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SUMMARY
Some recent Swedish data on compartment fires are reviewed. Measured burning rates were as high as 7.7 A√H kg/min where A is the opening area in m² and H is its height in m.

A conventional power law is shown to relate the burning rate and the measured external flame length as well as does an empirical proportionality. The corrections to be allowed in both methods for the burning within the compartment and for the effective origin of the flames are both somewhat uncertain.
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INTRODUCTION

Law\(^1\) has reviewed the early work on correlating flame length data including the early works of Yokoi\(^2\), Thomas\(^3\), Webster and Raftery\(^4\) and Seiga\(^5\).

Following the studies of Yokoi\(^2\) and Thomas\(^3\) she has shown that one is justified in correlating flame data by means of dimensionless parameters derived from considerations of buoyancy in plumes and she has discussed correlations of the type

\[
\frac{z_f}{H} = f\left(\frac{R'}{\rho_0 \sqrt{g}} \frac{W}{H^{3/2}}, \frac{W}{H}\right)
\]

where \(z_f\) is flame length,
\(H\) is opening height,
\(W\) is opening breadth,
\(\rho_0\) is air density,
\(g\) is acceleration due to gravity
and \(R'\) is the rate of mass loss of fuel per unit window breadth (kg/m.s).

Thomas originally developed for wood a correlation of the form

\[
z_f + H = 18.6 R'^{2/3}
\]

on the grounds that the origin of the effect of buoyancy was at the base of the window opening. This is likely to be valid only for some situations, not universally. Compare Fig 1 and Fig 2, where some factors influencing the type of flow are suggested. For some fully developed fires a datum at the neutral pressure axis may be most suitable; for others the base of the emerging hot gas layer would be the better choice if there is a well defined layer.

The 2/3 power law is analogous to that for a plume from a line heat source. If a flame were represented by a zone of uniform temperature the characteristic vertical and entrainment velocities would increase as \(\sqrt{z}\) and the total air flow into the flame would increase as \(z^{3/2}\). For a flame to be as long as is determined by the air...
All correlations raise the problem of correcting a total measured mass loss rate for the combustion occurring within the compartment and of defining the air requirements of the unburnt fuels that leave it. One might be tempted to allow for \(5.5 \frac{A\sqrt{H}}{m} \text{ kg/min}\) to be allocated for the burning inside a compartment having a window area \(A \text{ m}^2\) and window height \(H \text{ m}\) in which the fire is fully developed. This would be in accordance with the well known view that ventilation controlled fires burn, on average at a nearly stoichiometric rate

\[
R = 5.5 \frac{A\sqrt{H}}{m}
\]  

although there are data with larger values\(^6\)\(^7\) of the ratio \(\frac{R}{A\sqrt{H}}\) as well as some of the present data quoted here. What however is germane to this discussion is that it is the air flow and hence the energy liberated inside which is limited to a value \(30 \frac{A\sqrt{H}}{m} \text{ kg/min}\). The works of Gross and Robertson\(^6\), the report of the international cooperative research programme by CIB\(^7\), Thomas and Nilsson\(^8\), and Saito\(^9\) have shown that \(\frac{R}{A\sqrt{H}}\) is not constant. Another empirical relationship better than equation (1) for cellulosic fuels in non-combustible compartments\(^9\) is

\[
R = 3.0 \left(\frac{A\sqrt{H}}{m}\right)^{0.8} (A_T)^{0.2}
\]  

where \(A_T\) is the total internal surface area in \(m^2\) of the compartment. The reason for the wide acceptance of \(5.5 \frac{A\sqrt{H}}{m}\) as a design base for a total burning rate may be less to do with physics than with the statistical distribution of window size, fuels etc.

Recent experiments

Jansson and Onnermark\(^10\) have recently presented data for 7 wood crib fires in a room 4.2 m x 2.8 m x 2.6 m high with one window 1.3 m high and breadth between 1 m and 2.8 m. The cribs were weighed and the data are given in Table 1. Ondrus et al\(^11\) have conducted similar experiments but the fuel was not weighed whilst burning and measurements of flame height comparable to those of Jansson and Onnermark were not made but temperature profiles are available (see Table 2).
By and large the steady burning of cribs is not normally much affected by their being inside a well ventilated compartment and we shall use a formula¹² to estimate the burning rates of cribs in the fuel controlled fires. Flame lengths for those fires where lengths are unreported are taken from the position where the temperature rise is 500°C.

Jansson and Onnermark introduce three new features into their discussion.

I. They produce a linear correlation between flame length and the external burning rate (see II).

II. They allow for combustion within the compartment by deducting an amount appropriate to the onset of flashover.

III. They use $\sqrt{\frac{A}{H}}$ to normalise $R$ in accordance with dimensional analysis requirements but do not normalise $Z$ at all. However $H$ was constant in their experiments.

Applying the same correction (II) to equation (2) also gives an adequate correlation of Jansson and Onnermark data (see Fig (3)). However, once flashover has occurred air flow into a compartment (and hence the rate of energy release in what can become a ventilation controlled fire) is determined by hydrostatic forces not by entrainment and one wonders how sensitive the correlations are to the "correction". Fig 4 shows a correlation using $R' - \frac{4A\sqrt{H}}{W}$ instead of $R'$ in equation (2) and $Z_f$ instead of $Z_f + H$. This in effect assumes the exit gases are leaving the upper part of the opening horizontally and the effective origin of the buoyancy is the neutral axis*.

A value for the correction of less than 5.5 is in principle consistent with the fact that excess fuel will depress the neutral axis and reduce the air inflow but the effect is not large. We see this from the conventional hydraulic equation that describes fully developed fires. Thus, equation (5)

*This is approximate. The base of the layer is probably a better choice but the difference is least for large flames.
gives for $\theta = 900^\circ C, T_o = 290^\circ K, \rho_a = 1.3 \text{ kg/m}^3$, even for $\frac{R}{AVH}$ three times
the conventional 5.5 and higher than any of the tests reported here, the value of $\frac{M}{AVH}$ as much as about 75% of its conventional value.

This would make the energy correction $5.5 \times 0.75 \sqrt{\frac{A}{H} = 4.4\sqrt{H}$ but it is a
maximum figure for these tests - not a mean as our use of it implies. The
effect on the neutral axis is not large enough to be the whole explanation of
the difference between 4 and 5.5 kg/m$^5/2$. One of the tests, giving $Z_F 0.5 \text{ m}$
has a negative value of $R - 4AVH$ and clearly for such fires the correlation
is inappropriate. One could fit the data by an equation with, say, a
coefficient less than 4 but then the correlation would not lie so close to
the line based on equation (2).

Linear correlations
It is idle to pursue the discussion of such few data in too much detail but
we can speculate on the generality of the linear equation demonstrated by
Jansson and Onnermark. The free plume does appear to give rise to a $2/3$
power. Indeed Law's statistical analysis suggests a lower not a higher
fraction. But a wall plume suffers frictional drag and one can expect a
lessening dependence of entrainment on height. If there were no dependence
at all of the mean entrainment velocity on flame height than for an effective
air fuel ratio of 'r' we have

$$\frac{\bar{V}_e Z_F W}{\rho_a} \frac{r}{R^{' \text{ net}}} = W$$

(6)

where $R'$ is the rate of supply of fuel externally per unit breadth of
opening.

$$Z_F = \frac{r}{\rho_a \bar{V}_e} R'$$

(7)
For wood fires with flames in the open effective values of \( r \) may reach 20. (400\% excess air to the top of the flame).

For \( \rho_a = 1.2 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) the coefficient of \( \frac{0.7}{H^{3/2}} \) ie 0.47 in kg min units obtained in the best straight line corresponds to a velocity of entrainment \( \bar{V_e} \) of 0.6 m/sec. An assumption of less excess air gives a lower estimate. Such a figure is plausible if perhaps high. The best straight line in Fig 4 gives \( \bar{V_e} = 0.7 \) giving an estimate for \( V_e \) of 0.4 m/s.

\[ \rho_a \bar{V_e} \]

We have presented above a linear relationship between \( Z_f \) and \( R' \) (equation (7)). However this is not quite the form proposed by Jansson and Onnermark whose correlations are in the form

\[
Z_f = a \frac{R}{\sqrt{gH}}
\]

ie \( Z_f = a \left( \frac{R'}{H^{3/2}} \right) \) (8)

Since \( H \) was constant in all their experiments it is not possible to distinguish between one and the other forms. If one returns to dimensional analysis the results of Yokoi and Thomas et al are a particular form of a general relationship

\[
\frac{Z_f}{H} = f \left[ \frac{(R')^2}{\rho g H^3 \cdot W} \right]
\]

If \( Z_f \) does not depend on \( W \) for a given \( R' \) then if \( Z_f \propto R' \) dimensional arguments require

\[
\frac{Z_f}{R'} = \frac{a}{H^{3/2}}
\]

ie \( Z_f \propto \frac{R'}{H^{3/2}} \)
It is therefore possible to extrapolate Jansson and Onnermark's data to other building conditions only if these involve small changes in $H$.

**Burning inside and outside the compartment**

We have, above, made assumptions regarding the extent to which the combustion is partitioned between inside and outside the compartment. One can take Jansson and Onnermark's flashover line to indicate a minimum release of energy causing flashover but this is not the maximum rate of energy release inside a compartment. Flashover leads to ventilation control and changes in the flow of air into the compartment so that the system moves to the conventional fully developed fire, where air flow is determined by hydrostatic forces not by entrainment.

The Jansson and Onnermark concept seems to imply that although extra air enters the compartment after flashover it leads only to external flaming. Calculations of the energy balance of fully developed fires, however, suggest that higher rates of energy release are involved internally.

A different view is stated by Harmathy\textsuperscript{13} in many publications. Here a fraction $\delta$ is broadly defined for ventilation controlled fires as the fraction of energy released internally (if $\delta$ is less than unity). $\delta$ exceeding unity implies all energy is released internally.

$\delta$ is related to the compartment geometry by Harmathy by

$$
\delta = 0.79 \sqrt[3]{\frac{h_c^{3/4}}{\theta}}
$$

where $\theta$ is a ventilation parameter proportional to $A\sqrt{H}$. No experiments have yet been reported in which $h_c$ has been varied in a way to test this relation. On the other hand the experiments reported by Jansson and Onnermark (see Table I) included 4 experiments in which $A$, $H$ and $h_c$ were kept constant. $h_c$ equalled 2.6 m and $A\sqrt{H}$ equalled 2.67 m$^{5/2}$. Harmathy's $\theta$ is

$$
1.3 \sqrt{9.81 \times 2.67} = 10.75 \text{ kg/s for these experiments. Hence}
$$

$$
\delta = 0.79 \sqrt[3]{\frac{2.63}{10.75}}
$$

which is barely larger than unity.

For two other experiments $A$ was smaller, making $\delta$ even larger so that no external combustion need be considered, yet for these fires flames 3.5 m and
3.7 m long were recorded.

δ was derived from

\[ \delta = \left( \frac{h_c}{l} \right)^{3/2} \quad (l > h_c) \]  

(10)

where \( l \) is a hypothetical flame length\(^{13} \).

As will be seen from the arguments discussed above a flame length is expected, for wide windows, to be independent of window width if the burning rate is expressed as per unit window width. On the other hand Harmathy gives, in deriving equation (8)

\[ l = 1.17 \theta^{1/3} \]  

(11)

for the ventilation controlled regime, which implies a dependence on width of window. Equation (2), with \( l = Z + H \) and \( R' = \frac{R}{AVH} \cdot H^{3/2} \) and a conversion from second to minutes gives

\[ l = 18.6 \times \left( \frac{R}{AVH} \right)^{2/3} \times H = 3.8 \times H \left( \frac{R}{5.5 \cdot AVH} \right)^{2/3} \]

Hence equation (10) may be rewritten as

\[ \delta = \left( \frac{h_c}{3.8 \cdot H} \right)^{3/2} \times \left( \frac{5.5 \cdot AVH}{R} \right) \]

\[ = \left( \frac{2.6}{3.8 \times 1.3} \right)^{3/2} \times \left( \frac{5.5 \cdot AVH}{R} \right) = 0.38 \left( \frac{5.5 \cdot AVH}{R} \right) \]  

(12)

This suggests that flames should come out of the opening in all the experiments of Jansson and Onnermark but whether it is a realistic description of the partition between inside and outside burning awaits further study. For example, the coefficient 3.8 seems to be too large since \( l/H \) is usually observed to be somewhat less than this.
Equation (12) tells us nothing about \( \delta \) unless we know \( R \) or, as in Harmathy's equations, make assumptions about it. One can refine these by using equation (4) instead of equation (3) but even equation (4) must be applied with caution, being based on wood fuel and cribs. It does however predict values of \( \frac{R}{A\sqrt{H}} \) in the Jansson Onnermark tests somewhat larger than 5.5 but not as large as their largest recorded results of over 7 kg/min m\(^{5/2} \).

The experiments by Ondrus et al\(^{11}\)
The experiments by Ondrus et al on the behaviour of external insulation on facades are of interest here, because two employed non-combustible facades.

The compartment dimensions were very close to those in the Jansson and Onnermark experiments, \( A_T \) was 50 m\(^2\) and \( A\sqrt{H} = 3 \) m\(^{5/2}\) (\( W = 2.8 \) m).

Some of the fuel (184 kg) was in the form of cribs (see Table I). If we adopt the same value* of \( \frac{R}{A\sqrt{H}} \) (ie 7.1) as the average of the ventilation controlled fires in Table I then the limit for fuel controlled fires is 3 x 7.1 = 21.3 kg/min. The cribs had a value of \( h.A_v/A_s \) of 0.014 m and \( A_s = 58 \) m\(^2\) where \( A_v \) is the horizontal open area of the crib, \( A_s \) the wood surface and \( h_c \) the crib height. The estimated rate of mass loss\(^{12}\) for free burning is 0.07 \( \sqrt{h_c A_v A_s} \) = 28.5 kg/m\(^2\) so both fires would appear to be ventilation controlled, yet they are different in the lengths of the flame. The 23 kg of polyurethane foam pyrolyses faster than the same amount of wood and would be expected to produce longer flames outside the compartment.

Based on the relative heats of vaporisation and the calorific contents of polyurethane and wood, estimates of the effects of a given thermal environment in a fully developed room fire suggest that about 1\( \frac{1}{2} \) times as much fuel is produced. This requires about 2 to 2\( \frac{1}{2} \) as much air for burning. Hence we shall treat the 8 m\(^2\) of polyurethane fuel as equivalent to 20 m\(^2\) of wood.

*No doubt an underestimate in view of the presence of a wall lined with wood as well as the cribs.
The result for this fire as a whole is not very dependant on the details of
the modification. Available theory is hardly sufficient to estimate the
burning rate which for ventilation controlled fires can be above 5.5 $A \sqrt{H}$ and
can be influenced by the exposed fuel area and the type of fuel. Estimates
of the mean rates of burning are therefore rather crude.

The data are shown plotted in Figs 3 and 4 according to these estimates but
the plausibility of the correlation is not sufficient argument to support the
assumptions.

CONCLUSION
Linear and non linear correlations can be fitted to the data discussed here.
Doubts about the effective origin of the plume and of what allowance is to be
made for combustion within the compartment remain unresolved. One difficulty
to be dealt with is the inadequacy of methods for dealing with the secondary
effects of fuel and compartment details on burning rates in ventilation
controlled fires.
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*These tests would appear to have "flashed over" in the sense that temperatures exceeding 600°C were obtained but were not fully developed. One assumes that the compartment was not "filled with flame".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>W</th>
<th>A/(m^{5/2})</th>
<th>m_0</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>(\Delta R/W)</th>
<th>R/(A/\sqrt{H})</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>(R-W A/(m^{5/2}))/W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.55*</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.5*</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.4*</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2 - Data for two tests by Ondrus et al at Lund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Test 1</th>
<th>Test 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fuel</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crib</td>
<td>184 kg</td>
<td>184 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall of wood</td>
<td>188 kg</td>
<td>126 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed area</td>
<td>11 m²</td>
<td>5 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyurethane</td>
<td>23 kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed area</td>
<td>8 m²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estimated burning rate (crib)</strong></td>
<td>28.5 kg/min</td>
<td>28.5 kg/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional burning rate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A) Pro rata basis</td>
<td>19/38 x 28.5 = 10 kg/min</td>
<td>5/38 x 28.5 = 2.5 kg/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) With polyurethane area</td>
<td>2√2</td>
<td>15.2 kg/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total rate of burning R</strong></td>
<td>38.5 kg/min</td>
<td>43.7 kg/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>38.5 kg/min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B)</td>
<td>43.7 kg/min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estimate of ( R_1 ) (to reach 600°C in compartment (see Jansson and Onnermark)</strong></td>
<td>5.3 kg/min</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>11.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B)</td>
<td>13.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (R - \frac{4AVH}{W})/W )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B)</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flame height above top of window</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To 500°C isotherm</td>
<td>5.2 m</td>
<td>2.8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To 425°C isotherm</td>
<td>6.0 m</td>
<td>3.4 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimates of \( R \) for both tests are in excess of the conventional limit for ventilation controlled fires. We have probably overestimated what is allowable for the crib and underestimated the contribution of the wall and the polyurethane.
Figure 1  'Fully developed' fire - Air inlet determined by hydrostatic fires. Short, shallow compartment, fuel near opening.

Figure 2  Inlet flow not limited by window but by entrainment into flames. Long deep compartment, fuel only at rear.
Figure 3  Correlations of flame length based on onset of flashover

Equation (2)

\[ Z = \frac{4.7\Delta R}{W} \]
\[ Z_f = 1.2 \left( \frac{R - 4A\sqrt{H}}{W} \right)^{2/3} \]

Jansson and Onnermark (excluding one test \( Z_x = 0.5 \text{m} \) and \( R < 4A\sqrt{H} \))

Ondrus data: based on 500\(^{\circ}\)C and 425\(^{\circ}\)C method B
Figure 1  ‘Fully developed’ fire - air inlet determined by hydrostatic fires. Short, shallow compartment fuel near opening.

Figure 2  Inlet flow not limited by window but by entrainment into flames. Long deep compartment, fuel only at rear.
Figure 3 Correlations of flame length based on onset of flashover

\[ Z = \frac{4.7 \Delta R}{W} \]

- Jansson and Onnermark
- Ondrus: plotted for 500°C and method B, see Table 2
Figure 4 Correlation of flame length based on $4A\sqrt{H}$