



# LUND UNIVERSITY

## Batch- and continuous propionic acid production from glycerol using free and immobilized cells of *Propionibacterium acidipropionici*

Dishisha, Tarek; Alvarez Aliaga, Teresa; Hatti-Kaul, Rajni

*Published in:*  
Bioresource Technology

*DOI:*  
[10.1016/j.biortech.2012.05.079](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.05.079)

2012

[Link to publication](#)

### *Citation for published version (APA):*

Dishisha, T., Alvarez Aliaga, T., & Hatti-Kaul, R. (2012). Batch- and continuous propionic acid production from glycerol using free and immobilized cells of *Propionibacterium acidipropionici*. *Bioresource Technology*, 118C, 553-562. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.05.079>

*Total number of authors:*  
3

### **General rights**

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:  
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117  
221 00 Lund  
+46 46-222 00 00

## Accepted Manuscript

Batch- and continuous propionic acid production from glycerol using free and immobilized cells of *Propionibacterium acidipropionici*

Tarek Dishisha, Maria Teresa Alvarez, Rajni Hatti-Kaul

PII: S0960-8524(12)00832-2  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.05.079>  
Reference: BITE 10061

To appear in: *Bioresource Technology*

Received Date: 2 January 2012  
Revised Date: 12 April 2012  
Accepted Date: 17 May 2012

Please cite this article as: Dishisha, T., Alvarez, M.T., Hatti-Kaul, R., Batch- and continuous propionic acid production from glycerol using free and immobilized cells of *Propionibacterium acidipropionici*, *Bioresource Technology* (2012), doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.05.079>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1

2

3 **Batch- and continuous propionic acid production from glycerol**  
4 **using free and immobilized cells of *Propionibacterium***  
5 ***acidipropionici***

6

7 Tarek Dishisha<sup>a\*</sup>, Maria Teresa Alvarez<sup>a,b</sup> and Rajni Hatti-Kaul<sup>a</sup>

8

9 <sup>a</sup>*Department of Biotechnology, Center for Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Lund*

10 *University, P.O. Box 124, SE-221 00 Lund, Sweden*

11 <sup>b</sup>*Present address: Instituto de Investigaciones Fármaco Bioquímicas, Facultad de Ciencias*

12 *Farmacéuticas y Bioquímicas, Universidad Mayor de San Andrés, P.O. Box 3239, La Paz,*

13 *Bolivia.*

14

15

16 Corresponding author:

17 Telephone: int+46-46-222 8157; Fax: int+46-46-222 4713

18 E-mail: Tarek.Dishisha@biotek.lu.se

**1 Abstract**

2 Propionic acid production from glycerol was studied using *Propionibacterium acidipropionici*  
3 DSM 4900 cells immobilized on polyethylenimine-treated Poraver (PEI-Poraver) and Luffa  
4 (PEI-Luffa), respectively. Using PEI-Luffa, the average productivity, yield and concentration  
5 of propionic acid from 40 g.L<sup>-1</sup> glycerol were 0.29 g.L<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>, 0.74 mol.mol<sup>-1</sup> and 20.09 g.L<sup>-1</sup>,  
6 respectively, after four consecutive recycle-batches. PEI-Poraver supported attachment of 31  
7 times higher amount of cells than PEI-Luffa and produced 20, 28 and 35 g.L<sup>-1</sup> propionic acid  
8 from 40, 65 and 85 g.L<sup>-1</sup> glycerol, respectively (0.61 mol<sub>PA</sub>.mol<sub>Gly</sub><sup>-1</sup>). The corresponding  
9 production rates were 0.86, 0.43 and 0.35 g.L<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>, which are the highest reported from  
10 glycerol via batch or fed-batch fermentations for equivalent propionic acid concentrations.  
11 Using a continuous mode of operation at a dilution rate of 0.1 h<sup>-1</sup>, cell washout was observed in  
12 the bioreactor with free cells; however, propionic acid productivity, yield and concentration  
13 were 1.4 g.L<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>, 0.86 mol<sub>PA</sub>.mol<sub>Gly</sub><sup>-1</sup>, and 14.5 g.L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, using immobilized cells in  
14 the PEI-Poraver bioreactor. The choice of the immobilization matrix can thus significantly  
15 influence the fermentation efficiency and -profile. The bioreactor using cells immobilized on  
16 PEI-Poraver allowed the fermentation of higher glycerol concentrations and provided stable  
17 and higher fermentation rates than that using free cells or the cells immobilized on PEI-Luffa.

18

19

**20 Keywords**

21 Fermentation; Platform chemical; Organic acid; Cell adsorption; Polyethylenimine

## 1 **1. Introduction**

2 There is a growing interest in sustainable production of chemicals from renewable resources.  
3 Propionic acid (PA), a C-3 platform chemical and its calcium-, sodium- and ammonium-salts  
4 are widely used as preservatives in feed, food and pharmaceuticals. It is also incorporated into  
5 cellulose plastics, herbicides, perfume bases and a range of other products (Rogers et al., 2006).  
6 According to the US Department of Energy, propionic acid is among the top 30 candidate  
7 platform chemicals employed as building blocks for products with various applications (Werpy  
8 et al., 2004). Industrially, propionic acid is produced from petrochemical raw materials via oxo-  
9 synthesis utilizing ethylene and carbon monoxide followed by liquid-phase oxidation of the  
10 resulting aldehyde, oxidation of propane gas or from propionitrile (Rogers et al., 2006). An  
11 alternative renewable route for its production has been extensively investigated since the  
12 discovery of propionic acid fermentation (Strecker, 1854); however, this route of production  
13 has not gone beyond research scale.

14 *Propionibacteria* are Gram positive, facultative anaerobes and can metabolize different  
15 carbon sources such as lactose (Jin and Yang, 1998), sucrose (Quesada-Chanto et al., 1994),  
16 lactic acid, glucose (Barbirato et al., 1997; Himmi et al., 2000), xylose (Carrondo et al., 1988)  
17 and glycerol (Gly) (Barbirato et al., 1997; Bories et al., 2004; Boyaval et al., 1994; Coral et al.,  
18 2008; Himmi et al., 2000; Zhang and Yang, 2009b) into propionic acid. With majority of the  
19 carbon sources, except glycerol, acetic acid (AA) was obtained as major by-product at a high  
20 molar ratio with respect to propionic acid, approaching  $0.42 \text{ mol}_{\text{AA}} \cdot \text{mol}_{\text{PA}}^{-1}$ . Glycerol, in  
21 contrast, induces homopropionic acid fermentation, yielding up to  $0.9 \text{ mol}_{\text{PA}} \cdot \text{mol}_{\text{GLY}}^{-1}$ , and  
22 acetic acid production was minimized to almost 1 mole for each 30 moles of propionic acid  
23 produced or even less (Barbirato et al., 1997; Bories et al., 2004; Coral et al., 2008; Himmi et  
24 al., 2000). Glycerol is also a cheap commercially available substrate. It is normally produced as  
25 a by-product of fat hydrolysis, ethanol fermentation and more recently from biodiesel

1 production (Agarwal, 1990; Thompson and He, 2006; Wang et al., 2001). From the perspective  
2 of raw materials source and cost, product yield, waste reduction, and easy downstream  
3 processing, glycerol is considered an advantageous carbon source.

4 However, despite many reported and patented processes, strain and media optimizations, no  
5 industrial process based on fermentation has been established due to low volumetric  
6 productivity, yield and final propionic acid concentration obtained with this route. The low  
7 market price of propionic acid also results in a narrow difference with the cost of substrates and  
8 necessitates the development of a highly efficient process (Chang, 2011). Up to now, the  
9 maximum reported volumetric productivity of propionic acid from glycerol by batch or fed-  
10 batch modes of operation was  $0.8 \text{ g.L}^{-1}.\text{h}^{-1}$  with a concentration of  $12 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$  (Bories et al., 2004),  
11 and the maximum concentration was  $106 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$  obtained at a rate of  $0.04 \text{ g.L}^{-1}.\text{h}^{-1}$  (Zhang and  
12 Yang, 2009b), results which indicate the requirement for further optimization.

13 For stable propionic acid production, fermentations with immobilized cells are favored over  
14 those with free cells. Immobilization offers many advantages including enhanced volumetric  
15 productivity caused by a high cell density of adapted cells (Feng et al., 2011; Huang et al.,  
16 2002), reduced need for nitrogen sources which lowers the fermentation cost, and generation of  
17 mutant strains with higher tolerance to the inhibitory effect of propionic acid and less by-  
18 products formation (Suwannakham and Yang, 2005; Zhang and Yang, 2009a). Furthermore,  
19 under continuous mode of operation, bioreactors harboring immobilized cells can operate at  
20 high dilution rates without cell washout (Paik and Glatz, 1994). Different immobilization  
21 techniques have been reported; however, adsorption on a solid support and entrapment inside a  
22 polymer matrix are the most studied. Although providing improved volumetric productivities,  
23 entrapment is characterized by poor mechanical stability and is less suitable for growth-  
24 associated products such as propionic acid. Adsorption, in contrast, allows continuous release  
25 of dead cells and replacement with active ones (Bruno-Barcena et al., 2000; Lewis and Yang,  
26 1992b). However, for efficient cell immobilization via adsorption, different factors should be

1 considered including matrix structure and characteristics, the microorganism, and the  
2 immobilization environment (Goller and Romeo, 2008; Oliveira et al., 2000). Surface  
3 modification to provide electrostatic forces (cationic polymers) could also be applied to  
4 enhance immobilization efficiency (D'Souza et al., 1986; Guoqiang et al., 1992; Senthuran et  
5 al., 1997).

6 In the present study, production of propionic acid from glycerol was investigated using cells  
7 of *P. acidipropionici* DSM 4900 immobilized on two matrices, Luffa and Poraver treated with  
8 a cationic polymer, polyethylenimine (Guoqiang et al., 1992; Senthuran et al., 1997). Luffa is  
9 the fibrous mature dried fruit of *Luffa cylindrica* available cheaply in most tropical countries,  
10 while Poraver is a trade name for foamed highly porous recycled glass beads. Immobilized-cell  
11 bioreactors were operated with different glycerol concentrations in recycle-batch and  
12 continuous modes of operation, respectively, to determine process efficiency and stability.

13

## 14 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 15 *2.1. Materials and media composition*

16 Poraver beads (6-8 mm diameter) were obtained from Dennert Poraver (Postbauer-Heng,  
17 Germany) while Luffa was purchased from a local supplier. Polyethylenimine (PEI, average  
18 MW ~25,000 by light scattering, average Mn ~10 000 by GPC, branched  $[H(NHCH_2)_nNH_2]$ ),  
19 ammonium hydroxide (28%), L-cysteine HCl, anhydrous (98%) and glycerol (99%) were  
20 procured from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Bacto yeast extract was a product of Difco  
21 (BD laboratories, Detroit, Michigan, USA).

22

### 23 *2.2. Microorganism and culture conditions*

24 *Propionibacterium acidipropionici* DSM 4900 was grown anaerobically in medium (at pH  
25 7) containing per liter: 10 g yeast extract, 40 g glycerol, 2.5 g  $K_2HPO_4$ , 1.5 g  $KH_2PO_4$  and 0.25

1 g L-cysteine HCl. For preparation of the pre-culture, 20 mL of this medium was boiled in 30-  
2 mL serum bottles, bubbled with oxygen free nitrogen and autoclaved at 121 °C for 20 min. One  
3 milliliter of stock culture in glycerol was added to the sterile medium and incubated at 30 °C  
4 for 5 days. The resulting culture was used to inoculate another 20 mL of medium (5% v.v<sup>-1</sup>) but  
5 incubated for 3 days only to reach stationary phase (OD<sub>620nm</sub> of 7.3) before being used as  
6 inoculum for propionic acid production and cell immobilization experiments.

7 For propionic acid production, the same medium was used with varying glycerol  
8 concentrations. In case of the fermentations with immobilized cells, phosphate buffer was  
9 omitted from the fermentation medium to avoid interaction with PEI.

10

### 11 2.3. Free cell batch fermentation using *P. acidipropionici*

12 Freshly prepared inoculum was aseptically added to 400 mL sterile medium in a 600-mL  
13 jacketed glass bioreactor to reach an OD<sub>620nm</sub> of 0.7. The temperature was controlled at 32 °C  
14 using a circulating water bath (Haake Gebruder, Berlin, Germany). Anaerobiosis was  
15 maintained by bubbling nitrogen at the beginning of the experiment, and then a nitrogen bag  
16 was connected to the head plate. The pH was measured using a pH electrode connected to a pH  
17 control unit (Inventron AB, Mölndal, Sweden) to control a peristaltic pump (Alitea, Uttran,  
18 Sweden) for addition of 5N NH<sub>4</sub>OH. Samples were collected and checked for cell growth and  
19 concentrations of substrate and metabolites.

20

### 21 2.4. Bioreactor design, preparation of immobilization matrix and cell immobilization

22 The bioreactor was composed of two main units: the packed bed column and the reactor  
23 vessel connected together via autoclavable tygon tubing (Figure 1). Both units were water-  
24 jacketed for temperature control at 32 °C using a circulating water bath. The reactor vessel was  
25 equipped with a stirring device and a head plate with ports for pH electrode, base addition,

1 nitrogen gas bag connection, nitrogen bubbling, and sampling. The maintenance of  
2 environmental conditions was done as described in Section 2.3 and shown in Figure 1.

3 The immobilization matrices coated with PEI were prepared as described elsewhere  
4 (Senthuran et al., 1997). Dried Luffa fruit was initially cut into small pieces (25-30 mm length  
5 x ~5 mm diameter). Poraver beads and the cut Luffa (Supplementary Figure S1) were washed  
6 thoroughly with distilled water and dried at 105 °C. The matrices were resuspended in 2%  
7 (w/v) aqueous solution of PEI, pH 7 and autoclaved for 20 min at 120 °C. Subsequently, the  
8 matrices were washed and dried at 50 °C for about 12 h, and packed (50 g each) into the  
9 column (20 cm height x 5 cm internal diameter) and autoclaved again with the fermentation  
10 medium (1/4 filled).

11 For cell immobilization, the reactor vessel containing 300 mL medium was inoculated with  
12 15 mL of freshly prepared culture. The temperature was controlled at 32 °C and pH at 6.5 using  
13 5 N NH<sub>4</sub>OH and fermentation was continued for 3 days in the reactor vessel only, until the  
14 OD<sub>620nm</sub> reached ~10. One hundred milliliters of fresh medium was added and the whole  
15 culture was recirculated over the packed bed column and back for 48 h at a rate of 15 mL.min<sup>-1</sup>  
16 using a peristaltic pump (Alitea, Uttran, Sweden). At the end of the immobilization cycle, spent  
17 broth was removed and a new immobilization cycle was initiated by aseptic addition of 100 mL  
18 medium to the packed bed column to prevent drying of the matrix and cells, and 300 mL to the  
19 reactor vessel. The latter was inoculated with 15 mL of fresh culture and the cells were allowed  
20 to grow under the same environmental conditions as the first immobilization cycle without  
21 circulation until OD<sub>620nm</sub> of 10 was reached, and subsequently the broth was recirculated  
22 through the packed bed column for 2 days. The steps for immobilization were repeated for 3-5  
23 cycles to build up the cell density.

24

25 *2.5. Repeated recycle-batch fermentation using immobilized cells*

1 After cell immobilization, free and weakly adsorbed cells were removed by recirculation of  
2 900 mL of sterile saline solution (3 runs, 300 mL each) through the bioreactor at a rate of 15  
3 mL.min<sup>-1</sup>. Subsequently, 400 mL of the fresh medium was added to the reactor vessel and  
4 recirculated through the packed bed column to start the fermentation, which was continued  
5 under the conditions described in Section 2.3 until complete consumption of glycerol. Samples  
6 were collected from the reactor vessel at regular time intervals for analysis; the first sample was  
7 collected after recirculation of the medium to the packed bed column for 15 min (PEI-Poraver)-  
8 30 min (PEI-Luffa) due to the time required for loading the column with the fresh medium and  
9 achieving medium homogeneity throughout the whole bioreactor. The steps of washing with  
10 saline solution, medium exchange and fermentation were repeated for several consecutive runs.

11

## 12 *2.6. Continuous production of propionic acid*

13 The continuous fermentation was done using both free cells and immobilized cells. The cells  
14 were immobilized on 200 g PEI-treated Poraver in the packed bed column (40 cm height x 6.5  
15 cm internal diameter) as described in section 2.4. The medium was continuously circulated  
16 between the packed bed column and the 600-ml vessel at a rate of 30 mL.min<sup>-1</sup>. The medium  
17 entered the column from the bottom as well as from the side (around the middle of the column)  
18 to avoid a severe pH drop in the column as a result of product formation.

19 The continuous fermentation was preceded by batch (free cells) or recycle-batch  
20 fermentation (immobilized cells) using a medium volume of 600 mL, and the two reactors were  
21 run in parallel. Three dilution rates were tested consecutively (0.057, 0.075 and 0.1 h<sup>-1</sup>) each  
22 for at least 5 retention times under the fermentation conditions described in Section 2.3. For the  
23 batch and the first dilution rate, the medium composition was similar as mentioned in Section  
24 2.2. For the latter two dilution rates, the glycerol and yeast extract concentrations were  
25 decreased to 30 and 7.5 g.L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, in order to decrease medium losses in the effluent  
26 stream.

1

2 *2.7. Analytical procedures*

3 Cell growth was monitored by measuring OD at 620 nm using an Ultrospec 1000  
4 spectrophotometer (Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden) and correlating it with cell dry weight  
5 (CDW), which was determined by centrifugation of 10 mL fermentation broth at 4 000 xg for 20  
6 min in a dried preweighed tube and drying the cell pellet for 12 h at 105 °C before weighing again.

7 For determination of the dry weight of the immobilized cells, the whole content of the  
8 immobilization column at the end of the repeated recycle-batches was emptied in a pre-dried  
9 glass plate, washed with distilled water to remove weakly adsorbed cells and dried at 105 °C  
10 for 12 h. The increase in the dry matrix weight as a result of cell immobilization was  
11 determined ( $g_{CDW}$  per 50 g matrix) and the concentration of the immobilized cell dry weight  
12 ( $g_{CDW} \cdot L^{-1}$  fermentation medium) was calculated.

13 Glycerol, propionic acid, acetic acid, succinic acid (SA), and n-propanol (n-POH)  
14 concentrations were determined by an HPLC instrument (JASCO, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with  
15 an RI detector (ERC inc., Kawaguchi, Japan) and a JASCO intelligent autosampler. Separation  
16 of the compounds was done on an Aminex HPX-87H chromatography column connected to a  
17 guard column (Biorad, Richmond, CA, USA). The column temperature was maintained at 55°C  
18 with the help of a column oven (Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). Samples from the bioreactor were  
19 diluted with Millipore quality water and mixed with 20% v/v sulphuric acid (20  $\mu L \cdot mL^{-1}$   
20 sample) and then filtered through a 0.45  $\mu m$  polypropylene filter. Fifty microliter of the sample  
21 was injected into the 5-mM  $H_2SO_4$  mobile phase flowing at a rate of 0.6  $mL \cdot min^{-1}$ .

22 The results shown are the mean of analyses performed in duplicates for all the  
23 fermentations. In case of experiments using free cells, the provided data are the mean of two  
24 independent replicates.

1 The volumetric productivity ( $Q_p$ ) and product yield ( $Y_{P/S}$ ) for batch modes of operation were  
 2 calculated by taking into account the dilution of the medium as a result of base addition as  
 3 follows:

$$4 \quad Q_p = [(PA_{final} * \text{dilution factor}) - PA_{initial}] / [\Delta t]$$

$$5 \quad Y_{P/S} = [(Product_{final} * \text{dilution factor}) - Product_{initial}] / [(Substrate_{final} * \text{dilution factor}) - Substrate_{initial}]$$

6

### 7 **3. Results and discussion**

8 *P. acidipropionici* was chosen for propionic acid fermentation based on earlier reports and  
 9 our preliminary investigations that showed the organism to provide the highest conversion  
 10 yield and production rate from glycerol among the *Propionibacteria spp.* investigated  
 11 (Barbirato et al., 1997; Himmi et al., 2000; unpublished data). Glycerol is a more reduced  
 12 carbon source than glucose, lactose and lactate (VanBriesen, 2002). Theoretically, its  
 13 metabolism yields 1 mole propionic acid per mole of glycerol (Rogers et al., 2006); however,  
 14 under experimental conditions, the yield ranges from 0.6 to 0.9 mol.mol<sup>-1</sup>. Succinic acid, acetic  
 15 acid and n-propanol are the major by-products (Barbirato et al., 1997). Despite the low yield,  
 16 glycerol is still superior to other carbon sources that result in high amounts of acetic acid and  
 17 lower propionic acid yields.

18

#### 19 *3.1. Batch fermentation of glycerol with free cells*

20 Batch fermentation of glycerol at pH 6.5 using free *P. acidipropionici* cells is illustrated in  
 21 Figure 2. Glycerol (42 g.L<sup>-1</sup>) was entirely consumed in 62.5 h yielding 19.5 g.L<sup>-1</sup> propionic acid  
 22 (0.64 mol<sub>PA</sub>.mol<sub>Gly</sub><sup>-1</sup>) at a rate of 0.34 g.L<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>. Total organic acids yield from glycerol was 75  
 23 mol%, 85% of which was propionic acid.

24 As seen in Figure 2, the free cell fermentation was characterized by a very long lag phase of  
 25 about 24 h, during which the cell density increased by a factor of 4, and glycerol consumption-  
 26 and propionic acid production- rates were as low as 0.18 and 0.07 g.L<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. This

1 period, accounting for 39% of the fermentation time, explains the low final productivity  
2 obtained. During this period, utilization of the rich nutrients in the yeast extract for cell growth  
3 as well as synthesis of the enzymes required for glycerol metabolism are expected to delay the  
4 onset of glycerol utilization (Barbirato et al., 1997). In the subsequent log phase, the cells grew  
5 at the maximum specific growth rate ( $\mu_{\max}$  of  $0.10 \text{ h}^{-1}$ ), and glycerol consumption- and  
6 propionic acid production- rates reached  $1.27$  and  $0.64 \text{ g.L}^{-1}.\text{h}^{-1}$ , respectively. Finally when the  
7 propionic acid concentration reached  $15 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$ , the growth rate was  $0 \text{ h}^{-1}$ , but cells were still  
8 metabolically active and continued to produce propionic acid at a lower rate.

9 Increasing the glycerol concentration to  $63.6 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$ , yielded  $26.3 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$  propionic acid ( $0.64$   
10  $\text{mol}_{\text{PA}}.\text{mol}_{\text{Gly}}^{-1}$ ), and was accompanied by a reduction in the acetic acid, n-propanol and  
11 succinic acid yields by 60, 21 and 3%, respectively. A similar behavior has been observed  
12 when glycerol concentration was increased from 20 to  $70 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$  with regards to either acetic  
13 acid (Barbirato et al., 1997) or acetic acid and succinic acid (Zhu et al., 2010). Accordingly, the  
14 molar propionic acid to total organic acids yield was increased to 89 mol%. The cell growth-,  
15 glycerol consumption- and propionic acid production rates for the initial  $40 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$  glycerol were  
16 close to those in the experiment with  $40 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$  glycerol. However, for the residual  $20 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$   
17 glycerol, the corresponding rates were decreased from a maximum of  $0.06 \text{ h}^{-1}$ ,  $0.96 \text{ g.L}^{-1}.\text{h}^{-1}$   
18 and  $0.48 \text{ g.L}^{-1}.\text{h}^{-1}$  to  $0 \text{ h}^{-1}$ ,  $0.4 \text{ g.L}^{-1}.\text{h}^{-1}$  and  $0.19 \text{ g.L}^{-1}.\text{h}^{-1}$ , and consequently, the overall  
19 propionic acid production rate was decreased to  $0.26 \text{ g.L}^{-1}.\text{h}^{-1}$  (Table 1, Figure 2b). This could  
20 be the result of nitrogen/vitamin limitation and inhibitory effects of propionic acid towards the  
21 end of the fermentation. This inhibition is more potent at low nitrogen/vitamin source  
22 concentration (Quesada-Chanto et al., 1998).

23

### 24 3.2. Cell immobilization

25 *P. acidipropionici* cells were immobilized by adsorption on the surface of two matrices,  
26 Poraver and Luffa. Both have been studied previously in biofilm reactors (Alvarez et al., 2006;

1 Bruno-Barcena et al., 2000; Guoqiang et al., 1992; Senthuran et al., 1997). Luffa is  
2 characterized by having spongy structure with large void space which lowers the risk of reactor  
3 clogging. Poraver, in contrast, has larger surface area available for immobilization with smaller  
4 void spaces. Its surface is covered by numerous macropores which further increase the surface  
5 area and support cell attachment and settlement (Guoqiang et al., 1992).

6 Since preliminary attempts at immobilization of *P. acidipropionici* on the above matrices  
7 had shown poor adsorption of cells, the matrices were pre-treated with the cationic polymer  
8 PEI prior to immobilization. Based on adsorption studies, PEI-treated matrices showed much  
9 higher ability to bind *P. acidipropionici* cells than non-treated ones (data not shown). At the  
10 operating pH, PEI is well known to adsorb strongly to surfaces bearing negative charges; in  
11 case of Poraver, it interacts with the  $\text{SiO}^-$  ions formed from the dissociation of silanol ( $\text{SiOH}$ )  
12 groups in water (Behrens and Grier, 2001), while in Luffa it could bind to  $\text{OH}$ ,  $\text{COO}^-$  and other  
13 negatively charged groups available on the lignocellulosic matrix. The matrices thus acquire  
14 positively charged surface that interact with the negatively charged cell surface leading to  
15 stronger adsorption of the cells. Cell immobilization was performed using the procedure  
16 described earlier for adsorbing *Lactobacillus casei* on PEI-coated Poraver (Senthuran et al.,  
17 1997), with the exception that the cells were grown to high density in the reactor vessel before  
18 circulation through the column packed with the matrix. This helped to overcome the inhibitory  
19 effect of PEI exerted on cell growth (Guoqiang et al., 1992; Senthuran et al., 1997). As a result,  
20 the immobilization period was significantly shortened to 1–2 weeks (as compared to a month  
21 using the reported method) before the immobilized cells were able to efficiently produce  
22 propionic acid from glycerol.

23

24 3.3. Recycle-batch fermentation using cells immobilized on PEI-Luffa

1 PEI-Luffa was observed to be a good matrix for immobilization of *P. acidipropionici*. The  
2 cells formed white biofilms, which allowed further increase in the capacity of the matrix  
3 (Supplementary Figure S2).

4 A total of five consecutively repeated recycle-batch fermentations were run, the first four  
5 with 40 g.L<sup>-1</sup> glycerol and the fifth with 63.2 g.L<sup>-1</sup>. The substrate consumption, metabolite  
6 formation and cell growth during fermentation batches number 1 and 5 with these two glycerol  
7 concentrations are illustrated in Figure 3. For the first four consecutive recycle-batches,  
8 average yield, volumetric productivity and final propionic acid concentration were 0.74±0.03  
9 mol<sub>PA</sub>.mol<sub>GLY</sub><sup>-1</sup>, 0.29±0.04 g.L<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>, and 20.09±1.5 g.L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively indicating a high degree  
10 of process stability (Figure 5a). In comparison with free-cell fermentation at a similar glycerol  
11 concentration, the propionic acid yield was 15.6% higher and concentrations of succinic acid,  
12 acetic acid and n-propanol were decreased by 15, 28 and 36%, respectively. However, even the  
13 volumetric production rate was decreased by 15%, which could be a result of low immobilized  
14 cell density due to the small surface area available for immobilization on Luffa and the  
15 inhibitory effect of PEI.

16 The free cell density in the reactor vessel represented as OD<sub>620nm</sub> was decreased from 9.46  
17 and 10.04 in the first two batches to 6.24 and 6.0 for the last batches, suggesting increased  
18 specific cell productivity. Increasing the glycerol concentration to 63.2 g.L<sup>-1</sup> resulted in 50%  
19 reduction of the volumetric propionic acid production rate. The concentration of succinic acid  
20 and n-propanol was lower than in free-cells fermentation; however, the acetic acid  
21 concentration was higher and led to a decreased molar ratio of propionic acid to acetic acid  
22 from 38.6 to 31.0 mol.mol<sup>-1</sup>. Owing to the low volumetric productivities achieved, the PEI-  
23 Luffa system was not considered to provide economic advantages for the production of  
24 propionic acid.

25

26 3.4. Recycle-batch fermentation using cells immobilized on PEI-Poraver

1 Poraver supported the attachment of higher cell density than PEI-Luffa. Propionic acid  
2 production using cells adsorbed to PEI-Poraver was investigated for nine consecutively  
3 repeated recycle-batches, five with 40 g.L<sup>-1</sup> of glycerol, 3 with 65 g.L<sup>-1</sup> and a single batch with  
4 84.6 g.L<sup>-1</sup>. The results of the fermentation for batches 5, 7 and 9 are presented in Table 1 and  
5 Figure 4.

6 Using 40 g.L<sup>-1</sup> glycerol, the propionic acid production rate reached a maximum of 0.86 g.L<sup>-1</sup>  
7 h<sup>-1</sup> in batch 5, which is 10 times higher than that of the first batch. This rate is the highest  
8 reported productivity from glycerol using either free or immobilized cells under batch or fed-  
9 batch mode of operation. In this batch, 100% glycerol utilization occurred within 25 h, which is  
10 40 and 60 h shorter than the time required for free and PEI-Luffa immobilized cells,  
11 respectively (Figure 4a). As a result of the high density of immobilized, adapted cells, the  
12 initial phase of slow glycerol consumption observed with free and PEI-Luffa immobilized cells  
13 was not observed. The overall glycerol consumption rate was 1.68 g.L<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup> for the entire  
14 fermentation run and reached a maximum of 2.17 g.L<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup> in the initial 13.5 h. The propionate  
15 yield was 0.62 mol.mol<sup>-1</sup> and the molar ratio of propionic acid to total organic acids was  
16 constant around 89 mol%.

17 For the subsequent 3 batches (number 6, 7 and 8) with 65 g.L<sup>-1</sup> of glycerol, the volumetric  
18 productivities were 0.32, 0.43 and 0.42 g.L<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. A maximum of 28.4 g.L<sup>-1</sup>  
19 propionic acid was obtained in batch 7 (Figure 4b) with a molar percentage conversion and  
20 molar ratio to total acids of 63 mol%<sub>Gly</sub> and 91 mol%<sub>TA</sub>, respectively. When the glycerol  
21 concentration was increased to 84.6 g.L<sup>-1</sup>, 35.2 g.L<sup>-1</sup> propionic acid was obtained at a  
22 volumetric rate of 0.35 g.L<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>. Complete consumption of the glycerol was achieved in 116.5  
23 h. A similar glycerol concentration was either partially fermented (Barbirato et al., 1997) or  
24 required 350 h for complete consumption (Zhu et al., 2010) by free-cell batch fermentation.  
25 The most significant enhancement was the rapid consumption of glycerol (23.3 g.L<sup>-1</sup> in 8.25 h)  
26 at a rate of 2.8 g.L<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup> in the initial stages of fermentation (Figure 4c). The percentage of

1 propionic acid to total acids was decreased to 83 mol%, caused by elevated formation of  
2 succinic and acetic acids.

3 As seen in Table 1 and Figure 5b, the volumetric productivity decreased by 50% when  
4 increasing the glycerol concentration from 42 to 66.6 g.L<sup>-1</sup>; however, the decrease was only  
5 23% upon a further increase to 84.6 g.L<sup>-1</sup>, suggesting increased cell tolerance to propionic acid.  
6 Using this bioreactor, the initial slow glycerol consumption phase observed with free cells was  
7 not only omitted, but it was turned into the fastest glycerol consumption phase. Also, the  
8 increased tolerance to the inhibitory effect of propionic acid allowed conversion of higher  
9 glycerol concentrations at high rates. Under the experimental conditions, no clogging of the  
10 PEI-Poraver bioreactor was observed. An additional advantage noticed with this type of  
11 reactors was the high regenerative ability of the cells even after a period of starvation or  
12 exposure to suboptimal conditions (data not shown).

### 14 3.5. Continuous production of propionic acid

15 To avoid the inhibitory effect of propionic acid on cell growth and metabolism, different  
16 strategies have been applied including *in situ* product removal (Gu et al., 1999; Jin and Yang,  
17 1998; Wang et al., 2012) and continuous fermentation. The latter allows continuous removal of  
18 the produced acid and results in high volumetric productivities; however, one drawback is the  
19 washout of the cells at higher dilution rates. This can be avoided by retaining high amounts of  
20 cells inside the bioreactor using either an immobilized cell system or a filtration module  
21 coupled to the bioreactor for cell recycling (Bories et al., 2004; Boyaval et al., 1994; Lewis and  
22 Yang, 1992a). Practically controlling an immobilized cell bioreactor is easier and more  
23 economical than using continuous fermentation with cell-recycle.

24 The PEI-Poraver bioreactor was evaluated for continuous production of propionic acid and  
25 compared with the fermentation in continuous stirred-tank bioreactor (CSTR) using free cells  
26 (Table 2). The fermentation was started as batch with 40 g.L<sup>-1</sup> glycerol and subsequently the

1 system was shifted to a continuous mode. Using free cells, a volumetric productivity of 0.77  
2  $\text{g.L}^{-1}.\text{h}^{-1}$  was obtained at a dilution rate of  $0.057 \text{ h}^{-1}$ , with consumption of  $18.7 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$  of glycerol  
3 and production of  $13.6 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$  propionic acid. Increasing the dilution rate resulted in a reduction  
4 in volumetric productivity and yield, and finally cell washout at a rate of  $0.1 \text{ h}^{-1}$ . When the PEI-  
5 Poraver bioreactor was used in a pH-6.5-controlled chemostat, at the lowest feeding rate,  $28.3$   
6  $\text{g.L}^{-1}$  glycerol was consumed giving  $14.5 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$  propionic acid. The consumed glycerol was  
7 decreased when the dilution rate was increased to  $0.1 \text{ h}^{-1}$  but propionic acid concentration was  
8 constant, which resulted in a higher yield of  $0.86 \text{ mol}_{\text{PA}}.\text{mol}_{\text{Gly}}^{-1}$  and productivity of  $1.4 \text{ g.L}^{-1}.\text{h}^{-1}$ .  
9 Furthermore, succinic acid, acetic acid, and n-propanol levels were reduced considerably. In  
10 this case, percent carbon recovery considering all the fermentation products except the biomass  
11 exceeded 100 mol%, indicating that all glycerol was converted to metabolic products while the  
12 rich nutrients in the yeast extract were a substrate for cell growth.

13

### 14 3.6. Immobilized cell morphology and density

15 To further understand the bioreactor performance, free and immobilized *P. acidipropionici*  
16 cells were examined using scanning electron microscopy. The free cells were slightly elongated  
17 with variable lengths ranging between 1 and  $2.5 \mu\text{m}$ , with distinguished points of cell division  
18 (Supplementary Figure S4a). In case of PEI-Luffa, fewer cells were attached to the external  
19 surface of the Luffa fibers while more were attached to the fibrous network inside the cut Luffa  
20 pieces. PEI-Luffa samples taken at the end of repeated recycle-batch showed alteration in the  
21 morphology and size of the cells as the length of some cells increased to  $\sim 5 \mu\text{m}$   
22 (Supplementary Figure S4 b,c). A similar behavior has been reported earlier (Feng et al., 2010;  
23 Zhang and Yang, 2009b). This tendency of the cells for elongation explains the lower optical  
24 density for the last two batches, as the cells tended to increase in size rather than divide into  
25 new cells. It also suggests some kind of physiological adaptation such preferentially metabolize  
26 glycerol and, as a consequence, the specific cell productivity and product yield were increased.

1 A much higher amount of cells were immobilized on PEI-Poraver than on PEI-Luffa  
2 (Supplementary Figure S3). The matrix pores (approximately 110  $\mu\text{m}$  internal diameter) were  
3 filled with large aggregates of cells bound together and to the immobilization matrix  
4 (Supplementary Figure S4 d,e,f), thus explaining the high volumetric productivity. The high  
5 degree of cell retention on Poraver could be attributed to the nature of the matrix surface, which  
6 is rough and highly porous and provides a larger surface for attachment and shields the cells  
7 from being removed by the flowing medium stream. Due to this high density, it was difficult to  
8 identify any morphological changes. Upon repeated fermentation, the immobilized cells tended  
9 to grow in aggregates rather than as individual cells, which enhanced the amount of  
10 immobilized cells.

11 At the end of the repeated recycle-batch fermentations, determination of the amount of  
12 immobilized cells (as dry weight) showed a large difference in the immobilization capacity for  
13 the two matrices. The amount of immobilized cells on PEI-Poraver (5.64  $\text{g}_{\text{CDW}}$ ) was 31.3 times  
14 higher than that on PEI-Luffa (0.18  $\text{g}_{\text{CDW}}$ ); these cell dry weights were translated to  
15 concentrations of 14.1  $\text{g}_{\text{CDW}}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$  (PEI-Poraver) and 0.45  $\text{g}_{\text{CDW}}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$  (PEI-Luffa), respectively.  
16 Despite the high amount of cells immobilized, the specific cell productivity in case of PEI-  
17 Poraver was 14 times lower than that with PEI-Luffa considering only the last recycle-batch in  
18 each case, and was 12 times lower than that with free-cell fermentation (63.6  $\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$  glycerol).  
19 This could be attributed to the inaccessibility of the cells, trapped inside the biofilm formed on  
20 Poraver, to the substrate. On the other hand, the cells on PEI-Luffa seem to exhibit a higher  
21 metabolic activity.

22

#### 23 **4. Conclusion**

24 This study demonstrates the advantages of using immobilized cells for fermentations  
25 characterized by product inhibition. It also shows that the choice of the matrix is important for

1 achieving the desired improvement in fermentation efficiency. In particular, immobilization on  
2 PEI-Poraver considerably enhanced propionic acid volumetric production rate. The increased  
3 tolerance to propionic acid also allowed faster fermentation of higher glycerol concentrations.  
4 The obtained productivities were superior to those reported earlier in either batch or fed-batch  
5 modes of operation with equivalent final propionic acid concentration (Table 3).

6

## 7 **5. Acknowledgement**

8 The Swedish Governmental Agency for Innovation Systems (Vinnova) is acknowledged for  
9 funding the project. Perstorp AB is thanked for coordinating the project.

10

## 11 **6. References**

- 12 Agarwal, G., 1990. Glycerol. in: Fiechter, A. (Ed.), Microbial Bioproducts. Adv. Biochem.  
13 Eng. Biotechnol., vol. 41. Springer, Berlin / Heidelberg, pp. 95-128.
- 14 Alvarez, M.T., Pozzo, T., Mattiasson, B., 2006. Enhancement of sulphide production in  
15 anaerobic packed bed bench-scale biofilm reactors by sulphate reducing bacteria.  
16 Biotechnol. Lett. 28, 175-181.
- 17 Barbirato, F., Chedaille, D., Bories, A., 1997. Propionic acid fermentation from glycerol:  
18 Comparison with conventional substrates. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 47, 441-446.
- 19 Behrens, S.H., Grier, D.G., 2001. The charge of glass and silica surfaces. J. Chem. Phys. 115,  
20 6716-6721.
- 21 Bories, A., Himmi, E., Jauregui, J.J.A., Pelayo-Ortiz, C., Gonzales, V.A., 2004. Glycerol  
22 fermentation with *Propionibacteria* and optimisation of the production of propionic acid.  
23 Sci. Aliments 24, 121-135.
- 24 Boyaval, P., Corre, C., Madec, M.N., 1994. Propionic acid production in a membrane  
25 bioreactor. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 16, 883-886.
- 26 Bruno-Barcena, J.M., Ragout Spencer, A.L., Cordoba, P.R., Sineriz, F., 2000. Reactor  
27 configuration for continuous fermentation in immobilized systems. In: Spencer J. F. T.  
28 and Ragout de Spencer A. L. (Eds.), Food Microbiology Protocols. Methods in  
29 Biotechnology, vol. 14. Humana Press Inc., Totowa, New Jersey, pp. 321-328.
- 30 Carrondo, M.J.T., Crespo, J.P.S.G., Moura, M.J., 1988. Production of propionic acid using a  
31 xylose utilizing *Propionibacterium*. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 17, 295-312.
- 32 Chang, J. 2011. Indicative chemical prices - ICIS. Available at  
33 <http://www.icis.com/chemicals/channel-info-chemicals-a-z/> (Last accessed 27<sup>th</sup>  
34 December 2011).

- 1 Coral, J., Karp, S.G., Vandenberghe, L.P.D., Parada, J.L., Pandey, A., Soccol, C.R., 2008.  
2 Batch fermentation model of propionic acid production by *Propionibacterium*  
3 *acidipropionici* in different carbon sources. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 151, 333-341.
- 4 D'Souza, S.F., Melo, J.S., Deshpande, A., Nadkarni, G.B., 1986. Immobilization of yeast cells  
5 by adhesion to glass surface using polyethylenimine. Biotechnol. Lett. 8, 643-648.
- 6 Feng, X.H., Chen, F., Xu, H., Wu, B., Yao, J., Ying, H.J., Ouyang, P.K., 2010. Propionic acid  
7 fermentation by *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* CCTCC M207015 in a multi-point  
8 fibrous-bed bioreactor. Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng. 33, 1077-1085.
- 9 Goller, C.C., Romeo, T., 2008. Environmental influences on biofilm development, in: Romeo,  
10 T. (Ed.), Bacterial Biofilms. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. Vol. 322. Springer, Berlin /  
11 Heidelberg, pp. 37-66.
- 12 Gu, Z., Rickert, D.A., Glatz, B.A., Glatz, C.E., 1999. Feasibility of propionic acid production  
13 by extractive fermentation. Lait. 79, 137-148.
- 14 Guoqiang, D., Kaul, R., Mattiasson, B., 1992. Immobilization of *Lactobacillus casei* cells to  
15 ceramic material pretreated with polyethylenimine. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 37, 305-  
16 310.
- 17 Himmi, E.H., Bories, A., Boussaid, A., Hassani, L., 2000. Propionic acid fermentation of  
18 glycerol and glucose by *Propionibacterium acidipropionici* and *Propionibacterium*  
19 *freudenreichii* ssp. *shermanii*. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 53, 435-40.
- 20 Huang, Y.L., Wu, Z.T., Zhang, L.K., Cheung, C.M., Yang, S.T., 2002. Production of  
21 carboxylic acids from hydrolyzed corn meal by immobilized cell fermentation in a  
22 fibrous-bed bioreactor. Bioresour. Technol. 82, 51-59.
- 23 Jin, Z., Yang, S.T., 1998. Extractive fermentation for enhanced propionic acid production from  
24 lactose by *Propionibacterium acidipropionici*. Biotechnol. Prog. 14, 457-65.
- 25 Lewis, V.P., Yang, S.T., 1992. Continuous propionic acid fermentation by immobilized  
26 *Propionibacterium acidipropionici* in a novel packed-bed bioreactor. Biotechnol. Bioeng.  
27 40, 465-474.
- 28 Oliveira, R., Pereira, M.A., Alves, M.M., Azeredo, J., Mota, M., 2000. Influence of physico-  
29 chemical properties of porous microcarriers on the adhesion of an anaerobic consortium.  
30 J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 24, 181-186.
- 31 Paik, H.D., Glatz, B.A., 1994. Propionic acid production by immobilized cells of a propionate-  
32 tolerant strain of *Propionibacterium acidipropionici*. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 42, 22-  
33 27.
- 34 Quesada-Chanto, A., Da Costa, J.P.C.L., Silveira, M.M., Schroeder, A.G., Schmid-Meyer,  
35 A.C., Jonas, R., 1998. Influence of different vitamin-nitrogen sources on cell growth and  
36 propionic acid production from sucrose by *Propionibacterium shermanii*. Acta  
37 Biotechnol. 18, 267-274.
- 38 Quesada-Chanto, A., S.-Afschar, A., Wagner, F., 1994. Microbial production of propionic acid  
39 and vitamin B12 using molasses or sugar. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 41, 378-383.
- 40 Rogers, P., Chen, J.-S., Zidwick, M.J., 2006. Organic acid and solvent production. Part II:  
41 Propionic and butyric acids and Ethanol. In: M. Dworkin (Ed.), The Prokaryotes:  
42 Symbiotic Associations, Biotechnology, Applied Microbiology, vol. 1, third ed. Springer,  
43 Berlin / Heidelberg, pp. 611-671.

- 1 Senthuran, A., Senthuran, V., Mattiasson, B., Kaul, R., 1997. Lactic acid fermentation in a  
2 recycle batch reactor using immobilized *Lactobacillus casei*. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 55,  
3 841-853.
- 4 Strecker, A., 1854. Über eine eigentümliche Bildungsweise der propionsäure und einige salze  
5 derselben. *Ann. Chem.*, 92, 80.
- 6 Suwannakham, S., Yang, S.T., 2005. Enhanced propionic acid fermentation by  
7 *Propionibacterium acidipropionici* mutant obtained by adaptation in a fibrous-bed  
8 bioreactor. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 91, 325-37.
- 9 Thompson, J.C., He, B.B., 2006. Characterization of crude glycerol from biodiesel production  
10 from multiple feedstocks. *Appl. Eng. Agric.* 22, 261-265.
- 11 VanBriesen, J.M., 2002. Evaluation of methods to predict bacterial yield using  
12 thermodynamics. *Biodegradation* 13, 171-190.
- 13 Wang, P., Wang, Y., Liu, Y., Shi, H., Su, Z., 2012. Novel in situ product removal technique for  
14 simultaneous production of propionic acid and vitamin B12 by expanded bed adsorption  
15 bioreactor. *Bioresour. Technol.* 104, 652-659.
- 16 Wang, Z.X., Zhuge, J., Fang, H.Y., Prior, B.A., 2001. Glycerol production by microbial  
17 fermentation: A review. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 19, 201-223.
- 18 Werpy, T., Petersen, G., Aden, A., Bozell, J., Holladay, J., White, J., Manheim, A., Elliot, D.,  
19 Lasure, L., Jones, S., Gerber, M., Ibsen, K., Lumberg, L., Kelley, S., 2004. Top value  
20 added chemicals from biomass, Volume 1 - Results of screening for potential candidates  
21 from sugars and synthesis gas (U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, TN).
- 22 Zhang, A., Yang, S.T., 2009a. Engineering *Propionibacterium acidipropionici* for enhanced  
23 propionic acid tolerance and fermentation. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 104, 766-73.
- 24 Zhang, A., Yang, S.T., 2009b. Propionic acid production from glycerol by metabolically  
25 engineered *Propionibacterium acidipropionici*. *Process Biochem.* 44, 1346-1351.
- 26 Zhu, Y.F., Li, J.H., Tan, M., Liu, L., Jiang, L.L., Sun, J., Lee, P., Du, G.C., Chen, J.A., 2010.  
27 Optimization and scale-up of propionic acid production by propionic acid-tolerant  
28 *Propionibacterium acidipropionici* with glycerol as the carbon source. *Bioresour.*  
29 *Technol.* 101, 8902-8906.

## 1 **Figure captions**

2 **Figure 1.** Bioreactor design for batch, recycle-batch and continuous production of propionic  
3 acid from glycerol showing the two main units: (I) reactor vessel for pH control and sampling,  
4 and (II) a packed bed column containing the immobilized cells. In case of immobilized cells,  
5 the medium was recirculated between the two units using a peristaltic pump. For continuous  
6 fermentation, medium was fed via a pump that also controlled the rate of the outlet product  
7 stream.

8 **Figure 2.** Batch production of propionic acid from (a) 43 g/L glycerol and (b) 63.6 g/L glycerol  
9 using free cells of *P. acidipropionici* DSM 4900 under anaerobic conditions, 32°C and pH  
10 controlled at 6.5 using 5N NH<sub>4</sub>OH. Symbols indicate: (◆) glycerol, (■) propionic acid, (▲)  
11 succinic acid, ( ) n-propanol and (x) acetic acid concentration and cell growth represented as  
12 (●) OD 620nm.

13 **Figure 3.** Fermentation of glycerol, (a) 43.3 g.L<sup>-1</sup> and (b) 63.2 g.L<sup>-1</sup>, using *P. acidipropionici*  
14 DSM 4900 cells immobilized on PEI-treated Luffa using recycle-batch mode of operation.  
15 Symbols indicate: (◆) glycerol, (■) propionic acid, (▲) succinic acid, (x) acetic acid and ( ) n-  
16 propanol concentrations, and cell growth represented as (●) OD<sub>620</sub> nm.

17 **Figure 4.** Fermentation of (a) 42 g.L<sup>-1</sup>, (b) 66.6 g.L<sup>-1</sup> and (c) 84.6 g.L<sup>-1</sup> glycerol using *P.*  
18 *acidipropionici* DSM 4900 cells immobilized on PEI-treated Poraver. Symbols indicate: (◆)  
19 glycerol, (■) propionic acid, (▲) succinic acid, (x) acetic acid and ( ) n-propanol  
20 concentrations, and cell growth represented as (●) OD<sub>620</sub> nm.


21 **Figure 5.** Fermentation kinetics for repeated recycle-batch propionic acid fermentation using  
22 immobilized cells on (a) PEI-Luffa and (b) PEI-Poraver, showing propionic acid yield (■),  
23 volumetric productivity (▲), PA/AA molar ratio (x), and concentrations of propionic acid  
24 (white bars), and glycerol (grey bars). Experimental details are described in the text.

1

2

3 Fig. 1.

4



5

6


ACCEPTED

1

2

3 Fig. 2.

4




5

6

7

1 Fig. 3.

2



3

4

5


1

2

3

4 Fig. 4.

5




6

1

2

3 Fig. 5.

4



5

6

7

1

**Table 1**

Fermentation data and kinetics for batch production of propionic acid from glycerol using *P. acidipropionici* DSM 4900 free cells and immobilized cells on PEI-Luffa and PEI-Poraver

Bioreactor	Fermentation time (h)	Concentration (g.L <sup>-1</sup> )					OD 620 nm	$Q_p$ (g.L <sup>-1</sup> .h <sup>-1</sup> )	$Y_{PA/Gly}$ (mol.mol <sup>-1</sup> )
		Gly	PA	SA	AA	n-POH			
Free cells	62.5	42.0±0.50	19.46±0.63	3.25±0.32	1.09±0.10	2.18±0.23	11.88±0.16	0.34	0.64
Free cells	126.75	63.6±0.90	26.31±0.78	4.29±0.38	0.55±0.21	2.35±0.28	10.36±0.05	0.26	0.64
PEI-Luffa (B1) <sup>(a)</sup>	84	43.3±0.01	21.70±0.02	2.77±0.01	0.78±0.00	1.39±0.01	9.46±0.03	0.30	0.72
PEI-Luffa (B5)	225.5	63.2±0.03	26.00±0.02	4.03±0.00	0.68±0.00	1.28±0.01	4.03±0.06	0.16	0.71
PEI-Poraver (B5)	30.75	42±0.01	20.09±0.01	2.65±0.00	0.70±0.00	1.42±0.03	1.80±0.02	0.86	0.64
PEI-Poraver (B7)	76.5	66.6±0.05	28.39±0.02	3.60±0.00	0.51±0.00	2.00±0.01	1.01±0.00	0.43	0.63
PEI-Poraver (B9)	116.5	84.6±0.00	35.23±0.01	6.45±0.00	2.30±0.00	3.22±0.00	0.88±0.02	0.35	0.59

<sup>(a)</sup> (B): Batch number

Gly: Glycerol, PA: Propionic acid, SA: Succinic acid, AA: Acetic acid, n-POH: n-propanol

$Q_p$ : Propionic acid volumetric production rate;  $Y_{PA/Gly}$ : Propionic acid yield

2

3

4

1

2

**Table 2**

Fermentation profile and kinetics for continuous production of propionic acid from glycerol using free and immobilized *P. acidipropionici* DSM 4900 cells on PEI-Poraver

Bioreactor	Free cells			Immobilized cells		
	0.057	0.075	0.10	0.057	0.075	0.10
Dilution rate ( $\text{h}^{-1}$ )	0.057	0.075	0.10	0.057	0.075	0.10
Yeast extract ( $\text{g.L}^{-1}$ )	10	7.5	7.5	10	7.5	7.5
Initial glycerol ( $\text{g.L}^{-1}$ )	40	30	30	40	30	30
Final glycerol ( $\text{g.L}^{-1}$ )	22.27±0.13	17.92±0.30	28.53±0.03	10.93±0.03	7.93±0.05	9.23±0.08
$Q_p$ ( $\text{g.L}^{-1}.\text{h}^{-1}$ )	0.77	0.47	0.03	0.83	1.12	1.44
$Y_{PA/Gly}$ ( $\text{mol.mol}^{-1}$ )	0.90	0.65	0.22	0.64	0.84	0.86
$PA/AA$ ( $\text{mol.mol}^{-1}$ )	9.53	3.59	0.60	22.13	27.25	34.54
PA ( $\text{g.L}^{-1}$ )	13.59±0.24	6.29±0.09	0.26±0.17	14.53±0.05	14.99±0.02	14.38±0.02
SA ( $\text{g.L}^{-1}$ )	1.07±0.00	0.44±0.03	0.29±0.00	2.61±0.01	2.18±0.05	1.92±0.00
AA ( $\text{g.L}^{-1}$ )	1.16±0.11	1.42±0.01	0.35±0.13	0.53±0.00	0.45±0.00	0.34±0.03
n-POH ( $\text{g.L}^{-1}$ )	1.30±0.15	1.64±0.04	0.29±0.13	1.46±0.00	1.23±0.03	1.09±0.01
OD (620 nm)	13.06±0.04	8.6±0.14	0.8±0.08	10.5±0.02	5.14±0.01	2.56±0.06

PA: Propionic acid, SA: Succinic acid, AA: Acetic acid, n-POH: n-propanol  
 $Q_p$ : Propionic acid volumetric production rate;  $Y_{PA/Gly}$ : Propionic acid yield

3

**Table 3**

Comparison of the results from this study with literature reports on propionic acid fermentation processes with glycerol using free and immobilized cells under batch and fed-batch modes of operation


Microorganism <sup>(a)</sup>	Total glycerol (g.L <sup>-1</sup> )	Type of immobilization Matrix	Mode of operation	$Q_p$ (g.L <sup>-1</sup> .h <sup>-1</sup> )	PA (g.L <sup>-1</sup> )	$Y_{PA/Gly}$ (mol.mol <sup>-1</sup> )	Ref
<i>P. acidipropionici</i> ATCC 25562	30	----	Batch	0.24	20	0.83	(Barbirato et al., 1997)
<i>P. thoenii</i> NCDO 1082	102.3 <sup>(b)</sup>	----	Fed-batch	0.15 <sup>(b)</sup>	42	0.51 <sup>(b)</sup>	(Boyaval et al., 1994)
<i>P. acidipropionici</i> ATCC 4875 knockout mutant and adapted culture	~ 40	----	Batch	0.10	26	0.67	(Zhang and Yang, 2009b)
	~ 40	Adsorption on fibrous bed bioreactor (FBR)	Recycle-batch	0.25	23	0.73	
	189.29 <sup>(b)</sup>	Adsorption on FBR	Fed-Batch	0.04 <sup>(b)</sup>	106	0.70	
<i>P. acidipropionici</i> ATCC 25562	20	Entrapment in Ca alginate beads	Recycle-batch	0.8	12	0.75	(Bories et al., 2004)
<i>P. acidipropionici</i> ATCC 25562	20	----	Batch	0.42	12	0.79	(Himmi et al., 2000)
<i>P. acidipropionici</i> ATCC 4965	20	----	Uncontrolled- pH-Batch	0.051	6.77	0.9	(Coral et al., 2008)
<i>P. acidipropionici</i> CGMCC 1.2230	50	----	Batch	0.19	28.53	0.71	(Zhu et al., 2010)
	80	----	Fed-batch	0.2	47.28	0.73	
<i>P. acidipropionici</i> DSM 4900	40 (4 batches)	Adsorption on PEI-Luffa	recycle-batch	0.29	20.09	0.74	This study
	42	Adsorption on PEI-Poraver	recycle-batch	0.86	20.09	0.64	This study
	66.6	Adsorption on PEI-Poraver	recycle-batch	0.43	28.39	0.63	This study
	84.6	Adsorption on PEI-Poraver	recycle-batch	0.35	35.23	0.59	This study

<sup>(a)</sup> (DSM 4900 = ATCC 25562 = CGMCC 1.2230)

<sup>(b)</sup> Calculated

PA: propionic acid;  $Q_p$ : Propionic acid volumetric production rate;  $Y_{PA/Gly}$ : Propionic acid yield

Graphical abstract



## 1 **Highlights**

- 2       ➤ Polyethylenimine-treated matrices for immobilization of *Propionibacteria*
- 3       ➤ High propionic acid production rates from glycerol using immobilized cells
- 4       ➤ Establishment of stable process for repeated batch production of propionic acid

5  
6

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

# Batch- and continuous propionic acid production from glycerol using free and immobilized cells of *Propionibacterium acidipropionici*

Tarek Dishisha<sup>a\*</sup>, Maria Teresa Alvarez<sup>a,b</sup> and Rajni Hatti-Kaul<sup>a</sup>

**Figure S1.** Different matrices used for immobilization of *Propionibacterium acidipropionici* DSM 4900 cells for propionic acid production. (a) Poraver and (b) cut Luffa pieces.

(a)



(b)



**Figure S2.** *Propionibacterium acidipropionici* DSM 4900 cells immobilization on PEI-treated Luffa, showing immobilization column packed with PEI-luffa pieces (a) during immobilization step and (b) during propionic acid production. White aggregates of microbial biofilm attached to Luffa matrix could be seen in the latter.

(a)



(b)



**Figure S3.** Packed-bed column with PEI-treated Poraver showing the immobilization matrix with immobilized cells of *P. acidipropionici* DSM 4900 during propionic acid production from glycerol.



**Figure S4.** Scanning electron microscopy of *P. acidipropionici* DSM 4900 cells growing on glycerol: (a) free cells, (b) Luffa matrix showing fibrous structure with large void volume, (c) cells immobilized on PEI-treated Luffa showing elongated cells, (d) Poraver matrix showing surface structure and pores, (e) a single pore on Poraver showing immobilized *P. acidipropionici* cells, and (f) close view to the PEI-Poraver immobilized cells showing the high cell density inside pores.

