LUND UNIVERSITY

Experimental brain tumors, dendritic cells and immunotherapy

Janelidze, Shorena

2008

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Janelidze, S. (2008). *Experimental brain tumors, dendritic cells and immunotherapy.* [Doctoral Thesis (compilation), Neurosurgery]. Lund University.

Total number of authors:
1

Experimental Brain Tumors, Dendritic Cells and Immunotherapy

Shorena Janelidze
2008

Avdelningen för Neurokirugi
Institutionen för Kliniska Vetenskaper
Medicinska Fakulteten
Lunds Universitet
Sverige

Organization LUND UNIVERSITY Department of Clinical Sciences Rausing Laboratory BMC D14 222 85 Lund Sweden	Document name DOCTORAL DISSERTATION
	Date of issue May 9, 2008
	Sponsoring organization
Author(s) Shorena Janelidze	

Title and subtitle
Experimental brain tumors, dendritic cells and immunotherapy

Abstract

   Malignant astrocytomas are the most common primary tumors of the adult central nervous system. Surgical resection of tumor mass in combination with radiotherapy and chemotherapy is only palliative and there is a clear need for new and more effective therapeutic strategies.

   The aim of this study was to develop a dendritic cell (DC)-based vaccine for the treatment of experimental brain tumors with the future prospect of translating this treatment into the clinical application. We first demonstrated that the N29 and N32 rat brain tumors closely resemble human glioblastoma multiforme and anaplastic astrocytoma, respectively, and represent relevant models to study the efficacy of new therapeutic modalities. We also found that vaccination with IFN-γ-producing tumor cells led to tumor regression in a fraction of animals in both tumor models. The route of vaccine administration significantly influenced the outcome of the therapy. S.c. immunization with IFN-γ-producing tumor cells was far more effective compared to i.d. injection.

   DCs generated from rat bone marrow progenitor cells exhibited the capacity to take up antigens in an immature state and induce T cell proliferation in a mature state, two functional properties central for the induction of anti-tumor immune response. We tested different antigen preparations and maturation factors in order to establish the optimal conditions for DC activation. Synergistic inhibition of intracerebral tumor growth was observed when rats were vaccinated with a combination of *ex vivo* tumor cell lysate-pulsed and matured DCs and IFN-γ-producing tumor cells. However, we did not observe any benefit of using DC-based vaccines alone regardless of antigen loading or maturation methods compared to immunotherapy with IFN-γ-producing tumor cells.

   In conclusion, we have demonstrated that DC-based vaccines fail to provide protection in a weakly immunogenic brain tumor model but do enhance the anti-tumor immune responses elicited by IFN-γ-producing tumor cells. These findings could be pertinent to other tumor models and other immunotherapeutic modalities and thus have important implications for the development of anti-cancer vaccines.

Key words: Brain tumor, dendritic cell, immunotherapy, interferon-γ

Classification system and/or index termes (if any):

Supplementary bibliographical information:	Language English
ISSN and key title:	ISBN 978-91-85897-98-8
Recipient's notes	Number of pages	Price
	Security classification	

Experimental Brain Tumors,
Dendritic Cells and Immunotherapy

Shorena Janelidze
2008

Avdelningen för Neurokirugi
Institutionen för Kliniska Vetenskaper
Medicinska Fakulteten
Lunds Universitet
Sverige

LUND
UNIVERSITY

A doctoral thesis at a university in Sweden is produced either as a monograph or as a collection of papers. In the latter case, the introductory part constitutes the formal thesis, which summarizes the accompanying papers. These have already been published or are manuscripts at various stages (in press, submitted, or in preparation).

"Logic will get you from A to B, Imagination will take you everywhere."
Albert Einstein

To my parents

CONTENTS

ORIGINAL PAPERS

This thesis is based on the following papers referred to by their Roman numericals:

   I.   *Shorena Janelidze, Daniel Bexell, Wiaam Badn, Johan Bengzon Anna Darabi, Karin Enell Smith, Salina Gunnarsson, Peter Milos, Leif G. Salford, Peter Siesjö, and Edward Visse.* Two rat brain tumor models with characteristics of human glioblastoma and anaplastic astrocytoma. Submitted.

  II.   *Shorena Janelidze, Sofia Eberstål, Anna Darabi, Leif G. Saford, Edward Visse and Peter Siesjö.* Route of immunization determines efficacy of interferon-γ-transduced tumor cell vaccine in rat glioma model. Manuscript.

 III.   *Janelidze S, Enell K, Visse E, Darabi A, Salford LG, Siesjö P.* Activation of purified allogeneic $CD4^+$ T cells by rat bone marrow-derived dendritic cells induces concurrent secretion of IFN-γ, IL-4, and IL-10. Immunol Lett 2005; 101: 193-201.

  IV.   *Shorena Janelidze, Sofia Eberstål, Anna Darabi, Karin Enell Smith, Leif G. Salford, Edward Visse and Peter Siesjö.* Mature dendritic cells enhance anti-tumor immunity elicited by IFN-γ-transduced tumor cells in the weakly immunogenic rat N32 glioma model. Submitted.

ABBREVIATIONS

APC	Antigen-presenting cell
BM	Bone marrow
cDC	Conventional dendritic cell
CLP	Common lymphoid progenitor
CLR	C-type lectin receptor
CMP	Common myeloid progenitor
CNS	Central nervous system
DC	Dendritic cell
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
EGFR	Epidermal growth factor receptor
Flt3-L	Flt3 ligand
GBM	Glioblastoma multiforme
GEM	Genetically engineered mouse
GFAP	Glial fibrillary acidic protein
GM-CSF	Granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor
HLA	Human leukocyte antigen
i.d.	Intradermal
IDO	Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase
IFN	Interferon
IKDC	IFN-γ-producing killer dendritic cell
IL	Interleukin
i.l.	Intralymphatic
ILT	Immunoglobulin-like transcript
i.t.	Intratumoral
i.v.	Intravenous
LC	Langerhans cell
LN	Lymph node
LPS	Lipopolysaccharide
MHC	Major histocompatibility complex
NK	Natural killer
NLR	Nod-like receptor
NO	Nitric Oxide
PAMP	Pathogen-associated molecular pattern
pDC	Plasmacytoid dendritic cell

PD-L1	Programmed cell death ligand 1
PGE$_2$	Prostaglandin E$_2$
RLR	RIG-I-like receptor
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
s.c.	Subcutaneous
SLAM	Signaling lymphocyte activation molecule
TAA	Tumor-associated antigen
TCR	T cell receptor
TGF-β	Transforming growth factor β
Th	T helper
TLR	Toll-like receptor
TNF-α	Tumor necrosis factor α
T*reg* cell	Regulatory T cell
wt	Wild type

INTRODUCTION

Cancer, or malignant neoplasm, encompasses a group of diseases characterized by uncontrolled growth and spread of abnormal cells. The abnormality arises as a result of genetic instability leading to the accumulation of multiple genetic lesions. The process of cancer development follows in a way the principles of Darwinian evolution: under the continuous environmental pressure certain combinations of genetic lesions drive progressive transformation of cells from a normal to a malignant state, the latter having numerous growth advantages. Despite significant progress in the treatment of cancer during the last decades, substantial number of different cancer types, especially those at the late stages of disease, remains incurable. Cancer is a still a leading cause of death worldwide and estimated to continue rising. The incidence rates are age-dependent with considerable geographic, race and gender variations. From the evolutionary perspective, the high prevalence of cancer in humans is explained by mismatch between the genes and phenotypic traits that have become common as a result of natural selection in the past and present environmental and social conditions.

Over the years we have witnessed substantial advances in an effort to dissect the epidemiologic, genetic and molecular mechanisms of cancer origin. The cancer research today is a complex multidisciplinary area that reflects the complexity of the disease itself and drives a similarly complex anti-cancer drug development industry. It seems, however, that we are just at the beginning of the long road in our quest for the "Holy Grail" of cancer science.

ASTROCYTIC TUMORS

Classification and grading

Primary tumors of the central nervous system (CNS) encompass a wide range of neoplasms that originate in the CNS and are classified according to the putative resemblance of their cellular components to normal cells. Astrocytic tumors consisting of cells with histologic features of normal astrocytes are the most frequent neoplasms of CNS with approximately 500 new cases diagnosed every year in Sweden. The malignancy of astrocytomas, similar to other tumors, is described in terms of grades. According to the grading system adopted by the World Health Organization (1), the grade of tumor is established based on histopathological appearance, has a prognostic value and ultimately determines the choice of treatment. Malignant astrocytomas are highly invasive tumors (with the exception of grade I tumors) that are graded using several histological criteria, including nuclear atypia, mitotic activity, microvascular proliferation and/or necrosis. Grade I astrocytomas are typically well-circumscribed tumors with very low proliferation indices. These neoplasms primarily occur in children and young adults and are usually curable after surgical resection (2). Grade II diffuse astrocytomas are characterized by increased cellularity and nuclear atypia predominantly affecting young adults. The median survival time is 6-8 years, with a tendency for progression to more malignant grade III-IV tumors that occurs within 4-5 years after diagnosis (2-4). When compared to diffuse astrocytomas, grade III anaplastic astrocytomas display increased cellularity and more prominent nuclear atypia. However, the appearance of distinct mitotic figures is the decisive diagnostic feature. The progression to grade IV glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is common; time to progression is approximately 2 years (5). GBM is one of the most malignant human neoplasms accounting for 50-60% of all astrocytic tumors (2). This tumor may develop from less malignant lesions (secondary GBM) but most often arises *de novo* (primary GBM). In addition to nuclear atypia and mitotic figures, histopathological characteristics include microvascular proliferation and/or necrosis (absent in lower grade astrocytic tumors). Similar to anaplastic astrocytoma, GBM is prevalent among adults. The median survival time is less than 12 months, with fewer than 3% of patients surviving up to 3 years (2, 5). Young age is a positive prognostic factor for GBM and all malignant astrocytomas in general.

Molecular pathology

Advances in biotechnology has prompted a great number of studies elucidating molecular mechanisms that underlie the formation and progression of cancer. These

Tumors (most common)	Grade	Nuclear atypia	Mitotic activity	Necrosis and/or vascular proliferation	Median survival
Pilocytic astrocytoma	I	−(X)	−(X)	−	Curable
Diffuse astrocytoma	II	X	−	−	6-8 years
Anaplastic astrocytoma	III	X	X	−	3-4 years
Glioblastoma multiforme	IV	X	X	X	< 1 year

studies revealed the remarkable heterogeneity of genetic and epigenetic alterations within histologically identical astrocytic tumors. In some cases a correlation between specific molecular aberration and survival time was found, suggesting the importance of molecular pathogenesis for the diagnostic and prognostic assessments. Furthermore, the identification of clinically relevant molecular alterations could aid the rational development of new therapies in the future.

Neoplastic cells frequently display abnormalities in molecular pathways regulating cell growth, survival and migration that in turn determine the manifestation of their malignant phenotype. Several excellent review articles describe in detail the molecular pathogenesis of malignant brain tumors that is briefly summarized here (6-8).

The p53 pathway

p53 is a tumor suppressor that coordinates cellular responses to stress through the induction of cell cycle arrest, senescence and apoptosis and thus plays a central role in the maintenance of the DNA integrity. The *TP53* gene is mutated in about 50% of human cancers. The most common are missense mutations. The mutations in the *TP53* gene occur at early stages of glial transformations resulting in the same frequency of the loss of p53 functions in both low and high-grade astrocytomas. More than 60% of grade II, III and grade IV secondary astrocytomas carry *TP53* mutations (3, 9) and some studies have shown negative correlation between the presence of *TP53* mutations and the length of time interval before progression in diffuse astrocytoma (3, 10). However, *TP53* mutations were generally not predictive for longer survival when statistical analysis was adjusted for the patients age (5, 11). The mutations in the *TP53* gene are less frequent in primary GBM (< 30%) (5, 9). The function of the p53 protein can be hampered through

other mechanisms, for example by amplification or overexpression of MDM2 and loss of p14[ARF]. MDM2 binds to and inhibits p53 and also promotes its degradation (12). p14[ARF] on the other hand reverts MDM2-induced p53 degradation through direct binding to MDM2 (13, 14). The overexpression of MDM2 has been observed in more then 50% of primary but in only 11% of secondary GBM(15) while p14[ARF] loss occurs at the same rate in both primary and secondary GBM (50% and 70%, respectively) (16). The *MDM2/p14[ARF]* are dysregulated at lower frequencies in anaplastic astrocytomas (4). Contradictory results have been reported in the studies investigating the prognostic value of alterations in the *p53/MDM2/p14[ARF]* pathway in astrocytic tumors (4, 17-22).

The RB1 pathway

The RB1 pathway controls the transition from G1 into S phase of the cell cycle. The phosphorylation of pRB1 by CDK4/cyclin D complex triggers cell entry into S phase, a process that is inhibited when another member of the RB1 pathway, p16[INK4a], binds to CDK4/cyclin D complex (23). The *RB1* gene is mutated in 15-30% (4, 24, 25) and the *CDK4* gene is amplified in 5-15% of high-grade astrocytomas (4, 25, 26). The *p16[INK4a]* alterations have been described in 28-34% of GBM without differences between primary and secondary tumor variants (16, 25) and in 40% of anaplastic astrocytomas (4). Abnormalities in *RB1/CDK4/ p16[INK4a]* were negatively correlated with the survival in astrocytomas (4, 27).

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) pathway

EGFR is a family of transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinases that bind to EGF-like growth factors. EGFR has been shown to play an important role in survival, proliferation, differentiation and migration of CNS cells (28). *EGFR* amplification and concomitant overexpression of *EGFR* protein have been observed in about 40% of primary GBM (29, 30) but are uncommon in lower grade astrocytomas and in secondary GBM (9, 11, 31). In addition, EGFR mutations occur in 30-40% of GBM and are often amplified (32, 33). The most common are mutations resulting in surface expression of truncated and constitutively activated EGFRvIII variants. Clinical studies generated conflicting result with respect to the prognostic value of *EGFR* alterations (18) (34, 35).

The PTEN pathway

Once activated, various growth factor receptors dock phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) to the cell membrane. PI3K catalyzes the conversion of phosphatidynositol-4,5-biphosphate (PIP2) to PIP3 that in turn activates the downstream effector molecules including AKT. PTEN is a lipid phosphatase that inhibits the function of PI3K and AKT (36). The PI3K/AKT/PTEN pathway plays an important role in the regulation of cell growth, proliferation, metabolism, angiogenesis and apoptosis (37). Point mutations and amplifications of the *PIK3CA* subunit of PI3K have been detected in a fraction of GBM (38-42). In addition, the progression of astrocyic tumors was accompanied by increased activation of AKT (43). Similar to *EGFR*, the *PTEN* alterations were found in anaplastic astrocytoma but at much lower frequencies than in primary GBM (5-18% and 14-40%, respectively) (11, 40, 44) and were rare in secondary GBM (45). *PTEN* mutations were strongly associated with reduced survival in anaplastic astrocytoma but not in GBM (5, 11). However, several other studies have reported the presence of *PTEN* mutations or *PTEN* mRNA levels to be an independent prognostic factor in GBM patients (46-48).

Conventional and novel therapies for the treatment of malignant astrocytomas

Conventional therapy

The survival of the patients diagnosed with malignant astrocytomas has not improved significantly in recent decades. Surgical resection (when possible) together with radiotherapy is the standard therapeutic approach that is beneficial for the treatment of grade II-III tumors, but it only alleviates the disease-associated symptoms in GBM patients. The failure of the conventional therapies is believed to be partly due to the very infiltrative nature of these tumors making complete surgical resection of tumor mass almost impossible. Radiotherapy after surgical resection has been shown to prolong the survival in anaplastic astrocytoma and GBM patients (49, 50). The benefit of using chemotherapy in conjunction with surgery and radiotherapy was under debate for a long time (51). However, recent randomized clinical trials have shown improved survival in GBM patients when radiotherapy was combined with temozolomide compared to radiotherapy alone (52, 53). A phase III randomized clinical study investigating the efficacy of temozolomide against anaplastic astrocytoma is ongoing (the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) protocol 9813). Despite the clear advantage of using temozolomide, the outcome for high-grade astrocytomas remains very poor.

16

Experimental therapeutics

Several experimental therapeutic approaches targeting various aspects of tumorigenesis have been described and successfully applied for the treatment of gliomas in animal models. (Glioma is a collective name for all the brain tumors of glial origin that is often used in the context of animal models). For example, gene therapy has been used to deliver pro-apoptotic or suicide genes (FADD, caspase-6 and caspase-8, HSV-tk/GCV system) specifically into the tumor cells and to correct genetic alterations that are associated with the malignant phenotype (introduction of wild-type p53, suppression of EGFR activity with antisense, etc.) (54). Inhibitors of angiogenesis, immunotherapeutic substances, target-based small molecules and replication competent oncolytic viruses are other treatment paradigms being actively investigated (55-57). Numerous clinical trials that have been initiated based on the success of therapeutic modalities in experimental models failed, however, to show benefit for the treatment of human disease and at best improved the survival in a small subset of patients. The overall ineffectiveness of the currently available standard and experimental therapies underscores the need of developing new and more effective treatment strategies.

Animal models

Animal models provide a useful experimental system to study tumorigenesis and evaluate the efficacy and toxicity of new therapeutic modalities. Nevertheless, in addition to the physiological differences existing between species none of the available animal models fully recapitulates human disease. It is, therefore, important to take into consideration these limitations when attempting to translate preclinical data into clinical applications.

Experimental brain tumor models can be roughly divided into transplantable and genetically engineered mouse (GEM) models based on the tumor induction method. In transplantable models, tumor cells lines derived from spontaneously arising or induced tumors are implanted into syngeneic inbred animals. Alternatively, human tumor cells or cell lines (xenografts) can be injected into immunosuppressed or immunodeficient animals. Although offering the possibility of studying human cells, xenograft models lack two important components that normally influence tumor growth, namely the immune system and a native stromal environment. The distinct advantages of transplantable models in general include reproducibility, predictable growth rates and high penetrance. However, due to the lengthy *in vitro* culture, tumor cell lines used for the induction of transplantable tumors might become histoincompatible with the syngeneic animals. In addition, implanted

tumor cell lines grow very rapidly compared to relatively slow development of human counterparts. Both of these phenomena could lead to the increased immunogenicity of transplantable tumors thus making them more susceptible to the elimination by the immune system. The most commonly used mouse brain tumor cell line, GL261, has been generated from tumors induced by intracerebral injection of 3-methylcholanthrene. The GL261 model has recently been characterized in detail and is apparently only moderately immunogenic (58). Other mouse tumor cell lines, SMA-497 and SMA-560, were derived from astrocytomas spontaneously arising in the VM/DK inbred mouse strain (59). The majority of transplantable rat brain tumors were originally induced by intravenous or transplacental administration of nitrosurea compounds. Three of these models, RG2, F98 and CNS-1, are weakly immunogenic infiltrating tumors reliably representing human high-grade astrocytomas (60-62). Other models, such as 9L gliosarcoma, T9, C6 and avian sarcoma virus-induced RT-2 gliomas, are strongly immunogenic and, therefore, not suitable to study the efficacy of new therapeutic modalities (63).

Compared to transplantable models, GEM models, in which tumors arise spontaneously as a result of the germline or somatic cell genetic manipulations, recapitulate more closely the initiation and progression of human malignancies. Furthermore, GEM models allow to study the causal relationship between specific genetic lesions and tumor formation that could lead to the identification of the initiating mutations and possibly the cells of tumor origin. A number of GEM brain tumor models have been generated during recent years. For example, the development of astrocytomas has been observed as a result of oncogenic $V^{12}Ha$-*ras* or v-*src* kinase expression under control of the glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) promoter (64, 65). GFAP is a differentiation marker of normal as well as neoplastic astrocytes. Likewise, the overexpression of the constitutively active form of EGRF in mouse glial cells lacking *Ink4a-Arf* locus induced tumors with characteristics similar to human gliomas (66). Gene transfer of activated AKT and KRac (using RCAS/tv-a system) to mouse neural progenitor cells but not to differentiated astrocytes caused the formation of GBM-like tumors (67). Additional loss of the *Ink4a-Arf* locus in these animals induced tumor from astrocytes and elevated tumorigenesis from progenitor cells (68). Astrocytomas of different grades have also been observed in mice with simultaneous mutation of two tumor suppressor genes: *Nf1* and *Trp53* (69).

The routine use of GEM models is restricted, however, by a few limitations such as low tumor incidence, long and variable latency of tumor formation, the necessity of advanced imaging technologies and labor intensive breeding procedures.

DENDRITIC CELLS (DCS)

DCs are antigen-presenting cells (APCs) of the immune system that play a central role in the initiation and regulation of adaptive immune responses. DCs originate from bone marrow haemotopoietic stem cells, circulate in the bloodstream and populate virtually all tissues. Within DCs, subsets of a specific phenotype, tissue distribution and function have been described across different species. Despite this heterogeneity DCs share several functional properties that underlie their unique capacity to control immune responses. DCs can internalize, process and present antigens, migrate from the site of antigen encounter to the secondary lymphoid tissues and change the functional state of T cells.

Antigen uptake usually takes place in the peripheral tissues where DCs reside in an immature state. Under steady state conditions, immature DCs carrying self-antigens constitutively migrate to the secondary lymphoid tissues and induce T cell tolerance thus providing a physiological mechanism for the elimination of the self-reactive T cells that have escaped thymic deletion (70). According to the so-called "Langerhans cell paradigm", immature DCs, when exposed to foreign antigens and an inflammatory environment, undergo maturation as they migrate to the secondary lymphoid organs (71). Maturation is a complex process defined by the upregulation of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II and costimulatory molecules. Mature DCs present antigens captured in the periphery to antigen-specific T cells thereby initiating adaptive immune responses. The Langerhans cell paradigm needs, however, to be refined in order to accommodate new discoveries in DC biology. It has recently been proposed, for example, that DCs residing in the lymphoid organs can pick up antigens from the peripheral migrating DCs and contribute to the activation or tolerization of T cells (72). Moreover, several studies have shown the induction of T cell tolerance by phenotypically mature DCs (73, 74). To avoid confusion with the terminology, "mature" in this thesis only refers to the phenotype of DCs without any references to their function.

Antigen presentation by DCs

DCs capture exogenous antigens using pinocytosis, phagocytosis or receptor-mediated endocytosis. Pinocytosis enables the internalization of soluble antigens and occurs constitutively in DCs (75). Phagocytosis involves uptake of large particulate antigens initiated by the engagement of specific receptors on the surface of DCs. DCs can phagocytize many types of bacteria, as well as living and dying cells (76-78). DCs also

capture macromolecules through the formation of clathrin-coated membrane vesicles, a process called receptor-mediated endocytosis. DC subsets express different sets of endocytic receptors that could explain their responsiveness or unresponsiveness to specific pathogens (79). Once in endosomal compartments, exogenous antigens are degraded by proteases into peptides that bind to MHC class II molecules (80). The MHC class II-peptide complexes are then transported to the plasma membrane for the presentation to CD4$^+$ T cells.

The endogenous antigens (self- or virus-derived) are processed in the cytosol by proteosomes. Generated peptides are translocated into the endoplasmic reticulum by the specialized TAP transporter where they are loaded into the MHC class I molecules. The MHC class I-peptide complexes are transferred through the Golgi complex to the plasma membrane for the recognition by CD8$^+$ T cells (81).

In addition, DCs have the ability to cross-present exogenous antigens on the MHC class I molecules providing the possibility of generating immune responses against viral infections or neoplastic transformations occurring in cells other then APCs (82). Mechanisms underlying the cross-presentation process are not well defined. Experimental evidence indicates that phagosomes containing captured antigens fuse with the ER vesicles containing components of the MHC class I loading pathway thus forming phagosome-ER hybrid compartment. The antigens are transported from the phagosome-ER hybrid compartment into the cytosol for degradation by proteasomes. The cleaved peptides are then returned back to the phagosome-ER compartment and to the ER as well for the loading into MHC class I molecules (82).

High endocytic capacity is a prominent functional feature of immature DCs (83). Maturation is accompanied by a transient increase in the endocytic capacity (84, 85) followed by a dramatic downregulation of antigen uptake function (75, 86).

DC maturation

DC maturation is triggered by the engagement of the receptors sensing the presence of invading microorganisms and inflammatory mediators. Evolutionary conserved pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) are recognized by an array of Toll-like receptors (TLRs). Individual TLRs differ in their ligand specificity, localization and cell distribution pattern. Some of the cell surface TLRs (TLR1, 2, 4, 5, 6) typically recognize bacterial products. Others (TLR3, 7, 8, 9) detect viral nucleic acids and are expressed in the intracellular compartments (87). TLRs are coupled to MyD88-dependent or TRIF-dependent signaling pathways that lead to the activation of NFκB among other

transcriptional factors. NFκB regulates the expression of maturation-associated molecules, chemokines and cytokines by DCs (88). TLRs have also been implicated in the modulation of antigen presenting machinery and the self/non-self discrimination (89).

Another group of receptors expressed by immature DCs, the Nod-like receptors (NLRs), the RIG-I-like receptors (RLRs) and the membrane-associated C-type lectin receptors (CLRs), recognize bacteria, viruses and glycosylated ligands, respectively. These receptors seem to modulate and cooperate with TLRs in shaping the pathogen-induced innate and adaptive immune responses (88, 90).

Although DC maturation is defined by upregulation of MHC class II and costimulatory molecules, it is accompanied by profound changes in cytokine production. Several factors influence the DC maturation process. It has been shown that sustained TLR4 or CD40 signaling is required for the induction of cytokine secretion by DCs. At the same time, a transient exposure to the TLR4 ligand is sufficient to upregulate MHC class II and costimulatory molecules (91, 92). The combined activation of PAMP receptors synergistically enhances cytokine production in both human and mouse DCs (93-95). Both, IFN-γ and CD40L, amplify the TLR-induced interleukin (IL)-12 production, but through different mechanisms. Interestingly, this amplification effect was observed when IFN-γ was given before and CD40L after TLR stimulation (96, 97). Taken together these data suggest that DCs continuously adjust their maturation program to allow the detection and translation of environmental changes. Consequently, mature DCs could display varying cytokine production profiles that would have direct impact on the outcome of DC-T cell interactions.

DC and T cell interactions

DC and T cell interactions begin with the binding of the antigen specific T cell receptors (TCRs) to the cognate MHC-peptide complex. However, activation of naïve T cells requires a second costimulatory signal. Engagement of the TCR without costimulation leads to the induction of T cell tolerance through deletion, anergy or expansion of regulatory T (T*reg*) cell subsets. It is not, therefore, surprising that immature DCs expressing very low levels of costimulatory molecules are involved in the initiation of immune tolerance (98-100). Mature DCs, on the other hand, are equipped with all the necessary machinery to drive the activation of naïve T cells. Furthermore, by modifying the cytokine production profile mature DCs tightly regulate T cell differentiation pathways. For example, DC-derived IL-12 promotes the acquisition of a T helper (Th)1 phenotype by CD4^{+} helper T cells (101), whereas the Jagged family of the Notch ligands can bias towards a Th2

phenotype (102). Th1 cells produce high amounts of IFN-γ and are important for the cell-mediated immune responses against intracellular pathogens and cancer. Th2 cells are characterized by the secretion of IL-4 and play an essential role in the humoral responses against extracellular pathogens. Transforming growth factor (TGF)-β together with IL-6 has recently been shown to induce the development of a novel IL-17-producing Th17 subset that is associated with a number of autoimmune diseases (103). Although there is some evidence of DCs involvement in the differentiation of Th17 cells, the primary mechanisms underlying this process *in vivo* are not fully understood (104, 105).

Mature DCs have long been viewed as immunogenic cells. The notion has been challenged by several observations demonstrating that phenotypically mature DCs can promote tolerance (73, 74, 106). DCs can acquire tolerogenic properties after exposure to various cytokines (74, 107). The effector mechanisms mediating tolerogenic function of mature DCs are not yet defined. Most probably, molecules associated with the tolerogenicity of immature DCs drive the tolerance induction by mature DCs as well, including the signaling lymphocyte activation molecule (SLAM), the programmed cell death ligand-1 (PD-L1), DEC-205 (CD205), the inhibitory receptors of the immunoglobulin-like transcript (ILT3/ILT4) family and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) (107).

The heterogeneity of DCs and the diversity of DC-induced T cell responses raise the possibility of functional specialization between different DC subsets (108). Still, experimental evidence indicates the remarkable functional plasticity of individual DC populations (109). Additionally, the temporal model of DC maturation where DCs sequentially acquire the Th1 and Th2 priming capacities has been proposed (110). The prevalent view currently is that the final outcome of DC and T cell interactions is determined by multiple factors including the ontogenic origin of DCs, the nature of activating signals and the dynamics of environmental change (111).

Subsets and function

Mouse DCs

Mouse DCs comprise CD11c$^+$MHCII$^+$ conventional DC (cDC) and plasmacytoid DC (pDC) populations. pDCs express B220, Ly6C (cross-reacts with anti-granulocyte antibody Gr-1) and intermediate (int) levels of CD11c (112-114).

Three subsets of resident cDCs are found in the spleen of uninfected mice: CD8$^+$CD4$^-$, CD8$^-$CD4$^-$ and CD8$^-$CD4$^+$ DCs (115). Lymph nodes contain two additional CD8^{int} migratory cDC subpopulations that in case of skin-draining lymph nodes represent emigrated interstitial (dermal) DCs and Langerhans cells (LCs) (116). Because the

functional relevance of CD4 expression on DCs is not known, the splenic DCs are usually referred to as CD8$^+$ and CD8$^-$ cells. The functional specialization of DC subpopulations has clearly been shown for mouse CD8$^+$ and CD8$^-$ DCs. For example, the CD8$^-$ cells phagocytize antigens more efficiently than CD8$^+$ cells (117). CD8$^+$ DCs, on the other hand, are better at the uptake of dead cells and have been implicated in the cross-priming of cytotoxic T cells (118-120). Furthermore, it has recently been shown *in vivo* that CD8$^+$ DCs and CD8$^-$ DCs are biased to MHC class I and MHC class II presentation, respectively (121). In addition, CD8$^+$ DCs mainly trigger Th1 responses, unlike CD8$^-$ DCs that primarily drive Th2 differentiation (122, 123). Interestingly, under specific conditions CD8$^-$ DC subsets can acquire the functional characteristics that are normally ascribed to CD8$^+$ cells and vice versa (124, 125).

The majority of mouse thymic cDCs are CD8$^+$CD4$^-$ cells that play an important role in the negative selection of autoreactive T cells (115, 126, 127). Although a minor fraction of CD8$^-$SIRPα^+ DCs is also identified, its function is at present unclear.

Two subsets of cDCs are present in the mouse skin under steady state conditions: epidermal Langerin$^+$ LCs and dermal DCs (DDCs) (128).

Mouse lymphoid organs and skin contain the pDCs as well (129). The pDCs are characterized by the production of a high amount of type I interferons (IFN) in response to virus infections that activate the cytolytic activity and IFN-γ production in NK cells (130, 131). Mature pDC can present antigens to, expand and differentiate naïve T cells (130, 132, 133). The ability of pDC to direct T cell responses can be modified depending on the nature of the maturation signal and antigen concentration (134, 135). The physiological implications of this functional plasticity, that seems to be common to all DC subsets, remains to be established.

A novel subset of mouse IFN-γ-producing killer DCs (IKDCs) sharing several properties with NK cells has been identified recently (136, 137).

Rat DCs

Rat cDCs are characterized as OX62$^+$MHCII$^+$ cells, although organ-specific OX62$^-$ DC populations have been described (138, 139). Two subsets of cDCs are found in rat lymphoid tissues that express different levels of CD4 and SIRPα (140, 141). The CD4$^+$ and CD4$^-$ DC subsets in the spleen have been shown to induce Th1 and mixed Th1/Th2 responses, respectively (142). CD4$^-$SIRP$^-$ DCs transport apoptotic intestinal epithelial cell bodies to the mesenteric lymph nodes indicating possible involvement of these cells in the maintenance of tolerance to self-antigens (143). Interestingly, the CD4$^-$CD103$^+$

subset of spleen but not lymph node DCs displays a cytotoxic activity toward a range of neoplastic and normal cells that is dramatically downregulated upon maturation (144). The subpopulation MHCII$^+$CD4$^+$OX62$^-$CD11b$^-$ pDC subpopulation producing high levels of type I IFN has been identified in the rat lymphoid organs (145). In general, rat DCs and their functional properties and plasticity are not well studied.

Human DCs

Blood-derived DCs are thus far the best studied human DC populations that are defined as HLA-DR$^+$Lin$^-$ cells. Human circulating DCs are divided into conventional CD11c$^+$CD123$^-$ and plasmacytoid CD11c$^-$CD123$^+$BDCA-2$^+$ populations (146-148). Within the cDC population at least four phenotypically distinct subsets have been described based on the expression of CD1c, BDCA3, CD34 and CD16 molecules (149, 150). CD16$^+$ cDCs display the strongest pro-inflammatory profile in response to TLR ligation, while CD1c$^+$ cells seem to be mainly involved in chemotaxis (151).

In addition to the activation of NK cells (152) and induction of anti-viral T cells responses, human pDCs have been reported to trigger the differentiation of T*reg* cells (153-155). Once again, human pDCs show flexibility in the capacity to elicit Th1 and Th2 response that to a large extent depends on the maturation signal (156-158).

The thymus contains three subset of DCs including CD123$^+$CD45RA$^+$ plasmacytoid cells and CD11c$^+$CD14$^-$ and CD11c$^+$CD14$^+$ conventional DCs. A low expression of costimulatory molecules and spontaneous production of IL-10 point to the possible role of thymic DCs in negative selection (159). In contrast, mature thymic CD11c$^+$ DCs mediate the positive selection of T*reg* cells (160).

Similar to mouse, LCs, DDCs and pDCs are found in human skin. The DDCs can be identified by the expression of DC-SIGN (128).

Origin

The ontogeny of individual DC subsets is not well defined. Existing experimental data indicate the remarkable developmental plasticity of DCs. Both common myeloid progenitors (CMPs) and common lymphoid progenitors (CLPs) have been shown to be capable of producing all splenic and thymic subsets of DCs in mice as well as human cDC and pDC (161-163). It was later reported that the potential to form DCs was restricted to Flt3$^+$ populations of CMPs and CLPs (164, 165). An additional subset of CX3CR1$^+$CD117$^+$ CMPs was found to generate spleen-resident cDCs but not pDC in mice (166). Taken together, these studies indicate that the subset commitment occurs

downstream of the CMP or CLP and that CX3CR1^{+}CD117^{+} cells might represent the branching point for pDCs.

The immediate precursors of DCs have been identified in bone marrow, spleen, thymus and skin. The CD11c^{+}B220^{+} cells in the mice bone marrow could give rise to both cDCs (CD8^{+} and CD8^{-}) and pDCs, while CD11c^{+}B220^{-} precursors generated only cDCs (167).

When transferred to irradiated or non-irradiated mice, spleen-derived CD11c^{int}CD 45RA^{lo}CD43^{int}SIRPα^{int}CD4^{-}CD8^{-} cells differentiated into all subtypes of splenic cDC (168, 169). Furthermore, these splenic precursor cells displayed differential expression levels of CD24 with CD24^{high} cells committed to the CD8^{+} DC subset and CD24^{low} cells committed to the CD8^{-} subset (169). The development of CD8^{+} cDCs was observed when the thymus of the irradiated recipient mice was reconstituted with thymic early T cell precursor cells (CD4^{low}CD117^{+}CD44^{+}CD25^{−})(170). The pool of proliferating LC precursors exists in the mouse epidermis (171). However, under inflammatory conditions, Gr-1^{high} blood-circulating inflammatory monocytes have been shown to migrate to the skin and differentiate into Langerhans cells (172). Similarly, human CCR2^{+}CD14^{high} monocytes could generate Langerhans cells under certain *in vitro* conditions (173)(174). The origin of pDC is even less clear and pDC precursors have yet to be identified.

DCs and cancer immunotherapy

Cancer cells accumulate a vast number of genetic and epigenetic changes that result in the expression of neoantigens or self-antigens at abnormal levels. These so called tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) could potentially target cancer cells for the elimination by the immune system. However, tumors evade the immune system through the selective growth of immune-resistant tumor cell variants and/or by active suppression of anti-tumor immune responses. Thus, immunotherapeutic strategies that promote recognition of weekly immunogenic tumor cells and that inhibit tumor-associated immune tolerance are considered as a promising alternative cancer treatment modality. The advantage of using immunotherapy for the treatment of cancer lies in its capacity to specifically recognize tumor cells and to prevent cancer recurrence by initiating long-term immunologic memory.

Given the central role of DCs in initiating and controlling immunity, DC-based vaccines has been used extensively for the treatment of experimental tumors and in clinical trials (175). DCs represent a rare cell population making it difficult to isolate large numbers of cells required for vaccinations. Because of that and to control the conditions of antigen loading and maturation, *ex vivo*-generated DCs are commonly used

in immunotherapeutic trials (176). Mouse and rat DCs are grown from bone marrow progenitors in the presence of GM-CSF with or without IL-4 and Flt3 ligand (Flt3-L) (177-180). Human DCs are frequently produced from blood monocytes in the medium supplemented with of granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and IL-4 (176). DCs generated from CD34^{+} haematopoietic progenitor cells or peripheral blood DCs have also been used as vaccines (181, 182).

Numerous protocols for the loading of DCs with TAA have been developed. The use of antigenic peptides is restricted by several limitations such as the need of antigen identification and HLA typing and the narrow repertoire of tumor-specific T cells. As an alternative, DCs can be loaded with TAAs by incubation with tumor cell lysate, apoptotic and necrotic tumor cells or by fusion with live tumor cells (183-186). These cell-based approaches require large amounts of tumor tissue and are associated with the increased risk of autoimmunity. Another antigen loading method involves the transfection of DCs with tumor cell-derived RNA that results in the targeting of multiple tumor epitopes to the MHC class I pathway (187). Transfection of DCs with cDNA appears to be inefficient. Consequently, viral constructs have been used to provide defined antigens to be presented by DCs (188). There are several questions related to the antigen loading process that have to be carefully addressed including the dose of antigen, the efficiency and persistency of antigen expression and the timing of antigen loading in relation to the induction of maturation (189).

Various substances have been used for the DC maturation in animal studies. The advantage of using a combination of different maturation factors has recently been demonstrated (95, 190). Human DCs employed in clinical trials are often matured with a cytokine cocktail consisting of IL-1β, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, IL-6 and prostaglandin E (PGE$_2$) (176). However, other maturation protocols have been shown to induce superior DC activation in terms of IL-12 production and cytotoxic T cell responses (191). New maturation strategies have to be validated in clinical conditions, especially in the context of possible induction of T*reg* cells.

The route of administration is yet another critical parameter that might affect the nature of immune responses elicited by DC-based vaccines. For example, intradermal (i.d.) and intralymphatic (i.l.) DC immunizations give rise to Th1 responses, whereas intravenous (i.v.) injections generate non-polarized T-cell and antibody responses (192). Although subcutaneously (s.c.) administered DCs are routinely used in preclinical and clinical trial, the comparison between s.c. and other routes of DC administration has not been reported. Interestingly, animal studies indicate that the vaccination site determines the DC distribution in lymphoid tissues and expression of homing receptors on T cells (193-195).

In most clinical trials, the initial injection of DCs is followed by boosting vaccinations that are given one week, two weeks or one month apart. Nevertheless, the optimal timing and amount of the booster immunizations have to be established.

Multiple clinical trials utilizing DC-based vaccines have so far demonstrated a very low rate of objective clinical responses that are defined as at least 50% reduction in the sum of the products of the perpendicular diameters of all lesions without the 25% growth of any lesion or the appearance of new lesions (196). According to these criteria only a 8.9% response rate has collectively been observed in melanoma patients from different trials. Still, the response rate for DC-based vaccines was higher compared to other cancer vaccines (197). An equally limited efficacy of DC-based immunotherapy has been reported in brain (discussed later), breast and prostate cancers (196). A more recent phase III clinical trial in advanced melanoma failed to show any benefit of DC vaccine versus standard chemotherapy (198). Despite the absence of a significant clinical improvement, vaccine-induced immune responses that are usually measured as a number of antigen specific cytotoxic T cells in peripheral blood and their ability to produce IFN-γ, have been described in many patients. It is apparent that the generation of cytotoxic T cells is not predictive of the overall vaccine efficacy and that monitoring of anti-tumor immunity should incorporate other variables such as activation of helper T cells, migratory capacity of T cells, T cell infiltration and function at the tumor site.

Few studies have evaluated DC-based vaccines for the treatment of patients with malignant brain tumors. Tumor lysate (199-201), acid-eluted peptides from tumor cells (202, 203), tumor homogenate (204) and whole tumor cells (205) were used to load DCs with TTAs. DC vaccines were mostly injected i.d., in some cases s.c. (200, 202) or i.d. and intratumorally (i.t.) (199, 201). Mature DCs were used in two trials (201, 203). However, spontaneous maturation of DCs was observed as a result of antigen loading (203). Interestingly, Yamanaka *et al*. have reported longer survival in patients treated with mature DCs than in patients receiving immature DCs. Additionally, i.d. and i.t. administration of DCs has been found to improve survival compared to i.d. vaccination alone (201). Overall, DC-based vaccines were well tolerated without any evidence of autoimmunity. Vaccine-induced immune responses were detected in a fraction of patients, including systemic cytotoxicity and increased intratumoral infiltration of T cells (199, 200, 202, 203). Yet, clinical improvement was very limited. (206).

Notwithstanding the discouraging results of the clinical trials, DC vaccines are still considered as an attractive anti-cancer treatment strategy. It is generally believed that a better understanding of DC biology and an optimization of vaccination protocols are essential for the further improvements of DC-based therapy.

THE PRESENT STUDY

Aims
- To provide a detailed description of the N29 and N32 experimental brain tumor models and to investigate the similarities and discrepancies of these models to human malignant gliomas. To explore the possibility of improving the survival of animals with pre-established N29 brain tumors in response to peripheral vaccinations with irradiated interferon-γ-transduced tumor cells (Paper I)
- To study the importance of the immunization route for the efficacy of immunotherapy with interferon-γ transduced tumor cells in the rat N32 model of malignant brain tumors (Paper II)
- To examine the phenotype and functional properties of rat bone marrow-derived dendritic cells related to their possible application for the treatment of cancer (Paper III)
- To test if dendritic cells could amplify the anti-tumor responses induced by irradiated interferon-γ-transduced tumor cells in the rat N32 model of malignant brain tumors. To determine how antigen loading and maturation conditions could affect the efficacy of dendritic cell-based vaccine against intracerebral N32 tumors (Study IV)

General Discussion

The N29 and N32 experimental brain tumor models.

Several experimental mouse and rat models of brain tumors have been developed over the years (63). It would be impossible to generate data related to human disease with the help of these models unless we understand their limitations. The aim of the first article was, therefore, to evaluate how close the N29 and N32 models recapitulate human malignant brain tumors and, consequently, which aspects of brain tumor biology are relevant to study in these models. Additionally, we wanted to test if immunotherapy with IFN-γ-transduced tumor cells (IFN-γ-based immunotherapy) that has been shown to improve survival of rats bearing intracerebral N32 tumors (207), is equally effective against the N29 tumors.

Histopathological examination is routinely performed for the diagnosis of brain tumors in humans. This allows for the determination of tumor type as well as grade of the tumor. Using the same technique we found that on the morphological level the

N29 tumors resembled grade IV astrocytoma or GBM, the most common and the most malignant primary brain tumor, whereas the N32 tumors were similar to grade III anaplastic astrocytoma. The classification and grading of the N32 tumor were established based on the presence of nuclear atypia and mitotic figures. The N29 tumors additionally exhibited necrosis and diffuse infiltration into the normal brain tissue.

Similar to the N32 model, regression of the N29 intracerebral tumors occurred in response to peripheral immunizations with IFN-γ-transduced tumor cells (N29-IFN-γ). Interestingly, the treatment was more effective in the N29 model. In addition, we demonstrated that N29 tumors were lethal in all animals and that immunizations with wild type N29 cells did not inhibit the progression of intracerebral tumors. These results suggest that N29 tumors are weakly immunogenic.

To further characterize the N29 and N32 models, intracerebral tumors and respective tumor cell lines were stained against GFAP and EGFR. GFAP is a marker of normal and neoplastic astrocytes that is frequently expressed in astrocytic brain tumors. It is important to mention, however, that increased malignancy is often associated with the dedifferentiation of tumor cells resulting in GFAP loss (208). For example, the most anaplastic regions of GBM, which is a very heterogeneous tumor, consist of GFAP-negative cells. EGFR is dysregulated in approximately 40% of primary GBM (209). We observed a weak GFAP staining in wild type N29 (N32-wt) and N32 (N32-wt) tumor lines that became undetectable in intracerebral tumors. At the same time, tumor cell lines and intracerebral tumors were negative for EGFR. These results are not very surprising if we take into account the long term *in vitro* culture of the N29 and N32 cells. It is also possible that both cell lines were originally derived from undifferentiated EGFR⁻ tumor cells.

In humans, progressive tumors, including malignant brain astrocytomas, produce immunosuppressive factors, such as TGF-β and nitric oxide (NO), to evade elimination by the immune system. Likewise, TGF-β and NO were detected in culture supernatant from wild type and IFN-γ-transduced (N29-IFN-γ and N32-IFN-γ) rat glioma cells lines. Importantly, the secretion of these molecules by N32-IFN-γ and N32-IFN-γ cells could have negative impact on the outcome of IFN-γ-based immunotherapy. It would be interesting to determine if inhibition of TGF-β and NO in IFN-γ-transduced tumor cells at the immunization site could further improve the survival of animals with implanted intracerebral tumors.

Another mechanism that allows tumor cells to evade the immune system is the inhibition of antigen presenting machinery that in some human cancers involves the abnormal expression of MHC class I molecules (210). The base-line levels of MHC class

I in the healthy CNS unlike other tissues are very low or undetectable. Nevertheless, MHC class I immunoreactivity has been detected in human glioma samples (211). Similarly, N29-wt and N32-wt cells expressed MHC class I. As expected, N29-wt and N32-wt cells were negative for MHC class II, B7.1 and B7.2 molecules. Transduction with IFN-γ upregulated MHC class I and II in both N29 and N32 cell lines but had no effect on the B7 expression.

In the course of the IFN-γ-based immunotherapy in order to avoid tumor formation at the immunization site, animals were vaccinated with irradiated N29-IFN-γ or N32-IFN-y cells. We found that irradiated N29-IFN-γ but not N32-IFN-γ cells expressed B7.1. Thus coexpression of B7.1 and MHC molecules could provide a strong immunostimulatory signal that in turn might be responsible for more effective anti-tumor immune responses in the N29 model compared to the N32 model.

Radiation induced apoptosis was detected in wild type and IFN-γ-transduced cell lines albeit with varying kinetics. In contrast, human glioma cell lines frequently show deficiencies in the apoptotic cascade (212). Although apoptosis is generally considered as a non-inflammatory form of cell death, our findings, in agreement with data published by others, indicated that under certain condition apoptotic cells could be strongly immunogenic.

In summary, the N29 and N32 experimental rat brain tumors closely resemble human high-grade astrocytomas and are relevant models to study certain aspect of tumor biology and to test the efficacy of new therapeutic modalities.

Immunization route determines the efficacy of the N32-IFN-γ cell vaccine

Modified whole cell tumor vaccines have often been used in animal models of cancer. In most cases, modification involves addition of factors (adjuvants, cytokines) that enhance the immunogenicity of tumor cells. Modified tumor cells are usually administered s.c. or i.p. probably because these administration procedures are easy to perform and inflict less suffering in the animals. However, to our knowledge no studies have examined or at least reported the importance of the immunization site for the efficacy of whole cell-based vaccines. On the other hand, immune responses induced by the DC vaccines have been shown to be influenced by the administration route (192). In this study, we found better survival of tumor-bearing animals after s.c. compared to i.d. immunizations with IFN-γ-producing tumor cells.

To investigate the mechanisms behind the superior efficacy of the s.c. route, vaccine-elicited changes in the draining lymph nodes (LNs) were analysed. In tracing experiments,

we found s.c. injected black ink mostly in the popliteal LNs and i.d. injected ink in the inguinal LNs. Furthermore, s.c. and i.d. immunizations with N32-IFN-γ-producing cells caused preferential expansion of popliteal and inguinal LNs, respectively. However, we did not observe any significant qualitative or quantitative differences between popliteal and inguinal LN responses, assayed by either cytokine production or proliferation *in vitro*, that could explain the differential outcome of s.c. and i.d. immunization for the treatment of intracerebral N32 tumors. Additional studies examining different LN cell populations (including T*reg* cells) and their proliferation *in vivo* (using BrdU or Ki-67 staining) are warranted.

Two subpopulations of DCs including Langerhans cells and dermal dendritic cells are present in uninflamed skin (128). In contrast, the subcutaneous space contains few DCs and likely depends on infiltration from other tissues or blood. As a result, distinct DC populations could be involved in antigen uptake at s.c. and i.d. immunization sites causing the difference in the immune responses and ultimately in the survival rates. It is also possible that DCs from skin and subcutaneous space induce different patterns of tissue-homing receptors on activated T cells. Also, we cannot exclude that immune responses elicited by vaccination with IFN-γ-producing tumor cells are affected by differential kinetics of IFN-γ release at s.c. and i.d. immunization sites.

The elucidation of these mechanisms will help to clarify whether the results of our study are only relevant to IFN-γ-expressing tumor cells or could be applied to the whole tumor cell-based vaccines in general.

Rat bone marrow-derived dendritic cells

The notion of DC plasticity is exemplified by the ability of specific DC subsets to promote both immunity and tolerance depending on the nature of the maturation signal. This underscores the necessity to strictly control the functional properties of DCs before their application in preclinical or clinical studies. Rat DCs are not well characterized or to be more exact are far less characterized than mouse DCs, which is primarily due to very limited availability of transgenic rat technologies. Nevertheless, our aim was to develop a DC-based therapy in the rat model of brain tumors. The main problem with animal models of cancer is their strong immunogenicity. Consequently, therapies proven effective in these immunogenic models in most cases appear to have little or no benefit for human disease. The N29 and N32 rat models that have been established in our laboratory are only weakly immunogenic and thus suitable to study the efficacy of new therapeutic modalities. But before testing DC vaccines in these models, phenotype and functional properties of rat DCs were characterized.

DCs derived from rat bone marrow progenitors (BMDCs) expressed relatively low levels of OX-62 that is routinely used for the identification of rat DC subsets in lymphoid tissues (139). The number of OX-62 positive cells was lower compared to the values reported by others (178). The discrepancy could be explained by the blocking of Fc receptors in our experiments, which, as we observed, reduces the intensity of OX-62 staining. Rat BMDCs cells exhibited an immature phenotype based on the intermediate levels of MHC class II and low levels of CD80/CD86 expression. BMDCs matured with TLR4 ligand, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), upregulated expression of MHC class II, CD80 and CD86 molecules. Immature BMDCs efficiently internalized FITC-albumin, but their endocytic capacity was dramatically reduced upon maturation. Maturation-related downregulation of endocytosis in rat has not been reported before, although this phenomenon is well described in human and mouse (75).

The induction of effective anti-tumor immunity largely depends on the cell-mediated adaptive immune responses that are driven by Th1 cells. It is important, therefore, that DC-based vaccines trigger proliferation and Th1 differentiation of CD4$^+$ T cells. We found that mature BMDCs induced a strong proliferation of purified allogeneic CD4$^+$ T cells. However, T cells produced IFN-γ and IL-4 suggesting the induction of mixed Th1/Th2 responses. The possible explanation of theses data is that rat BMDCs comprise distinct subpopulations of DCs with varying propensities for T cell differentiation. As a result, LPS-matured BMDCs could initiate both Th1 and Th2 polarization of Th cells.

In addition, IL-10 was detected in the culture supernatants from T cells. IL-10 is an immunosuppressive cytokine known to be produced by Th1 cells to limit immune responses and avoid detrimental damage to the host. DCs can also induce IL-10-secreting T*reg* cells (213). In both cases IL-10 could have a negative impact on the anti-tumor immune responses. Nonetheless, the differentiation and/or expansion of T*reg* cells could be prevented by, for example, modification of DC maturation protocol or by depleting DC subpopulations responsible for the Treg induction.

In summary, rat BMDCs are highly endocytic and stimulate T cell proliferation, but induce mixed rather then polarized differentiation of CD4$^+$ T cells. Further studies are required in order to find the conditions of BMDC generation and maturation optimal for the initiation of anti-tumor immune responses.

Dendritic cell-based immunotherapy of N32 glioma

We have previously demonstrated prolonged survival of tumor-bearing rats vaccinated with IFN-γ-producing tumor cells. The aim of this study was to explore a possibility

of further improving the outcome of immunotherapy in the N32 rat brain tumor model by using a combination of IFN-γ-producing tumor cells and BMDCs. The N32 model was chosen because the efficacy of the IFN-γ-producing tumor cell vaccine was less pronounced here than in the N29 model.

In the first set of experiments, N32-IFN-γ cells were combined with immature BMDCs. Immature DCs co-injected with GM-CSF secreting tumor cells have been shown to generate robust anti-tumor immune responses in an ectopic 9L rat glioma model (214). In this setting, antigen loading and maturation of DCs are thought to occur *in vivo* driven by the the presence of dying tumor cells. Immunizations with immature BMDCs and N32-IFN-γ cells did not, however, augment the survival of animals bearing intracerebral N32 tumors compared to immunization with irradiated N32-IFN-γ cells alone. The inefficiency of immature DCs could have been caused by the failure of the *in vivo* antigen uptake or maturation processes. Therefore, in the next experiments, BMDCs were loaded with the lysate from tumor cells prior to vaccinations. To induce maturation of BMDCs, the TLR7/8 ligand imiquimod was applied to the immunization site. We did not observe any benefit of using a mixture of lysate-pulsed BMDCs, imiquimod and N32-IFN-γ cells compared to N32-IFN-γ cells alone.

Although apoptotic cell death is generally considered to be non-immunogenic, it seems that under certain conditions and in some tumor models DCs loaded with apoptotic bodies elicit strong anti-tumor immune responses. To test if the same applies to the N32 model, BMDCs were loaded with apoptotic N32-IFN-γ cells. We also optimized the maturation of BMDCs using different TLR ligands. The combination of Poly(I:C) and R837 was found to induce the strongest activation of BMDCs, charcterized by the highest levels of MHC class II and IL-12 and the lowest levels of IL-10. IL-12 plays a key role in the differentiation of Th1 cell (215), whereas IL-10 suppresses immune responses through, for example, the generation of T*reg* cells. It should be mentioned that, although statistically significant, the differences in MHC class II levels between BMDCs matured with different TLR ligands were relatively small. Interestingly, vaccination with apoptotic tumor cell-pulsed BMDCs, irradiated N32-IFN-γ cells, poly(I:C) and R837 significantly reduced the survival of tumor-bearing animals compared to immunization with irradiated N32-IFN-γ cells alone.

The viability of *ex vivo* matured rat BMDCs is very low. Therefore, animals were immunized with immature DCs and maturation factors were given in a vaccine instead. Most likely, maturation-coupled BMDC death occurs *in vivo* as well. However, under in *vivo* conditions it could lead to antigen transfer to endogenous DCs, a phenomenon that has been shown to play an important role in the amplification of DC-induced immune

responses (216). Nonetheless, the overall failure of immunotherapy with immature BMDCs raised the possibility that maturation of BMDCs in vivo is somehow hindered by, for example, tumor-derived immunosuppressive factors. To avoid the negative impact of tumor cells, BMDC were pulsed with tumor lysate and matured *ex vivo*. We found that immunizations with matured BMDCs alone were less effective compared to immunizations with N32-IFN-γ cells. However, co-administration of mature BMDCs and irradiated N32-IFN-γ cells synergistically improved the survival of animals bearing intracerebral N32 tumors. Elucidation of the mechanisms underlying this synergy could provide valuable information for the future development of DC-based therapies.

In conclusion, BMDC-based vaccines fail to elicit protective anti-tumor immunity, but do amplify the efficacy of the IFN-γ-producing tumor cells in weakly immunogenic brain tumor model.

Concluding remarks

Despite promising preclinical data, numerous clinical trials have shown very little benefit of using DC vaccines for the treatment of cancer. Based on our present understanding of the functional properties of DCs, the concept of DC-based anti-cancer immunotherapy seems to be valid. It is more likely that the protocols for DC generation, activation and vaccination are flawed. These protocols involve complex multi-step procedures that need to be optimized. The results of our studies indicate that the conditions of antigen loading and DC maturation, as probably many other steps of DC vaccine preparation, could have a critical impact on the efficacy of DC-based immunotherapy of cancer.

The failure of clinical trials can also result from the inability of DC vaccine-induced immune responses to overcome tumor-mediated immune suppression. We must realize that DCs alone might never be sufficient to cure cancer but should rather be combined with other treatments such as, for example, strategies inhibiting tumor-associated immune tolerance.

POPULÄRVETENSKAPLIG SAMMANFATTNING

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) är en av de mest aggressiva cancerformerna hos människa och det finns i dagsläget inte någon bot. Dessa tumörer växer på ett diffust och infiltrativt sätt, vilket gör att fullständig kirurgisk avlägsning av tumörmassan är omöjlig. Som ett resultat av detta växer tumören tillbaks hos alla patienter. Patienter som har diagnostiserats med GBM har en väldigt dålig prognos: mindre än 2% överlever 5 år efter diagnos. Därför föreligger det ett stort behov av nya och mer effektiva behandlingsformer.

GBM består, precis som så många andra cancerformer, av en snabbt växande massa bestående av onormala celler. Dessa onormala celler uttrycker molekyler på sin yta, som inte finns hos normala celler. Den huvudsakliga funktionen hos immunsystemet är att eliminera främmande organismer och substanser genom induktion av ett immunsvar. Immunsystemet har förmåga att skilja mellan det som är främmande eller annorlunda från organismens normala tillstånd och kan därför känna igen och avstöta tumörceller. Tumörceller kan dock dämpa ned immunförsvaret genom flertalet mekanismer. Cancer-immunoterapi är ett samlingsnamn för olika behandlingsmetoder som för tillfället är under utveckling. Det slutgiltiga målet med cancer-immunoterapi är att stimulera immunförsvaret till den grad att det blir kapabelt att övervinna tumörframkallad dämpande av immunförsvaret och eliminera tumörceller.

En av de immunterapeutiska metoderna involverar immunisering med så kallade "helcells-baserade-vaccin". I detta fall är tumörceller utvunna från patienters kirurgiskt borttagna tumör(er), modifierade med en adjuvant. Adjuvanter är inom immunologin en substans som förstärker förmågan hos andra substanser att inducera en immunrespons. De adjuvantmodifierade tumörcellerna bestrålas och injiceras sedan tillbaka in i patienten. Bestrålningen är nödvändig för att förhindra tumörcellernas delning och därmed bildandet av ny tumörtillväxt vid injektionsstället. På grund av närvaron av ett adjuvant är immunsvaret, frambringat av adjuvantmodifierade tumörceller, starkt nog att döda tumörceller. Detta gäller inte bara tumörceller vid injektionsstället men även tumörceller som inte kunde tas bort genom kirurgi. Till följd av detta är tumöråterväxt förhindrad. Vår hypotes var att "helcells-baserade vaccin" kunde vara användbara vid behandling av GBM. Som adjuvant ville vi använda interferon (IFN)-γ på grund av dess väl kända immunostimulerande effekter. Nya anti-cancer behandlingsformer är vanligtvis testade på försöksdjur. Eftersom cancer är väldigt ovanligt bland försöksdjur måste det framkallas. Djur med sjukdomar som liknar människors hälsotillstånd kallas djurmodeller. Innan man kan testa effektiviteten hos en ny behandlingform på en djurmodell är det viktigt att man

förvissar sig om att den givna modellen är snarlik sjukdomen hos människa. Därför, i första delen av denna avhandling karaktäriserar vi två råttmodeller av malignt gliom. Vi visar att dessa modeller efterliknar GBM hos människa i många aspekter inom tumörbiologin. Vi upptäckte också att en fraktion av djur med gliom botades efter immunisering med IFN-γ-modifierade tumörceller. För att vidare förbättra resultatet av behandlingen ville vi hitta en behandling som är mer effektiv än IFN-γ-modifierade tumörceller.

Dendritiska celler är specialiserade immunceller som initierar och reglerar immunsvaret. För det första, för att en induktion av ett effektivt immunsvar ska ske, så måste dendritiska celler först ta upp tumörcellsfragment, klyva dem till små peptider och uttrycka dessa peptider på sin yta. Denna process kallas antigenpresentation. För det andra måste en ordentlig utmognad av de dendritiska cellerna ske, eftersom omogna dendritiska celler har en immunhämmande funktion. Experimentella data indikerar att en av mekanismerna som tumörceller har utvecklat för att fly undan immunsvaret, är hämning av den antigenpresenterande funktionen hos de dendritiska cellerna. Genom att låta de dendritiska cellerna ta upp tumörcellsmaterial och utmogna utanför patientens kropp, så tror man att den negativa influensen som tumören utövar på de dendritiska cellerna kan undvikas. Vaccinering av cancerpatienter med sådana dendritiska celler representerar en annan slags dendritcells-baserad immunoterapi strategi. Det är också viktigt att nämna att effektiviteten hos dendritcells-baserade vacciner påverkas av valet av presentations- och utmognadsmetod. I den andra delen av avhandlingen använde vi dendritcells-baserat vaccin för att behandla malignt gliom hos råtta. Vi testade olika antigenpresentations- och utmognadsmetoder. Alla undersökta parametrar visade dock att IFN-γ-modifierade tumörceller genererade ett bättre skydd mot gliom jämfört med dendritcells-baserade vaccinationer. Däremot så gav en kombination av IFN-γ-modifierade tumörceller och dendritceller en förbättrad immunterapi.

Sammanfattningsvis så har vi visat att immunterapi där man kombinerar IFN-γ modifierade tumörceller med dendritiska celler är mer fördelaktigt i behandling av råttor med experimentella hjärntumörer, än med enbart IFN-γ-modiferade tumörceller eller med enbart dendritiska celler. Resultaten i den här avhandlingen kan hjälpa till med att ytterligare utveckla anti-cancer vaccin.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to start by thanking my supervisor Peter Siesjö for giving me an opportunity to work in this sometimes frustrating but always interesting field of brain tumor immunology; for being so calm and tranquil even in the face of "horrible" experimental failures and approaching grant application deadlines; for never loosing the true priorities in this business-like modern science; and finally for granting us all the freedom without which our scientific development would have been impossible.

I would like to thank Leif G. Salford for letting me to become a member of the Department of Neurosurgery; for endless enthusiasm and high spirits that are so contagious; for all the help with the personal issues not related to scientific work.

I would like to thank Bo Seisjö, my first supervisor, for allowing me to start working in his lab despite my complete ignorance of experimental techniques and very limited theoretical knowledge of brain ischemia; for giving me time and opportunity to acquire some scientific skills; for all the wonderful dinners that You have arranged for us so many times.

I would like to thank the members of GIT group. Edward, for answering my never-ending questions without ever being annoyed; for correcting all my grammar errors and for interesting "healing" discussions. Anna, for the help with experiments and manuscripts; for taking care of all the practical issues in the lab; and for understanding my urge to talk about our children all the time; Karin, for sharing disappointments, uncertainties and achievements related to our projects; for providing me with interesting books and for being such a good company during our trips to Barcelona, Stockholm and Heidelberg. Sofia, for doing all my experiments lately; for helping me with the references; and for such a nice personality. Sara for translating the "Populärvetenskaplig Sammanfattning". I want also to thank Susanne and Catarina for the help with animals and all the other people for creating an atmosphere in the lab that made my time here so enjoyable.

I am grateful to all my Georgian relatives and friends in Lund who became my second family. Tamuna and Zaza, for arranging my trip to Sweden; for making my first years here so much easier and for all the help and support with everyday life problems. Tamuna and Merab for the hospitality and for all the fun parties. Ia, Tea, Irakli for being such a good friends.

I want to thank my friends in Georgia, Eka, Tamara and Xatuna, for all the good memories of our student life; for the feeling of immense closeness despite so many years and so many miles apart.

Finally, I would like to thank my family. My parents and my sister, for being the most wonderful people in the word. I wish I could express my affection and gratitude to you. Avto's family for taking such a good care of us; for the kindness and sense of humor that I hope our son will inherit. Avto, for all the love and support; for making every day so much more interesting and exiting. And my son, Saba, for bringing so much joy, happiness and sense to my life.

REFERENCES

1. Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, Cavenee WK. WHO Classification of Tumours of the Central Nervous System. 4th ed. Lyon: IARC; 2007.

2. Ohgaki H, Kleihues P. Population-based studies on incidence, survival rates, and genetic alterations in astrocytic and oligodendroglial gliomas. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology 2005;64(6):479-89.

3. Watanabe K, Sato K, Biernat W, *et al.* Incidence and timing of p53 mutations during astrocytoma progression in patients with multiple biopsies. Clin Cancer Res 1997;3(4):523-30.

4. Backlund LM, Nilsson BR, Liu L, Ichimura K, Collins VP. Mutations in Rb1 pathway-related genes are associated with poor prognosis in anaplastic astrocytomas. British journal of cancer 2005;93(1):124-30.

5. Ohgaki H, Dessen P, Jourde B, *et al.* Genetic pathways to glioblastoma: a population-based study. Cancer research 2004;64(19):6892-9.

6. Maher EA, Furnari FB, Bachoo RM, *et al.* Malignant glioma: genetics and biology of a grave matter. Genes & development 2001;15(11):1311-33.

7. Reifenberger G, Collins VP. Pathology and molecular genetics of astrocytic gliomas. Journal of molecular medicine (Berlin, Germany) 2004;82(10):656-70.

8. Furnari FB, Fenton T, Bachoo RM, *et al.* Malignant astrocytic glioma: genetics, biology, and paths to treatment. Genes & development 2007;21(21):2683-710.

9. Watanabe K, Tachibana O, Sata K, Yonekawa Y, Kleihues P, Ohgaki H. Overexpression of the EGF receptor and p53 mutations are mutually exclusive in the evolution of primary and secondary glioblastomas. Brain pathology (Zurich, Switzerland) 1996;6(3):217-23; discussion 23-4.

10. Stander M, Peraud A, Leroch B, Kreth FW. Prognostic impact of TP53 mutation status for adult patients with supratentorial World Health Organization Grade II astrocytoma or oligoastrocytoma: a long-term analysis. Cancer 2004;101(5):1028-35.

11. Smith JS, Tachibana I, Passe SM, *et al.* PTEN mutation, EGFR amplification, and outcome in patients with anaplastic astrocytoma and glioblastoma multiforme. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2001;93(16):1246-56.

12. Momand J, Wu HH, Dasgupta G. MDM2--master regulator of the p53 tumor suppressor protein. Gene 2000;242(1-2):15-29.

13. Kamijo T, Weber JD, Zambetti G, Zindy F, Roussel MF, Sherr CJ. Functional and physical interactions of the ARF tumor suppressor with p53 and Mdm2. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1998;95(14):8292-7.

14. Stott FJ, Bates S, James MC, *et al.* The alternative product from the human CDKN2A locus, p14(ARF), participates in a regulatory feedback loop with p53 and MDM2. The EMBO journal 1998;17(17):5001-14.

15. Biernat W, Kleihues P, Yonekawa Y, Ohgaki H. Amplification and overexpression of MDM2 in primary (de novo) glioblastomas. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology 1997;56(2):180-5.

16. Nakamura M, Watanabe T, Klangby U*, et al.* p14ARF deletion and methylation in genetic pathways to glioblastomas. Brain pathology (Zurich, Switzerland) 2001;11(2):159-68.

17. Rainov NG, Dobberstein KU, Bahn H*, et al.* Prognostic factors in malignant glioma: influence of the overexpression of oncogene and tumor-suppressor gene products on survival. Journal of neuro-oncology 1997;35(1):13-28.

18. Galanis E, Buckner J, Kimmel D*, et al.* Gene amplification as a prognostic factor in primary and secondary high-grade malignant gliomas. International journal of oncology 1998;13(4):717-24.

19. Olson JJ, Barnett D, Yang J, Assietti R, Cotsonis G, James CD. Gene amplification as a prognostic factor in primary brain tumors. Clin Cancer Res 1998;4(1):215-22.

20. Schiebe M, Ohneseit P, Hoffmann W, Meyermann R, Rodemann HP, Bamberg M. Analysis of mdm2 and p53 gene alterations in glioblastomas and its correlation with clinical factors. Journal of neuro-oncology 2000;49(3):197-203.

21. Houillier C, Lejeune J, Benouaich-Amiel A*, et al.* Prognostic impact of molecular markers in a series of 220 primary glioblastomas. Cancer 2006;106(10):2218-23.

22. Watanabe T, Katayama Y, Yoshino A, Komine C, Yokoyama T. Deregulation of the TP53/p14ARF tumor suppressor pathway in low-grade diffuse astrocytomas and its influence on clinical course. Clin Cancer Res 2003;9(13):4884-90.

23. Sherr CJ, Roberts JM. CDK inhibitors: positive and negative regulators of G1-phase progression. Genes & development 1999;13(12):1501-12.

24. Henson JW, Schnitker BL, Correa KM*, et al.* The retinoblastoma gene is involved in malignant progression of astrocytomas. Annals of neurology 1994;36(5):714-21.

25. He J, Olson JJ, James CD. Lack of p16INK4 or retinoblastoma protein (pRb), or amplification-associated overexpression of cdk4 is observed in distinct subsets of malignant glial tumors and cell lines. Cancer research 1995;55(21):4833-6.

26. Reifenberger G, Reifenberger J, Ichimura K, Meltzer PS, Collins VP. Amplification of multiple genes from chromosomal region 12q13-14 in human malignant gliomas: preliminary mapping of the amplicons shows preferential involvement of CDK4, SAS, and MDM2. Cancer research 1994;54(16):4299-303.

27. Miettinen H, Kononen J, Sallinen P*, et al.* CDKN2/p16 predicts survival in oligodendrogliomas: comparison with astrocytomas. Journal of neuro-oncology 1999;41(3):205-11.

28. Nicholas MK, Lukas RV, Jafri NF, Faoro L, Salgia R. Epidermal growth factor receptor - mediated signal transduction in the development and therapy of gliomas. Clin Cancer Res 2006;12(24):7261-70.

29. Libermann TA, Nusbaum HR, Razon N*, et al.* Amplification, enhanced expression and possible rearrangement of EGF receptor gene in primary human brain tumours of glial origin. Nature 1985;313(5998):144-7.

30. Wong AJ, Bigner SH, Bigner DD, Kinzler KW, Hamilton SR, Vogelstein B. Increased expression of the epidermal growth factor receptor gene in malignant gliomas is invariably associated with gene amplification. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1987;84(19):6899-903.

31. Reifenberger J, Ring GU, Gies U*, et al.* Analysis of p53 mutation and epidermal growth factor receptor amplification in recurrent gliomas with malignant progression. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology 1996;55(7):822-31.

32. Sugawa N, Ekstrand AJ, James CD, Collins VP. Identical splicing of aberrant epidermal growth factor receptor transcripts from amplified rearranged genes in human glioblastomas. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1990;87(21):8602-6.

33. Frederick L, Wang XY, Eley G, James CD. Diversity and frequency of epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in human glioblastomas. Cancer research 2000;60(5):1383-7.

34. Simmons ML, Lamborn KR, Takahashi M, *et al.* Analysis of complex relationships between age, p53, epidermal growth factor receptor, and survival in glioblastoma patients. Cancer research 2001;61(3):1122-8.

35. Shinojima N, Tada K, Shiraishi S, *et al.* Prognostic value of epidermal growth factor receptor in patients with glioblastoma multiforme. Cancer research 2003;63(20):6962-70.

36. Vanhaesebroeck B, Leevers SJ, Ahmadi K, *et al.* Synthesis and function of 3-phosphorylated inositol lipids. Annual review of biochemistry 2001;70:535-602.

37. Bellacosa A, Kumar CC, Di Cristofano A, Testa JR. Activation of AKT kinases in cancer: implications for therapeutic targeting. Advances in cancer research 2005;94:29-86.

38. Hui AB, Lo KW, Yin XL, Poon WS, Ng HK. Detection of multiple gene amplifications in glioblastoma multiforme using array-based comparative genomic hybridization. Laboratory investigation; a journal of technical methods and pathology 2001;81(5):717-23.

39. Samuels Y, Wang Z, Bardelli A, *et al.* High frequency of mutations of the PIK3CA gene in human cancers. Science (New York, NY 2004;304(5670):554.

40. Hartmann C, Bartels G, Gehlhaar C, Holtkamp N, von Deimling A. PIK3CA mutations in glioblastoma multiforme. Acta neuropathologica 2005;109(6):639-42.

41. Knobbe CB, Trampe-Kieslich A, Reifenberger G. Genetic alteration and expression of the phosphoinositol-3-kinase/Akt pathway genes PIK3CA and PIKE in human glioblastomas. Neuropathology and applied neurobiology 2005;31(5):486-90.

42. Gallia GL, Rand V, Siu IM, *et al.* PIK3CA gene mutations in pediatric and adult glioblastoma multiforme. Mol Cancer Res 2006;4(10):709-14.

43. Wang H, Wang H, Zhang W, Huang HJ, Liao WS, Fuller GN. Analysis of the activation status of Akt, NFkappaB, and Stat3 in human diffuse gliomas. Laboratory investigation; a journal of technical methods and pathology 2004;84(8):941-51.

44. Schmidt EE, Ichimura K, Goike HM, Moshref A, Liu L, Collins VP. Mutational profile of the PTEN gene in primary human astrocytic tumors and cultivated xenografts. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology 1999;58(11):1170-83.

45. Tohma Y, Gratas C, Biernat W, *et al.* PTEN (MMAC1) mutations are frequent in primary glioblastomas (de novo) but not in secondary glioblastomas. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology 1998;57(7):684-9.

46. Lin H, Bondy ML, Langford LA, *et al.* Allelic deletion analyses of MMAC/PTEN and DMBT1 loci in gliomas: relationship to prognostic significance. Clin Cancer Res 1998;4(10):2447-54.

47. Sano T, Lin H, Chen X, *et al.* Differential expression of MMAC/PTEN in glioblastoma multiforme: relationship to localization and prognosis. Cancer research 1999;59(8):1820-4.

48. Tada K, Shiraishi S, Kamiryo T, *et al.* Analysis of loss of heterozygosity on chromosome 10 in patients with malignant astrocytic tumors: correlation with patient age and survival. Journal of neurosurgery 2001;95(4):651-9.

49. Walker MD, Alexander E, Jr., Hunt WE, *et al.* Evaluation of BCNU and/or radiotherapy in the treatment of anaplastic gliomas. A cooperative clinical trial. Journal of neurosurgery 1978;49(3):333-43.

50. Walker MD, Green SB, Byar DP, *et al.* Randomized comparisons of radiotherapy and nitrosoureas for the treatment of malignant glioma after surgery. The New England journal of medicine 1980;303(23):1323-9.

51. Siker ML, Chakravarti A, Mehta MP. Should concomitant and adjuvant treatment with temozolomide be used as standard therapy in patients with anaplastic glioma? Critical reviews in oncology/ hematology 2006;60(2):99-111.

52. Athanassiou H, Synodinou M, Maragoudakis E, *et al.* Randomized phase II study of temozolomide and radiotherapy compared with radiotherapy alone in newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme. J Clin Oncol 2005;23(10):2372-7.

53. Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, *et al.* Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. The New England journal of medicine 2005;352(10):987-96.

54. Selznick LA, Shamji MF, Fecci P, Gromeier M, Friedman AH, Sampson J. Molecular strategies for the treatment of malignant glioma-genes, viruses, and vaccines. Neurosurgical review 2008.

55. Rich JN, Bigner DD. Development of novel targeted therapies in the treatment of malignant glioma. Nature reviews 2004;3(5):430-46.

56. King GD, Curtin JF, Candolfi M, Kroeger K, Lowenstein PR, Castro MG. Gene therapy and targeted toxins for glioma. Current gene therapy 2005;5(6):535-57.

57. Jain RK, di Tomaso E, Duda DG, Loeffler JS, Sorensen AG, Batchelor TT. Angiogenesis in brain tumours. Nat Rev Neurosci 2007;8(8):610-22.

58. Szatmari T, Lumniczky K, Desaknai S, *et al.* Detailed characterization of the mouse glioma 261 tumor model for experimental glioblastoma therapy. Cancer science 2006;97(6):546-53.

59. Serano RD, Pegram CN, Bigner DD. Tumorigenic cell culture lines from a spontaneous VM/Dk murine astrocytoma (SMA). Acta neuropathologica 1980;51(1):53-64.

60. Tzeng JJ, Barth RF, Orosz CG, James SM. Phenotype and functional activity of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes isolated from immunogenic and nonimmunogenic rat brain tumors. Cancer research 1991;51(9):2373-8.

61. Kruse CA, Molleston MC, Parks EP, Schiltz PM, Kleinschmidt-DeMasters BK, Hickey WF. A rat glioma model, CNS-1, with invasive characteristics similar to those of human gliomas: a comparison to 9L gliosarcoma. Journal of neuro-oncology 1994;22(3):191-200.

62. Mathieu D, Lecomte R, Tsanaclis AM, Larouche A, Fortin D. Standardization and detailed characterization of the syngeneic Fischer/F98 glioma model. The Canadian journal of neurological sciences 2007;34(3):296-306.

63. Barth RF. Rat brain tumor models in experimental neuro-oncology: the 9L, C6, T9, F98, RG2 (D74), RT-2 and CNS-1 gliomas. Journal of neuro-oncology 1998;36(1):91-102.

64. Weissenberger J, Steinbach JP, Malin G, Spada S, Rulicke T, Aguzzi A. Development and malignant progression of astrocytomas in GFAP-v-src transgenic mice. Oncogene 1997;14(17):2005-13.

65. Ding H, Roncari L, Shannon P, *et al.* Astrocyte-specific expression of activated p21-ras results in malignant astrocytoma formation in a transgenic mouse model of human gliomas. Cancer research 2001;61(9):3826-36.

44

66. Holland EC, Hively WP, DePinho RA, Varmus HE. A constitutively active epidermal growth factor receptor cooperates with disruption of G1 cell-cycle arrest pathways to induce glioma-like lesions in mice. Genes & development 1998;12(23):3675-85.

67. Holland EC, Celestino J, Dai C, Schaefer L, Sawaya RE, Fuller GN. Combined activation of Ras and Akt in neural progenitors induces glioblastoma formation in mice. Nature genetics 2000;25(1):55-7.

68. Uhrbom L, Dai C, Celestino JC, Rosenblum MK, Fuller GN, Holland EC. Ink4a-Arf loss cooperates with KRas activation in astrocytes and neural progenitors to generate glioblastomas of various morphologies depending on activated Akt. Cancer research 2002;62(19):5551-8.

69. Reilly KM, Loisel DA, Bronson RT, McLaughlin ME, Jacks T. Nf1;Trp53 mutant mice develop glioblastoma with evidence of strain-specific effects. Nature genetics 2000;26(1):109-13.

70. Steinman RM, Hawiger D, Nussenzweig MC. Tolerogenic dendritic cells. Annual review of immunology 2003;21:685-711.

71. Villadangos JA, Heath WR. Life cycle, migration and antigen presenting functions of spleen and lymph node dendritic cells: limitations of the Langerhans cells paradigm. Seminars in immunology 2005;17(4):262-72.

72. Carbone FR, Belz GT, Heath WR. Transfer of antigen between migrating and lymph node-resident DCs in peripheral T-cell tolerance and immunity. Trends in immunology 2004;25(12):655-8.

73. Albert ML, Jegathesan M, Darnell RB. Dendritic cell maturation is required for the cross-tolerization of CD8+ T cells. Nature immunology 2001;2(11):1010-7.

74. Sporri R, Reis e Sousa C. Inflammatory mediators are insufficient for full dendritic cell activation and promote expansion of CD4+ T cell populations lacking helper function. Nature immunology 2005;6(2):163-70.

75. Sallusto F, Cella M, Danieli C, Lanzavecchia A. Dendritic cells use macropinocytosis and the mannose receptor to concentrate macromolecules in the major histocompatibility complex class II compartment: downregulation by cytokines and bacterial products. The Journal of experimental medicine 1995;182(2):389-400.

76. Reis e Sousa C, Stahl PD, Austyn JM. Phagocytosis of antigens by Langerhans cells in vitro. The Journal of experimental medicine 1993;178(2):509-19.

77. Albert ML, Sauter B, Bhardwaj N. Dendritic cells acquire antigen from apoptotic cells and induce class I-restricted CTLs. Nature 1998;392(6671):86-9.

78. Harshyne LA, Watkins SC, Gambotto A, Barratt-Boyes SM. Dendritic cells acquire antigens from live cells for cross-presentation to CTL. J Immunol 2001;166(6):3717-23.

79. Villadangos JA, Schnorrer P. Intrinsic and cooperative antigen-presenting functions of dendritic-cell subsets in vivo. Nat Rev Immunol 2007;7(7):543-55.

80. Watts C. Antigen processing in the endocytic compartment. Current opinion in immunology 2001;13(1):26-31.

81. Wilson NS, Villadangos JA. Regulation of antigen presentation and cross-presentation in the dendritic cell network: facts, hypothesis, and immunological implications. Advances in immunology 2005;86:241-305.

82. Rock KL, Shen L. Cross-presentation: underlying mechanisms and role in immune surveillance. Immunological reviews 2005;207:166-83.

83. Steinman RM, Swanson J. The endocytic activity of dendritic cells. The Journal of experimental medicine 1995;182(2):283-8.

84. Granucci F, Ferrero E, Foti M, Aggujaro D, Vettoretto K, Ricciardi-Castagnoli P. Early events in dendritic cell maturation induced by LPS. Microbes and infection / Institut Pasteur 1999;1(13):1079-84.

85. West MA, Wallin RP, Matthews SP, *et al.* Enhanced dendritic cell antigen capture via toll-like receptor-induced actin remodeling. Science (New York, NY 2004;305(5687):1153-7.

86. Sallusto F, Lanzavecchia A. Efficient presentation of soluble antigen by cultured human dendritic cells is maintained by granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor plus interleukin 4 and downregulated by tumor necrosis factor alpha. The Journal of experimental medicine 1994;179(4):1109-18.

87. Iwasaki A, Medzhitov R. Toll-like receptor control of the adaptive immune responses. Nature immunology 2004;5(10):987-95.

88. van Vliet SJ, den Dunnen J, Gringhuis SI, Geijtenbeek TB, van Kooyk Y. Innate signaling and regulation of Dendritic cell immunity. Current opinion in immunology 2007;19(4):435-40.

89. Watts C, Zaru R, Prescott AR, Wallin RP, West MA. Proximal effects of Toll-like receptor activation in dendritic cells. Current opinion in immunology 2007;19(1):73-8.

90. Creagh EM, O'Neill LA. TLRs, NLRs and RLRs: a trinity of pathogen sensors that co-operate in innate immunity. Trends in immunology 2006;27(8):352-7.

91. Luft T, Maraskovsky E, Schnurr M, *et al.* Tuning the volume of the immune response: strength and persistence of stimulation determine migration and cytokine secretion of dendritic cells. Blood 2004;104(4):1066-74.

92. Macagno A, Molteni M, Rinaldi A, *et al.* A cyanobacterial LPS antagonist prevents endotoxin shock and blocks sustained TLR4 stimulation required for cytokine expression. The Journal of experimental medicine 2006;203(6):1481-92.

93. Gantner BN, Simmons RM, Canavera SJ, Akira S, Underhill DM. Collaborative induction of inflammatory responses by dectin-1 and Toll-like receptor 2. The Journal of experimental medicine 2003;197(9):1107-17.

94. Napolitani G, Rinaldi A, Bertoni F, Sallusto F, Lanzavecchia A. Selected Toll-like receptor agonist combinations synergistically trigger a T helper type 1-polarizing program in dendritic cells. Nature immunology 2005;6(8):769-76.

95. Warger T, Osterloh P, Rechtsteiner G, *et al.* Synergistic activation of dendritic cells by combined Toll-like receptor ligation induces superior CTL responses in vivo. Blood 2006;108(2):544-50.

96. Schulz O, Edwards AD, Schito M, *et al.* CD40 triggering of heterodimeric IL-12 p70 production by dendritic cells in vivo requires a microbial priming signal. Immunity 2000;13(4):453-62.

97. Bosisio D, Polentarutti N, Sironi M, *et al.* Stimulation of toll-like receptor 4 expression in human mononuclear phagocytes by interferon-gamma: a molecular basis for priming and synergism with bacterial lipopolysaccharide. Blood 2002;99(9):3427-31.

98. Hawiger D, Inaba K, Dorsett Y, *et al.* Dendritic cells induce peripheral T cell unresponsiveness under steady state conditions in vivo. The Journal of experimental medicine 2001;194(6):769-79.

99. Roncarolo MG, Levings MK, Traversari C. Differentiation of T regulatory cells by immature dendritic cells. The Journal of experimental medicine 2001;193(2):F5-9.

46

100. Bonifaz L, Bonnyay D, Mahnke K, Rivera M, Nussenzweig MC, Steinman RM. Efficient targeting of protein antigen to the dendritic cell receptor DEC-205 in the steady state leads to antigen presentation on major histocompatibility complex class I products and peripheral CD8+ T cell tolerance. The Journal of experimental medicine 2002;196(12):1627-38.

101. Trinchieri G. Interleukin-12 and the regulation of innate resistance and adaptive immunity. Nat Rev Immunol 2003;3(2):133-46.

102. Amsen D, Blander JM, Lee GR, Tanigaki K, Honjo T, Flavell RA. Instruction of distinct CD4 T helper cell fates by different notch ligands on antigen-presenting cells. Cell 2004;117(4):515-26.

103. Bettelli E, Korn T, Kuchroo VK. Th17: the third member of the effector T cell trilogy. Current opinion in immunology 2007;19(6):652-7.

104. Veldhoen M, Hocking RJ, Atkins CJ, Locksley RM, Stockinger B. TGFbeta in the context of an inflammatory cytokine milieu supports de novo differentiation of IL-17-producing T cells. Immunity 2006;24(2):179-89.

105. Kimura A, Naka T, Kishimoto T. IL-6-dependent and -independent pathways in the development of interleukin 17-producing T helper cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2007;104(29):12099-104.

106. Banerjee DK, Dhodapkar MV, Matayeva E, Steinman RM, Dhodapkar KM. Expansion of FOXP3high regulatory T cells by human dendritic cells (DCs) in vitro and after injection of cytokine-matured DCs in myeloma patients. Blood 2006;108(8):2655-61.

107. Rutella S, Danese S, Leone G. Tolerogenic dendritic cells: cytokine modulation comes of age. Blood 2006;108(5):1435-40.

108. Kapsenberg ML. Dendritic-cell control of pathogen-driven T-cell polarization. Nat Rev Immunol 2003;3(12):984-93.

109. Liu YJ, Kanzler H, Soumelis V, Gilliet M. Dendritic cell lineage, plasticity and cross-regulation. Nature immunology 2001;2(7):585-9.

110. Langenkamp A, Messi M, Lanzavecchia A, Sallusto F. Kinetics of dendritic cell activation: impact on priming of TH1, TH2 and nonpolarized T cells. Nature immunology 2000;1(4):311-6.

111. Reis e Sousa C. Dendritic cells in a mature age. Nat Rev Immunol 2006;6(6):476-83.

112. Asselin-Paturel C, Boonstra A, Dalod M, et al. Mouse type I IFN-producing cells are immature APCs with plasmacytoid morphology. Nature immunology 2001;2(12):1144-50.

113. Bjorck P. Isolation and characterization of plasmacytoid dendritic cells from Flt3 ligand and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor-treated mice. Blood 2001;98(13):3520-6.

114. Nakano H, Yanagita M, Gunn MD. CD11c(+)B220(+)Gr-1(+) cells in mouse lymph nodes and spleen display characteristics of plasmacytoid dendritic cells. The Journal of experimental medicine 2001;194(8):1171-8.

115. Vremec D, Pooley J, Hochrein H, Wu L, Shortman K. CD4 and CD8 expression by dendritic cell subtypes in mouse thymus and spleen. J Immunol 2000;164(6):2978-86.

116. Henri S, Vremec D, Kamath A, et al. The dendritic cell populations of mouse lymph nodes. J Immunol 2001;167(2):741-8.

117. Leenen PJ, Radosevic K, Voerman JS, et al. Heterogeneity of mouse spleen dendritic cells: in vivo phagocytic activity, expression of macrophage markers, and subpopulation turnover. J Immunol 1998;160(5):2166-73.

118. den Haan JM, Lehar SM, Bevan MJ. CD8(+) but not CD8(-) dendritic cells cross-prime cytotoxic T cells in vivo. The Journal of experimental medicine 2000;192(12):1685-96.

119. Schulz O, Reis e Sousa C. Cross-presentation of cell-associated antigens by CD8alpha+ dendritic cells is attributable to their ability to internalize dead cells. Immunology 2002;107(2):183-9.

120. Schnorrer P, Behrens GM, Wilson NS, *et al.* The dominant role of CD8+ dendritic cells in cross-presentation is not dictated by antigen capture. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2006;103(28):10729-34.

121. Dudziak D, Kamphorst AO, Heidkamp GF, *et al.* Differential antigen processing by dendritic cell subsets in vivo. Science (New York, NY 2007;315(5808):107-11.

122. Maldonado-Lopez R, De Smedt T, Michel P, *et al.* CD8alpha+ and CD8alpha- subclasses of dendritic cells direct the development of distinct T helper cells in vivo. The Journal of experimental medicine 1999;189(3):587-92.

123. Pulendran B, Smith JL, Caspary G, *et al.* Distinct dendritic cell subsets differentially regulate the class of immune response in vivo. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1999;96(3):1036-41.

124. den Haan JM, Bevan MJ. Constitutive versus activation-dependent cross-presentation of immune complexes by CD8(+) and CD8(-) dendritic cells in vivo. The Journal of experimental medicine 2002;196(6):817-27.

125. Manickasingham SP, Edwards AD, Schulz O, Reis e Sousa C. The ability of murine dendritic cell subsets to direct T helper cell differentiation is dependent on microbial signals. European journal of immunology 2003;33(1):101-7.

126. Brocker T, Riedinger M, Karjalainen K. Targeted expression of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II molecules demonstrates that dendritic cells can induce negative but not positive selection of thymocytes in vivo. The Journal of experimental medicine 1997;185(3):541-50.

127. Gallegos AM, Bevan MJ. Central tolerance to tissue-specific antigens mediated by direct and indirect antigen presentation. The Journal of experimental medicine 2004;200(8):1039-49.

128. Valladeau J, Saeland S. Cutaneous dendritic cells. Seminars in immunology 2005;17(4):273-83.

129. Colonna M, Trinchieri G, Liu YJ. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells in immunity. Nature immunology 2004;5(12):1219-26.

130. Dalod M, Hamilton T, Salomon R, *et al.* Dendritic cell responses to early murine cytomegalovirus infection: subset functional specialization and differential regulation by interferon alpha/beta. The Journal of experimental medicine 2003;197(7):885-98.

131. Krug A, French AR, Barchet W, *et al.* TLR9-dependent recognition of MCMV by IPC and DC generates coordinated cytokine responses that activate antiviral NK cell function. Immunity 2004;21(1):107-19.

132. Salio M, Palmowski MJ, Atzberger A, Hermans IF, Cerundolo V. CpG-matured murine plasmacytoid dendritic cells are capable of in vivo priming of functional CD8 T cell responses to endogenous but not exogenous antigens. The Journal of experimental medicine 2004;199(4):567-79.

133. Schlecht G, Garcia S, Escriou N, Freitas AA, Leclerc C, Dadaglio G. Murine plasmacytoid dendritic cells induce effector/memory CD8+ T-cell responses in vivo after viral stimulation. Blood 2004;104(6):1808-15.

134. Boonstra A, Asselin-Paturel C, Gilliet M, *et al.* Flexibility of mouse classical and plasmacytoid-derived dendritic cells in directing T helper type 1 and 2 cell development: dependency on antigen dose and differential toll-like receptor ligation. The Journal of experimental medicine 2003;197(1):101-9.

135. Iparraguirre A, Tobias JW, Hensley SE, *et al.* Two distinct activation states of plasmacytoid dendritic cells induced by influenza virus and CpG 1826 oligonucleotide. Journal of leukocyte biology 2008;83(3):610-20.

136. Chan CW, Crafton E, Fan HN, *et al.* Interferon-producing killer dendritic cells provide a link between innate and adaptive immunity. Nature medicine 2006;12(2):207-13.

137. Taieb J, Chaput N, Menard C, *et al.* A novel dendritic cell subset involved in tumor immunosurveillance. Nature medicine 2006;12(2):214-9.

138. Brenan M, Puklavec M. The MRC OX-62 antigen: a useful marker in the purification of rat veiled cells with the biochemical properties of an integrin. The Journal of experimental medicine 1992;175(6):1457-65.

139. Turnbull E, MacPherson G. Immunobiology of dendritic cells in the rat. Immunological reviews 2001;184:58-68.

140. Liu L, Zhang M, Jenkins C, MacPherson GG. Dendritic cell heterogeneity in vivo: two functionally different dendritic cell populations in rat intestinal lymph can be distinguished by CD4 expression. J Immunol 1998;161(3):1146-55.

141. Trinite B, Voisine C, Yagita H, Josien R. A subset of cytolytic dendritic cells in rat. J Immunol 2000;165(8):4202-8.

142. Voisine C, Hubert FX, Trinite B, Heslan M, Josien R. Two phenotypically distinct subsets of spleen dendritic cells in rats exhibit different cytokine production and T cell stimulatory activity. J Immunol 2002;169(5):2284-91.

143. Huang FP, Platt N, Wykes M, *et al.* A discrete subpopulation of dendritic cells transports apoptotic intestinal epithelial cells to T cell areas of mesenteric lymph nodes. The Journal of experimental medicine 2000;191(3):435-44.

144. Trinite B, Chauvin C, Peche H, Voisine C, Heslan M, Josien R. Immature CD4- CD103+ rat dendritic cells induce rapid caspase-independent apoptosis-like cell death in various tumor and nontumor cells and phagocytose their victims. J Immunol 2005;175(4):2408-17.

145. Hubert FX, Voisine C, Louvet C, Heslan M, Josien R. Rat plasmacytoid dendritic cells are an abundant subset of MHC class II+ CD4+CD11b-OX62- and type I IFN-producing cells that exhibit selective expression of Toll-like receptors 7 and 9 and strong responsiveness to CpG. J Immunol 2004;172(12):7485-94.

146. Cella M, Jarrossay D, Facchetti F, *et al.* Plasmacytoid monocytes migrate to inflamed lymph nodes and produce large amounts of type I interferon. Nature medicine 1999;5(8):919-23.

147. Siegal FP, Kadowaki N, Shodell M, *et al.* The nature of the principal type 1 interferon-producing cells in human blood. Science (New York, NY 1999;284(5421):1835-7.

148. Dzionek A, Sohma Y, Nagafune J, *et al.* BDCA-2, a novel plasmacytoid dendritic cell-specific type II C-type lectin, mediates antigen capture and is a potent inhibitor of interferon alpha/beta induction. The Journal of experimental medicine 2001;194(12):1823-34.

149.	MacDonald KP, Munster DJ, Clark GJ, Dzionek A, Schmitz J, Hart DN. Characterization of human blood dendritic cell subsets. Blood 2002;100(13):4512-20.

150.	Lindstedt M, Lundberg K, Borrebaeck CA. Gene family clustering identifies functionally associated subsets of human in vivo blood and tonsillar dendritic cells. J Immunol 2005;175(8):4839-46.

151.	Piccioli D, Tavarini S, Borgogni E, et al. Functional specialization of human circulating CD16 and CD1c myeloid dendritic-cell subsets. Blood 2007;109(12):5371-9.

152.	Bandyopadhyay S, Perussia B, Trinchieri G, Miller DS, Starr SE. Requirement for HLA-DR+ accessory cells in natural killing of cytomegalovirus-infected fibroblasts. The Journal of experimental medicine 1986;164(1):180-95.

153.	Gilliet M, Liu YJ. Generation of human CD8 T regulatory cells by CD40 ligand-activated plasmacytoid dendritic cells. The Journal of experimental medicine 2002;195(6):695-704.

154.	Moseman EA, Liang X, Dawson AJ, et al. Human plasmacytoid dendritic cells activated by CpG oligodeoxynucleotides induce the generation of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. J Immunol 2004;173(7):4433-42.

155.	Kawamura K, Kadowaki N, Kitawaki T, Uchiyama T. Virus-stimulated plasmacytoid dendritic cells induce CD4+ cytotoxic regulatory T cells. Blood 2006;107(3):1031-8.

156.	Rissoan MC, Soumelis V, Kadowaki N, et al. Reciprocal control of T helper cell and dendritic cell differentiation. Science (New York, NY 1999;283(5405):1183-6.

157.	Cella M, Facchetti F, Lanzavecchia A, Colonna M. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells activated by influenza virus and CD40L drive a potent TH1 polarization. Nature immunology 2000;1(4):305-10.

158.	Ito T, Amakawa R, Inaba M, et al. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells regulate Th cell responses through OX40 ligand and type I IFNs. J Immunol 2004;172(7):4253-9.

159.	Schmitt N, Cumont MC, Nugeyre MT, et al. Ex vivo characterization of human thymic dendritic cell subsets. Immunobiology 2007;212(3):167-77.

160.	Watanabe N, Wang YH, Lee HK, et al. Hassall's corpuscles instruct dendritic cells to induce CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells in human thymus. Nature 2005;436(7054):1181-5.

161.	Manz MG, Traver D, Miyamoto T, Weissman IL, Akashi K. Dendritic cell potentials of early lymphoid and myeloid progenitors. Blood 2001;97(11):3333-41.

162.	Wu L, D'Amico A, Hochrein H, O'Keeffe M, Shortman K, Lucas K. Development of thymic and splenic dendritic cell populations from different hemopoietic precursors. Blood 2001;98(12):3376-82.

163.	Chicha L, Jarrossay D, Manz MG. Clonal type I interferon-producing and dendritic cell precursors are contained in both human lymphoid and myeloid progenitor populations. The Journal of experimental medicine 2004;200(11):1519-24.

164.	D'Amico A, Wu L. The early progenitors of mouse dendritic cells and plasmacytoid predendritic cells are within the bone marrow hemopoietic precursors expressing Flt3. The Journal of experimental medicine 2003;198(2):293-303.

165.	Karsunky H, Merad M, Cozzio A, Weissman IL, Manz MG. Flt3 ligand regulates dendritic cell development from Flt3+ lymphoid and myeloid-committed progenitors to Flt3+ dendritic cells in vivo. The Journal of experimental medicine 2003;198(2):305-13.

166.	Fogg DK, Sibon C, Miled C, et al. A clonogenic bone marrow progenitor specific for macrophages and dendritic cells. Science (New York, NY 2006;311(5757):83-7.

167.   Diao J, Winter E, Chen W, Cantin C, Cattral MS. Characterization of distinct conventional and plasmacytoid dendritic cell-committed precursors in murine bone marrow. J Immunol 2004;173(3):1826-33.

168.   Diao J, Winter E, Cantin C, *et al.* In situ replication of immediate dendritic cell (DC) precursors contributes to conventional DC homeostasis in lymphoid tissue. J Immunol 2006;176(12):7196-206.

169.   Naik SH, Metcalf D, van Nieuwenhuijze A, *et al.* Intrasplenic steady-state dendritic cell precursors that are distinct from monocytes. Nature immunology 2006;7(6):663-71.

170.   Ardavin C, Wu L, Li CL, Shortman K. Thymic dendritic cells and T cells develop simultaneously in the thymus from a common precursor population. Nature 1993;362(6422):761-3.

171.   Merad M, Manz MG, Karsunky H, *et al.* Langerhans cells renew in the skin throughout life under steady-state conditions. Nature immunology 2002;3(12):1135-41.

172.   Ginhoux F, Tacke F, Angeli V, *et al.* Langerhans cells arise from monocytes in vivo. Nature immunology 2006;7(3):265-73.

173.   Schaerli P, Willimann K, Ebert LM, Walz A, Moser B. Cutaneous CXCL14 targets blood precursors to epidermal niches for Langerhans cell differentiation. Immunity 2005;23(3):331-42.

174.   Le Borgne M, Etchart N, Goubier A, *et al.* Dendritic cells rapidly recruited into epithelial tissues via CCR6/CCL20 are responsible for CD8+ T cell crosspriming in vivo. Immunity 2006;24(2):191-201.

175.   Mosca PJ, Lyerly HK, Clay TM, Morse MA, Lyerly HK. Dendritic cell vaccines. Front Biosci 2007;12:4050-60.

176.   Banchereau J, Palucka AK. Dendritic cells as therapeutic vaccines against cancer. Nat Rev Immunol 2005;5(4):296-306.

177.   Inaba K, Inaba M, Romani N, *et al.* Generation of large numbers of dendritic cells from mouse bone marrow cultures supplemented with granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor. The Journal of experimental medicine 1992;176(6):1693-702.

178.   Brissette-Storkus CS, Kettel JC, Whitham TF, *et al.* Flt-3 ligand (FL) drives differentiation of rat bone marrow-derived dendritic cells expressing OX62 and/or CD161 (NKR-P1). Journal of leukocyte biology 2002;71(6):941-9.

179.   Gilliet M, Boonstra A, Paturel C, *et al.* The development of murine plasmacytoid dendritic cell precursors is differentially regulated by FLT3-ligand and granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor. The Journal of experimental medicine 2002;195(7):953-8.

180.   Menges M, Baumeister T, Rossner S, *et al.* IL-4 supports the generation of a dendritic cell subset from murine bone marrow with altered endocytosis capacity. Journal of leukocyte biology 2005;77(4):535-43.

181.   Small EJ, Fratesi P, Reese DM, *et al.* Immunotherapy of hormone-refractory prostate cancer with antigen-loaded dendritic cells. J Clin Oncol 2000;18(23):3894-903.

182.   Banchereau J, Palucka AK, Dhodapkar M, *et al.* Immune and clinical responses in patients with metastatic melanoma to CD34(+) progenitor-derived dendritic cell vaccine. Cancer research 2001;61(17):6451-8.

183.   Fields RC, Shimizu K, Mule JJ. Murine dendritic cells pulsed with whole tumor lysates mediate potent antitumor immune responses in vitro and in vivo. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1998;95(16):9482-7.

184.   Jenne L, Arrighi JF, Jonuleit H, Saurat JH, Hauser C. Dendritic cells containing apoptotic melanoma cells prime human CD8+ T cells for efficient tumor cell lysis. Cancer research 2000;60(16):4446-52.

185.   Sauter B, Albert ML, Francisco L, Larsson M, Somersan S, Bhardwaj N. Consequences of cell death: exposure to necrotic tumor cells, but not primary tissue cells or apoptotic cells, induces the maturation of immunostimulatory dendritic cells. The Journal of experimental medicine 2000;191(3):423-34.

186.   Marten A, Renoth S, Heinicke T, *et al.* Allogeneic dendritic cells fused with tumor cells: preclinical results and outcome of a clinical phase I/II trial in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Human gene therapy 2003;14(5):483-94.

187.   Gilboa E, Vieweg J. Cancer immunotherapy with mRNA-transfected dendritic cells. Immunological reviews 2004;199:251-63.

188.   Tan PH, Beutelspacher SC, Xue SA, *et al.* Modulation of human dendritic-cell function following transduction with viral vectors: implications for gene therapy. Blood 2005;105(10):3824-32.

189.   Gilboa E. DC-based cancer vaccines. The Journal of clinical investigation 2007;117(5):1195-203.

190.   Sanchez PJ, McWilliams JA, Haluszczak C, Yagita H, Kedl RM. Combined TLR/CD40 stimulation mediates potent cellular immunity by regulating dendritic cell expression of CD70 in vivo. J Immunol 2007;178(3):1564-72.

191.   Mailliard RB, Wankowicz-Kalinska A, Cai Q, *et al.* alpha-type-1 polarized dendritic cells: a novel immunization tool with optimized CTL-inducing activity. Cancer research 2004;64(17):5934-7.

192.   Fong L, Brockstedt D, Benike C, Wu L, Engleman EG. Dendritic cells injected via different routes induce immunity in cancer patients. J Immunol 2001;166(6):4254-9.

193.   Mullins DW, Sheasley SL, Ream RM, Bullock TN, Fu YX, Engelhard VH. Route of immunization with peptide-pulsed dendritic cells controls the distribution of memory and effector T cells in lymphoid tissues and determines the pattern of regional tumor control. The Journal of experimental medicine 2003;198(7):1023-34.

194.   Dudda JC, Simon JC, Martin S. Dendritic cell immunization route determines CD8+ T cell trafficking to inflamed skin: role for tissue microenvironment and dendritic cells in establishment of T cell-homing subsets. J Immunol 2004;172(2):857-63.

195.   Sheasley-O'Neill SL, Brinkman CC, Ferguson AR, Dispenza MC, Engelhard VH. Dendritic cell immunization route determines integrin expression and lymphoid and nonlymphoid tissue distribution of CD8 T cells. J Immunol 2007;178(3):1512-22.

196.   Vulink A, Radford KJ, Melief C, Hart DN. Dendritic cells in cancer immunotherapy. Advances in cancer research 2008;99:363-407.

197.   Rosenberg SA, Yang JC, Restifo NP. Cancer immunotherapy: moving beyond current vaccines. Nature medicine 2004;10(9):909-15.

198.   Schadendorf D, Ugurel S, Schuler-Thurner B, *et al.* Dacarbazine (DTIC) versus vaccination with autologous peptide-pulsed dendritic cells (DC) in first-line treatment of patients with metastatic melanoma: a randomized phase III trial of the DC study group of the DeCOG. Ann Oncol 2006;17(4):563-70.

199.   Yamanaka R, Abe T, Yajima N, *et al.* Vaccination of recurrent glioma patients with tumour lysate-pulsed dendritic cells elicits immune responses: results of a clinical phase I/II trial. British journal of cancer 2003;89(7):1172-9.

200.   Yu JS, Liu G, Ying H, Yong WH, Black KL, Wheeler CJ. Vaccination with tumor lysate-pulsed dendritic cells elicits antigen-specific, cytotoxic T-cells in patients with malignant glioma. Cancer research 2004;64(14):4973-9.

201.   Yamanaka R, Homma J, Yajima N, *et al.* Clinical evaluation of dendritic cell vaccination for patients with recurrent glioma: results of a clinical phase I/II trial. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11(11):4160-7.

202.   Yu JS, Wheeler CJ, Zeltzer PM, *et al.* Vaccination of malignant glioma patients with peptide-pulsed dendritic cells elicits systemic cytotoxicity and intracranial T-cell infiltration. Cancer research 2001;61(3):842-7.

203.   Liau LM, Prins RM, Kiertscher SM, *et al.* Dendritic cell vaccination in glioblastoma patients induces systemic and intracranial T-cell responses modulated by the local central nervous system tumor microenvironment. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11(15):5515-25.

204.   Rutkowski S, De Vleeschouwer S, Kaempgen E, *et al.* Surgery and adjuvant dendritic cell-based tumour vaccination for patients with relapsed malignant glioma, a feasibility study. British journal of cancer 2004;91(9):1656-62.

205.   Kikuchi T, Akasaki Y, Irie M, Homma S, Abe T, Ohno T. Results of a phase I clinical trial of vaccination of glioma patients with fusions of dendritic and glioma cells. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2001;50(7):337-44.

206.   De Vleeschouwer S, Van Gool SW, Van Calenbergh F. Immunotherapy for malignant gliomas: emphasis on strategies of active specific immunotherapy using autologous dendritic cells. Childs Nerv Syst 2005;21(1):7-18.

207.   Visse E, Siesjo P, Widegren B, Sjogren HO. Regression of intracerebral rat glioma isografts by therapeutic subcutaneous immunization with interferon-gamma, interleukin-7, or B7-1-transfected tumor cells. Cancer gene therapy 1999;6(1):37-44.

208.   Rutka JT, Murakami M, Dirks PB, *et al.* Role of glial filaments in cells and tumors of glial origin: a review. Journal of neurosurgery 1997;87(3):420-30.

209.   Wong AJ, Ruppert JM, Bigner SH, *et al.* Structural alterations of the epidermal growth factor receptor gene in human gliomas. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1992;89(7):2965-9.

210.   Aptsiauri N, Cabrera T, Garcia-Lora A, Lopez-Nevot MA, Ruiz-Cabello F, Garrido F. MHC class I antigens and immune surveillance in transformed cells. International review of cytology 2007;256:139-89.

211.   Anderson RC, Elder JB, Brown MD, *et al.* Changes in the immunologic phenotype of human malignant glioma cells after passaging in vitro. Clinical immunology (Orlando, Fla 2002;102(1):84-95.

212.   Yao KC, Komata T, Kondo Y, Kanzawa T, Kondo S, Germano IM. Molecular response of human glioblastoma multiforme cells to ionizing radiation: cell cycle arrest, modulation of the expression of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, and autophagy. Journal of neurosurgery 2003;98(2):378-84.

213.   O'Garra A, Vieira P. T(H)1 cells control themselves by producing interleukin-10. Nat Rev Immunol 2007;7(6):425-8.

214. Driessens G, Hamdane M, Cool V, Velu T, Bruyns C. Highly successful therapeutic vaccinations combining dendritic cells and tumor cells secreting granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor. Cancer research 2004;64(22):8435-42.

215. Manetti R, Parronchi P, Giudizi MG, *et al.* Natural killer cell stimulatory factor (interleukin 12 [IL-12]) induces T helper type 1 (Th1)-specific immune responses and inhibits the development of IL-4-producing Th cells. The Journal of experimental medicine 1993;177(4):1199-204.

216. Kleindienst P, Brocker T. Endogenous dendritic cells are required for amplification of T cell responses induced by dendritic cell vaccines in vivo. J Immunol 2003;170(6):2817-23.