



LUND UNIVERSITY

Multiple Miscarriages Are Associated with the Risk of Ovarian Cancer: Results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition

Braem, Marieke G. M.; Onland-Moret, N. Charlotte; Schouten, Leo J.; Kruitwagen, Roy F. P. M.; Lukanova, Annetrin; Allen, Naomi E.; Wark, Petra A.; Tjonneland, Anne; Hansen, Louise; Brauner, Christina Marie; Overvad, Kim; Clavel-Chapelon, Françoise; Chabbert-Buffet, Nathalie; Teucher, Birgit; Floegel, Anna; Boeing, Heiner; Trichopoulou, Antonia; Adarakis, George; Plada, Maria; Rinaldi, Sabina; Fedirko, Veronika; Romieu, Isabelle; Pala, Valeria; Galasso, Rocco; Sacerdote, Carlotta; Palli, Domenico; Tumino, Rosario; Bueno-de-Mesquita, H. Bas; Gram, Inger Torhild; Gavrilyuk, Oxana; Lund, Eiliv; Sanchez, Maria-Jose; Bonet, Catalina; Chirlaque, Maria-Dolores; Larranaga, Nerea; Barricarte Gurrea, Aurelio; Quiros, Jose R.; Idahl, Annika; Ohlson, Nina; Lundin, Eva; Jirström, Karin; Butt, Salma; Tsilidis, Konstantinos K.; Khaw, Kay-Tee; Wareham, Nick; Riboli, Elio; Kaaks, Rudolf; Peeters, Petra H. M.

Published in:
PLOS ONE

DOI:
[10.1371/journal.pone.0037141](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037141)

2012

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Braem, M. G. M., Onland-Moret, N. C., Schouten, L. J., Kruitwagen, R. F. P. M., Lukanova, A., Allen, N. E., Wark, P. A., Tjonneland, A., Hansen, L., Brauner, C. M., Overvad, K., Clavel-Chapelon, F., Chabbert-Buffet, N., Teucher, B., Floegel, A., Boeing, H., Trichopoulou, A., Adarakis, G., Plada, M., ... Peeters, P. H. M. (2012). Multiple Miscarriages Are Associated with the Risk of Ovarian Cancer: Results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *PLoS ONE*, 7(5). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037141>

Total number of authors:
48

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

Multiple Miscarriages Are Associated with the Risk of Ovarian Cancer: Results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition

Marieke G. M. Braem^{1*}, N. Charlotte Onland-Moret¹, Leo J. Schouten², Roy F. P. M. Kruitwagen³, Annekatriin Lukanova⁴, Naomi E. Allen⁵, Petra A. Wark⁶, Anne Tjønneland⁷, Louise Hansen⁷, Christina Marie Braüner⁸, Kim Overvad⁸, Françoise Clavel-Chapelon⁹, Nathalie Chabbert-Buffet^{10,11}, Birgit Teucher⁴, Anna Floegel¹², Heiner Boeing¹², Antonia Trichopoulou^{13,14}, George Adarakis¹⁴, Maria Plada¹⁴, Sabina Rinaldi¹⁵, Veronika Fedirko¹⁵, Isabelle Romieu¹⁵, Valeria Pala¹⁶, Rocco Galasso¹⁷, Carlotta Sacerdote^{18,19}, Domenico Palli²⁰, Rosario Tumino²¹, H. Bas Bueno-de-Mesquita^{22,23}, Inger Torhild Gram²⁴, Oxana Gavrilyuk²⁴, Eiliv Lund²⁴, Maria-José Sánchez^{25,26}, Catalina Bonet²⁷, Maria-Dolores Chirlaque^{26,28}, Nerea Larrañaga^{26,29}, Aurelio Barricarte Gurrea^{26,30}, Jose R. Quirós³¹, Annika Idahl^{32,33}, Nina Ohlson³⁴, Eva Lundin³⁴, Karin Jirström³⁵, Salma Butt³⁶, Konstantinos K. Tsilidis^{5,37}, Kay-Tee Khaw³⁸, Nick Wareham³⁹, Elio Riboli⁴⁰, Rudolf Kaaks⁴, Petra H. M. Peeters¹

1 Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands, **2** Department of Epidemiology, GROW - School for Oncology and Developmental Biology, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands, **3** Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, GROW - School for Oncology and Developmental Biology, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands, **4** Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany, **5** Cancer Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, **6** Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, St. Mary's Campus, London, United Kingdom, **7** Institute of Cancer Epidemiology, Danish Cancer Society, Copenhagen, Denmark, **8** Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark, **9** Inserm, Centre for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health, U1018, Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France, **10** Gynecology Department Hospital Tenon AP-HP, Paris, France, **11** University Pierre and Marie Curie, Paris, France, **12** Department of Epidemiology, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbruecke, Nuthetal, Germany, **13** WHO Collaborating Center for Food and Nutrition Policies, Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, University of Athens Medical School, Athens, Greece, **14** Hellenic Health Foundation, Athens, Greece, **15** Section of Nutrition and Metabolism, Nutritional Epidemiology Group, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France, **16** Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori, Milano, Italy, **17** Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico - Centro di Riferimento Oncologico di Basilicata, Unit of Clinical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Cancer Registry, Rionero in Vulture, Italy, **18** Center for Cancer Prevention (CPO-Piemonte), Turin, Italy, **19** Human Genetic Foundation, Torino, Italy, **20** Molecular and Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, Cancer Research and Prevention Institute - ISPO, Florence, Italy, **21** Cancer Registry and Histopathology Unit, "Civile - M.P. Arezzo" Hospital, ASP 7 Ragusa, Italy, **22** National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands, **23** Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Medical Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands, **24** Institute of Community Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Tromsø, Norway, **25** Escuela Andaluza de Salud Pública, Granada, Spain, **26** Consortium for Biomedical Research in Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública-CIBERESP), Barcelona, Spain, **27** Unit of Nutrition, Environment and Cancer, Cancer Epidemiology Research Programme, Catalan Institute of Oncology, Barcelona, Spain, **28** Department of Epidemiology, Murcia Regional Health Authority, Murcia, Spain, **29** Public Health Department of Gipuzkoa, Basque Government, Spain, **30** Navarre Public Health Institute, Pamplona, Spain, **31** Public Health and Health Planning Directorate, Asturias, Spain, **32** Department of Clinical Sciences, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden, **33** Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Nutritional research, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden, **34** Department of Medical Biosciences, Pathology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden, **35** Department of Clinical Sciences, Division of Pathology, Lund University, Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden, **36** Department of Surgery, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden, **37** Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, University of Ioannina Medical School, Ioannina, Greece, **38** University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom, **39** MRC Epidemiology Unit Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom, **40** School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

Abstract

While the risk of ovarian cancer clearly reduces with each full-term pregnancy, the effect of incomplete pregnancies is unclear. We investigated whether incomplete pregnancies (miscarriages and induced abortions) are associated with risk of epithelial ovarian cancer. This observational study was carried out in female participants of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). A total of 274,442 women were followed from 1992 until 2010. The baseline questionnaire elicited information on miscarriages and induced abortions, reproductive history, and lifestyle-related factors. During a median follow-up of 11.5 years, 1,035 women were diagnosed with incident epithelial ovarian cancer. Despite the lack of an overall association (ever vs. never), risk of ovarian cancer was higher among women with multiple incomplete pregnancies (HR_{≥4vs,0}: 1.74, 95% CI: 1.20–2.70; number of cases in this category: n = 23). This association was particularly evident for multiple miscarriages (HR_{≥4vs,0}: 1.99, 95% CI: 1.06–3.73; number of cases in this category: n = 10), with no significant association for multiple induced abortions (HR_{≥4vs,0}: 1.46, 95% CI: 0.68–3.14; number of cases in this category: n = 7). Our findings suggest that multiple miscarriages are associated with an increased risk of epithelial ovarian cancer, possibly through a shared cluster of etiological factors or a common underlying pathology. These findings should be interpreted with caution as this is the first study to show this association and given the small number of cases in the highest exposure categories.

Citation: Braem MGM, Onland-Moret NC, Schouten LJ, Kruitwagen RFP, Lukanova A, et al. (2012) Multiple Miscarriages Are Associated with the Risk of Ovarian Cancer: Results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *PLoS ONE* 7(5): e37141. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037141

Editor: Joellen M. Schildkraut, Duke University Medical Center, United States of America

Received: February 6, 2012; **Accepted:** April 15, 2012; **Published:** May 18, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Braem et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This study was financially supported by the Dutch Cancer Society (UU2008-4267). The coordination of EPIC is financially supported by the European Commission (DG-SANCO) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer. The national cohorts are supported by Danish Cancer Society (Denmark); Ligue Contre le Cancer, Institut Gustave Roussy, Mutuelle Générale de l'Éducation Nationale, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) (France); Deutsche Krebshilfe, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum and Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Germany); the Hellenic Health Foundation, the Stavros Niarchos Foundation and the Hellenic Ministry of Health and Social Solidarity (Greece); Italian Association for Research on Cancer (AIRC) and National Research Council (Italy); Dutch Ministry of Public Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS), Netherlands Cancer Registry (NKR), LK Research Funds, Dutch Prevention Funds, Dutch ZON (Zorg Onderzoek Nederland), World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF), Statistics Netherlands (The Netherlands); Health Research Fund (FIS), Regional Governments of Andalucía, Asturias, Basque Country, Murcia (no. 6236) and Navarra, ISCIII RETIC (RD06/0020) (Spain); Swedish Cancer Society, Swedish Scientific Council and Regional Government of Skåne and Västerbotten (Sweden); Cancer Research United Kingdom, Medical Research Council (United Kingdom). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: m.g.m.braem@umcutrecht.nl

Introduction

Increasing parity reduces the risk of ovarian cancer [1–3], but the association of incomplete pregnancies with risk remains to be characterized. Within EPIC, an 8% lower ovarian cancer risk per full-term pregnancy has been observed [4]. One could hypothesize that pregnancies that terminate early in gestation reduce ovarian cancer risk to a lesser extent. However, epidemiologic findings remain inconsistent, with some reports showing no associations and others showing either inverse or positive associations (as reviewed in [5]).

Incomplete pregnancies include miscarriages (spontaneous losses) and induced abortions (induced losses because of medical indications or unwanted pregnancy), which have diverse aetiologies and, therefore, their association with ovarian cancer risk might differ. Of particular interest are women who have multiple incomplete pregnancies, as the effect of a single event may be too small to detect and/or the risk may not change in a linear manner with each additional incomplete pregnancy.

The aim of the current analysis was to explore the association of multiple miscarriages and induced abortions with risk of epithelial ovarian cancer in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC).

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

All participants signed an informed written consent. The present study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and local institutional review boards in participating centres.

Study Population

EPIC is a prospective cohort study initiated in 1992 in 10 European countries: Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom. Between 1992 and 2000, a total of 519,978 men and women were recruited. Of these, most were aged 25–70 years and recruited from the general population. Exceptions were the Oxford cohort, UK (based on vegetarian volunteers and healthy eaters), the Utrecht cohort, The Netherlands (based on women attending breast cancer screening), the French cohort (based on female members of the health insurance for state school employees), and components of the Italian and Spanish cohorts (members of local blood donor associations). A full description of the study design and cohort has been published elsewhere [6,7].

Our analysis is based on data from all 274,442 female participants after *a priori* exclusion of women with prevalent malignancy ($n = 19,707$) or bilateral oophorectomy ($n = 10,500$) at baseline, incomplete follow-up ($n = 2,209$), missing lifestyle data ($n = 526$), and women from centres with missing information on miscarriages and induced abortions (i.e., Bilthoven, Umea, and Norway). For the analysis on induced abortions, we additionally excluded women from Malmo as information on induced abortions was not available for this centre.

Data Collection

Eligible subjects who decided to participate signed an informed consent form and completed diet and lifestyle questionnaires, which were either interviewer-administered (Naples, Ragusa, Spain and Greece) or mailed self-administered (all other centres). In most countries, participants were invited to a centre for blood collection and anthropometric measurements. Through the baseline questionnaire, women were asked whether they had ever had a miscarriage or an induced abortion, and if so, how many miscarriages and/or induced abortions they have had, as well as the age at first and last abortion. The structure and availability of the questions varied by center. For that reason, all variables were standardized centrally. If a participant recorded either a number of miscarriages/induced abortions or their age at a miscarriage, then, it was assumed that they had experienced a miscarriage. Number of miscarriages/induced abortions have been transformed into 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 or more. Questions on miscarriages and induced abortions were missing for Bilthoven, Umea, and Norway, while, questions on abortions only were missing for Malmo. Therefore, these centres have been excluded from the specific analyses on miscarriages and/or abortions.”

Determination of Menopausal Status

Women were considered as premenopausal at baseline when they reported having had regular menses over the past 12 months or if they were less than 46 years of age. Women were considered postmenopausal when they reported not having had any menses over the past 12 months, or when they were older than 55 years. Women who were between 46 and 55 years of age and who had missing or incomplete questionnaire data for menopausal status were classified as perimenopausal/unknown.

Follow-up

Participants were followed up from study entry and until diagnosis of any cancer (except non-melanoma skin cancer), death,

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Female Participants in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer (EPIC). *

	Miscarriages ^a					Induced Abortions ^b				
	0	1	2-3	≥4		0	1	2-3	≥4	
	n = 176,962	n = 41,800	n = 12,714	n = 1,373		n = 174,538	n = 30,246	n = 11,772	n = 1,808	
Age (years), mean (SD)	52.3 (9.1)	52.5 (9.0)	53.1 (9.0)	53.5 (8.8)		52.3 (9.1)	50.4 (8.6)	51.3 (9.0)	53.5 (9.7)	
Height (cm), median (5 th -95 th pct)	161 (151-172)	161 (151-172)	161 (150-172)	160 (150-172)		161 (150-172)	162 (151-173)	161 (150-172)	158 (148-170)	
BMI (kg/m ²), median (5 th -95 th pct)	24.3 (19.5-33.8)	24.4 (19.5-34.0)	24.7 (19.5-34.7)	25.6 (19.5-35.7)		24.5 (19.5-33.9)	23.8 (19.4-33.3)	24.4 (19.5-34.7)	26.2 (20.3-37.0)	
Menopausal status, n (%)										
Premenopausal	57,024 (32.2)	12,927 (30.9)	3,668 (28.9)	338 (24.6)		57,217 (32.8)	11,498 (38.0)	4,213 (35.8)	543 (30.0)	
Postmenopausal	87,496 (49.4)	21,207 (50.7)	6,716 (52.8)	757 (55.3)		86,928 (49.8)	12,891 (42.6)	5,506 (46.8)	1,020 (56.4)	
Perimenopausal ^c	32,442 (18.3)	7,666 (18.3)	2,330 (18.3)	278 (20.3)		30,393 (17.4)	5,857 (19.4)	2,053 (17.4)	245 (13.6)	
Age at menopause (years), mean (SD)	48.9 (5.0)	48.8 (5.1)	48.7 (5.3)	47.4 (5.7)		48.8 (5.1)	49.0 (5.0)	49.0 (4.9)	48.5 (5.3)	
Ever had a full-term pregnancy, n (%)	170,748 (97.3)	39,435 (95.8)	11,846 (95.1)	1,220 (91.7)		171,234 (98.7)	27,125 (92.3)	10,759 (93.7)	1,711 (95.9)	
Number of full-term pregnancies (n), median (5 th -95 th pct) ^d	2 (1-4)	2 (1-4)	2 (1-5)	2 (1-5)		2 (1-4)	2 (1-4)	2 (1-4)	2 (1-5)	
Ever used oral contraceptives, n (%)	102,285 (58.0)	24,349 (58.5)	7,031 (55.5)	736 (53.9)		99,285 (57.1)	20,594 (68.3)	6,787 (57.9)	701 (38.9)	
Duration of oral contraceptive use (years), median (5 th -95 th pct) ^e	6.0 (1.0-25.0)	5.0 (1.0-25.0)	4.0 (1.0-25.0)	3.0 (1.0-25.0)		5.0 (1.0-25.0)	6.0 (1.0-25.0)	5.0 (1.0-25.0)	3.0 (1.0-23.0)	
Ever used hormone therapy, n (%)	42,696 (25.9)	10,664 (27.2)	3,219 (27.0)	356 (27.9)		42,354 (25.2)	7,920 (27.8)	2,829 (25.2)	336 (19.0)	
Duration of hormone therapy (years), median (5 th -95 th pct) ^e	2.2 (0.3-11.8)	2.3 (0.3-12.0)	2.3 (0.3-12.7)	2.1 (0.3-13.0)		2.2 (0.3-11.1)	2.3 (0.3-12.0)	2.3 (0.3-12.0)	2.1 (0.2-13.0)	

^aData on miscarriages were missing for Bilthoven (the Netherlands), Umea (Sweden), and Norway.

^bData on induced abortions were missing for Bilthoven (the Netherlands), Sweden, and Norway.

^cWomen who were between 46 and 55 years of age and who had missing or incomplete questionnaire data for menopausal status.

^dAmong parous women only.

^eAmong users only.

^fPercentages may not add up to 100% because of missing values.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; n, number; pct, percentile; SD, standard deviation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037141.t001

Table 2. Incomplete Pregnancies and the Risk of Ovarian Cancer in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer (EPIC), 1992–2010.

	n cases	PY	Model 1 ^a HR (95% CI)	Model 2 ^b HR (95% CI)
Incomplete pregnancies^c				
Never	492	1,456,074	Reference	Reference
Ever	298	936,943	1.00 (0.86–1.16)	1.02 (0.88–1.19)
Number of incomplete pregnancies ^d				
0 (reference)	488	1,446,005	Reference	Reference
1	172	622,724	0.91 (0.76–1.08)	0.92 (0.77–1.11)
2–3	101	301,724	1.11 (0.89–1.38)	1.15 (0.92–1.43)
≥4	23	46,927	1.74 (1.13–2.67)	1.74 (1.20–2.70)
Miscarriages				
Never	665	1,949,976	Reference	Reference
Ever	206	622,878	0.96 (0.82–1.13)	0.97 (0.83–1.14)
Number of miscarriages ^d				
0 (reference)	665	1,949,976	Reference	Reference
1	136	460,243	0.86 (0.72–1.04)	0.86 (0.71–1.05)
2–3	58	140,525	1.20 (0.91–1.57)	1.23 (0.93–1.61)
≥4	10	15,132	1.94 (1.03–3.63)	1.99 (1.06–3.73)
Induced abortions				
Never	646	1,910,876	Reference	Reference
Ever	140	466,700	1.01 (0.83–1.22)	1.04 (0.86–1.27)
Number of induced abortions ^d				
0 (reference)	646	1,910,876	Reference	Reference
1	85	321,772	0.88 (0.70–1.11)	0.92 (0.73–1.16)
2–3	47	123,316	1.32 (0.97–1.80)	1.32 (0.97–1.81)
≥4	7	18,269	1.40 (0.65–3.01)	1.46 (0.68–3.14)

^aStratified for centre and age.

^bAdjusted for parity (number of full term pregnancies) and oral contraceptive use (duration of use), body mass index (continuous), menopausal status (pre-, peri- or postmenopausal), educational level (none, primary school, technical/professional school, secondary school, university), and age at menarche (years, continuous).

^cDefined as either having had a miscarriage or an induced abortion.

^dNumber of incomplete pregnancies is missing for 2 cases. Number of miscarriages is missing for 2 cases. Number of induced abortions is missing for 1 case.

Abbreviations: CI, Confidence Interval; HR, Hazard Ratio, PY, person-years.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037141.t002

emigration, or until the end of the follow-up period, whichever occurred first. Incident epithelial ovarian cancer was defined as ovarian, fallopian tube and primary peritoneal cancer (ICD-O-2 codes C56.9, C57.0, and C48, respectively). Incident cancer cases were identified through either population-based cancer registries or a combination of methods including health insurance records, cancer and pathology registries, and active follow-up through study participants and their next-of-kin. Mortality data were also obtained from either the cancer registry or mortality registries at the regional or national level. The end of the follow-up period was different for different centres and ranged between December 2004 and June 2010.

Data Analysis

Person-years at risk were calculated from the start of the study until censoring at the date of diagnosis of any ovarian cancer, death, emigration, other loss to follow-up or the date at which follow-up ended, defined as the last date at which follow-up data were judged to be complete or the last date of contact in the centers that used active follow-up.

Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated using Cox proportional hazards models with age as the underlying time variable and stratified by centre and age. Multivariate models were adjusted for parity (number of full-term pregnancies), duration of oral contraceptive use (continuous), body mass index (continuous), menopausal status (pre-, peri-, or postmenopausal), education (none, primary school, technical/professional school, secondary school, university), and age at menarche (continuous). Missing covariate values were imputed using mean substitution [8].

We performed a sensitivity analysis restricted to postmenopausal women as these women had complete information on number of miscarriages and induced abortions since they were at the end of their fertile life. In this sensitivity analysis we additionally adjusted for age at menopause (continuous) and duration of hormone therapy (continuous).

An additional sensitivity analysis was performed by excluding the first 5 years of follow-up.

All statistical analyses were carried out using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC).

Results

During a median follow-up of 11.5 years, 1,035 women were diagnosed with incident epithelial ovarian cancer (92.9% ovarian, 3.6% fallopian tube, and 3.5% peritoneal cancers).

Compared with women who never had a miscarriage, women with multiple miscarriages (≥ 2) were slightly older, had higher body mass index, were more likely to be postmenopausal, less likely to have had a full-term pregnancy, less likely to have used oral contraceptives, and more likely to have used hormonal therapy (Table 1). These differences were larger for women with four or more miscarriages. Compared with women who had never had an induced abortion, women who had had 4 or more induced abortions were slightly older, had a lower body mass index, were more likely to be postmenopausal, less likely to have used oral contraceptives, and more likely to have used hormonal therapy (Table 1).

We observed no association between ever having had an incomplete pregnancy or having had one incomplete pregnancy and risk of ovarian cancer, as compared with never having had an incomplete pregnancy (Table 2). However, women with 4 or more incomplete pregnancies had a significantly higher risk (HR _{≥ 4 vs.0}: 1.74, 95% CI: 1.20–2.70; number of cases in this category: $n = 23$). When the number of incomplete pregnancies was divided into miscarriages and induced abortions, women with a history of ≥ 4 miscarriages had a statistically significant 2-fold increased risk (HR: 1.99, 95% CI: 1.06–3.73; number of cases in this category: $n = 10$), although this estimate is based on a small number of cases. No association was observed for women who had only one miscarriage. Results were stronger among women who were postmenopausal at baseline (HR _{≥ 4 vs.0}: 2.35, 95% CI: 1.16–4.77; number of cases in this category: $n = 8$). No substantial differences were observed when restricting analyses to ever-pregnant women and when stratifying by age at first pregnancy (< 30 vs. ≥ 30 years; results not shown). To prevent reverse causation we performed an additional sensitivity analysis (all menopausal states included), for which we excluded the first 5 years of follow-up (9,343 women excluded). This resulted in a stronger association (HR _{≥ 4 vs.0}: 2.25, 95% CI: 1.06–4.79; number of cases in this category: $n = 7$).

Multiple induced abortions were not significantly associated with epithelial ovarian cancer risk (Table 2; HR _{≥ 4 vs.0}: 1.46, 95% CI: 0.68–3.14; number of cases in this category: $n = 7$).

Discussion

In this large prospective cohort study, we observed a 2-fold increased risk of epithelial ovarian cancer among women with 4 or more miscarriages.

This is the first prospective study that investigated the association of multiple miscarriages with ovarian cancer. Most case-control studies only investigated ever versus never had a miscarriage and did not observe an association [5]. Only one study investigated ≥ 3 miscarriages and none of the studies investigated ≥ 4 miscarriages [5]. Moreover, these studies were generally underpowered. The results of our study suggest that an association may be present only for women with multiple miscarriages, who are likely to have a more pronounced cluster of etiological factors leading to recurrent events. As the etiology of recurrent miscarriage itself is not fully understood, it is difficult to argue about plausible mechanisms underlying the observed association in this study. Women who experience multiple miscarriages may have an underlying pathology which predisposes them to ovarian cancer as well. A possible mechanism underlying this association

might be an endocrinopathy, such as luteal phase deficiency, which is characterized by inadequate corpus luteum progesterone production resulting in progesterone deficiency [9–11]. Progesterone is thought to play a major protective role in ovarian cancer aetiology [12,13]. Factors related to a lack of progesterone have been consistently shown to increase ovarian cancer risk [12–13]. However, the incidence of luteal phase deficiency in recurrent miscarriage is estimated to be only 10–28% [10], thus this would only explain part of the association. It is important to note that all possible mechanisms discussed here are speculative and further research is needed to fully understand this association.

In line with most studies we observed no overall association between induced abortions and ovarian cancer risk, whereas two studies reported a reduced risk [5]. However, our result should be interpreted with care for several reasons. It has been shown that induced abortions are often under- or misreported [14–16], and it could be that women who underwent surgical curettage for miscarriage or other medical indications misreported this miscarriage as an induced abortion. On the other hand, in many countries induced abortion is illegal and this may also lead to under-reporting.

Our results suggest that miscarriages and induced abortions may have different effects on ovarian cancer risk and therefore examining the association between incomplete pregnancies and risk of ovarian cancer may obscure any real association.

A major strength of this large cohort study is the prospective design, with detailed exposure and covariate assessment prior to diagnosis. A limitation of our study is the relatively small number of cases in the top categories of incomplete pregnancies. In addition, we do not know in which week of the pregnancy the miscarriage or induced abortion occurred. Miscarriages most frequently occur during the 6th to 12th week of pregnancy and our results, therefore, are most likely driven by these early pregnancies. We have not been able to review medical charts to confirm a women's self-report of miscarriage/induced abortion. However, underreporting seems more likely than over-reporting. If we indeed have missed some miscarriages or induced abortions, this would cause non-differential misclassification since data on pregnancy loss were collected prior to cancer diagnosis. The result would be an attenuation of the risk estimates. An additional limitation of our study is that information about pregnancy loss was collected at baseline only. Among premenopausal women this information might therefore be incomplete, which again may lead to an attenuation of the association. Among postmenopausal women, for whom these data were complete, indeed, we observed a stronger association between multiple miscarriages and ovarian cancer risk.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that multiple miscarriages are associated with an increased risk of epithelial ovarian cancer. As this is the first prospective study that observed this association, more studies are needed to confirm or dispute our findings.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MGMB NCOM LJS RFPKM AL NEA PAW AT LH CMB KO FCC NCB BT AF HB AT GA MP SR VF IR VP RG CS DP RT HBBM ITG OG EL MJS CB MDS NL ABG JRQ AI NO EL KJ SB KKT KTK NW ER RK PHMP. Analyzed the data: MGMB NCOM LJS AL NEA PAW PHMP. Wrote the paper: MGMB NCOM LJS RFPKM AL NEA PAW AT LH CMB KO FCC NCB BT AF HB AT GA MP SR VF IR VP RG CS DP RT HBBM ITG OG EL MJS CB MDC NL ABG JRQ AI NO EL KJ SB KKT KTK NW ER RK PHMP.

References

1. La Vecchia C (2001) Epidemiology of ovarian cancer: a summary review. *Eur J Cancer Prev.* 10: 125–129.
2. Riman T, Persson I, Nilsson S (1998) Hormonal aspects of epithelial ovarian cancer: review of epidemiological evidence. *Clin Endocrinol.* 49(6): 695–707.
3. Sueblinvong T, Carney M (2009) Current Understanding of Risk Factors for Ovarian Cancer. *Curr Treat Options Oncol.* 10(1): 67.
4. Tsilidis KK, Allen NE, Key TJ, Dossus L, Lukanova A, et al. (2011) Oral contraceptive use and reproductive factors and risk of ovarian cancer in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *Br J Cancer.* 105(9): 1436–42.
5. Dick M-L, Siskind V, Purdie D, Green A (2009) Incomplete pregnancy and risk of ovarian cancer: results from two Australian case-control studies and systematic review. *Cancer Causes Control.* 20(9): 1571.
6. Riboli E, Hunt KJ, Slimani N, Ferrari P, Norat T, et al. (2002) European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC): study populations and data collection. *Public Health Nutr.* 5(6B): 1113–24.
7. Riboli E, Kaaks R (1997) The EPIC project: Rationale and study design. *Int J Epidemiol.* 26: S6–S14.
8. Musil CM, Warner CB, Yobas PK, Jones SL (2002) A Comparison of Imputation Techniques for Handling Missing Data. *West J Nurs Res.* 24(7): 815–29.
9. Christiansen OB (1996) A fresh look at the causes and treatments of recurrent miscarriage, especially its immunological aspects. *Hum Reprod Update.* 2(4): 271–93.
10. Potdar N, Konje JC (2005) The endocrinological basis of recurrent miscarriages. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol.* 17(4): 424–28.
11. Miller H, Durant Ja, Ross Dm, O'Connell FJ (1969) Corpus luteum deficiency as a cause of early recurrent abortion: a case history. *Fertil Steril.* 20(3): 433–8.
12. Lukanova A, Kaaks R (1998) Endogenous Hormones and Ovarian Cancer: Epidemiology and Current Hypotheses. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 14(1): 98–107.
13. Risch HA (1998) Hormonal etiology of epithelial ovarian cancer, with a hypothesis concerning the role of androgens and progesterone. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 90(23): 1774–86.
14. Fu H, Darroch JE, Henshaw SK, Kolb E (1998) Measuring the extent of abortion underreporting in the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth. *Fam Plann Perspect.* 30(3): 128.
15. Anderson BA, Katus K, Puur A, Silver BD (1994) The Validity of Survey Responses on Abortion: Evidence from Estonia. *Demography.* 31(1): 115.
16. Rookus MA, van Leeuwen FE (1996) Induced Abortion and Risk for Breast Cancer: Reporting (Recall) Bias in a Dutch Case-Control Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 88(23): 1759–64.