

LUND UNIVERSITY

Knee joint proprioception in ACL-deficient knees is related to cartilage injury, laxity and age: a retrospective study of 54 patients.

Roberts, David; Andersson, Gert; Fridén, Thomas

Published in: Acta Orthopaedica Scandinavica

DOI: 10.1080/00016470410001708160

2004

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Roberts, D., Andersson, G., & Fridén, T. (2004). Knee joint proprioception in ACL-deficient knees is related to cartilage injury, laxity and age: a retrospective study of 54 patients. *Acta Orthopaedica Scandinavica*, 75(1), 78-83. https://doi.org/10.1080/00016470410001708160

Total number of authors: 3

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

- Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the
- legal requirements associated with these rights

· Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research. • You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain • You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117 221 00 Lund +46 46-222 00 00

Knee joint proprioception in ACL-deficient knees is related to cartilage injury, laxity and age

A retrospective study of 54 patients

David Roberts¹, Gert Andersson² and Thomas Fridén¹

Background ACL-deficient patients have been found to have proprioceptive defects, but the cause of these defects has not been identified nor has the relationship between proprioception and subjective function, laxity, activity level and age been adequately studied.

Patients and methods Therefore, we analyzed proprioception, defined as the threshold to detect a slow passive motion (TTDPM), in relation to activity level, laxity, meniscal injuries, collateral ligament injuries, cartilage injuries, age and subjective function in 54 patients with a previous ACL rupture. We used multiple pair-wise correlation analyses, followed by a stepwise linear regression model.

Results We found that poorer proprioception was related to lateral cartilage lesions, increased laxity and older age while a high activity level before injury was related with better proprioception after injury. The results also suggest a relation between proprioception and subjective knee function.

Interpretation Anatomical injuy classification may need to be considered when discussing proprioceptive ability in patients with an ACL injury, laxity is related to proprioception and proprioception may decrease with age.

Mechanoreceptors have been found in the joint capsule (Grigg and Hoffman 1982, 1984, Halata et al. 1985), menisci (Zimny et al. 1988, Assima-kopoulos et al. 1992), cruciate ligaments (Schultz et al. 1984, Schutte et al. 1987, Sjolander et al. 1989), collateral ligaments (Sojka et al. 1991) and

corpus adiposum infrapatellare (Krenn et al. 1990). Such receptors, muscle spindles and receptors in tendons and skin form a complex system for knee joint proprioception with spinal and cortical projections (Johansson et al. 1991).

Clinically, most studies on ACL-deficient patients show a reduction in proprioceptive ability measured as the threshold to detection of passive movement, active or passive reproduction of a passive angle change (Barrack et al. 1989, Corrigan et al. 1992, Fridén et al. 1996, Jerosch and Prymka 1996, Beynnon et al. 1999, Pap et al. 1999, Fischer-Rasmussen and Jensen 2000, Fremerey et al. 2000). Proprioception has also been found to be correlated with subjective knee function in ACLdeficient (Friden et al. 1999) and ACL-reconstructed patients (Barrett 1991).

Since a knee injury, involving ACL rupture, in many cases also damages collateral ligaments and menisci, as well as cartilage of the femoral and tibial surfaces, it is difficult to determine the cause of the proprioceptive loss. The various injury patterns may affect the patients in different ways as regards proprioception, which may change outcome of the injury. A change in the pattern of movement or muscular atrophy after the injury may contribute to the proprioceptive defects and reduce the activity level. An age-related decline in proprioception has also been described (Skinner et al. 1984), which may also affect the proprioceptive status at the time of injury and perhaps the ability to compensate for proprioceptive loss during rehabilitation. Moreover, it can not be excluded that

Departments of ¹Orthopedics and ²Neurophysiology, University Hospital, SE-221 85 Lund, Sweden Correspondence: DR david.roberts@ort.lu.se Submitted 03-01-21. Accepted 03-08-19

Table 1A. The frequencies of medial collateral ligament (MCL), lateral collateral ligament (LCL), medial meniscal (MM), lateral meniscal (LM), medial cartilage (CM) and lateral cartilage injuries (CL)

Lesion	MCL	LCL	MM	LM	СМ	CL
No Yes	47 7	54 0	36 18	33 21	49 5	47 7
Total	54	54	54	54	54	54

Table 1B. The frequencies of different gradings on the Lachman test

Grade	Lachman		
0	3		
1	10		
2	34		
3	7		
Total	54		

congenital proprioceptive defects in some cases may predispose to the injury.

We therefore analyzed proprioception in relation to the pattern of injury. We also evaluated other variables having a potential relation to proprioception, such as age, activity level, laxity and subjective function.

Patients and methods

This study is retrospective and data were collected about other groups, including 15 patients followed consecutively after a non-operatively treated ACL injury (Fridén et al. 1997), 16 patients with symptomatic ACL deficiency (Fridén et al. 1996) and 23 unselected patients with ACL injury. The total sample consisted of 54 patients, 20 women. Their mean age was 28 (16-42) years. In all patients, a standardized data-based arthroscopy protocol used by the clinic was available. Patients whose complete standardized information regarding associated injuries could not be obtained from the file were excluded, as also were patients with significant other injuries of the lower limbs, or general diseases that might interfere with central or peripheral neural function. All patients had a complete ACL rupture, verified with arthroscopy, in most cases within 10 days of the injury. Testing sagittal laxity, done during anesthesia, before the arthroscopy, included the anterior drawer, Lachman and pivot shift tests. The results of the three tests were graded separately from 0 to 3 where 0 meant no increase in laxity, 1 meant a slight increase in laxity, 2 an obvious increase in laxity (> 5 mm) and 3 a marked increase in laxity. The Lachman test was chosen for the statistical analysis because it was the most generally accepted, reliable and reproducible manual laxity test.

Laxity tests using instruments, such as KT-1000, were not standard procedures in the Department and we had no results of such tests on all the patients, since the study is retrospective. Collateral ligament lesions were diagnosed when there was a clear increase in laxity (grades 2 and 3) in varus/ valgus at 20° of knee flexion. Meniscal tears were divided by compartment (medial or lateral) in the protocol and included any tear in the substance or menisco-capsular avulsion. The lesions were analyzed as follows; 1. Untreated minor rupture . 2. Rupture requiring partial meniscectomy or suture. 3. Rupture requiring total meniscectomy. Cartilage lesions included sharp disruption of, or a defect in, the femorotibial cartilage surfaces, and were divided into compartments (medial or lateral) in the protocol. Degenerative cartilage was not recorded. The aim was to evaluate the possible proprioceptive effect of acute, severed cartilage lesions associated with the knee trauma. It should be noted that a single surgeon performed 36/54, while the other surgeons performed 18/54 arthroscopies, which may bias the classification of the laxity tests and associated injuries. However, all participating surgeons formed a highly experienced team of knee surgeons with a close intercollegial relationship. We therefore assume that this should not have any great effect on the classification. The frequencies of associated injuries, and grading of laxity tests, are given in Table 1.

The Research Ethics Committee at Lund University Hospital approved the study and all subjects gave their written informed consent to participation.

The median Tegner activity score was 5 (3–10), which is equal to heavy labor or recreational sports, such as jogging on uneven ground at least twice a week. On a patient satisfaction scale, range 0-10 where 10 meant good knee function, such as that before injury and 0 meant total disability, such as



The tests of knee proprioception were done with the test person in a lateral decubitus position on a speciallydesigned platform. This test person is not a participant in the study.

that just after the injury, the patients had a median score of 5 (0-9).

In the proprioceptive tests, we placed a platform on the floor (Figure). This apparatus has been used and described in detail previously (Fridén et al. 1996). No statistical differences were found between two measurements with an interval of one month when a previous control group was evaluated (Fridén et al. 1996).

The measurements of proprioception were made in the patients at a mean of 2.7 (SD 2.7) years after arthroscopy. In this study, we used a "proprioceptive index" in the statistical analyses. This index is the total sum (in degrees) of the four threshold values towards extension and flexion from 20° and 40° : threshold towards extension from 20° + threshold towards extension from 40° + threshold towards flexion from 20° + threshold towards flexion from 40° . The statistician suggested this method as a mean of obtaining a single overall proprioceptive value for each patient, which may facilitate individual comparisons between the patients, but also simplify the analysis and interpretation of the results.

Statistics

In step one, multiple pair-wise correlation tests were done, to evaluate the data, with Spearman's rank correlation test. In step two a stepwise linear regression model was used in which proprioception was defined as the dependent variable and the variables activity level before and after injury, Lachman test, lateral cartilage injury, medial cartilage injury, lateral meniscal injury, medial meniscal injury, medial collateral injury and age were defined as independent, in the model. Here, the variable "subjective function" was excluded for causality reasons since, theoretically, proprioceptive ability would not depend on, or be explained by subjective function. We also aimed to minimize the effect of multicolinearity. Instead, another linear regression model with only the variables subjective function and proprioception was analyzed. The significance level for the pair-wise correlation analyses was defined as p = 0.05, while the default setting of p = less than 0.15 for entering the model was used in the stepwise linear regression model. However, p-values more than 0.05 were regarded as not significant when interpreting the model. The statistical programs used were Minitab 10 (Minitab, State College, PA), SAS 6.10 (SAS, Cary, NC) and Axum 7 for Windows (MathSoft Engineering & Education, Inc., Cambridge, MA).

Results

The multiple pair-wise correlation tests showed a significant correlation between proprioception, measured as "threshold index", and subjective function, laxity (Lachman test) and lateral cartilage injury (Table 2). As regards these cartilage injuries, 3 were femoral, 3 tibial and 1 femoral and tibial. When the stepwise linear regression model was analyzed, higher threshold values (poorer proprioception) were related with lateral cartilage lesions (p = 0.003), age (p = 0.03) and increased laxity (Lachman) (p = 0.04). A higher Tegner activity level before injury was related with lower threshold values-i.e., better proprioception (p = 0.04) (Table 3). We found no statistically significant relation between proprioception and Tegner activity after injury, lateral or medial meniscal injury, medial cartilage injury or gender.

Table 2. The results of multiple pair-wise correlation analysis with the proprioceptive index in relation to clinical variables. Spearman correlation coefficients and corresponding p-values

	Proprioceptive index		
Variable	Coeff.	P-value	
Age	0.249	0.07	
Tegner activity score before	0.002	1	
Tegner activity score after	-0.260	0.06	
Subjective function	-0.357	0.01	
Lachman	0.330	0.02	
Lateral cartilage injury	0.307	0.02	
Lateral meniscal injury	0.009	0.9	
Medial cartilage injury	0.056	0.7	
Medial meniscal injury	0.085	0.5	
Medial collateral lig. injury	-0.192	0.2	
Gender	0.013	0.9	

As regards subjective function, the pair-wise correlation test suggested a significant correlation with proprioception (Table 2). The linear regression model with subjective function as the dependent variable and proprioception as the independent one showed a tendency towards a significant relation (p = 0.06) in which an increase in threshold values would lower subjective function (Table 3).

Discussion

It has been suggested that the proprioceptive defect seen after ACL rupture is greater than can be explained by simple loss of the anterior cruciate ligament alone (Corrigan et al. 1992). Proprioceptive deficits have been found after lesions of the medial meniscus (Jerosch et al. 1996) and, in a previous study, we noted a significant correlation between proprioception and chondral and meniscal lesions in a group of 16 patients followed consecutively from the time of injury and onwards (Fridén et al. 1999).

Our present results, in a group of 54 ACL-deficient patients, suggested that the existence of a macroscopically-visible lateral cartilage injury is related to a proprioceptive defect. In most cases, the arthroscopy was done within a few weeks of the first injury. Therefore, the cartilage injury was presumably caused by this injury. It is difficult to say whether the proprioceptive defect related to this injury was the result of just a higher energy Table 3. The results of the multiple regression analysis^a

	Value	Std. error	T-value	P-value				
A. Dependent variable: Proprio inj leg								
Age	0.4	Ó.2	2.3	0.03				
Tegner before	-0.8	0.4	-2.1	0.04				
Lachman	2.4	1.1	2.1	0.04				
Cartilage lat.	2.6	0.8	3.1	0.003				
Lat. meniscus	1.5	0.9	1.7	0.1				
B. Dependent variable: Subjective function								
Intercept	5.3	0.5	11.3	< 0.001				
Proprio _{inj leg}	-0.1	0.06	-1.9	0.06				

A. Multiple R-squared: 0.4598, F-statistic: 7.66 at 5 and 45 degrees of freedom, p-value 0.00003.

B. Multiple R-squared: 0.06641, F-statistic: 3.699 at 1 and 52 degrees of freedom, p-value 0.06.

^a The results of the multiple regression analysis after stepwise selection with the default setting of P-value of less than 0.15 for entering the model, with injured leg proprioception, Proprio inj leg, in A, and subjective function in B, as the dependent variable. The coefficient value with the standard error shows how Proprio ini leg in A, or subjective function in B, is changed, per increased unit of the independent variable. T-value, with corresponding P-value, shows the test result whether the coefficient differs significantly from zero. Multiple R-squared represents the proportion of variance in the dependent variable, indsk in A or subjective function in B, is explained by the model. F-statistic tests indicate whether at least one of the coefficients, excluding the intercept, differ significantly from zero, with the corresponding P-value.

at injury, with more widespread effects overall, or if it was due to damage of neural structures in the chondral, subchondral and osseous tissue. Substance-P and calcitonin gene related peptide (CGRP)-immunoreactive nerve fibers have been found in bone, bone marrow and periosteum (Bjurholm et al. 1988) and CGRP-immunoreactive nerve fibers have also been found in the hyaline cartilage of the rat knee joint, where they have been thought to mediate trophic activity (Schwab and Funk 1998). Apart from also being nociceptive, these fibers seem to have many efferent qualities, such as vasodilatation, stimulation of hematoand myelopoiesis, inhibition of bone resorption and proinflammatory activity (Imai et al. 1997). Speculations about an indirect effect on proprioceptive receptors, at their confirmed location, by these nociceptive fibers can be made, but we have found no studies to support this. Nor have we seen evidence of the existence of proprioceptive mechanoreceptors in these tissues. In view of this,

the possibility that the cartilage injury is a result of proprioceptive defects must also be discussed. Even if most patients, as noted, were examined arthroscopically a few weeks after injury, it can not be excluded that disturbed proprioception caused further minor, or even major, trauma to the knee shortly after the first injury, with a secondary cartilage injury as a result. However, these patients were extensively examined at frequent follow-ups initially and no such patient was found.

Our findings indicate that greater laxity after injury may be related to poorer proprioception, which is supported by some previous investigations (Barrack et al. 1989), but not by others (Mac-Donald et al. 1996, Beynnon et al. 1999). It should be noted that the Lachman test was used, which may be examiner-biased and not objective. It reflects the surgeon's opinion of the laxity and the results should therefore be interpreted accordingly, which means that it is the surgeon's evaluation of an increase in laxity that is correlated to proprioceptive defects. Thus, the results differ to some extent, but so do the methods of measurements and the patients. In the latter study, the patients had a higher mean age (40 years) (Beynnon et al. 1999), than in our study (28 years), which makes comparisons difficult, since age seems to be related to proprioception, as regards the present results. They also comment that they had no patients with instability on activity (Beynnon et al. 1999), which may also affect the results. We included patients with a wider range of laxity, Lachman 0-3, which may show a correlation, that would not be obvious with a narrower range of laxity. An explanation of the present results may be that receptors in the ligaments and capsule are adapted to a looser tension of these structures, which could increase the threshold for detection of slow motion. However, we can not exclude the possibility that a proprioceptive disturbance causes an increase in laxity-a disturbed neuromuscular control may increase the frequency of giving way/instability episodes with joint swelling and distended capsule and ligaments which may cause a still greater increase in laxity.

Previously, subjective function has been correlated with proprioceptive ability, rather than knee joint laxity, in ACL-reconstructed patients (Barrett 1991, Fremerey et al. 2000). Subjective function is a complex, but valuable, estimation, which includes defined, and probably undefined, symptoms and abilities. Proprioception seems to be one factor of importance, and is, in turn, affected by many other variables that may overlap. This complexity makes it difficult to design models that explain one of the variables, and should be considered when interpreting the results.

There seems to be no evidence that training, or a high level of activity, increases proprioception in healthy persons in its strict definition of kinesthesia and joint position sense (Ashton-Miller et al. 2001). We found a relation between a high level of activity before injury, and better proprioceptive ability after injury. It is tempting to believe that the better proprioception seen among these former athletes or sportsmen/women results from many years of training at a high physical level. However, it may also reflect their ability to focus on a challenging task, such as the measurement session (Ashton-Miller et al. 2001).

An age-related decline in proprioception in normal knees has been reported in a group aged between 20–82 years (Skinner et al. 1984). Our findings indicate that also in a group of ACL-deficient patients with a narrower range of age, 16-42 years, higher age is related to poorer proprioception, which may be of importance when discussing treatment and rehabilitation of these patients.

We thank Mats Christensson, Department of Medical Technology for his construction of the apparatus used, all the test persons who volunteered to take part in the study, Per-Erik Isberg for statistical advice, Medicinska forskningsrådet, projekt 09509, Stiftelsen för Bistånd åt Vanföra i Skåne, Syskonen Perssons Donationsfond, Svenska Sällskapet för Medicinsk Forskning, Thyr och Thure Stenemarks Fond, Centrum för Idrottsforskning, the Swedish Society of Medicine, the National Board of Health and Welfare and the Faculty of Medicine, University of Lund.

No competing interests declared.

- Ashton-Miller J A, Wojtys E M, Huston L J, Fry-Welch D. Can proprioception really be improved by exercises? Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2001; 9 (3): 128-36.
- Assimakopoulos A P, Katonis P G, Agapitos M V, Exarchou E I. The innervation of the human meniscus. Clin Orthop 1992; 275: 232-6.
- Barrack R L, Skinner H B, Buckley S L. Proprioception in the anterior cruciate deficient knee. Am J Sports Med 1989; 17 (1): 1-6.

- Barrett D S. Proprioception and function after anterior cruciate reconstruction. J Bone Joint Surg (Br) 1991; 73 (5): 833-7.
- Beynnon B D, Ryder S H, Konradsen L, Johnson R J, Johnson K, Renstrom P A. The effect of anterior cruciate ligament trauma and bracing on knee proprioception. Am J Sports Med 1999; 27 (2): 150-5.
- Bjurholm A, Kreicbergs A, Brodin E, Schultzberg M. Substance P- and CGRP-immunoreactive nerves in bone. Peptides 1988; 9 (1): 165-71.
- Corrigan J P, Cashman W F, Brady M P. Proprioception in the cruciate deficient knee. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1992; 74 (2): 247-50.
- Fischer-Rasmussen T, Jensen P E. Proprioceptive sensitivity and performance in anterior cruciate ligament-deficient knee joints. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2000; 10 (2): 85-9.
- Fremerey R W, Lobenhoffer P, Zeichen J, Skutek M, Bosch U, Tscherne H. Proprioception after rehabilitation and reconstruction in knees with deficiency of the anterior cruciate ligament: a prospective, longitudinal study. J Bone Joint Surg (Br) 2000; 82 (6): 801-6.
- Fridén T, Roberts D, Zätterstrom R, Lindstrand A, Moritz U. Proprioception in the nearly extended knee. Measurements of position and movement in healthy individuals and in symptomatic anterior cruciate ligament injured patients. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 1996; 4 (4): 217-24.
- Fridén T, Roberts D, Zätterstrom R, Lindstrand A, Moritz U. Proprioception after an acute knee ligament injury: a longitudinal study on 16 consecutive patients. J Orthop Res 1997; 15 (5): 637-44.
- Fridén T, Roberts D, Zätterstrom R, Lindstrand A, Moritz U. Proprioceptive defects after an anterior cruciate ligament rupture–the relation to associated anatomical lesions and subjective knee function. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 1999; 7 (4): 226-31.
- Grigg P, Hoffman A H. Properties of Ruffini afferents revealed by stress analysis of isolated sections of cat knee capsule. J Neurophysiol 1982; 47 (1): 41-54.
- Grigg P, Hoffman A H. Ruffini mechanoreceptors in isolated joint capsule: responses correlated with strain energy density. Somatosens Res 1984; 2 (2): 149-62.
- Halata Z, Rettig T, Schulze W. The ultrastructure of sensory nerve endings in the human knee joint capsule. Anat Embryol 1985; 172 (3): 265-75.

- Imai S, Tokunaga Y, Maeda T, Kikkawa M, Hukuda S. Calcitonin gene-related peptide, substance P, and tyrosine hydroxylase- immunoreactive innervation of rat bone marrows: an immunohistochemical and ultrastructural investigation on possible efferent and afferent mechanisms. J Orthop Res 1997; 15 (1): 133-40.
- Jerosch J, Prymka M. Knee joint proprioception in normal volunteers and patients with anterior cruciate ligament tears, taking special account of the effect of a knee bandage. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 1996; 115 (3-4): 162-6.
- Jerosch J, Prymka M, Castro W H. Proprioception of knee joints with a lesion of the medial meniscus. Acta Orthop Belg 1996; 62 (1): 41-5.
- Johansson H, Sjolander P, Sojka P. Receptors in the knee joint ligaments and their role in the biomechanics of the joint. Crit Rev Biomed Eng 1991; 18 (5): 341-68.
- Krenn V, Hofmann S, Engel A. First description of mechanoreceptors in the corpus adiposum infrapatellare of man. Acta Anat 1990; 137 (2): 187-8.
- MacDonald P B, Hedden D, Pacin O, Sutherland K. Proprioception in anterior cruciate ligament-deficient and reconstructed knees. Am J Sports Med 1996; 24 (6): 774-8.
- Pap G, Machner A, Nebelung W, Awiszus F. Detailed analysis of proprioception in normal and ACL-deficient knees. J Bone Joint Surg (Br) 1999; 81 (5): 764-8.
- Schultz R A, Miller D C, Kerr C S, Micheli L. Mechanoreceptors in human cruciate ligaments. A histological study. J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 1984; 66 (7): 1072-6.
- Schutte M J, Dabezies E J, Zimny M L, Happel L T. Neural anatomy of the human anterior cruciate ligament. J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 1987; 69 (2): 243-7.
- Schwab W, Funk R H. Innervation pattern of different cartilaginous tissues in the rat. Acta Anat 1998; 163 (4): 184-90.
- Sjolander P, Johansson H, Sojka P, Rehnholm A. Sensory nerve endings in the cat cruciate ligaments: a morphological investigation. Neurosci Lett 1989; 102 (1): 33-8.
- Skinner H B, Barrack R L, Cook S D. Age-related decline in proprioception. Clin Orthop 1984; 184: 208-11.
- Sojka P, Sjolander P, Johansson H, Djupsjobacka M. Influence from stretch-sensitive receptors in the collateral ligaments of the knee joint on the gamma-muscle-spindle systems of flexor and extensor muscles. Neurosci Res 1991; 11 (1): 55-62.
- Zimny M L, Albright D J, Dabezies E. Mechanoreceptors in the human medial meniscus. Acta Anat 1988; 133 (1): 35-40.