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Treatment effect of memantine on survival in dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson’s disease with dementia: a prospective study
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ABSTRACT
Objective: To investigate the effect on survival of treatment with memantine in patients with dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and Parkinson’s disease with dementia (PDD).

Methods: 75 patients with DLB and PDD were included in a prospective double-blinded randomised placebo-controlled trial (RCT) of memantine, of whom long-term follow-up was available for 42. Treatment response was recorded 24 weeks from baseline and measured by Clinical Global Impression of Change (CGIC). The participants were grouped as responders (CGIC 1–3) or non-responders (CGIC 4–7). The 24-week RCT was followed by open-label treatment and survival was recorded at 36 months.

Results: After 36-month follow-up, patients in the memantine group had a longer length of survival compared with patients in the placebo group (log rank x²=4.02, p=0.045). Within the active treatment group, survival analysis 36 months from baseline showed that the memantine responders, based on CGIC, had higher rates of survival compared with the non-responders (log rank x²=6.595, p=0.010). Similar results were not seen in the placebo group.

Conclusions: Early treatment with memantine and a positive clinical response to memantine predicted longer survival in patients with DLB and PDD. This suggests a possible disease-modifying effect and also has implications for health economic analysis. However, owing to the small study sample, our results should merely be considered as generating a hypothesis which needs to be evaluated in larger studies.

Trial registration number: ISRCTN89624516.

INTRODUCTION
Dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson’s disease dementia
Dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and Parkinson’s disease with dementia (PDD) are progressive neurodegenerative disorders, and together they account for 15–20% of all people with dementia.1 Pathologically, they are both α-synucleinopathies, and clinically they have a similar profile with pronounced attentional, visuospatial and executive dysfunction, visual hallucinations, cognitive fluctuations, parkinsonism and sleep disturbances such as excessive daytime sleepiness and rapid eye movement sleep behavioural disorder. DLB and PDD are separated into two entities based on temporal differences in the emergence of symptoms. Even though many studies are aiming to further understand the differences, DLB and PDD seem to have considerable similarities and they are commonly considered as two diseases on a spectrum.2 3

Treatment
Currently, the only therapy licensed for the treatment of PDD is the cholinesterase...

Strengths and limitations of this study
- The prospective design and the longitudinal follow-up are the strengths of this study. A follow-up time of 36 months is unique in clinical trials on dementia with Lewy bodies/Parkinson’s disease with dementia (DLB/PDD).
- We use the Clinical Global Impression of Change (CGIC) to assess the treatment effect of memantine. With respect to the heterogeneous manifestations of DLB/PDD, we argue that CGIC is a robust and clinically meaningful tool to rate drug effect, and its use is therefore a strength of this study.
- The small sample size is a clear limitation of this study. Multivariate Cox regression analysis to adjust for possible covariates with effect on survival was not feasible due to the small sample size.
- Our sample consists of the Swedish population of a multicentre randomised placebo-controlled trial (RCT), and despite separate randomisation at all three centres, this subgroup analysis generates a possible selection bias.
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INTRODUCTION
Dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson’s disease dementia
Dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and Parkinson’s disease with dementia (PDD) are progressive neurodegenerative disorders, and together they account for 15–20% of all people with dementia.1 Pathologically, they are both α-synucleinopathies, and clinically they have a similar profile with pronounced attentional, visuospatial and executive dysfunction, visual hallucinations, cognitive fluctuations, parkinsonism and sleep disturbances such as excessive daytime sleepiness and rapid eye movement sleep behavioural disorder. DLB and PDD are separated into two entities based on temporal differences in the emergence of symptoms. Even though many studies are aiming to further understand the differences, DLB and PDD seem to have considerable similarities and they are commonly considered as two diseases on a spectrum.2 3

Treatment
Currently, the only therapy licensed for the treatment of PDD is the cholinesterase...
inhibitor (ChEI) rivastigmine, based on randomised placebo-controlled trial (RCT) evidence of modest but significant benefits in cognition, function, global outcome and neuropsychiatric symptoms. To detect possible benefits in long-term outcome measures, a longer follow-up with open-label treatment is fundamental. Memantine is an N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist. It affects the glutamatergic neuronal transmission and prevents the toxic effects of raised concentration of the excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate. There is a known alteration of glutamatergic markers in DLB, and thus memantine may be beneficial in these patients, potentially by a neuroprotective and disease-modifying effect. Findings by Uitti et al suggest that treatment with another NMDA antagonist, amantadine, improves survival in patients with Parkinson’s disease (PD) and other parkinsonian syndromes. At this time, there are four RCTs of the effect of memantine on cognition in PDD where two of them also included patients with DLB. Memantine was well tolerated and all studies found positive effects from treatment, but consistent benefits across the studies are only evident on global outcome. Follow-up studies on the same population have also shown additional benefits on sleep disturbances and quality of life. There are some indications that memantine may have mechanisms of action which may potentially confer disease-modifying effects, but this has not been established in clinical studies.

Survival

Despite the relatively high prevalence and the great impact of DLB and PDD on patients, caregivers and society, there are few studies focusing on survival and on the rate and pattern of the cognitive and functional decline. Older age at onset, associated Alzheimer pathology, high levels of cerebrospinal total τ and severe autonomic dysfunction have been suggested as potential predictors of a poor prognosis in DLB/PDD. Reliable prognostic markers would be of value for patients and their families and would facilitate clinical planning, but the establishment of such markers has turned out to be problematic for several reasons. The complex nature of DLB and PDD, including a high degree of intradividual variability in the clinical course as well as day-to-day variations in clinical status, poses great demands on study design, sample size, follow-up time and statistical methods. To identify differences in survival between clinical subgroups based on treatment response may be one approach in the search for prognostic markers.

Aim

Based on a long-term follow-up of participants in a placebo-controlled RCT of memantine in DLB and PDD, this study aims to investigate the prognostic value of early treatment with memantine and a positive response to treatment with memantine on 3-year survival in patients with DLB/PDD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

This longitudinal prospective study is a continuation of a double-blinded 24-week RCT conducted in 2005–2008. The original study included 75 patients with mild-to-moderate DLB or PDD (Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score 12 points or higher), recruited from psychiatric, memory and neurological outpatient clinics in Norway, UK and Sweden. All patients fulfilled the clinical diagnostic criteria according to the UK Parkinson’s Disease Society Brain Bank and subsequently developed dementia more than a year from onset of motor symptoms (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders Fourth Edition (DSM IV); APA, 1994), or met the revised consensus criteria for DLB. Patients with other brain disorders, recent major changes in health status, major depression, moderate-to-severe renal impairment, heart disease, pulmonary disease, hepatic impairment or known allergy to memantine were excluded. In addition to the comprehensive clinical assessment, patients were examined with routine blood tests and imaging of the brain (DAT scan) to support diagnosis.

In the original study, patients were assigned to placebo or memantine treatment (20 mg daily) and assessed at baseline, 12 and 24 weeks. All three samples (from Norway, the UK and Sweden) were randomised separately, in order to allow further studies on either population. Only the Swedish centre continued the follow-up with a 4-week washout period followed by open-label treatment and ordinary yearly clinical visits within a structured follow-up programme at the clinic. Hence, the population in this study constitutes the 32 patients (16 DLB, 16 PDD) from the Swedish population (total n=42) in the original study who completed the 24-week follow-up (figure 1). All 32 were enrolled in the open-label follow-up. Seventeen (94%) of the 18 patients in the original memantine group received memantine during the open-label follow-up. Twelve (86%) of the 14 patients in the original placebo group received memantine during the open-label follow-up.

Randomisation was kept strictly double-blinded during the RCT (24 weeks) and during washout (4 weeks), but not during the open-label treatment. Discontinuation of the double-blinded medication was performed by the end of the RCT without sequentially decreasing the doses. The open-label medication doses were increased during a titration period of 4 weeks until reaching 20 mg daily.

At baseline, anamnestic information, blood samples and blood pressure measurements disclosed information on concomitant diabetes, heart disease, hypertension, orthostatic hypotension and cancer.
Treatment response and grouping

In the original RCT, treatment response was measured at week 24 by Clinical Global Impression of Change (CGIC), which was rated based on a clinical interview with the patient and their caregiver. CGIC is a categorical scale ranging from 1 to 7, with a low score indicating clinical improvement (figure 2). In this study, patients in the memantine and placebo groups were grouped as responders or non-responders; patients with CGIC 1–3 were responders and CGIC 4–7 were non-responders. Twelve (67%) of the 18 patients who received memantine were responders and 6 were non-responders. In the placebo group, 9 (64%) were responders and 5 were non-responders (figure 1).

Twelve of the 14 patients in the original placebo group received memantine during the open-label follow-up. After 24 weeks on treatment (54 weeks from baseline), they were again assessed with CGIC. We regrouped these 12 patients into responders (n=3) and non-responders (n=9) based on the 54-week CGIC (figure 3).

Outcome

In this study, outcome was recorded 36 months from baseline. Survival was the only outcome measure.

![Figure 1](http://example.com/f1.png)  
**Figure 1** Trial profile of the study population (Swedish population in the original RCT). See also figure 3 for further management of the 14 patients in the placebo group. DLB, dementia with Lewy bodies; Parkinson’s disease with dementia; RCT, randomised placebo-controlled trial.

![Figure 2](http://example.com/f2.png)  
**Figure 2** Description of the Clinical Global Impression of Change (CGIC) score system.

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20. Comparisons were made between participants in the memantine and placebo groups and also between responders and non-responders in the memantine and placebo groups, respectively. All binary variables were compared using $\chi^2$ tests. Owing to the small number of participants in this study, non-parametric statistics (Mann-Whitney U test) were used to detect significant differences in groups for normally and non-normally distributed continuous variables. Kaplan-Meier curves were performed to compare survival between the two groups. Multivariate analysis to adjust for possible confounders was not feasible due to the small sample size. The p values were exclusively two-sided and the level of significance defined as less than 0.05.

Ethical statement

The RCT was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki as revised in 2000, as was the open-label extension study. Renewed written informed consent was obtained from patients and their caregivers before entering the open-label extension.

RESULTS

Comparisons were made at baseline between patients in the memantine group and the placebo group, as well as between responders and non-responders in the memantine and placebo groups, respectively (table 1). Age at baseline, gender or disease duration did not differ between groups. For patients with PDD, the difference in duration of PD before dementia was non-significant (data not shown). Concomitant medications (antiparkinsonian medication, ChEIs, neuroleptics, anxiolytics, hypnotics and antidepressants) were used without significant differences, but there was a clinically meaningful difference in the proportion receiving ChEI treatment (33% in the memantine vs 71% in the placebo group).
group). The dose of levodopa was equal. MMSE scores at baseline were equal. There were no differences in the degree of parkinsonism measured by the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS), or frequency of orthostatic hypotension. Presence of diabetes, heart disease, hypertension and cancer was investigated, but there were no differences in the burden of comorbidity not related to dementia (data not shown).

**Survival**

Fifteen (47%) of the 32 participants died during the follow-up. In the memantine group, 5 (28%) of the 18 patients died, and in the placebo group 10 of the 14 (71%) patients died ($x^2=6.03, p=0.05$). Patients in the original memantine group had a better 3-year survival compared with the placebo group (log rank $x^2=4.021, p=0.045$; compared with the placebo group ($log rank x^2=0.02, p=0.03$). Patients in the original memantine group had a better survival compared with patients treated with placebo during the 30 weeks of open-label treatment, but no difference was associated with improved survival.

In the memantine group, 1 (8%) of the 12 responders and 4 (67%) of the 6 non-responders died during the follow-up ($x^2=6.785, p=0.02$). The Kaplan-Meier curves in figure 5 show the influence of a positive treatment response on survival. Patients with a positive treatment response to memantine, recorded 24 weeks after baseline, had a significantly longer survival compared with non-responders (log rank $x^2=6.595, p=0.010$; figure 5A). There was no significant difference in survival between responders and non-responders in the placebo group (figure 5B).

With the intention of strengthening our findings, we compared 3-year survival between responders and non-responders in the original placebo group, based on the 54-week CGIC, when they all had been on open-label treatment with memantine for 24 weeks. One (33%) of the 3 responders and 7 (78%) of the 9 non-responders died during the 3-year follow-up, but no difference was found in the survival analysis (figure 6).

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this study show that patients with DLB/ PDD treated with memantine had a better 3-year survival, compared with patients treated with placebo, despite both groups receiving subsequent open-label treatment with memantine after 6 months. Within the memantine group, patients who responded positively to treatment had a better survival compared with non-responders. In the placebo group, the frequency of responders was notably high, but was not associated with improved survival. This builds on previous RCT evidence indicating a global benefit from memantine treatment in patients with DLB/PDD.

According to survival analysis, patients in the placebo group died to a greater extent during the 3-year follow-up compared with those originally assigned to the memantine group. However, 29 (90%) of the 32 patients were on treatment with memantine during open-label treatment, and therefore memantine alone cannot be said to enhance survival. Perhaps this could indicate that patients can benefit from treatment with memantine, but only when it is introduced early in the clinical course. Furthermore, this is in line with the study on the same population by Johansson et al,19 who noticed a global improvement in the placebo group as well as in the memantine group during the 30 weeks of open-label follow-up, but the change in CGIC was not significant.

In the small sample of our study, we could not find any differences in baseline characteristics between responders and non-responders in the memantine group (table 1). However, a critical factor characterising a responder subgroup may not be clinically detectable, but could possibly be established by cerebrospinal fluid analysis or by neuroimaging methods.

The underlying mechanisms to why a positive response to memantine implicated longer survival can only be hypothesised at this point. Possible explanations include that the symptomatic benefit translated into better physical health and lower mortality (ie, reduced infections, thrombosis, falls, etc), or that the responder status is a marker of an overall better prognosis, although the lack of difference in the placebo group argues against these explanations. It cannot be ruled out that memantine in some way has direct positive effects on survival. Possibly, if patients or subgroups of patients with DLB/PDD receive memantine at an early stage in their disease, the treatment may target and release cognitive and physical reserve capacity, leading to increasing survival time.

We have no reason to believe that memantine can prevent any of the main causes of death in dementia—cachexia/dehydration, pneumonia (from associated somatic decline or swallowing problems) and cardiovascular disorders.20 21 In our study sample, the mean age
### Table 1 Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total study population (n=32)</th>
<th>Memantine group (n=18)</th>
<th>Placebo group (n=14)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Responders (n=12)</th>
<th>Non-responders (n=6)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Responders (n=9)</th>
<th>Non-responders (n=5)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at baseline (years)</strong></td>
<td>74.3±5.4 ns</td>
<td>73.8±5.5 ns</td>
<td>75.2±5.6 ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>76.5±4.7</td>
<td>75.6±4.6</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender (M:F)</strong></td>
<td>15:3 ns</td>
<td>9:3 ns</td>
<td>6:0 ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>6:3</td>
<td>3:2</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnosis (DLB:PDD)</strong></td>
<td>8:10 ns</td>
<td>5:7 ns</td>
<td>3:3 ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>5:4</td>
<td>3:2</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disease duration (years)</strong></td>
<td>5.8±3.5 ns</td>
<td>6.3±3.4 ns</td>
<td>4.8±3.3 ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>6.3±3.3</td>
<td>6.0±4.2</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concomitant medication, number of yes (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiparkinsonian treatment</td>
<td>14 (78%) ns</td>
<td>10 (83%) ns</td>
<td>4 (67%) ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>8 (89%)</td>
<td>4 (80%)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levodopa dose (mg/day)</td>
<td>625±399 ns</td>
<td>528±154 ns</td>
<td>883±759 ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>407±333</td>
<td>575±266</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholinesterase inhibitors</td>
<td>6 (33%) ns</td>
<td>3 (25%) ns</td>
<td>3 (50%) ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>8 (89%)</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroleptics</td>
<td>3 (17%) ns</td>
<td>1 (8%) ns</td>
<td>2 (33%) ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>3 (33%)</td>
<td>1 (20%)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiolytics</td>
<td>4 (22%) ns</td>
<td>2 (17%) ns</td>
<td>2 (33%) ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressants</td>
<td>7 (39%) ns</td>
<td>4 (33%) ns</td>
<td>3 (50%) ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>1 (11%)</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical features at baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE at baseline</td>
<td>20.7±4.0</td>
<td>20.4±3.8</td>
<td>21.3±4.7 ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>19.4±4.7</td>
<td>21.2±4.2</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPDRS at baseline</td>
<td>34±13</td>
<td>34±14</td>
<td>34±10</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>38±8</td>
<td>45±17</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthostatic hypotension, number of yes (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DLB, dementia with Lewy bodies; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; PDD, Parkinson’s disease with dementia; UPDRS, Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale.
in responders versus non-responders was equal, and there were no differences in drug use or burden of comorbidity. Even though there was no statistical difference, a lower percentage of the patients in the responder group received ChEI. This is related to the relatively greater number of patients with PDD in this group, as patients with PDD are often recruited from neurological outpatient clinics where the use of ChEI is traditionally lower compared with memory clinics.

A potential limitation that our study shares with all long-term follow-up studies on patients with neurodegenerative diseases is the well-known bias due to preselected clinical populations. For patients with Alzheimer disease, it is shown that those who participate in long-term clinical trials with open-label extensions tend to be younger, have a higher level of education and a better financial situation than patients not enrolled in trials.22 23 Our sample consists of the Swedish population out of a multicentre RCT, and despite separate randomisation at all three centres, this subgroup analysis generates another possible selection bias.

The small sample size of our study is a clear limitation. There is a risk that misclassification of individual patients would have a major impact on the result. Cox regression analyses to find possible covariates with effect on survival are not possible due to the small sample size.

Figure 4  Kaplan-Meier estimates of the rate of survival in patients with dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson’s disease with dementia following 24-week placebo-controlled double-blinded treatment with memantine. The group of patients that received treatment with memantine during the first 24 weeks had a higher 3-year survival rate compared with patients in the placebo group (log rank $x^2=4.021$, $p=0.045$).

Figure 5  (A) Kaplan-Meier estimates of the rate of survival in patients with DLB and PDD following 24-week double-blinded treatment with memantine. The group of patients that responded positively to treatment after 24 weeks had a higher 3-year survival rate compared with non-responders ($log rank x^2=6.595$, $p=0.010$). (B) Kaplan-Meier estimates of the rate of survival in patients with DLB and PDD following double-blinded treatment with placebo. There was no difference in survival between the patients who responded positively to placebo compared with the non-responders ($log rank x^2=0.161$, $p=0.689$). CGIC, Clinical Global Impression of Change; DLB, dementia with Lewy bodies; Parkinson’s disease with dementia.
CGIC is a robust and clinically meaningful tool to rate the drug effect and its use is therefore a strength of this study.

Our only outcome measure is survival, which, compared with the change or rate of deterioration in scores on cognitive, functional or behavioural scales, is a solid end point. The natural course of DLB/PDD includes temporary changes over time, and changes in clinical scores can be difficult to interpret. To have survival as the only outcome measure does not exclude patients who are not able to perform the testing, and therefore the number of dropouts during follow-up is minimised.

In this setting, we cannot propose a disease-modifying effect of memantine in patients with DLB/PDD. Our findings are based on clinical data alone, which is insufficient to prove a true disease-modifying effect. To prove such effect, biomarkers in direct association with the underlying disease process are obligate, and our study highlights the need for a validated biomarker programme to detect subgroups of patients and to demonstrate a disease-modifying drug effect, that is, changes in the rate of neurodegeneration. Our intention is to present a new idea, not to report conclusive data. This study is the first to investigate the prognostic value of a positive response to treatment in dementia. Prospective longitudinal clinical trials on patients with DLB/PDD are rare and therefore valuable. A follow-up time of 36 months is unique in clinical trials on these patients.

In conclusion, our interpretation of our results indicates that memantine might enhance survival, and within this group, patients who respond to treatment positively might survive longer. This has an effect on patients, clinicians and health economic analysis. We hope that our findings can be an inspiration for future trials of a larger scale.
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