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ABSTRACT

Aims. We measure transition probabilities for Cr $\text{II}$ transitions from the $z^4\text{H}_i$, $z^2\text{D}_g$, $y^4\text{F}_j$, and $y^6\text{G}_i$ levels in the energy range 63 000 to 68 000 cm$^{-1}$.

Methods. Radiative lifetimes were measured using time-resolved laser-induced fluorescence from a laser-produced plasma. In addition, branching fractions were determined from intensity-calibrated spectra recorded with a UV Fourier transform spectrometer. The branching fractions and radiative lifetimes were combined to yield accurate transition probabilities and oscillator strengths.

Results. We present laboratory measured transition probabilities for 145 Cr $\text{II}$ lines and radiative lifetimes for 14 Cr $\text{II}$ levels. The laboratory-measured transition probabilities are compared to the values from semi-empirical calculations and laboratory measurements in the literature.
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1. Introduction

Spectral analysis of astrophysical objects depends on the availability of accurate laboratory data including radiative lifetimes and transition probabilities. Lines of Cr $\text{II}$ are observed in a broad range of stellar and nebular spectra (e.g. Merrill 1951; Shevchenko 1994; Andrievsky et al. 1994), and accurate Cr data are required for stellar abundance studies (Babel & Lanz 1992; Dimitrijević et al. 2007). In particular, several chemically peculiar stars show unexpectedly high abundances of Cr (Rice & Wehlau 1994; López-García et al. 2001).

Radiative lifetimes in Cr $\text{II}$ have been measured with the beam-foil technique by Pinnington et al. (1973) and Engman et al. (1975) and with the time-resolved laser-induced fluorescence (TRLIF) technique by Schade et al. (1990), Pinnington et al. (1993), and Nilsson et al. (2006). In addition, branching fraction ($BF$) measurements were combined with radiative lifetimes to yield transition probabilities (Bergeson & Lawler 1993; Spréger et al. 1994; Gonzalez et al. 1994; Nilsson et al. 2006), and oscillator strengths were measured by Musielok & Wujec (1979), Goly & Weniger (1980) and Wujec & Weniger (1981) using a wall-stabilized arc. Semi-empirical oscillator strengths have been calculated by Kurucz (1988) using the Cowan code, by Luke (1988) using the R matrix method, and by Raasen & Uylings (1997) using the orthogonal operator method.

Several studies have found that the stellar chromium abundance determined from Cr $\text{I}$ lines is significantly different to the abundance using Cr $\text{II}$ lines (McWilliam et al. 1995; Sobeck et al. 2007; Lai et al. 2008). This difference is greater than the uncertainty in the stellar observations and measured oscillator strengths. Furthermore, the difference increases as the metallicity of the star decreases. Sobeck et al. (2007) indicate that one possible explanation of this discrepancy could be non-LTE effects not included in the stellar model. Sobeck et al. (2007) propose that, in order to resolve this issue additional laboratory investigations of chromium should focus on weak branches of Cr $\text{II}$ and that the Cr abundance should be reanalyzed with a three-dimensional hydrodynamical model. In addition, the need for more laboratory measured Cr $\text{II}$ transition probabilities is discussed by Wallace & Hinkle (2009).

In this paper we present transition probabilities for 145 lines in Cr $\text{II}$ from 14 upper levels, see the partial energy level diagram of Cr $\text{II}$ in Fig. 1. The lifetimes of the upper levels have been measured with the TRLIF technique.

2. Laboratory measurements

The lifetime of an upper state $i$ can be written as

$$\tau_i = 1/\sum_k A_{ik}, \quad (1)$$

where $A_{ik}$ is the transition probability of a line from upper level $i$ to lower level $k$. The $BF$ of the line is defined as

$$BF_{ik} = A_{ik}/\sum_k A_{ik} = I_{ik}/\sum_k I_{ik}, \quad (2)$$
Fig. 1. Partial energy level diagram of Cr II (Ralchenko et al. 2009). Only terms belonging to the 3d$^4$4p configuration are shown and ordered by their respective parent term shown above the diagram. The investigated upper levels are shown as dark grey boxes and the lower levels to which they decay are shown as light grey boxes.

where $I_\text{ik}$ is the measured intensity corrected for the instrumental response. Combining these two equations gives the transition probabilities as

$$A_{ik} = BF_{ik}/\tau_i.$$  

The following subsections describe the measurements of the lifetimes and $BF$s.

2.1. Radiative lifetimes

We measured lifetimes for 14 odd parity levels in Cr II belonging to the 3d$^4$4p configuration. The lifetimes were measured using the TRLIF technique at the Lund High Power Laser Facility. This technique has been described in detail in the literature (see Bergström et al. 1988; Xu et al. 2003), so only a brief description is given here.

A laser-produced “plasma-cone” containing Cr atoms and ions in metastable levels was created by focusing a Nd:YAG laser (Continuum Surelite) onto a target of pure Cr. Cr$^+$ ions in metastable states were excited to levels of opposite parity using a pump laser and the fluorescence from the excited levels was recorded as a function of time. The excitation pulses were created by pumping a Continuum Nd-60 dye laser with a Nd:YAG Continuum NY-82 laser. The pulses from the Nd:YAG pump laser were shortened from 10 to 1.5 ns using stimulated Brillouin scattering. The Nd-60 dye laser used a DCM dye to produce light between 6000 and 6700 Å. A broader wavelength coverage was achieved by using nonlinear effects in KDP and BBO crystals and Raman shifts in a H$_2$ cell.

A 1/8 m monochromator was used to select the observable fluorescence wavelength. The fluorescence signal was recorded with a micro-channel plate photomultiplier tube with a rise time of 0.2 ns. The shape of the excitation pulse was measured with the same system as the fluorescence signal. The lifetimes were extracted by fitting the fluorescence data with a single exponential convoluted with the shape of the laser pulse. Each lifetime curve was averaged over 1000 laser shots, and the final lifetimes given in Table 1 are averages of at least 10 lifetime curves. The uncertainties in the lifetimes include both statistical and systematic errors.

2.2. Branching fractions

The $BF$s were measured from spectra recorded with the Chelsea Instrument FT500 UV FT spectrometer at Lund Observatory. The light source was a Penning discharge lamp with pure Cr cathodes and operated with Ne as buffer gas. The light source was operated at a current between 0.8 and 1.3 A, and with a carrier gas pressure of 40 mTorr. The Penning discharge lamp provides an intensity stable emission spectrum over several hours enabling high signal-to-noise (S/N) spectra to be recorded. Figure 2 shows part of the observed spectrum.

Three separate spectral regions were recorded to cover the wavenumber region between 20 000 and 52 000 cm$^{-1}$. The spectra were intensity-calibrated with standard lamps with known spectral radiances and by Cr II $BF$s previously measured by Nilsson et al. (2006). A continuous deuterium (D$_2$) lamp was used in the wavenumber range 32 000–52 000 cm$^{-1}$. A tungsten strip lamp was used in the wavenumber range 20 000–28 000 cm$^{-1}$ and the 28 000–32 000 cm$^{-1}$ wavenumber region was calibrated using previously intensity-calibrated Cr II $BF$s from the data of Nilsson et al. (2006). The calibration was performed with a software routine implemented in the program XGremlin (Nave et al. 1997). In addition, the spectra were wavenumber-calibrated using an average of nine unblended Ne II lines, which have been measured with high accuracy and suggested as suitable transitions for wavenumber calibration by Öberg (2007).

However, the wavelengths and wavenumbers in Tables 2 and 3 are Ritz values determined from the energy levels in Ralchenko et al. (2009).

The spectral lines were fitted with Voigt profiles to determine the integrated intensity using the commercially available software PeakFit. The uncertainty in the integrated intensity is determined from the standard deviation in the fitted values and the S/N of the lines. The majority of the fitted Cr II line profiles were unblended. However, a small number of Cr II lines were partially blended with other lines. The blended features were identified, and a fit of both line profiles was performed when possible. The blended Cr II lines are given with larger uncertainties in the integrated intensity.
Table 1. Lifetimes in Cr II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>$E$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>This work</th>
<th>C&amp;$B^a$</th>
<th>WT$^b$</th>
<th>$P^c$</th>
<th>WE$^d$</th>
<th>$E^e$</th>
<th>L$^f$</th>
<th>R&amp;U$^g$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($^1$H)$^4$p</td>
<td>$^2$H$^e$, $^2$H$^e$</td>
<td>63 600</td>
<td>4.4(4)</td>
<td>2.1(4)$^h$</td>
<td>3.3$^h$</td>
<td>4.0(4)$^h$</td>
<td>5.3$^h$</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($^3$H)$^4$p</td>
<td>$^2$H$^e$, $^2$H$^e$</td>
<td>63 706</td>
<td>4.4(4)</td>
<td>2.1(4)$^h$</td>
<td>3.3$^h$</td>
<td>4.0(4)$^h$</td>
<td>5.3$^h$</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($^3$H)$^4$p</td>
<td>$^2$H$^e$, $^2$H$^e$</td>
<td>63 849</td>
<td>4.2(3)</td>
<td>2.1(4)$^h$</td>
<td>3.3$^h$</td>
<td>4.0(4)$^h$</td>
<td>5.3$^h$</td>
<td>5.0(5)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($^3$H)$^4$p</td>
<td>$^4$H$^{1/2}$, $^4$H$^{1/2}$</td>
<td>64 031</td>
<td>4.2(3)</td>
<td>2.1(4)$^h$</td>
<td>3.3$^h$</td>
<td>4.0(4)$^h$</td>
<td>5.3$^h$</td>
<td>4.7(5)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($^3$F)$^4$p</td>
<td>$^4$G$^{3/2}$, $^4$G$^{3/2}$</td>
<td>67 012</td>
<td>3.7(4)</td>
<td>3.4(2)$^h$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($^3$F)$^4$p</td>
<td>$^4$G$^{3/2}$, $^4$G$^{3/2}$</td>
<td>67 070</td>
<td>3.9(5)</td>
<td>3.4(2)$^h$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($^3$F)$^4$p</td>
<td>$^4$G$^{3/2}$, $^4$G$^{3/2}$</td>
<td>67 393</td>
<td>2.9(2)</td>
<td>3.4(2)$^h$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($^3$F)$^4$p</td>
<td>$^4$G$^{3/2}$, $^4$G$^{3/2}$</td>
<td>67 448</td>
<td>2.9(2)</td>
<td>3.4(2)$^h$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($^3$H)$^4$p</td>
<td>$^4$G$^{3/2}$, $^4$G$^{3/2}$</td>
<td>67 333</td>
<td>2.6(2)</td>
<td>3.4(2)$^h$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($^3$H)$^4$p</td>
<td>$^4$G$^{3/2}$, $^4$G$^{3/2}$</td>
<td>67 344</td>
<td>2.6(2)</td>
<td>3.4(2)$^h$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($^3$H)$^4$p</td>
<td>$^4$G$^{3/2}$, $^4$G$^{3/2}$</td>
<td>67 353</td>
<td>2.6(2)</td>
<td>3.4(2)$^h$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($^3$H)$^4$p</td>
<td>$^4$G$^{3/2}$, $^4$G$^{3/2}$</td>
<td>67 369</td>
<td>2.7(2)</td>
<td>3.4(2)$^h$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($^4$F)$^4$p</td>
<td>$^2$D$^{3/2}$, $^2$D$^{3/2}$</td>
<td>67 379</td>
<td>3.1(3)</td>
<td>3.4(2)$^h$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($^4$F)$^4$p</td>
<td>$^2$D$^{3/2}$, $^2$D$^{3/2}$</td>
<td>67 387</td>
<td>3.1(3)</td>
<td>3.4(2)$^h$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


There is a good agreement between our lifetimes and the values from Raasen & Uylings (1997); however, the calculated values by Warner (1967) and Luke (1988) are shorter than our lifetimes by approximately four standard deviations.

There is a three-sigma difference between our lifetimes for the $^4$G term and the measured values of Pinnington et al. (1973). The difference may come from the improved wavelength resolution of our measurements. Pinnington et al. (1973) were not able to resolve the four individual levels $^4$G and measured an average lifetime determined from a blend of transitions from these levels. Pinnington et al. (1973) also states that the assignment of the transition from the $^4$G term at 2700 Å is one of the “less certain” ones presented. In our measurements we have resolved the individual decay channels.

There is good agreement between our work and the lifetimes calculated by Raasen & Uylings (1997) for the $^4$F$_{5/2,7/2}$ levels. However, there is a discrepancy between our lifetimes and the values of Raasen & Uylings (1997) for $^4$F$_{5/2,3/2}$ and the $^2$D$_{5/2,3/2}$. This may be due to a difference in the predicted level mixing, which is difficult to reproduce in semi-empirical calculations for complex atomic systems such as Cr II. In addition, an increase in the predicted level mixing may explain the discrepancy between our experimental BF’s and the calculated BF’s by Raasen & Uylings (1997) for transitions from the $^4$F$_{5/2,3/2}$ and the $^2$D$_{5/2,3/2}$ levels.

3.2. Transition Probabilities

In Table 2 we present our BF’s and A-values. The BF residual value in Table 2 is determined from the semi-empirical calculations of Raasen & Uylings (1997). The residual value is used to estimate the BF contribution from transitions that were not observed in our spectra. The missing lines are very weak transitions, $BF \leq 2\%$, and for most upper levels in Table 2, the residual value is at most a few percent, which is less than the uncertainty in the BF’s. The uncertainty in the A-values is determined from the BF and lifetime uncertainty using the method discussed.
by Sikström et al. (2002), which include uncertainties from the
line-fitting, intensity calibration of each spectra, intensity cross
fitting between separate spectra and the uncertainty in the
Intensity calibration of each spectra, intensity cross
fitting between separate spectra and the uncertainty in the

The log $gf$ values in Table 4 are compared to the semi-empirical
results by Kurucz (1988) and Raasen & Uylings (1997) and a
graphical comparison is given in Figs. 3 and 4. The comparison
between our laboratory log $gf$ values and those of Raasen & Uylings
(1997), Fig. 4, has a smaller deviation than those in Fig. 3.

There is good agreement between our experimental log $gf$
values and the semi-empirical log $gf$ values of Kurucz (1988) for
lines from the $^2S_J$ levels as well as for lines from the $^2G_5/2$
and the $^2D_{3/2}$ levels, see Table 4. However, there is a larger
deviation between our log $gf$ values and the semi-empirical
calculations of Kurucz (1988) for lines from the $^2F_J$ levels, the
$^2G_{7/2,9/2,11/2}$ levels, and the $^2D_{5/2}$ level. This may be due to
inaccurate level mixing in the semi-empirical calculations of
Kurucz (1988). The semi-empirical calculations of Raasen &
Uylings (1997) agree more consistently with our laboratory
log $gf$ values.

In Table 4 we compare our $A$-values with $A$-values in the
literature. In general our $A$-values agree to within the
uncertainties with the results in the literature; however, the A-
values of Corliss & Bozman (1962) are significantly different
to the other $A$-values in the literature. The compilation of
Corliss & Bozman (1962) includes many values determined
from a wall-stabilized arc. Inaccuracies in the wall temperature
measurement for the wall-stabilized arc method can significantly
increase the uncertainty in the measurement, so we recommend
that the $A$-values in Corliss & Bozman (1962) are used with
cautions.

4. Summary

We present experimental lifetimes for 14 highly excited energy
levels in Cr II and $B^2$ for 145 transitions from these levels,
yielding experimental transition probabilities for lines that are
strong features in stellar spectra. For the majority of the Cr II
transitions in this paper, the experimental transition probabilities
agree with the semi-empirical calculations within the
uncertainty of the measurements. In particular, we note that the
semi-empirical orthogonal operator calculations of Raasen &
Uylings (1997) have a one standard deviation difference to our
experimental log $gf$ values of 0.21. However, the semi-empirical
Cowan code calculations of Kurucz (1988) have a one standard
deviation difference to our experimental log $gf$ values of 0.61. In
addition, different semi-empirical calculations produce different
term labels for the energy levels because of the large amount of
level mixing. We suggested that further theoretical calculations
for Cr II would benefit studies of this ion.

### Table 4. A comparison between our $A$-values and the $A$-values in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upper level</th>
<th>Lower level</th>
<th>$A(10^8 \text{ s}^{-1})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^2D_3$($3H$)$^4p$ $^4G_{9/2}$</td>
<td>$^2D_3$($3H$)$^4p$ $^4G_{11/2}$</td>
<td>30.336.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^2D_3$($3H$)$^4p$ $^4G_{11/2}$</td>
<td>$^2D_3$($3H$)$^4p$ $^4G_{13/2}$</td>
<td>33.638.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^2D_3$($3H$)$^4p$ $^4G_{13/2}$</td>
<td>$^2D_3$($3H$)$^4p$ $^4G_{11/2}$</td>
<td>33.550.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^2D_3$($3H$)$^4p$ $^4G_{11/2}$</td>
<td>$^2D_3$($3H$)$^4p$ $^4G_{9/2}$</td>
<td>33.487.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^2D_3$($3H$)$^4p$ $^4G_{9/2}$</td>
<td>$^2D_3$($3H$)$^4p$ $^4G_{13/2}$</td>
<td>33.444.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^2D_3$($3H$)$^4p$ $^4G_{13/2}$</td>
<td>$^2D_3$($3H$)$^4p$ $^4G_{11/2}$</td>
<td>37.134.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Fig. 3. Comparison between log $(gf)$-values from this experimental study and semi-empirical values from Kurucz (1988). The difference between the two values for each line is plotted as a function of line strength.

Fig. 4. Comparison between log $(gf)$-values from this experimental study and semi-empirical values from Raasen & Uylings (1997). The difference between the two values for each line is plotted as a function of line strength.
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