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Are Gadolinium-based Contrast Media Really Safer than Iodinated Media for Digital Subtraction Angiography in Patients with Azotemia?1

Gadolinium chelates, intended as intravenous contrast media for magnetic resonance imaging, have been regarded as nonnephrotoxic and recommended to replace iodinated contrast media in patients with azotemia who are undergoing digital subtraction angiography (DSA). High intraarterial doses (up to 220 mmol of gadodiamide) have been used, with a 40% incidence of nephropathy. The authors discourage the use of gadolinium for DSA for several reasons. (a) There exist no randomized studies comparing the nephrotoxic effects of gadolinium-based and iodinated media at equal-attenuating concentrations and doses. (b) Gadolinium-based media are hypertonic, a pathogenetic factor in contrast medium–induced nephropathy after renal angiography, with an osmolality two to seven times that of plasma. Iodinated media in concentrations that are equally attenuating with gadolinium-based media can be made isotonic. (c) In vitro measurements indicate that 0.5 mol/L gadolinium chelates are equally attenuating with 60–80 mg iodine per milliliter at the commonly used 70–90-kV range used for DSA. Thus, 50 mL of 0.5 mol/L gadolinium chelate (≈0.3 mmol/kg in an 80-kg person) would be equally attenuating with a dose of 3–4 g of iodine in an iodinated medium (eg, 50 mL iohexol at 60–80 mg I/mL or 10–13 mL at 300 mg I/mL). (d) By combining these data on attenuation and results of toxicity studies in mice, the general toxicity of gadolinium chelates may be six to 25 times higher than that of equal-attenuating doses of iodinated media at 70-kV DSA. Thus, the authors believe that at equal-attenuating doses for DSA, modern iodinated contrast media should result in a lower toxic load on the body than with presently available gadolinium chelates.

It is well known that intravascular administration of iodinated contrast medium may induce a transient or irreversible decline in renal function in patients with preexisting renal insufficiency, especially in those with diabetes mellitus (1). On the other hand, gadolinium-based contrast media are regarded as nonnephrotoxic in clinically recommended doses for magnetic resonance (MR) imaging and MR angiography (2). Gadolinium chelates have thus been advocated (3–14) as safer than iodinated media in patients with azotemia who are undergoing x-ray angiography with digital subtraction technique (ie, digital subtraction angiography [DSA]) for endovascular diagnostic and therapeutic purposes.

Since the sole purpose of contrast media for conventional angiography is to attenuate x rays, any comparison regarding toxicity between different contrast medium solutions should be made in equal-attenuating concentrations or doses. To our knowledge, neither the renal nor the general toxicity of gadolinium chelates and iodinated contrast media have been compared in such a way in experimental or clinical studies. We have, therefore, reviewed the literature with the purpose of finding (a) the concentration of iodinated contrast medium that will attenuate x rays to the same degree as commercially available
gadolinium chelates at different levels of photon energies and (b) some data on general and renal toxicity of iodinated contrast media and gadolinium chelates. On the basis of these findings, we will try to predict whether iodinated or gadolinium-based contrast media would show the higher toxicity if the two types of media were compared at equal-attenuating concentrations or doses. From such a prediction, we will challenge the concept that gadolinium chelates provide a safer alternative than iodinated media for x-ray angiography. The issue will be further discussed in connection with some statements commonly made to motivate the use of gadolinium chelates for DSA in patients with azotemia.

**CONTRAST MEDIUM CONCENTRATIONS, ATOMIC MASSES, AND MOLES**

A comparison of toxic properties between gadolinium chelates and iodinated contrast media at equal-attenuating concentrations is complicated by the fact that the concentration is given in the number (in millimoles) of contrast medium molecules per milliliter on vials containing gadolinium and in the weight (in milligrams) of the attenuating atom, iodine, per milliliter on vials containing iodinated contrast medium.

The SI definition of a mole is the amount of substance containing the same number of chemical units (atoms, molecules, or other specified entity) as the number of atoms in exactly 12 g of the carbon isotope $^{12}$C. The number of entities in 1 mol is approximately $6.022 \times 10^{23}$ (ie, Avogadro’s number).

Thus, a solution of iodinated contrast medium containing 0.5 mmol/mL (ie, 0.5 mol/L) of iodine has the same number of complete contrast medium molecules as do all commercially available 0.5 mol/L solutions of iodinated contrast media. The mass of an iodine atom is 126.9 amu. Consequently, 1 mmol of iodine corresponds to 63 mg of iodine per milliliter (mg I/mL) ($0.5 \times 126.9$). Thus, a solution of iodinated contrast medium with a concentration of 63 mg I/mL contains the same number of attenuating atoms as do all commercially available 0.5 mol/L solutions of iodinated contrast media.

**TABLE 1**

**Characteristics of Four Commonly Used Contrast Media**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast Medium</th>
<th>Attenuating Atom*</th>
<th>Weight of Attenuating Atoms (mg/mL)</th>
<th>Example of Use</th>
<th>No. of Attenuating Atoms (mmol/mL)</th>
<th>No. of Contrast Medium Molecules (mol/mL)</th>
<th>Osmolality at 37°C (mosm/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High osmolality</td>
<td>Gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist; Berlex Laboratories)</td>
<td>Gadolinium</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>MR imaging</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diatrizoate (Urografin 76%; Schering, Berlin, Germany)</td>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>Coronary angiography</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low osmolality</td>
<td>Gadodiamide (Omniscan; Amersham Health)</td>
<td>Gadolinium</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>MR imaging</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted iohexol (Omnipaque; Amersham Health)</td>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>DSA</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iohexol</td>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>DSA</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ioxaglate</td>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>Coronary angiography</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iohexol</td>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td>140 mg iodine per milliliter diluted with saline.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Atomic masses of iodine and gadolinium are 126.9 and 157.3 amu, respectively.

* Gadolinium chelates contain one gadolinium atom per chelate molecule; iodinated media, three iodine molecules per contrast medium molecule.

† Osmolality data are according to manufacturers.

‡ Iohexol data would be similar for any other nonionic monomer (eg, iopromide). Iohexol is isoelectric with plasma at 63 and 140 mg iodine per milliliter.

§ Iohexol 140 mg iodine per milliliter diluted with saline.
I/mL would be equally attenuating with a 0.5 mol/L gadolinium chelate solution. In summary, if iodine and gadolinium atoms attenuate x rays to the same extent, then an iodinated solution with an iodine concentration as low as 63 mg I/mL would be equally attenuating with all presently available gadolinium chelate solutions, and the number of potentially nephrotoxic monomeric iodinated contrast medium molecules would be only one-third the number of gadolinium chelate molecules.

### ATTENUATION OF X-RAY PHOTONS BY GADOLINIUM AND IODINE ATOMS

Table 2 shows the ability of gadolinium and iodine atoms to attenuate a monochromatic beam of x-ray photons at different energies (15). Attenuation increases with the atomic number, Z, of the atom (for iodine, Z = 53; for gadolinium, Z = 64) but decreases with the energy (keV) of the x-ray photons, except at the k edges. Photon energies between the k edge of iodine (33.2 keV) and that of gadolinium (50.2 keV), iodine attenuates roughly twice as many photons as does gadolinium. At all other photon energies, the opposite prevails. A rule of thumb states that in a spectrum of photon energies exiting a filtered x-ray tube, the most common energies are at a level about one-half of their maximum. When the maximal photon energy is about 120–140 keV, as for computed tomography (CT), the most common photon energies in the spectrum are about 60–70 keV. This is above the k edge for gadolinium, where attenuation by gadolinium is about twice that by iodine (Table 2). So, a rough estimate for the complete spectrum of photon energies exiting an x-ray tube used for CT suggests that gadolinium attenuates twice as much radiation as does iodine. An iodinated contrast medium molecule containing three iodine atoms would then still attenuate 1.5 times the amount of radiation as a gadolinium chelate molecule with one gadolinium atom.

For conventional x-ray angiography, the voltage applied commonly varies between 70 and 90 kV (16). Therefore, a large part of the x-ray spectrum is found between the k edges of iodine (33.2 keV) and gadolinium (50.2 keV), where the attenuation by iodine is more than twice that by gadolinium (Figure, Table 2). Another part of the spectrum is above 50.2 keV, where the attenuation by gadolinium is twice that by iodine. The third part, below 33.2 keV, has such low energies that very few photons will pass through the human body and reach the detector. A rough integration of the data in the Figure and Table 2 over the spectrum of photon energies when a tube setting of 80 kV is used for conventional angiography would then indicate that the attenuation would be approximately the same for iodine and gadolinium atoms; namely, 0.5 mmol/mL of a gadolinium chelate would be equally attenuating with 63 mg I/mL. In summary, an iodinated contrast medium molecule containing three iodine atoms would theoretically attenuate 1.5 times the number of x-ray photons as a gadolinium chelate at 120 kV and three times the number of photons at 80 kV.

### IN VITRO X-RAY ATTENUATION MEASURED WITH CT

Quinn et al (17) have been cited (3,13) for their conclusion that “[a]t equi-molar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photon Energy (keV)</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td>5,300</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>1,400, 7,600*</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadolinium</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>3,800</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>4,800†</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source.—Reference 15. Data at 35, 70, and 90 keV were interpolated.

Note.—Data are barns per atom (1 barn = 10^-28 m², which is the cross-sectional area of a hydrogen atom nucleus. Total attenuation is photoelectric absorption and incoherent and coherent scattering.

* Change in attenuation at k edge of iodine (33.2 keV).
† Change in attenuation at k edge of gadolinium (50.2 keV).
concentrations, Gd-DTPA caused 2.5 times the attenuation by a solution of iopromide* measured with CT at 120 kV. This is the opposite of our theoretical assumption, stated earlier, that at CT a triiodinated molecule such as iopromide should attenuate 1.5 times more than a gadolinium chelate molecule. However, in the next sentence they state that “it was calculated that 90 mL Magnévit (47 mmol Gd-DTPA) would give similar attenuation to our usual dose of 50 mL iopromide 300 (117 mmol iodine) in cranial CT.” This sentence shows that they then re-compare a gadolinium chelate with an equimolar concentration of iodine atoms and not iodopride molecules. Thus, according to the measurements presented by Quinn et al, an iopromide molecule, which contains three iodine atoms, actually attenuates 1.2 times the radiation attenuated by a gadolinium chelate molecule at 120 kV.

CT measurements at 120 kV performed by Schmitz et al (18), Gierada and Bae (19), and ourselves (unpublished data, 2000) demonstrated that triiodinated molecules cause 1.6–1.7 times the attenuation caused by an equimolar solution of gadolinium chelate; that is, 106–117 mg I/mL is equally attenuating with 0.5 mol/L of gadolinium chelate (Tables 3, 4). The reported differences among various authors with regard to the attenuation relationship between gadolinium-based and iodinated contrast media might, at least in part, be explained by differences in detector systems, x-ray tube filtration, and age of CT equipment. However, in vivo results from animal experiments indicate that gadolinium chelates correlated to about 95–97 mg I/mL (Table 3). Since x-ray tubes in DSA equipment generally have less filtration than those used in CT equipment, one may expect that the iodine concentration that is equally attenuating with 0.5 mol/L gadolinium chelate at an 80-kV DSA study will be lower than that found for an 80-kV CT study.

Using an image intensifier with a cesium iodide input screen in “an experimental and theoretical x-ray imaging performance” study, Cardinal et al (16) found that for x-ray spectra above 72 kV, the “radiographic contrast” obtained with gadolinium atoms generally exceeded that obtained with iodine atoms, while the opposite was true for spectra below that value.

Our own preliminary in vitro measurements with a DSA unit (unpublished data, 2000) also demonstrated that syringes placed in a phantom equivalent to 20 cm of water and filled with 0.5 mol/L solutions of iodine (63 mg I/mL) and gadolinium atoms were equally attenuating at 72 kV. At 85–90 kV, 0.5 mol/L gadolinium chelate was calculated to produce 2.5 times the radiation attenuation to our usual dose of 140–150 mg I/mL diluted 1:1 or 300 mg I/mL diluted 1:3 with saline.

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>X-ray Tube Current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaufmann et al (3)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinn et al (17)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmitz et al (18)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gierada and Bae (19)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpublished data (Felmästål et al)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rad contrast angiography</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinal et al (16)</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpublished data (Felmästål et al)</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bittner et al (20)</td>
<td>37.5–75.0*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Data are milligrams of iodine per milliliter in relation to a 0.5 mol/L solution of gadolinium chelate. Data are calculated or directly quoted from in vitro and in vivo experiments performed with different x-ray equipment. ND = not determined.

* Actual tube current not reported.

**CONTRAST MEDIUM-INDUCED NEPHROTOXICITY**

Vehmas and Markkula (6) stated that “gadolinium has been assessed as less nephrotoxic than iodinated contrast agents,” referring to the clinical work of Prince et al (2), who had concluded that “high-dose gadolinium chelates are significantly less nephrotoxic than iodinated contrast.” This statement may be true when comparing equivalent “clinical” doses of gadolinium chelates for MR angiography with those of iodinated media for x-ray angiography to achieve a similar diagnostic result.

Prince et al (2) investigated a cohort of 64 patients who received a gadolinium chelate for MR angiography and an iodinated contrast medium for x-ray angiography and CT on different occasions. After administration of the gadolinium chelate, no patient experienced an increase in serum creatinine level of 0.5 mg/dL (0.44 µmol/L) or more, while 11 patients (17%) did experience such an increase after injection of iodinated medium. The gadolinium chelate was injected at a dose of 0.2–0.4 mmol per kilogram of body weight, resulting in a total dose of 15–30 mmol gadolinium chelate molecules in a 75-kg person. For the iodinated medium, a total dose of 30–60 g of iodine was administered, resulting in a molecular dose ranging from about 80 to 160 mmol (30,000–60,000 mg of iodine divided by 126.9 × 3); in...
As previously discussed, gadolinium chelates contain 1.0 mmol of gadolinium atoms (30,000–60,000 mmol of iodine) divided by 126.9) for x-ray mmol of iodine atoms (30,000–60,000 that of gadolinium chelates. Thus, the differences in in-exposure to the renal arteries in a number excretion of brush-border and cytoplasmic enzymes have been in the same range in comparisons between equimolar concentrations of ionic iodinated and gadolinium-based contrast media, as well as comparisons between nonionic iodinated media and gadolinium chelates, in both normal and diseased kidneys.

In an ischemic rat model (24), intraaor-tic injections of 1.5 mL of gadopentetate dimeglumine and 2.6 mL of diatrizoate caused a decrease of similar magnitude in creatinine clearance: 50% and 67%, respectively. However, the dose of iodine atoms appears to have been 10 times that of gadolinium atoms, according to the following: the osmolality of the gadopentetate dimeglumine solution used was reported to be 1,900 mosm/kg and "very close to diatrizoate." These state-ments, as well as a previous report from the same research group (25), indicate that a 0.5 mol/L. gadopentetate dimeglu-mine solution and a 76% diatrizoate solu-tion (0.97 mmol/mL diatrizoate mole-cule; Table 1) were used. Thus, only 0.75 mmol (1.5 mL × 0.5 mmol/mL) of gadopentetate dimeglumine molecules (0.75 mmol of gadolinium atoms) seems to have been injected, compared with 2.5 mmol (2.6 mL × 0.97 mmol/mL) of dia-trizoate molecules (3 × 2.5 = 7.5 mmol of iodine atoms). If the two contrast me-dia had been compared at equal-attenu-atting doses at the kilovoltage settings commonly used for DSA, one would then expect the decrease in creatinine clearance caused by diatrizoate to be much lower than that caused by gadopentetate dimeglumine.

In a recent experimental study (26) in pigs after left-sided nephrectomy, 3 mL/kg (20 mL/min) of various contrast media solutions or saline was injected in the right renal artery during a 10-minute balloon occlusion. The half-life

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast Medium</th>
<th>No. of Attenuating Atoms per Molecule</th>
<th>Molecular Mass (amu)</th>
<th>Acute Intravenous Median Lethal Dose in Mice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iodomethamine</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodipaque</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diatrizoate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diatrizoate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iopromide</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadopentetate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadodiamide</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadodiamine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>CT Equipment</th>
<th>Gadolinium</th>
<th>Iodine</th>
<th>Gadolinium</th>
<th>Iodine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kaufmann et al (3)</td>
<td>GE 9800†</td>
<td>6,840</td>
<td>5,133</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinn et al (17)</td>
<td>SCT-3000TX‡</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6,600</td>
<td>2,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerada and Bae (19)</td>
<td>Somatom Plus §</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6,138</td>
<td>3,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpublished data (Bremik et al)</td>
<td>Somatom Plus ‡</td>
<td>7,582</td>
<td>4,949</td>
<td>3,788</td>
<td>2,150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Data are Hounsfield units per millimole per milliliter of attenuating atoms. ND = not determined.

* GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wis.
† Shimadzu Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan.
‡ Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany.
§ Calculations are based on data in table 2 in reference 18.
elimination of contrast medium from plasma 60–180 minutes after injection was calculated as a measure of glomerular filtration. In the saline groups, 0.15 mL/kg of iohexol was injected for evaluation of glomerular filtration. The plasma half-life of gadopentetate dimeglumine was 25 times longer than that of the small iohexol dose in the saline group. In practical terms, this means that gadopentetate dimeglumine eliminated glomerular filtration. Gadodiamide and iohexol at 190 mg I/mL (equimolar to 0.5 mmol/L gadolinium-based media) had effects on glomerular filtration that were not different from the effects of saline, with or without ischemia.

Acute renal failure was described after lower extremity arteriography with 80 mL of 0.5 mmol/mL (0.44 mmol per kilogram body weight) of nonionic iohexol (Prohance; Bracco Diagnostics) in a patient with nephropathy (27). A transient increase in serum creatinine level, from about 350 to 820 μmol/L, occurred. Spinosa et al (14) reported one case of deteriorating renal function after administration of 70 mL of gadodiamide (0.3 mmol/kg) in 18 patients (6%) with azotemia who were undergoing carbon dioxide–enhanced angiography supplemented with 0.5 mmol/L gadodiamide (20–100 mL; mean volume, 55 mL; 0.13–0.40 mmol/kg). When “small” volumes (33–100 mL; mean, 53 mL) of iohexol were used as supplement, as many as six of 15 patients (40%) had an increase in serum creatinine level of more than 0.5 mg/dL (44 μmol/L). However, no true randomization was used, and iohexol was injected at a concentration of 300 mg I/mL (Spinosa D, written communication, 2000); that is, approximately four to five times the concentration (60–80 mg I/mL) necessary to achieve the same attenuation as a 0.5 mol/L gadolinium chelate during a DSA examination.

Injections of 80–440 mL of gadodiamide during arteriography have recently been reported (28). A serum creatinine level increase of 0.6 mg/dL (53 μmol/L) or higher occurred in eight of 20 patients (40%) with a preprocedural serum creatinine level of 1.3–6.2 mg/dL (115–548 μmol/L). In three of the eight patients, the creatinine values did not return to baseline values. Nevertheless, the conclusion was that “intraarterial gadolinium in high volumes is a relative safe contrast agent with a low rate of postprocedural renal failure in patients with elevated creatinine level.” The intraarterial dose used by Gemmetye et al (28) would, in a 75-kg person, range from 0.5 to 2.9 mmol per kilogram. Note that an intravenous injection of 0.4 mmol of gadolinium chelate per kilogram of body weight for MR imaging or MR angiography is considered to be a high dose (2). Thus, it seems that the intraarterial doses of gadolinium chelates for DSA are used uncritically. Another example of an uncritical attitude toward acceptable doses of gadolinium-based contrast media was presented by “experts” on the renal effects of contrast media and members of the European Society of Urogenital Radiology (29). They were of the opinion that “intravascular administration of gadolinium-based contrast media was not considered a risk factor for the development of nephotoxicity even at the very high dose of 0.9 mmol/kg body weight.” No statement was made as to whether they meant an intravenous injection or a selective intrarenal injection of a high-osmolar gadolinium solution.

In summary, the general concept that gadolinium chelates are nonnephrotoxic may not hold true, especially when injected in relatively high doses resulting in a substantial osmotic load to the kidneys (1) or when the renal arteries are directly exposed to these hypertonic solutions. There are also results from one experimental study (24) that indicate that intraarterial injections of iodinated contrast medium may be less nephrotoxic than gadolinium chelates, when compared in equal-attenuating doses.

GENERAL TOXICITY

Murphy et al (7) stated that “gadolinium has proved to be safer than non-ionic contrast media,” and Fobbe et al (4) reported that “the rate of adverse side effects is lower than with iodine-containing contrast agents.” Again, the statements do not make any reference to the large differences in the number of contrast medium molecules administered for MR and x-ray imaging. Acute toxicity after intravenous administration in experimental animals has been evaluated (30,31), and differences in general toxicity between the two types of contrast media have been demonstrated.

The acute intravenous median lethal doses of contrast media in mice have been reported by Weimann et al (30,31), who compared gadopentetate dimeglumine, gadoterate, and gadodiamide with the iodinated agents diatrizoate and iopromide; also, Hoppe et al (32), during the 1950s, compared diatrizoate, iodopyracet, and iodomethane. The latter two iodinated contrast media were introduced during the early 1990s. From the results of these studies, we calculated the number of attenuating atoms (millimoles of iodine or gadolinium) per kilogram of body weight that was necessary to kill 50% of the animals (Table 5).

Providing that iodine and gadolinium atoms are equally attenuating at about 72 kV during a DSA study, the general toxicities of the three media gadopentetate dimeglumine, gadodiamide, and gadoterate and gadodiamide were about 25, eight, and six times, respectively, that of an equal-attenuating dose of iopromide. For the same radiopacity, gadopentetate dimeglumine may have an acute intravenous toxicity in mice three to four times worse than that of iodinated agents introduced 70 years ago.

In summary, gadolinium chelates have a higher general toxicity, according to the results of experimental median lethal dose studies, than do iodinated media when equal-attenuating doses for DSA at about 70 kV are compared.

EQUAL-ATTENUATING DSA CONTRAST MEDIUM DOSES IN AZOTEMIA

The maximum dose of gadolinium compounds, according to manufacturers’ recommendations, is 0.2 mmol/kg for gadopentetate dimeglumine and 0.5 mmol/kg for gadoterate and gadodiamide. Gadolinium-based agents have generally not been injected in doses higher than 0.4 mmol/kg for DSA examinations (14). In a 75-kg person, this would correspond to 30–60 mL of a commercially available 0.5 mol/L solution. Accepting that iodinated contrast medium with 60–80 mg I/mL is equally attenuating with a 0.5 mol/L gadolinium chelate, then the same radiopacity would be achieved by using 30–60 mL of a concentration of 60–80 mg I/mL; that is, a total dose of only about 2–3 g of iodine. This dose corresponds to about 7–15 mL of a commonly used solution of 300 mg I/mL. (Table 6). Frennbhy et al (33) used a similar dose of iohexol (10 mL of 300 mg I/mL [1 g of iodine]) to determine the glomerular filtration rate, or GFR, in 53 patients with severe chronic renal failure (overall, GFR ≤ 20 mL/min per 1.73 m²; in 40 patients, GFR ≤ 20 mL/min per 1.73 m²).
The osmolality of a solution depends on the size and number of vials that have been opened, gadolinium-containing compounds may cost up to 20 times more than iodinated agents: Two 40-mL bottles of a gadolinium chelate cost approximately $280, while a 50-mL vial of iodinated medium costs about $10. (Our calculations were based on conversion from Swedish kronors at the April 15, 2001, rate of 11 krone to 10 kronor.)

## CONCLUSIONS

The performance of lumbar aortography with 40 mL of hyperosmolar 0.5 mol/L solutions of, for example, gadopentetate dimeglumine (osmolality, 1,960 mOsm/kg) or gadodiamide (osmolality, 780 mOsm/kg) will result in radiopacity similar to that achieved with a dilution (with 20 mL of saline) of, for example, 20 mL iohexol (140 mg I/mL) or a dilution (with 10 mL of sterile water and 20 mL of saline) of 10 mL iohexol (300 mg I/mL). These will result in isotonic contrast medium solutions with a total iodine dose of only about 3 g.

We have found no experimental or clinical evidence indicating that the general or renal toxicities of gadolinium chelates are lower than those of iodinated media in the small total doses of 2.5 g of iodine used to achieve the same degree of x-ray attenuation. On the contrary, gadolinium chelates have a substantially higher acute intravascular toxicity in experimental animals, and for some of the gadolinium compounds the toxicity may be even higher than that of iodinated media introduced 70 years ago. The nephrotoxicity of iso-osmolar iodinated media should be expected to be far less than that of hyperosmolar gadolinium solutions when equal-attenuating doses are used, especially during renal artery interventions. In addition, iodinated media are approved for intraarterial use, while gadolinium chelates are not. Finally, iodinated media are much less expensive than gadolinium-based media in equal-attenuating doses.

Therefore, it is our opinion that the clinical use of gadolinium chelates for diagnostic and therapeutic endovascular procedures guided with DSA in patients with azotemia should not be applied until their safety relative to equal-attenuating doses of iodinated media has been proved on the basis of systematic experimental and clinical study results, as is the case for any new experimental contrast medium.
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### Table 6: Volume of Iodinated Contrast Medium at Different Concentrations Resulting in Same Iodine Dose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast Medium</th>
<th>Volume (mL)</th>
<th>Iodine Concentration (mg I/mL)</th>
<th>Iodine Dose (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iohexol (300 mg I/mL)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iopamidol (370 mg I/mL)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diatrizoate (370 mg I/mL)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metrizoate (370 mg I/mL)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodixanol (370 mg I/mL)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—In a 75-kg person, 60 mL of 0.5 mol/L gadolinium chelate (0.4 mmol/kg) for DSA at 60–80 kV may result in same attenuation as 60 mL of iodinated medium at concentration of about 70 mg I/mL. This table gives volumes of some commercially available iodine concentrations resulting in the same low iodine dose.

Osmotic and Osmotoxicity of Contrast Media

The osmolality of gadolinium-based versus iodinated contrast media in azotemia can be even greater advantage with iodinated media; namely, "high-osmolality" agents such as metrizoate (Isoopaque Conuran, Nyegaard, Oslo, Norway) and diatrizoate (Urografin 76%; Schering). In comparison, a modern iodinated nonionic monomeric agent such as iohexol has a ratio of 3:1 and is isotonic at a concentration of 140 mg I/mL and will stay isotonic at lower concentrations if diluted with isotonic saline (Table 1).

In summary, the use of high-osmolality gadolinium chelates in patients with azotemia, especially when renal arte
tes are directly exposed to the hyperosmotic solution during renal arteriography, angioplasty, or stent placement (8,9), cannot be recommended when there is an isotonic equal-attenuating alternative (eg, proper dilution of iopromide) readily available at a low iodine dose.

### Viscosity

The low viscosity of 0.5 mol/L gadopentetate dimeglumine—2.9 mPa at 37°C, compared with 10.6 mPa for iohexol at a concentration of 350 mg I/mL—has been advocated as an advantage during hand injection (12). However, both iohexol and iopromide have a viscosity of 1.5 mPa at 37°C at a concentration of 140–150 mg I/mL. The ease of manual injection of contrast media should, therefore, be an even greater advantage with iodinated nonionic monomeric compounds at 60–80 mg I/mL.

### Cost

It has generally been claimed that gadolinium-based media are about four to five times more expensive per milliliter than are iodinated nonionic compounds. With equal-attenuating doses of iodinated nonionic monomers and depending on the size and number of vials that have to be opened, gadolinium-containing compounds may cost up to 20 times more than iodinated agents: Two 40-mL bottles of a gadolinium chelate cost approximately $280, while a 50-mL vial of 140 mg I/mL iodinated nonionic mono-

### References

2. Prinz MR, Arnoldus C, Friolli JK. Nephrotoxicity of high-dose gadolinium com-
pared with iodinated contrast. J Magn Re-
3. Kaufmann JA, Geller SC, Walman AC. Renal insufficiency: gadopentetate dime-
glumine as a radiographic contrast agent during peripheral vascular interventional procedures. Radiology 1996; 189:579–
381.
4. Fobbe F, Wacker F, Wagner S. Arterial an-
giography in high-kilovoltage technique with gadolinium as the contrast agent: first clinical experience. Eur Radiol 1996;
5. Matchett WJ, McFarland DR, Ressel DK, Sa}
lios DM, Mouri MM. Azomine: gadop-
entetate dimeglumine as contrast agent at
digital subtraction angiography. Radi-
ology 1996; 201:569–571.
6. Veiths T, Markota T. Gd-DTPA as an alterna-
tive contrast agent in conventional and interven-
8. Spinnosa DJ, Matsumoto AH, Angle JF, et al. Gadolinium-based contrast and car-
bon dioxide angiography to evaluate re-
nal transplants for vascular causes of renal insufficiency and accelerated hyper-
9. Spinnosa DJ, Matsumoto AH, Angle JF, Hagspiel KD. Use of gadopentetate dimeglumine as a contrast agent for para-
10. Spinnosa DJ, Matsumoto AH, Hagspiel KD, Angle JF, Hartsell GD. Gadolinium-based com-
trast agents in angiography and inter-
terventional radiology. AJR Am J Roent-
11. Spinnosa SJ, O’Hare NJ, Angle JF, Hagspiel KD, McGraw JK, Ayes C. Renal insufficiency: usefulness of gadodime-
te-enhanced renal angiography to supple-
ment CO2-enhanced renal angiography for diagnosti-
c and percutaneous treat-
12. Hammer FD, Goiffet PP, Malaise J, Matrani P. Gadolinium: dimethylglutarate as an alterna-
13. Kaufmann JA, Geller SC, Benc H, Wal-
man AC. Gadolinium-based contrast agents as an alternative at venography in patients with renal insufficiency—early ex-
14. Spinnosa DJ, Angle JF, Hagspiel KD, Kern JÄ, Hartwell GD, Matsumoto AH. Lower extremity arteriography with use of io-
dinated contrast material or gadodiamide to supplement CO2 angiography in pa-
tients with renal insufficiency. J Vasc In-
terv Radiol 2006; 11:35–45.
16. Cardinali DH, Holdsworth DW, Drangova M, Hobbs BR, Fenster A. Experimental and theoreti-
cal x-ray imaging perfor-
mance comparison of iodine and lant-
thanide contrast agents. Med Phys 1993;
18. Schmitz SA, Wagner S, Schuhmann-Gi-
ampieri G, Wolf KJ. Evaluation of ga-
dobutol in a rabbit model as a new lan-
20. Bittner CA, Goodwin SC, Lu D, Mc-
Namara TO, Joseph T. Gadolinium-based contrast agents for angiographic use in a saline and contrast agent in patients with im-
paired renal function (abstr). J Vasc Inter-
erv Radiol 1997; 11:378.
21. Thomsen HS, Dorph S, Larsen S, et al. Urtie profiles and kidney histology after intra-
avenous injection of ionic and non-
22. Thomsen HS, Dorph S, Larsen S, et al. Urtie profiles and kidney histology after intra-
avenous injection of ionic and non-
ionic radiologic and magnetic resonance contrast media in rats with cephalin ne-
23. Leander F, Allard P, Casåll M, Golimba E. Early effect of gadopentetate and iodin-
24. Buller G, Dubois M, Beaudette H, Bour-
boulez R, Dery R. Renal tolerance of gad-
29. Monco J, Thomas HS, Webb JA. Con-
trast-media-induced nephrotoxicity: a consen-
sus report—Contrast Media Safety Com-
mittee, European Society of Urogen-
ital Radiology (ESUR). Eur Radiol 1999;
9:1602–1613.
30. Weinmann HJ, Press WR, Gris H. Tole-
rance of cardioselective contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging. Invest Ra-
diol 1990; 24(suppl 1):S49–S50.
31. Weinmann HJ. Gadolinium chelates: physico-chemical properties, formulation and toxicity. In: Dawson P, Cogroge DO, Grainger RG, eds. Textbook of con-
tраст media. Oxford, England: Isis Medi-
cal Media, 1999; 35–45.
32. Hoppe J, Larsen AA, Coulston F. Ob-
servations on the toxicity of a new uro-
graphic contrast medium, sodium 3,di-
iodohippurate (Hypaque-40) and related compounds. J Phar-
33. Freeman R, Merrer G, Almén T, Hagström KE, Hultberg B, Jacobsson LJ. The use of iodo-
contrast medium to determine GFR in pa-
34. Rudnick MR, Goldfarb S, Wexler L, et al. Nephrotoxicity of ionic and nonionic contrast media in 1196 patients: a ran-
261.
35. Speck U. X-ray contrast agents: physico-
chemical properties. In: Dawson P, Cogroge DO, Grainger RG, eds. Textbook of con-
tраст media. Oxford, England: Isis Medi-
cal Media, 1999; 35–45.