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Simple and Efficient Decoupling of Compact Arrays with Parasitic Scatterers

Buon Kiong Lau, Senior Member, IEEE, and Jørgen Bach Andersen, Life Fellow, IEEE

Abstract—Compact arrays such as multiple antennas on a mobile terminal suffer from low efficiency and high correlation between antenna signals. In the present paper, a simple and rigorous procedure for decoupling two closely coupled antennas with a parasitic scatterer is proposed. The parasitic scatterer, which can be an additional antenna, acts as a shield between two active antenna elements. In contrast to previous studies involving the use of parasitic scatterer for decoupling antennas, we demonstrate using antenna impedances the underlying decoupling mechanism for two arbitrary antennas. By a proper choice of parameters, perfect matching and decoupling can be obtained for a given antenna spacing without extending the overall area used, and without introducing additional decoupling networks. The price to pay is a reduction of bandwidth relative to that of widely spaced antennas, which is the case for other decoupling methods as well. Simulation and experimental results are used to substantiate the effectiveness of the proposed design approach on a two-monopole array with an antenna spacing of 0.1 wavelength. Finally, several practical considerations of the proposal are also presented, including the extension of the approach for more than two active antennas and its implementation in mobile terminals.

Index Terms—Antenna array mutual coupling, parasitic antennas, impedance matching

I. INTRODUCTION

CONVENTIONALLY, antenna arrays were used in radar installations and satellite communications. In these applications, it is typical to separate adjacent antenna elements by one half of a wavelength (λ/2), in order to maximize array resolution without the problem of ambiguity [3]. The same conclusions apply to the more recent application of antenna arrays at base stations in wireless communications (see e.g., [4]).

However, the overall size of the array structure has become a subject of current interest, following the widespread adoption of multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) technology in existing and future wireless communications standards [5]. One reason for this is that the implementation of multiple antennas in compact user terminals involves challenging design tradeoffs [6]. For example, even though techniques exist to mitigate mutual coupling and correlation among closely spaced antennas [6], the achievable bandwidth is reduced when compared to widely spaced antennas [7]. Nevertheless, antenna decoupling techniques can be used to facilitate a smaller antenna separation for a given set of performance requirements.

A. Existing Decoupling Techniques

One well-studied technique to decouple closely spaced antennas is to apply the so-called multiport conjugate (MC) match through introducing a separate impedance matching network [6]–[15]. The MC match has been successfully demonstrated for monopoles [8], [10], [11], [13], [14], dipoles [7], [9], patch antennas [12] and planar inverted F antennas (PIFAs) [15]. Two drawbacks with implementing an additional network to achieve decoupling are that ohmic losses are expected from the decoupling network [14] and that the decoupling network can increase the overall footprint of the multiple antenna system. Other decoupling techniques, which are specific to antennas on a common ground plane, include ground plane modifications [16], [17] and use of neutralization line [18], [19].

More recently, the use of a parasitic element has been proposed as an attractive alternative to decouple two closely spaced antennas [20]–[23]. Akin to the MC match, it can decouple different types of antennas, including dipoles [20], [23], monopoles [21], [24], PIFAs [20], [24] and ultrawideband (UWB) antennas [25]. In fact, the use of parasitic elements in an antenna system is not new. Their previous applications, which are unrelated to decoupling of multiple antennas, include:

- changing of antenna patterns [26]–[30],
- limiting current flow of antenna on a small ground plane [31],
- enhancing bandwidth of the antenna structure [32]–[35],
- adding a resonant frequency band [36],
- increasing the reflection phase range of reflectarrays to beyond 360° [37].

One common feature in the existing literature on parasitic decoupling is that the design procedure minimizes the coupling coefficient in a best effort manner through sweeping the parameters of the parasitic element. As such, they are unlike the MC match, which generates perfect decoupling at the desired frequency for the given self and mutual impedances of the closely coupled antennas. Another commonality of existing parasitic decoupling literature, with the exception of [24], is that the structure of the parasitic element does not resemble that of the closely coupled antennas. For example, [20] proposes a H-shape structure and a meander T-shape
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structure for decoupling dipoles and PIFAs, respectively, and a parameter sweep is employed to design these structures.

B. Proposed Parasitic Decoupling Technique

In this paper, we propose a simple and efficient parasitic decoupling technique, which can perfectly decouple two arbitrarily spaced antennas using a reactively loaded parasitic antenna in between them. It will be shown that our approach gives similar result as a MC matching network, but in a much simpler realization while maintaining the overall size of the antenna system. The proposed design procedure is simple and rigorous, in that the objective is to tune the dimensions of both the active and parasitic antennas in order to satisfy a criterion derived from antenna impedances. The criterion provides perfect decoupling of the active antennas through the use of a purely reactive load at the parasitic antenna. The reactive load ensures lossless decoupling in the case of ideal elements. Experimental results also show that the proposed technique gives significantly better measured efficiency than the MC match for two monopoles of 0.1λ spacing [13].

Whereas [24] shows the possibility to decouple two active antennas by placing a reactively loaded parasitic antenna in between them, it relies on numerical optimization of only the reactive load. No explicit information is provided on the underlying principle and mechanism, apart from the observation that loading the parasitic antenna with different reactive loads changes the gain patterns and coupling between the active antennas. In this paper, we show that tuning the active and parasitic antennas by changing their dimensions is necessary for achieving perfect decoupling at the center frequency.

The drawback of using any of the aforementioned techniques for coupling compensation is the narrow bandwidth of the resulting antenna system, but this is unavoidable for antenna systems with small antenna spacing [6]. Another consequence of these approaches is a change of radiation pattern, but this should only pose a minor problem in a rich scattering environment [38], and in fact it is angle diversity which facilitates the decorrelation of the signals. A simpler solution with optimum uncoupled port matching [39]–[41] is also a possibility, but the efficiency is reduced compared with decoupling techniques. The use of parasitic scatterer or reflector to increase isolation of UWB antennas (see [25] and references therein) has also been proposed. It is expected that a similar approach can be devised to enhance the bandwidth of decoupled narrowband antennas by generating multiple resonances in the parasitic element.

For the purpose of demonstrating the effectiveness of our parasitic decoupling concept and giving insight into its operation, we use electrical dipoles or monopoles as generic examples in this paper. However, the basic principle will work for any antenna, since the method only relies on antenna impedances.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II introduces the theoretical derivation of parasitic decoupling and the design procedure, which is illustrated using the simple case of two closely coupled dipoles. Section III shows the design approach for monopole antennas in full wave simulations, and the results are also verified in an experiment. Insights and practical issues relating to the technique are discussed in Section IV. Section V concludes the paper.

II. THEORY OF DECOUPLING WITH A PARASITIC SCATTERER

A. Derivation of Decoupling Procedure

The theory of decoupling two arbitrary active antennas with a parasitic scatterer can be illustrated with the setup in Fig. 1. The "black box" in the setup consists of two active antennas (ports 1 and 3) and a parasitic scatterer (port 2) that acts as a shield between the active antennas. The 3-port black box (or network) of multiple antennas is also intended to highlight the fact that the closely coupled antenna and scatterer cannot be considered as separate structures in general, e.g., they may share a common ground. The parasitic scatterer is terminated by the load impedance \( Z_L \) and the matching circuits (or matching network) connected to antennas 1 and 3 transform the antenna input impedance to the impedance of the feed cable (typically 50Ω).

The self and mutual impedances of the three-port array at the center frequency \( f_0 \) (\( f_0 = c/\lambda \), \( c \) being the speed of light in vacuum) are represented by \( Z_{ii} \) and \( Z_{ij} \), respectively, where \( i \neq j, \{i,j\} = 1,2,3 \). We begin with the voltage and current relationship of the setup

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_1 \\
V_2 \\
V_3
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
Z_{11} & Z_{12} & Z_{13} \\
Z_{21} & Z_{22} & Z_{23} \\
Z_{31} & Z_{32} & Z_{33}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
I_1 \\
I_2 \\
I_3
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(1)

or in matrix notation \( \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{Z}_A \mathbf{I} \), where \( V_i \) and \( I_i \) are the voltage and current across the \( i \)th antenna port. Moreover, due to reciprocity, \( Z_{12} = Z_{21}, Z_{23} = Z_{32} \) and \( Z_{13} = Z_{31} \).

The termination condition for the parasitic scatterer implies that \( V_2 = -Z_L I_2 \), which upon substitution into (1) and rearrangement gives the voltage and current relationships across the ports of the active antennas

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_1 \\
V_3
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
Z'_{11} & Z'_{13} \\
Z'_{13} & Z'_{33}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
I_1 \\
I_3
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(2)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
Z'_{11} &= Z_{11} - \frac{Z_{12}^2}{Z_{22} + Z_L}, \\
Z'_{13} &= Z_{13} - \frac{Z_{12} Z_{23}}{Z_{22} + Z_L}, \\
Z'_{33} &= Z_{33} - \frac{Z_{23}^2}{Z_{22} + Z_L}.
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

(4)

(5)

To perfectly decouple the active antennas, we require that \( Z'_{13} = 0 \), or equivalently

\[
\begin{align*}
Z'_{13} &= Z_{13} - \frac{Z_{12} Z_{23}}{Z_{22} + Z_L} = 0 \\
Z_L &= \frac{Z_{23}}{Z_{13}} - Z_{22}.
\end{align*}
\]

(6)
In Figure 3(b) are illustrated in Figure 3(a), two solutions satisfy this criterion, adjusting the identical length of the dipole antennas for different lengths will increase the flexibility of the design method. The method-of-moments (MoM) Matlab scripts from [4] are used, except that the antennas are now explicitly shown. The commonly used reference of dipole antennas are used to be arbitrary and need not be of the same type. However, since the above derivation is purely based on antenna impedances, the two antennas and the parasitic scatterer can separately, and setting the load resistance to zero which will ideally circumvent any ohmic loss in the loaded scatterer

\[ R_L = \text{Re} \{ Z_L \} = \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{Z_{12}Z_{23}}{Z_{13}} \right\} = R_{22} = 0, \]

\[ X_L = \text{Im} \{ Z_L \} = \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{Z_{12}Z_{23}}{Z_{13}} \right\} - X_{22}, \]

where \( R_{22} = \text{Re} \{ Z_{22} \} \) and \( X_{22} = \text{Im} \{ Z_{22} \} \).

Based on the above derivation, the design procedure for decoupling can be formulated into the following steps:

1. For a given closely coupled two-antenna array, insert a third antenna between them as the parasitic scatterer.
2. Tune the three antennas so that criterion (7) is satisfied.
3. Calculate the reactance load \( Z_L \) for the parasitic scatterer using (8).
4. Calculate the new input impedances of the active antennas \( Z_{11}' \) and \( Z_{33}' \) using (3) and (5), respectively.
5. Calculate the required matching circuits to transform \( Z_{11}' \) and \( Z_{33}' \) to 50Ω.

\[ Z_{11}' = Z_{11} - \frac{Z_{12}Z_{13}}{Z_{23}}, \]

which in this case reduces to

\[ Z_{11}' = Z_{11} - Z_{13}, \]

due to the symmetry \( Z_{12} = Z_{23} \).

The expression (10) implies that at the center frequency, the input impedance of the active antennas decreases correspondingly when the spacing \( d \) is reduced, due to the self and mutual impedances approaching each other. Therefore, if \( d \) becomes small, the required impedance transformation ratio to achieve 50Ω is high. For this example of \( d = 0.1\lambda \), the input impedance of each of the two decoupled active port \( Z_{11}' \) are as low as \( 2.7 - j12.7\Omega \) and \( 5.9 + j11.9\Omega \), respectively, for the two solutions with \( X_L = \{103.8\Omega, 19.9\Omega\} \). This complicates the matching and gives narrowband results. However, it is possible to use a more sophisticated matching network (such as a Chebyshev design) to enhance the bandwidth of \( S_{11}' = S_{33}' \) by more than a factor of two, if required, using a similar approach as for matching single antennas [43].

The impedance matching circuit needed to transform the impedance of each of the two decoupled active antennas (i.e., \( Z_{11}' \) and \( Z_{33}' \) to 50Ω) is realized here with transmission lines and a single open-circuited stub [44], although lumped elements [44] may be more attractive for circuit miniaturization, especially at lower frequencies. Note that similar uncoupled matching circuits are required for any realization of MC match, except that in the present case the decoupling function of the decoupler line [10] or the rat-race hybrid 180° coupler [11] in the overall MC matching circuit is provided by the parasitic scatterer.

The scattering parameters of the decoupled active antennas using either of the two reactance load solutions are shown in Figure 4, where \( S_{11}' \) and \( S_{13}' \) are the scattering parameters of the active antennas after the decoupling and 50Ω matching steps. Lossless inductors are used in the MoM simulation to provide the required reactance load at the parasitic scatterer. As expected, perfect decoupling and matching is achieved at the center frequency for either of the two solutions. However, the solution with the shorter dipoles gives a more narrowband behavior in \( S_{11}' \), as can be expected from the higher reactance load needed. As a reference case, the scattering parameters of two half-wavelength dipoles that are individually conjugate matched with their self-impedances (i.e., self impedance match) are also shown. In this case, the antenna spacing of 0.1λ is kept and no parasitic scatterer is used. Comparing the proposed procedure can in theory achieve perfect and lossless decoupling, i.e., the scattering (or S) parameters \( S_{13}' = S_{31}' = 0 \), by ensuring that both conditions (7) and (8) are fulfilled. The reactive load at the parasitic element may be realized by either lumped (e.g., inductor) or distributed (e.g., open-circuited transmission line) elements. In this example, lossless inductors are used.

In general, the identical input impedance of dipoles 1 and 3 is not equal to the reference impedance of 50Ω when the load reactance of antenna 2 is set to one of the two values \( X_L = \{103.8\Omega, 19.9\Omega\} \). It follows from (3) and (6) that

\[ Z_{11}' = Z_{11} - \frac{Z_{12}Z_{13}}{Z_{23}}, \]

Fig. 1. A decoupling setup for a black box containing an arbitrary two-antenna structure (ports 1 and 3) and a parasitic scatterer (port 2). Port 2 is terminated with an impedance load, whereas each of ports 1 and 3 is matched to a 50Ω feed cable.
In this section, we present simulation and experimental results of the proposed decoupling approach at 900 MHz for the monopole antenna setup shown in Fig. 5. The same monopole array structure as in [40] is used, except that here we use three monopoles, instead of only two. Monopole 2 is the parasitic scatterer, whereas monopoles 1 and 3 are the active elements. Monopole 3 is located at the center of the ground plane, whereas monopoles 1 and 2 are separated by 0.1λ and 0.05λ from monopole 3 along the positive y-axis, respectively (i.e., d = 0.1λ). The ground plane of the monopole has the surface dimensions of 330 mm × 250 mm, and it is made from FR4 material of thickness 1.55 mm, with a thin copper coating on the underside of thickness 35 μm. The dielectric constant and loss tangent of the FR4 material at 900 MHz is 4.4 and 0.02, respectively. The copper-coated FR4 ground plane is used due to it being relatively lightweight and more rigid than pure copper ground plane of comparable thickness. The monopole conductors are made from cylindrical copper wires of 2 mm in diameter. Each of the two matching circuits, which is connected to the feeding end of the copper conductor, is printed on a PTFE printed circuit board (PCB) as transmission lines and open-circuited stubs. The PTFE PCB has a thickness of 0.8 mm and a copper layer of 35 μm. At 900 MHz, The PTFE material has a dielectric constant of 2.53 and a loss tangent of 0.0015.

The design procedure listed in Section II-A is applied to the simulation model of the monopole array setup. The simulation results of the monopole setup are obtained using the time-domain solver of CST Microwave Studio. For convenience of tuning, we apply distributed elements for both the reactive load at the parasitic element and the matching circuits at the active antennas. In particular, an open-circuited transmission line on a PCB is used as the reactive load and the matching circuits consist of transmission lines and open-circuited stubs. These circuits are incorporated into the antenna simulation through circuit co-simulation in CST Design Studio. For the experimental verification, the scattering parameters of the fabricated monopole array (with the corresponding distributed decoupling and matching circuits attached) are measured with a two-port vector network analyzer and the radiation patterns are measured in a Satimo Stargate-64 measurement facility.
can be found for perfect decoupling. However, we focus on the solution giving the larger bandwidth. The scattering parameters of the decoupled (and matched) active monopoles from simulation and measurement are given in Fig. 6. As can be seen, the simulation and measurement results are in good agreement with each other. Due to higher ohmic losses in practice than in simulation, the bandwidths of the measured cases are slightly larger than the simulated ones. Practical tuning likewise limits the exact reproduction of the isolation parameter.

The simulated and measured radiation patterns of the decoupled active elements are shown in Fig. 7. Again, the simulated and measured results are in good agreement. It is noted that the simulated $E_\phi$ component of the $\phi = 90^\circ$ plane in Figs. 7(e) and 7(f) is not visible, since it is not within the given range of pattern magnitudes. In addition, the simulated patterns of the two active elements exhibit non-exact mirror symmetry, and this is because the center of the array is slightly displaced (i.e., by 0.05λ) from the center of the ground plane. As can be expected from the linearly polarized monopoles, the $E_\theta$ component is dominant in the radiation patterns. Both $\theta = 90^\circ$ and $\phi = 90^\circ$ planes reveal that the maximum gains of the two patterns point away from each other, towards the array endfires ($\phi = 90^\circ$ or $270^\circ$), at a elevated angle of $\theta = 60^\circ$. The directivity of the patterns is about 7.5 dBi, which is significantly higher than that of a single monopole. This confirms that angle diversity is strongly utilized in this setup. The simulated and measured pattern correlation, assuming a 3D uniform angular power spectrum (APS), is around 0.05 and 0.02, respectively. In the ideal case of a lossless setup, perfect decoupling and matching in the 3D uniform APS will lead to zero pattern correlation [7], [45]. The slight discrepancies between the theoretical zero correlation and the small correlation values in the simulated and measured cases are attributed to the presence of some losses and that it is difficult to practically obtain zero correlation.

The tolerance of efficiency measurement in the Satimo facility at 900 MHz is specified to be 0.5 dB. The simulated efficiencies of the parasitic decoupled monopoles are close to 100%, whereas the measured efficiencies are about 70%. The discrepancy is the primarily the result of imperfect fabrication of the antenna structure and the matching circuits, where the design of the experimental setup emphasizes flexibility rather than precise construction (e.g., monopoles of different antenna spacing $d$ can be easily achieved on the same ground plane). As a reference, the measured efficiency of a single monopole on the same ground plane is about 80%, which is within the tolerance range of the decoupled monopoles’ efficiencies.

In contrast, for the same antenna spacing of $d = 0.1\lambda$ for the two-monopole setup which applies the MC match based on hybrid 180° coupler, the measured even and odd mode efficiencies at the center frequency are 75% and under 30%, respectively [13]. These efficiency values are for matching the even and odd mode outputs of the hybrid coupler with transmission lines and single open-circuited stubs (i.e., the narrowband matching solution). Recall that similar matching elements are used to match the parasitic decoupled monopole ports. Comparing the achieved measured efficiency with parasitic decoupling and MC match, the parasitic decoupling approach is superior in terms of both average efficiency and balance of branch power.

IV. FURTHER INSIGHTS AND PRACTICAL ASPECTS

A. Number of Antennas

For future systems, it is most realistic to first consider arrays with only two elements, though an extension of our proposed decoupling technique to the use of more elements is possible. The technique will work for the case of three parallel dipoles (dipoles 1 to 3) in a uniform triangular array (UTA) arrangement, where one parasitic scatterer (dipole 4) in their centroid is able to decouple the triangular array for any separation distance between the active antennas. Applying the same approach from Section II-A in deriving (6) for decoupling two active antennas, and assuming that the active dipoles are identical, the corresponding expression for this three-dipole case is given by $Z_{\text{ut}} = Z_{14}^2/Z_{12} - Z_{44}$. For dipoles with a diameter of 2 mm and antenna spacing of $d = 0.1\lambda$ among the active dipoles, the scattering parameters as calculated using the MoM scripts from [42] for two UTA cases (i.e., with and
The inherent asymmetry in the array structure introduces different uniform linear arrays of three or more elements. Therefore, the smallest antenna separation distance, such as for the case of systems is usually limited by pair(s) of antennas with the complicates the design of parasitic scatterer(s) for perfect levels of coupling between different pairs of antennas, which coupling between any (active) antenna pair is equal.

That the decoupling technique applies directly to the UTA case in a smaller bandwidth for the decoupled antennas. The reason is applied, whereas the no-parasitic case has a high coupling coefficient of -7.5 dB between a given antenna and each of its two adjacent antennas. Nonetheless, as in the case of two-element arrays, decreasing the separation distance will result in a smaller bandwidth for the decoupled antennas. The reason that the decoupling technique applies directly to the UTA case is that the symmetry of the array structure ensures that the coupling between any (active) antenna pair is equal.

For three-element arrays of non-triangular arrangements, the inherent asymmetry in the array structure introduces different levels of coupling between different pairs of antennas, which complicates the design of parasitic scatterer(s) for perfect decoupling. However, the performance of multiple antenna systems is usually limited by pair(s) of antennas with the smallest antenna separation distance, such as for the case of uniform linear arrays of three or more elements. Therefore, decoupling the antenna pairs with the most severe coupling level can provide an approximate solution.

### B. Application in Compact Terminals

One important application of decoupling techniques is in achieving good performance for mobile terminals with closely spaced antennas [6], [16]–[19]. Limited available space, multiple-band operation and the need for co-existence with other device components complicate the decoupling task significantly. Preliminary simulation results confirm that the proposed parasitic decoupling technique can perfectly decouple dual-PIFA and dual-monopole antennas at 900 MHz for a 40 mm × 100 mm ground plane, where the two active and one parasitic antennas are placed at the two short edges and the center of the ground plane, respectively.

### C. MIMO Performance

It is known that a lossless decoupled and well matched receive array is optimum not only from the viewpoint of maximum power transfer from the antennas to the loads [9], it also facilitates zero correlation in the 3D uniform APS [7], [45]. In fact, the decoupled array is likewise superior in received power and correlation performance to coupled array in other propagation environments [41]. Since MIMO performance measured in terms of either capacity or diversity gain is a function of correlation, branch power imbalance and available power, arrays which are decoupled by any (lossless) method will in general result in a better MIMO performance as well (see e.g., [6], [9], [46]).

As an example, consider the MIMO capacity for the lossless dipoles in Section II at the center frequency. For a $M \times M$ MIMO channel $\mathbf{H}$, the instantaneous channel capacity with equal transmit power allocation can be expressed as $[47]$

$$ C = \log_2 \det \left( \mathbf{I}_M + \frac{\rho}{M} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^H \right). $$

where $\rho$ is the reference SNR and $\mathbf{I}_M$ is the $M \times M$ identity matrix. Since the interest here is in antenna design, the reference propagation environment of independent and identically distributed fading is used.
distributed (IID) Rayleigh fading channel $H_w$ is assumed, i.e., the entries of $H_w$ are zero mean circularly symmetric complex Gaussian random variables. Without loss of generality, the case of receive antennas is examined. Then, the MIMO channel is given by

$$H = R^{\frac{1}{2}} H_w,$$  

(12)

where $R$ is the receive correlation matrix, which fully represents the effects of the antennas on the channel, i.e., it characterizes the efficiency, efficiency imbalance and correlation among the receive antennas. In particular,

$$R = \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} R_{\Lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$  

(13)

where $\Lambda$ is a normalized correlation matrix whose diagonal elements are 1 and the $(i, j)$th $(i \neq j)$ element $R(i, j)$ denotes the complex correlation coefficient between the 3D radiation patterns of the $i$th and $j$th antenna ports. $\Lambda$ denotes a diagonal matrix given by

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}[\eta_1, \eta_2, \cdots, \eta_M],$$  

(14)

where $\eta_i$ is the total efficiency of the $i$th antenna.

The correlation between the active antennas that has been decoupled with parasitic scatterer and matched to 50 $\Omega$ is zero and the total efficiency of each antenna is 100%. This means that $R = I_M$ and the MIMO capacity is the same as that of the IID Rayleigh channel.

For $\rho = 20$ dB and 10,000 Monte Carlo realizations of the $H$, the ergodic capacity $E(C)$ is 11.3 bits per second per Hertz (bits/s/Hz). In comparison, the correlation and total efficiency of the reference case with self-impedance match are 0.55 and 58%, respectively. This translates to an ergodic capacity of 9.4 bits/s/Hz. Thus, the proposed decoupling procedure gives an overall gain in capacity of 2 bits/s/Hz.

On the other hand, as also pointed out in Section II, the bandwidth of the decoupled array can be significantly smaller than that of a widely spaced array, depending on the antenna spacing [7]. This implies that at a very small antenna separation, the benefit of decoupling will be small, if the operating bandwidth significantly exceeds the achieved antenna bandwidth.

D. Shielded Zone

As can be seen in the pattern plots in Fig. 7, the shielding effect of the parasitic antenna ensures that the radiation of the active antennas is directed away from each other. However, the shielding effect is not only limited to far-field beamforming between the excited antenna and the parasitic element. Indeed, since decoupling is a near-field phenomenon, one can expect that there is a quiet zone within the shielded region, as can be seen in the simulated total electric field distribution along the center region of the large ground plane in Fig. 9. In Fig. 9, active monopole antenna 1 is removed and the total electric field is taken at a height of 5 mm above the ground plane. As can be observed, the field at the former location of antenna 1 is over 10 dB lower than the value in the immediate vicinity of the excited antenna 3.

Moreover, due to the decoupling phenomenon, removing one of the two active antennas will only marginally affect the impedance and radiation characteristics of the other active antenna. This is confirmed in both simulations and measurements, i.e., the remaining antenna gives similar reflection coefficient and radiation pattern as those shown in Figs. 6 and 7, respectively.

V. Conclusions

This paper takes up the task of decoupling closely coupled antennas with parasitic scatterers. The main intention is to provide the theoretical insights into the approach, which can be applied to two arbitrary coupled antennas for any arbitrary spacing. Example applications on reference antenna arrays of closely spaced dipoles or monopoles illustrate the procedure and its effectiveness. Preliminary results confirm that the approach extends readily into more practical antenna elements, such as those used in mobile terminals. However, the ability of the parasitic scatterer approach to support multiband operation and its robustness to user effects are interesting subjects for future studies.
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