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Fetal growth predicts stress susceptibility independent of parental education in 161 991 adolescent Swedish male conscripts

P M Nilsson, J-Å Nilsson, P-O Östergren, F Rasmussen

METHODS
We selected all Swedish men born in 1973–1979 and registered in the MBR (n = 306 497). Birth characteristics recorded in MBR were linked to data on PF in the MSCR during 1990–1997. Excluded from the conscript test are men with severe physical or mental handicap (n = 43 890). In all, 161 991 young men had complete birth data and full data on PF using the same standardised methods. The study was approved by the ethical committee, University of Lund.

Recorded MBR variables were: birth weight (g), birth length (cm), head circumference (cm), gestational age (weeks), maternal age (years), and parity (n). We used data only from subjects with a birth weight between 1500–4499 grams, born at term (≥week 37), and with head circumference 20–60 cm, to avoid potential bias.

At conscription (MSCR) each young man undergoes a standard examination for PF, which is based on a personal standardised interview with a military psychologist. This test (graded 1–9 scores; 9 highest) includes an evaluation of ability to cope with stress.

Data on parental education (years) were derived from the Population and Housing Census conducted by Statistics Sweden in 1970 and 1990.

Statistics
The three registers were linked by using unique personal 10 digit identification number. Birth weight was subdivided into centiles of aberration from expected birth weight adjusted for gestational week. We calculated the odds ratio (OR; 95%CI) for the risk of being assessed for a low PF (≤4 scores) in relation to impaired fetal growth, after adjustment for other birth characteristics and parental education, by use of the SAS program version 8 (Cary, NC, 1995). A p value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS
Mean birth weight was 3576 (SD 436) grams after a mean of 39.9 (1.4) gestational weeks, as compared with a birth weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birth weight category (centiles)</th>
<th>Paternal educational category</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>PF score mean (SD)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lowest (1%–5%)</td>
<td>lowest</td>
<td>2041</td>
<td>4.61 (1.81)</td>
<td>4.53 to 4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median-low</td>
<td>3394</td>
<td>5.18 (1.78)</td>
<td>5.12 to 5.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median-high</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>5.57 (1.73)</td>
<td>5.53 to 5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>highest</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>5.54 (1.75)</td>
<td>5.50 to 5.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lowest</td>
<td>2093</td>
<td>4.73 (1.84)</td>
<td>4.66 to 4.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median-low</td>
<td>3348</td>
<td>5.22 (1.77)</td>
<td>5.16 to 5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median-high</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>5.39 (1.87)</td>
<td>5.35 to 5.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>highest</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>5.74 (1.71)</td>
<td>5.70 to 5.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lowest</td>
<td>32176</td>
<td>4.94 (1.76)</td>
<td>4.92 to 4.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median-low</td>
<td>56630</td>
<td>5.40 (1.74)</td>
<td>5.39 to 5.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median-high</td>
<td>3919</td>
<td>5.77 (1.71)</td>
<td>5.74 to 5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>highest</td>
<td>8109</td>
<td>5.78 (1.76)</td>
<td>5.75 to 5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lowest</td>
<td>2177</td>
<td>4.98 (1.80)</td>
<td>4.93 to 5.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median-low</td>
<td>3563</td>
<td>5.45 (1.76)</td>
<td>5.39 to 5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median-high</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>5.82 (1.71)</td>
<td>5.79 to 5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>highest</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>5.93 (1.76)</td>
<td>5.91 to 5.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lowest</td>
<td>2169</td>
<td>4.94 (1.79)</td>
<td>4.86 to 5.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median-low</td>
<td>3545</td>
<td>5.45 (1.80)</td>
<td>5.39 to 5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median-high</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>5.83 (1.63)</td>
<td>5.79 to 5.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>highest</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>5.81 (1.77)</td>
<td>5.76 to 5.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: MBR, Medical Birth Register; MSCR, Military Service Conscription Register; PF, psychological functioning
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of 3448 (661) grams in the subjects excluded from conscript testing because of mental or physical handicap (p<0.001). Mean value of the PF was 5.20 (1.80) scores. The PF score continuously increased with paternal educational level within each birth weight category (table 1) and with increasing paternal educational level. The odds ratio for receiving a low PF score (<4; 34% of all) was higher for subjects belonging to the two lowest birth weight categories, OR 1.27 (1.21 to 1.33) for 1–5th centile of birth weight in relation to expected birth weight for gestational age, and OR 1.25 (1.19 to 1.31) for 6–10th centile, as compared with the reference category (10–90th centile). This was still evident, OR 1.18 (1.11 to 1.25) and OR 1.17(1.10 to 1.24), respectively, after full adjustment for paternal educational level and age, as well as other birth characteristics (maternal parity, head circumference, and birth length). Low paternal educational level was slightly more important, OR 1.28 (1.24 to 1.33), as a risk factor for obtaining a low PF score (<4) than birth weight alone in the fully adjusted statistical model (fig 1).

DISCUSSION
This study showed an increased risk of stress susceptibility among growth retarded male military conscripts. This association was still evident after adjustment for parental educational level and other birth characteristics. This is in accordance with what has previously been reported in two similar Swedish studies, both however lacking adjustment for parental educational level. A positive association between size at birth and psychological function in women and men 42 years of age has recently been reported from a British population based cohort study, also after adjustment for childhood social class. Thus, both our studies consistently support the notion that fetal growth is important for PF in early adulthood.

We are aware of the fact that our findings are limited to young men and that the assessment of stress susceptibility by a military psychologist could potentially be influenced by day to day variation in mood, mental concentration, and cooperativeness of the conscripts. We also lack data on a number of subjects who were either not invited to the conscript test because of physical or mental abnormalities, or did not have a complete set of data. This potential bias is most probably diluting our findings, and not exaggerating them, as it would be suspected that an increased proportion of stress susceptible subjects belong to the excluded categories with handicaps. The correlations we obtained were weak, which is often the case in large scale epidemiological studies because of imprecision of measures, random variability, etc. Cognitive function may be a useful marker for long term health consequences as shown in other epidemiological studies.

In conclusion, impaired fetal growth is independently associated with increased stress susceptibility in young men.
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Influential women in occupational health
Andrea Kidd Taylor—Ensuring safety for labour

Andrea Kidd Taylor, DrPH, MSPH, embodies the personification of the American Dream. Born of a middle class Southern family in the late 20th century, she was one of the first African-American children to attend, and thereby, de-segregate an all white school. Reflecting her family’s strong background in organised labour, Taylor worked as an industrial hygienist and occupational health policy consultant for the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace, and Agricultural Implement Workers of America (UAW), playing a seminal part in many Right-to-Know programmes throughout the USA.

“...To achieve gains in health, public policies must be implemented that overcome discrimination and reduce exploitation of labor, thereby promoting socioeconomic equity and improved health status for all people.”

Unafraid to tackle major health policy questions, she served on the Presidential Advisory Committee on Gulf War Veterans’ Illnesses, and has represented organised labour on the National Advisory Committee on Occupational Safety and Health. In 1998, Taylor was appointed to the US Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (CSB), empowered to investigate major chemical accidents that involve deaths or serious harm to workers and the public.
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