Lithium in Drinking Water and Thyroid Function

Broberg Palmgren, Karin; Concha, Gabriela; Engström, Karin; Lindvall, Magnus; Grander, Margareta; Vahter, Marie

Published in:
Environmental Health Perspectives

DOI:
10.1289/ehp.1002678

Published: 2011-01-01

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Lithium in Drinking Water and Thyroid Function
Karin Broberg,1 Gabriela Concha,2 Karin Engström,1 Magnus Lindvall,3 Margareta Grandér,4 and Marie Vahter4
1Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Lund University, Lund, Sweden; 2Division of Toxicology, Swedish National
Food Administration, Uppsala, Sweden; 3Department of Child and Youth Psychiatry, Lund University, Lund, Sweden; 4Institute of
Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

BACKGROUND: High concentrations of lithium in drinking water were previously discovered in the Argentinean Andes Mountains. Lithium is used worldwide for treatment of bipolar disorder and treatment-resistant depression. One known side effect is altered thyroid function.

OBJECTIVES: We assessed associations between exposure to lithium from drinking water and other environmental sources and thyroid function.

METHODS: Women (n = 202) were recruited in four Andean villages in northern Argentina. Lithium exposure was assessed based on concentrations in spot urine samples, measured by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Thyroid function was evaluated by plasma free thyroid (T4) and pituitary gland thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), analyzed by routine immunometric methods.

RESULTS: The median urinary lithium concentration was 3.910 μg/L (5th, 95th percentiles, 270 μg/L, 10,400 μg/L). Median plasma concentrations (5th, 95th percentiles) of T4 and TSH were 17 pmol/L (13 pmol/L, 21 pmol/L) and 1.9 μIU/mL (0.68 μIU/mL, 4.9 μIU/mL), respectively. Urine lithium was inversely associated with T4 (β for a 1,000-μg/L increase = −0.19; 95% confidence interval (CI), −0.31 to −0.068; p = 0.002) and positively associated with TSH (β = 0.096; 95% CI, 0.033 to 0.16; p = 0.003). Both associations persisted after adjustment (for T4, β = −0.17; 95% CI, −0.32 to −0.015; p = 0.032; for TSH: β = 0.089; 95% CI, 0.024 to 0.15; p = 0.007). Urine lithium was positively associated with T4 (adjusted T4 for a 1 μg/L increase: β = 0.041; 95% CI, 0.012 to 0.071; p = 0.006).

CONCLUSIONS: Exposure to lithium via drinking water and other environmental sources may affect thyroid function, consistent with known side effects of medical treatment with lithium. This stresses the need for screen for lithium in all drinking water sources.

water they consumed (tap water/bottled water and source of the tap water), their dietary habits (what do you eat for breakfast, lunch, and dinner?), diseases, and time of residence in the area, including that of their parents and grandparents. These questions revealed that all women almost exclusively drank tap water, which was highly correlated to lithium (r = 0.85; p < 0.001). Adjusted for urinary arsenic, cesium, and selenium; age; parity; and BMI. Adjusted for urinary iodoine, age, and parity.

**Sample collection.** Spot-urine samples were collected in disposable paper cups and immediately transferred to 20-mL acid-washed polyethylene bottles. After testing for pH1 and presence of protein and glucose by urine test strips (Siemens Multistix, Tarrytown, NY, USA), the urine samples were frozen at −20°C. Markers of thyroid function were measured in plasma, which was obtained from collected venous blood samples (heparin tubes) that were centrifuged within 10 min after blood withdrawal. Plasma and urine samples were kept at −20°C until they were transported (with cooling blocks) to Sweden for analysis. **Analysis of elements and markers of thyroid function.** We evaluated arsenic, boron, and cesium in urine because our previous study had demonstrated that these elements were elevated in drinking water in the same regions as lithium (Concha et al. 2010). Of note, cesium is known to accumulate in the thyroid (Bandazhevsky 2003). We also evaluated selenium and iodine because these elements are important for thyroid function [Lu and Holmgren 2009; World Health Organization (WHO) et al. 2007]. The urinary samples were analyzed 3 months after sampling using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry with collision/reaction cell (Agilent 7500ce; Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) as described previously (Concha et al. 2010). Iodine was measured after diluting the urine with 0.1% NH4OH (Suprapur; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Analytical accuracy was ascertained by analyzing commercially available urine reference materials (Concha et al. 2010). For iodine, we analyzed Seronorm Urine No. 2525 (reference value, 282 μg/L) and OK4636 (analytical value, 139 μg/L; SERO AS, Billingstad, Norway) and obtained measured values of 294 ± 7.5 and 133 ± 4.4 μg/L (n = 11), respectively. To compensate for dilution, we

### Table 1. Characteristics, measured concentrations of elements and hormones in urine and plasma, and correlations between the variables and T4 and TSH (n = 202).  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>5th, 95th percentiles</th>
<th>Minimum–maximum</th>
<th>Spearman rank (r) correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18, 64</td>
<td>12–80</td>
<td>−0.19** 0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years living in the area</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3, 51</td>
<td>0.3–71</td>
<td>−0.096 0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>18,8, 35</td>
<td>16–40</td>
<td>−0.24** 0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0, 11</td>
<td>0–14</td>
<td>−0.28 0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary concentration (μg/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium</td>
<td>4,404</td>
<td>3,914</td>
<td>268, 10,392</td>
<td>117–14,343</td>
<td>−0.25** 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>14,578</td>
<td>2,602, 27,907</td>
<td>1,292–45,313</td>
<td>−0.089 0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>21, 545</td>
<td>10–1,250</td>
<td>−0.20** 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesium</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>26, 890</td>
<td>8.0–2,243</td>
<td>−0.27 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11, 51</td>
<td>4.0–74</td>
<td>0.23** 0.18*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>37, 354</td>
<td>16–857</td>
<td>0.035 0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma concentration (pmol/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13, 21</td>
<td>11–32</td>
<td>— 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH (mIU/L)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.68, 4.9</td>
<td>0–8.8</td>
<td>−0.11 —</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All values were based on 202 observations with the exception of years living in the area (n = 198), parity (n = 197), plasma T₄ (n = 194), and TSH (n = 196), because of either missing information (years living in the area and parity) or insufficient amount of sample left for analysis (plasma T₄ and TSH). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and *p < 0.001, for the Spearman’s correlations between the variables and T₄ and TSH.

### Table 2. Association between a 1,000-μg/L increase in urine lithium concentration and plasma free T₄ and TSH by linear regression analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>β-Coefficient</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>−0.31 to −0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>−0.32 to −0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH</td>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.033 to 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.024 to 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>−0.039 to 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>−0.059 to 0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted models include covariates with p < 0.25 in a univariate analysis, apart from boron, which was highly correlated to lithium (r = 0.85; p < 0.001). Adjusted for urinary arsenic, cesium, and selenium; age; parity; and BMI. Adjusted for selenium and BMI. Adjusted for urinary iodoine, age, and parity.

**Figure 1.** Association between urinary lithium concentration and plasma free T₄.
measured specific gravity of urine using a digital refractometer (EUROMEX RD 712 clinical refractometer; EUROMEX, Arnhem, the Netherlands), and adjusted measured analyte concentrations to the mean specific gravity of all samples (1.020 g/mL). Free T₄ and TSH were measured in 100 μL plasma by an immunometric method with reagents from Roche Diagnostics (Mannheim, Germany), performed as a routine analysis at the Department of Clinical Chemistry, Lund University. All plasma samples were stored for approximately 1 year at –20°C before analysis of T₄ and TSH. According to the literature (El Ezzi et al. 2010; Männistö et al. 2007), the concentrations of T₄ and TSH are very stable over time.

**Statistical analysis.** We calculated the associations between characteristics of study subjects, urinary concentrations of selected elements, and plasma T₄ and TSH levels using the Spearman correlation coefficient (r). Associations between markers of thyroid function and BMI (dependent variables) and the selected elements, age, and parity (independent variables) were first analyzed with univariate linear regression analysis. Then multivariate models were used to estimate associations between urinary lithium concentration and T₄, TSH, and BMI adjusted for other influential variables (defined as independent variables with p-values < 0.25 in the univariate linear regression analysis). Potential influential variables were the elements arsenic, boron, and cesium, which are elevated in drinking water in the same regions as lithium; and age, parity, and BMI because these factors may influence the markers of thyroid function. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (version 18.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Associations were considered statistically significant based on an alpha level of 0.05.

**Results**

Study participants had a median age of 34 years and parity of three children (Table 1). The median BMI was 24.7 kg/m² (in the upper range of normal weight, 18.5–24.9 kg/m²), and 17% of the women (n = 35) were considered obese (> 30 kg/m²). T₄ values were outside of the normal range (12–22 pmol/L) in 5 (2.6%) of 194 women (1 below and 4 above normal range), and TSH values were outside the normal range (0.4–4.0 mIU/L) in 20 (10%) of 196 women (3 below and 17 above normal range). Ninety-seven percent of the women reported that they had lived > 2 years in the area. Table 1 also shows the concentrations of toxic and essential elements in urine.

Lithium, boron, arsenic, and cesium in urine were all negatively correlated to free T₄ (Table 1), but we found no significant correlations between these elements and TSH. Selenium, but not iodine, in urine was positively correlated to T₄ and inversely correlated to TSH. Age, BMI, and parity were all negatively associated with T₄ but not with TSH. We found a negative correlation between reported number of ingested glasses of water per day and TSH (r = –0.17; p = 0.015), but water consumption was not correlated with T₄ (p = 0.36).

Urinary lithium concentration was inversely associated with free T₄ before and after adjustment [Table 2, Figure 1; adjusted β for a 1,000 μg/L increase in lithium urine concentration = 0.17; 95% confidence interval (CI), –0.32 to –0.015; p = 0.032] and positively associated with TSH (Table 2, Figure 2; adjusted β = 0.089; 95% CI, 0.024 to 0.15; p = 0.007). The effect of lithium on TSH was still present when we adjusted for arsenic, cesium, selenium, iodine, age, parity, and BMI (β = 0.14; 95% CI, 0.054 to 0.22; p = 0.001). For comparison, the β-coefficient point estimates for the associations between other covariates and markers of thyroid function and BMI are shown in Supplemental Material, Table 1 (doi:10.1289/ehp.1002678). Estimates were similar when we limited multivariate analyses to women who had lived > 2 years in the area (n = 179–180; for T₄, β = –0.17; 95% CI, –0.32 to –0.011; p = 0.036; for TSH: β = 0.15; 95% CI, 0.063 to 0.23; p = 0.001). We found no association between lithium and T₄ or TSH values outside the normal range (data not shown).

We found a nonsignificant positive association between urinary lithium and BMI (Table 2, Figure 3). The association between lithium and BMI became less significant after additional adjustment for T₄ and TSH (β = 0.068; 95% CI, –0.015 to 0.28; p = 0.53). In contrast with lithium, urinary selenium was positively associated with T₄ (unadjusted β = 0.05; 95% CI, 0.022 to 0.078; p = 0.001) and inversely associated with TSH (β = –0.014; 95% CI, –0.029 to 0.001; p = 0.069). However, only the association with T₄ was statistically significant after adjustments [see Supplemental Material, Table 1 (doi:10.1289/ehp.1002678)]. Urinary boron was significantly negatively associated with T₄ (β for a 1,000 μg/L increase = –0.068; 95% CI, –0.11 to –0.025; p = 0.002) but was not associated with TSH in univariate analyses. However, because of high collinearity (r = 0.85; p < 0.001) between boron and lithium, we did not include boron in multivariate analyses of associations between lithium and T₄, TSH, or BMI. When boron was modeled instead of lithium in a multivariate analysis, we found no association between boron and TSH or BMI (β = 0.34 and p = 0.48) but it was inversely associated with T₄ (β = –0.075; 95% CI, –0.14 to –0.013; p = 0.018). Cesium and arsenic were not associated with T₄ or TSH (see Supplemental Material, Table 1).
Discussion

This is to our knowledge the first study investigating the potential health impact of long-term lithium exposure from drinking water and other environmental sources on the thyroid. We found an association between lithium exposure, as assessed by lithium concentrations in urine, and markers of thyroid function. The positive associations between lithium and TSH and the inverse association between lithium and T₄ are consistent with reports of hypothyroidism as a side effect of chronic use of lithium medication (Grandjean and Aubry 2009b; Lazarus 2009). Lithium treatment is also associated with weight gain (Grandjean and Aubry 2009b); however, we noted only a small, nonsignificant association between urinary lithium concentration and BMI in our study population. The positive association with T₄ and inverse association with TSH suggest that selenium may have had a beneficial effect on thyroid function in this population, but, contrary to expectations, we did not observe similar associations with iodine. Cesium was not associated with T₄ or TSH, despite accumulation of cesium in the thyroid (Bandazhevsky 2003).

The main strength of our study is the use of a biomarker of lithium exposure in urine combined with analysis of biomarkers for selenium, iodine, and cesium—elements that may influence thyroid function—as well as sensitive markers in plasma of effect on the thyroid. However, the study has a few weaknesses. First, several elements were highly correlated in both drinking water and urine (Concha et al. 2010); thus, it is not possible to account for potential confounding by other elements, particularly boron. Nevertheless, whereas lithium was associated with both T₄ and TSH, boron was associated with T₄ only. To our knowledge, there are no data that show that boron is toxic to the thyroid; because little is known about the toxicology of boron, the possibility that boron may influence T₄ cannot be excluded. In contrast, arsenic and cesium were not associated with TSH or T₄. A second limitation is that we were not able to enroll a random sample of women, although we tried to recruit a representative population of the women living in this area. Finally, the cross-sectional nature of the study limits our ability to determine temporal relations between lithium exposures and the outcomes assessed. We believe that exposure levels would have been relatively stable over time, and that they would have begun very early in life. In support of this notion, the levels of lithium in drinking water, which contributes to a substantial fraction of the lithium in urine, have been stable during the period measured (2004–2008; Concha et al. 2010), and most of the women had lived in the area > 2 years. Apart from our study, there are very few reports on the health effects of chronic lithium exposure besides the side effects of medication. In a recent study of suicide risk in relation to lithium concentrations in tap water in 18 municipalities of Oita prefecture in Japan, Ohgami et al. (2009) reported that the standardized mortality ratio for suicide was inversely associated with water lithium concentrations. In that study, water concentrations ranged from 0.7 to 59 μg/L, in contrast with a range from 8 to 1,005 μg/L in our study population (Concha et al. 2010). The Oita study has also been criticized for not considering lithium intake via food, which may reach > 100 μg/day (Desai and Chaturvedi 2009). In the present study, we estimated associations with urinary lithium concentrations, a biomarker of total exposure. T₄ was negatively correlated, and TSH weakly positively correlated with BMI. These associations may have been due to an effect of lithium on the thyroid, but we could not prove it statistically. In contrast with lithium, selenium was inversely associated with TSH and positively associated with T₄. The possibility of an effect of selenium on thyroid function is consistently correlated with thyroid markers, which is in accordance with the proposed role of seleno enzymes, including deiodinase activities (Lu and Holmgren 2009). However, because the deiodinases regulate the conversion of T₄ to the thyroid hormone triiodothyronine (T₃), we would expect selenium to be inversely associated with T₃. Selenium is also present in selenoproteins such as glutathione peroxidase and the thioredoxin reductase family, which are important for protection of the thyroid gland from excess hydrogen peroxide and reactive oxygen species produced by the follicles for biosynthesis of thyroid hormones (Kohrle and Gartner 2009; Lu and Holmgren 2009; Prummel et al. 2004). We found no association between urinary iodine and the thyroid markers among the women in our study population, most of whom had adequate iodine status (WHO et al. 2007), with 62% having urinary concentrations > 100 μg/L. This suggests that the observed associations of lithium with markers of thyroid function were not due to confounding by low iodine concentrations. Because the iodine concentrations in this population were relatively high, we could not analyze the combined effect of high lithium and low iodine concentrations on thyroid function.

Further studies on geographic distribution of elevated lithium concentrations in drinking water, as well as studies of the effects of exposure to lithium via drinking water and other environmental sources in women, men, and children, are highly warranted. The observed wide range of drinking water lithium concentrations among villages in the Puna region reinforces the need to screen all drinking water sources globally for many more potentially toxic elements than currently recommended.
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