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Abstract

Purpose: To investigate the clinical and radiological characteristics of osteochondritis dissecans (OD) of the hip and the outcome of this condition after treatment.

Material and Methods: Twelve male and 3 female patients with OD were retrospectively studied. Six patients had a history of Legg-Calvé-Perthes disease (LCPD) and among them 2 also had had a trauma to the hip. A further 5 had had trauma and 1 a developmental dislocation of the hip (DDH). The remaining 3 patients had no history of previous hip disease or trauma. All patients were examined with plain radiography, 7 with MR, 3 with CT and 2 with hip arthrography.

Results: All OD lesions were detected at plain radiography, and most of them were located near the fovea. At MR the lesions had low signal intensity at T1-weighted sequences, and 6/7 had edema or fluid collection in or adjacent to the lesion on T2-weighted sequences. The early treatment in 7 patients was surgery, 2 had had conservative treatment and 6 no treatment. At follow-up 12 years after radiological diagnosis, 5 patients had hip arthrosis, 4 of whom were treated with arthroplasty. All but 3 had reduced hip rotation and all but 2 (with arthroplasty) had load pain. Three of the patients with earlier surgery had not developed arthrosis.

Conclusions: OD lesions are usually well seen with plain radiography. There is a great risk of developing early arthrosis and it seems that early surgery is connected with arthrosis development. Thus only symptomatic treatment is recommended.
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Osteochondritis dissecans (OD) is defined as a condition where a fragment of articular cartilage together with subchondral bone becomes separated partly or completely from the joint surface (1, 4). The borderline between OD and osteochondral fractures is not well defined (9).

OD of the hip is a rare condition. Most reports deal with OD cases secondary to Legg-Calvé-Perthes disease (LCPD) (2, 3, 5–8, 10–14, 16, 18, 19). However, we have seen cases without any signs of previous LCPD but with or without trauma in their medical histories. Little is known about the natural cause of OD in the hip.

The purpose of the present study was to investigate the clinical and radiological characteristics and outcome of this condition in the hip.

Material and Methods

In 1998 we wrote letters to all radiological departments in Sweden and asked for cases of proven or suspected OD of the hip in their files. There were no restrictions concerning age at onset of symptoms. The suspicion of OD was founded on radiological criteria, i.e., loose bodies or a defect of the femoral head with or without a bony body in the defect.
found with radiography, CT or MR. Most radiological departments do not have systematic diagnostic records, so in fact the outcome depended on whether any of the staff members had a personal experience of OD cases. We received answers from only 10 of 93 departments. The radiographic examinations of the suspected cases were collected and reviewed (K.J. and I.R.J.). Altogether, 22 cases were submitted, but 7 were excluded because they did not fulfill the criteria of OD. The material finally consisted of 15 patients with radiological signs of OD. A follow-up examination was performed by one of the authors (B.L.). All previous details of the lesions were registered from the hospital records. Special attention was paid to early trauma or disease of the hip.

All 15 patients had been examined with plain radiography, 7 with MR, 3 with CT and 2 with hip joint arthrography.

There were 12 male and 3 female patients. The median age at the first symptom was 18 (6–49) years, the median age at the first radiographic examination was 20 (1–45) years and the median age at the follow-up 32 (16–52) years, and follow-up length from the first radiographic examination was 12 (1–37) years. The right hip was affected in 8 cases and the left in 7.

Seven of our 15 patients had a previous trauma to the hip. The trauma was often mild, i.e., a fall on the hip in connection with sports activities which had not been medically treated. One patient was as a new-born baby treated in von Rosen-spint because of developmental dislocation of the hip (DDH). Six patients had sustained LCPD and later developed OD in the hip. Two patients had both LCPD and trauma in their medical histories. Three patients had neither history of previous hip disease nor trauma.

**Results**

All osteochondritic lesions were located in the superior-anterior part of the femoral head. In most cases the lesion was located adjacent to the fovea of the femoral head. In 1 patient the lesion extended to the weight-bearing surface of the femoral head.

In all 15 patients the lesion was seen as an excavation of the femoral head, in 4 best seen with the Lauenstein view (Figs 1 and 2). The lesions measured from 5 mm in diameter up to 30 × 15 mm in one view. Bony bodies were seen in these excavated lesions in 9 patients. Loose bodies were seen on plain radiographs outside the lesion in 2 patients. These bodies were verified with CT. In 1 patient
a bony body within the excavation dislocated slightly at a follow-up examination, indicating that the bony body was or had become loose (Fig. 1).

On MR all 7 lesions had low signal intensity on T1-weighted sequences (Figs 1 and 3). Only in 1 patient was a cartilage defect found adjacent to the lesion, while all the other patients had intact cartilage over the lesion. Edema or fluid collections were seen in or around the lesions in 6 patients (Fig. 3). In the 7th patient the examination did not allow evaluation concerning edema or fluid. In 3 patients a low-signal bony body was seen in the lesion, indicating necrotic bone.

Arthrography of the hip had been performed in 2 patients. In 1 patient, the cartilage over the lesion was thin, while the cartilage was normal in the other patients. Neither cartilage defect nor leakage of contrast medium into the lesions was found.

The follow-up examination comprised a review of present symptoms, range of motion and review of treatment (Table).

Surgery of the hip had been performed in 7 patients, such as drilling from the greater trochanter alone (1 patient) or in combination with nailing, excision of the lesion, periosteal transplantation, removal of free bodies or rotational osteotomy, all in different combinations and in 4 patients more than once. Two of these multioperated patients developed arthrosis of the hip, 1 was treated with arthroplasty at age 29, while 1 has clear arthrosis but has not yet (2002) been operated with arthroplasty. Two multioperated patients had no arthrosis. Two patients with a single operation developed arthrosis and were treated with arthroplasty. Two patients only had conservative treatment in the form of analgesics and/or physiotherapy. One of these 2 patients had physiotherapy as a young boy but at the age of 52 he got an arthroplasty of the affected hip. The other patient had no signs of arthrosis. Of our 15 patients, 6 received no treatment. At follow-up none of these patients had developed arthrosis.

Thus, arthrosis was the long-term result in 5 patients, 4 of them previously subjected to hip surgery. Of those patients without arthroplasties, none was free from symptoms. They all had pain, mostly when walking. Normal range of motion was recorded in 3 patients, the rest had reduced rotation of the hip.

Discussion

Little is known about OD in the hip, especially the long-term follow-up. OD is a process that leads to demarcation of a relatively small piece of bone and overlying cartilage. The etiology is still unclear. However, when this condition appears in the hip, according to the literature, it is most often secondary to LCPD (2, 5–8, 11, 12, 14–16).

In this retrospective study the diagnosis was confirmed by early radiography. Nine of our 15 patients had no history of LCPD. We believe that OD in the hip can exist as a condition without any connection to LCPD. However, mild forms of LCPD may exist with no clinical suspicion in childhood. In such cases LCPD may heal with normal shape of the femoral head, but a small fragment may remain, later diagnosed as OD. Trauma and unknown etiology have been described (9, 18).

A number of disorders can precede OD in the hip leading to disruption of the normal vascularity of the femoral head, especially after LCPD. The frequency

Fig 3. Patient 1. A) Lauenstein view of the left hip at age 18. B) and C). MR at age 22. T1-weighted sagittal view in (B) shows low signal intensity, while the STIR sequence (C) shows marked edema in and around the lesion.
of OD after LCPD is estimated to 3.5–5.8% (2, 12, 16). OD has also been described following treatment of congenital hip dislocation (3, 18) and we have a further case in our material. Other causes of OD in the hip can be previous infection, especially tuberculosis (19) or be a familiar condition (13). The etiology of OD is thus unclear and multi-factorial. Trauma can be a causal factor, as pointed out by previous authors (9, 12, 17). In our series, 7 patients had a history of trauma in their records, often in connection with sports. Two of these patients also had LCPD, but in the remaining 5 patients we found no other explanation for OD than trauma. Some authors (5, 7, 8, 16) have pointed out that all their patients were males. They also observed that the lesion may remain silent or cause minimal symptoms for many years. We cannot fully support those statements, as we had 3 females in our series.

Radiological examination usually reveals a “nest” with a bony body (Figs 1–3). With the deformed femoral head after LCPD it may be difficult to see the lesion, and CT is of value for confirmation of a loose body. With plain radiography the Lauenstein view proved to be of value for diagnosis in several patients.

The MR examinations disclosed fluid or edema in or around the lesions in 6 of 7 hips. This indicates that there is a potential risk of loosening of a bony body in the lesion, although only 1 patient showed a cartilage defect adjacent to the lesion. This supports the statement that the lesion may be silent for a long time. However, with fluid and/or edema adjacent to the lesion the cartilage may be vulnerable to a mild new trauma where an underlying bony body is set loose. The MR findings are non-specific and some of our refused cases had a similar MR finding, but no excavation or bony body seen at radiography. The finding in those cases may represent a localized osteonecrosis of the femoral head, without OD.

There is one interesting observation in our series that may be of importance. Most lesions were located adjacent to the fovea of the femoral head. This may indicate an early vascular disturbance from the artery of ligamentum teres to the femoral head. This has not been noted in previous reports, but the finding is similar to the illustrations in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex/ side</th>
<th>Hip disorder</th>
<th>Age span (and length) of radiographic follow-up, years</th>
<th>Early treatment</th>
<th>Symptoms at follow-up</th>
<th>Arthrosis</th>
<th>Late arthroplasty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 M/R</td>
<td>LCPD</td>
<td>6–30 (24)</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
<td>Load pain</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 F/L</td>
<td>LCPD</td>
<td>7–29 (22)</td>
<td>Excision of nest and loose body</td>
<td>Load pain</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Arthroplasty at age 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 M/R</td>
<td>Overtur motor cycle age 15</td>
<td>49–51 (2)</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Arthroplasty at age 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 M/L</td>
<td>Minor trauma goalkeeper LCPD</td>
<td>45–68 (23)</td>
<td>Osteotomy</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Arthroplasty at age 68. (Dead)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 F/R</td>
<td>LCPD</td>
<td>25–36 (11)</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
<td>Load pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 M/L</td>
<td>Fall from 3 m at age 12 + LCPD</td>
<td>1–37 (36)</td>
<td>Load pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 M/L</td>
<td>LCPD</td>
<td>6–34 (28)</td>
<td>Osteotomy</td>
<td>Load pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 M/R</td>
<td>Football trauma</td>
<td>26–52 (26)</td>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Arthroplasty at age 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 F/R</td>
<td>DDH</td>
<td>21–27 (6)</td>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>Load pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 M/L</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19–20 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Load pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 M/R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18 Dead</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 M/R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30–34 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Load pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 M/R</td>
<td>Fall from bike age 3</td>
<td>14–16 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Load pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 M/L</td>
<td>LCPD + fall at handball</td>
<td>6–18 (12)</td>
<td>Load pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 M/L</td>
<td>Minor football trauma</td>
<td>26–27 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Load pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LCPD = Legg-Calvé-Perthes disease. DDH = Developmental dislocation of the hip.
report from Rowe et al. (16). Most cases in previous reports have had fairly advanced LCPD deformity of the femoral heads, often with involvement of the weight-bearing joint surface.

We have not seen spontaneous healing of OD in the hip as has been reported in an earlier paper (3), but postoperative fusion of a bony body at the bottom of the lesions was found in 1 patient in our series. This may be regarded as healing. Concerning treatment, some authors advocate surgery in the form of drilling, pinning, excision, etc. (2). Others recommend surgery only when there is locking or catching (3, 5). Antiinflammatory drugs are often used in the short term.

All our patients, except 2 operated with arthroplasty, had pain when walking and all except 3 had reduced rotation of the hip at follow-up, regardless of previous treatment. The value of the suggested surgical treatment modalities thus seems to be limited to prevent arthrosis in the long run. Three patients with earlier surgery did not develop arthrosis.

On the basis of this series of OD of the hip, only symptomatic conservative treatment seems to be justified as the first line. When locking or catching occurs we recommend, in concordance with other authors (5, 12), that the loose bodies should be removed. In the long run there is a high risk that arthrosis will occur regardless of treatment.
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