

LUND UNIVERSITY

The initial care when a child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes

Tiberg, Irén

2012

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Tiberg, I. (2012). The initial care when a child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. [Doctoral Thesis (compilation), Department of Health Sciences]. Lund University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Health Sciences.

Total number of authors:

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights. • Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117 221 00 Lund +46 46-222 00 00

The Initial Care when a Child is diagnosed with Type 1 Diabetes

Irén Tiberg



Copyright © by Irén Tiberg

Faculty of Medicine Department of Health Sciences ISBN 978-91-87189-10-4 ISSN 1652-8220 Doctoral dissertation Series 2012:48 Printed in Sweden by Media-Tryck

A child loves her play not because it's easy, but because it's hard

-Benjamin Spock

Contents

Abstract	9
Abbreviations	11
Original Papers	13
Background	15
Introduction	15
Type 1 Diabetes	15
Definition of Diabetes	16
Incidence	17
Metabolic Control	17
Diabetes Care	19
Family and Healthcare Costs	20
Care at Diagnosis	21
Diabetes Education	22
Hospital-Based versus Home-Based Care	23
Family Centred Care	24
The Family	24
The Child	25
Family Function	26
Theoretical Framework	27
Aims	29

Methods		31
Design		31
The Cont	text	34
Study Poj	pulation	34
Cor	ventional Care and Intervention	37
Data colle	ection	38
Reg	ister	39
Hea	lthcare Satisfaction	40
Farr	nilies' Psychosocial Distress	41
Statistical	Analysis	42
Pow	ver Analyses	44
Ana	lyses of Variance	45
Rela	ation between Variables	45
Ethical Consider	rations	47
Autonom	У	47
Beneficen	ce and Non-Maleficence	48
Justice		50
Findings		51
Children'	s Metabolic Control	51
Parents' H	Healthcare Satisfaction	53
Family's l	Distress	54
Resource	Use and Costs	54
Discussion		57
Methodo	logical Considerations	57
Vali	dity	58
General I	Discussion of Results	65
Chi	ld Safety	65

Efficacy of Health Services	66
Quality of Health Services	69
Effectiveness of Health Services	70
Future Perspectives	73
Summary in Swedish	
Svensk Sammanfattning	75
Acknowledgements	
References	
Paper I-IV	

Abstract

When a child is diagnosed with diabetes, the symptoms may be in its most severe form with ketoacidosis, to mild symptoms of diabetes, detected incidentally. Moderate and severe symptoms of diabetes presentation require infusion therapy and thereby necessitate hospitalisation for the first few days. The initial management is largely a preparation for family members to help them gain the practical understanding and skills needed for integrating the treatment in everyday life. Some units routinely admit the children to hospital, while others say they can be safely managed at home. There is no high-quality evidence concerning the consequences that the differences in the type of service might have for the child, family or health system. The overall aim of this thesis was to investigate the initial care for children newly diagnosed with type 1 diabetes, receiving conventional hospital-based care and hospital-based home care (HBHC), i.e. specialist care in a home-based setting. A further aim was to identify families where the child runs the risk of decreased metabolic control and to give these families increased support.

Two studies have been carried out at Skåne University Hospital Lund in Sweden. The first had a retrospective design with the aim of assessing whether temporal changes in the initial management over a ten year period affected children's metabolic control two years after diagnosis. The results showed that during the years 1997 up to 2006 all children, except one, were admitted to hospital. The duration of the hospital stay decreased from a mean of three weeks to two weeks. Seventy-five per cent of the children were not acutely ill (defined as pH ≥7.30) at diagnosis and 94% of the children initially received intravenous insulin treatment. Neither the length of the hospital stay nor any differences in insulin treatment were associated with children's metabolic control over time. The second study had a randomised design with the aim of comparing two different regimes for children diagnosed with type 1 diabetes: hospital-based care and HBHC. The follow-up of the study was two years. In this thesis, results one and six months from diagnosis are presented. No adverse events or severe acute diabetes complications have occurred during the trial or during the follow-up. Results one month from diagnosis showed small advantages to HBHC in the children's metabolic control with regards to plasma glucose values and numbers of episodes of hypoglycaemia. Parents were more satisfied with the service in HBHC, and healthcare costs were 30% lower in HBHC compared to the hospital-based service. The results six months from diagnosis showed that parents continued to be

more satisfied with the service in HBHC. Furthermore, the results showed that there were no differences in the children's HbA1c, in the arrangement of the parents' working hours after the child's diagnosis or in the amount of absence from work related to the child's diagnosis. The categorical risk for families' psychosocial distress, assessed by professionals at the time of diagnosis, was associated with subsequent resource use, although not HbA1c. Families that received HBHC had less use of healthcare resources, compared to families having received hospital-based care. When summarising the first month and the period from 1-6 months, the total healthcare costs were 27% lower in HBHC compared to hospital-based care.

In summary, for children diagnosed with type 1 diabetes, the length of the hospital stay has decreased significantly over a ten year period. During this time, children have usually been routinely admitted to hospital irrespective of their medical condition. The results support the suggestion that an HBHC programme is just as safe for the child as hospital-based care. The results further indicate equivalence in the efficacy of the services. These results, in combination with a high degree of acceptance by those to whom the HBHC service was offered and lower healthcare costs, could suggest that the HBHC service is more effective as compared to the conventional hospital-based care. As a whole, there are not many well-designed and controlled studies that have compared hospital services with different models of home care. This thesis, although limited in answers by power and knowledge stability, provides empirical support for the safety and effectiveness of healthcare services when a child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. The evaluation will continue to assess the consequences, of both HBHC and hospital-based care, for the child, family and health services over time and from different perspectives.

Abbreviations

ADA	American Diabetes Association
BDD	Better Diabetes Diagnosis
BMI	Body Mass Index
CGMS	Continuous Glucose Monitoring System
CONSORT	Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials
DCCT	Diabetes Control and Complications Trial
DSME	Diabetes Self-Management Education
DKA	Diabetic Ketoacidosis
EURODIAB	European Community sponsored Concerted Action on the Epidemiology and Prevention of insulin-dependent Diabetes
EQUALIS	External Quality Assurance in Laboratory Medicine in Sweden
HbA1c	Glycated haemoglobin
НВНС	Hospital-Based Home Care
HLA	Human leukocyte antigen
HQoL	Health related Quality of Life
IFCC	International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine
ISPAD	International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes
MRC	Medical Research Council
NGSP	National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program
NICE	National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence
OGTT	Oral Glucose Tolerance Test
PASIS	Patient Administrative Support in Skåne

PAT	Psychosocial Assessment Tool
PedsQL	Pediatric Quality of Life
РНС	Paediatric Home Care
РОС	Point-Of-Care
RCT	Randomised Controlled Trial
RSCN	Registered Sick Children Nurse
SEK	Swedish Crown
SES	Socioeconomic Status
SF-36	Short Form – 36 Health Survey
SMBG	Self-Monitoring of Blood-Glucose
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
SWEDIABKIDS	Swedish Childhood Diabetes Registry
TEDDY	The Environmental Determinants of Diabetes in the Young
THU-5	Targeted Hassles and Uplifts
WHO	World Health Organisation

Original Papers

This thesis for the doctoral degree is based on the following papers referred to in the text by their Roman numerals:

- I. **Tiberg I,** Hallström I, Carlsson A. The influence of initial management and family stress on metabolic control in children with type 1 diabetes. International Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2010 Nov; 1, (2):41-47.
- II. Tiberg I, Carlsson A, Hallström I. A methodological description of a randomised controlled trial comparing hospital based care and care given in a home-like environment when a child is newly diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. The Open Nursing Journal, 2011, 5, 111-119.
- III. Tiberg I, Steen Carlsson K, Carlsson A, Hallström I. Metabolic control, healthcare satisfaction and costs one month after diagnosis of type 1 diabetes: A randomised controlled trial of hospital-based care versus hospital-based home care. (Accepted for publication in Pediatric Diabetes)
- IV. Tiberg I, Steen Carlsson K, Carlsson A, Hallström I. The effect of hospital-based home care when a child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes: A randomised controlled trial. (Submitted)

The papers have been reprinted with the kind permission of the respective journal.

Background

Introduction

Type 1 diabetes is one of the most common chronic diseases in childhood. It is a serious and expensive disease with the risk of late complications where metabolic control has a significant importance.^{1, 2} Diabetes management has the purpose of preventing, delaying and reducing the severity of acute and late complications of the diabetes disease. There is a substantial amount of evidence for the relation between family factors and metabolic control.³⁻⁷ The diabetes treatment is complex and most children do not reach the recommended targets of metabolic control.^{8, 9} There has been a gradual shift over time in the focus of diabetes management from the physician to the individual with diabetes. Concurrently with the technical progress and with the development of refined insulin, an increased responsibility for the diabetes management, and thereby for the child's future health, rests on the child and its parents. There are various arguments and disagreements as to whether in-hospital care or home management of diabetes at diagnosis is most beneficial in the support of families in their task of diabetes management. Safe care in home management also depends on the systems and the amount of time that expertise can spend with the families. Even though hospitalisation answers for a high economic burden in paediatric diabetes care,^{10, 11} in situations where systems for adequate support are not available, reduced hospitalisation of children may be counterproductive.¹² The evidence is scarce and, in order to get closer towards the goals of diabetes management, evaluations of the consequences, for the child, the family and health services, of different models of home care for the initial management when a child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes, need to be carried out.

Type 1 Diabetes

Diabetes Mellitus was recognised in antiquity and the polyuric state resembling the disease was described as early as 1550 BC in ancient Egyptian papyrus. The term

"diabetes", which is from Greek meaning "to pass through", was first used by Aretaeus of Cappadocia in the second century AD as a description of conditions causing increased urine output. The term "mellitus" meaning "honey-sweet" was associated with polyuria perhaps 1000 years later by two Indian physicians, Susruta and Charuka. The urine of certain polyuric patients was described as tasting like honey.^{13, 14} The islets of Langerhans were discovered in 1869 by a German medical student Paul Langerhans and in 1889 Joseph von Mering and Oskar Minowski found that the total removal of the pancreas in dogs resulted in diabetes mellitus.^{15, 16} Shortly after this historic breakthrough, Moses Baron, an American vivisectionist, discovered by chance, when undertaking the autopsy of a patient with diabetes, that the islets of Langerhans were damaged and suggested this to be the cause of diabetes.¹⁴

Fredrick Banting, Charles Best, James Collip and John Macleod extracted insulin from islet of Langerhans cells in Toronto 1921. In 1922, a 12-year old boy from Canada became the first patient with diabetes to be successfully treated with insulin.¹⁷ Fredrick Banting (1891-1941) and John J.R. Macleod (1876-1935) were bestowed the Nobel Prise in Physiology and Medicine in 1923 for establishing the cause of diabetes as being a lack of insulin. This was the beginning of daily insulin injections and it offered hope for survival to patients with diabetes, which had previously led to the suffering of a painful death. A decade later, in spite of insulin treatment, changes in eyes and kidneys of patients with diabetes were observed.¹⁸ It became clear that the disease was still severe with late complications and with serious consequences for the patient's life.¹⁹

Definition of Diabetes

The aetiological classification of Type 1 diabetes by the World Health Organisation (WHO) and the American Diabetes Association (ADA)²⁰ is that type 1 diabetes is an idiopathic disorder, characterised by immune-mediated beta-cell destruction, usually leading to absolute insulin deficiency. Diagnostic criteria are based on plasma glucose measurements and the presence or absence of symptoms. Diabetes in children usually presents with symptoms of polyuria, polydipsia, blurring of vision and weight loss in association with glycosuria and ketonuria. The presentation may be in its most severe form with ketoacidosis, to mild symptoms of diabetes, detected incidentally.²¹ Criteria for diagnosis are defined as casual plasma glucose concentration ≥ 11.1 mmol/L and symptoms of diabetes or fasting plasma glucose tolerance test (OGTT), performed as described by WHO.^{20, 21}

Incidence

During the past decades a rapidly increasing incidence of type 1 diabetes has been reported from many parts of the world with a shift towards a younger age of onset.^{22, 23} Sweden has one of the highest incidences in the world.^{21, 22} It is generally assumed that type 1 diabetes results from an interaction between genetic and environmental factors. Studies have found that human leukocyte antigen (HLA) risk genotypes have changed over time. Genotypes that were neutral twenty years ago are now significantly associated with type 1 diabetes.²⁴ Results from children and adolescents registered within the Better Diabetes Diagnosis (BDD) study in Sweden, indicated an increased incidence among children who are born in Sweden but have both parents and grandparents born outside Sweden compared to children in their parents' country of origin. This may indicate that these children are affected by nongenetic factors present in the Swedish environment.²⁵ The incidence rates in Sweden have risen from 21.6 between 1978-1980 to 43.9 between 2005 and 2007²⁶ and could indicate the impact of lifestyle-related risk factors.^{27, 28} However, recent data suggest a break of the increasing trend of incidence in type 1 diabetes in Sweden even though these findings need to be confirmed over a longer period of time.²⁶

Metabolic Control

An important change in diabetes care occurred during the 1970s-1980s as it became possible to self-monitor blood glucose (SMBG) and to measure glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c).²⁹ The information derived from these two methods of measuring metabolic control is fundamentally different; SMBG reveals the immediate blood glucose level with a small fingerpick and 0.3 micro-liters of blood, allowing individuals to relate events in their daily life and insulin doses to glycaemic results. The introduction of SMBG caused a shift in the focus of diabetes management from the physician to the individual with diabetes. With proper understanding and communication with healthcare professionals, patients could, which was previously unimaginable, take control of their own diabetes.²⁹ More frequently SMBG is associated with better metabolic control,³⁰ and stability of plasma glucose levels has been shown to improve the child's behaviour.^{31, 32} Studies have indicated that children with type 1 diabetes are at an increased risk of cognitive and behavioural difficulties, with early disease onset and severe hypoglycemia being risk factors.³³⁻³⁶ Since early diabetes onset as a risk factor cannot be explained by severe hypoglycemia in early years of life,^{37, 38} not only mean glucose levels but also glycemic variation have been suggested to be important factors.³⁴ New technologies of devices for continuous glucose monitoring systems (CGMS) are available and permit the measurement of interstitial glucose in an

ongoing fashion. $^{\rm 39-41}$ Advances in technology have had and probably will have a major impact on diabetes management in the future. $^{\rm 40}$

Over the last 30 years, HbA1c has been the standard index of metabolic control of the preceding 8-12 weeks.^{29, 42-46}A direct relationship exists between HbA1c and mean glycaemia because erythrocytes are continuously glycated during their 120-day lifespan and the rate of glycohaemoglobin formation is proportional to the ambient glucose concentration.²⁹ The nine-year Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT), completed in 1993, showed that the risk for the development and progression of the chronic complications of diabetes is closely related to the degree of glycaemic control, as measured by HbA1c.² The fact that HbA1c assay methods have not been standardised among laboratories has prevented the optimal use of the test and has resulted in several countries developing national standardisation programmes. In the USA, the National Glycohemoglobin Standardisation Program (NGSP) was created⁴⁷ and methods used in Sweden were standardised through External Quality Assurance in Laboratory Medicine in Sweden (EQUALIS), traceable to the Mono S method. Swedish values have been approximately 1% lower than NGSP values.⁴⁸ In order to achieve a uniform international standardisation, the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC) established a working group on HbA1c standardisation. The IFCC reference method has been widely accepted and was implemented in Sweden in January 2011. Results should be reported in IFCC units (mmol/mol) and derived Mono S units (%) using the EQUALIS equation.⁴⁹ There is one formula to be used to convert HbA1c in one unit to another and a separate formula to be used to convert the relative change in HbA1c from one unit to another.

Many children do not reach the recommended target of metabolic control. In England and Wales only 15% achieved the NICE (National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence) and ISPAD (The International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes) recommended targets in HbA1c of 58mmol/mol^{9, 50} and 35% of the children in Sweden⁸ achieved 63mmol/mol.⁵⁰ However, HbA1c is only one of the several measures of optimal metabolic control, along with frequencies of hypoglycaemia, treatment, child's age and quality of life. An important aim is to assess the level of glycaemic control achieved by each individual so that they may benefit from attaining their most realistic glycaemic targets.⁵⁰

Diabetes Care

Living with type 1 diabetes imposes extensive demands on the child's and the family's everyday life with the constant challenge of maintaining plasma glucose levels within the near-normal range. For almost a century, insulin has been refined to produce forms having both long and short durations of effect which has introduced flexibility, enabling a management and regime of insulin based on the individual patient's needs.⁵¹ The concept of diabetes care includes the medical treatment and the educational process as well as the health service process. The primary foundation of diabetes care should be built around a philosophy of care and support of the patient as well as goal setting which might be even more important than different insulin types and regimens for the improvements of metabolic control.⁵² There is a wide variation in how, for example, young people are taught to balance carbohydrate intake with insulin adjustment and the methods used for this training have been poorly evaluated.⁵³

ISPAD is the only international society focusing specifically on all types of childhood diabetes with the aim of promoting clinical and basic science, research, education and advocacy in childhood and adolescent diabetes. The clinical practice consensus guidelines of ISPAD,⁵⁴ based on the current views of experts in the field, are being used to assist clinicians, and they form the basis for many national healthcare strategies for children with diabetes. Furthermore, the work is being used to improve the awareness of resources needed for optimal care and of complications that can arise due to poorly managed diabetes. The Swedish national guidelines for paediatric diabetes⁵⁵ have existed since the 1980s, providing consistent, high standards and important contributions to Swedish paediatric diabetes care.⁵⁶ Quality of care is related to safety and a guarantee of quality in healthcare must be based on a scientific process that follows and evaluates all aspects of the care.^{54, 55} It is uncertain how well countries are able to meet national guidelines.⁵⁷ In spite of the high standards of Swedish diabetes care, HbA1c varies greatly between centres⁸ and the quality is still not good enough to prevent late complications of the disease.⁵⁸ In a qualitative analysis of Scandinavian guidelines in childhood diabetes with the position of the parents in focus, the authors concluded that the guidelines had been expertly discoursed and suggested that, by involving different professional groups and patients in the construction of the guidelines, they might allow the everyday lives of the child and the parents to be more prominent.⁵⁹

Family and Healthcare Costs

People with type 1 diabetes have been seen to be disadvantaged in adult employment, with lower earnings and a lesser probability of attaining the highest level of education.⁶⁰⁻⁶² Little is known about the consequences in employment and earnings for the parents of a child with diabetes. Most parents experience work restrictions due to the child's diagnosis of diabetes, 63 even though children with a good metabolic control should not experience more illness or infections than children without diabetes.⁶⁴ No study has been identified regarding employment issues for parents of children with diabetes but a Norwegian registry-based study in childhood cancer showed no restrictions of parental employment and minor reductions in earning. This was suggested to be explained by extensive welfare options in Nordic countries with regard to the illness of children. The child's illness was associated with significant reductions in the mothers' working hours but the fathers' working hours were not affected.⁶⁵ In Sweden, direct medical expenses of the child's diabetes are free of charge for the family. Agreements for financial compensation concerning the parents' allowance include about 80% of the parents' salary up until the level of a predetermined salary ceiling. When a child is diagnosed with diabetes the period for this allowance includes the period when the child is admitted to hospital and another 2-3 weeks after discharge for both parents. Parents of a child with diabetes can also apply for childcare allowance which applies if the child, because of his illness or other disabilities, needs special supervision and care for at least six months, or if the child's illness or disability means that the parents' expenses increase. The childcare allowance is determined partly by the work the parents need to spend on caring for their child and partly by the family's additional costs due to the child's illness.⁶⁶

Outcomes in relation to the use of resources can give the decision makers an indication of the greatest return on expenditure and thereby inform resource allocation. Among the direct medical costs of childhood diabetes post onset, the self-monitoring of blood-glucose accounted for the greater proportion thereof.⁶⁷ Healthcare costs have been shown to be associated with socioeconomic status, with considerably higher costs for adolescents with poor metabolic control,^{11, 67-69} and the greatest returns may be obtained by targeting families where the child or adolescent has less than optimal glycaemic control.⁷⁰ Similarly, better metabolic control has been associated with lower direct medical costs and lower odds of re-admission.⁶⁹ Health-economic studies in paediatric diabetes are scarce and a Cochrane review only identified two studies where costs were assessed when comparing hospital-based and home-based care for children newly diagnosed with diabetes.^{71, 72} Parental costs were found to be decreased in home-based care while healthcare costs were increased, explained by the extensive costs of setting up an out-patient system. On the other

hand, hospitalisation has been found to be the highest economic burden in paediatric diabetes care.^{10, 67}

Care at Diagnosis

The symptoms at presentation of type 1 diabetes are generally subdivided into a mild, a moderate and a severe form with ketoacidosis. Mild symptoms include polydipsia, polyuria, weight loss, exhaustion and problems with concentration. In the moderate form, in addition to the symptoms of mild presentation, dehydration is also present and the severe form includes mild to moderate ketoacidosis.¹² The moderate and severe forms of diabetes presentation require infusion therapy and thereby necessitate hospitalisation. In the EURODIAB project (European Community sponsored Concerted Action on the Epidemiology and Prevention of insulin-dependent Diabetes), the overall proportion of children with diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) at onset (defined as pH <7.30) was 40%, varying from 26% to 67%.⁷³ In Finland, the frequency was lower with 18% and was decreasing over a 20-year period.⁷⁴ The Swedish National Paediatric Diabetes Registry (SWEDIABKIDS) indicates that 16% of children in Sweden, aged <18 years had DKA at diabetes onset which is the lowest published national figure reported.⁷⁵ In Sweden, children <5 years, participating in The Environmental Determinants of Diabetes in the Young (TEDDY) showed that 13% of the children presented with DKA.⁷⁶ Most often, the diabetes disease manifests itself more dramatically the younger the child is⁷⁷ and children aged <2 years run a high risk of having DKA at diagnosis.⁷⁴ Nevertheless, most children come for consultation in an earlier phase with symptoms of hyperglycaemia and polydipsia without severe dehydration or acidosis.

Routines of initial intravenous insulin treatment vary, from only including children who are acutely ill at diagnosis^{78, 79} to including almost all children diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. Recommendations of the Swedish national guidelines for paediatric diabetes include intravenous insulin treatment for one or two days before starting with subcutaneous treatment even if the child is not acutely ill.⁵⁵ This is done in order to attain immediate metabolic control and, despite weak evidence, thus prolong the residual beta-cell function.⁸⁰ More than 80% of children and adolescents newly diagnosed with diabetes, decrease in their insulin requirement transiently after insulin treatment has been initiated.⁸¹ This period, often called the "honeymoon period" is characterised by a striking fall in the exogenous insulin requirements while good metabolic control is maintained by endogenous insulin production. The fall in insulin requirements most often implies frequent episodes of hypoglycaemia for the child,

before the insulin doses have been adjusted to the lower requirement. Partial remission has been defined as when the patient requires less than 0.5 unit insulin/kg/24 hours and HbA1c level of less than 53mmol/mol (NGSP: <7%, Mono S: <6.1%).^{81, 82} The partial remission phase commences within weeks of the start of insulin treatment and lasts for more than 12 months in approximately 40% of the children.⁸¹ In Germany and Austria, children initially receiving intravenous insulin treatment had more episodes with hypoglycaemia during the first two weeks compared to children treated with subcutaneous insulin after onset of type 1 diabetes,⁸³ and this could possible imply an association between intravenous treatment and fall in the exogenous insulin therapy and intravenous insulin infusion at onset, showed no differences after two years in HbA1c, C-peptide or insulin dose/kg/24 hours between groups.⁸⁴ Clinical characteristics found to be associated with less likelihood and shorter duration of partial remission, were those of younger age and DKA at onset.^{81, 85, 86}

Diabetes Education

Diabetes education is a cornerstone in the diabetes care and decisive to a successful practice of diabetes care. Every person with diabetes and his/her family have a right to expertly structured education so as to enable control of their own situation.⁸⁷ National paediatric guidelines emphasise the importance of support and education but, most often do not include more specific principals.⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰ Other publications with guidelines in Diabetes Self-Management Education (DSME)^{91, 92} report an educational process far from the mere imparting of knowledge. Diabetes management requires a practical understanding and the development of skills for integrating the treatment in everyday life. Important principles and practices of education for children are motivation, context, environment, significance, concepts, activity, reinforcement, reassessment, evaluation, auditing and continuing education.⁸⁷ The concept of self-management of type 1 diabetes is widely used and has been suggested to include essential attributes such as process, activity and goals.⁹³ Diabetes self-management education has been described as follows:

"The process of providing the person with the knowledge and skills needed to perform diabetes self-care, manage crisis and to make lifestyle changes to successfully manage the disease. The goal of the process is to enable the patient to become the most knowledgeable and hopefully the most active participant in his or her diabetes care". $(p1204)^{94}$

Increased self-management and parent involvement is associated with better metabolic control,^{52, 95} and educational interventions have been shown to have an effect on psychosocial outcomes and a modestly beneficial effect on metabolic control.^{96, 97} One conclusion was that interventions based on clear theoretical psycho-educational principals, involving the whole family and making use of techniques such as problem solving, goal setting, coping skills and stress management are most likely to be effective.^{4, 52, 87, 96, 98} It is a controversial question whether or not educational interventions per se are effective.⁹⁹ Enthusiasm and motivation is often associated with research on new methods of providing treatment and professionals involved in the care may have potentially significant influences which could contribute to the effectiveness of the intervention.⁹⁷ Since diabetes education is not only a concept of knowledge transfer but also includes aspects such as enabling families to accomplish lifestyle changes, the enthusiasm and motivation of healthcare providers are likely to be important components.

Hospital-Based versus Home-Based Care

The often negative impact of hospital admission on children and their families calls for alternative ways of providing care. Paediatric home care (PHC) is on the increase due to the potential psychosocial benefits of home care, on the one hand, and the costs of hospital-based healthcare, on the other hand.¹⁰⁰ There is no consensus as to the definition of PHC and the service can be based on both general and specialist schemes. General PHC services most often work from a community base in a single district. By contrast, specialist services are more likely to be hospital-based, providing service to more than one district.¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰³ Outpatient treatment is provided by healthcare professionals in the outpatient clinic at the hospital-based home care (HBHC) refers to specialist care in a home-based setting.

A child diagnosed with diabetes should always be referred to a specialised clinic for treatment.^{55, 104} Conventionally, children newly diagnosed with type 1 diabetes have been admitted to hospital as a part of their initial management. The duration of hospital admission has varied greatly, from a few days to several weeks,^{67, 105-111} but over recent years there has been a trend towards shorter lengths of stay and/or exclusively outpatient management.^{79, 106-110} Sweden has a long tradition of hospital-based care when a child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes, as recommended by the Swedish national guidelines for paediatric diabetes.⁵⁵ For some children, hospital admission is necessary due to the clinical presentation. However, whether children who are not acutely ill at diagnosis, should be managed at hospital or at home, is a

strongly debated issue. Some units routinely admit to hospital, while others say the children can be safely managed at home.^{79, 112} Hospital admission can be seen as an opportunity for intensive education which might provide benefits regarding longterm outcomes.¹² On the other hand home-based care has been suggested to enable parents, to a greater extent, to integrate diabetes management into the family's normal lifestyle from the time of diagnosis and by so doing to reduce the negative impact of the disease on the family.¹¹² Furthermore, it could also be argued that home-based care conveys the wrong message to families about the seriousness of the disease¹¹³ even though this is not an experience communicated by parents of children managed at home from diagnosis.¹¹² Families who experienced hospital-based care at diagnosis described that the theoretical knowledge they had acquired at the hospital was no longer valid at home and the family members felt unprepared and insecure after discharge.^{114, 115} When a child newly diagnosed with diabetes is discharged from hospital, parents need to take over the responsibility of daily decisions, previously assumed by healthcare professionals.¹¹³ Parents of hospitalised children want to participate in their child's care; however, parental involvement is not always facilitated by healthcare professionals.^{116, 117} Although a Cochrane review concluded that homebased care did not seem to lead to any disadvantages in terms of physical, psychological, social or economic outcomes compared to hospital-based care,¹² there is a lack of high quality evidence concerning whether hospital-based or home-based care from diagnosis of children who are not acutely ill is different in any of the named outcomes.^{12, 118, 119}

Family Centred Care

Our relationship with parents, partners, children, siblings and others is one of the key elements of our humanity and in many respects, these relationships shape who we are and what we become.¹²⁰ They can be the source of great happiness and also of tremendous distress. The family is the most central and enduring influence in a child's life and must therefore be encompassed in paediatric care.⁷

The Family

Current theories of family development are different from those of earlier decades. Early conceptualisations viewed the family as a closed unit. During the 1970s there was a change in this view and the family was increasingly regarded as a complex, adaptive system that was ever changing and growing, selectively opening up to transactions with other systems. This view of the family as a social system gave emphasis to familiar system theory concepts. System theory involves the notion that the parts of a system are connected such that change in one part of the system influences other parts of the system. The family is partly open to societal demands and environmental changes that require adaptation in the family. That is, the family system may exclude the external world when dealing with internal family issues and develop rules for conducting transactions with other social groups.¹²⁰ Research and theories of family stress were integrated into family development theory and modified the original framework.¹²¹ Four factors that affect a family's adjustment to stressors were defined: the personal resources of family members, the internal resources of the family system, social support and coping strategies. When members have sufficient resources they are less likely to view a stressful situation as problematic. Basic components of personal resources are finances, education, and health and personality characteristics. The family system's internal resources are about how the family functions together for cohesion and adaptability as well as being about their problemsolving abilities. Social support is emphasised as the major protective factor against the effects of stressors and thereby the family's vulnerability. A family's strategy of coping is progressively modified over time. Because the family is a system, coping behaviour involves the management of various dimensions of family life simultaneously. Coping is a process of achieving balance in the family system which facilitates organisation and unity and promotes individual growth and development.121

Complexity is characteristic of the field of family studies and, in order to claim to understand the evolving family, clinical intervention would need to have an understanding of ethnicity, culture, religion, gender, sexual preference, family life cycle, socioeconomic status, education, physical and mental health, values and belief systems.¹²⁰ Cohabitation, divorce, and remarriage are quite commonplace and the limiting of the definition of a family to the nuclear family of two parents and their children, is no longer adequate. In this thesis the term family is defined by the family itself, recognising that variety and diversity is the hallmark of the family of today. The use of the term parent in this context thus refers to the parent-figure to the child.

The Child

During the 1930s and 1940s, several members of the new generation of psychoanalysts observed and studied, independently of each other, the negative effects in young children's mental development if they were separated for a long time or on several occasions from the person to whom they were attached, this being at the time,

most often the mother of the child. One of them was John Bowlby (1907-1990).^{122,} ¹²³ While many of the academic psychologists looked for explanations in Freud's libido theory, Bowlby was introduced to the fields of ethology and the imprint behaviour of goslings. By the late 1950s he had accumulated a body of observational and theoretical work to indicate the fundamental importance for human development of attachment from birth. Its most important principal is that an infant's development depends on continued attachment to a responsive and responsible caregiver.^{123, 124} John Bowlby observed that the child's behaviour became more active by the end of the first year whenever certain conditions obtained and ceased when certain other conditions obtained. A child's attachment behaviour is particularly activated by pain, fatigue and anything frightening. This attachment behaviour is in no way confined to young children, it is also seen in adolescents and even adults whenever they are anxious or under stress. Parents provide a secure base from which a child or an adolescent can make sorties into the outside world and to which the child can return, knowing for sure to be welcome, nourished physically and emotionally, comforted if distressed, reassured if frightened. Children and adolescents, as they get older, venture steadily further from the secure base and for increasing spans of time.^{122, 123} Prominent psychoanalysts objected to Bowlby's view. However, when the distress and anxiety of a two-year-old in the hospital who was separated from her parents was depicted on screen in James Robertson's film, it turned out to be a powerful instrument for promoting changes in practice.^{122, 124} Margaret Mahler's separation-individuation theory which emphasises autonomy and individuation was initially also regarded as diverging from traditional views. Continued attachment permits autonomy and independence which are two sides of a complementary development. Along with self-constancy, it allows for individuation and the uniqueness of personal identity.¹²⁴

Family Function

One of the most consistent findings in epidemiology is that the quality of an individual's and family's health is negatively affected by lower socioeconomic status (SES).^{125, 126} In accordance with the findings, social family background factors such as a low educational level and socioeconomic situation as well as single-parenthood are associated with a greater risk of poor metabolic control and re-admissions.^{5, 127} The number of siblings may also be associated with a higher level of distress.¹²⁸ Self-efficacy, the belief in one's ability to successfully perform specific behaviour that will have positive health benefits, is related to better diabetes self-management behaviour.¹²⁹ Diabetes management requires a practical understanding and the

development of skills for integrating the treatment in everyday life⁸⁷ and family functioning is closely integrated with the management of diabetes in children.^{4, 130} Higher collaborative parent involvement, 130-132 fathers' involvement in the diabetes management,¹⁰⁵ a warm and supportive parenting style and communication,¹³³⁻¹³⁵ agreements about diabetes management responsibilities,^{136, 137} supportive behaviour as well as parents' problem-solving skills¹³⁸ are all associated with better metabolic control. In the same way, conflicts, disagreements about responsibilities, and discrepancy concerning the diabetes-related management, are associated with worse metabolic control.^{130, 139} Adolescents who experience lower friend support have higher life stress and the latter is associated with worse metabolic control.¹⁴⁰ Friends have been shown to provide more emotional support while family support is more instrumental which emphasises the importance of facilitating the involvement of fiends in the adolescent's diabetes management.¹³² Systematic reviews of psychological and behavioural interventions have shown a small to medium-sized beneficial effect on diabetes management.96-98, 141 Interventions that were theoretically based were significantly more effective than those that were not and, also, interventions that include family relationships and communication may be more effective.

Theoretical Framework

Guralnick¹⁴² presents three family characteristics influencing the developmental outcomes of children; firstly the sensitivity of the parents' responsiveness to the child, secondly the degree of responsibility (in relation to the age of the child) that the parents take for the child's experiences, and thirdly the measure of health and safety provided by the family. Intellectual disabilities or poor health, as well as a lack of financial resources and social support affect families' levels of distress and their adjustments to stressful situations such as issues associated with the child's biological vulnerability, for example, diabetes or other chronic illnesses. These stressors are capable of perturbing even optimal family patterns of interaction.¹⁴² It is presumed to be the cumulative effect that produces the greatest threat to children's physiological and mental health.¹⁴³ When considering risk factors regarding poor metabolic control, the greatest risk would be for children in families that are already exposed to high level of distress, over and above the stress caused by the child's diabetes. Studies indicate that the time after diagnosis is a difficult time for the whole family, characterised especially by the need for information and for resources to learn how to live with the illness as well as by difficulties in maintaining the diabetes regime.^{114, 115, 144, 145} Theoretical information is not always easily transformed into

practical skills and experiences; a "learning by doing" approach leads, to a greater extent, to knowledge, compared to an entirely theoretical approach. This phenomenon was first described by John Dewey (1859-1952), an American philosopher, as the acquirement of knowledge being facilitated if it comes concurrently with a need for this knowledge.^{146, 147} Experiential learning is the process of making meaning from direct experience and Dewey discussed the need to have concrete experiences in order to learn. Donald Schön¹⁴⁸ established the concept of reflection during the 1980s, and the idea that reflecting together with others may elucidate tacit knowledge. Limitations imposed on families due to the diabetes treatment might not be as severe as they initially appear, and stress related to the illness can often be avoided by practical advice and by appropriate timing within the treatment.

In this research it is assumed that what the family is taught initially and the way of living with diabetes that is first presented to them, will be experienced by them as the right way and it will be more difficult to maintain a regime the more it diverges from the family's natural lifestyle. A home environment is presumed to move responsibility for the diabetes treatment from healthcare professionals to the family with increased family participation as a consequence. Participation is likely to raise an extended need for practical knowledge which might facilitate for the family's personal lifestyle more visible to health professionals, allowing for strengths and difficulties to be taken into consideration thus affording an individualised learning process and better utilisation of the resources. The social background of the family has been shown to be one of the most important factors for metabolic control and an important goal is to tailor this support to their needs.¹⁴⁹

Aims

The overall aim of this thesis was to investigate the initial care for children diagnosed with type 1 diabetes, receiving conventional hospital-based care and hospital-based home care. A further aim was to identify families in which the child runs the risk of a decreased metabolic control and to give these families increased support. The hypothesis was that of improved metabolic control after two years for children having received hospital-based home care compared to children having received hospitalbased care at diagnosis.

- The aim of paper I was to assess whether temporal changes, over a ten-year period, in the initial management for children diagnosed with type 1 diabetes period affected metabolic control two years after diagnosis. A further aim was to investigate whether social factors, registered at the time for diagnosis, had an impact on metabolic control over time.
- The aim of paper II was to describe the study design and outcome measurements of a randomised controlled trial with the aim of comparing two different regimes for children diagnosed with type 1 diabetes; hospital-based care and hospital-based home care.
- The aim of paper III was to compare the two different regimens for children diagnosed with type 1 diabetes, hospital-based care and hospital-based home care in terms of child metabolic control, parents' healthcare satisfaction and healthcare costs one month after diagnosis.
- The aim of paper IV was to compare the two different regimens for children diagnosed with type 1 diabetes in terms of the child's metabolic control and healthcare resource use, as well as parents' healthcare satisfaction and degree of employment six months after diagnosis. A further aim was to evaluate healthcare resource use and child metabolic control in relation to the families' levels of risk for psychosocial distress.

Methods

This thesis includes two studies (study A and B). Study A resulted in one paper (Paper I) and study B resulted in three papers (Paper II, III and IV). An overview of the methods is given in Table 1.

Study	Design	Time period	Sample	Follow-up	Paper
А	Retrospective	Children diagnosed from January 1997 up to December 2006	247 children, aged 0-16 years, newly diagnosed with type 1 diabetes	Two years after diagnosis	Ι
В	Randomised controlled trial	Children diagnosed from March 2008 up to August 2011	60 children, aged 3-15 years, newly diagnosed with type 1 diabetes	– One month after diagnosis Six months after diagnosis	II III IV

 $\label{eq:Table 1} \ensuremath{\text{Table 1}}\xspace{0.5ex} \ensuremath{\text{Overview of the study design, time period, samples and follow-up presented in the four papers} \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1}}\xspace{0.5ex} \ensuremath{\mathsf{Ta$

Design

Study A had a retrospective design and was a historical control group to the hospitalbased care, and study B was a randomised controlled trial (RCT). Interventions in healthcare are associated with several practical and methodological difficulties.^{96, 141, 150-154} To reduce these difficulties, the design of study B was based on the Medical Research Council framework for development and evaluations of RCTs for complex interventions to improve health.^{155, 156} The framework distinguishes five phases shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Five phases of framework for trials of complex interventions (Adapted from Medical Research Council) 156

In the pre-clinical or the theoretical phase the mechanism of action in the intervention was established. In the first phase and the second step in the evaluation of the complex intervention, an understanding of the components was developed as to how they may inter-relate with a modelling of the assumed directions of effects (Figure 2). In phase two the intervention was planned, including standard features such as identifying sample size, determining appropriate outcome measures, translating and testing the instruments.¹⁵⁷ The final step of phase two was to test the intervention in a pilot study, where families of two children newly diagnosed with type 1 diabetes were informed about the study and asked for consent for the pilot study. The logistics proceeded as planned and no adjustments of the design were needed. The third and central phase of the evaluation was the main RCT. The fourth

phase is a separate study. If the intervention is shown to be effective in the RCT, the question of whether the effect sustains when the intervention is implemented into routine care is still to be answered and this final step is to establish long term and real-life effectiveness of the intervention.

Figure 2 Assumed directions of effects in the modelling of the intervention, based on the theoretical framework $^{\rm 142}$



The Context

Both studies took place at the Skåne University Hospital, in Lund, Sweden. The city Lund is situated in southern Sweden with approximately 100 000 inhabitants and the population of the city has a high educational level; 50% had at least three years of tertiary education (national average 24%), in year 2010.¹⁵⁸ The Skåne University Hospital is one of eight university hospitals in Sweden, and collaborates with other units in the region of Skåne. It is a local hospital, but since it is also a regional and university hospital service includes highly specialised care in the region as well as on a national and international level. The hospital's activities are distributed in several divisions where paediatrics is one of them, carried out at the Children's Hospital. The Children's Hospital has a local catchment area with a population of 71,684 children and adolescents (December 2010) from 0-17 years.¹⁵⁸ The diabetes department unit cares for about 250 children and adolescents, aged 0-17, and 25-35 children are diagnosed with type 1 diabetes per year.¹⁵⁹ Previous to the year 2009, the diabetes department unit cared for about 270 children. The reduction is explained by a changed age limit for adolescents' transition to the adult diabetes care setting, which was made more rigorous during the time period and the department unit's activities were only to include children and adolescents aged 0-17 years.

The diabetes team members included diabetes-specialised paediatric nurses (from now on called diabetes nurses), paediatricians specialised in childhood diabetes (from now on called paediatricians), a dietician and a social worker. A psychologist was available for families with special needs, consulted by the diabetes team when needed. The diabetes team was stable during the time period when both studies were carried out, in the sense that there has been a low staff turnover at the diabetes department unit. In study B, a Family House placed in the hospital area offering sick children and their families a home-like environment was chosen as a home-based form of care for the intervention group and will subsequently be referred to as hospital-based home care (HBHC).

Study Population

A flowchart of the children in studies A and B is shown in Figure 3. Between the years 1997 and 2006, 250 children were diagnosed with type 1 diabetes at the Children's Hospital in Lund. In study A children were followed for two years and since, during this period, the transitions to the adult diabetes care setting had not

been implemented, until they had reached the age of at least 19 it allowed for the inclusion criterion of age, up to the 16th year. Data from three children were excluded as they moved from the hospital's catchment area shortly after diagnosis, meaning that 247 children were recorded within study A.



Figure 3 Flowchart of children through the phases of study A and study B
Study B included children diagnosed with type 1 diabetes from the 1 March 2008 up to the end of August 2011. The inclusion criteria were that of children, aged from 3-15 years, newly diagnosed with type 1 diabetes at the Children's Hospital in Lund. Additional inclusion criteria were that children did not have any other difficult chronic illnesses, had no siblings with type 1 diabetes and were not in the custody of social care. Sixteen children did not meet the inclusion criteria and were therefore not asked to participate. In four cases the adolescents were more than 15 years old and in four cases the children were younger than 3 years old. Three children had another difficult chronic illness and three children had a sibling with type 1 diabetes. In one case, the family neither spoke nor understood the Swedish language and in one case the child was treated the first days after diagnosis at another hospital. Six families were informed and asked to participate but declined. The reason given was in four cases that the families preferred a hospital-based care, in one case that the family had had negative experiences of participating in studies and in one case that the family was in a difficult psychosocial situation and did not feel like they had the strength to participate. There were totally 117 parents (59 mothers and 58 fathers) of the 60 children, who participated in the initial management when their child was diagnosed with type 1 diabetes, meaning that, at the time for inclusion, three children only had contact with one parent. In several families, the parents of the newly diagnosed child were separated and the child then most often lived alternately with each parent every second week.

The children were randomised in two strata, younger than eight years or eight years and older in order to ensure that younger and older children were equally distributed between groups. An independent centre for clinical research was commissioned to perform the randomisation, and they used the software R-2.6.1.¹⁶⁰ The number of labels was unknown to the investigators and the seed of the random number generator was stored. The software had X number of labels with "Hospital based care" and X number of labels with HBHC. The software chose the labels randomly (the block size was 2^{*}X) and the procedure was repeated until there was a list long enough for the number of children needed for one strata. The same procedure was carried out for the next strata. The investigators received two sets of coded, sealed and opaque envelopes, one set of red coloured envelopes for older children and one set of blue coloured envelopes for younger children. In each set the envelopes were identical and contained one of two possible instruction sheets, "Hospital-based care" or "HBHC".

Conventional Care and Intervention

In study B, treatment manuals were used and regularly checked, both in order to ensure that families were actually receiving treatment according to the protocol and so as to prevent treatment from sliding over time. All children received the same insulin treatment, which most often included about two days with initial intravenous insulin treatment. After the intravenous treatment, children were prescribed multiple subcutaneous injections with rapid and basal insulin analogues, with a few exceptions for the youngest children who were introduced to insulin pump therapy during the initial management. Children randomised to hospital-based care followed the conventional care at the hospital and the child as well as the child's parents had information meetings with the diabetes team members during the hospital stay. The information followed a checklist, based on the national guidelines for paediatric diabetes⁵⁵ where each discipline was responsible for different portions of education on the checklist. One parent could stay at the hospital with the child during the night and the other parent was encouraged to be present during the educational sessions. Towards the end of the hospital stay, when the family had received most of the planned information, they were able to leave the hospital for a couple of days before the child was actually discharged. After discharge, families followed the regular follow-up which included outpatient visits to the responsible paediatrician, more frequently during the first weeks then gradually thinning out to every third or fourth month. The diabetes nurse offered a school visit, over and above the outpatient visits to the paediatrician, with the purpose of informing teachers and school friends about the disease and the treatment with insulin. Families in the hospital-based group had accessibility to telephone support from a diabetes nurse five days a week.

Children randomised to HBHC left the Children's Hospital together with their family when the child was medically stable, and stayed at the Family House with the support of a diabetes nurse during parts of the day. The child and the child's parents had information meetings with other professionals in the diabetes team at the Children's Hospital in accordance with the conventional care. The active parts of the HBHC were defined as an individualised learning process through supportive interaction between the family and a diabetes nurse at the Family House. Another active part included the home-like environment which allowed families to practice the diabetes management with concurrent support. The final active part was an increased support after discharge in the form of three home and/or school visits by the diabetes nurse over and above the regular diabetes check visits as well as increased telephone access to the diabetes nurse, seven days a week. After discharge, there was a follow-up of the HBHC group in which they were divided into two groups based on the results of an instrument assessing the level of psychosocial distress of the families. Families

not expected to be in need for an increased support received the same follow-up as the control group, that is, the regular follow-up. Families assessed to have an increased need for support were offered one home or school visit every month by the diabetes nurse in addition to the regular diabetes check visits every third or fourth month. The design of groups in study B was diagrammed as follows:

Control group	Treatment A		Follow-up
Intervention group	Treatment B	Treatment B1 Treatment B2	Follow-up

Data collection

In study A outcome measurements, registered prospectively, included the child's age, pH at diagnosis, HbA1c, length of initial intravenous insulin infusion, insulin type, length of hospital admissions, number of visits and social factors that might impact the family's level of distress, from now on referred to as stressors. The data was retrospectively retrieved from the Patient Administrative Support in Skåne (PASIS), patient's records and from a national quality registry, the Swedish Childhood Diabetes Registry (SWEDIABKIDS).

The primary outcome of study B was metabolic control, measured by HbA1c two years after diagnosis. Beside socio-demographic characteristics, which were based on the characteristics used in the Swedish Survey of Living Conditions information (Undersökningar av levnadsförhållanden, ULF),¹⁵⁸ the secondary outcomes of study B are shown in Table 2. The outcomes were set to evaluate consequences of the two treatments in child, family and health service and the Family was represented by the parents' answers. Outcomes included extensive data from instruments collected at the time of discharge and 6, 12 and 24 months after diagnosis. Paper III describes results of study B one month after the child's diagnosis and the data included child metabolic control measured by SMBG, severe events, parents' satisfaction with the received healthcare measured with PedsQLTM Healthcare Satisfaction Generic Module, as well as healthcare resource use and costs. Paper IV describes the results of study B six months after diagnosis and the data included child metabolic control measured by HbA1c, severe events, parents' working situation, parents' satisfaction with the received healthcare measured with PedsQLTM Healthcare Satisfaction Generic Module, healthcare resource use and the level of risk for parents' psychosocial distress in relation to subsequent resource use, measured by the Staff Psychosocial

Assessment Tool (Staff PAT), assessed by the diabetes team. A research assistant who was not involved in the care assessed the outcomes and booked appointments with the families, outside the hospital, for answering the questionnaires.

Child	Family	Health Services	
SMBG	Family costs and work situation	Healthcare resourse use and costs	
Hypoglycaemia and severe events	Psychosocial distress	Healthcare satisfaction	
HQoL	Parent's HQoL		
Diabetes realted HQoL	Mood states		
Family diabetes support	Family climate		
	Hassles and uplifts in everyday patterns		
	Disease's impact on family		
	Diabetes conflicts		

Table 2 Secondary outcome measurements in study B, what they evaluate in relation to child, family or health services

Register

The Patient Administrative Support in Skåne (PASIS) is an administrative register for care and treatment provided by Skåne Regional Council. Every time a person is admitted to hospital, has an outpatient visit or a telephone contact within the region of Skåne, this is registered in PASIS. The register also contains information about the time and date of admission or visit, as well as which department unit and which professional category were involved. If the patient has been admitted to hospital then, at the time of discharge, the entry registration is complemented with information about the time and date of discharge.

Since the year 2000 data for each visit at the diabetes department unit, have been prospectively registered on an individual level in the Swedish Childhood Diabetes Registry (SWEDIABKIDS) and the register contains information for more than 90% of children with diabetes in Sweden. The reporting in SWEDIABKIDS includes

basic information such as height, weight, HbA1c value and type of contact as well as information about insulin regime, severe hypoglycaemia, ketoacidosis, screening for, and prevalence of, eye and kidney complications, annual control and antihypertensive treatment.¹⁵⁹

Healthcare Satisfaction

PedsQLTM Health Care Satisfaction Generic Module was developed in connection with the building of a new children's hospital in San Diego.^{161, 162} The procedure for the development of the instruments involved literature reviews, focus groups and individual focus interviews, preparation of questions, pilot testing and subsequent field testing of the new instrument on the population group within which the instruments were intended to be used. Based on the literature reviews and focus groups, questions were constructed for the instruments. The generic instrument consists of five questions related to information, four questions regarding inclusion of family, five questions concerning communication, three questions about technical skills, four questions measuring emotional needs, and finally three questions measuring overall satisfaction; a total of 24 questions in six domains. The response scales are answered according to a 5-point Likert-scale ranging from never (0), sometimes (1), often (2), almost always (3) to always (4). In a sample of 113 parents, internal consistency reliability alpha coefficient for the whole instrument was 0.96.¹⁶¹ A reliability of greater than 0.70 is recommended to compare groups, whereas a reliability of greater than 0.90 is recommended for comparing individuals.^{163, 164} All subscales exceeded the minimum of 0.70 and item-scale correlation varied between 0.59-0.88 (recommended >0.40),¹⁶⁴ indicating that the instrument in relation to the tested sample showed high reliability. Factor analysis resulted in a 4-factor solution that could explain 72% of the variance. In a second sample of 40 parents, Cronbach's alpha internal consistency reliability coefficient showed an average of 0.92 (subscales ranging from 0.82-0.96).¹⁶² In the current sample of study B, the Alpha coefficient for the whole instrument was 0.96 (measured at discharge) and 0.97 (measured six months from diagnosis). The authors recommended the utilization of a total scale score as the singular summary score or as the sum of the items divided by the numbers of items answered (to account for missing data) for primary analysis of parent satisfaction in randomised controlled trials. The four factor scale scores may provide the more fine-grained multi-dimensional domain scores for secondary analysis of specific satisfaction research or clinical services evaluations.¹⁶¹

Families' Psychosocial Distress

In study A, based on the theoretical framework¹⁴² as well as on the existing literature,^{4, 5, 128, 165, 166} information of stressors that may put families at an increased risk of psychosocial distress when the child was diagnosed with type 1 diabetes were retrospectively retrieved from children's records and the data was therefore limited to the information available in the records. The stressors for the child that were defined were: if the child had another illness apart from type 1 diabetes, and if the child had problems in school or had no, or minimal, contact with one of the parents. Stressors that were assumed to indirectly impact the child's situation were illness in the family, parental unemployment, long term sick-leave, criminal records as well as financial problems in the family. Each stressor was registered; if it was not present (0), present for one family member (1) or present for two family members (2). The number of stressors was summarised for each family together with the parental marital status (parents living together (0), parents separated (1)).

In study B, the information of families' distress was assessed by the Staff Psychosocial Assessment Tool (Staff PAT), which is a brief psychosocial screening instrument. The instrument was originally developed for the paediatric oncology population and completed by the child's caregiver (PAT),^{128, 167-171} based on a theoretical model recognising that families of children with illnesses represent a cross-section of the general population, with three categories of risk level. Most families are distressed but resilient (universal psychosocial risk level) at the time of diagnosis, a smaller number of families with specific constellations of individual, family, social, and economic factors, experience acute or elevated distress that puts them at greater risk for developing difficulties in the management of the disease (selected psychosocial risk level). The smallest subset of families presents multiple risk factors evident at diagnosis and warrants the most intensive and ongoing psychosocial care (targeted psychosocial risk level). The instrument has been modified to be applicable to other paediatric populations,¹⁷¹ as well as to provide assessment of psychosocial risk from the professionals' perspective (Staff PAT).¹⁶⁸ The originally constructed PAT instrument^{128, 168} as well as the revised version PAT2.0,^{170, 171} completed by caregivers of children, has been tested for reliability and validity. The PAT2.0 is comprised of the following seven subscales: family structure and resources, family social support, family problems, parent stress reactions, family beliefs, child problems and sibling problems. Internal consistence reliability coefficient for the total PAT2.0 was 0.81 (subscales ranging 0.62-0.81) and the validity was supported by significant correlations between PAT2.0 and corresponding constructs.¹⁷⁰

The Staff PAT was developed and used as a parallel assessment of healthcare professionals' perspective of the sick child and the instrument takes less than 5 minutes to complete. Consistent with the theoretical framework of the instruments,¹²⁸ physicians and nurses rated most families as being on the universal level (low risk), fewer families were rated as selected (moderate risk), and a small group of families were perceived to be targeted (high risk). The Staff PAT is a 17items Likert-type rating scale as well as a three-level categorical rating. The items focus on whether a particular risk factor is an area of concern for the family and the responses range from definitely no (0) to definitely yes (3). A sum score is derived with the possible range from 0-51. The staff PAT yields two scores per family: a quantitative total score and a qualitative rating of each family in terms of the three risk levels (universal, selected and targeted). To the best of our knowledge, no clear cut-offs exist of sum scores in relation to the clinical categories. The Staff PAT subscales correspond to the subscales in PAT2.0 and have showed concordance with significant correlations between parents and professionals in the expected direction. The mothers' total scores were associated with the Staff PAT reports of both the physicians (r=0.45, p=<0.01) and the nurses (r=0.38, p=<0.01). The fathers' total scores were significantly correlated with the nurses' Staff PAT reports (r=0.36, p = < 0.5) but not with those of the physicians (r = 0.17, p = > 0.5). Internal consistence reliability coefficient for the total Staff PAT was 0.88 for physicians and 0.82 for nurses.¹⁷⁰ In the sample of study B (measured at discharge), Cronbach's Alpha for the total Staff PAT was 0.80.

Statistical Analysis

Analyses for Paper I and II were conducted using SPSSTM for Windows (version 14.0) and analyses for Paper III and IV were conducted using SPSSTM (version 18.0) and STATA (version 10.0). Choice of appropriate method of analysis is shown in Table 3 below.

Statistical analysis often involves comparisons such as between groups, treatments or procedures and the numerical value corresponding to the comparison of interest is the effect. Information from a sample of individuals is used to make inference about the wider population of like individuals. In statistical comparisons, a null hypothesis is one in which the effect of interest is zero and an alternative hypothesis is one in which the effect of interest is not zero. The comparison is about evaluating the probability (p) for the observed data (or more extreme data) if the null hypothesis were true. If p is very small, then the null hypothesis appears implausible and the alternative

hypothesis appears more plausible. Conventionally a cut-off is chosen and if p is smaller than the cut-off, the null hypothesis is rejected.¹⁷²

Paper	Ι	II	III	IV
Analysis	Mann Whitney U test	Power analyses	Mann Whitney U test	Mann Whitney U test
Students' T-test			Students' T-test	Students' T-test
One-way ANOVA			Chi-square	Chi-square
Repeated measures ANOVA				Spearman's rank correlation
Bonferroni				
Spearman's rank correlation				
Linear regression				
Logistic regression				

Table 3 Statistical analyses used for Papers I-IV

Depending upon the type of variable as well as on the distributional characteristics, parametric or non-parametric methods were chosen.¹⁷² Variables are categorised in four levels of measurements as nominal, ordinal, interval or ratio and the important differences lie between nominal and ordinal on the one hand, and interval and ratio on the other.^{164, 173} Parametric methods are based on measures of means, standard deviation, and differences among the means which can be interpreted from an interval and ratio scale. It makes no sense to calculate the average of nominal or ordinal data, they are regarded as frequencies in individual categories, and non-parametric statistics should be used. Furthermore, parametric methods make distributional assumptions and non-parametric methods make no such assumption.

The use of cut-off for p leads to treating the analysis as a process for making a decision that a statistically significant effect is a real effect and, conversely, that a non-significant result indicates that there is no effect. Two possible errors can then be made, firstly a significant result can be obtained thus rejecting the null hypothesis, when the null hypothesis is in fact true (Type I error) and alternatively, a non-significant result is obtained when the null hypothesis is not true (Type II error). The probability of Type I and Type II errors are sometimes called alpha and beta. The

value of alpha is the cut-off, usually set as 5%. The value of beta depends upon the size of effect that is of interest and the sample size or, in other words, the power of the study to detect an effect of a given size. It is common to require a power of between 80% and 90%.¹⁷²

Power Analyses

The main idea behind sample size calculations is to have a high chance of detecting, as statistically significant, a worthwhile effect if it exists, thus of being reasonably sure that no such benefit exists if it is not found in the trial. The greater the power of the study is, the more likely it is to find a potential difference, but greater power requires larger samples. For a study of two independent groups of individuals the following information needs to be specified: the standard deviation, a clinically relevant difference, the significant level and the power.¹⁷²

In study B, the calculation of the required sample size was based on HbA1c as the primary objective two years after diagnosis when the endogenous insulin production has ceased for most children.⁸¹ The analysis was first done in 2007 and again in 2009 with values of standard deviation from two different samples. Both the first and the second power analyses were performed when the reference method Mono S (%) was used in Sweden. The first sample was comprised of data from the Swedish Childhood Diabetes Registry.¹⁵⁹ As HbA1c varies as most during youth, the calculation was based on 2883 measurements from adolescents aged 10-15 years during 2004. The sample available consisted of one measurement of each individual, and the betweenindividual standard deviation was 7.3mmol/mol (Mono S: 0.7%). To calculate the needed sample size for a comparison of differences between groups of the individual change in HbA1c over time, information of the individual standard deviations were needed and an estimation was done so that the individual variation would not be greater than the between-individual variation. A difference between groups in HbA1c of 10.5mmol/mol (Mono S: 1%) was assessed as reasonable by clinicians. With the power 90%, at a significance level of 5%, the analysis of sample size showed that 25 children were needed in each group.

Study A gave access to another sample with repeated HbA1c measurements for each individual during the first two years after diagnosis which would offer a more precise value for the individual standard deviation. From the distribution of variations in HbA1c for children diagnosed 1997 to 2006, in the ages 3-15 years, the standard deviation for individual HbA1c measurements was 14.6mmol/L (Mono S: 1,4%). To show a mean difference of 10.5mmol/mol it then took 30 children in each group with

a power of 80%, at a significance level of 5% and 31 children in each group with a power of 83%.

Analyses of Variance

The choice of appropriate method of analysis depends on the number of groups of observation, whether the groups are independent or dependent, the type of data, the distribution of data and the objective of the analysis.¹⁷² To compare data from two independent groups, Students' T-test was used if the data was continuous and normally distributed and Mann Whitney U test, if the data was ordinal or not normally distributed. To compare data from three groups, One-way ANOVA was used if the data was continuous and normally distributed and Chi-square if the data was categorical or not normally distributed. Repeated measurement ANOVA is a parametric test and was used when the groups were dependent, meaning the same subjects were observed at different times. Group comparison is normally based on variance between subjects and the strength of repeated measurement ANOVA is that comparisons between the sets of observations are based on within-subject differences. Variation among the means of three or more groups increases the probability of Type I error. Each test has a 5% chance of showing a false positive result when there is no real difference. With multiple comparisons the probability of at least one false positive result is much greater than 5% and the Bonferroni correction was used to correct for the Type I error by multiplication of the *p*-value by three.

Relation between Variables

Correlation is a method of analysis to study the possible linear association between variables and the Spearman method is a non-parametric calculation of the rank correlation coefficient. The correlation coefficient indicates the strength of the association as a single number but does not describe the relation between the variables. Correlation and regression are distinct methods which serve different purposes and regression is a method for the prediction of one variable to another. In the analysis one of the variables is considered as dependent (the outcome) and the other as independent (the predictor), and the analysis indicates the percentage of the variability of the data that is explained by the predictor variables.¹⁷² To describe the linear relation between variables, linear regression was used when the dependent variable was continuous and logistic regression was used when it was categorical.

Ethical Considerations

The necessity to apply rules of correct conduct within human experimentation was highlighted by judgments made by the war crimes tribunal in Nuremberg following World War II (Nuremberg Code). In Rome 1954, a position paper on human experimentation was adopted by the World Medical Association. Draft codes resulted in a final version of the Declaration in Helsinki 1964. The Declaration is a statement of ethical principles to provide guidance for medical research involving human subjects and has been amended six times since, most recently in October 2008.¹⁷⁴ The foundation of ethical clinical research is based upon respect for autonomy, providing beneficence and non-maleficence, and balancing benefit against risk, as well as promoting justice.¹⁷⁵ Ethical considerations in research are largely a matter of finding a reasonable balance between various interests such as our quest for knowledge, individual privacy interests as well as protection against various forms of harm or risk of harm. When research involves human participants, the researcher has a responsibility to ensure the interests of the participants. By placing some individuals in inconvenience for the good of others, ethical considerations are needed to minimise any discomfort for the participants and to ensure that individuals in the research process are being treated with respect while they contribute to the social good.¹⁷⁶ Prior to the studies each of these principles were reviewed and incorporated into the study design.^{153, 177-180} Every clinical trial must be registered in a publicly accessible database before recruitment of the first subject (NCT00804232) and ethical approval was formally obtained for studies A and B by The Regional Ethical Review Board in Lund (LU 305/2007).

Autonomy

Autonomy is related to respect for the person and his/her right to know or not to know and the freedom in making decisions (to participate in or not participate in, or withdraw from, the research). Children should, whenever possible, give their own opinion in the form of written consent for a study they attend, in addition to that of the child's legal caregiver. Children, due to their age-related physical and psychological development, may not be fully capable of understanding the research issues, benefits and risks, and have the right to be protected in line with this principle.¹⁷⁹ The ability to make an independent decision is strictly connected to the process of thinking and the ability of abstract thinking. At the age of 12 years, abstract thinking processes are developed and further built up by the age of 15 years which enables a child to give independent opinions and to perceive a situation as being multidimensional.¹⁸¹ A free-will decision is communication-dependent and effective communication of complex concepts requires, whenever possible, terms that are used in everyday life by the majority of the population as well as being agerelevant. In study B, parents and children over the age of 12 were asked for consent and children under the age of 12 were asked for assent. Children were ageappropriately informed verbally and children 12 years or older also received ageappropriate information in writing. When seeking informed consent, the researcher should be particularly cautious if the participants are in a dependent relationship. In such situations the informed consent should be sought by an appropriately qualified individual who is completely independent of this relationship.^{178, 180} In study B, the responsible paediatrician gave the family verbal information about the study within 48 hours of diagnosis, and asked whether a researcher would be allowed to come and give further information about the study. If the family agreed, the investigator came to the hospital and informed the parents and the child about the study verbally and in writing. The family was then given time for consideration (about 12 hours) before the parent and the child were asked for consent/assent.

Beneficence and Non-Maleficence

Every person consulting healthcare is in a vulnerable situation and a child is especially vulnerable as children are in even more dependent relationships. The principles of beneficence and non-maleficence are interwoven and imply the obligation of maximising possible benefits, protecting participants from potential/predictable harm and securing their wellbeing.¹⁷⁹ Since, in study B, the care in the control group as well as in the intervention group was based on the Swedish national guidelines for paediatric diabetes,⁵⁵ there was no direct threat of harmful effects due to the study. However, children younger than three years as well as children who did not live in a Swedish speaking family were not included for the sake of their safety. In medical practice and research, most interventions involve some burdens.¹⁸⁰ In the RCT, parameters concerning plasma glucose levels, HbA1c and severe acute complications were required. These parameters are registered on a regular basis for all children with

type 1 diabetes and were therefore no extra burden for children and families in the study. Children older than five years were asked to answer a few questionnaires and parents were asked to answer several questionnaires, measuring child and family quality of life, disease impact on family and healthcare satisfaction, and these might have been experienced as time-consuming. To minimise the time needed for the families to answer the questionnaires, the person who assessed the outcomes offered home visits for the filling in of forms. If the family preferred to meet at the Children's Hospital, arrangements were made to combine the meeting with the child's regular diabetes check-up visit.

Every precaution must be taken to protect the privacy of research subjects and the confidentiality of their personal information as well as to minimise the impact of the study on their physical, mental and social integrity. Questionnaires in study B may have raised thoughts for the child and their parents that would otherwise not exist. The first and second time the families were asked to fill in questionnaires, the person who assessed the outcomes stayed with the families when they filled in the forms, available to answer questions related to the questionnaires. Questions related to the child's diabetes were handed to the appropriate person in the diabetes team for clarification. Home visits by the diabetes nurse could have affected the integrity of the families and therefore information about the purpose with the visits was pointed out as being of a supportive nature for the everyday life of the families and that no controlling purpose existed. In some cases, families preferred to meet at the Children's Hospital and arrangement was then made to provide for those wishes.

A questionnaire for identifying psychosocial risk factors of families' resources based on the diabetes team's judgement was used and formed the basis of further support. Families in the intervention group assessed to be in need of increased support were informed about the possibility and it was emphasised that this was precisely that; an offer of increased support. To protect the confidentiality of families' personal information, questionnaires in study B were coded and no papers contained the names of family members and thereafter codes were used throughout the data collection and analysis. In studies A and B, confidentiality of each family member's personal identity and information was protected by having a single master list of enrolled subjects secured in a locked drawer. In both studies, the lists were only known to the principle investigator and to the person who collected outcomes. A potential benefit of participation in study B, was that of gaining access to an intervention to which families would otherwise not have access. Participants may also experience excitement of being part of a study as well as a sense of satisfaction that the information they provided might help others in the same situation since it added to the knowledge needed for providing improved care and initial management.¹⁸²

Justice

Justice addresses the issue of recruiting participants in a non-discriminatory fashion with regards to age, gender, and nationality.^{179, 180} Both in study A and B, only reasons strictly related to research objectives and the children's safety defined the criteria for selection of participants. In study B, the youngest children were not included for safety reasons. Younger children cannot recognise and communicate early warning signs and symptoms of hypoglycaemia as accurately as older children or adults can.¹⁸³ Studies have shown an association between hypoglycaemia and an increased risk of cognitive and behavioural difficulties,^{33, 34, 36, 184-186} particularly at a young age at onset.^{185, 187} One family was not included due to difficulties in understanding the Swedish language. Participation required a dialogue between the diabetes team and the family where misunderstandings could have entailed a risk to the child. The upper age limit for inclusion was chosen because the transitions to the adult diabetes care setting when the adolescents have had their 18th birthday and the follow-up of two years set the upper age limit to 15 years. Since the focus of the study was type 1 diabetes, other possible major impacts on the family's situation needed to be minimised such as other difficult chronic illnesses or the child being in the custody of social care. For children who had a sibling with type 1 diabetes, the family was likely to have experiences that might differentiate them from other families and was therefore not included in the study. In environmental as well as therapeutic research, justice is directly linked to the validity of the study, and to the possibility of extrapolating research findings from the study sample to the target population, which will be thoroughly discussed in the discussion section.

Findings

The findings are presented in accordance with the aims of the study i.e. the investigation of the initial care for children diagnosed with type 1 diabetes in relation to different aspects of consequences of the disease: children's metabolic control including severe events, parents' healthcare satisfaction, families' levels of distress as well as the use and costs of healthcare resources.

Children's Metabolic Control

In study A there was no correlation between the duration of intravenous insulin treatment and HbA1c six months (r=0.065, p=0.366) or 12 months after diagnosis (r=0.033, p=0.643) nor any differences in subcutaneous insulin type and HbA1c <64 or ≥ 64 mmol/mol two years after diagnosis (p=0.434). A greater number of days of inhospital care correlated significantly with higher HbA1c six months after diagnosis (r=0.162, p=0.012), which was likely to be explained by the child's age; younger children had more in-hospital care and the youngest children also had higher HbA1c (Paper I). In study B, there were no severe acute diabetes complications or other severe events reported during the trial or during the follow-up of six months. In the comparison of hospital-based and HBHC at diagnosis, the period one month after diagnosis showed significantly lower daily SMBG variability in the HBHC group compared to the hospital-based group (Figure 4) but no difference in daily mean measurements of SMBG (Figure 5).

Figure 4 Group mean of standard deviation (minimum and maximun) in daily plasma glucose measurements in hospital-based care and HBHC, one month from diagnosis



Plasma glucose measurements of the period one month from diagnosis were divided into two periods, the period when the child received care in hospital or HBHC and the period when the child was discharged and slept in his/her own home. There was no difference in the mean glucose level or in variability when the child received care in hospital-based care or in HBHC, however when the children returned home, those who had received HBHC showed advantages in metabolic control by lower mean plasma glucose values and lower variability compared to children having received hospital-based care. Children in the hospital-based group had more episodes of hypoglycaemia compared to children in the HBHC group during the period of the first month (p<0.001), during the period when the child received care (p<0.001) and during the period when the child slept at home (p<0.001) (Paper III). Six months after diagnosis, there were no differences in HbA1c (mmol/mol) with a mean of 43.3 (SD 5.6) in the hospital-based care and 42.8 (7.1) in the HBHC (p=0.747); nor were there differences in the insulin dose/kg/24h with a mean of 0.58 (SD 0.17) in the hospital-based care and 0.59 (0.21) in the HBHC (p=0.920) (Paper IV).





Parents' Healthcare Satisfaction

Fifty-eight parents in the hospital-based group and 59 parents in HBHC participated in the child's initial care. When the child was discharged from hospital-based care or HBHC, 116 parents responded to The PedsQLTM Healthcare Satisfaction Generic Module (Paper III). The mean value of parent satisfaction with the received healthcare was 76.7 (95% confidence interval 71.4-82.0) in the hospital-based group and 88.4 (85.9-91.0) in the HBHC group (p=0.015). Six months after the child's diagnosis (Paper IV), 102 parents reported the healthcare satisfaction to a mean value of 79.1 (73.6-84.7) in the hospital-based care and 86.8 (83.0-90.6) in the HBHC (p=0.014).

Family's Distress

The only difference found in study A when analysing the relation of potential stressors and the child's metabolic control was that girls, with more than one stressor had a higher mean value of HbA1c compared to girls with fewer stressors or to boys (p=0.020). To examine whether differences in parents' marital status affected children's metabolic control, a subgroup analysis was performed comparing children who lived in a family with no, or only one potential stressor, and no differences were found (p=0.981) (Paper I). In study B there were totally 60 families and the Staff PAT was rated for 59 families. The median score in Staff PAT was 3.5 (range 0-20) in the hospital-based group and 3.0 (0-18) in HBHC (p=0.124). The rated risk levels were in 7/30 (23.3%) cases rated as selected in hospital-based care and in 2/29 (6.9%) cases in HBHC (p=0.145) and none were rated as targeted. Sum scores and categorical risk levels were significantly correlated (r=0.534, p<0.001). Staff PATrated categorical risk levels correlated significantly with subsequent resource use (r=0.285, p=0.029) and did not correlate with HbA1c at six months (r=-0.024, p=0.029)p=0.860). PAT sum scores did not correlate significantly with subsequent resource use (r=0.242, p=0.064) nor with HbA1c at six months (r=0.226, p=0.085) (Paper IV).

Resource Use and Costs

During the years 1997 up to 2006 all children, except one, were admitted to hospital. The duration of the hospital stay decreased from a mean of 19.8 days (min-max 13-29) in 1997 to 13.6 days (7-19) in 2006 (p<0.001). The number of hospital overnight stays decreased from a mean of 12.8 days (5-18) in 1997 to 8.4 days (4-13) in 2006 (p<0.001). Age at diagnosis (p=0.004) and pH at diagnosis (p<0.001) predicted days of hospital stay (Paper I). Seventy-five per cent of the children had pH \geq 7.30 at diagnosis and 94% of the children initially received intravenous insulin treatment. Duration of insulin infusion in 1997 was a median of 43 hours (min-max 15-135) and in 2006, 53 hours (24-123) (p=0.106). After the intravenous treatment, all children were prescribed multiple subcutaneous injections. The dominant insulin type for newly diagnosed children in 1997 (24/25 children) was human insulin both for meals (regular) and for basal insulin (NPH). In 2002 most children (20/22) still started with human insulin but changed during the follow-up to insulin analogues for meals combined with NPH. In 2006 all of the children (30/30) started on insulin analogues, rapid and basal insulin, after intravenous infusion. Insulin pump therapy was introduced to 2-4 children yearly during the follow-up period. The number of regular diabetes visits in the two-year follow-up time showed a mean of 10.7 visits (SD 1.97) in 1997 and 11.6 (SD 2.58) in 2002. Year 2006 differed from earlier years with a mean of 8.2 visits (SD 1.84). Children diagnosed during the first five year period (1997-2001) had more emergency visits during follow-up compared to children diagnosed during the last five year period (2002-2006) (p=0.019). There were no differences between the five year periods in terms of diabetes related readmissions (p=0.633). The most common reason for re-admission was gastrointestinal illness associated with difficulties in maintaining the glucose levels (Paper I).

In study B, there were no differences between groups in how parents had changed their working hours after their child's diagnosis nor were there differences in parents' or significant others' absence from work related to the child's diabetes (Paper IV). The number of overnight stays in hospital amounted to a median of 8.5 (4-14) in the hospital-based care and in the HBHC the comparative number was 3.0 (1-5) of hospital overnight stays together with 6.0 (3-8) overnight stays at the Family House. The time during which the diabetes nurse interacted with the families during the initial care was significantly higher in the HBHC group compared to the hospitalbased group. The paediatricians' educational time was lower in the HBHC group, while there were no differences between groups concerning other healthcare professionals' educational time use (Paper III). Healthcare resource use in hospitalbased care and HBHC during the period of 1 month up to 6 months from diagnosis showed a tendency to a greater number of visits of all types for children in the hospital-based care compared to the HBHC, home/school visits excluded. For the same period, there was a significant difference in total healthcare resource use between groups with a median of 6 (min-max 3-12) visits in the hospital-based care and 5 (3-10) in HBHC (p=0.038) (Paper IV).

Since home/school visits are more costly to the health services than visits at the hospital, total costs for the period between 1 and 6 months from diagnosis were almost equal with SEK5348 in hospital-based care and SEK5618 in HBHC. Total healthcare costs for the first month from diagnosis were 30% lower in the HBHC group compared to the hospital-based group (Paper III). When summarising the first month and the period from 1-6 months, the total healthcare costs were 27% lower in HBHC compared to hospital-based care (Figure 6).



Figure 6 Mean healthcare costs six months from diagnosis in hospital-based care and HBHC

Discussion

Methodological Considerations

The view of knowledge and ethics has been described as being characterised by conceptual precision, knowledge stability and fallibility.¹⁸⁸ Conceptual vagueness increases the risk of a confused argumentation and impairs the ability to answer the research questions. The quest for a clear conceptualisation entails more than the mere ambition of defining individual concepts; the concepts must be connected to form a whole and be designed for the purpose. Furthermore, the formation of concepts, i.e. theory, says something about what we believe exists in the world. There are different levels of theory that set the limits of the answers we can expect to get.¹⁴⁷ Knowledge stability involves being aware of the quality of our knowledge and, also being aware, that it is just as important to know the limitations of knowledge as it is to have good knowledge. Finally, the approach embraces the view that the human being is fallible, and that this fallibility affects our knowledge as well as our use of the knowledge we think we have.¹⁸⁸

Most often, the interesting questions in research are about *how*, *what* and *why*, i.e. to describe how a phenomenon is, to predict what will happen and to know why this phenomenon occurs. The method of searching for knowledge can be both inductive and deductive and the strategy chosen further determines what knowledge we can and cannot acquire - the stability of the knowledge. Francis Bacon (1561-1626), is an early philosopher of the inductive sciences.^{147, 189} The inductive method says that scientists should observe and describe the world as it is as well as analyse and systematise the material without any guesses, hypotheses or preconceived ideas. If the conclusion made from the observations is true, then it is also applicable more generally. An inductive methodological approach does not preclude the why-question from being answered but makes deeper explanations unlikely. Francis Bacon described intervention when he claimed that it is not enough to observe the lions, we must also "twist the lion's tail" to learn its secrets and to find causal truths.¹⁹⁰ The approach contrary to induction is deduction, where empirically testable consequences or predictions are derived from a general principle. The method asks us to formulate

hypotheses and theories from which how, what and why questions can be answered. Karl Popper (1902-1994) distinguished the validity of empirical evidence as being dependent on whether the hypothesis is verified or rejected when tested. Only the rejection or falsification of a hypothesis implies that the hypothesis is in fact false, the same logical conclusions cannot be drawn if the hypothesis is confirmed as actually being true. However, a falsification does not necessarily mean that the hypothesis needs to be abandoned, most often the hypothesis embraces a number of auxiliary assumptions, which might be false.^{147, 188} RCTs are experiments but even though experiments are associated with inductive methods, the randomised design is not an unconditional observation. An RCT necessitates some kind of hypothesis but the research can be strongly or weakly hypothesis-driven.¹⁴⁷ The more undefined or vague the hypothesis is, the more the deductive approach passes over to become inductive as well as the more it increases its dependence on auxiliary assumptions with respect to the inference of causality.^{147, 190} The hypothesis of this research was that of an improved metabolic control after two years for children having received HBHC compared to children having received hospital-based care at diagnosis. Based on the hypothesis, the power calculation in study B included HbA1c two years after diagnosis. However, the period of recruitment for inclusion of participants was longer than planned implying that the hypothesis of the two-year follow-up cannot be verified or rejected within this thesis.

Validity

The validity refers to the approximate truth of the inferences.¹⁹¹ To say that something is valid includes a judgment about the extent to which relevant evidence supports the inferences as being true. The evidence comes from both empirical findings and the reliability or the consistency of these findings with past findings and theories. The assessment of validity always includes the fallibility of human judgments and can never be absolute. Validity is a property of inferences and not designs or methods, it is the circumstances that contribute to more or less valid inferences and no method or design guarantees the validity of an inference. The validity typology in this thesis is based on Shadish, Cook & Campbell's¹⁹¹ formulations.

Statistical Conclusion Validity

Statistical conclusion validity concerns the validity and strength of the correlation between treatment and outcome. Potential threats to statistical conclusion validity include low statistical power, unreliability of measures, restrictions of range and unreliability of treatment implementation. Power refers to the ability of a test to detect true relationships in the population, estimated from a single sample. The confidence interval is a range of values that we can be confident includes the true value - with a 95% confidence interval, it is expected that the true value will not be included in 5% of the times.¹⁷² When a study has low power the estimation of effect size will have a wider confidence interval, it will be less precise of the true value in the population, and the risk for Type I and Type II errors increases.¹⁹¹ Calculations of power included the primary outcome two years after the child's diagnosis and did not include any of the secondary outcomes presented in Paper III-IV. Therefore, the problems of possible errors are relevant in several comparisons in the present research, for example, in the sub-group comparisons of HbA1c in relation to stressors in the family (Paper I). Even though power calculations were performed prior to, and during study B, the defined clinical difference of effect in HbA1c might not be a likely effect size as to expect, and the power is therefore a limitation of the primary outcome as well. In a previous study, power calculations were based on a sample from the Hvidøre study group on childhood diabetes in 18 countries,¹⁹² which showed that a difference in HbA1c of 5.5 mmol/mol (NGSP 0.5%) required 350 participants, a difference of 10.9mmol/mol (1.0%) required 100 participants and a difference of 16.4mmol/mol (1.5%) required a sample of 42 participants.⁹⁷ This means that discussions of whether or not there are differences in metabolic control between groups must always take into consideration what difference the study was powered to detect. However, there is a balance to be made between sample size and external validity over variation in settings by the period of recruitment. In 2010 results began to be reported in IFCC units (mmol/mol). In the beginning it was not clear that a separate formula was to be used to convert the relative change in HbA1c as compared to deriving one result of a measurement from the other which is the reason why the effect size is written differently in the description of the power analysis in Paper II as compared to Paper III-IV.

An additional potential threat to statistical conclusion validity is that of the unreliability of measures. New technology has become available that allows for the measurement of HbA1c in a clinical setting at the time of a consultation (point-of-care (POC) testing) which was previously solely a laboratory analysis. Even though POC testing, both concerning capillary plasma glucose measurements¹⁹³ and HbA1c measurements,^{194, 195} might not be as accurate as a laboratory analysis, the advantages of clinical settings generally outweigh the disadvantages. POC testing provides the HbA1c value within 5-6 minutes and if the sample is sent to the laboratory it usually takes a few days or up to a week to receive the results. At the diabetes department unit in Lund where both of the studies were carried out, HbA1c had, up to the year 2010, either been derived from capillary blood samples in a clinical setting to then be

analysed at the laboratory or by the family using a prepared kit which was then sent to the laboratory via post. During the year 2010, the diabetes department changed its analysis method to POC for the testing of HbA1c (DCA 2000) and the laboratory at Lund University Hospital no longer performed analyses of the prepared kit. To minimise potential differences of methods, HbA1c values for children participating in study B have continued to be analysed in the laboratory by sending a prepared kit to Malmö University Hospital laboratory.

There are many types of monitors for SMBG which can yield differences in plasma glucose values and inaccuracies may arise from operator-related errors. When a child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes, the diabetes team has chosen one type of monitor that meets certain quality standards and which all families receive at diagnosis. If the child or the parents want to change to another type of monitor, they may do so later on. Therefore, most of the children's plasma glucose values during the first month from diagnosis and included in the analyses for Paper III, were derived from the same type of plasma glucose monitor. The values were most often downloaded from the monitor by the family and e-mailed to the researchers. Only a few of the families preferred to register the plasma glucose values manually in a diary and were then asked for paper copies or, sometimes, the values were downloaded from the monitor at the diabetes surgery in connection with the diabetes check visits.

The unreliability of measures further concerns the results derived from instruments. A reliability coefficient indicates how well an instrument can distinguish between different individuals, so that the more diverse the individuals responding to the instrument are, the higher the reliability coefficient is to be. Often we talk about the instrument as having a high degree of reliability, but a high reliability coefficient of one sample is not the same as a high reliability coefficient of a different sample. However, if an instrument has been tested in many different samples and shown to demonstrate the variation between individuals, this provides more information regarding the degree of reliability.¹⁶⁴ The PedsQLTM Health Care Satisfaction Generic Module has been tested in different settings with high reliability coefficients,^{161, 162, 196-} ¹⁹⁸ which strengthens the reliability of the results presented regarding parents' satisfaction with healthcare in Paper III and Paper IV. Even though the Psychosocial Assessment Tool (PAT), completed by the child's caregiver, has been tested for reliability in different settings^{128, 167-169, 171} with acceptable internal consistency, only one publication has been identified to test the reliability coefficient for the Staff PAT.¹⁷⁰ This makes the results of the families' levels of risk of psychosocial distress more uncertain in terms of reliability (Paper IV). Both instruments were tested for reliability in the present sample and with a high and an acceptable reliability coefficient.

Restrictions of range include ceiling effects which is when respondents cluster near the highest score. This is frequently noted in patient satisfaction surveys,¹⁹⁹ and was also seen in study B in parents' ratings of healthcare satisfaction (Paper III-IV). This has the consequence of making it difficult to distinguish between those who merely experience adequate service and those who experience superior care.²⁰⁰

Finally, statistical conclusion validity concerns the unreliability of treatment implementation and addresses three related problems in clinical intervention: failure to receive the full intervention, crossing over to a different treatment, and treatment diffusion.¹⁹¹ In study B, treatment manuals were used and checked regularly. Thorough documentation of the treatment received in both the intervention and the control group was possible because the researchers worked in close collaboration with the clinical practice. Healthcare evolves continuously which was evident in the routines of a gradually increased number of outpatient visits to the diabetes nurse (Paper IV). However, healthcare is inherently complex and a potential problem occurs when results of studies that have been performed under circumstances that are not representative of the forms of treatment normally in practice, are generalised to uncontrolled circumstances.^{188, 201-203} Since the intervention in this research is intended to be implemented within clinical practice, there needs to be a balance between the validity of inference and the implementation of treatment.^{188, 191}

The unreliability of treatment implementation further includes how components of the intervention are related to changes in outcomes, or as philosophers would call it: the knowledge stability. In psychological research, various phenomena's associations have been systematised to build models of described causal relationships,^{204, 205} which makes predictions possible, even though these models are not as useful in explanation.¹⁸⁸ The theoretical framework of study B identified factors that impact families' levels of distress over and above the stress caused by the child's diabetes.¹⁴² The family's level of distress affects the family's health which, in turn, affects the child's health. These are consequences that are measured in the study to some extent (Table 2). The intervention was comprised of different parts with assumed directions of effect outlined in a hypothesis, meaning that the hypothesis embraced a number of auxiliary assumptions of how the interventions were assumed to alleviate families' levels of distress (Figure 2). The auxiliary assumptions, that are not measured, would have, had they been measured, afforded a much clearer identification of which parts of the outcome were the most affected by which parts of the intervention, and through which of the mediators.¹⁹¹ If the hypothesis in this research is verified, then the causal inference will be related to all parts of the intervention, including auxiliary assumptions and mediators. If the hypothesis is falsified, then this does not necessarily

mean that the hypothesis is not true since the result can be related to auxiliary assumptions or mediators.

To sum up the treatment implementation: the strengths of study B were that the intended treatment was implemented in both hospital-based care and HBHC and not only partially implemented. Further strengths are that the study is based on a theoretical framework with well-defined active components of the intervention and an assumed direction of effects. A weakness of the study is the limitation of the answers we can expect to get in terms of the relation between intervention and outcome. Nevertheless, single studies are inevitably limited in their representation of persons, settings, treatment, and outcome. Their contribution can be to either verify or falsify the findings of many other studies conducted according to the same general causal question.¹⁹¹

Internal Validity

Internal validity concerns the validity of inferences about whether or not the correlations resulted from a causal relationship. Study A extended over a ten year period, and there are a number of events and developments over time that could have influenced outcomes. The results of the association between differences in the initial management and insulin treatment with later metabolic control have therefore less valid inferences (Paper I). Random assignments reduce the plausibility of threats to internal validity and problems about causation arise in only two situations. The first is if attrition from the experiment differs between the groups and the second situation is if testing is different in each group. This means that groups need to be equal, not only at the time of randomisation, but also at the time of the follow-up, in all aspects other than the actual treatment.¹⁹¹ None of these problems have occurred in study B which strengthens the inferences about descriptive causal relationships in Paper III and Paper IV. Internal validity and statistical conclusion validity are closely related and, in quantitative experiments, internal validity depends substantially on statistical conclusion validity.

Construct Validity

Construct validity concerns the validity of inferences about the higher order constructs they represent.¹⁹¹ There are most often numerous threats to construct validity in clinical research and some of the most relevant to be highlighted in the present studies concern the explication of constructs, mono-operation, novelty and disruption effects, measurement design and treatment diffusion. Retrospective tests generally refer to a design where, for example, participants are asked to recreate their use of a form of treatment retrospectively.¹⁹¹ That design needs to be separated from

when data have been registered prospectively, though sampled retrospectively, in terms of validity of inference. This concerns a lesser threat to validity of inference in terms of the descriptions of the initial management in study A (Paper I), than if the data had been registered retrospectively. In study A, the choice of stressors identified was largely dependent on the information that was available in records based on outpatient visits at the diabetes department. It was therefore likely to be information that members of the diabetes team thought was relevant and important to the diabetes treatment. However, there are several limitations to this method resulting in a weak validity of inference. Firstly, we do not know whether the combination of the stressors chosen may represent the higher order construct of families' levels of distress compared to if other factors would have been chosen. Secondly, we do not either know to what extent these stressors are actually experienced as stressful by the family members. Furthermore, the presence of stressors was dependent on the information available in the records which was therefore what the families informed experts of, what the experts thought was relevant, and what the experts chose to make note of in the record (Paper I).

The phenomenon we wish to measure in instruments is generally either based on theory or alternatively, defined by a group of experts in the field. So, if another group of experts were asked to give their opinion, the definition might be different. Therefore, instruments are often tested in more than one way, such as, for example, by comparing the results with another scale, measuring the same construct it is supposed to represent. To strengthen the validity of results measured by instruments, the construct validity is comprised of an ongoing testing of different hypotheses in different target settings. The strength of the PAT instrument is that the construct is based on a theory that has been tested in different settings.^{128, 167-171} Similarly, it is a weakness of the PedsQLTM Healthcare Satisfaction Generic Module that the construct is not based on theory.^{161, 162} On the other hand, the latter instrument has been psychometrically evaluated from various aspects which strengthens the validity of the inference¹⁶⁴ (Paper III-IV).

In study B, it is a limitation that the intervention was mediated by a single diabetes nurse, precluding the possibility of separating the operationalization from the treatment construct of the HBHC programme.¹⁹¹ When an innovation is introduced, it can give rise to excitement and enthusiasm that can contribute to success but that can also be quite disruptive. In any comparison processes in which participants and staff are aware of discrepancies between treatments, compensatory equalisation and rivalry are potential threats to construct validity. These threats are nevertheless parts of the treatment package and the potential influence cannot be separated within the construct. Treatment implementation was previously described as a strength of the

statistical conclusion validity in study B, but the extent to which treatment has been implemented as well as the extent to which nesting has been minimised between the treatment groups, are equally important to the validity of inferences in terms of treatment construct¹⁹¹ (Paper III-IV).

External Validity

External validity concerns the validity of inferences about whether the cause-effect relationship holds for targets of generalisation. Threats to external validity include the interaction of the causal relationship with units, over treatment variations, with outcomes and with settings.^{172, 191} To be able to answer in which units the cause-effect relationships hold, one must ask how representative the participants of the research are, as well as how the diabetes department unit in Lund compares to other units. It strengthens the external validity of inference of study A to have included almost all of the children at the unit over the ten year period. The main reason for children not being asked to participate in study B was that of age and with no loss of families to follow-up, it reduced the risk of those participating in the experiment differing systematically from those who were not. The research was carried out in an area where the educational level is generally high and where parents are mainly born in Sweden. However, participants represented different socioeconomic groups and study B was designed to meet the needs of more vulnerable groups. This means that the results of study B cannot be generalised to the families with the youngest children. The results may hold for children and adolescents who are in metabolic balance and families of different socioeconomic groups but it requires a communication between professionals and families where misunderstandings can be minimised. As previously discussed, the HBHC programme was mainly performed by a single diabetes nurse and the main feature of that position in relation to the direction of the causal relationship is probably that of expert knowledge of, and experience in, childhood diabetes, which are likely to be essential features in terms of generalisation.

Interaction of causal relationships with outcomes concerns to what extent it is possible to give a fuller picture of the treatment's total impact.¹⁹¹ From this perspective, the answers that can be obtained from study B need to be separated from what can be obtained within this thesis, limited as it is with short follow-ups and few outcomes. However, little is known of various effects of differences in the initial management of children diagnosed with diabetes and health service processes,^{12, 96, 97, 100, 103} and every contribution makes a difference. The interaction of a causal relationship with the settings leads to the question of whether or not a Family House holds a cause-effect relationship to the families' own home. Even though the purpose of the Family House is to offer sick children and their families a home-like environment, the Family House nonetheless diverges from an

actual home in several important aspects. Firstly, the house is placed in the hospital area and families were not more than a few minutes away from assistance. Secondly, to live a family life at the Family House is different from doing so at home in terms of management of various activities of family life simultaneously, such as, for example, cleaning, washing, and bringing siblings to activities. Thirdly, there was room for 20 families to stay at the Family House at the same time which is generally quite a different situation from the one at home. The Family House was home-like in the sense that family members were the most active participants in the diabetes care and they did not have 24-hours health service as in a hospital. Families decided what to eat and they did their own shopping as well as cooking. Furthermore, the family was able to be together, including the siblings; they had a room of their own, which offered a certain amount of privacy. It is nevertheless likely that inferences about the cause-effect relationship do not hold for a generalisation to a home-situation.

General Discussion of Results

This research was conducted to investigate the initial management when a child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. The main findings involve the safety and efficacy of the alternative approach of delivering care compared to the conventional care. Further important contributions were to highlight how healthcare resources are distributed and how effective funds that are available are serving health needs. The contribution can be to either confirm or falsify the inferences of many studies conducted on the same questions and to discuss the evidence for causal relationships made from the studies in the light of further research.

Child Safety

In study B, there were no severe hyperglycaemia cases or any other type of severe events in either group up to six months from diagnosis (Paper IV). Furthermore, children in the HBHC group were found to have fewer episodes of hypoglycaemia (<4mmol/L) compared to children in the hospital-based group during the period of the first month from diagnosis (Paper III). A Cochrane review from 2007¹² identified seven studies^{71, 72, 110, 111, 206-208} comparing hospital-based and home-based care for children diagnosed with type 1 diabetes, ranging from the years 1976-2004. Additionally, two randomised controlled trials have been carried out in Scandinavia, one comparing initial management in a training apartment with traditional hospitalisation¹⁶⁵ and the other comparing short-term (about a week) with long-term

(about four weeks) initial stay at hospital.^{109, 209, 210} One of the referred studies²¹¹ reported that there were no cases of severe hypoglycaemia during the trial, and none reported if there were any other severe events during the trial. Four of the studies gave some indication of severe acute diabetes complications during the follow-up period but none reported other types of hypoglycaemia. ^{5, 72, 206, 211} One of the four studies reporting severe acute diabetes complications had a retrospective design,⁷² one was a register-based study,²⁰⁶ and two of the studies were randomised controlled trials.^{5, 211} These studies found no differences between groups in severe acute diabetes complications during the follow-up period. Since there is no strong validity of inferences of safety during the interventions from previous studies, the results from the present research make a contribution to the issue. The results from study B support the causal relationship, suggested by the Cochrane review,¹² as to when home-care can be provided; it does not lead to any disadvantages in terms of acute diabetes complications.

Efficacy of Health Services

One aim of this research was to identify families where the child runs the risk of a decreased metabolic control and to give these families increased support. The theory¹⁴² says that family characteristics affect families' levels of distress and families' levels of distress affect the adjustments to stressful situations, such as the child's being diagnosed with diabetes which, in turn, affects the child's health, such as metabolic control. The intervention aimed to alleviate families' levels of distress and if the causal relationships hold, that would lead to improvements in children's metabolic control. Six months from diagnosis, the results showed no differences in children's metabolic control (Paper IV). From a philosophic point of view,147, 188 if the causal inference of directions of effects in study B are confirmed during the two year follow-up, this could suggest that either the theory is not true or the intervention did not alleviate families' levels of distress. A third alternative is that the intervention did alleviate families' levels of distress and did affect other health outcomes of the child but not that of metabolic control. Other outcomes of the child's health are, to some extent, being tested within the study (Paper II), although, not within this thesis. However, clinical research has inherent complexity that bedevils inference of causal relationships.

Metabolic Control

In study A, the validity of inference in terms of the causal relationship of no differences between length of in-hospital stay and length of initial insulin infusion

with metabolic control during the follow-up were weak. The RCT carried out in Finland, comparing short-term with long-term hospitalisation,^{109, 209, 210} showed no significant differences in HbA1c values between the two groups after two years, nor did the RCT comparing intensive subcutaneous insulin therapy and intravenous insulin infusion at onset, which strengthens the validity of the suggested causal relationship. In study B, although significant, the differences of mean plasma glucose values and standard deviation during the first month from diagnosis were clinically small (Paper III) and there were no differences in HbA1c between groups, six months from diagnosis (Paper IV). Blood glucose values during the first year from diagnosis were assessed by Forsander et al.,¹⁰⁵ which showed no differences between groups, neither in comparisons of means nor in variability. Of the studies included in the Cochrane review comparing differences in the environment of the initial care i.e. home-based and hospital-based care,¹² there were four studies that gave information of metabolic control.^{72, 206, 208, 211} The sample size of the studies ranged from 29 to 63 participants. One of them (63 participants) was a randomised controlled trial, carried out in Canada, and the only one that showed differences in metabolic control between groups.²¹¹ The design of the RCT was in several aspects similar to study B in this research (Paper II). The children were initially hospitalised until their metabolic conditions were stabilised and education in diabetes management was mainly performed by a diabetes nurse in the family's home. Metabolic control, measured by HbA1c, was equal between groups until the two-year follow-up. Two years after diagnosis the mean HbA1c values were 7.7mmol/mol (NGSP 0.7%) lower in the home-based group compared to the hospital-based group. However, a threat to validity of causal inference was that children in the home-based care gradually increased the mean daily insulin doses compared to children in the hospital-based group.²¹¹ As previously referred to, the RCT from Sweden, comparing initial management in a training apartment with traditional hospital-based care,^{5, 105} did not either show significant differences in HbA1c values between the two groups. To sum up: there have been two RCTs^{5, 211} besides the present RCT, with a short initial hospital stay and where the training in diabetes management has been carried out in the home or a home-like setting. One of the RCTs²¹¹ resulted in improved metabolic control after two years, one RCT⁵ showed no differences after two years and study B showed no differences after six months; there were thus no conclusive results. No study has been powered to detect differences less than 10-15mmol/mol. Since the improvements of metabolic control in the RCT from Canada²¹¹ were likely to be explained by gradually increased insulin doses, the results indicate a direction of effect implying that the environment within which the training of the families is carried out does not constitute a strong indicator of great differences in children's metabolic control over time.

In Study B, groups were not only separated by differences in the environment for the initial management. Active parts of the intervention further included an approach to education with a more individualised learning process in the intervention group, and the results of children's metabolic control in our research therefore needs to compared to other results from that perspective as well. In the RCT by Forsander et al.,^{5, 105, 165} carried out in Sweden, families received a family-oriented crisis intervention with individualised problem-based learning, and no differences were found in children's metabolic control. This is a causal inference confirmed by two reviews of psychoeducational interventions for children and young people of type 1 diabetes.^{96, 97} Similarly to what has previously been discussed, a possible explanation of the result is that most trials are underpowered to determine clinically significant effects on HbA1c in paediatric populations.⁹⁷ The review of education provided at diagnosis included the RCT by Forsander et al.,^{5, 105, 165} and a non-randomised trial by Siminerio et al.,¹¹⁰ which presented a short follow-up of one month. These studies were based on samples of 38 and 32 participants respectively. In sum, this means that the evidence is too weak for drawing conclusions of causal inference between psycho-educational interventions during the initial care and children's subsequent metabolic control, and emphasises that the results of study B make an important contribution to the issue.

The third active component of the present intervention was increased support to families having received HBHC by home visits during the follow-up compared to families having received hospital-based care. However, the HBHC showed less healthcare resource use compared to the hospital-based group for the period from one month up to six months (Paper IV), meaning that this part of the intervention cannot be considered as having had a great influence in terms of efficacy. In the RCT by Dougherty et al.,²¹¹ more support in the home-based group was shown by the diabetes nurse during the follow-up and the only RCT comparing differences in the initial management, showed differences in metabolic control.

Family Impact

In terms of the impact of the disease on the family, the results of study B showed no differences between groups in how parents had changed their working hours after the child's diagnosis, similarly to previous results.⁵ In study A, potential stressors affecting the families' levels of distress were identified retrospectively from patient's records (Paper I), but the methodological circumstances contributed to less valid inferences of the result. In study B, families rated as being in need of increased support up to six months from diagnosis were few in numbers, and comparisons between groups were not possible (Paper IV). This means that the results from both studies A and B, in terms of families' levels of distress in relation to the initial management, hinder the inference of causal relationships. A previous screening of families' levels of distress,

based on the same theoretical framework as the PAT instrument, and completed by caregivers of the children, showed predictive validity for re-admissions but not for metabolic control.²¹² The PAT instrument was originally developed to be completed by the child's caregivers and with the Staff PAT as a parallel assessment,¹²⁸ which might have been a preferable approach for emphasising the ongoing co-operation between the family and the diabetes team towards optimising the child's metabolic control based on the family's current life situation. No study was identified to have previously used the Staff PAT instrument as a freestanding measurement of families' levels of risk for psychosocial distress.

From a narrative view, parents' experiences of young children's diabetes diagnoses with diabetes described inordinate amounts of stress in relation to the diagnosis,^{114, 115} exacerbated by the complex and intrusive nature of the diabetes management.^{144, 213} The Cochrane review, comparing hospital-based and home-based care at diagnosis¹² refers to unpublished data of Hatton et al. (1994), comparing the initial management in four centres in Canada, the USA and the UK that used both out-patient/home care and hospitalisation. The data suggested that the out-patient programmes were able to reduce the stress, trauma and disruption associated with hospitalisation. The hospitalisation was associated with fear from the point of view of the child, and worry and a reduced ability to learn from the point of view of the parents. Healthcare professionals found that it was an advantage to identify problems in the families' home that would not be evident in a hospital. Of studies comparing home-based and hospital-based care, Dougherty et al.,71 found no differences between groups of parents' perceived stress during the two year follow up. Similarly, Siminerio et al.,¹¹⁰ found no differences between groups in terms of children's coping behaviour one month from diagnosis. There is a discrepancy of the results which may be partly explained by the difficulties of measuring the phenomenon of families' levels of distress. However, neither our findings nor previous research indicate that alternative approaches to hospital-based care at diagnosis would involve disadvantages in terms of the initial management and its influence on families' levels of distress. In summary, for families with a child diagnosed with type 1 diabetes the results from study B as well as previous findings, indicate equivalence in efficacy between groups, in terms of the influence of initial management on children's metabolic control, parents' working situation and families' levels of distress.

Quality of Health Services

Two different quality perspectives can be perceived in terms of consequences of health services; firstly the efficacy of service, which was discussed in the previous section, and secondly the quality of service which includes issues such as availability, communication and participation.²¹⁴ The interest in the patients' perceptions of service quality has been described as being mainly driven by two intellectual forces; those who pay for health service and those who provide care with perspectives on clinical practice that emphasises the need to deliver patient-centred care,^{199, 215, 216} or as in this context; family-centred care. The call for an acquisition of families' experience and perceptions of the service has subsequently led to the development of healthcare satisfaction surveys. However, the terms perceptions and satisfaction are not interchangeable; satisfaction is an evaluation based on the fulfilment of expectations and individuals may have a complex set of important and relevant beliefs which cannot be embodied in terms of expressions of satisfaction.²¹⁷

Healthcare Satisfaction

In the present intervention, although parents in both groups generally stated that they were satisfied with the received healthcare, parents in the HBHC group rated greater satisfaction at discharge (Paper III) and the results six months after diagnosis confirm that parents in the intervention group continued to be more satisfied (Paper IV). Of studies comparing differences in the initial management regimen when a child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes,^{72, 105, 110, 111, 206-208, 210, 211} one study assessed measurements of satisfaction.²¹¹ The scale was a 10-item questionnaire, developed especially for the study where parents and adolescents responded on a five-point rating scale indicating their satisfaction with various aspects of the treatment. Neither reliability nor validity of the measure was tested. The results showed no differences between groups during the two year follow-up. However, although our findings were contrary to the results presented by Dougherty et al.,²¹¹ the latter were of less reliable and valid inference. Patient satisfaction surveys are frequently prone to ceiling effects and satisfactions have been said to merely be indicators of acceptable care. Thus, to measure patient's healthcare satisfaction as a method of assessing patient's perception of the quality of the healthcare service is not unproblematic and the usefulness of such measurements in generating change in health service provision can be questioned.^{199, 218} This leads to limitations in how the results can be interpreted, yet the measurements do provide some information of the degree of acceptance by those to whom the service is being offered.

Effectiveness of Health Services

Those who plan, provide, receive, or pay for health services face an incessant barrage of recurring questions about the allocation of healthcare resources.²¹⁴ Financing varies

from country to country, but in all OECD countries (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development) there is a joint responsibility of public healthcare, although distinctions can be made between countries that manage risk sharing primarily through formal insurance and those that primarily manage risk sharing through taxation. Economic evaluation is important simply because resources are scarce and choices must and will be made concerning their deployment. Methods of organised considerations of the factors involved are then preferable in a decision to commit resources to one use instead of another.²¹⁴ In health economy it is assumed that it is of mutual interest to society to use available resources in a way that can gain general acceptance. Therefore, the basic tasks are to identify, measure, value, and compare the costs and consequences of the alternative being considered.²¹⁴ It is the linkage of costs and consequences that allows for inferences of effectiveness.

Costs of Service

Our findings of study B, showed 30% lower costs in HBHC during the first month from diagnosis (Paper III), and 27% lower costs six month from diagnosis compared to the conventional hospital-based care. Reduced costs for one party may sometimes imply that the costs are being transferred to another party. However, since home visit are less costly to the family than visits at the hospital, in addition to the results showing less use of health services during the follow-up, it is not likely that the findings of reduced healthcare costs of an HBHC would imply increase costs for the families in the present research. HBHC is widely applied in paediatric oncology and of five studies, four reported reduced costs in HBHC compared with inpatient care, and one study, which were the only RCT, could not demonstrate any reductions in costs in relation to HBHC.¹⁰² In the literature of comparisons of differences in the initial management of childhood diabetes,^{12, 71, 72, 96, 103, 211, 219, 220} two studies have been identified reporting costs relevant for the present discussion; Dougherty et al.,^{71, 211} and Spaulding et al.,72 a study from 1976 with a retrospective design. When comparing healthcare resource use between study B and the study by Dougherty et al., the results show several similarities. Both studies showed that home-care patients used less hospital and physician services from diagnosis to the end of the first month from diagnosis. Furthermore, both studies showed more home visits and telephone consultations in home care during the first month from diagnosis. Despite the similarities in families' use of resources, inference of costs differed considerably between the studies. Study B showed lower costs in HBHC during the first six months compared to hospital-based care and the study of Dougherty et al. suggested no overall differences in healthcare costs between home care and hospital care at diagnosis. These differences are likely to be explained by two factors. Firstly, in the study by Dougherty et al. costs of in-hospital care were calculated by counting the
specific diabetes-related services used by each child and with estimated ward nursing time. Overhead services were ignored. In study B, the price, per 24 hours, of hospital overnight stays, covered all health service expenses based on the average care costs of the specific unit with overhead included, giving a more complete picture of healthcare costs. Even though the hours the diabetes nurse interacted with families in HBHC increased compared to hospital-based care, the costs for the use of the nurse's time did still not reach the levels of the costs of overnight stays in hospital (Paper III). Secondly, our findings showed less use of healthcare resources during the follow-up (Paper IV) which was not the case in the study by Dougherty et al., where the increased support during the two year follow-up then exceeded the decreased costs in the home care group during the first month from diagnosis. In the study by Spaulding et al.,⁷² resource use in relation to different professionals was unclear. The comparison of groups concerned patients without ketoacidosis that were either admitted to hospital for an average of 12 days or that received care at a day-unit. Standard in-hospital bed rates were used to calculate costs, and the care at the dayunit was found to be almost ten times lower than for the hospital group. No study has been identified assessing cost-effectiveness analysis in terms of costs in relation to measurements of children's and families' health. However, an on-going multi-centre RCT across eight UK paediatric diabetes centres²²¹ will be able to offer other conditions concerning power and thereby to assess the cost-effectiveness of differences in the initial health service process in relation to children's metabolic control.

In any comparison of the processes of health services, the most prominent feature is the safety of the services, especially when involving vulnerable groups such as children. Measurements of service efficacy discussed in this thesis included children's metabolic control and the impact on the family in terms of the parent's employment and working hours and levels of distress. The result indicated equivalence in efficacy of the HBHC programme and the hospital-based care. Furthermore, there was a high degree of acceptance by those to whom the HBHC service was offered and in combination with lower costs, this could suggest that the HBHC programme is a more effective process of health services. Overall, there are only few well-designed and controlled studies that compare hospital services against different models of home care. This thesis, while limited in answers by power and knowledge stability, provides empirical support for the safety and effectiveness of healthcare services when a child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes.

Future Perspectives

We will continue the evaluation of study B to assess the consequences of an HBHC and hospital-based care for child, family and healthcare over time and from different viewpoints. Important aspects which have not been covered by this thesis are the child's and parents' quality of life as well as the families' ability to give their child age-appropriate, diabetes-related support, so that the child may benefit from attaining their most realistic targets. The design of study B was based on the Medical Research Council framework for development and evaluations of RCTs for complex interventions to improve health,^{155, 156} where the fourth and final phase is to establish long-term and real-life effectiveness of the intervention. If the intervention is shown to continue to be safe and effective over time, the question of whether the effect sustains when the intervention is implemented into routine care, is still to be answered.

In Sweden, there has been a long tradition of hospital-based care when a child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes and with reliance on the national guidelines for a diabetes care with high standards. Getting new routines adopted, even when they have obvious advantages, is difficult.²²² That the process of implementation is carried out by known methods that are based on theory is essential to whether children, families and society will benefit from the knowledge.^{201, 202} According to Everett Rogers,²²² diffusion is the process in which an innovation is communicated through certain channels over time among the members of a social system. Communication is a process in which participants create and share information with one another in order to reach a mutual understanding. An innovation is an idea, an implementation or an object that is perceived as new by an individual or a social system.^{223, 224} Depending on how the characteristics of the innovation are experienced by the individual in a system, some innovations diffuse faster than others. The characteristics of importance for the diffusion of an innovation are its relative advantage, how compatible it is, how complex and understandable it is, how well used the innovation is and how visible the results of the use of an innovation are to others.²²⁴ Based on the experiences of an HBHC, the main disadvantages of the programme were the unpredictable workloads for healthcare professionals and the need to work flexible hours, which is an important issue in terms of the adaptability of the innovation.

Most individuals evaluate innovations on the basis of friends who are already using them, not on that of scientific findings. This is likely to make an HBHC programme more compatible to the setting where the present RCT was carried out, compared to settings in which the study is more unknown. Diffusion is thus largely a social process through communication between people and, in order to enable adaptation, the innovation must be allowed to be formed by the group. The results of this research are nevertheless related to the treatment represented by the setting in the trial which do not hold for targets of generalisation when formed differently. There is once again a balance to be made between construct validity and implementation that emphasises the importance of continued evaluation of the safety and effectiveness of the health services in order to ensure diabetes care of high standards.

Summary in Swedish

Svensk Sammanfattning

När ett barn insjuknar i typ 1 diabetes kan symptomen vara allt från milda till måttliga eller allvarliga. Måttliga och allvarliga symptom kräver intravenös behandling och därmed är en initial sjukhusvård nödvändig. Hur barn med milda symptom ska vårdas finns däremot olika åsikter och rutiner. I vissa delar av världen blir barnen rutinmässigt inlagda på sjukhus i flera veckor medan det i andra delar anses att barnen tryggt och effektivt kan få vård i sitt eget hem och/eller med täta återbesök. Det finns få studier som har jämfört sjukhus- och hembaserad vård vid diabetes debut och resultaten är otillräckliga för att avgöra vilken vård som är bäst för dessa barn och för deras familjer. Sverige har en lång tradition med sjukhusbaserad vård i samband med att ett barn får typ 1 diabetes och vårdtiden varierar mellan olika kliniker från 1-3 veckor. Att leva med typ 1 diabetes är en komplex situation som för familjen innebär en daglig balansgång mellan insulindoser, mängd kolhydrater som barnet äter, aktivitet och infektioner såsom förkylningar, för att uppnå så nära normala blodsockervärden som möjligt. Den genomsnittliga blodsockernivån har avgörande betydelse för risken att utveckla sena komplikationer. Behandlingen tillsammans med andra krav i livet och utmaningar med att växa upp, innebär att många barn inte uppnår de rekommenderade målen för blodsockerkontroll. Studier visar att familjens funktion och psykosociala situation är starkt sammankopplad med barnets blodsockerkontroll. Sjukhusvård kan ses som en möjlighet till intensiv utbildning för att förbereda familjen i uppgiften att hantera diabetesbehandlingen hemma. Å andra sidan har föräldrar till barn som fått en sjukhusbaserad vård i samband med diagnosen, beskrivit att den teoretiska kunskap de fått på sjukhus, inte varit giltig hemma i deras vardagsmiljö. De kände sig osäkra och oförberedda i uppgiften att hantera sitt barns behandling när de kom hem från sjukhuset. Avhandlingens övergripande syfte var att undersöka den initiala vården för barn som insjuknar i typ 1 diabetes, dels med traditionell sjukhusbaserad vård och dels med vård i hemmiljö. Ett ytterligare syfte var att identifiera familjer där barnet bedöms ha en förhöjd risk att utveckla mindre god metabol kontroll och ge de familjerna ett utökat stöd.

Avhandlingen består av två delstudier vilka båda genomfördes på barnmedicinska kliniken på Skånes universitetssjukhus i Lund. Den första studien hade en retrospektiv design med syfte att utvärdera om tillfälliga förändringar i den initiala vården över en tio-års period, påverkade barnets metabola kontroll två år efter diagnos. Syftet var också att undersöka om sociala faktorer (potentiella stressfaktorer) som var registrerade vid diagnos, hade betydelse för barnets metabola kontroll två år efter diagnos. Studien inkluderade 247 barn i åldern 0-16 år som insjuknat under åren 1997-2006. Alla barn utom ett var inlagda på sjukhus i samband med diagnosen. Sjuttiofem procent av barnen hade pH \geq 7,30 vid diagnos och 94 % av barnen fick intravenös behandling initialt. Vårdtiden minskade signifikant över tio-årsperioden (p<0,001) och varken vårdtid (p=0,843) eller skillnader i insulinbehandling (p=0,434)var associerat med barnens HbA1c värde två år efter diagnos. Det fanns inget samband mellan vårdtid och potentiella sociala stressfaktorer för familjen vid diagnos (p=0,592). Flickor i familjer med fler potentiella sociala stressfaktorer vid tiden för diagnos hade högre HbA1c under uppföljningen jämfört med flickor i familjer med färre potentiella stressfaktorer och jämfört med pojkar (p=0,020).

Den andra delstudien i avhandlingen var en randomiserad kontrollerad studie med syftet att jämföra två olika regimer för barn med nyupptäckt diabetes; sjukhusbaserad vård och vård i hemmiljö. Från mars 2008 till september 2011, inkluderades 60 barn i åldern 3-15 år, 30 blev randomiserade till sjukhusbaserad vård och 30 till vård i hemmiljö. Barn och föräldrar följdes upp vid tiden för utskrivning, efter 6, 12 och 24 månader. Sjukhusbaserad vård innebar att barnet var inskriven på sjukhus 1-2 veckor. Under denna tid hade barn och föräldrar dagliga informationsträffar med diabetesteamets olika yrkesprofessioner. När familjen fått det mesta av den planerade informationen, fick familjen nattpermission ett par dagar innan barnet blev utskriven från sjukhuset. Vård i hemmiljö innebar att barnet, efter 2-3 dagar på sjukhus, lämnade sjukhuset tillsammans med sin familj och bodde i ett familjehus med stöd av en diabetessjuksköterska. Familjehuset ligger på sjukhusområdet och erbjuder sjuka barn och deras familjer att bo i en hemlik miljö när barnet behöver vårdinsatser från sjukhuset. De aktiva delarna i hemmiljövården var definierat som individualiserat lärande utifrån familjens förutsättningar och sociala situation, en hemlik miljö som möjliggjorde praktisk tillämplig och träning av att sköta barnets behandling med samtidigt stöd. En aktiv del i behandlingen var också ett utökat stöd efter utskrivning genom tre hembesök och utökad tillgång till telefonrådgivning. Familjer som fått vård i hemmiljö och som vid utskrivningen bedömdes ha en ökad risk för större psykosocial påfrestning, erbjöds hembesök en gång i månaden.

Utvärdering för den andra delstudien och inom ramen för denna avhandling inkluderar den första månaden efter diagnos och sex månader efter diagnos. Inga medicinska misstag eller försämrade allmäntillstånd har rapporterats under studietiden. I utvärderingen en månad efter diagnos sågs inga skillnader i barnens blodsockervärde avseende medelvärde (p=0,753) eller variabilitet (p=0,276) under tiden de fick vård i sjukhusvård eller vård i hemmiljö. Efter utskrivning, hade barnen som fått vård i hemmiljö lägre medelblodsockervärde (p=0,009) och med mindre variabilitet (p<0,001) av värdena jämfört med barnen som fått sjukhusbaserad vård. Barnen som fått vård i hemmiljö hade färre episoder med hypoglykemi (p<0,001) jämfört med barn som fått sjukhusbaserad vård under den första månaden från diagnos. Föräldrar var mer nöjda med den vård familjen fått (p=0,015) och hälso- och sjukvårdens kostnader var 30 % lägre för hemmiljövård jämfört med sjukhusbaserad vård. I utvärderingen sex månader efter diagnos var föräldrar fortsatt mer nöjda med den vård familjen fått i hemmiljö jämfört med sjukhusbaserad vård (p=0,014) samt hade mindre utnyttjande av hälso- och sjukvårdsresurser (p=0,038). Resultaten visade inga skillnader mellan grupperna avseende HbA1c (p=0,747), förändring i föräldrars arbetstid (p=0,240) eller föräldrars och anhörigas frånvaro från arbete på grund av barnets diabetes (p=0,549). Grad av risk för familjens psykosociala påfrestning, bedömd vid diagnos, var associerad med den efterföljande resursanvändningen (p=0,029) men inte HbA1c (*p*=0,860).

Sammanfattningsvis visade studierna att vårdtiden har minskat över en tioårsperiod för barn med nyupptäckt typ 1 diabetes. Under denna period blev de flesta barn rutinmässigt inlagda på sjukhus och fick intravenös behandling oavsett svårighetsgrad på symptom. Vidare stödjer dessa resultat att upp till sex månader från barnets diabetesdebut, är vård i hemmiljö lika trygg och effektiv som vård på sjukhus. Föräldrar skattade en hög grad av acceptans av vården som erbjöds i hemmiljö. Dessa resultat i kombination med lägre kostnader för hälso- och sjukvård indikerar möjligen vård i hemmiljö som mer kostnadseffektiv jämfört med traditionell sjukhusbaserad vård. Uppföljningen av den randomiserade kontrollerade studien kommer att fortgå till hösten 2013, för att utvärdera konsekvenser av de olika hälso- och sjukvårdsinsatserna, för barn, familjer samt för hälso- och sjukvården över tid.

Acknowledgements

This thesis was carried out at the Department of Health Sciences, Lund University. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to everyone who has supported me in various ways, and contributed to the completion of this thesis.

Special thanks to the many *children and their families* who have participated in the study, for your time and, although being in a difficult situation, showing willingness to contribute to the research, which may benefit others in the same situation.

It was my good fortune to have as my supervisor *Inger Hallström*, a constant source of knowledge, a professional inspiration who has provided critical and excellent supervision. Thank you for having introduced me to the scientific world.

Thank you *Annelie Carlsson*, my co-supervisor, for your unerring support and for believing in me, for having enabled opportunities and for sharing your knowledge in the field of paediatric diabetes.

I would like to express my appreciation to my co-author, *Katarina Steen Carlsson*, for your valuable contributions to my research and I hope we will have reason to work together again.

I owe a depth of gratitude to *Lisbeth Jönsson*, who is not only my co-worker in the project but who has unfailingly collected data with genuine empathy for the children and their families.

This project had not been possible without the help and the cooperation of each one of the members of the diabetes team at the Children's Hospital in Lund. *Annette Leger, Carina Ärlebring* and *Kristel Björkman* – thanks for all the support you have given through the years. Thanks also to *Maria Elfving, Peter Holmquist, Domniki Papadopoulou* and *Lisa Engleson* for your help in enrolling families to the research and to *Viktoria Schiöler* and *Charlotte Bengtsson* for your tireless contribution with notes of your time with families.

I would like to express my appreciation to all colleagues and friends at the Children's Hospital in Lund; it is a fortune to have you as fellow workers. Special thanks to *Anette Månsson* and *Pia Lister*.

Many thanks also to the staff at the Ronald McDonald House, for your kindly reception and for always being willing to help, with special thanks to *Carina Wittström*.

To the fellow PhD students and colleagues at the Department of Health Sciences, thank you for your support and helpful criticism during seminars - continued success to each of you, whether you are travelling first-class or inspection trolley.

Thanks to *Anna Lindgren* and *Per Nyberg* for your admirable patience and valuable help in statistics.

To all the co-workers and PhD students of the Swedish Institute of Health Sciences, *Vårdalinstitutet*, for contributing to a PhD school of top class characterised by a creative, supporting and stimulating environment. Special thanks to *Ingalill Rahm Hallberg*, for accepting me to the PhD programme and who has my deepest respect. Thanks to *Gerd Ahlström*, the Director of the Swedish Institute of Health Sciences. I would also like to thank *Anna Blomgren*, *Niklas Frost* and *Lena Gunnarsson* who have managed to help each one of us doctoral students in great and small.

To all fellow PhD students and dear friends in the "landscape" who have been a source of joy and laughter as well as supportive and fruitful discussions. Without you, this would have been a much less pleasant journey. Good luck to each of you during your own personal journey! Especially I would like to thank *Maria Lithner* and *Anna Condelius* for their supportive friendship and discussions about life, career and methodological issues. Thanks *Jimmie Kristensson* for much wise advice.

Finally, thanks to family, friends, relatives and the most important people in my life, *Bo*, *Oscar*, *Linnea* and my mother *Berit*, upon whom my life depends.

References

- 1. Angus VC, Waugh N. Hospital admission patterns subsequent to diagnosis of type 1 diabetes in children : a systematic review. BMC Health Services Research. 2007;7:199.
- DCCT Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. The New England Journal of Medicine. 1993;329(14):977-86.
- Cohen DM, Lumley MA, Naar-King S, Partridge T, Cakan N. Child behavior problems and family functioning as predictors of adherence and glycemic control in economically disadvantaged children with type 1 diabetes: a prospective study. Journal of Pediatric Psychology. 2004;29(3):171-84.
- 4. Delamater AM. Psychological care of children and adolescents with diabetes. Pediatric Diabetes. 2009;10 Suppl 12:175-84.
- Forsander GA, Sundelin J, Persson B. Influence of the initial management regimen and family social situation on glycemic control and medical care in children with type I diabetes mellitus. Acta Paediatrica. 2000 Dec;89(12):1462-8.
- Lewin AB, Heidgerken AD, Geffken GR, Williams LB, Storch EA, Gelfand KM, et al. The relation between family factors and metabolic control: the role of diabetes adherence. Journal of Pediatric Psychology. 2006;31(2):174-83.
- Schor EL. Family pediatrics: report of the Task Force on the Family. Pediatrics. 2003 Jun;111(6 Pt 2):1541-71.
- Hanberger L, Samuelsson U, Lindblad B, Ludvigsson J. A1C in children and adolescents with diabetes in relation to certain clinical parameters: the Swedish Childhood Diabetes Registry SWEDIABKIDS. Diabetes Care. 2008 May;31(5):927-9.
- 9. NHS Information Centre. National Diabetes Audit on Children and Young People in England and Wales. 2010 [cited 2012 01-11]; Available from: http://www.ic.nhs.uk/nda.
- 10. Icks A, Holl RW, Giani G. Economics in pediatric type 1 diabetes results from recently published studies. Experimental and Clinical Endocrinology & Diabetes. 2007;115(7):448-54.
- Icks A, Rosenbauer J, Haastert B, Rathmann W, Grabert M, Gandjour A, et al. Direct costs of pediatric diabetes care in Germany and their predictors. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes. 2004 Jun;112(6):302-9.
- 12. Clar C, Waugh N, Thomas S. Routine hospital admission versus out-patient or home care in children at diagnosis of type 1 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007(2):CD004099.
- 13. Ekoé J-M, Zimmet P. The epidemiology of diabetes mellitus. An international perspective: Wiley; 2001.

- 14. King KM, Rubin G. A history of diabetes: from antiquity to discovering insulin. Br J Nurs. 2003 Oct 9-22;12(18):1091-5.
- Dittrich HM. [History of the discovery of pancreatic diabetes by von Mering and Minkowski 1889. A historical overview on the occasion of the 100th anniversary]. Z Gesamte Inn Med. 1989 Jun 1;44(11):335-40.
- Luft R. Oskar Minkowski: discovery of the pancreatic origin of diabetes, 1889. Diabetologia. 1989 Jul;32(7):399-401.
- Raju TN. A mysterious something: the discovery of insulin and the 1923 Nobel Prize for Frederick G. Banting (1891-1941) and John J.R. Macleod (1876-1935). Acta Paediatr. 2006 Oct;95(10):1155-6.
- Gauld WR, Stalker AL, Lyall A. Renal complications in diabetes mellitus. With special references to the Kimmelstiel-Wilson leison1948 [cited 2011 03-22]; July 24: Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2068975/pdf/brmedj03543-0020.pdf
- 19. El-Mahallawy MN, Sabour MS, Osman LM, Sadek SH. Metabolic and endocrine aspects of diabetic nephropathy. Br Med J. 1960 Mar 5;1(5174):674-81.
- American Diabetes Association American Diabetes A. Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes care : the journal of clinical and applied research and education. 2009;32(Supplement_1):S62-S7.
- 21. Craig ME, Hattersley A, Donaghue KC. Definition, epidemiology and classification of diabetes in children and adolescents. Pediatric Diabetes. 2009;10 Suppl 12:3-12.
- 22. DIAMOND Project Group. Incidence and trends of childhood Type 1 diabetes worldwide 1990-1999. Diabet Med. 2006;23(8):857-66.
- Walsh MG, Zgibor J, Borch-Johnsen K, Orchard TJ. A multinational comparison of complications assessment in type 1 diabetes: the DiaMond substudy of complications (DiaComp) level 2. Diabetes Care. 2004 Jul;27(7):1610-7.
- 24. Resic-Lindehammer S, Larsson K, Ortqvist E, Carlsson A, Cederwall E, Cilio CM, et al. Temporal trends of HLA genotype frequencies of type 1 diabetes patients in Sweden from 1986 to 2005 suggest altered risk. Acta Diabetol. 2008 Dec;45(4):231-5.
- 25. Delli AJ, Lindblad B, Carlsson A, Forsander G, Ivarsson SA, Ludvigsson J, et al. Type 1 diabetes patients born to immigrants to Sweden increase their native diabetes risk and differ from Swedish patients in HLA types and islet autoantibodies. Pediatr Diabetes. 2010 Dec;11(8):513-20.
- Berhan Y, Waernbaum I, Lind T, Mollsten A, Dahlquist G. Thirty years of prospective nationwide incidence of childhood type 1 diabetes: the accelerating increase by time tends to level off in Sweden. Diabetes. 2011 Feb;60(2):577-81.
- Aamodt G, Stene LC, Njolstad PR, Sovik O, Joner G, Grp N. Spatiotemporal trends and ageperiod-cohort modeling of the incidence of type 1 diabetes among children aged < 15 years in Norway 1973-1982 and 1989-2003. Diabetes Care. 2007 Apr;30(4):884-9.
- 28. Harjutsalo V, Sjoberg L, Tuomilehto J. Time trends in the incidence of type 1 diabetes in Finnish children: a cohort study. Lancet. 2008 May 24;371(9626):1777-82.
- 29. Saudek CD, Derr RL, Kalyani RR. Assessing glycemia in diabetes using self-monitoring blood glucose and hemoglobin A1c. Jama. 2006 Apr 12;295(14):1688-97.

- Schutt M, Kern W, Krause U, Busch P, Dapp A, Grziwotz R, et al. Is the frequency of selfmonitoring of blood glucose related to long-term metabolic control? Multicenter analysis including 24,500 patients from 191 centers in Germany and Austria. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes. 2006 Jul;114(7):384-8.
- 31. Daley KB, Wodrich DL, Hasan K. Classroom attention in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus: the effect of stabilizing serum glucose. J Pediatr. 2006 Feb;148(2):201-6.
- 32. Knight S, Northam E, Donath S, Gardner A, Harkin N, Taplin C, et al. Improvements in cognition, mood and behaviour following commencement of continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion therapy in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus: a pilot study. Diabetologia. 2009 Feb;52(2):193-8.
- 33. Desrocher M, Rovet J. Neurocognitive correlates of type 1 diabetes mellitus in childhood. Child Neuropsychol. 2004 Mar;10(1):36-52.
- 34. Lin A, Northam EA, Rankins D, Werther GA, Cameron FJ. Neuropsychological profiles of young people with type 1 diabetes 12 yr after disease onset. Pediatr Diabetes. 2010 Jun;11(4):235-43.
- Northam EA, Rankins D, Lin A, Wellard RM, Pell GS, Finch SJ, et al. Central nervous system function in youth with type 1 diabetes 12 years after disease onset. Diabetes Care. 2009 Mar;32(3):445-50.
- Rankins D, Wellard RM, Cameron F, McDonnell C, Northam E. The impact of acute hypoglycemia on neuropsychological and neurometabolite profiles in children with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Nov;28(11):2771-3.
- Northam EA, Anderson PJ, Jacobs R, Hughes M, Warne GL, Werther GA. Neuropsychological profiles of children with type 1 diabetes 6 years after disease onset. Diabetes Care. 2001 Sep;24(9):1541-6.
- Schoenle EJ, Schoenle D, Molinari L, Largo RH. Impaired intellectual development in children with Type I diabetes: association with HbA(1c), age at diagnosis and sex. Diabetologia. 2002 Jan;45(1):108-14.
- 39. Cameron FJ, Baghurst PA, Rodbard D. Assessing glycemic variation: why, when and how? Pediatr Endocrinol Rev. 2010 Aug;7 Suppl 3:432-44.
- 40. Carchidi C, Holland C, Minnock P, Boyle D. New technologies in pediatric diabetes care. MCN Am J Matern Child Nurs. 2011 Jan-Feb;36(1):32-9; quiz 40-1.
- Tamborlane WV, Beck RW, Bode BW, Buckingham B, Chase HP, Clemons R, et al. Continuous glucose monitoring and intensive treatment of type 1 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2008 Oct 2;359(14):1464-76.
- 42. Nathan DM, Kuenen J, Borg R, Zheng H, Schoenfeld D, Heine RJ. Translating the A1C assay into estimated average glucose values. Diabetes Care. 2008 Aug;31(8):1473-8.
- Nathan DM, Singer DE, Hurxthal K, Goodson JD. The clinical information value of the glycosylated hemoglobin assay. N Engl J Med. 1984 Feb 9;310(6):341-6.
- 44. Nathan DM, Turgeon H, Regan S. Relationship between glycated haemoglobin levels and mean glucose levels over time. Diabetologia. 2007 Nov;50(11):2239-44.
- Svendsen PA, Lauritzen T, Soegaard U, Nerup J. Glycosylated haemoglobin and steady-state mean blood glucose concentration in Type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetes. Diabetologia. 1982 Nov;23(5):403-5.

- 46. Yamamoto-Honda R, Kitazato H, Hashimoto S, Takahashi Y, Yoshida Y, Hasegawa C, et al. Distribution of blood glucose and the correlation between blood glucose and hemoglobin A1c levels in diabetic outpatients. Endocr J. 2008 Oct;55(5):913-23.
- 47. The National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP). [cited 2011 14-06]; Available from: http://www.ngsp.org/index.asp.
- Hoelzel W, Weykamp C, Jeppsson JO, Miedema K, Barr JR, Goodall I, et al. IFCC reference system for measurement of hemoglobin A1c in human blood and the national standardization schemes in the United States, Japan, and Sweden: a method-comparison study. Clinical Chemistry. 2004 Jan;50(1):166-74.
- 49. EQUALIS. [cited 2011 06-20]; Available from: www.equalis.se.
- 50. Rewers M, Pihoker C, Donaghue K, Hanas R, Swift P, Klingensmith GJ. Assessment and monitoring of glycemic control in children and adolescents with diabetes. Pediatr Diabetes. 2009 Sep;10 Suppl 12:71-81.
- 51. King KM. A history of insulin: from discovery to modern alternatives. Br J Nurs. 2003 Oct 23-Nov 12;12(19):1137-41.
- 52. Skinner TC, Cameron FJ. Improving glycaemic control in children and adolescents: which aspects of therapy really matter? Diabet Med. 2010 Apr;27(4):369-75.
- 53. Waldron S, Hanas R, Palmvig B. How do we educate young people to balance carbohydrate intake with adjustments of insulin? Horm Res. 2002;57 Suppl 1:62-5.
- 54. Hanas R, Donaghue KC, Klingensmith G, Swift PG. ISPAD clinical practice consensus guidelines 2009 compendium. Introduction. Pediatric Diabetes. 2009;10 Suppl 12:1-2.
- 55. Sjöblad S. Pediatric and adolescent diabetes. A national care program by the Swedish society for diabetology and endocrinology (Barn- och Ungdomsdiabetes Vårdprogram utarbetat inom Svenska barnläkarföreningens sektion för endokrinologi och diabetes) 2:1 ed. Lund: Studentlitteratur; 2008.
- Nordwall M, Bojestig M, Arnqvist HJ, Ludvigsson J. Declining incidence of severe retinopathy and persisting decrease of nephropathy in an unselected population of Type 1 diabetes-the Linkoping Diabetes Complications Study. Diabetologia. 2004 Jul;47(7):1266-72.
- 57. Hatherly K, Smith L, Overland J, Johnston C, Brown-Singh L. Application of Australian clinical management guidelines: the current state of play in a sample of young people living with Type 1 diabetes in the state of New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2011 Sep;93(3):379-84.
- Hanberger L. Quality of Care in Children and Adolescent with type 1 Diabetes Patients' and Healthcare Professionals' Perspective [Doctoral dissertation]. Linköping: Linköping University; 2010.
- Boman A, Borup I, Povlsen L, Dahlborg-Lyckhage E. Parents' discursive resources: Analysis of discourses in Swedish, Danish and Norwegian health care guidelines for children with diabetes type 1. Scand J Caring Sci. 2011 Nov 10.
- Milton B, Holland P, Whitehead M. The social and economic consequences of childhood-onset Type 1 diabetes mellitus across the lifecourse: a systematic review. Diabet Med. 2006 Aug;23(8):821-9.
- 61. Steen Carlsson K, Landin-Olsson M, Nystrom L, Arnqvist HJ, Bolinder J, Ostman J, et al. Longterm detrimental consequences of the onset of type 1 diabetes on annual earnings--evidence from annual registry data in 1990-2005. Diabetologia. 2010 Jun;53(6):1084-92.

- Wennick A, Hallstrom I, Lindgren B, Bolin K. Attained education and self-assessed health later in life when diagnosed with diabetes in childhood: a population-based study. Pediatr Diabetes. 2011 Nov;12(7):619-26.
- 63. Katz ML, Laffel LM, Perrin JM, Kuhlthau K. Impact of Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus on the Family is Reduced with the Medical Home, Care Coordination, and Family-Centered Care. J Pediatr. 2011 Nov 30.
- 64. Brink S, Laffel L, Likitmaskul S, Liu L, Maguire AM, Olsen B, et al. Sick day management in children and adolescents with diabetes. Pediatr Diabetes. 2009 Sep;10 Suppl 12:146-53.
- 65. Syse A, Larsen IK, Tretli S. Does cancer in a child affect parents' employment and earnings? A population-based study. Cancer Epidemiol. 2011 Jun;35(3):298-305.
- 66. Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions (SKL Sveriges Kommuner och Landsting). Lagar och regler om kommunalt vårdnadsbidrag [cited 2012 25th April]; Available from: http://www.skl.se/vi_arbetar_med/ekonomi/vardnadsbidrag/lagar_och_regler_vardnadsbidrag.
- 67. Icks A, Rosenbauer J, Rathmann W, Haastert B, Gandjour A, Giani G. Direct costs of care in germany for children and adolescents with diabetes mellitus in the early course after onset. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2004 Nov;17(11):1551-9.
- 68. Bachle C, Haastert B, Holl RW, Beyer P, Grabert M, Giani G, et al. Inpatient and outpatient health care utilization of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes before and after introduction of DRGs. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes. 2010 Oct;118(9):644-8.
- Ying AK, Lairson DR, Giardino AP, Bondy ML, Zaheer I, Haymond MW, et al. Predictors of direct costs of diabetes care in pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes. Pediatr Diabetes. 2011 May;12(3 Pt 1):177-82.
- Gage H, Hampson S, Skinner TC, Hart J, Storey L, Foxcroft D, et al. Educational and psychosocial programmes for adolescents with diabetes: approaches, outcomes and costeffectiveness. Patient Educ Couns. 2004 Jun;53(3):333-46.
- Dougherty GE, Soderstrom L, Schiffrin A. An economic evaluation of home care for children with newly diagnosed diabetes: results from a randomized controlled trial. Med Care. 1998 Apr;36(4):586-98.
- 72. Spaulding RH, Spaulding WB. The diabetic day-care unit. II. Comparison of patients and costs of initiating insulin therapy in the unit and a hospital. Can Med Assoc J. 1976 May 8;114(9):780-3.
- Levy-Marchal C, Patterson CC, Green A. Geographical variation of presentation at diagnosis of type I diabetes in children: the EURODIAB study. European and Dibetes. Diabetologia. 2001 Oct;44 Suppl 3:B75-80.
- 74. Hekkala A, Knip M, Veijola R. Ketoacidosis at diagnosis of type 1 diabetes in children in northern Finland: temporal changes over 20 years. Diabetes Care. 2007 Apr;30(4):861-6.
- 75. Hanas R, Lindgren F, Lindblad B. Diabetic ketoacidosis and cerebral oedema in Sweden--a 2-year paediatric population study. Diabet Med. 2007 Oct;24(10):1080-5.
- Elding Larsson H, Vehik K, Bell R, Dabelea D, Dolan L, Pihoker C, et al. Reduced prevalence of diabetic ketoacidosis at diagnosis of type 1 diabetes in young children participating in longitudinal follow-up. Diabetes Care. 2011 Nov;34(11):2347-52.
- Usher-Smith JA, Thompson MJ, Sharp SJ, Walter FM. Factors associated with the presence of diabetic ketoacidosis at diagnosis of diabetes in children and young adults: a systematic review. Bmj. 2011;343:d4092.

- 78. Lessing DN, Swift PG, Metcalfe MA, Baum JD. Newly diagnosed diabetes: a study of parental satisfaction. Archives of Disease in Childhood. 1992 Aug;67(8):1011-3.
- 79. Swift PG, Hearnshaw JR, Botha JL, Wright G, Raymond NT, Jamieson KF. A decade of diabetes: keeping children out of hospital. Bmj. 1993 Jul 10;307(6896):96-8.
- Shah SC, Malone JI, Simpson NE. A randomized trial of intensive insulin therapy in newly diagnosed insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. The New England Journal of Medicine. 1989 Mar 2;320(9):550-4.
- Lombardo F, Valenzise M, Wasniewska M, Messina MF, Ruggeri C, Arrigo T, et al. Two-year prospective evaluation of the factors affecting honeymoon frequency and duration in children with insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: the key-role of age at diagnosis. Diabetes Nutr Metab. 2002 Aug;15(4):246-51.
- Couper J, Donaghue KC. Phases of diabetes in children and adolescents. Pediatr Diabetes. 2009 Sep;10 Suppl 12:13-6.
- Herbst A, Roth CL, Dost AG, Fimmers R, Holl RW. Rate of hypoglycaemia and insulin dosage in children during the initial therapy of type 1 diabetes mellitus. European Journal of Pediatrics. 2005;164(10):633-8.
- Enander R, Bergdahl T, Adolfsson P, Forsander G, Gundevall C, Karlsson A, et al. Intensive subcutaneous insulin therapy and intravenous insulin infusion at onset of Diabetes preserve betacell function equally well in children. ISPAD International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes; Miami Beach 2011.
- 85. Abdul-Rasoul M, Habib H, Al-Khouly M. 'The honeymoon phase' in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus: frequency, duration, and influential factors. Pediatr Diabetes. 2006 Apr;7(2):101-7.
- Bowden SA, Duck MM, Hoffman RP. Young children (<5 yr) and adolescents (>12 yr) with type 1 diabetes mellitus have low rate of partial remission: diabetic ketoacidosis is an important risk factor. Pediatr Diabetes. 2008 Jun;9(3 Pt 1):197-201.
- 87. Swift P. Diabetes education in children and adolescents. Pediatric Diabetes ISPAD Clinical Pratice Consensus Guidelines 2009 Compendium. 2009;10(Suppl. 12):51-7.
- Australian Paediatric Endocrine Group. Clinical practice guidelines: Type 1 diabetes in children and adolescents. 2004 [Last accessed 18 november 2009]; Available from: http://www.chw.edu.au/prof/services/endocrinology/apeg/apeg_handbook_final.pdf.
- Canadian Diabetes Association. 2008 Clinical Practice Guidelines: Type 1 diabetes in children and adolescents [accessed 18 november 2011]; Available from: http://www.diabetes.ca/files/cpg2008/cpg-2008.pdf S150-161.
- Silverstein J, Klingensmith G, Copeland K, Plotnick L, Kaufman F, Laffel L, et al. Care of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes: a statement of the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care. 2005;28(1):186-212.
- Lange K, Sassmann H, von Schutz W, Kordonouri O, Danne T. Prerequisites for age-appropriate education in type 1 diabetes: a model programme for paediatric diabetes education in Germany. Pediatric Diabetes. 2007;8 Suppl 6:63-71.
- 92. Mensing C, Boucher J, Cypress M, Weinger K, Mulcahy K, Barta P, et al. National standards for diabetes self-management education. Diabetes Care. 2007 Jan;30 Suppl 1:S96-S103.
- 93. Schilling LS, Grey M, Knafl KA. The concept of self-management of type 1 diabetes in children and adolescents: an evolutionary concept analysis. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 2002;37(1):87-99.

- 94. Clement S. Diabetes self-management education. Diabetes Care. 1995 Aug;18(8):1204-14.
- Guo J, Whittemore R, He GP. The relationship between diabetes self-management and metabolic control in youth with type 1 diabetes: an integrative review. J Adv Nurs. 2011 Nov;67(11):2294-310.
- Hampson SE, Skinner TC, Hart J, Storey L, Gage H, Foxcroft D, et al. Effects of educational and psychosocial interventions for adolescents with diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. Health Technology Assessment. 2001;5(10):1-79.
- 97. Murphy HR, Rayman G, Skinner TC. Psycho-educational interventions for children and young people with Type 1 diabetes. Diabetic Medicine. 2006;23(9):935-43.
- Grey M, Kanner S, Lacey KO. Characteristics of the learner: children and adolescents. Diabetes Educ. 1999 Nov-Dec;25(6 Suppl):25-33.
- 99. Skinner TC. What does make the difference? Diabetic Medicine. 2006;23(9):933-4.
- 100. Parker G, Bhakta P, Lovett CA, Paisley S, Olsen R, Turner D, et al. A systematic review of the costs and effectiveness of different models of paediatric home care. Health Technol Assess. 2002;6(35):iii-108.
- 101. Cooper C, Wheeler DM, Woolfenden SR, Boss T, Piper S. Specialist home-based nursing services for children with acute and chronic illnesses. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006(4):CD004383.
- 102. Hansson H, Hallstrom I, Kjaergaard H, Johansen C, Schmiegelow K. Hospital-based home care for children with cancer. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2011 Sep;57(3):369-77.
- 103. Parker G, Bhakta P, Lovett C, Olsen R, Paisley S, Turner D. Paediatric home care: a systematic review of randomized trials on costs and effectiveness. J Health Serv Res Policy. 2006 Apr;11(2):110-9.
- 104. Pihoker C, Forsander G, Wolfsdorf J, Klingensmith GJ. The delivery of ambulatory diabetes care to children and adolescents with diabetes. Pediatric Diabetes. 2009;10 Suppl 12:58-70.
- 105. Forsander G, Persson B, Sundelin J, Berglund E, Snellman K, Hellstrom R. Metabolic control in children with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus 5 y after diagnosis. Early detection of patients at risk for poor metabolic control. Acta Paediatrica. 1998 Aug;87(8):857-64.
- 106. Hilgard D, Johannsen C, Herbst A, Oeverink R, Mix M, Holl RW, et al. Development in hospitalisation and average length of hospital stay in children and youth with diabetes mellitus type 1 in 1995 to 2005. Diabetol Stoffwechs. [Article]. 2007 May;2(3):153-60.
- 107. Hirasing RA, Reeser HM, de Groot RR, Ruwaard D, van Buuren S, Verloove-Vanhorick SP. Trends in hospital admissions among children aged 0-19 years with type I diabetes in The Netherlands. Diabetes Care. 1996 May;19(5):431-4.
- Kostraba JN, Gay EC, Rewers M, Chase HP, Klingensmith GJ, Hamman RF. Increasing trend of outpatient management of children with newly diagnosed IDDM. Colorado IDDM Registry, 1978-1988. Diabetes Care. 1992 Jan;15(1):95-100.
- 109. Simell T, Simell O, Sintonen H. The first two years of type 1 diabetes in children: length of the initial hospital stay affects costs but not effectiveness of care. Diabetic Medicine. 1993 Nov;10(9):855-62.
- Siminerio LM, Charron-Prochownik D, Banion C, Schreiner B. Comparing outpatient and inpatient diabetes education for newly diagnosed pediatric patients. The Diabetes Educator. 1999 Nov-Dec;25(6):895-906.

- 111. Srinivasan S, Craig ME, Beeney L, Hayes R, Harkin N, Ambler GR, et al. An ambulatory stabilisation program for children with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes. Med J Aust. 2004 Mar 15;180(6):277-80.
- 112. Lowes L, Lyne P, Gregory JW. Childhood diabetes: parents' experience of home management and the first year following diagnosis. Diabet Med. 2004 Jun;21(6):531-8.
- 113. Lowes L, Lyne P. Your child has diabetes: hospital or home at diagnosis? Br J Nurs. 2000 May 11-24;9(9):542-8.
- 114. Wennick A. LIVING WITH CHILDHOOD DIABETES. Family Experiences and Long-term effects [Doctoral dissertation]. Lund: Lund University; 2007.
- 115. Wennick A, Hallstrom I. Swedish families' lived experience when a child is first diagnosed as having insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: An ongoing learning process. Journal of Family Nursing. 2006 Nov;12(4):368-89.
- Corlett J, Twycross A. Negotiation of parental roles within family-centred care: a review of the research. J Clin Nurs. 2006 Oct;15(10):1308-16.
- 117. Power N, Franck L. Parent participation in the care of hospitalized children: a systematic review. J Adv Nurs. 2008 Jun;62(6):622-41.
- 118. Charron-Prochownik D, Maihle T, Siminerio L, Songer T. Outpatient versus inpatient care of children newly diagnosed with IDDM. Diabetes Care. 1997 Apr;20(4):657-60.
- 119. Lowes L, Gregory JW. Management of newly diagnosed diabetes: home or hospital? Arch Dis Child. 2004 Oct;89(10):934-7.
- 120. Nichols WC, Pace-Nichols MA, Becvar DS, Napier AY, editors. Handbook of Family Development and Intervention. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 2000.
- McCubbin HI, Joy CB, Cauble AE, Patterson JM, Needle RH. Family Stress and Coping: A Decade Review. Journal of Marriage and the Family. 1980;42(4):855-71.
- 122. Bowlby J. A secure base : clinical applications of attachment theory. London: Routledge; 1988.
- 123. Holmes J. John Bowlby and attachment theory. London ; New York: Routledge; 1993.
- 124. Blum HP. Separation-individuation theory and attachment theory. J Am Psychoanal Assoc. 2004 Spring;52(2):535-53.
- 125. Williams DR, Collins C. Racial residential segregation: a fundamental cause of racial disparities in health. Public Health Rep. 2001 Sep-Oct;116(5):404-16.
- 126. Williams DR, Mohammed SA, Leavell J, Collins C. Race, socioeconomic status, and health: complexities, ongoing challenges, and research opportunities. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2010 Feb;1186:69-101.
- 127. Overstreet S, Holmes CS, Dunlap WP, Frentz J. Sociodemographic risk factors to disease control in children with diabetes. Diabetic Medicine. 1997;14(2):153-7.
- 128. Kazak AE, Prusak A, McSherry M, Simms S, Beele D, Rourke M, et al. The Psychosocial Assessment Tool (PAT): pilot data on a brief screening instrument for identifying high risk families in pediatric oncology. Families, Systems & Health: The Journal of Collaborative Family HealthCare. 2001;19(3):303-17.

- 129. Holmes CS, Chen R, Streisand R, Marschall DE, Souter S, Swift EE, et al. Predictors of youth diabetes care behaviors and metabolic control: a structural equation modeling approach. J Pediatr Psychol. 2006 Sep;31(8):770-84.
- Cameron FJ, Skinner TC, de Beaufort CE, Hoey H, Swift PG, Aanstoot H, et al. Are family factors universally related to metabolic outcomes in adolescents with Type 1 diabetes? Diabet Med. 2008 Apr;25(4):463-8.
- 131. Wysocki T, Nansel TR, Holmbeck GN, Chen R, Laffel L, Anderson BJ, et al. Collaborative involvement of primary and secondary caregivers: associations with youths' diabetes outcomes. J Pediatr Psychol. 2009 Sep;34(8):869-81.
- 132. La Greca AM, Auslander WF, Greco P, Spetter D, Fisher EB, Jr., Santiago JV. I get by with a little help from my family and friends: adolescents' support for diabetes care. J Pediatr Psychol. 1995 Aug;20(4):449-76.
- 133. Dashiff C, Hardeman T, McLain R. Parent-adolescent communication and diabetes: an integrative review. J Adv Nurs. 2008 Apr;62(2):140-62.
- 134. Davis CL, Delamater AM, Shaw KH, La Greca AM, Eidson MS, Perez-Rodriguez JE, et al. Parenting styles, regimen adherence, and glycemic control in 4- to 10-year-old children with diabetes. J Pediatr Psychol. 2001 Mar;26(2):123-9.
- 135. Wysocki T, Harris MA, Buckloh LM, Mertlich D, Lochrie AS, Taylor A, et al. Randomized, controlled trial of Behavioral Family Systems Therapy for Diabetes: maintenance and generalization of effects on parent-adolescent communication. Behav Ther. 2008 Mar;39(1):33-46.
- 136. Anderson BJ, Auslander WF, Jung KC, Miller JP, Santiago JV. Assessing family sharing of diabetes responsibilities. J Pediatr Psychol. 1990 Aug;15(4):477-92.
- Helgeson VS, Reynolds KA, Siminerio L, Escobar O, Becker D. Parent and adolescent distribution of responsibility for diabetes self-care: links to health outcomes. J Pediatr Psychol. 2008;33(5):497-508.
- 138. Wysocki T, Iannotti R, Weissberg-Benchell J, Laffel L, Hood K, Anderson B, et al. Diabetes problem solving by youths with type 1 diabetes and their caregivers: measurement, validation, and longitudinal associations with glycemic control. J Pediatr Psychol. 2008 Sep;33(8):875-84.
- 139. Ryden O, Johnsson P, Nevander L, Sjoblad S, Westbom L. Cooperation between parents in caring for diabetic children: relations to metabolic control and parents' field-dependence-independence. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 1993 Jun;20(3):223-9.
- 140. Hains AA, Berlin KS, Davies WH, Smothers MK, Sato AF, Alemzadeh R. Attributions of adolescents with type 1 diabetes related to performing diabetes care around friends and peers: the moderating role of friend support. J Pediatr Psychol. 2007 Jun;32(5):561-70.
- 141. Northam EA, Todd S, Cameron FJ. Interventions to promote optimal health outcomes in children with Type 1 diabetes--are they effective? Diabetic Medicine. 2006;23(2):113-21.
- Guralnick MJ. Early Intervention for Children with Intellectual Disabilities: Current Knowledge and Future Prospects. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities. 2005;18(4):313-24.
- 143. Bradley RH, Whiteside L, Mundfrom DJ, Casey PH, Kelleher KJ, Pope SK. Early indications of resilience and their relation to experiences in the home environments of low birthweight, premature children living in poverty. Child Development. 1994;65(2 Spec No):346-60.
- 144. Wennick A, Hallstrom I. Families' lived experience one year after a child was diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 2007 Nov;60(3):299-307.

- 145. Wennick A, Lundqvist A, Hallstrom I. Everyday experience of families three years after diagnosis of type 1 diabetes in children: a research paper. Journal of Pediatric Nursing. 2009;24(3):222-30.
- 146. Dewey J. Psychology and Social Practice. Science. 1900;11(270):321-33.
- 147. Hacking I. Representing and intervening. Introductory topics in the philosophy of natural science. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2007.
- 148. Schön D. The Reflective Practitioner. London: Temple Smith; 1983.
- Hungerford A, Cox MJ. Family factors in child care research. Evaluation Review. 2006 Oct;30(5):631-55.
- 150. Altman DG, Schulz KF, Moher D, Egger M, Davidoff F, Elbourne D, et al. The revised CONSORT statement for reporting randomized trials: explanation and elaboration. Annals of Internal Medicine. 2001;134(8):663-94.
- 151. Coster S, Norman I. Cochrane reviews of educational and self-management interventions to guide nursing practice: a review. International Journal of Nursing Studies. 2009;46(4):508-28.
- 152. Moher D, Schulz KF, Altman D. The CONSORT statement: revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomized trials. Jama. 2001;285(15):1987-91.
- 153. Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomized trials. Obstet Gynecol. 2010 May;115(5):1063-70.
- 154. Sikes P, Noxon J, Carr W, editors. The Moral Foundations of Educational Research: Knowledge, Inquiry and Values. Philiadelphia: Open University Press; 2003.
- 155. Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, Michie S, Nazareth I, Petticrew M. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: the new Medical Research Council guidance. Bmj. 2008;337:a1655.
- 156. Medical Research Council. A framework for development and evaluations of RCTs for complex intervention to improve health. 2000 [accessed 20 January 2012]; Available from: http://www.mrc.ac.uk/Utilities/Documentrecord/index.htm?d=MRC003372
- 157. Tiberg I, Hallstrom I. Translation and testing of a quality of life instrument: The PedsQL[™] Family Impact Module (Översättning och testning av ett livskvalitetinstrument: The PedsQL[™] Family Impact Module). Vård i Norden. 2009;29(91):38-42.
- 158. Statistics Sweden (Statistiska centralbyrån SCB). [Accessed 18 January 2012]; Available from: http://www.scb.se/.
- 159. SWEDIABKIDS The Swedish Childhood Diabetes Registry. [cited 2012 13-01]; Available from: https://www.ndr.nu/ndr2/.
- 160. Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2007 [accessed january 2008]; Available from: http://www.r-project.org/>.
- Varni JW, Quiggins DJL, Ayala GX. Development of the Pediatric Hematology/Oncology Parent Satisfaction Survey. Childrens Health Care. 2000 Fal;29(4):243-55.
- 162. Varni JW, Burwinkle TM, Dickinson P, Sherman SA, Dixon P, Ervice JA, et al. Evaluation of the built environment at a children's convalescent hospital: development of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory parent and staff satisfaction measures for pediatric health care facilities. Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics. 2004;25(1):10-20.

- Ferguson E, Cox T. Exploratory Factor Analysis: A Users'Guide. International Journal of Selection and Assessment. 1993;1(2):11.
- 164. Streiner DL, Norman GR. Health measurement scales : a practical guide to their development and use. 4th ed. Oxford ; New York: Oxford University Press; 2008.
- 165. Sundelin J, Forsander G, Mattson SE. Family-oriented support at the onset of diabetes mellitus: a comparison of two group conditions during 2 years following diagnosis. Acta Paediatr. 1996 Jan;85(1):49-55.
- 166. Viner R, McGrath M, Trudinger P. Family stress and metabolic control in diabetes. Archives of Disease in Childhood. 1996 May;74(5):418-21.
- 167. Alderfer MA, Mougianis I, Barakat LP, Beele D, DiTaranto S, Hwang WT, et al. Family psychosocial risk, distress, and service utilization in pediatric cancer: predictive validity of the Psychosocial Assessment Tool. Cancer. 2009 Sep 15;115(18 Suppl):4339-49.
- 168. Kazak AE, Cant MC, Jensen MM, McSherry M, Rourke MT, Hwang WT, et al. Identifying psychosocial risk indicative of subsequent resource use in families of newly diagnosed pediatric oncology patients. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2003;21(17):3220-5.
- 169. McCarthy MC, Clarke NE, Vance A, Ashley DM, Heath JA, Anderson VA. Measuring psychosocial risk in families caring for a child with cancer: the Psychosocial Assessment Tool (PAT2.0). Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2009 Jul;53(1):78-83.
- 170. Pai AL, Patino-Fernandez AM, McSherry M, Beele D, Alderfer MA, Reilly AT, et al. The Psychosocial Assessment Tool (PAT2.0): psychometric properties of a screener for psychosocial distress in families of children newly diagnosed with cancer. J Pediatr Psychol. 2008 Jan-Feb;33(1):50-62.
- 171. Pai AL, Tackett A, Ittenbach RF, Goebel J. Psychosocial Assessment Tool 2.0_General: Validity of a psychosocial risk screener in a pediatric kidney transplant sample. Pediatr Transplant. 2012 Feb;16(1):92-8.
- 172. Altman D. Practical statistics for medical research. London: Chapman & Hall; 1991.
- 173. Norman GR, Streiner DL. Biostatistics : the bare essentials. 3rd ed. Shelton, Conn.: People's Medical Pub. House; 2008.
- 174. Human D, Fluss SS. The World Medical Asoociation's Declaration of Helsinli: Historical and Comtemporary Perspectives. [cited 2012 12 February]; Available from: http://www.wma.net/en/20activities/10ethics/10helsinki/.
- 175. Beauchamp TL. Methods and principles in biomedical ethics. J Med Ethics. 2003 Oct;29(5):269-74.
- 176. Emanuel EJ, Wendler D, Grady C. What makes clinical research ethical? Jama. 2000 May 24-31;283(20):2701-11.
- 177. AcademyHealth. Ethical Guidelines for Managing Conflicts of Interest in Health Services Research.
 2004 [cited 2011 07-04]; Available from: http://www.academyhealth.org/Publications/BriefDetail.cfm?ItemNumber=1831.
- 178. CODEX rules and guidelines for research. [cited 2011 07-04]; Available from: http://www.codex.uu.se/en/index.shtml.
- 179. Merlo DF, Knudsen LE, Matusiewicz K, Niebroj L, Vahakangas KH. Ethics in studies on children and environmental health. J Med Ethics. 2007 Jul;33(7):408-13.

- World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects 2000 [3 october 2006]; Available from: http://www.who.int/en/.
- Beauchamp TL, Childress JF, editors. Principles of Biomedical Ethics. Fifth edition ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2001.
- 182. Polit DF, Beck CT. Essentials of nursing research : methods, appraisal, and utilization. 6. ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2006.
- Clarke W, Jones T, Rewers A, Dunger D, Klingensmith GJ. Assessment and management of hypoglycemia in children and adolescents with diabetes. Pediatr Diabetes. 2009 Sep;10 Suppl 12:134-45.
- 184. Golden MP, Ingersoll GM, Brack CJ, Russell BA, Wright JC, Huberty TJ. Longitudinal relationship of asymptomatic hypoglycemia to cognitive function in IDDM. Diabetes Care. 1989 Feb;12(2):89-93.
- Rovet JF, Ehrlich RM, Czuchta D. Intellectual characteristics of diabetic children at diagnosis and one year later. J Pediatr Psychol. 1990 Dec;15(6):775-88.
- Rovet JF, Ehrlich RM, Hoppe M. Specific intellectual deficits in children with early onset diabetes mellitus. Child Dev. 1988 Feb;59(1):226-34.
- 187. Ryan C, Vega A, Drash A. Cognitive deficits in adolescents who developed diabetes early in life. Pediatrics. 1985 May;75(5):921-7.
- 188. Persson J, Sahlin N-E. Philosophy of Science. [Manuscript for book, based on PhD courses in Philosophy of Science]. In press 2012.
- 189. Persson J. Misconceptions of positivism and five unnecessary science theoretic mistakes they bring in their train. Int J Nurs Stud. 2010 May;47(5):651-61.
- 190. Persson J, Sahlin NE. A philosophical account of interventions and causal representation in nursing research. Int J Nurs Stud. 2009 Apr;46(4):547-56.
- 191. Shadish WR, Cook TD, Campbell DT. Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference. Boston: Houghton Mifflin; 2002.
- 192. Mortensen HB, Hougaard P. Comparison of metabolic control in a cross-sectional study of 2,873 children and adolescents with IDDM from 18 countries. Diabetes Care. 1997 May;20(5):714-20.
- 193. Marley JV, Davis S, Coleman K, Hayhow BD, Brennan G, Mein JK, et al. Point-of-care testing of capillary glucose in the exclusion and diagnosis of diabetes in remote Australia. Med J Aust. 2007 May 21;186(10):500-3.
- 194. Martin DD, Shephard MD, Freeman H, Bulsara MK, Jones TW, Davis EA, et al. Point-of-care testing of HbA1c and blood glucose in a remote Aboriginal Australian community. Med J Aust. 2005 May 16;182(10):524-7.
- 195. Schwartz KL, Monsur J, Hammad A, Bartoces MG, Neale AV. Comparison of point of care and laboratory HbA1c analysis: a MetroNet study. J Am Board Fam Med. 2009 Jul-Aug;22(4):461-3.
- 196. de Souza FM, Molina J, Terreri MT, Hilario MO, Len CA. Reliability of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory - Healthcare Satisfaction Generic Module 3.0 version for the assessment of the quality of care of children with chronic diseases. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2012 Jan;88(1):54-60.
- 197. Varni JW, Burwinkle TM, Seid M. The PedsQL[™] as a pediatric patient-reported outcome: Reliability and validity of the PedsQL[™] Measurement Model in 25,000 children. Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research. 2005;5:705-19.

- Varni JW, Seid M, Rode CA. The PedsQL: measurement model for the pediatric quality of life inventory. Medical Care. 1999;37(2):126-39.
- 199. Sofaer S, Firminger K. Patient perceptions of the quality of health services. Annu Rev Public Health. 2005;26:513-59.
- 200. Rosenthal GE, Shannon SE. The use of patient perceptions in the evaluation of health-care delivery systems. Medical Care. 1997 Nov;35(11):Ns58-Ns68.
- 201. Estabrooks CA. The conceptual structure of research utilization. Res Nurs Health. 1999 Jun;22(3):203-16.
- 202. Nilsson P, editor. Implementering Teori och tillämpning inom hälso- & sjukvård. Lund: Studentlitteratur; 2010.
- Rycroft-Malone J. Theory and knowledge translation: setting some coordinates. Nurs Res. 2007 Jul-Aug;56(4 Suppl):S78-85.
- 204. Dunst CJ, Trivette CM. Meta-analytic structural equation modeling of the influences of familycentered care on parent and child psychological health. Int J Pediatr. 2009;2009:576840.
- 205. Dunst CJ, Trivette CM, Hamby DW. Meta-analysis of family-centered helpgiving practices research. Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev. 2007;13(4):370-8.
- 206. Chase HP, Crews KR, Garg S, Crews MJ, Cruickshanks KJ, Klingensmith G, et al. Outpatient management vs in-hospital management of children with new-onset diabetes. Clinical Pediatrics. 1992 Aug;31(8):450-6.
- 207. Galatzer A, Amir S, Gil R, Karp M, Laron Z. Crisis-intervention program in newly diagnosed diabetic children. Diabetes Care. 1982;5(4):414-9.
- 208. Simell T, Puttolaurila A, Nantosalonen K, Salomaa P, Piekkala P, Hakalax J, et al. Randomized prospective trial of ambulatory treatment and one-week hospitalization of children with newlydiagnosed IDDM. Diabetes. 1995 May;44:A162-A.
- Simell T, Kaprio EA, Maenpaa J, Tuominen J, Simell O. Randomised prospective study of shortterm and long-term initial stay in hospital by children with diabetes mellitus. Lancet. 1991;337(8742):656-60.
- 210. Simell T, Moren R, Keltikangas-Jarvinen L, Hakalax J, Simell O. Short-term and long-term initial stay in hospital of children with insulin-dependent diabetes: adjustment of families after two years. Acta Paediatr. 1995 Jan;84(1):41-50.
- 211. Dougherty G, Schiffrin A, White D, Soderstrom L, Sufrategui M. Home-based management can achieve intensification cost-effectively in type I diabetes. Pediatrics. 1999 Jan;103(1):122-8.
- 212. Schwartz DD, Cline VD, Axelrad ME, Anderson BJ. Feasibility, acceptability, and predictive validity of a psychosocial screening program for children and youth newly diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2011 Feb;34(2):326-31.
- 213. Hatton DL, Canam C, Thorne S, Hughes AM. Parents' perceptions of caring for an infant or toddler with diabetes. J Adv Nurs. 1995 Sep;22(3):569-77.
- 214. Drummon MF, Sculpher MJ, Torrance GW, O'Brien BJ, Stoddart GL. Methods for the economic evaluation of health care programmes. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2005.
- 215. Coulter A. After Bristol: putting patients at the centre. Qual Saf Health Care. 2002 Jun;11(2):186-8.

- 216. Lewin SA, Skea ZC, Entwistle V, Zwarenstein M, Dick J. Interventions for providers to promote a patient-centred approach in clinical consultations. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001(4):CD003267.
- 217. Williams B. Patient satisfaction: a valid concept? Soc Sci Med. 1994 Feb;38(4):509-16.
- 218. Williams B, Coyle J, Healy D. The meaning of patient satisfaction: an explanation of high reported levels. Soc Sci Med. 1998 Nov;47(9):1351-9.
- 219. Hughes DM, McLeod M, Garner B, Goldbloom RB. Controlled trial of a home and ambulatory program for asthmatic children. Pediatrics. 1991 Jan;87(1):54-61.
- 220. Mitchell EA, Ferguson V, Norwood M. Asthma education by community child health nurses. Arch Dis Child. 1986 Dec;61(12):1184-9.
- 221. Townson JK, Gregory JW, Cohen D, Channon S, Harman N, Davies JH, et al. Delivering early care in diabetes evaluation (DECIDE): a protocol for a randomised controlled trial to assess hospital versus home management at diagnosis in childhood diabetes. BMC Pediatr. 2011;11:7.
- 222. Rogers ME. Diffusion of innovations. 5th ed. New York: Free Press; 2003.
- 223. Haider M, Kreps GL. Forty years of diffusion of innovations: utility and value in public health. J Health Commun. 2004;9 Suppl 1:3-11.
- 224. Rogers EM. Diffusion of preventive innovations. Addict Behav. 2002 Nov-Dec;27(6):989-93.

Paper I



The Influence of Initial Management and Family Stress on Metabolic Control in Children with Type 1 Diabetes

Irén Tiberg^{1,2,3}, Inger Hallström^{1,2}, Annelie Carlsson³

¹Division of nursing, Department of Health Science, Lund University, Lund, Sweden; ²The Swedish Institute for Health Sciences Lund, Sweden; ³Department of Pediatrics, Lund University Hospital, Lund, Sweden. Email: {iren.tiberg, inger.hallstrom, annelie.carlsson}@med.lu.se

Received August 6th, 2010; revised September 11th, 2010; accepted October 11th 2010.

Abstract

The aim was to assess whether temporal changes in the initial management for children diagnosed with type 1 diabetes over a ten year period affected metabolic control two years after diagnosis. A further aim was to investigate if social factors, registered at diagnosis, had an impact on metabolic control two years after diagnosis. During the years 1997-2006, 247 children and adolescents were diagnosed with type 1 diabetes at a University Hospital in Sweden. The analysed data included HbA1c, pH at diagnosis, initial intravenous insulin infusion and length of hospital stay at diagnosis, subcutaneous insulin type, number of diabetes check-up visits, emergency visits, re-admissions and social factors. Length of hospital stay decreased significantly over the ten year period. Neither hospital stay nor differences in insulin treatment was significantly correlated with children's metabolic control over time. Length of hospital stay was not related with families' social stress situation. However, girls in families with more family stress at the time of diagnosis had higher HbA1c during follow-up than girls with less family stress or boys. Factors of importance for the child's long-term metabolic control need to be further investigated so the initial management can be tailored to each individual family's needs. This would imply an effective utilization of both families' and health care resources.

Keywords: Type 1 Diabetes, Disease Management, Patient Admission, Life Stress, Hemoglobin A1c

1. Introduction

In Sweden, most children with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes are admitted to hospital for about 1-3 weeks and receive intravenous insulin infusion the first days, in line with the Swedish national care program for pediatric and adolescent diabetes [1]. The first national care program guidelines were presented in 1982. Since then, these guidelines have become standard of care in Sweden and have led to important improvements in Swedish pediatric diabetes care. In other parts of the world, duration of hospital admission and stay after the initial diagnosis varies greatly, from several weeks to a few days or, alternatively, on an outpatient basis only [2-4]. The overall trend is for shorter lengths of stay and/or exclusively outpatient management [2-6]. A recent review, comparing hospital based and home based care, showed that studies were too limited to reach clear conclusions, but home based care did not seem to lead to any disadvantages concerning metabolic control or psychological outcomes [7]. Re-admission and emergency visits rates have the potential, besides HbA1c to be utilised as an evaluation for the efficiency of treatment or education program [8].

Metabolic acidosis of clinical relevance is defined in the Swedish national program as pH < 7.30 [1]. Routines for initial intravenous insulin treatment vary between countries to only include children who are acutely ill at diagnosis [6,9], to include almost all children diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. Intravenous insulin infusion is recommended one or two days before start with subcutaneous treatment even if the child is not acutely ill. The argument for intravenous treatment is that it facilitates a stabile plasma glucose level during the first days and that it might preserve beta cell function [10]. On the other hand it is argued that preserved beta cell function is likely to relate to a normal glucose level rather than to how the insulin is administrated or the quantity of insulin

given [11,12].

To maintain metabolic control, major adjustments are needed by the family, requiring time and attention [13]. Family stress and social family background are most important factors concerning metabolic control [13-16]. Parental marital status, rather than socioeconomic status have been suggested to better capture family factors that impact the child's metabolic control, where biological parents who lived together were associated with lower HbA1c compared to alternative family arrangements [17]. In order to direct resources, it is of interest if social factors at the time for diagnosis can separate more vulnerable children who might be in risk to develop poor metabolic control. To guarantee quality in health care, all elements of the care need to be followed and evaluated with focus on outcomes [1,18].

The aim of our study was to assess whether temporal changes in the initial management for children diagnosed with type 1 diabetes over a ten year period affected metabolic control two years after diagnosis. A further aim was to investigate if social factors, registered at diagnosis, had an impact on metabolic control two years after diagnosis.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

The study was carried out at the Department of Pediatrics at the University Hospital in Lund. The department's diabetes unit cares for about 270 children (in 2010) with type 1 diabetes, between the ages of 0-18 years. During the years 1997 to 2006, 250 children aged between 0-16 years were diagnosed with type 1 diabetes and were recorded. The data, for a ten year period was retrieved from the hospital's patient administrative system, patient's records and from a national quality registry, the Swedish Childhood Diabetes Registry SWEDIABKIDS [19]. Data from three children were excluded as they moved from the hospital's catchment area shortly after diagnosis. Data concerning age, pH at diagnosis, duration of initial intravenous infusion, total days for hospital stay at diagnosis, length of overnight and daytime only hospital stay, insulin type, number of regular diabetes check visits to physicians, metabolic control measured as HbA1c, number of emergency visits and re-admissions related to the diabetes, were registered prospectively.

2.2. Outcome measurements

Data concerning social factors, assumed to impact the child's life stress [13-16] were retrospectively retrieved from patients' records during the time of primary hospi-

Copyright © 2010 SciRes.

tal admission in connection with the diagnosis. Social factors were if the child had a) problems at school b) illness apart from type 1 diabetes and c) no or minimal contact with one parent. Social factors assumed to have an impact on the family stress and indirectly on the child's situation were if there was a) illness in the family b) unemployed parents c) parents on long-term sick-leave d) financial problems in the family and e) parents with criminal record.

Each social factor was registered if it was not present (0), was present for one family member (1) or present for two family members (2) and summarised for each family with parental marital status (parents living together (0), parents separated (1)). The number of siblings living in the family was registered with the assumption that more siblings might have an impact on the general family stress [20]. The summarised social factors, further described as family stress, were dichotomized into children who had no or one family stress factor and children who had two or more family stress factors. The number of siblings was also dichotomized into children who had no or one sibling and children who had two or more siblings. The groups were divided from the assumption that it is a cumulative family stress that is likely to impact the child's metabolic control.

HbA1c at six, 12 and 24 months after diagnosis was recorded. For cases without recorded HbA1c at the designated time points (81 of total 730 values), values were interpolated between the two closest registrations. The interpolated value was weighted according to time interval between each anchoring registration at six, 12 and 24 months, respectively. Data were analysed both for the whole cohort and for each year. The trends are presented in a ten-year interval, year 1997 and 2006 or five-year interval, year 1997, 2002 and 2006. For some variables, groups were too small to be analysed for each year and were in those cases analysed in five year periods, 1997-2001 and 2002-2006. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at Lund University (LU 305/2007).

2.3. Statistical methods

All analysis were conducted using SPSSTM for Windows (version 14.0) and *p*-values < 0.05 were considered statistical significant. A descriptive analysis was used to present the children's age and pH at diagnosis as well as trends concerning insulin treatment, hospital stay and out-patient visits. Continuous variables were checked for distributional characteristics and, when found not to be normally distributed, non-parametric methods were used. In those analyses HbA1c was dichotomized, a cut point at 7.1% was chosen due to Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) mean HbA1c for the group with

This study was supported by The Swedish Institute for Health Sciences, The faculty of Medicine, University of Lund, The Swedish research council, The Swedish diabetes foundation and The Region of Skåne

intensive treatment [21]. Another argument for the cut point was that it separated 20% of the children with the highest HbA1c values. Swedish HbA1c values are~1% lower than National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP) and DCCT [22].

Trends in the length of intravenous treatment and hospital stay were analyzed with Mann-Whitney test. The relationship between initial intravenous treatment and HbA1c at six and 12 months was analyzed with Spearman's rank correlation. This was not performed with values of the 24 months due to the assumption that a possible preserved beta cell function was most likely within the first year [23]. Spearman's rank correlation was also used to investigate the relation between days of hospital stay and HbA1c at six, 12 and 24 months. Since we found an association between hospital stay and metabolic control further analysis with possible predictors of time of hospital stay were analyzed using linear regression with family stress, age divided into four groups and pH at diagnosis as independent variables. Linear regression was used to analyze possible predictors of HbA1c with family stress, age groups and pH at diagnosis

One-way ANOVA was used to compare insulin type from the time of diagnosis and HbA1c at 24 months. According to the most commonly used subcutaneous insulin types, insulin type was divided in three groups, multiple injections with a) human insulin, b) insulin analogues for meals combined with NPH and c) insulin analogues for both meals and basal insulin. Due to multiple comparisons Bonferroni correction was applied.

The influence of family stress on the development of HbA1c from six to 24 months was investigated using repeated measures ANOVA. The dichotomized variables concerning family stress and siblings were entered as between-subject factors together with age and gender. Possible predictors of HbA1c values > 7.1% at 24 months were analyzed using multivariate logistic regression (backward, likelihood ratio) with family stress and siblings as independent variables. To evaluate the influence on HbA1c of biological parents living together or not at diagnosis, a subgroup analysis was performed comparing the children with no or one family stress factor. For this group repeated measures ANOVA was used with parents' cohabitation as a between-subject factor. Trends in emergency visits and re-admission were analyzed with t-test.

3. Results

Of the 247 children included (130 boys and 117 girls) all except one, were admitted to hospital. The number of children included each year and age at diagnosis is presented in **Table 1**.

Table 1. The number of children included each year and age at diagnosis

Year	n	Age median	Min-Max	Inter quartile range
1997	25	9.94	2.63-16.50	5.43
1998	17	8.11	0.73-15.04	6.29
1999	26	10.45	1.71-15.80	6.24
2000	20	8.70	0.95-15.80	7.20
2001	28	8.86	1.71-14.79	6.47
2002	22	10.25	1.40-15.52	6.46
2003	32	8.80	0.74-14.92	6.59
2004	21	9.51	0.93-15.56	7.12
2005	26	9.85	1.26-15.66	6.13
2006	30	9.94	2.23-15.23	6.39
Total	247	9.11		

Seventy-five percent of the children had pH ≥7.30 at diagnosis. In 7% of the cases, values were missing, with each of these children with a relatively mild presentation. Ninety-four percent of the children received intravenous insulin treatment initially. Length of insulin infusion in 1997 was median 43 hours (min-max 15-135) and in 2006, 53 hours (24-123) (p = 0.106). The time for hospital stay decreased from a mean of 19.8 days (SD 3.64) in 1997 to 13.6 days (SD 3.29) in 2006 ($p \le 0.000$). The time of hospital stay before the child left the ward daytime, e.g. a brief home visit with the parents, was mean 8.5 days (SD 2.29) in 1997 and 7.0 days in 2006 (SD 2.05) (p = 0.028). The number of days before the child spent a night at home, while still being under care at the hospital, decreased from mean 12.8 days (SD 3.22) in 1997 to 8.4 days (SD 2.36) in 2006 ($p \le 0.000$).

After the intravenous treatment, all children were prescribed multiple subcutaneous injections. The dominant insulin type for newly diagnosed children in 1997 (24/25 children) was human insulin both for meals (regular) and basal insulin (NPH). In 2002 most children (20/22) still started with human insulin's but changed during the follow-up to insulin analogues for meals combined with NPH. In 2006 all of the children (30/30) started on insulin analogues, rapid and basal insulin, after intravenous infusion. Insulin pump therapy was introduced to 2-4 children yearly during the follow-up period. The number of regular diabetes visits in the two year follow-up time showed a mean of 10.7 visits (SD 1.97) in 1997 and 11.6 (SD 2.58) in 2002, year 2006 differed from earlier years with a mean of 8.2 visits (SD 1.84). Children diagnosed during the first five year period (1997-2001) had a mean of 0.57 emergency visits during follow-up and during the last five year period (2002-2006) $0.30 \ (p = 0.019)$. Children diagnosed during the first five year period had a mean of 0.36 diabetes related re-admissions and 0.42 the last five year period (p = 0.633). The most common reason for re-admission was gastrointestinal illness associated with difficulties in maintaining the glucose levels.

There was no correlation between length of intravenous insulin treatment and HbA1c at six (n = 198) or 12 (n = 199) months (r=0.065 and 0.033, p=0.366 and 0.643). More days of in hospital care before the child spend a night at home correlated significantly with higher HbA1c at six months (**Table 2**) and were likely to be explained by age at diagnosis. Younger children had more days of hospital stay and children younger than six years were also the group with highest HbA1c values at six and 12 months, but not at 24 months (**Figure 1**). Age and pH at diagnosis predicted days of hospital stay but not family stress (**Table 3**). There was no difference between groups concerning subcutaneous insulin type at diagnosis and HbA1c < 7.1 or $\geq 7.1\%$ at 24 months (p = 0.434).

When analysing family stress and the number of siblings, the linear progression of HbA1c due to diabetes duration did not differ between the groups. However, mean HbA1c from six, 12 and 24 months differed significantly with higher HbA1c for girls with more than one family stress factor than girls with less family stress or boys (**Table 4**). HbA1c \geq 7.1 % at 24 months could not be explained by family stress or the number of siblings (p = 0.407). Children's metabolic control, in the group with no or one family stress factor, where the biological parents were separated (n = 33) did not differ from those with parents living together (n = 173) with a mean HbA1c from the follow-up period (six, 12 and 24 months) 6.217% (SD 0.95) and 6.212% (SD 0.95) (p = 0.981).

4. Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate the initial management and the influence on metabolic control. Length of hospital stay decreased significantly over the ten year period and resulted in similar metabolic outcomes during the two year follow-up, which is in line with earlier studies [12,24,25]. Furthermore, no changes in re-admissions and fewer emergency visits over time were found. These findings suggest that time for hospital stay is not likely to be a determining factor concerning families' possibilities to manage the diabetes treatment. However, during the analysed ten year period, insulin types have changed from human insulin to insulin analogues. This might have influenced the results as use of insulin analogues compared to human insulin was associated with reduced risk of hypoglycaemia and improved metabolic control for adults and with a diabetes duration of at least 12 months [26]. Different insulin types did not separate children with the highest HbA1c values in the present study.

Length of intravenous treatment was not shown to determine children's metabolic control over time. Earlier studies report that initial intravenous treatment was given to children who were acutely ill or dehydrated at diagnosis [6,9,12,27]. In our study, 94% initially received in

Table	2. Cor	relat	ion be	etwee	n to	tal day	s of hosp	ital st	ay,
days	before	the	child	left	the	ward	daytime,	days	of
overnight and HbA1c at six, 12 and 24 months.									

Groups	HbA1c % at six months	HbA1c % at 12 months	HbA1c % at 24 months
Total days of hospital			
stay			
Correlation			
coefficient	0.094	0.047	-0.013
Significance*	0.146	0.469	0.843
N	243	244	240
Days before the child			
left the ward daytime			
Correlation			
coefficient	0.098	-0.044	0.006
Significance*	0.159	0.531	0.930
N	207	208	204
Days of overnight			
hospital stay			
Correlation			
coefficient	0.162	0.022	0.001
Significance*	0.012*	0.740	0.985
N	238	239	235



Figure 1. Mean HbA1c (%) at six, 12 and 24 months in relation to age at diagnosis, divided into four groups

Table 3. Possible predictors of days before the child could leave hospital for the night and possible predictors for HbA1c at six months.

Model	Dependent variable	Independent variable	B- value	P- value
Adjusted R ² =0.070	Days of hospital stay	Family stress Age at diagnosis pH at diagnosisi	-0.169 -11.171	0.592 0.004 0.000
Adjusted R ² =0.025	HbA1c at six months	pH at diagnosis Family stress Age at diagnosis	-0.043	0.121 0.090 0.008

Groups (n)	Mean HbA1c %	SD	Significance*	95% Cl
Family stress			P = 0.065	
No or one family stress factor (210)	6.208 ^a	1.07		6.061-6.356
Two or more family stress factors (35)	6.579 ^a	1.05		6.214-6.945
Gender			P = 0.056	
Girl (117)	6.585 ^a	1.56		6.295-6.874
Boy (130)	6.203 ^a	1.50		5.938-6.468
Siblings			P = 0.085	
No or one sibling (165)	6.222 ^a	1.51		5.986-6.457
Two or more siblings (80)	6.566 ^a	1.41		6.251-6.881
Family stress/gender			P = 0.020*	
No or one family stress factor/Girl (100)	6.167 ^a	1.05		5.961-6.373
No or one family stress factor/Boy (112)	6.250 ^a	1.14		6.038-6.462
Two or more family stress factors/Girl (17)	7.003 ^a	1.13		6.462-7.543
Two or more family stress factors/Boy (18)	6.156 ^a	1.05		5.669-6.643
Family stress/siblings			P = 0.052	
No or one family stress factor/no or one sibling (144)	6.230 ^a	1.02		6.061-6.398
No or one family stress factor/two or more sibling (66)	6.187 ^a	1.01		5.943-6.430
Two or more family stress factors/no or one sibling (21)	6.213 ^a	1.03		5.772-6.655
Two or more family stress factors/two or more siblings (14)	6.945 ^a	1.10		6.366-7.524

Table 4 Comparisons of mean HbA1c at six, 12 and 24 months after diagnosis between groups based on family stress, gender and sibling.

a. Covariates appearing in the model are evaluated for age at diagnosis=8.9876.

travenous treatment, in line with the Swedish national care program [1]. This is well above the reported 37.5% of children receiving insulin in Germany and Austria, where the majority of children receive intravenous insulin for management of diabetic ketoacidosis [27]. Acidosis at diagnosis is associated with low beta cell function and high HbA1c [28]. Thus, children initially receiving intravenous insulin treatment had more episodes with hypoglycaemia during the first two weeks compared to children treated with subcutaneous insulin after onset of type 1 diabetes [27].

A further aim was to investigate if social factors, recorded at diagnosis influenced metabolic control. We found a significant difference for girls, living in families with more family stress, when looking at mean HbA1c over the two year period. Parental support has been shown to be an independent factor for adolescent girls and parental relationships was suggested to be more strongly associated with the mental health of adolescent females than males [29,30]. Family stress factors in the present study were limited to the information available from patient's records. Each family saw a social worker during the initial hospital stay who recorded details of home environment. The validity of social factors was however limited as it was not possible to weight the factors in relation to families' experiences and should therefore be interpreted carefully. Social supports, educational level and socio-economic statuses was not available but are known to be important factors when considering the child's risk to develop poor metabolic control [14,16,31].

When factors related to family stress were dichotomized, a breakpoint with no family stress factor and one or more family stress factors were considered to obtain more equal groups. In the group with one family stress factor, the single stress factor was mainly separated parents. A subgroup analysis was chosen instead to evaluate the influence of parents' cohabitation on HbA1c. Interestingly, when family stress, that sometimes follows a separation was excluded, there was no difference in the child's mean HbA1c for children where the parents lived together or were separated. These findings might not be in contrast to Swift's results that found children living with their biological parents had lower HbA1c compared to alternative family arrangements [17] since the results were explained by less social stress and more cohesive family environment [32]. In spite of the fact that groups were small when factors related to family stress were analysed, they were close to significant and may have led to false conclusions concerning non-significant differences. An interesting finding was that family stress did not predict hospital stay which is recommended by the Swedish care program [1] in order to support family out of their needs and possibilities to handle the new situation.

In conclusion, factors of importance for the child's long-term metabolic control need to be further investigated so the initial management can be tailored to each

individual family's needs. This would imply an effective utilization of both families' and health care resources.

5. Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Per Nyberg for statistic counselling and Elisabeth Crang-Svalenius for reviewing the English language.

REFERENCES

- S. Sjöblad, "Pediatric and adolescent diabetes," A national care program by the Swedish society for diabetology and endocrinology. (Barnoch Ungdomsdiabetes Vårdprogram utarbetat inom Svenska barnläkarföreningens sektion för endokrinologi och diabetes) 2:1 ed., Lund: Studentlitteratur, 2008.
- [2] R. A. Hirasing, H. M. Reeser, R. R. de Groot, D. Ruwaard, S. van Buuren and S. P. Verloove-Vanhorick, "Trends In hospital Admissions Among Children Aged 0-19 Years with Type I Diabetes in The Netherlands," *Diabetes Care*, Vol. 19, No. 5, 1996, pp. 431-434.
- [3] T. Simell, O. Simell and H. Sintonen, "The First Two Years of Type 1 Fiabetes in Children: Length of the Initial Hospital Stay Affects Costs But not Effectiveness of Care," *Diabetic Medicine*, Vol. 10,No. 9, 1993, pp. 855-862.
- [4] L. M. Siminerio, D. Charron-Prochownik, C. Banion and B. Schreiner, "Comparing Outpatient and Inpatient Diabetes Education for Newly Diagnosed Pediatric Patients," *The Diabetes Educator*, Vol. 25, No. 6, 1999, pp. 895-906.
- [5] J. N. Kostraba, E. C. Gay, M. Rewers, H. P. Chase, G. J. Klingensmith and R. F. Hamman, "Increasing Trend of Outpatient Management of Children with Newly Diagnosed IDDM. Colorado IDDM Registry, 1978-1988," *Diabetes Care*, Vol. 15, No. 1, 1992, pp. 95-100.
- [6] P. G. Swift, J. R. Hearnshaw, J. L. Botha, G. Wright, N. T. Raymond and K. F. Jamieson, "A Decade of Diabetes: Keeping Children Out of Hospital," *British Medicine Journal*, Vol. 307, No. 6896, 1993, pp. 96-98.
- [7] C. Clar, N. Waugh and S. Thomas, "Routine Hospital Admission Versus Out-patient or Home Care in Children at Diagnosis of Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus," *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, Vol. 2, 2007, pp CD004099.
- [8] V. C. Angus and N. Waugh, "Hospital Admission Patterns Subsequent to Diagnosis of Type 1 Diabetes in Children : A Systematic Review," *BMC Health Services Research*, Vol. 7, 2007, pp. 199.
- [9] D. N. Lessing, P. G. Swift, M. A. Metcalfe and J. D. Baum, "Newly Diagnosed Diabetes: a Study of Parental Satisfaction," *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, Vol. 67, No. 8, 1992, pp. 1011-1013.
- [10] S. C. Shah, J. I. Malone and N. E. Simpson, "A Randomized Trial of Intensive Insulin Therapy in Newly Diagnosed Insulin-dependent Diabetes Mellitus," *The New*

England Journal of Medicine, Vol. 320, No. 9, 1989, pp. 550-554.

- [11] O. Schnell, B. Eisfelder, E. Standl and A. G. Ziegler, "High-dose Intravenous Insulin Infusion Versus Intensive Insulin Treatment in Newly Diagnosed IDDM," *Diabetes*, Vol. 46, No. 10, 1997, pp. 1607-1611.
- [12] T. Simell, E. A. Kaprio, J. Maenpaa, J. Tuominen and O. Simell, "Randomised Prospective Study of Short-term and Long-term Initial Stay in Hospital by Children with Diabetes Mellitus," *Lancet*, Vol. 337, No. 8742, 1991, pp. 656-660.
- [13] E. L. Schor, "Family Pediatrics: Report of the Task Force on the Family," *Pediatrics*, Vol. 111, No. 6, 2003, pp. 1541-1571.
- [14] G. A. Forsander, J. Sundelin and B. Persson, "Influence of the Initial Management Regimen and Family Social Situation on Glycemic Control and Medical Care in Children with Type I Diabetes Mellitus," *Acta Paediatrica*, Vol. 89, No. 12, 2000, pp. 1462-1468.
- [15] S. J. Thompson, W. F. Auslander, and N. H. White, "Comparison of Single-mother and Two-parent Families on Metabolic Control of Children with Diabetes," Diabetes Care, Vol. 24, No. 2, pp. 234-238, 2001.
- [16] R. Viner, M. McGrath, and P. Trudinger, "Family Stress and Metabolic Control in Diabetes," *Archives of Disease* in Childhood, Vol. 74, No. 5, 1996, pp. 418-421.
- [17] E. E. Swift, R. Chen, A. Hershberger and C. S. Holmes, "Demographic Risk Factors, Mediators, and Moderators in Youths' Diabetes Metabolic Control," *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, Vol. 32, No. 1, 2006, pp. 39-49.
- [18] R. Hanas, K. C. Donaghue, G. Klingensmith and P. G. Swift, "ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2009 Compendium. Introduction," *Pediatric Diabetes*, Vol. 10, Suppl. 12, 2009, pp. 1-2.
- [19] SWEDIABKIDS, "The Swedish Childhood Diabetes Registry,"March 2010. https://www.ndr.nu/ndr2/.
- [20] B. Floderus, M. Hagman, G. Aronsson, S. Marklund and A. Wikman, "Work Status, Work Hours and Health in Women with and without Children," *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*," Vol. 66, No. 10, 2009, pp. 704-710.
- [21] DCCT Research Group, "Effect of Intensive Diabetes Treatment on the Development and Progression of Long-term Complications in Adolescents with Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus: Diabetes Control and Complications Trial," *The Journal of Pediatrics*, Vol. 125, No. 2, 1994, pp. 177-188.
- [22] W. Hoelzel, C. Weykamp, J. O. Jeppsson, K. Miedema, J. R. Barr, I. Goodall, T. Hoshino, W. G. John, U. Kobold, R. Little, A. Mosca, P. Mauri, R. Paroni, F. Susanto, I. Takei, L. Thienpont, M. Umemoto and H. M. Wiedmeyer, "IFCC Reference System for Measurement of Hemoglobin A1c in Human Blood and the National Standardization Schemes in the United States, Japan, and Sweden:amethod-comparison study," *Clinical Chemistry*, Vol. 50, No. 1, 2004, pp. 166-174.
- [23] F. Lombardo, M. Valenzise, M. Wasniewska, M. F.

Copyright © 2010 SciRes.

Messina, C. Ruggeri, T. Arrigo and F. De Luca, "Twoyear Prospective Evaluation of the Factors Affecting Honeymoon Frequency and Duration in Children with Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus: the key-role of Age at Diagnosis," *Diabetes, Nutrition & Metabolism*, Vol. 15, No. 4, 2002, pp. 246-251.

- [24] G. Forsander, B. Persson, J. Sundelin, E. Berglund, K. Snellman and R. Hellstrom, "Metabolic Control in Children with Insulin-dependent Diabetes Mellitus 5 y after Diagnosis. Early Detection of Patients at Risk for Poor Metabolic Control," *Acta Paediatrica*, Vol. 87, No. 8, 1998, pp. 857-864.
- [25] J. Sundelin, G. Forsander and S. E. Mattson, "Family-Oriented Support at the Onset of Diabetes Mellitus: a Comparison of two Group Conditions During 2 years Following Diagnosis," *Acta Paediatr*, Vol. 85, No.1, 1996, pp. 49-55.
- [26] K. Hermansen, P. Fontaine, K. K. Kukolja, V. Peterkova, G. Leth and M. A. Gall, "Insulin Analogues (Insulin Detemir and Insulin Sspart) Versus Traditional Human Insulins (NPH insulin and regular human insulin) in Basal-bolus Therapy for Patients with Type 1 Fiabetes," *Diabetologia*, Vol. 47, No. 4, 2004, pp. 622-629.
- [27] A. Herbst, C. L. Roth, A. G. Dost, R. Fimmers and R. W. Holl, "Rate of Hypoglycaemia and Insulin Dosage In Children during the Initial Therapy of Type 1 Diabetes

Mellitus", European Journal of Pediatrics, Vol. 164, No. 10, 2005, pp. 633-638.

- [28] J. Komulainen, R. Lounamaa, M. Knip, E. A. Kaprio and H. K. Akerblom, "Ketoacidosis at the Diagnosis of Type 1 (insulin dependent) Diabetes Mellitus is Related to Poor Residual Beta Cell Function. Childhood Diabetes in Finland Study Group," *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, Vol. 75, No. 5, 1996, pp. 410-415.
- [29] E. Bru, T. A. Murberg and P. Stephens, "Social Support, Negative Life Events and Pupil Misbehaviour Among Young Norwegian Adolescents," *Journal of Adolescence*, Vol. 24, No. 6, 2001, pp. 715-727.
- [30] V. S. Helgeson, L. Siminerio, O. Escobar, and D. Becker, "Predictors of Metabolic Control Among Adolescents with Diabetes: a 4-year Longitudinal Study," *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2009, pp. 254-270.
- [31] S. Overstreet, C. S. Holmes, W. P. Dunlap and J. Frentz, "Sociodemographic Risk Factors to Disease Control in Children with Diabetes," *Diabetic Medicine*, Vol. 14, No. 2, 1997, pp. 153-157.
- [32] M. Wrigley, and R. Mayou, "Psychosocial Factors and Admission for Poor Glycaemic Vontrol: a Study of Psychological and Social Factors in Poorly Controlled Insulin Dependent Diabetic Patients," *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, Vol. 35, No. 2-3, 1991, pp. 335-343.

Paper II

Open Access

A Methodological Description of a Randomised Controlled Trial Comparing Hospital-Based Care and Hospital-Based Home Care when a Child is Newly Diagnosed with Type 1 Diabetes

Irén Tiberg^{*,1,2,3}, Annelie Carlsson² and Inger Hallström^{1,3}

¹Division of Nursing, Department of Health Sciences, Lund University, 221 00 Lund, Sweden

²Department of Paediatrics, Lund University Hospital, SE-221 85 Lund, Sweden

³The Swedish Institute for Health Sciences, Lund University, Sweden

Abstract: Aim and objective: To describe the study design of a randomised controlled trial with the aim of comparing two different regimes for children with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes; hospital-based care and hospital-based home care.

Background: Procedures for hospital admission and sojourn in connection with diagnose vary greatly worldwide and the existing evidence is insufficient to allow for any conclusive determination of whether hospital-based or home-based care is the best alternative for most families. Comparative studies with adequate power and outcome measurements, as well as measurements of cost-effectiveness are needed.

Design: The study design was based on the Medical Research Council framework for complex interventions. After two to three days with hospital-based care, children between the ages of 3 and 16 were randomised to receive either continued hospital-based care for a total of 1-2 weeks or hospital-based home care, which refers to specialist care in a home-based setting. The trial started in March 2008 at a University Hospital in Sweden and was closed in September 2011 when a sufficient number of children according to power calculation, were included. The primary outcome was the child's metabolic control during the following two years. Secondary outcomes were set to evaluate the family and child situation as well as the organisation of care.

Discussion: Childhood diabetes requires families and children to learn to perform multiple daily tasks. Even though intervention in health care is complex with several interacting components entailing practical and methodological difficulties, there is nonetheless, a need for randomised controlled trials in order to evaluate and develop better systems for the learning processes of families that can lead to long-term improvement in adherence and outcome.

Trial Registration: Trial Register NCT00804232.

Keywords: Type 1 diabetes, disease management, family, research design, randomised controlled trial, haemoglobin A1c.

INTRODUCTION

Type 1 diabetes is one of the most common chronic diseases in children and adolescents. It is a serious and expensive disease with a risk for late complications [1, 2]. Research during the last decade has provided a substantial amount of evidence for the relation between family factors and metabolic control [3-7]. The Swedish national guidelines for paediatric diabetes [8] have existed since the 1980s, providing consistent, high standards and important contributions to Swedish paediatric diabetes care. Recommendations of the Swedish national guidelines for paediatric diabetes include hospital-based care at the time of diagnosis and intravenous insulin treatment for the first days even if the child is not acutely ill. There are few recent studies that describe hospital admission procedures for children with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes. However, previous descriptions show that hospital admission and sojourn at the time of diagnosis varies greatly worldwide, from several weeks to a few days or completely on an outpatient basis [9-14].

The often negative impact of hospital admission on children and their families calls for alternative ways of providing care [15, 16]. Paediatric home care (PHC) facilitates the continuation of normal life for children and their families and is on the increase due to the potential psychosocial benefits of home care and the costs of hospitalbased health care [17]. There is no consensus on the definition of PHC and the service can be based on both general and specialist schemes. General PHC services most often work from a community base in a single district. By contrast, specialist services are more likely to be hospitalbased, providing service to more than one district [17-20]. Outpatient treatment is provided by health care professionals in the outpatient clinic at the hospital while PHC provides treatment by health care professionals in the child's home. Hospital-based home care (HBHC) refers to specialist care in a home-based setting. The existing evidence is insufficient to allow for any conclusive determination as to which process, hospital-based or home-based care, is best for most children

1874-4346/11 2011 Bentham Open

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Division of Nursing, Department of Health Sciences, Lund University, SE-221 00 Lund, Sweden; Tel: +46 46 222 19 21; Fax: +46 46 222 19 34; E-mail: Iren: Tiberg@med.lu.se
when diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. Comparative studies with adequate power and outcome measurements, with cost-effectiveness measurements and with a follow-up of at least two years, are needed [18, 21].

BACKGROUND

The goals of initial treatment and management are for the child to attain metabolic balance and for the families to understand the illness and learn how to manage the treatment. Clinical practice consensus guidelines of the International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes (ISPAD), with the current views of experts in the field [22] are being used to assist clinicians in managing childhood diabetes. ISPAD's guidelines state that health professionals should deliver structured education using behavioural learner-centred approaches rather than didactic approaches [23]. Both ISPAD's and national paediatric guidelines emphasise the importance of individualised support and education by a diabetes specialised team [8, 24-26]. Educational interventions have shown an effect on psychosocial outcomes and a modestly beneficial effect on metabolic control [27, 28]. Interventions based on clear theoretical psycho-educational principals, involving the whole family and making use of techniques such as problem solving, goal setting, coping skills and stress management are most likely to be effective [4, 23, 27, 29].

An optimal research situation would be for scientists to be able to introduce an intervention under circumstances in which no other variables could be confounded with the introduction. However, full control and full isolation of the intended treatment is seldom possible. Complex intervention in health care often comprises a number of components, which may act both independently and interdependently. The number of components, including behaviour both of those delivering and receiving the intervention, the flexibility of the intervention and the variability of outcomes are dimensions of the complexity of the intervention [30, 31]. With a random assignment the plausibility of alternative explanations for observed effects is reduced. This is presuming that the procedure of randomisation has been carried out correctly, and that the groups are equal, not only at the time of randomisation, but also at the time of the follow-up, in all aspects other than the actual treatment. A further condition for the evaluation of the effectiveness of an intervention is that the treatment that was intended becomes the treatment actually received, not only partially received. There is always a risk for interventions to slide such as, for example, if the participants in the intervention group and the control group respectively, converse and thereby receive partial access to both treatments, so-called "nesting" [32].

There are promising results from interventions with the aim of empowering individuals to manage their own health. However, it is often not clear which are the active ingredients of many successful interventions [27, 33, 34]. Furthermore, in order to comprehend and assess the validity of a randomised controlled trial (RCT), readers must understand the design, conduct, analysis and interpretation of the study [35-37], which requires a comprehensive description for complex interventions, based on a clear theoretical framework [30, 31].

Theoretical Framework

A number of family patterns of interaction that can substantially impact on the intellectual development of young children are identified. Guralnick [38] presents three family patterns influencing the developmental outcomes of children:

- The quality of parent-child transactions, described as a sensitive responsiveness. The parents see, listen to and encourage the child in a discourse-based and nonintrusive way.
- Family-orchestrated child experiences: The parents take responsibility for organising home and community experiences; the home environment contains stimulating toys and experiences adequate for the child's age.
- Health and safety is provided by the family; providing proper nutrition and ensuring the child's safety is also essential for the child's development.

Family characteristics, such as intellectual disabilities or poor health, as well as a lack of financial resources and social support can create stressors. As can the child's characteristics associated with his/her biological vulnerability, such as, for example, diabetes or other chronic illnesses. These stressors are capable of perturbing even optimal family patterns of interaction. Potential stressors for families created by the child's disability or illness are the parents' need for information, interpersonal and family distress, as well as the parents' lack of confidence and resources [38]. It is presumed to be the cumulative effect that produces the greatest threat to children's physiological and mental health [39]. When considering risk factors regarding poor metabolic control, the greatest risk would be for children in families that are already exposed to general stressors, besides the stressor caused by the child's diabetes.

This article describes the study design and outcome measurements of a randomised controlled trial with the aim of comparing two different regimes for children with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes; hospital-based care and hospitalbased home care.

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

The study design is based on the Medical Research Council framework for development and evaluations of RCTs for complex interventions to improve health [30, 31]. The framework distinguishes five phases; the first phase is theoretical and the second is about developing an understanding of the components in the intervention. In the third phase, the intervention can be explored or tested. The fourth phase includes an RCT with adequate power and appropriate outcome measurements. The fifth and final step in the evaluation of a complex intervention is long term surveillance in order to study the real-life effectiveness of the intervention.

Qualitative research is helpful for identifying critical components of importance for the intervention [30, 31]. In the first pre-clinical phase, we elaborated the theoretical framework with a literature review of the results of families' experiences of living with childhood diabetes from the time

Randomised Controlled Trial Comparing Hospital-Based Care

of diagnosis and through the following three years [15, 16, 40, 41]. The studies indicated that the time after diagnosis is a difficult time for the whole family, characterised especially by the need for information and for resources to learn how to live with the illness as well as by difficulties in maintaining the diabetes regime [16, 40, 41]. Theoretical information is not always easily transformed into practical skills and experiences; a "learning by doing" approach is more likely to lead to knowledge acquisition than an entirely theoretical approach. This phenomenon was first described by John Dewey [42, 43], but Donald Schön [44] established the concept during the 1980s. Reflection together with others may elucidate tacit knowledge. Limitations imposed on families due to the diabetes treatment might not be as severe as they initially appear, and stressors created by the illness can often be avoided by practical advice and by appropriate timing within the treatment. What the family is initially taught and the way of living with diabetes that is first presented to them, will be experienced by them as the right way and it will be more difficult to maintain a regime the more it diverges from the family's natural lifestyle.

In the second phase, the components of the intervention were identified and explored from a second literature review and from interviews with the parents of children with type 1 diabetes as well as with professionals working in the diabetes team, in order to develop an understanding of the components in the intervention and how they may interrelate. A home-based environment was presumed to move the responsibility for the diabetes treatment from health care professionals to the family with increased family participation as a consequence. Participation was likely to raise an extended need for practical knowledge that might facilitate for the family to put theory into practice [42, 44]. A home-based environment was also presumed to make the family's personal lifestyle visible to health professionals, allowing for strengths and difficulties to be taken into consideration in creating an individualised learning process and for making good use of available resources. The study and the randomised design were planned and instruments

were translated and tested [45].

In the third phase, the intervention was explored with a pilot study where study design and logistics were tested. Parents of two children, newly diagnosed with type 1 diabetes, were informed about the study and asked for consent for the pilot study. Parents and children in both families consented and received hospital-based home care according to the study design. No adjustments of the study design were needed. However, some clarifications for the procedure were made concerning which person would be best suited to inform the families about the study and to ask for informed consent. The fourth phase included the main randomised controlled trial, with adequate power calculation, randomisation, treatment protocol and informed consent of the participants. The main trial started in March 2008 and was closed in September 2011 when a sufficient number of children, according to power calculation, were included. A flow diagram of the progress through the phases of the main trial is shown in Fig. (1).

The study was registered at clinicaltrials.gov with the identity number NCT00804232 and follows the CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) recommendations, a statement issued in order to improve the reporting of RCTs [35-37]. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at Lund University (LU 305/2007).

Setting

The trial took place at a University Hospital in Sweden. The Hospital has a catchment area with a population of 71 684 (December 2010) children and adolescents from 0-18 years [46]. The diabetes department unit cares for about 250 children and adolescents; aged 0-18 (in 2010), and approximately 25-30 children are diagnosed with type 1 diabetes per year [47]. The diabetes team members included diabetes specialised paediatric nurses (from now on called diabetes (from now on called paediatricians), a dietician and a social worker. A psychologist was available for families with special needs and was consulted by the diabetes team



Fig. (1). Flow diagram of the progress through the phases of the trial until September 2011, when the trial was closed.



Fig. (2). Time axis of the trial and follow-up.

when relevant. According to Swedish law, parents of children who are ill have the possibility of receiving a parents' allowance during the child's care. When a child is diagnosed with diabetes the period for this allowance also includes another 2-3 weeks after discharge for both parents. Agreements for financial compensation concerning the parents' allowance include about 80 % of the parents' salary up until the level of a predetermined salary ceiling.

Due to organisational factors, and in order to facilitate for the family, a form of care was chosen for the HBHC intervention group that would be familiar both to health professionals at the Children's Hospital and to the families, namely The Family House. The Family House offers sick children and their families a home-like environment and is placed in the hospital area. There is room for 20 families and each family has one room where the whole family, including siblings, can stay together. Parents make their own meals and there are spaces for children to be physically active.

Participants

Children from 3-16 years of age, who are newly diagnosed with type 1 diabetes at the University Hospital, without any other difficult chronic illnesses or siblings with type 1 diabetes, living in a Swedish speaking home and not in custody of social care, were candidates for inclusion in the study. The age span of 3-16 years was chosen because it was not considered safe to include the youngest children and there was a transition to the adult diabetes care setting when the adolescent was 18 years. A follow-up of two years set the limit at a maximum of 16 years of age for inclusion. Children in both groups received, as inpatients, intravenous treatment to attain metabolic balance, and followed the Swedish national guidelines for children newly diagnosed with diabetes [8] during the first two or three days. When the child was medically stable, families received subsequent care according to their randomisation. At the time of discharge and irrespective of randomisation, the paediatrician completed the Psychosocial Assessment Tool (PAT) [48], an instrument for identifying psychosocial risk factors at diagnosis to predict the subsequent use of psychosocial resources, based on the judgement of the diabetes team. After discharge, all families followed the regular diabetes check-ups with visits at the outpatient department unit after about 1, 3 and 6 weeks. Gradually the visits thinned out to every third or fourth month, which was the frequency of the continued visits.

Members of the diabetes team asked the parents if a researcher could come and inform about the study. If the parent consented, one of the investigators provided verbal and written information about the study within 48 hours of the diagnosis. Children were age-appropriately informed verbally and children who were 12 years or older also received age-appropriate information in writing. The families were then given time for consideration (12 hours) before the parents and children over the age of 12 were asked for consent. Children under the age of 12 were asked for assent. If the parents and child consented/assented, the child was randomised to either hospital-based care or HBHC.

Randomisation

The children were randomised in two strata, younger than eight years or eight years and older. The randomisation was performed by an independent centre for clinical research using the software R-2.6.1 [49]. Within each stratum children were assigned to the two different treatments by sampling without replacement from a block consisting of, to the investigators, unknown number of labels from each treatment group. The seed of the random number generator was stored. According to the routine procedure for RCT in clinical settings the investigators received two sets of coded, sealed and opaque envelopes, one set for older children and one for younger children. The envelopes were identical and contained one of two possible instruction sheets, "Hospitalbased care" or "HBHC".

Sample Size

HbA1c was the primary objective two years after diagnosis, when the endogenous insulin production had ceased for most children. A difference in HbA1c of 10mmol/mol was estimated as clinically relevant and statistical power was calculated on a known variation in HbA1c during the first two years after diagnosis. During 1997-2006, 247 children who were 3-16 years old at the time of diagnosis showed a standard deviation of 14mmol/mol in individual HbA1c measurements. To show a mean difference of 10mmol/mol between two groups, it took 30 children in each group with a power of 0.79, and a significance level of 5%.

Hospital-Based Care

Children randomised to hospital-based care followed the routine care at the hospital which involved a total of 1-2 weeks of hospital-based care. The child with diabetes and the child's parents' were called to information meetings with the diabetes team members during the hospital stay. The paediatrician, the dietician and the social worker usually had 3-4 meetings with each family and the diabetes nurse had 4-8 meetings. Each meeting lasted for about 30-60 minutes. The information followed a checklist, based on Swedish national guidelines for paediatric diabetes, where each discipline was responsible for different portions of education on the checklist. One parent could stay at the hospital with the child during the night. The other parent was encouraged to be present during the educational sessions. The child could leave the ward in the daytime between meetings when parents felt secure in their management of hypoglycaemia and if they had an agreement with the responsible paediatrician. Towards the end of the hospital stay, the family returned to their home for two-three days until discharge. During these days, the family reported, by phone, the child's glucose levels for the previous 24 hours and received prescribed insulin doses for the following 24 hours. After discharge, families could contact a diabetes nurse for counselling by phone during the daytime, five days a week, which was the routine procedure. During evenings, nights and week-ends they could receive assistance from the general hospital staff. The diabetes nurse offered a school visit with the purpose of informing teachers and school friends about the disease of diabetes and the treatment with insulin.

Hospital-Based Home Care

The active ingredients in the HBHC were defined as:

- a home-like environment, which allowed families to learn management tasks in a "hands on" fashion such as selecting food, trying to anticipate the effect of food and activity on plasma glucose, understanding how the components relate to each other and evaluating the results;
- individualised learning based on the need for knowledge, the family's resources, and the home, school and work situations;
- 3. increased support after discharge by
 - a. increased access to a diabetes nurse by telephone seven days/week and three home/school visits for all families in the intervention group, and
 - b. visits to school/home once a month during the follow-up for families with special concerns

Children randomised to HBHC left the Children's Hospital after the first initial days with their parents and lived in a home-like environment, the Family House, until families felt confident to return home. The staff members at the Family House worked daytime and were not trained in nursing. A diabetes nurse was available for the family during parts of the day at the Family House and had daily structured conversations starting by identifying the families' needs. The family's learning process was based on reflective discussions of problems and thoughts as they came up [42, 44]. The

family could reach the diabetes nurse on a mobile phone between 08 am and 10 pm during the stay at the Family House. If families needed to contact health care staff during the night, they could receive advice by phoning the general hospital staff. The child and the child's parents had information meetings with the paediatrician, the dietician and the social worker, according to the Swedish national guidelines for paediatric diabetes [8], at the Children's' Hospital.

After discharge, the diabetes nurse made three home or school visits besides the regular diabetes check-up visits. Follow-up for the intervention group was then divided into two groups based on the results of the instrument for identifying psychosocial risk factors. Families with an expected good prognosis received the same follow-up as the control group, that is, the regular follow-up. Families assessed to have an increased need for support were offered one home or school visit every month by the diabetes nurse over and above the regular diabetes check-up visits every third or fourth month. All families in the intervention group had accessibility to telephone support during the follow-up by mobile phone to the diabetes nurse, seven days a week.

Outcome Measurements

The hypothesis was improved metabolic control for children in families having received hospital-based home care, compared to children in families having received traditional hospital-based care. The primary outcome was metabolic control measured by HbA1c 6, 12 and 24 months after diagnosis, self-monitoring of blood-glucose (SMBG) and acute complications during the 24-month follow-up. Secondary outcomes were set to evaluate general stressors and specific stressors for the child and for the family caused by the child's illness. A time axis of the trial and the followup is shown in Fig. (2). Outcomes included extensive data from valid and reliable instruments, collected at the time of discharge and at 6, 12 and 24 months after inclusion for assessing the effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and understanding of the change process. Children filled in the forms when they were relevant for their age and were assisted by a research assistant if needed. The instruments used in the trial are shown in Table 1. Child general outcome included background variables and $PedsQL^{TM}$ Generic Core Scales Child self-report [50-53], measuring generic health related quality of life together with The $PedsQL^{TM}$ 4.0 Generic Core Scales Parent proxy-report scales [51, 53], where parents estimated their child's generic health-related quality of life. The generic core scales were designed to be integrated with disease-specific instruments. Child diabetes specific outcomes included PedsQLTM Diabetes Module [54], measuring diabetes-specific health-related quality of life, both in the form of child self-reports and parent proxyreports. The child diabetes-specific outcome also included the Diabetes Family Behaviour Scale [55], measuring diabetes-specific family support.

Family outcomes were represented by the parents' answers, and parents filled in the forms independently of each other. It generally took 35-45 minutes to complete the instruments at each follow-up. The family general outcome included background variables, SF 36 Health Utility Index [56-61], measuring parents' health-related quality of life and

116 The Open Nursing Journal, 2011, Volume 5

Tiberg et al.

Fable 1.	Instruments Used in the Trial, v	what they Measure,	who Fills in the Forms and W	Vhen
----------	----------------------------------	--------------------	------------------------------	------

Instrument	Measuring	Child	Parent	Diabetes Team	Follow-Up at
Diabetes Family Behaviour Scale	Diabetes specific family support (Child and Adolescent 8-18 years)	Х			After 6, 12 and 24 months
PedsQL Generic Core Scales Child self-report	Generic health related quality of life (Adolescent 13-18 year)	х			After 6, and 24 months
PedsQL Diabetes Module	Diabetes specific health related quality of life (Child 5-7 years and 8-12 years, Adolescent 13-18 years)	х			After 6, 12 and 24 months
Background variables	Include child gender, age and siblings and parental gender, age, education, income, family situation.	х	х		Discharge, after 6, 12 and 24 months
PedsQL Family impact	The disease's impact on family		х		Discharge, after 6, 12 and 24 months
Parents''/nearest absence	Parents' and those nearest, absence from work due to the child's diabetes		х		Discharge, after 6, 12 and 24 months
Family climate	A list with 85 adjectives where parents' mark at least 15 that best describe their situation		х		Discharge, after 6, 12 and 24 months
PedsQL Health Care Satisfaction Generic Module	Parents' satisfaction with health care		X		Discharge, after 6, 12 and 24 months
SF 36 Health Utility Index	Parents' health related quality of life		х		Discharge, after 12 and 24 months
Mood Adjective Checklist	38 adjective measuring mood states		х		Discharge, after 12 and 24 months
Diabetes Family Conflict	Diabetes related family conflict		х		After 6, 12 and 24 months
THU-5	Hassles and uplifts in everyday patterns		х		After 6, 12 and 24 months
The PedsQL 4.0 Generic Core Scales	Parent estimate their child's generic health related quality of life (Child 3-12 and Adolescent 13-18 years)		x		After 6 months
PedsQL Diabetes Module	Parent estimate their child's diabetes specific health related quality of life (Child 2-4, 5-7 or 8-12 years, Adolescent 13-18 years)		x		After 6 and 12 and 24 months
Psychosocial Assessment Tool (PAT)	Identifying psychosocial risk			х	Discharge, after 6, 12 and 24 months

Mood Adjective Checklist [62], measuring parents' mood states. The family general outcome also included THU-5 [63], measuring parents' experiences of problems and progress in everyday patterns as well as Family climate [64-66]. Diabetes-specific family outcome was measured by PedsQLTM Family impact [45, 67] and Diabetes Family Conflict [68].

The structure of the organisation was evaluated according to the level of parent's satisfaction with health care using PedsQLTM Health Care Satisfaction Generic Module [53, 69, 70] as well as according to the health care costs. Costs for the use of hospital services were obtained from the hospital's patient administrative system, hospital records and questionnaires. Costs of professionals' time were determined by telephone logging and additional documentation of time spent by team members. Furthermore, information concerning children's and parents' absenteeism from work and school was collected. Parents' experiences in both control and intervention groups were described in qualitative interviews 8-10 months after diagnosis.

Blinding

A research assistant who was not involved in the care assessed the outcomes and booked appointments with families outside the hospital to fill in the instruments. It was not possible to do the intervention blinded to either participants or to health care providers, as it was obvious whether the care had been the regular hospital-based care or the hospital-based home care. Families in hospital-based care and in HBHC had, for the most part, contact with different diabetes nurses, while the rest of the diabetes team included the same persons for both groups. The first author was also

Randomised Controlled Trial Comparing Hospital-Based Care

the diabetes nurse in the HBHC intervention group. There were four paediatricians at the department unit working with children diagnosed with diabetes. They alternated in taking on new patients and were responsible for the same children from the time of diagnosis onwards. There was one dietician and one social worker in the diabetes team who had contact with all of the families irrespective of the randomisation

Data Analysis Plan

Data will be analysed by using appropriate statistical methods (dependent upon type and variable) for descriptive data as well as multivariate analysis [71]. The relationship between child and family outcomes, and parents' education, income, and family situation will be analysed using descriptive statistics, rang sum test and logistic regression. The HBHC was comprised of different parts and we will not be able to explain a possible effect from a specific part in the programme. However, with the solid theoretical base as to how the intervention might bring about change we can build a cumulative understanding of causal mechanisms. The objective of the cost-effectiveness (CE) analysis is to relate the marginal value of alternative medical interventions in improving health care to the cost of the intervention. Effects on costs will be calculated from both the health sector perspective, where health and quality of life gains will be compared to health sector costs and from a broader societal perspective where parents' days loss of working days are added to the cost [72].

DISCUSSION

Even well-defined interventions in health care have inherent complexities that can bedevil the research. With a detailed description of what was intended to be included in the treatment before the trial was carried out and a protocol of what the families actually received during the trial, analysis of which the intended treatment was to be after the close of the trial, is possible [32]. An optimal scientific situation would be to have a sufficient flow of participants to minimise external influences by recruiting children over a long period of time. A protocol of what was intended to be included in the treatment also became important in order to control for the trial not sliding in treatment over time. The treatment protocol was checked regularly by the first author, who was also responsible for the implementation of the survey, as well as two persons responsible for the medical and nursing care in the study. To reduce the risk for nesting in treatment, there were different diabetes nurses in the intervention group and in the control group. Both diabetes nurses had long professional experience with families and children with diabetes. Avoiding nesting between families was facilitated by a limited flow of participants. Most often only one family at a time with a newly diagnosed child was receiving care at the department unit. Furthermore, groups were separated after randomisation by the environment in the hospital-based care and the HBHC. To minimise drop-outs during the follow-up, the person assessing the outcomes offered home visits to families so they could fill in the forms. If the family preferred to meet at the Children's Hospital, arrangement was made to combine the meeting with the child's diabetes check-up visit.

Limitations to this study included sample size and the relatively long period of recruitment. Medical therapies, including nursing, evolve continuously and may affect the routine care given to families during a trial and, at the same time, the trial may affect the procedures of the routine care [35-37]. Even though multi-centre studies would offer other conditions concerning power, a well-conducted one-centre randomised controlled trial is graded to have a high level of evidence by the American Diabetes Association [73].

Which information is shared and how it is received by the families from the point of view of their unique family situation could be important factors affecting the ways in which families manage to achieve the goals for diabetes treatment. The social background of the family has been shown to be one of the most important factors for metabolic control [3, 5-7, 12] and an important goal for research is to identify groups of families who are in particular need of increased support and to tailor this support to their needs [74]. By identifying important ingredients for the child's long-term metabolic control, the intervention can be put into operation in other contexts [30, 31]. In Sweden, there has been a long tradition with hospital-based care when a child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes and of a diabetes care with high standards [75, 76]. The choice of the Family House rather than actual home-based care was made in order to smoothen the transition to home, as families had indicated that the time after diagnosis was a difficult time for the whole family [16]. The HBHC was still within the recommendations of the Swedish national guidelines for paediatric diabetes [8]. The intervention was designed to be a structured learning process and may in the future be used as home-based care if found to be efficient.

CONCLUSION

This study has been designed by using a clear theoretical framework to describe how an intervention might bring about change: it is designed to minimise any threats to validity and provide adequate reporting, which is decisive in order to be able to determine the validity of the results of complex interventions. Childhood diabetes is a chronic condition in which it has been shown that intensive management leads to better outcomes. This intensive management requires families and children to learn to perform multiple daily tasks when the child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. Even though intervention in health care is complex with several interacting components with practical and methodological difficulties, there is a need for randomised controlled trials to evaluate and develop better systems for the learning processes of families, leading to long-term improvement in adherence and outcome.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by The Swedish Institute for Health Sciences at Lund University, The Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, The Swedish Research Council, The Swedish Diabetes Foundation and The Region of Skåne. The authors are most grateful to Lisbeth Jönsson, RN, RSCN, for the collecting of outcomes. We wish to thank the diabetes team and health care professionals at the paediatric department. Thanks also to Carina Wittström and the staff at the Family House for their support and for always finding

118 The Open Nursing Journal, 2011, Volume 5

room for the families. We are also very much obliged to the families who participated in the study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

REFERENCES

- Angus VC, Waugh N. Hospital admission patterns subsequent to diagnosis of type 1 diabetes in children : a systematic review. BMC Health Serv Res 2007; 7: 199.
- [2] DCCT Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. N Engl J Med 1993; 329: 977-86.
- [3] Cohen DM, Lumley MA, Naar-King S, Partridge T, Cakan N. Child behavior problems and family functioning as predictors of adherence and glycemic control in economically disadvantaged children with type 1 diabetes: a prospective study. J Ped Psych 2004; 29: 171-84.
- [4] Delamater AM. Psychological care of children and adolescents with diabetes. Ped Diab 2009; 10(Suppl 12): 175-84.
- [5] Forsander GA, Sundelin J, Persson B. Influence of the initial management regimen and family social situation on glycemic control and medical care in children with type I diabetes mellitus. Acta Paediatr 2000; 89: 1462-8.
- [6] Lewin AB, Heidgerken AD, Geffken GR, et al. The relation between family factors and metabolic control: the role of diabetes adherence. J Ped Psych 2006; 31: 174-83.
- [7] Schor EL. Family pediatrics: report of the Task Force on the Family. Pediatrics 2003; 111(6 Pt 2): 1541-71.
- [8] Sjöblad S. Pediatric and adolescent diabetes. A national care program by the Swedish society for diabetology and endocrinology. Eds. Lund: Studentlitteratur 2008.
- [9] Dougherty GE, Soderstrom L, Schiffrin A. An economic evaluation of home care for children with newly diagnosed diabetes: results from a randomized controlled trial. Med Care 1998; 36: 586-98.
- [10] Hirasing RA, Reeser HM, de Groot RR, Ruwaard D, van Buuren S, Verloove-Vanhorick SP. Trends in hospital admissions among children aged 0-19 years with type I diabetes in The Netherlands. Diabetes Care 1996; 19: 431-4.
- [11] Icks A, Rosenbauer J, Rathmann W, Haastert B, Gandjour A, Giani G. Direct costs of care in germany for children and adolescents with diabetes mellitus in the early course after onset. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 2004; 17: 1551-9.
- [12] Simell T, Simell O, Sintonen H. The first two years of type 1 diabetes in children: length of the initial hospital stay affects costs but not effectiveness of care. Diabet Med 1993; 10: 855-62.
- [13] Siminerio LM, Charron-Prochownik D, Banion C, Schreiner B. Comparing outpatient and inpatient diabetes education for newly diagnosed pediatric patients. Diabetes Educ 1999; 25: 895-906.
- [14] Srinivasan S, Craig ME, Beeney L, et al. An ambulatory stabilisation program for children with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes. Med J Aust 2004; 180: 277-80.
- [15] Wennick A. Living with childhood diabetes. Family Experiences and Long-term effects. Lund University. Lund: 2007.
- [16] Wennick A, Hallstrom I. Swedish families' lived experience when a child is first diagnosed as having insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: An ongoing learning process. J Fam Nurs 2006; 12: 368-89.
- [17] Parker G, Bhakta P, Lovett CA, et al. A systematic review of the costs and effectiveness of different models of paediatric home care. Health Technol Assess 2002; 6: iii-108.
 [18] Cooper C, Wheeler DM, Woolfenden SR, Boss T, Piper S.
- [18] Cooper C, Wheeler DM, Woolfenden SR, Boss T, Piper S. Specialist home-based nursing services for children with acute and chronic illnesses. Cochrane Database Svst Rev 2006: CD004383.
- [19] Hansson H, Hallström I, Kjaergaard H, Johansen C, Schmiegelow K. Hospital-based home care for children with cancer. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2011; 57: 369-77.
- [20] Parker G, Bhakta P, Love HC, Olsen R, Paisley S, Turner D. Paediatric home care: a systematic review of randomized trials on costs and effectiveness. J Health Serv Res Policy 2006; 11: 110-9.
- [21] Clar C, Waugh N, Thomas S. Routine hospital admission versus out-patient or home care in children at diagnosis of type 1 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007: CD004099.

- [22] Hanas R, Donaghue KC, Klingensmith G, Swift PG. ISPAD clinical practice consensus guidelines 2009 compendium. Introduction. Pediatr Diabetes 2009; 10 Suppl 12: 1-2.
- [23] Swift P. Diabetes education in children and adolescents. Pediatric Diabetes ISPAD Clinical Pratice Consensus Guidelines 2009 Compendium 2009; 10(Suppl. 12): 51-57.
- [24] Australian Paediatric Endocrine Group. Clinical practice guidelines: Type 1 diabetes in children and adolescents 2004; [cited 2009 november 18]; Available from: http://www.chw.edu.au/prof/ services/endocrinology/apeg/apeg_handbook_final.pdf
 [25] Canadian Diabetes Association. 2008 Clinical Practice Guidelines:
- [25] Canadian Diabetes Association. 2008 Clinical Practice Guidelines: Type 1 diabetes in children and adolescents 2008; [cited 2009 november 18]; Available from: http://www.diabetes.ca/files/cpg 2008/cpg-2008.pdf S150-161
- [26] Silverstein J, Klingensmith G, Copeland K, et al. Care of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes: a statement of the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care 2005; 28: 186-212.
- [27] Hampson SE, Skinner TC, Hart J, et al. Effects of educational and psychosocial interventions for adolescents with diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. Health Technol Assess 2001 5: 1-79.
- [28] Murphy HR, Rayman G, Skinner TC. Psycho-educational interventions for children and young people with Type 1 diabetes. Diabet Med 2006; 23: 935-43.
- [29] Skinner TC and Cameron FJ. Improving glycaemic control in children and adolescents: which aspects of therapy really matter? Diabet Med 2010; 27(4): 369-75.
- [30] Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, et al. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: the new Medical Research Council guidance. BMJ 2008; 337: a1655.
- [31] Medical Research Council. A framework for development and evaluations of RCTs for complex intervention to improve health 2000; [cited 2009 november 9]; Available from: http://www.mrc. ac.uk/Utilities/Documentrecord/index.htm?d=MRC003372
- [32] Shadish WR, Cook TD, Campbell DT. Experimental and quasiexperimental designs for generalized causal inference. Boston: Houghton Mifflin 2002.
- [33] Coster S, Norman I. Cochrane reviews of educational and selfmanagement interventions to guide nursing practice: a review. Int J Nurs Stud 2009; 46(4): 508-28.
- [34] Northam EA, Todd S, Cameron FJ. Interventions to promote optimal health outcomes in children with Type 1 diabetes--are they effective? Diabet Med 2006; 23(2): 113-21.
- [35] Altman DG, Schulz KF, Moher D, et al. The revised CONSORT statement for reporting randomized trials: explanation and elaboration. Ann Internal Med 2001; 134: 663-94.
- [36] Moher D, Schulz KF, Altman D. The CONSORT statement: revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomized trials. Jama 2001; 285: 1987-91.
- [37] Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomized trials. Obstet Gynecol 2010; 115: 1063-70.
- [38] Guralnick MJ. Early Intervention for Children with Intellectual Disabilities: Current Knowledge and Future Prospects*. J Appl Res Intellect Disabil 2005; 18: 313-24.
- [39] Bradley RH, Whiteside L, Mundfrom DJ, Casey PH, Kelleher KJ, Pope SK. Early indications of resilience and their relation to experiences in the home environments of low birthweight, premature children living in poverty. Child Dev 1994; 65: 346-60.
- [40] Wennick A, Hallstrom I. Families' lived experience one year after a child was diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. J Ad Nurs 2007; 60: 299-307.
- [41] Wennick A, Lundqvist A, Hallstrom I. Everyday experience of families three years after diagnosis of type 1 diabetes in children: a research paper. J Pediatr Nurs 2009; 24: 222-30.
- [42] Dewey J. Psychology and social practice. Science 1900; 11: 321-333.
- [43] Hacking I. Representing and intervening. Introductory topics in the philosophy of natural science. New York: Cambridge University Press 2007.
- [44] Schön D. The Reflective Practitioner. London: Temple Smith 1983.
 [45] Tiberg I, Hallstrom I. Translation and testing of a quality of life
- [45] Tiberg I, Hallstrom I. Translation and testing of a quality of life instrument: The PedsQLTM Family Impact Module. Vård i Norden 2009; 29: 38-42.
- [46] Statistics Sweden (Statistiska centralbyrån SCB). [Cited 2010 March 18]; Available from: http://www.scb.se/

Randomised Controlled Trial Comparing Hospital-Based Care

- [47] SWEDIABKIDS The Swedish Childhood Diabetes Registry. [cited 2010 March 23]; Available from: http://www.ndr.nu/ndr2/
- [48] Kazak AE, Cant MC, Jensen MM, et al. Identifying psychosocial risk indicative of subsequent resource use in families of newly diagnosed pediatric oncology patients. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21: 3220-5.
- [49] Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2007; [cited 2008 january 12]; Available from: http://www.r-project.org/
- [50] Limbers CA, Newman DA, Varni JW. Factorial invariance of child self-report across age subgroups: a confirmatory factor analysis of ages 5 to 16 years utilizing the PedsQL 4.0 Generic Core Scales. Value Health 2008; 11: 659-68.
- [51] Varni JW, Burwinkle TM, Seid M. The PedsQL 4.0 as a school population health measure: feasibility, reliability, and validity. Qual Life Res 2006; 15: 203-15.
- [52] Varni JW, Limbers CA, Newman DA. Using factor analysis to confirm the validity of children's self-reported health-related quality of life across different modes of administration. Clin Trials 2009; 6: 185-95.
- [53] Varni JW, Seid M, Rode CA. The PedsQL: measurement model for the pediatric quality of life inventory. Med Care 1999; 37: 126-39.
- [54] Varni JW, Burwinkle TM, Jacobs JR, Gottschalk M, Kaufman F, Jones KL. The PedsQL in type 1 and type 2 diabetes: reliability and validity of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory Generic Core Scales and type 1 Diabetes Module. Diabetes Care 2003; 26: 631-7.
- [55] McKelvey J, Waller DA, North AJ, et al. Reliability and validity of the Diabetes Family Behavior Scale (DFBS). Diabetes Educ 1993; 19: 125-32.
- [56] McHorney CA, Ware JE Jr, Lu JF, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): III. Tests of data quality, scaling assumptions, and reliability across diverse patient groups. Med Care 1994; 32: 40-66.
- [57] McHorney CA, Ware JE Jr, Raczek AE. The MOS 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): II. Psychometric and clinical tests of validity in measuring physical and mental health constructs. Med Care 1993; 31: 247-63.
- [58] Persson LO, Karlsson J, Bengtsson C, Steen B, Sullivan M. The Swedish SF-36 Health Survey II. Evaluation of clinical validity: results from population studies of elderly and women in Gothenborg. J Clin Epidemiol 1998; 51: 1095-103.
- [59] Sullivan M, Karlsson J. The Swedish SF-36 Health Survey III. Evaluation of criterion-based validity: results from normative population. J Clin Epidemiol 1998; 51: 1105-13.
- [60] Sullivan M, Karlsson J, Ware JE Jr. The Swedish SF-36 Health Survey--I. Evaluation of data quality, scaling assumptions, reliability and construct validity across general populations in Sweden. Soc Sci Med 1995; 41: 1349-58.

Received: July 18, 2011

Revised: September 23, 2011

Accepted: September 28, 2011

© Tiberg et al.; Licensee Bentham Open.

The Open Nursing Journal, 2011, Volume 5 119

- [61] Ware JE, Kosinski M. Interpreting SF-36 summary health measures: a response. Qual Life Res 2001; 10: 405-13; discussion 415-20.
- [62] Lundqvist C, Siösteen A, Blomstrand C, Lind B, Sullivan M. Spinal cord injuries. Clinical, functional, and emotional status. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 1991; 16: 78-83.
- [63] Erlandsson LK. Stability in women's experiences of hassles and uplifts: a five-year follow-up survey. Scand J Occup Ther 2008; 15: 95-104.
- [64] Hansson K. Family diagnostics (in Swedish with English summary). University of Lund: Lund 1989.
- [65] Hansson K, Davidsson-Gräns S, Johansson P, et al. Inpatient family therapy: A multicenter study of families' and staff's experience of family climate. Nord J Psychiatry 1992; 46: 335-44.
- [66] Hansson K, Rydén O, Johansson P. Parent-Rated Family Climate: A Concomitant to Meatolic Control in Juvenile IDDM? Fam Syst Med 1994; 12: 405-13.
- [67] Varni JW, Sherman SA, Burwinkle TM, Dickinson PE, Dixon P. The PedsQL[™] Family Impact Module: Preliminary reliability and validity. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2004; 2: 55.
- [68] Hood KK, Butler DA, Anderson BJ, Laffel LM. Updated and revised Diabetes Family Conflict Scale. Diab Care 2007; 30: 1764-9
- [69] Varni JW, Burwinkle TM, Dickinson P, et al. Evaluation of the built environment at a children's convalescent hospital: development of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory parent and staff satisfaction measures for pediatric health care facilities. J Dev Behav Pediatr 2004; 25: 10-20.
- [70] Varni JW, Quiggins DJL, Ayala GX. Development of the Pediatric Hematology/Oncology Parent Satisfaction Survey. Children's Health Care 2000; 29: 243-55.
- [71] Altman D. Practical statistics for medical research. London: Chapman & Hall 1997.
- [72] Drummon MF, O'Brien B, Stoddart GL, Torrance GW. Methods for the economic evaluation of health care programmes. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2005.
- [73] American Diabetes Association, Summary of Revisions for the 2006 Clinical Practice Recommendations. Diabetes Care 2006; 29: Suppl 1:S3.
- [74] Hungerford A, Cox MJ. Family factors in child care research. Eval Rev 2006; 30: 631-55.
- [75] Hanberger L, Samuelsson U, Lindblad B, Ludvigsson J; Swedish Childhood Diabetes Registry SWEDIABKIDS. A1C in children and adolescents with diabetes in relation to certain clinical parameters: the Swedish Childhood Diabetes Registry SWEDIAB KIDS. Diabetes Care 2008; 31: 927-9.
- [76] Walsh MG, Walsh MG, Zgibor J, Borch-Johnsen K, Orchard TJ; DiaMond Investigators. A multinational comparison of complications assessment in type 1 diabetes: the DiaMond substudy of complications (DiaComp) level 2. Diabetes Care 2004; 27: 1610-7.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

Avhandlingar i ämnet vårdvetenskap med inriktning omvårdnad vid forskargruppen; Barns och familjers hälsa & reproduktiv hälsa, Institutionen för hälsa, vård och samhälle, Medicinska fakulteten, Lunds universitet.

Wennick, Anne. Living with childhood diabetes. Family experiences and long-term effects. Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden 2007.

Kjaergaard, Hanne. Dystocia in nulliparous women. Incidence, outcomes, risk indicators and women's experiences. Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden 2007.

Björk, Maria. Living with childhood cancer. Family Members' Experiences and Needs. Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden 2008.

Ekelin, Maria. Parents' expectations, experiences and reactions to routine ultrasound examination during pregnancy. Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden 2008.

Lundqvist, Pia. Children Born Prematurely. Their fathers' experiences and trends in mortality and morbidity during a ten-year period. Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden 2008.

Larsson, Anna-Karin. Parent's experiences and reactions when an unexpected finding in their foetus is revealed at a routine ultrasound examination – a multi method study. Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden 2009.

Hegaard, Hanne Kristine. Pregnancy and leisure time physical activity. Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden 2009.

Persson, Eva K. Parents' postnatal sense of security (PPSS) – developing an instrument and description of important factors based on mothers' and fathers' experiences. Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden 2010.

Danerek, Margaretha. Decision-making in critical situations during pregnancy and birth. Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden 2010.

Törnqvist, Erna. Going through magnetic resonance imaging. Patients' experiences and the value of information and preparation for adults and children. Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden 2010.

Jönsson, Lisbeth. Experiences of the education process when a child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden 2011. Licentiat avhandling.

Landgren, Kajsa. Infants with colic - Parents' experiences in short and long perspectives and the effect of acupuncture treatment on crying, feeding, stooling and sleep. Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden 2011.

Tiberg, Irén. The initial care when a child is diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden 2012.

Ovanstående kan rekvireras från:

Lunds universitet Institutionen för hälsa, vård och samhälle Box 157, 221 00 Lund