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V/PSPECT has the potential to become a first hand tool for diagnosis of pulmonary embolism based on standardized technology and new holistic interpretation criteria. Pretest probability helps clinicians choose the most appropriate objective test for diagnosis or exclusion of PE. Interpretation should also take into account all ventilation and perfusion patterns allowing diagnosis of other cardiopulmonary diseases than PE. In such contexts, V/PSPECT has excellent sensitivity and specificity. Nondiagnostic reports are ≤3%. V/PSPECT has no contraindication; it is noninvasive and has very low radiation exposure. Moreover, acquisition time for V/PSPECT is only 20 minutes. It allows quantification of PE extension which has an impact on individual treatment. It is uniquely useful for followup and research.

1. Introduction

Prior to the development of CT angiography, planar ventilation/perfusion scans were the primary noninvasive method for diagnosis of pulmonary embolism (PE). However, the technique suffered disrepute since the PIOPED I study showed that 65% of scans were nondiagnostic [1]. As will be reviewed below, results from later studies based upon modern imaging techniques and new holistic principles (combining clinical information, pretest probability, results of chest radiograph, and patterns typical of PE or other diseases) reduce the number of nondiagnostic findings to 3% or less, while sensitivity and specificity are excellent [2, 3].

Since the early 1980s, the advantage of tomography over planar imaging for PE detection was indicated [4]. Since then, numerous studies have shown such advantages of ventilation/perfusion single photon emission computed tomography (V/PSPECT) over alternative techniques, which indicated that lung scintigraphy is again appreciated as a first line method for diagnosis of PE.

An important issue is to estimate the clinical probability for PE before performing imaging tests as is elaborated upon in the European Guidelines for Lung Scintigraphy [2] and by Mamlouk et al. [5]. The object of this paper is to show the advantages of V/PSPECT in accordance with the European Guidelines for V/PSPECT [2, 3]. It will be emphasized that V/PSPECT gives diagnostic information in other conditions such as pneumonia, COPD, and left heart failure. The presentation will focus on basic requirements on diagnostic methods for PE:

(1) fast procedure,
(2) low radiation dose,
(3) no contraindications,
(4) high diagnostic accuracy and few nondiagnostic reports,
(5) utility for selection of treatment strategy,
(6) suitability for followup and research.

2. Agents Used for Imaging of Ventilation

Gases are distributed strictly according to regional ventilation. The only gas that is useful for V/PSPECT is krypton, 81mKr. Its short half life (13 s) implies that it disappears
ventilation, \( V / \text{PSPECT} \). The total acquisition time is only 20 minutes. A new algorithm allows calculation of the quotient between ventilation and perfusion and presentation of \( V / P_{\text{quotient}} \) images for easier diagnosis and quantification of PE extension.

The ventilation study starts with inhalation of 25–30 MBq \(^{99m}\text{Tc}-\text{DTPA} \) or Technegas. Immediately after ventilation SPECT, a dose of 100–120 MBq \(^{99m}\text{Tc} \) MAA is given intravenously for perfusion imaging. During the examination, the supine patient carefully maintains the position between ventilation and perfusion acquisitions. Immobilization for only 20 minutes is usually well tolerated by patients. Examination in the supine position is comfortable even for most of critically ill patients. It is also more convenient for the staff.

When clearance measurements are required, \(^{99m}\text{Tc}-\text{DTPA} \) may be used. Clearance is then calculated from initial and final anteroposterior SPECT projections [21].
4.2. Radiation Exposure. The doses of 30 MBq and 120 MBq for ventilation and perfusion, respectively, allow excellent V/P_{SPECT} quality at an effective radiation dose of 1.8 mSv [22].

4.3. Reconstruction and Calculation of V/P_{quotient} Images. Iterative reconstruction is performed using ordered subset expectation maximization (OSEM), for example, with 8 subsets and 2 iterations. In processing the images, the ventilation background was subtracted from the perfusion tomograms and a normalized V/P image set calculated, V/P_{quotient}. The algorithms for V/P_{quotient} were developed by Palmer et al. and further amended by Bajc et al. [21, 23]. The main consideration in the creation of V/P_{quotient} images was to scale smoothened ventilation and perfusion data sets to display V/P_{quotient} in a fixed linear scale allowing separation of normal regions from those with mismatch (Figure 2).

4.4. Presentation of V/P_{SPECT}. The basic format for V/P_{SPECT} presentation is displayed slices in frontal, sagittal, and transversal projections, available on any modern system. The slices must be accurately aligned so that ventilation and perfusion slices match each other and can be correctly compared. It is of value to achieve this acquisition in one session with maintained body position. This is also a prerequisite for the calculation of V/P_{quotient} images, which greatly facilitates identification and quantification of PE.

Volume rendered images, such as “Maximum Intensity Projection”, are available with almost all SPECT systems, allowing rotating 3D views. Such displays might be useful, particularly for quantification and followup of PE patients [24].

4.5. Primary Validation of the V/P_{SPECT} Method. Using a porcine model based upon 201TI-marked emboli as a “gold standard”, Bajc et al. validated the new V/P_{SPECT} method for diagnosis of PE and confirmed the superior value of tomography over planar imaging and improved interobserver agreement of defects on the subsegmental level [25]. In a following clinical head to head comparison between planar imaging and V/P_{SPECT}, it was shown that 53% more mismatch points were identified with V/P_{SPECT} compared to the planar technique [26]. Similar results have been found by others [27, 28]. SPECT eliminates superimposed structures, clarifying the segmental and subsegmental nature of perfusion defect caused by PE.

5. Interpretation with Emphasis on PE

Lung scintigraphy for diagnosis of PE and other diseases should routinely include ventilation and perfusion studies [21, 23, 25, 27, 29]. In PE, a perfusion defect is due to an embolus blocking blood flow. Because there is no corresponding blockage in the airway, ventilation remains normal causing a mismatch pattern. The distinction of whether a given perfusion defects is matched or mismatched is fundamental. The next step is to characterize the perfusion defects. Perfusion defects due to blockage of a pulmonary artery should reflect the branching of pulmonary circulation and its classical segmental anatomy. A segmental defect is wedge shaped and with its base on the pleura as will be illustrated (Figure 3).

The European guidelines [2, 3] advocate the new holistic interpretation and reporting of lung SPECT. Freeman et al. argued that “the expert’s successful interpretation of lung scans exceeds the best accuracy achievable by algorithms, which, by definition, are distillations of decision making into finite linear steps. The subjective of the whole is superior to any possible attempt to define its discrete parts” [30].

A holistic interpretation of V/P_{SPECT} images includes (1) clinical information and pretest probability for PE, (2) chest X-ray when available, (3) recognition of patterns typical for PE based upon segmental charts, and (4) recognition of patterns of other diseases than PE whenever possible [21, 23].

This is as important as the imaging technique. The clinician can only benefit from reports, which clearly express the presence or absence of PE. This goal was not reached with previous probabilistic reporting methods according to PIOPED or modified PIOPED, which defied how planar scans are reported [1, 31]. Large V/P_{SPECT} studies show that this is achievable if all patterns representing ventilation together with perfusion are considered [23, 32–34]. Conclusive reports were given in 97 to 99% of studies.

Recommended criteria for reading V/P_{SPECT} with respect to acute PE described in the European Guidelines are as follows.

No PE is reported if there are any of the following features:

(i) normal perfusion pattern conforming to the anatomic boundaries of the lungs,

(ii) matched or reversed mismatch V/P defects of any size, shape, or number in the absence of mismatch,

(iii) mismatch that does not have a lobar, segmental or subsegmental pattern.

PE is reported if there is

(i) V/P mismatch of at least one segment or two subsegments that conforms to the pulmonary vascular anatomy.

Nondiagnostic for PE is reported if there are

(i) multiple V/P abnormalities not typical of specific diseases.

In PE, it is fundamental that mismatched areas are conical with the base of the cone along the pleura and conform to known sub-segmental and segmental vascular anatomy. With such interpretation criteria, recent V/P_{SPECT} studies in over 3000 cases showed according to a recent review a negative predictive value of 97–99%, a sensitivity of 96–99%, and a specificity of 91–98% for PE [3]. The rate of nondiagnostic findings was 1–3% [23, 32–34]. Using our technique, V/P_{SPECT} yields ventilation and perfusion images in exactly the same projections. This makes calculation of V/P_{quotient} images possible and facilitates recognition of mismatch, particularly important in the middle lobe and
6. Diagnosis of Pulmonary Embolism

V/P$_{SPECT}$ images allow clear identification of segmental and sub-segmental perfusion defects, as in Figure 2 from a woman with extensive PE.

Figure 3 shows a well-delineated segmental perfusion defect. Followup after three days showed an almost normal pattern, confirming the diagnosis of PE.

Importantly, mismatch findings without a segmental character do not indicate PE. Such findings are often observed in patients with heart failure, mediastinal adenopathy, postradiation therapy, and so forth.

6.1. Indications for V/P$_{SPECT}$

6.1.1. Diagnostic Accuracy and Methodological Considerations.

The clinical value of V/P$_{SPECT}$ has been confirmed in several studies [27, 29, 32–34]. This has been highlighted by Stein et al. in a recent review [36]. V/P$_{SPECT}$ is today the method recommended by the European Association of Nuclear Medicine for clinical diagnosis, followup, and research [2].

6.1.2. Selection of Therapeutic Strategy.

Management of PE was previously confined to in-hospital therapy, using thrombolysis or heparin injections followed by oral anticoagulants for extended periods of time.

V/P$_{SPECT}$ allows objective quantification of PE. It has been shown that out-patient treatment is safe when based upon V/P$_{SPECT}$ that quantifies PE extension and identifies V/P defects of other etiologies [24]. V/P$_{SPECT}$ is accordingly a tool to guide the individual treatment.

6.1.3. Followup.

For followup, V/P$_{SPECT}$ is the method recommended by the European Association of Nuclear medicine due to its high sensitivity, noninvasiveness, low radiation exposure, and absence of contraindications [2].

Clinical reasons for followup are

(i) persistent V/P mismatches often occur after PE;

(ii) PE may recur in identical locations;

(iii) a prior study will help determine the age of a new defect;

(iv) There is an impact on therapy decision.
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Figure 4: Patient with COPD and extensive PE. On frontal slices, uneven ventilation with peripheral hot spots is observed, and perfusion images showed multiple perfusion defects, clearly delineated on VP quotient images.

Obviously, evaluation of different drugs and treatment strategies merits the use of V/P SPECT because of its high sensitivity and quality with regards to quantification.

7. Additional Findings

V/P SPECT allows diagnosis of several other diseases which have different scintigraphic appearances to PE, as detailed below [2, 3, 37].

7.1. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). In COPD matched areas with defects in ventilation and perfusion are observed. Ventilation defects are commonly more prominent than those of perfusion which leads to a pattern called reverse mismatch [19]. V/P SPECT frequently provides the first indication of COPD. Notably, V/P SPECT allows the diagnosis of PE even in the presence of COPD [32, 37], Figure 4.

7.2. Heart Failure. In left heart failure, redistribution of perfusion towards upper lung regions is well recognised since long [38]. Ventilation is usually not affected to the same degree as perfusion, which leads to a mismatch pattern. Importantly, this pattern does not conform to segmental anatomy of pulmonary arteries and it is not of a segmental character. Among patients referred for suspected PE, redistribution of perfusion to upper ventral regions indicated heart failure in 15% of cases [39]. The positive predictive value of the referred V/P SPECT pattern was 88%. Figure 5 shows V/P SPECT before and after treatment for heart failure.

7.3. Pneumonia. Pneumonic regions lack ventilation while perfusion may partly be upheld. The most frequent finding is a matched defect [40]. In case of partly preserved perfusion, reversed mismatch is observed [40, 41]. Preserved perfusion along the pleural border leads to a “stripe sign” [42, 43]. V/P SPECT frequently shows this sign because no overlaying structures obscure the images, Figure 6.

The combination of PE and pneumonia is common [32]. Suspicion or knowledge that a patient has pneumonia does not contraindicate V/P SPECT. On the contrary, V/P SPECT may be life-saving in the most complex cases [44].

8. Concluding Remarks

The qualities of V/P SPECT rely upon adequate and standardized technology of combined ventilation and perfusion studies as well as new holistic interpretation criteria as discussed.

V/P SPECT has excellent sensitivity and specificity. The rate of nondiagnostic reports is ≤3%. V/P SPECT is noninvasive and can be performed in all patients. The radiation exposure is low. With efficient technique and effective organization, V/P SPECT acquisition time is only 20 minutes. Furthermore, it allows quantification of PE that in some centres has impact on choice of treatment. V/P SPECT is uniquely useful for followup and research. Its outstanding qualities merit consideration of its use as the primary diagnostic method for PE in all hospitals in which nuclear medicine is practiced. V/P SPECT frequently gives diagnosis of both PE as well as comorbid conditions as COPD, left heart failure, and pneumonia.

Figure 5: Patient with heart failure. Sagittal slice on the left panel shows redistribution of perfusion towards anterior region; ventilation is less affected causing mismatch of non-segmental character. Right panel shows control after 10 days of treatment with normalization of ventilation and perfusion distribution but with new ventilation and perfusion defect in upper lobe due to pneumonia.
confirmed later at autopsy.
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