Massive MIMO channels - measurements and models
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Abstract—Spatial multiplexing using Massive MIMO has been shown to have very promising properties, including large gains in spectral efficiency and several orders of magnitude lower transmit power, as compared to today’s access schemes. The properties of massive MIMO have been studied mostly for theoretical channels with independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) complex Gaussian coefficients. To efficiently evaluate massive MIMO in more realistic scenarios, we need channel models that capture important massive MIMO channel characteristics. We pursue this by analyzing measurement data from a measurement campaign in the 2.6 GHz frequency range, using a physically large array with 128 elements. Key propagation characteristics are identified from the measurements. We use the cluster-based COST 2100 MIMO channel model as a basis, and propose an extension to include those important propagation properties for massive MIMO. Statistical models of the total number of clusters, their visibility regions and visibility gains at the base station side are found based on the measurement data.

I. INTRODUCTION

Massive MIMO, also known as very-large MIMO or large-scale antenna systems, is an emerging technology in wireless communications. With massive MIMO, we consider multi-user MIMO (MU-MIMO) where a base station is equipped with a large number (say, tens to hundreds) of antennas, and is serving several single-antenna users in the same time-frequency resource.

It has been shown both in theory and in real propagation environments that massive MIMO has very promising properties, including large gains in spectral efficiency and several orders of magnitude lower transmit power [1]–[4], as compared to conventional MIMO systems with a small number of antennas at the base station. So far, theoretical studies of massive MIMO are mostly done in channels with i.i.d. complex Gaussian coefficients. However, to efficiently evaluate such a new technique in more realistic scenarios, new channel models are needed that capture important properties of real massive MIMO propagation channels.

Unlike conventional MIMO with small and compact antenna arrays, massive MIMO with a large number of antennas can have antenna arrays that span tens to hundreds of wavelengths in space. Over this type of large arrays, the propagation channel cannot be seen as wide-sense stationary (WSS) as is usually the case for conventional small MIMO. This has been observed in measured channels using a 128-element linear array, as reported in [5] and [6]. When we resolve the propagation channel into scatterers, we observe that some scatterers are not visible over the whole array, and for scatterers being visible over the whole array, their power contribution may vary considerably. Thus, large-scale/shadow fading can be experienced over this large array. The power variation caused by the large-scale/shadow fading over the antenna array can be critical to performance evaluation and algorithm design for massive MIMO. Therefore, it is important to model the non-WSS characteristic of the propagation channel over the array and include it in new channel models.

We start from a well-known MIMO channel model - the COST 2100 model [7], in which only small and compact MIMO arrays have been considered so far. Based on channel measurements using the 128-element linear array, we identify propagation properties of massive MIMO channels that are missing in the COST 2100 model. Then we propose an extension to include these massive MIMO properties. These propagation properties are also modeled statistically, using the measurement data.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we give a brief introduction on the COST 2100 MIMO channel model. In Sec. III, we describe our massive MIMO channel measurements, measurement data processing, and propagation properties that are observed from the measured channels. Then in Sec. IV we propose an extension of the COST 2100 model to include massive MIMO channel characteristics. Finally we summarize this modeling work in Sec. V.

II. COST 2100 MIMO CHANNEL MODEL

The COST 2100 MIMO channel model is a geometry-based stochastic channel model (GSCM) that can reproduce the stochastic properties of MIMO channels over time, frequency, and space. It characterizes and models the radio channel in delay and directional domains, through the geometric distribution of scatterers, or clusters, i.e., groups of multipath components (MPCs), in the propagation environment. This cluster-based channel model describes the physical channel and is antenna-independent. The directional domain, when combined with antenna array responses at transmit and receive side, can be directly transformed into the spatial dimension for wideband MIMO channel simulations.

One advantage of cluster-based channel models is that they model the time-variant/spatially-variant nature of the radio channels. In the COST 2100 model, this is done by introducing cluster visibility regions, as one of the key modeling concepts. A visibility region (VR) is a region on the azimuth plane in the simulation area, which determines the visibility of a particular cluster. Each cluster is associated with at least one VR. When a mobile enters a VR, the related cluster becomes “visible”, and contributes scattering through the corresponding MPCs in the radio channel between the mobile and the base station.
The power level is controlled by a function called visibility gain, which describes the power variation of the scattering contribution within a VR. The mobile can be located in an area where multiple VRs overlap, and in this case, multiple clusters are “visible” simultaneously. The VRs are assumed to be uniformly distributed in the simulation area. When a mobile moves in the simulation area, it enters and leaves different cluster VRs. In this way, the time-variation/spatial-variation of the channel due to the movement is modeled by the variation of scattering contribution from different clusters. In the current COST 2100 model, the cluster VRs are only used at the mobile side, since the mobile terminal movement is one of the main causes of temporal and spatial variations of the channels. However, for a massive MIMO base station, when the antenna array becomes physically much larger than today’s small and compact arrays, the effect of a spatially-variant channel can be experienced, but now over the large antenna array at the base station. This is shown and discussed when we analyze channel measurements in Sec. III.

More details on the COST 2100 MIMO channel model, such as general structure, parameterization, implementation and validation, can be found in [7]–[9].

III. CHANNEL MEASUREMENTS AND PROCESSING

In order to characterize and model massive MIMO channels, measurements with a large virtual array were performed. Since the cluster-based COST 2100 channel model is our modeling basis, we extract clusters from the measured channels and investigate the channel behavior of massive MIMO at a cluster level. Comparing with conventional small MIMO channels, we identify missing properties of massive MIMO in the current model. Channel measurements, measurement data processing, and observed channel behavior are presented in the following.

A. Channel measurements

The measurements were carried out outdoors around the E-building of the Faculty of Engineering (LTH), Lund University, Sweden. An overview of the semi-urban measurement area is shown in Fig. 1 (left). The base station (receive) antenna array was placed on the roof of E-building. It is a 128-element virtual linear array and spans 7.4 m in space. The distance between adjacent antenna element positions is half a wavelength at 2.6 GHz. Fig. 1 (upper and lower right) shows this physically large array with an omni-directional antenna moving along a rail, forming the virtual linear array with 128 equidistant antenna positions. Lower right: another view of the same virtual linear array spanning 7.4 m.

From the raw measurement data, i.e., the channel transfer functions, we need to investigate the massive MIMO channel behavior at a cluster level and identify propagation properties that are missing in the current model. For each measured position, in order to extract the clusters in the channel, we apply a sliding window with 10 neighboring antennas over the array. From the raw measurement data within each window, we estimate the MPCs with parameters of delay, angle of arrival (AoA) in azimuth and complex amplitude, through the space-alternating generalized expectation maximization (SAGE) algorithm [10]. Based on the estimated MPC parameters, joint clustering and tracking [11] is performed. Clusters are identified by grouping the MPCs through the Kpower-Means clustering algorithm [12] for each 10-antenna window, then the identified clusters are tracked over windows along the array. The reason we process the channel data based on 10-antenna windows is that the channel can be considered as wide-sense stationary (WSS) within such window. On the basis of these WSS sub-channels, we can study the spatial-variation of the whole channel over the array. Furthermore, when the SAGE algorithm estimates the directional information1, 10 antennas can provide relatively high angular resolution.

Through the above processing of raw measurement data, we can investigate the channel behavior at a cluster level. Angular power spectrum from the SAGE estimates and corresponding cluster power variations over the array from joint clustering and tracking are shown in Fig. 2 as examples. Fig. 2(a) and 2(b) show the angular power spectrum over the array in one LOS scenario and one NLOS scenario, respectively. From here we can see the spatial-variation of the channel over the

1The range of directional estimation is 0-180 degrees for the linear array. This is due to the directional ambiguity problem inherent in this type of array structure [13], thus it does not affect the channel modeling for it.
IV. MODELING FOR LARGE ARRAY

From the observation of measured channel behavior discussed above, we know that massive MIMO channels can have significant spatial-variation over the large array. To extend the current COST 2100 model to support large arrays, this spatial-variation at the base station side needs to be modeled and included. A simple way for this is to extend the concept of cluster visibility regions, as discussed in Sec. II, to the base station side. The idea is that each cluster should have two types of VRs, one at mobile station side (MS-VR), and one at base station side (BS-VR). Similar to how a mobile terminal moves in and out of MS-VRs on the mobile side, antenna elements along the large array are either inside or outside BS-VRs at the base station side. This is illustrated in Fig. 3, where colored regions imply visibility of different clusters along a large linear array.

After introducing the concept of cluster VRs on the base station side, we determine what needs to be modeled in the extension to include massive MIMO. First of all, the total number of clusters that are visible over a large array should be modeled. As can be seen from Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, more clusters are visible for a large array as compared to a small array, so the number of clusters in the current model is not suitable any more. Then, for each cluster, we assign BS-VR to it together with MS-VR, we therefore need to model the properties of BS-VRs, such as shape and size. It should be mentioned that the modeling of MS-VR in the current model cannot be directly used for BS-VR. This is because the mobile station and the base station usually have very different propagation environments in their vicinity. Mobile stations are usually moving on ground, while base stations are typically positioned on top of buildings. Another thing that should be taken into account is the cluster power variations within the BS-VRs. In the current model, the average power contribution of a cluster depends on the geometry of the cluster location in the simulated propagation environment. As an extension, what we need to model here is only the variation of cluster power, which is the cluster visibility gain at the base station side.

The modeling of the total number of clusters, cluster visibility region and visibility gain at the base station side are discussed in the following. We model them statistically based on the processed measurement data. As being done for the current model in [8], LOS and NLOS scenarios are modeled and parameterized separately, since they show different statistics from the measurement data. Here we show the modeling and parameterization for NLOS scenarios, based on the measurements at MS 4-8 (see Fig. 1), as an example. For LOS scenarios, the modeling and parameterization are done in the same way, but result in different values on the
that overlap one or both ends of the array. For the former case, the observed BS-VR length on the array is the cluster’s true BS-VR length, while for the latter case, the true BS-VR length may be much longer than what is observed on the array. Since the physical size of the linear array is limited, we can only measure part of the length of many cluster BS-VRs. In order to model the true BS-VR lengths from the observed data, we derive the relationship between the true BS-VR length and the observed BS-VR length, depending on the BS-VR center position along the array. This relationship is illustrated in Fig. 5. For the three cases of the BS-VR being entirely inside the array, outside the array at one end and outside the array at both ends, we can write the observed BS-VR length as a function of the true length and the center position $X_c$. We assume that the cluster BS-VR center positions $X_c$ are uniformly distributed along the line of the array in space, just as in the current model the MS-VRs are uniformly distributed in the simulation area. Then we can find the relationship between the distributions of $\Delta$ and $\alpha$. The cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the observed BS-VR lengths, $K_\Delta(y)$, can be written as a function of the probability density function (PDF) of the true BS-VR lengths, $f_\alpha(\nu)$, as
\[
K_\Delta(y) = \begin{cases} 
K'_\Delta(\nu), & y \leq L \\
1, & y > L, 
\end{cases}
\] (1)
where
\[
K'_\Delta(\nu) = \int_{\Delta_0}^{y} f_\alpha(\nu)d\nu + 2y \int_{y}^{\infty} \frac{1}{L+\nu} f_\alpha(\nu)d\nu - 2\Delta_0 \int_{\Delta_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{L+\nu} f_\alpha(\nu)d\nu,
\] (2)
$L$ is the length of the array, and $\Delta_0$ is the smallest observation of the BS-VR length on the array due to measurement data processing.

Having the relationship of the distributions of the observed BS-VR lengths and the true BS-VR lengths above, we can assume any particular distribution of the true BS-VR lengths, i.e., $f_\alpha(\nu)$, and find its parameters through an MLE approach based on the observed data. Here we select a log-normal distribution, judging from the shape of the empirical distribution seen in the measurements, and estimate its two parameters. The estimation result is shown in Fig. 6(a), where the true BS-VR length follows log-normal distribution with the estimated parameters. We can also see the fitting of the distribution of observed BS-VR lengths from the MLE result to the measured data, as shown in Fig. 6(b) and Fig. 6(c). Fig. 6(c) shows the data of BS-VR entirely inside the array and the data of BS-VR outside the array separately. We can see that for the part that BS-VRs are entirely inside the array, the MLE result fits quite well, while for the part that BS-VRs are outside the array, the MLE fitting in the CDF plot is slightly higher than the measured data. Despite this, we can see in Fig. 6(b) the MLE fitting is quite good for the whole data set.

C. Cluster visibility gain at the base station side

For the cluster power variations within the BS-VRs, since the small-scale fading has already been modeled as the con-
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Fig. 6. Distributions of the true BS-VR lengths and observed BS-VR lengths on the array. (a) CDF of the estimated true BS-VR lengths, which follows a log-normal distribution with the estimated parameters of logarithm mean µ = −0.21 and logarithm standard deviation σ = 2. (b) CDF of the observed BS-VR lengths on the array and the fitting through the MLE approach. (c) CDFs of the observed BS-VR lengths and the fittings through the MLE approach, splitting into two groups: BS-VRs entirely inside the array and BS-VRs outside the array at one or both ends.

Fig. 7. CDF of the slopes of cluster power variations in their BS-VRs. It follows normal distribution with the estimated parameters of the mean µ = −0.21 and the standard deviation σ = 0.9.

In this paper, the ongoing work of cluster-based modeling for massive MIMO channel is presented. We start from the well-known COST 2100 MIMO channel model, in which only small and compact MIMO arrays have been considered so far. Based on channel measurements using a physically large array with 128 elements, we have studied the massive MIMO channel behavior and identified important propagation properties missing in the current COST 2100 model. The observation is that the channel cannot be seen as wide-sense stationary over the large array at the base station. Therefore, an extension of the current model to support large arrays is proposed. The concept of cluster visibility regions in the current model is extended to the base station side to model the spatial-variation of the channel over the large array. Then statistical models of the total number of clusters, their visibility regions and visibility gains at the base station side are found based on the measured data.

V. SUMMARY

In this paper, the ongoing work of cluster-based modeling for massive MIMO channel is presented. We start from the well-known COST 2100 MIMO channel model, in which only small and compact MIMO arrays have been considered so far. Based on channel measurements using a physically large array with 128 elements, we have studied the massive MIMO channel behavior and identified important propagation properties missing in the current COST 2100 model. The observation is that the channel cannot be seen as wide-sense stationary over the large array at the base station. Therefore, an extension of the current model to support large arrays is proposed. The concept of cluster visibility regions in the current model is extended to the base station side to model the spatial-variation of the channel over the large array. Then statistical models of the total number of clusters, their visibility regions and visibility gains at the base station side are found based on the measured data.
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