') + i \int d\mathbf{r} \, \rho_0(\mathbf{r}) \phi(\mathbf{r}) - \int d\mathbf{r} \, \mathcal{U}(\phi(\mathbf{r})) = S_0[\phi(\mathbf{r})] - \int d\mathbf{r} \, \mathcal{U}(\phi(\mathbf{r})), \quad (3)$$

where the Coulomb interaction is $u(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = 1/(4\pi \varepsilon_0 |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)$ and its kernel (operator inverse) $u^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -\varepsilon_0 \nabla \cdot \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. The external fixed (macromolecular) charge distributions are described by $\rho_0(\mathbf{r})$ and are assumed, for further specification, to be distributed on planar surfaces with either one plate (placed at $z = 0$) or two plane-parallel surfaces (placed at $z = -a$ and $z = +a$ along the z axis), with a uniform surface charge density.
of $\sigma_0$. In addition, the system can exhibit a nontrivial spatial dielectric constant profile $\varepsilon(r)$. The model of the Coulomb fluid is embodied in the generally non-linear “field self-interaction” term $U(\phi(r))$. The presence of these non-linear field self-interactions renders an exact evaluation of the partition function difficult except in a few special cases (for such exact solutions, see, e.g., Refs. [113, 114] and references therein). Nonetheless, remarkable analytical progress has been made in analyzing the behavior of such systems, especially in the cases that go beyond the usual WC-SC framework [62–64, 89, 122–124].

In the counterion-only model, one assumes that only counterions of charge valency $q$ are present in the system and exactly neutralize the fixed charges. Although this model may be inapplicable in most real situations, it has nevertheless served as a useful paradigm, elucidating fundamental aspects of the WC-SC dichotomy. In this context the field self-interaction is obtained as [63, 66, 89]

$$U(\phi(r)) = \lambda \Omega(r) e^{-i\beta q e \phi} \equiv \lambda \tilde{U}(\phi(r)).$$

(4)

Here $\lambda$ is the fugacity of counterions and the “blip” function $\Omega(r)$ defines the region of space allowed to the mobile counterions (i.e., it is equal to one in the region where counterions are allowed to be present and zero elsewhere). The functional integral can be cast in a dimensionless form that depends on only one parameter, i.e., $\Xi$ [89]. The WC-SC limits then follow directly from appropriate evaluations of the partition function [89].

A. WC theory: Mean-field theory and weak fluctuations

The WC limit, $\Xi \to 0$, for this model is obtained by solving the saddle-point equation of the field-action [66, 69, 89],

$$\delta S[\phi] \bigg|_{\phi = \psi_{PB}} = 0,$$

(5)

which governs the mean (real-valued) electrostatic potential $\psi_{PB}(r) = i(\phi(r))$ and leads to the standard Poisson-Boltzmann (PB) equation

$$-\varepsilon_0 \nabla \cdot [\varepsilon(r) \nabla \psi_{PB}(r)] = \rho_0(r) + q e_0 \lambda \Omega(r) e^{-\beta q e \psi_{PB}(r)},$$

(6)

with Neumann boundary conditions at the charged interfaces. For one or two planar surfaces, the dielectric discontinuity at the bounding surfaces plays no role and the above equation leads to the standard Gouy-Chapman theory of electrical double layers [115] with $\psi_{PB}(r) = \psi_{PB}(z)$. The corresponding expressions for the counterion density profile $n_{PB}(z)$ and the disjoining pressure $P_{PB}$ imparted by the counterions on the bounding surfaces are well known [4], with the latter obtained from the contact-value theorem [135, 137] through the counterion density at the mid-plane $z = 0$. This leads to the following asymptotic form for large $D = 2a$,

$$P_{PB}(D) = k_B T n_{PB}(z; D) \bigg|_{z=0} \approx \frac{k_B T}{q^2 e^2 D^2} \bigg|_{D \to \infty},$$

(7)

clearly showing that the PB pressure at large separations is independent of $\sigma$, has an entropic origin and is thus repulsive. Quite generally, it can be proven that the disjoining pressure within the PB theory is always repulsive regardless of the shape of the charged surfaces as long as the boundary conditions are symmetric [158–160].

The PB equation is exact in the strict limit of $\Xi \to 0$ and has been applied successfully to study weakly charged systems [114]. When $\Xi$ is finite but small, one expects subdominant Gaussian fluctuations to occur around $\psi = \psi_{PB} + \phi$ described by the field-action

$$S[\phi] \simeq S[\psi_{PB}] +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int dr \, dr' \frac{\delta^2 S[\psi_{PB}]}{\delta \psi_{PB}(r) \delta \psi_{PB}(r')} \phi(r) \phi(r') + O(\phi^3),$$

leading to small deviations from the PB pressure. The total WC pressure can then be written as

$$P_{WC}(D) = P_{PB}(D) + \Xi P_1(D) + O(\Xi^2),$$

(9)

where $P_1$ is the Gaussian (one-loop) correction around the mean-field solution, analogous to vdW-type interactions [63, 69, 89, 161] and is thus attractive, $P_1 < 0$. For two like-charged surfaces,

$$\Xi P_1(D) \sim -k_B T \left[ \ln D \right] \bigg|_{D \to \infty}.$$

(10)

which has a similar algebraic dependence on $D$ as in the case of the classical vdW force between neutral dielectric, generated by the thermal fluctuations of the zero-frequency Matsubara modes of the electromagnetic field [161]; in the present case, however, the attractive correction is caused by the Gaussian fluctuations around a nonuniform background defined by the PB solution that produces also a logarithmic $D$-dependent correction. It should be noted that in the WC regime the fluctuation-induced attraction can not overcome the repulsive leading-order PB pressure, the total pressure thus remaining repulsive.

In certain models, which assume surface condensation or adsorption of counterions on fixed charged boundaries [69, 76], the repulsive mean-field effects are strongly suppressed and the total pressure can eventually turn out to be attractive. Such condensation behavior can not occur within the primitive model with purely Coulombic interactions and may occur only if one takes into account non-electrostatic surface effects going beyond the models considered here.

B. SC theory

As shown by Netz [89], a systematic description for the SC limit follows from the same field-theoretic formalism...
that yields the PB equation in the limit $\Xi \to 0$, provided that one takes the limit of $\Xi \to \infty$. In this case, one can perform a fugacity (virial) expansion combined with a $1/\Xi$ expansion, the leading order of which was shown to be finite and given by single-particle contributions only. Physically, since in this limit the counterions are strongly attracted to the surface and are isolated in large correlation holes (of size $\sim a_\bot$) surrounding them, the partition function of the system is expected, on the leading order, to be dominated by single-particle contributions from the interaction between counterions and charged surfaces. To the lowest order, one finds

$$Z_{\text{SC}} = Z_{\text{SC}}^{(0)} + \lambda Z_{\text{SC}}^{(1)} + O(\lambda^2), \quad (11)$$

where the first term involves only $S_0[\phi(r)]$ of (3) due to external charges, while

$$Z_{\text{SC}}^{(1)}/Z_{\text{SC}}^{(0)} = \int d\mathbf{r} \Omega(\mathbf{r}) \ e^{-\beta e_\mathbf{q} \int d\mathbf{r}' u(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') \rho_0(\mathbf{r}')}, \quad (12)$$

is the single-ion partition function in the field of external charges (note the grand-canonical formalism, which can be transformed back to a canonical description involving $N$ particles by a Legendre transform [89]). As discussed in Sec. [112] counterion-counterion interactions are also very strong in the limit $\Xi \to \infty$, but appear as subleading if compared with counterion-surface contributions.

In the SC limit for two like-charged bounding surfaces, the disjoining pressure can be expressed to the leading-order as [89]

$$P_{\text{SC}}(D) = -\frac{\sigma^2}{2\varepsilon_0} + \left(\frac{2|\sigma|}{q}\right) \frac{k_BT}{D}. \quad (13)$$

It is easy to see that the first term follows from the electrostatic energy of individual counterions sandwiched between two equally charged surfaces, leading to an attractive pressure, while the repulsive second term is clearly nothing but the ideal-gas entropy of the counterions confined to the slit between the surfaces. In fact, as noted above, in the SC limit, the counterions are isolated in correlations holes of area $a_\bot$ so that $2|\sigma|a_\bot = q$, and hence the energetic repulsion between the two like-charged surfaces is overcompensated by the attraction between them and the individual counterion in between, in such a way that it changes the sign of the pressure!

The above result holds for small separations $D < \sqrt{a_\bot}$ or equivalently, $D/\mu < \sqrt{\Xi}$. Within the regime of validity of the SC limit, one recovers both the repulsive regime for $D < D_*$ and the attractive regime for $D > D_*$, where $D_* = 2\mu$ is the separation at which the total force acting on the surfaces vanishes, as confirmed by MC simulations [43,45] (this argument needs to be amended in the case where dielectric inhomogeneities are present in the system, see, e.g., Refs. [43,45,53,54] and references therein).

C. Crossover from WC to SC limit

At large separations, or smaller coupling parameters, one needs to account for the higher-order terms in the SC expansion. Even though the single-particle SC limit appears to work very well for coupling parameters down to $\Xi \sim 100$ (corresponding, e.g., to DNA with trivalent counterions), the perturbative expansion turns out to be very inefficient and can hardly be extended into the so-called crossover regime, i.e., $10 < \Xi < 100$, of experimental interest [49,50]. This regime remains accessible fully only through simulations. Despite the challenging nature of correlations in this regime, Santangelo [92], Weeks et al. [57,58] and others [59,93] have shown that approximations based on the decomposition method used within the liquid-state theories, can be applied with reasonable success. Also a test-charge theory developed by Burak et al. [91] seems to capture the onset of correlations and provide very useful insights into the crossover behavior of the counterion-only system.

Another approach to study counterion-only systems in the limit of large couplings has been proposed by considering the ground-state structure of the system in the limit $T \to 0$ [81,86]. It should be noted that this latter limit does not in general coincide with the SC limit which is based on a combined virial and $1/\Xi$ expansion. The virial approach leads to finite entropic (temperature) corrections at the leading order (e.g., the second term in Eq. (13)) and deviations have been reported for the subleading corrections calculated from ground-state considerations and those obtained from the virial approach [91].

IV. GENERALIZATIONS OF THE WC-SC PARADIGM

In the original form [45,49,50,89], the WC and SC dichotomy applies only to a counterion-only system confined by uniformly charged surfaces, a situation of profound theoretical significance but seldom encountered in the real world. While the WC limit, through its correspondence with the saddle-point equation, can be defined for any field-action, the existence of additional length scales, besides the Bjerrum and the Gouy-Chapman ones, precludes a direct introduction of a unique electrostatic coupling parameter and consequently a systematic derivation of the SC limit with its virial expansion.

In this context, however, one can generalize not the SC theory itself, but the single-particle aspect of the SC limit, which can be then applied to any system that contains highly charged species, irrespective of the number of governing length scales (see, e.g., Refs. [41,42,47,48], 52, 53, 57, 122, 123, 138). The approach is reasonable because the highly charged ions are usually present only in small concentrations and thus a virial expansion, of which the single-particle limit is the lowest order, makes sense. In addition, the single-particle SC limit has been
tested against detailed simulations and its regime of applicability is determined for any particular case.

In quite general context, for any field-action, one could thus derive a saddle-point theory as a substitute for the WC limit and a single-particle theory as a substitute for the SC limit (where both these theory should reproduce the standard WC and SC theories when the appropriate limit in the parameter space is taken). This is a powerful consequence of the field-theoretic approach and can be applied even to the cases where other approaches (such as those based on the ground-state methods) are not necessarily applicable (e.g., ionic mixtures containing large amounts of monovalent salt). This is what we will illustrate for a few interesting cases detailed below.

A. Asymmetric ionic mixtures: Dressed multivalent-ion approach

A particularly relevant case is that of a mixture of multivalent ions in a bathing solution of monovalent ions (Fig. 3a and Fig. 4). This is a typical situation in the formation of liquid crystalline mesophases of semiflexible biopolymers [20, 102, 163], multivalent ion-driven condensation of DNA in the bulk [11, 105, 109], or in viruses, where multivalent ions are believed to play a key role in the stability of the viral capsid and/or packaging of its genome [110, 112].

For an ionic mixture consisting of a single species of multivalent ions (c) in a neutralizing background of monovalent anions (−) and cations (+), we have

\[ U(\phi(r)) = \Omega(r) \left( \lambda_+ e^{-i\beta e q_0 \phi} + \lambda_0 e^{-i\beta_0 q_0 \phi} + \lambda_- e^{i\beta e q_0 \phi} \right), \]

which follows directly from the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation of the microscopic (Coulomb) Hamiltonian of the system [52, 65, 66, 89]. Here \( \lambda_\pm \) and \( \lambda_0 \) are the respective ionic fugacities, and the “blip” function \( \Omega(r) \) is assumed to be the same for all mobile species.

![Image](a) A mixture of multivalent ions (red spheres) in a bathing solution of monovalent salt ions (blue and orange spheres); the former are strongly and the latter are weakly coupled to external (surface) charges. The monovalent ions can be integrated out from an explicit microscopic model (left) in the case of a highly asymmetric mixture (with highly charge multivalent ions), giving rise to an effective dressed multivalent-ion model (right). (b) The rescaled inter-surface pressure, \( \tilde{p} = \beta P_{BC} / 2 \pi \xi_0 \sigma^2 \), as predicted by the dressed multivalent-ion theory, Eq. (13), is shown as a function of the rescaled half-distance between the surfaces, \( \tilde{a} = a/\mu \). It bridges between the pure osmotic regime of multivalent ions (large \( \kappa \)) where the electrostatic interactions are screened out and the SC theory for a counterion-only system (small \( \kappa \)) and exhibits attractive interaction between the like-charged surfaces for a wide range of parameters (here shown for \( \eta = 1 \), i.e., when the total charge due to counterions exactly compensates the surface charges). (c) In the canonical dressed multivalent-ion ensemble, there appears a non-monotonic behavior for the inter-surface interaction pressure showing vDW-like loops, suggesting a coexistence regime between two different phases. The phase coexistence region actually ends with a critical point \( (p_c, a_c) \). Adapted from Ref. [52].
The inverse Debye length is introduced as $\kappa_u$ where $S$ forms an effective field-action of the system is given by the first term of the field-action $S$ (corresponding to that of the water/hydrocarbon interface) showing (positive) tetravalent ions (red spheres) and monovalent salt anions and cations (green and orange spheres); while the former are strongly attracted toward the surface, the latter form an extended DH-like atmosphere. (For the sake of illustration, sphere radii are enlarged by a factor of 2.5 than the actual hard-sphere radii used in the simulations).

FIG. 4: Snapshot of an explicit MC simulation of a single (negatively) charged surface with a dielectric discontinuity (corresponding to that of the water/hydrocarbon interface) fixed macromolecular charges [52,54]. This follows from the first term of the field-action $S_0[\phi(r)]$, Eq. (3), and the quadratic term in the above expansion. In other words, the effective field-action of the system is given by

$$S[\phi] \simeq S_{\text{DH}}[\phi] + \lambda_c \int dr \Omega(r) e^{-i\beta q_e \phi},$$

where $S_{\text{DH}}[\phi]$ has the same form as $S_0[\phi]$ except that $u^{-1}(r,r')$ is replaced the screened Debye-Hückel (DH) form $u^{-1}_{\text{DH}}(r,r') = -\varepsilon_0 (\nabla \cdot \varepsilon(r) \nabla - \varepsilon(r) \nabla^2 )^{\delta(r-r')}$, and the inverse Debye length is introduced as $\kappa_u^2 = 4\pi \varepsilon_0 n_b$, where $n_b = 2n_0 + qc_0$, and $c_0$ is the bulk concentration of multivalent ions, assumed to come from an additional $q:1$ salt. Obviously, the multivalent ion concentration $c_0$ introduces a new parameter and new physics that goes beyond the simple DH screening picture. In the case when $q$ and/or $c_0$ are large enough, the multivalent ion effects can be codified by a new length scale analogous to the Debye length in the case of monovalent salt, defined as $\chi^2 = 8\pi q^2 \varepsilon_0 c_0$ [52,54].

We refer to the theory defined based on the field-action [16] as the **dressed multivalent-ion theory**. The key point here is that the above approach can be applied only to highly asymmetric ionic mixture with $q \gg 1$ [52]. The regime of validity of this approach can be checked against explicit-ion MC simulations, where all ions, including the monovalent ones, are explicitly simulated (see Fig. 4), which show that this approach can indeed give quantitatively accurate results in a wide range of realistic parameter values [53,54]. This may not seem obvious at first since the above approach treats the monovalent ions on the implicit DH level and one might thus expect strong deviations to occur when multivalent ions are present in the solution due, e.g., to nonlinear charge renormalization and/or Bjerrum pairing effects [151,153]; these effects however turn out to be absent or negligible in the regime of parameters that is of concern to our discussion [22,54].

On the saddle-point level of the dressed multivalent-ion field-action, the PB equation, Eq. (5), reads

$$-\varepsilon_0 (\nabla \cdot \varepsilon(r) \nabla - \varepsilon(r) \nabla^2 )^{\psi_{PB} = \rho_0 + q \varepsilon_0 \lambda_c \Omega(r) e^{-\beta q_e \psi_{PB}}.}$$

The corresponding disjoining pressure, is again consistently repulsive for symmetric boundary conditions. The underlying assumption here that electrostatic correlations are zero and thus all ions remain weakly coupled to each other and the external fixed charges.

When $c_0 < n_0/q^2$, one can furthermore develop a simple theory based a virial expansion, which can capture the behavior of such asymmetric solutions in the limit corresponding to a generalized SC limit, where multivalent ions are coupled strongly (to each other and the external charges), while monovalent ions still remain weakly coupled to other charge species. By inspection of the field-action [16], the single-particle limit has exactly the same form as Eq. (11), except that the screened DH potential replaces the Coulomb interaction, i.e. $u(r,r') \rightarrow u_{\text{DH}}(r,r')$. For a single charged surface, the dressed multivalent-ion approach can successfully predict the density profile of ions next to the surface as well as the charge inversion induced by multivalent counterions [53]. For two apposed like-charged planar surfaces [52], the interaction pressure behaves very differently if the dressed multivalent ions are treated on the canonical (they are not in chemical equilibrium with a bulk reservoir) or grand-canonical level (in chemical equilibrium with the bulk) [52]. The corresponding interaction pressure (within the canonical description for dressed multivalent ions) is then transformed from Eq. (13) to

$$P_{\text{SC}}(D) = \frac{(c_0 \sigma)^2}{2\varepsilon \varepsilon_0} \left[ e^{-\kappa D} + 2\mu \eta I'(D)/I(D) \right],$$

where $\eta = (Nq)/2|\sigma|S$ is the amount of multivalent counterions in the slit between the surfaces relative to the total surface charge and $I(D) = 2a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(2/\kappa x - \kappa x^2 \cos \kappa x) d\kappa x$. The case $\eta = 0$ represents a system with salt only and the DH theory is recovered, and $\eta = 1$ is the case when the total charge due to counterions exactly compensates the surface charges; the counterion-only SC theory discussed above can be recovered within this latter case by letting $\kappa \rightarrow 0$, see Fig. 3. This again illustrates that the dressed multivalent-ion theory can bridge between the standard WC and SC limits. For multivalent dressed ions within the canonical description, the interaction pressure can even become non-monotonic, displaying repulsive branches at small
and large inter-surface separations [53], see Fig. 3b and c. In fact, for certain values of the parameters, the interaction pressure shows vdW-like loops, which could suggest a coexistence regime between two different phases, see Fig. 3. The binodal or the coexistence curve actually ends with a critical point corresponding to the largest amount of salt in the system that still leads to a non-monotonic dependence of the pressure on the inter-surface separation.

The dressed multivalent-ion approach can also give insight into image-induced depletion forces between two dielectric walls [54]. The latter arises as a result of polarization depletion [164, 165] of multivalent ions from the slit between two walls with dielectric constants smaller than that of water in the slit, as is typically the case. Dielectric images of individual ions thus have the same sign as the ions themselves and so are repelled from one another, pushing the mobile ions from the slit region back to the bulk solution. For multivalent ions in the slit, this effect is quite strong and leads to attractive forces even between neutral surfaces, which are comparable or stronger than the usual vdW forces and agree well with explicit-ion simulations [54].

### B. Ions with internal charge structure

Multivalent mobile ions are not always describable by simple point-like monopolar charge structure [122]. Some structured counterions can be described with a dipolar [166, 167] or quadrupolar [168, 169] charge distribution, which introduce additional features in electrostatic interactions that are quite distinct from the standard PB framework. A rigid internal structure of ions can be described by a charge distribution \( \hat{\rho}(r; R, \omega) \) that is then expanded in a standard multipolar series

\[
\hat{\rho}(r; R, \omega) = e_0 q \delta(r - R) - p_0 (n \cdot \nabla) \delta(r - R) + t_0 (n \cdot \nabla)^2 \delta(r - R) + \cdots ,
\]

where the counterion is located at \( R \), with \( \omega \) being the orientational variables specifying the angular dependence of the counterion charge density. Here, \( e_0 q \) is the monopolar moment of each counterion, \( p = p_0 n \) is its dipolar moment and \( Q = t_0 n \otimes n \) its uniaxial quadrupolar moment with director unit vector \( n \), describing, e.g., a charged particle of rod-like structure such as spermine or spermidine. One possible example where only the monopolar and quadrupolar moments are non-zero is a uniformly charged rod with total charge \( e_0 q \) and length \( l \) for which \( t_0 = e_0 q l^2 / 24 \). Another possible case is a rod-like configuration with a negative charge \( (-e_2) \) in the center and two positive charges \( (+e_1) \) located at both ends of the rod, giving \( e_0 q = 2e_1 - e_2 \) and \( t_0 = e_1 l^2 \), respectively (see Fig. 5 top).

Assuming for simplicity that this system is again composed of counterions only, with counterions having a complicated internal structure described by Eq. (19), the field self-interaction (see Eq. (3)) in the partition function is then derived as [122]

\[
\mathcal{Z}(\phi(r)) = \lambda \int d\omega \Omega(r) \exp \left( i \beta \int dr \hat{\rho}(r; R, \omega) \phi(r') \right),
\]

where \( \lambda \) is the fugacity of counterions and \( \hat{\rho}(r'; R, \omega) \) is given as Eq. (19). The WC limit is obtained from the saddle point and the SC limit by the lowest-order virial expansion corresponding to a single-particle limit. Though in this case the field-action contains more length scales than one, corresponding to the complicated internal structure of the counterions with in general \( e_0, p_0, \) and \( t_0 \) all having distinct values, one can identify a princi-
pal coupling parameter, in analogy to the counterion-only case, that implies a SC-like fixed point with a fine structure as a consequence of these additional length scales.

The WC limit for a system bounded by two charged plane-parallel surfaces is then given by a generalization of the PB equation that can be written in dimensionless form as \[ \psi'' = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} dx \Omega(x, z) \left(u(z) - pxu'(z) + tx^2u''(z)\right), \tag{21} \]
where \(\psi\) is the dimensionless potential (i.e., actual potential in units of \(\beta u_0 q\)), \(u(z) = C \exp(-\psi - px\psi' - tx^2\psi'')\) and dimensionless multipolar moments \(p = p_0/\varepsilon_0 \mu\) and \(t = t_0/\varepsilon_0 q u^2\). Here, \(u(z)\) is proportional to the local orientationally-dependent number density of the counte-
riovationals. Since we are not interested in steric effects, we can set the characteristic function to \(\Omega(x, z) = 1\) everywhere inside the slit between the two surfaces. The orienta-
tional variable \(x = \cos \theta\), where \(\theta\) is the angle between the \(z\)-axis and the normal to the bounding surfaces, and the integral over this variable gives the orientational av-
erage. The constant \(C\) is set by the boundary conditions on the two bounding surfaces.

\[
Z_{SC}^{(1)} / Z_{SC}^{(0)} = \int d\mathbf{R} d\omega \Omega(r) \exp \left[ -\beta \int d\mathbf{r} \int d\mathbf{r}' \rho(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, \omega) u(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') u_0(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{d} \rho(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, \omega) u(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{R}, \omega) \right]. \tag{22} \]

The interaction potential in this part of the partition function is in general composed of the direct and image electrostatic interactions, i.e. \(u(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = u_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') + u_{im}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')\), if the bounding surfaces have a different dielectric permittivity from the solvent. The second term in the exponent of Eq. (22) gives the image self-interactions among monopolar, dipolar and quadrupolar moments (9 terms), whose explicit forms have been calculated in Ref. \[122\]. Note that in general the self-energy contributions can not be simply renormalized away into the rescaled fugacity \[170, 171\].

In the case of two charged plane-parallel surfaces with uniform surface charge density, the electrostatic potential does not depend on the \(z\) coordinate, is thus spatially ho-

mogeneous and given by \(\sigma_{eq} / (\varepsilon_0)\). Since all the terms in the density operator \[19\], except the first one, depend on spatial gradients (derivatives), the counterion ener-
gy in a homogenous external electrostatic potential depends only on the monopolar (first) term. The corresponding energy of a counterion in this electrostatic potential, i.e., the first term in the exponent of (22) is then given by \(-\beta q\varepsilon_0 \sigma / (\varepsilon_0)\). As for the self-energy term (second term in the exponent of (22), it only picks up contributions from the \(z\)-dependent parts of the image self-interaction, \(u_{im}\). Note that if there is no dielectric discontinuity, so that \(u_{im}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = 0\), this term is identically equal to zero!

It then turns out in the SC free energy, that can be calculated from \(\beta F_{SC} = -\ln Z_{SC}\), the parts that depend on multiple moments (beyond monopoles) are contained only in self-image interactions (see Ref. \[122\]. Therefore if there is no dielectric discontinuity, the multipolar effects in this limit vanish! Note that we have again assumed that the characteristic function \(\Omega\) does not depend on the coordinate \(z\), thus disregarding the possible en-
tropic effects due to the finite size and anisotropy in the shape of counterions \[168, 169\], which would be relevant only for small inter-surface separation on the order of the counterion size, where other competing interactions, besides electrostatic, come into play as well \[117, 172\].

As for the inter-surface interaction pressure in the SC limit, the quadrupolar contribution can become negative due to dielectric image attractions. On increase of the quadrupolar moment, the interaction becomes smaller and is eventually overwhelmed by repulsive contributions. The orientational order parameter in the SC limit indicates that the counterions are preferably aligned per-

pendicular to the \(z\)-axis, parallel to the surfaces (see Fig. 5, bottom, left), which is contrary to the WC case. This is caused by strong quadrupole-quadrupole image repul-

sion if the dielectric discontinuity at the surfaces exists;
Therefore, in the plane-parallel geometry, higher order multipoles in the internal structure of mobile ions can play an important role but only for a dielectrically inhomogeneous system, i.e., when the bounding surfaces and the slit region possess different dielectric constants. Although, we should also emphasize that multipolar effects can be present if the charged surfaces are curved (and thus generate spatially varying external potentials) even without dielectric discontinuities, but these effects remain largely unexplored.

C. Polarizable ions—a two-parameter model

Apart from possible multipolar moments in addition to the monopolar charge, we have been up to now dealing with a one-parameter model of the mobile (counter-)ions, as by assumption they differ only in the amount of charge they bear. Equally charged ions, such as Na\(^+\) and Li\(^+\), or Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\), are thus indistinguishable and have no chemical identity. One possible generalization of this model is then to additionally characterize the ion with its excess static ionic polarizability \(\alpha\) \cite{116, 117, 173, 174} (see Fig. 6), proportional to the volume of the cavity created by the ion in the solvent. Static excess ionic polarizability is then a second parameter that differentiates between different, but equally charged ionic species, and thus obviously introduces ionic specificity into the theory. Excess ionic polarizability studies go all the way back to Debye on polar molecules \cite{120}.

For a system composed of polarizable monopolar counterions, the field self-interaction can be derived \cite{123} in the form of Eq. (23) but with

\[
\mathcal{U}(\phi(r)) = \lambda \Omega(r) \exp \left[ -\beta \frac{\alpha}{2} (\nabla \phi(r))^2 + i \beta q e_0 \phi(r) \right],
\]

(23)

while other details of the model are the same as for a standard counterion-only system. The excess polarizability is defined precisely as the difference between the aqueous solvent polarizability and the proper ionic polarizability, and may thus be negative \cite{117, 120}.

One should note here that the partition function depends on two parameters: the coupling constant \(\Xi\) as well as the polarizability \(\alpha\), i.e., it is a two-parameter function. These two parameters can be introduced in the following way: we first rewrite the electrostatic coupling constant (Eq. (1)) in the form of a generalized PB equation (Eq. (23)) in the form \(\Xi = q^2 \ell_B / \mu = 2 \pi q^2 \ell_B^2 / \sigma \equiv q^2 \Xi_0\), where we specifically decomposed the coupling parameter into its \(q\) and \(\sigma\) dependence. Then we introduce a rescaled polarizability that represents an additional independent parameter of the theory and is defined as \(\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha (\beta / (\beta q e_0 \mu)^2).\) Also, instead of using the excess polarizability, we can use the dielectric decrement \(\tilde{\beta}\) in units of inverse mole per liter (denoted by M\(^{-1}\)) \cite{116}, i.e., \(\alpha = \varepsilon_0 \tilde{\beta}\). The dielectric decrement for various salts is typically negative and on the order of 10 M\(^{-1}\) in magnitude.

Again, we introduce the corresponding WC-SC proxies as the saddle-point and the single-particle theory, based on the lowest-order virial expansion. Contrary to the cases described before, there exist at present no pertinent simulations that could help in assessing the accuracy of these approximations (however, see Ref. \cite{175}).

The saddle-point level, corresponding to the WC limit, can be derived in the form of a generalized PB equation governing the mean (real-valued) potential \(\psi_{MF} = i \phi\) as

\[-\varepsilon_0 \nabla \cdot [(\varepsilon + \alpha n_{MF}(r)) \nabla \psi_{MF}(r)] = \rho_0(r) + n_{MF}(r),\]

(24)

where the mean-field counterion density is given by

\[n_{MF}(r) = \lambda \exp \left[ \beta \alpha / 2 (\nabla \psi_{MF}(r))^2 - \beta q e_0 \psi_{MF}(r) \right].\]

(25)

Comparing this equation with Eq. (30), it is clear that...
the dielectric response function has a term proportional to the concentration of the ions, stemming from their dielectric decrement. This was in fact first noted by Bikerman in 1942 [24]. The solutions of this equation have been investigated in great detail in Refs. [117, 173] and in general depend on the magnitude and sign of the excess polarizability.

From numerical solutions of the above PB equation, it appears that the counterion polarizability introduces effective interactions between the ions and the bounding surfaces. For negative excess polarizability these additional interactions seem to be strongly repulsive and long ranged. They again lead to a depletion of the ions in the vicinity of the surface [164], see Fig. 6b. In the opposite case, the interactions are also repulsive, but weak, and the perturbations introduced by polarization are negligible. This yields a good measure for ion specificity, as the ions can be differentiated according to the sign of their polarizability.

On the single-particle level corresponding to the SC limit, \( Z^{(0)}_{SC} \) is again given by the first term in Eq. (11), involving only electrostatic interactions between fixed surface charges. The polarizability of the mobile ions appears in the first-virial-order partition function, which is again formally of a single-particle type and can be cast into the form [123]

\[
Z^{(1)}_{SC} = \int d\mathbf{r}_0 Z^{(1)}_{SC}(\mathbf{r}_0),
\]

where we introduced

\[
z^{(1)}_{SC}(\mathbf{r}_0)/Z^{(0)}_{SC} = \det \left( 1 + \alpha \nabla_i \nabla'_j u(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}_0) \right)^{-1/2} \times \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i C'(\mathbf{r}_0)^T \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \nabla_i \nabla'_j u(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}_0) \right)^{-1} \nabla_i C'(\mathbf{r}_0) - \frac{C'(\mathbf{r}_0)}{2} \right),
\]

with \( C'(\mathbf{r}_0) = u(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}_0) - \int \rho_0(\mathbf{r}) u(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} \). Here, \( u(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \) is again just the Coulomb interaction potential and \( \nabla \) as well as \( \nabla' \) denote the gradients with respect to the first and the second variable.

Though the above form of the single-particle partition function appears to be quite complicated, it can be seen straightforwardly that the first term in Eq. (27) describes the thermal Casimir or zero-frequency vdW interaction between a single polarizable particle and the dielectric interfaces in the system. It can be written as

\[
\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ln \left( 1 + \alpha \nabla_i \nabla'_j u(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}_0) \right) \simeq \frac{1}{2} \alpha \text{Tr} \left[ \nabla_i \nabla'_j u(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}_0) \right].
\]

For large \( |\mathbf{r}_0| \), we obtain the scaling form \( |\mathbf{r}_0|^{-3} \), which corresponds to the zero-frequency vdW interaction between the polarizable particle and a single dielectric discontinuity [163, 176].

The corresponding single-particle level counter ion density profile, Fig. 6c, exhibits strong image repulsive interactions that deplete the vicinal space next to the bounding surface to an extent much larger then in the case of the saddle-point limit, Fig. 6b [164]. Furthermore, on the single-particle level the effective permittivity around the ion may turn overall negative, leading to a field instability that shows up in the partition function. Other non-linear solvation-related effects [172] that have not been taken into account would then take over and stabilize the system.

In summary, for polarizable ions, the validity of the SC vs. WC description no longer depends on a single coupling parameter, but actually on two parameters. The parameter space is thus quite complicated and the validity of the WC-SC dichotomy difficult to assess in general.

\[\text{V. CONCLUSIONS}\]

We have provided a guided personalized tour of recent advances in Coulomb fluids based on the functional integral representation of the partition function via a field-action, as pioneered in the fundamental work of Edwards and Lenard [154]. This representation was taken later as a point of departure for the introduction of the WC-SC dichotomy in the description of a counterion-only system bounded by two charged surfaces. The WC limit was shown to stem from the saddle-point description of the field-action, while the SC description was based on the lowest-order virial expansion. In the case of a counterion-only system the two limits can be derived directly and systematically. For more complicated, but also more realistic cases that imply a multiplicity of different length scales, one can identify the principal coupling parameter and introduce the pertaining saddle-point and the single-particle virial description as the proxies for the proper WC and SC limits. The saddle-point and the single-particle virial form of the partition function can then be invoked for any system, irrespective of the number of pa-
rameters or coupling constants describing it, and thus present a convenient point of departure to derive powerful limiting laws even for much more complicated systems than envisioned in the original framework of the WC-SC dichotomy. We tried to make a clear case that this philosophy can provide a solid foundation and a fairly accurate description.
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