



LUND UNIVERSITY

Changes in glycoprotein expression between primary breast tumour and synchronous lymph node metastases or asynchronous distant metastases.

Kurbasic, Emila; Sjöström, Martin; Krogh, Morten; Folkesson, Elin; Grabau, Dorthe; Hansson, Karin M; Rydén, Lisa; Waldemarson, Sofia; James, Peter; Niméus, Emma

Published in:
Clinical Proteomics

DOI:
[10.1186/s12014-015-9084-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12014-015-9084-7)

2015

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kurbasic, E., Sjöström, M., Krogh, M., Folkesson, E., Grabau, D., Hansson, K. M., Rydén, L., Waldemarson, S., James, P., & Niméus, E. (2015). Changes in glycoprotein expression between primary breast tumour and synchronous lymph node metastases or asynchronous distant metastases. *Clinical Proteomics*, 12(1), Article 13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12014-015-9084-7>

Total number of authors:
10

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

RESEARCH

Open Access

Changes in glycoprotein expression between primary breast tumour and synchronous lymph node metastases or asynchronous distant metastases

Emila Kurbasic¹, Martin Sjöström², Morten Krogh³, Elin Folkesson², Dorthe Grabau^{2,4}, Karin Hansson¹, Lisa Rydén^{5,6}, Sofia Waldemarson¹, Peter James^{1*} and Emma Niméus^{2,6*}

Abstract

Background: Breast cancer is a very heterogeneous disease and some patients are cured by the surgical removal of the primary tumour whilst other patients suffer from metastasis and spreading of the disease, despite adjuvant therapy. A number of prognostic and treatment predictive factors have been identified such as tumour size, oestrogen (ER) and progesterone (PgR) receptor status, human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2 (HER2) status, histological grade, Ki67 and age. Lymph node involvement is also assessed during surgery to determine if the tumour has spread which requires dissection of the axilla and adjuvant treatment. The prognostic and treatment predictive factors assessing the nature of the tumour are all routinely based on the status of the primary tumour.

Results: We have analysed a unique tumour set of fourteen primary breast cancer tumours with matched synchronous axillary lymph node metastases and a set of nine primary tumours with, later developed, matched distant metastases from different sites in the body. We used a pairwise tumour analysis (from the same individual) since the difference between the same tumour-type in different patients was greater. Glycopeptide capture was used in this study to selectively isolate and quantify N-linked glycopeptides from tumours mixtures and the captured glycopeptides were subjected to label-free quantitative tandem mass spectrometry analysis. Differentially expressed proteins between primary tumours and matched lymph node metastasis and distant metastasis were identified. Two of the top hits, ATP1F1 and tubulin β -chain were validated by immunohistochemistry to be differentially regulated.

Conclusions: We show that the expression of a large number of glycosylated proteins change between primary tumours and matched lymph node metastases and distant metastases, confirming that cancer cells undergo a molecular transformation during the spread to a secondary site. The proteins are part of important pathways such as cell adhesion, migration pathways and immune response giving insight into molecular changes needed for the tumour to spread. The large difference between primary tumours and lymph node and distant metastases also suggest that treatment should be based on the phenotype of the lymph node and distant metastases.

Keywords: Breast cancer, Lymph node metastases, Distant metastases, Biomarkers, Glycopeptide capture, Secreted proteins, Membrane proteins, Mass spectrometry

* Correspondence: peter.james@immun.lth.se; emma.nimeus@med.lu.se

¹Department of Immunotechnology, House 406, Medicon Village, SE-223 81 Lund, Sweden

²Division of Oncology and Pathology, Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Lund University, Medicon Village, SE-223 81 Lund, Sweden

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Background

Breast cancer is the most common cancer among women and approximately 1500 women in Sweden die from the disease every year. Although the prognosis has improved during the last decade, there is still today no curable treatment for patients with a spread to distant sites. In order to prevent the development of distant spread, a combination of local and systemic treatment is used, and the choice is mainly based on the characteristics of the primary tumour [1]. The presence of lymph node metastases is investigated with sentinel node or a combination of sentinel node and axillary dissection, operations performed at the same time as the primary surgery.

Distinct genes and pathways within a given subtype of breast cancer, that appear to play an important prognostic role with regard to metastatic behaviour, have been identified such as the S100 and EpCAM families [2]. Some micro-metastatic foci will ultimately form macroscopic tumours due to their ability to recruit the necessary stroma and vasculature. They somehow avoid detection by the immune system and elimination [3]. Tumour development is essentially Darwinian, in that any of a number of molecular pathways that have been selected for in a specific tumour cell can contribute to the 'successful' metastatic tumour [4]. Moreover, this heterogeneity is dynamic, as selective pressures change (that is, in the new environment encountered by a metastatic cell in a secondary tissue site). Metastasis to axillary lymph nodes have been shown to be the most important independent prognostic factor and thus a risk factor for development of distant metastases in breast cancer [5,6].

Relatively few studies have investigated the changes occurring during the evolution of metastasis in comparison to the primary tumour. Prognostic factors and molecular subtypes have been investigated, comparing the primary tumour and synchronous lymph node metastases and comparing the primary tumour to both synchronous lymph node metastases and asynchronous distant metastases [7,8]. The shift in prognostic factors and molecular subtype was shown to be of clinical importance and indicated that biomarkers in the synchronous and asynchronous lymph nodes and distant metastases may affect the choice of treatment [9,10].

Another recent report [11] demonstrated how next generation sequencing can be used to determine the occurrence of somatic mutations occurring during the development and progression of lobular breast cancer. Using DNA and RNA re-sequencing, 32 somatic non-synonymous mutations in a metastatic tumour were found, 19 of which were not present in the primary lesion. In addition, RNA sequencing detected two new RNA editing events that recode the amino acid sequences of two proteins, SRP9 and COG3.

Mass spectrometric analysis has also been performed using 2-DE in two studies with matched primary breast tumour and lymph node metastases. In one of the studies,

also using CGH array, there were similarities between the primary tumour and the lymph node metastases although distinct changes in the levels of individual proteins were shown (13 pairs), [12] whereas the other study showed larger differences in protein expression (5 pairs) [13]. Matching of the primary tumour and later developed distant recurrences is rare since the relapses normally are not operated. Dumont et al. matched one patient with the primary tumour and a bone metastasis and found significantly regulated surface proteins [14].

In the present study, we collected matched primary tumours with synchronous lymph node metastases and asynchronous distant metastases. This unique tumour set was then enriched by a glyco-capture approach [15] to enable a proteomic analysis of an interesting sub-proteome. Glycoproteins are normally present in the plasma membrane, the extracellular-matrix or are secreted to the extra cellular space, and may thus be found in the blood. They are also known to mediate cellular processes important to cancer progression such as cell-to-cell signalling, growth, differentiation and migration [16,17]. The glycoprotein enriched samples were then analysed with liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) and were quantified with a label-free approach to find differentially regulated proteins, which can give a molecular insight in how the primary tumours evolves to metastatic potential. The differentially regulated proteins may also be exploited as biomarkers or treatment targets. Two of the top regulated proteins were validated with immunohistochemistry in a separate patient cohort.

Results and discussion

Experimental design

Samples were collected from 14 patients with tissue from the primary tumour and synchronous lymph node metastases (LNM) and 9 patients with tissue from a primary tumour and the distant metastasis (DM). LNM was defined as cancer involved lymph nodes in the ipsilateral axilla and DM as other sites than the ipsilateral breast or axilla. Two patients in the DM group had two samples, one had two skin metastases and one had metastases to the lung and to the ipsilateral breast (local recurrence). Most often distant metastases are not removed so the number is limited; however it is the largest proteomic study of its kind to be presented, to the best of our knowledge. The patient characteristics are given in Table 1, and the sites of primary tumours, lymph node metastases and distant metastases in this study are schematically shown in Figure 1(a).

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease at the pathological and histological level [18], and falls into at least 5 molecular subtypes (Luminal A and B; Basal; Her2 and normal), [19] and possible a sixth less abundant type, (low Claudin) [20] which greatly affect the prognosis [21].

Table 1 Patient characteristics

	Lymph node metastasis	Distant metastasis
Number of patients	14	9
with 2 samples	14	7
with 3 samples	0	2
Age, mean, range (years)	54, 25-79	53, 36-68
Site of metastasis		
Axilla	14	
Abdomen		3
Lung		2
Skeleton		2
Skin		2
Ipsilateral breast		1
Contralateral axilla		1
Tumour size ^a , mean range (mm)	35, 10-110	19, 13-28
Missing	0	2
ER ^a		
Positive	8	6
Negative	6	3
ER ^b		
Positive	7	4
Negative	5	4 ^c
Missing	2	3
Adjuvant treatment ^d		
Radiotherapy	78%	22%
Endocrine therapy	64%	22%
Chemotherapy	43%	11%
Neoadjuvant treatment ^e		
Chemotherapy	14%	0%

^aOf the primary tumour.

^bOf the metastasis.

^cOne patient had an ER+ primary tumour and an ER- distant metastasis.

^dAfter primary surgery.

^eBefore primary surgery.

Given the heterogeneity, we decided to take a paired approach in which both tumours come from the same patient. This allows a direct comparison of how the primary tumour has evolved to the lymph node and distant metastases. The experimental flow is shown in Figure 1(b).


We chose to focus on changes in glycoprotein expression during tumour progression. This allows us to focus on proteins from the plasma membrane, extracellular matrix and secreted proteins that are known to be important for cancer progression.

After glycopeptide enrichment, label-free LC-MS/MS was performed to identify and quantify the glycopeptides. Statistical and pathway analysis was performed to analyse the differences between primary tumours and the matched

metastases. Finally, we verified the accuracy of LC-MS/MS with immunohistochemistry and validated two proteins to be differentially regulated.

Variation in glycoprotein expression between the primary tumour and metastases


The effect of pairing samples using the example of one protein, platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule is shown in Figure 2(a), and how it varies between primary (blue dots) and distant metastases (red dots) and between patients. If a paired analysis would not have been used the effect of the change in expression level would have been masked. In this study, we had access to paired primary—lymph node metastases and primary—distant



metastases, but it is thought that this is a continuous evolution. Thus, we hypothesized that the difference between primary-lymph node should be smaller than the difference between primary-distant. Figure 2(b) shows a box plot representation of the difference between paired tumours for both primary-lymph node and primary-distant paired tumours, as correlation distance. The larger distance in the distant recurrence group, although not statistically significant corresponds to a larger difference between primary-distant tumours.

Differences in glycoprotein expression between the primary tumour and metastases

After raw mass spectra were aligned and peptide sequences identified, a Mascot search against the Uniprot database (release 2012, filtered for Human) identified over 2300 glycoproteins with a FDR < 0.05. Normalisation by total ion current in the analysis area and quantification was carried out in Progenesis. DM and LNM groups were analysed separately and Figure 3 shows proteins that are significantly regulated between primary



Protein name	UniProt id	Log2 Fold Prim/LNM	Log2 Fold Prim/DM	p-value Prim-LNM	p-value Prim-DM
Dynamin-1-like protein	O00429-2	-0.51	-1.07	6.1E-02	2.9E-03
Procollagen-lysin-2-oxoglutarate 5-lyoxigenase 2	O00469-2	-0.08	-1.06	8.2E-01	5.0E-03
Lysosomal alpha-mannosidase	O00754	-0.65	-1.56	1.3E-01	7.1E-03
Synaptotagmin-7	O43581	-0.83	-1.54	3.3E-02	5.3E-03
Protocadherin-7	O60245-1	-1.12	-1.10	1.0E-02	3.8E-03
ICOS ligand	O75144-1	-1.08	-2.41	1.8E-02	1.4E-04
Ig kappa chain C region	P01834	1.95	0.59	2.0E-03	5.8E-01
Ig alpha-2 chain C region	P01877	2.09	1.02	3.0E-03	2.0E-01
MHC class I antigen B*7	P01889	0.07	-1.31	8.5E-01	4.1E-03
Fibronogen alpha chain	P02671-1	-0.44	-1.30	6.5E-02	6.2E-04
Plasma serine protease inhibitor	P05154	-0.84	-1.39	3.1E-02	4.9E-03
Tropomyosin alpha-3 chain	P06753-1	-0.05	-1.88	9.1E-01	8.8E-03
Thrombospondin	P07204	-1.69	-0.99	3.8E-03	8.4E-02
Tubulin beta chain	P07437	1.52	0.75	3.0E-04	1.4E-01
Tropomyosin beta chain	P07951-1	-0.01	-1.87	9.0E-01	8.8E-03
B-lymphocyte activation marker BLAST-1	P09326	-1.44	-0.22	5.1E-04	5.0E-01
Tropomyosin alpha-1 chain	P09493-6	-0.09	-1.85	8.0E-01	9.4E-03
Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator	P13569-1	1.34	0.07	3.5E-04	7.9E-01
N-acetylglucosaminic-6-sulfatase	P15586	-0.92	-1.09	2.6E-03	2.9E-03
PI Endothelial cell adhesion protein	P16284-6	-0.94	-1.00	2.8E-04	3.7E-03
Erythrocyte membrane protein band 4.2	P16452-2	-0.80	-2.03	4.9E-02	3.6E-03
Carboxypeptidase E	P16870-1	-0.77	-1.48	1.4E-02	4.5E-03
Tetrapanin-8	P19075	-1.55	0.03	9.2E-03	9.7E-01
Serum paraoxonase/arylesterase 1	P27169	1.49	0.10	9.7E-03	8.6E-01
14-3-3 protein theta	P27348	-0.45	-1.04	5.4E-02	4.0E-03
Dipeptidyl peptidase 4	P27487	-0.27	-1.17	4.3E-01	6.6E-03
CD82 antigen	P27701	0.06	1.52	8.6E-01	8.6E-03
ADP-ribosyl cyclase 1	P28907-2	-1.02	-1.65	4.4E-02	4.6E-03
MHC class I antigen A*26	P30450	-0.66	-1.49	1.2E-01	6.6E-03
MHC class I antigen Cw*4	P30504	-0.59	-2.07	2.0E-01	6.7E-05
MHC class I antigen Cw*12	P30508	0.01	-1.58	9.8E-01	3.8E-04
Catenin beta-1	P35222-1	-0.99	-1.29	6.2E-02	1.2E-03
Thrombospondin-2	P35442	-0.15	1.04	6.0E-01	5.8E-03
Gamma-glutamyltransferase 5	P36269-1	-1.66	-0.89	4.0E-03	8.7E-02
Ras-specific GTPase-activating protein	P43487	1.28	1.11	3.0E-03	2.1E-02
Selenoprotein P	P49908	0.92	-1.01	9.6E-01	7.8E-03
Prothargin	P51888	-0.38	-1.10	3.8E-01	9.5E-03
Spermatid-specific linker histone H1-like protein	P60008	-0.55	-1.92	2.4E-01	6.9E-03
Insulin gene enhancer protein ISI-1	P61371	-0.14	-1.27	6.1E-01	6.3E-03
14-3-3 protein epsilon	P62258-1	-0.69	-1.01	1.0E-01	1.8E-03
Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 17	Q04695	-1.01	-2.03	1.9E-02	4.5E-04
MHC class I antigen Cw*15	Q07000	-0.68	-1.86	1.9E-01	1.7E-03
Glutaryl aminopeptidase	Q07075	-0.45	-1.23	2.6E-01	7.6E-03
Integrin alpha-7	Q13483-10	-0.62	-1.53	1.2E-01	2.9E-03
Single-minded homolog 2	Q14190-1	0.28	-1.01	3.6E-01	2.1E-03
NGF1-A-binding protein 2	Q15742-1	-0.73	-1.39	1.4E-01	5.3E-03
MHC class I antigen B*82	Q29718	0.41	-1.40	3.3E-01	4.0E-03
MAP7 domain-containing protein 1	Q3KQU3-1	-0.70	-1.19	6.7E-02	1.2E-04
Protein FAM20F	Q5K3K3	0.54	1.00	5.0E-02	9.8E-03
Rho GTPase-activating protein SYDE2	Q5V197-1	0.08	-1.04	8.3E-01	9.0E-03
Metastasis-associated in colon cancer protein 1	Q6ZV28	0.74	-0.48	2.2E-03	3.6E-01
Protein FAM19A3	Q7Z5A8-1	2.48	3.01	6.3E-03	6.5E-02
Neuronal PAS domain-containing protein 4	Q81M37	-0.50	-1.51	2.0E-01	9.9E-03
Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DHX40	Q81X18-2	-0.57	-1.49	1.2E-01	1.3E-03
Mab-21 domain-containing protein 2	Q81YB1	-0.41	-1.11	3.1E-02	9.0E-03
Latent-transforming growth factor beta-binding protein 4	Q8N2S1-2	-1.52	-1.22	2.6E-03	1.6E-02
Coiled-coil domain-containing protein 168	Q8NDH2	0.06	-1.10	8.0E-01	4.3E-03
Olfactory receptor 4C4	Q8NGE1	-0.18	-1.63	8.5E-01	8.1E-03
V-set and transmembrane domain-containing protein	Q8TAG5-2	-0.65	-1.29	2.0E-01	1.5E-03
Neuronal cell adhesion molecule	Q92823-1	-0.71	-1.53	8.7E-02	5.8E-03
Peptidylprolyl cis-trans isomerase FKBP10	Q96AV3	1.05	0.34	4.4E-03	5.8E-01
ANSH-like protease	Q96J0-1	-0.43	-1.23	2.0E-01	6.1E-03
Myb/SAN1-like DNA-binding domain-containing protein	Q96H12	0.93	1.03	9.6E-04	4.4E-03
Cell migration-inducing gene 3	Q9BSJ5-1	-0.63	-1.70	1.4E-01	3.7E-03
Periaxin	Q9BXMB-1	-1.10	-1.69	6.4E-03	2.2E-02
Zinc finger and BTR domain-containing protein 3	Q9H5J0	-0.49	-1.62	3.9E-01	1.5E-03
Fleckstein homology domain-containing family G member 2	Q9H7P9-1	1.03	-0.74	2.6E-04	2.5E-01
Protein TANC2	Q9HC06-1	0.12	-1.03	6.9E-01	7.8E-03
ATPase inhibitor, mitochondrial	Q9HJ2-1	1.09	-0.03	4.2E-05	9.7E-01
UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2A1	Q9Y4X1-1	0.14	-1.59	7.2E-01	5.7E-03

The table shows all the proteins that are significantly regulated by fold change > 2 and p-value < 0.01 between the primary and lymph node metastasis or distant metastasis. An up-regulation in fold change relative to the matched primary tumour is indicated by olive shading and down-regulation by orange. Significant p-values are highlighted in pink.

Figure 3 Protein expression changes between the primary tumour and lymph node metastases and distant metastases.

tumour and metastases, by fold change >2 and statistical significance ($p < 0.01$). Further analysis of the changes occurring between glycoprotein expression in the primary tumour and the lymph node metastases is shown in Figure 4(a). Principal component analysis (PCA) of the changes occurring in glycoprotein expression between primary and LNM pairs was performed to show patterns of similarity between tumours. There is a weak tendency for the primary tumours to group together in the lower half of the figure with the lymph node metastases occurring in the top half. However there is large inter-patient variation, which is the dominant effect. The same effect is seen in the PCA plot in Figure 4(b), showing DM tumour pairs. Here the effect is even more pronounced where tumour pairs are relatively close and no obvious clustering for stage or tissue/relapse site. This is in line with the idea that breast cancer is a very heterogeneous disease and that changes between a primary tumour and the metastases is peculiar to each tumour pair, supporting the rationale for analysing tumours pairwise. It also demonstrates that the tumours do not cluster on tissue, which is a risk when analysing tumours from different sites in the body. Figure 5 shows the two patients that have three samples. Patient 1 (red) has one recurrence in the skin in the ipsilateral breast, and one in the lung, while patient 5 (blue) have two recurrences in the skin. This figure demonstrates that there is a

larger difference between the two patients than between different stages of cancer (primary tumour—distant metastases). It also demonstrates that the two skin metastases from patient 5 are very similar, while the two recurrences from patient 1 are more different. This could be explained by that different changes are required for the ability to metastasize to specific sites. Possibly it is the same clone that has metastasized from patient 5 and two different clones from patient 1.

Differences in glycoprotein expression patterns between the primary tumour and metastasis could possibly be modified by any treatment given between the two samples. In this study, several patients received adjuvant treatment (Table 1). However, there were too few patients to study the effect of different treatments and we thus focused on the overall change of glycoproteins. In future studies, it would be of great interest to focus on the effect of specific treatments.

Functional and pathway differences between the primary tumour and metastases

Among the differentially regulated proteins, there are clear groupings of proteins, for example those that are involved in the progression from primary tumour to lymph metastasis and distant metastasis, include many with motility functions. Cell migration-inducing gene 3 is up-regulated and is known to function as a cell migration-inducing gene 3




Figure 4 Principal component analysis shows the degree of relationship between the primary tumour and the corresponding paired metastasis. (a). Principal Component Analysis of primary and lymph node metastasis pairs. A circle indicates a primary tumour and a triangle the lymph node metastasis. (b). Principal Component Analysis of primary and distant metastasis pairs. A circle indicates a primary tumour and a triangle the distant metastasis.




Figure 5 Principal Component Analysis of primary, lymph node and distant metastasis within two patients with triple samples. Red indicates patient 1 with one distant metastasis in the lung and one local recurrence and blue patient 5 with two skin metastases.

protein and is found up regulated in lung cancer as well Uniprot (Q9BSJ5-1). Protocadherin is involved in homophilic cell adhesion that allows tumour cells to move out into the blood and migrate to bone [22] as is the neuronal cell adhesion molecule (NCAM) [23] and both are up-regulated in lymph and distant metastasis. Platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule (PECAM) also binds cells together but in addition is required for promoting the migration of leucocyte migration across the endothelium [24]. Metastasis-associated in colon cancer protein is strongly down regulated in lymph node metastasis but up regulated in distant metastases. It promotes cell motility, proliferation and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF)-dependent scattering in vitro and tumour growth and metastasis *in vivo* (Uniprot Q6ZN28). This suggests that targeted methods could be developed to prevent dispersion at an early stage.

The proteins showing significant down-regulation such as Serum paraoxonase/arylesterase 1 (PON1) has been associated with increased vascularisation in retinopathy [25] as well as increased risk for prostate cancer [26] but in breast cancer down-regulation is associated with lower survival time in patients with clinical recurrence of breast cancer [27]. Down regulation is seen for many proteins involved in

immune response such as CD82 antigen, Ig alpha-2 chain C and Ig kappa chain C though the MHC antigens class I antigen A*26, Cw*4 and Cw*15 are up-regulated.

Changes in hormone receptor signalling pathways

Oestrogen receptor alpha (ER) is a nuclear receptor for the steroid hormone oestrogen. It is one of the clinically most important determinants of prognosis and response to endocrine treatment. The presence or absence of this receptor has a profound effect on the biology of the cancer cell and at the RNA-level, it is one of the strongest separators for clustering [28]. We therefore wanted to analyse the differences of glycoprotein expression between ER+ and ER- tumours.

Using clinical routine methods one of the patients (D3) reversed the ER status, which had an ER+ primary tumour and an ER- DM. This phenomenon has been investigated by immunohistochemistry [29] showing that 33% of patients with breast cancer show a reversal of ER or PgR status and 15% of patients experience a change in HER2 status between primary tumour and recurrence. Of clinical relevance is that ER+ patients at relapse can be treated with endocrine therapy and have better prognosis than ER- patients, independent of the ER status of the primary tumour.

Metacore analysis showed there were distinct changes occurring in hormone signalling. The program displays a thermometer indicating the change in expression of the protein in the lymph node metastasis or distant metastasis relative the paired primary tumour. One pathway that scored high and is of great clinical importance is the development of oestrogen receptor ligand independent activation. For the analysis, the protein expression was normalised against the whole primary tumour data set. Additional file 1: Figure S1(a) shows the changes in expression of the main actors in ligand independent activation (EGFR (Epidermal growth factor receptor, HER2), ErbB2 (Receptor tyrosine-protein kinase erbB-2) ErbB3 (Receptor tyrosine-protein kinase erbB-3), IGFR1 (insulin-like growth factor receptor-1), Shc (Shc transforming protein-1), NCOA2 (nuclear receptor co-activator-2), TFF1 (Treffol factor 1) and PKA-reg (protein kinase A regulatory subunit)) in a comparison between ER+ and ER- lymph node metastasis, relative to the primary tumour. The protein Trefoil factor 1 is under control of the oestrogen receptor and is up regulated in the ER+ lymph node metastasis but clearly down-regulated in the ER- lymph node metastasis. However this is reversed in the distant metastases as seen in Additional file 1: Figure S1(b) probably as a result of the strong up-regulation of TFF1 in the ER- and of the down-regulation of the nuclear receptor co-activator-2. The effect seems to develop during the evolution of ER- lymph node metastasis to distant metastasis as seen in Additional file 1: Figure S1 (c). In contrast, in Additional file 1: Figure S1(d) no effect is seen in the change from ER+ lymph node metastasis to distant metastasis since oestrogen signalling is still intact.

Immunohistochemical verification of mass spectrometry results

In order to verify the accuracy of the mass spectrometry results, five of the top regulated proteins were chosen for further validation with immunohistochemistry (IHC): mitochondrial ATPase inhibitory factor 1 (ATPIF1), CD82, keratin type I cytoskeletal 17 (CK17), thrombomodulin and tubulin β -chain. Proteins were selected based on antibody availability from companies that usually provide high-quality anti-bodies. The expression was scored in 5 levels (0–4) on a tissue micro array (TMA) from a separate cohort of 552 primary tumours, 159 matched synchronous lymph node metastases and 51 matched asynchronous metastases (locoregional or distant). Patient characteristics are found in the original study [30,31]. The distribution of the intensities for the five proteins can be seen in Table 2. Representative staining intensities are shown in (Figure 6 a-b). For ATPIF1, we were able to score 126 tumour pairs, which showed a significant decrease in intensity from the primary tumours to the lymph node metastases ($p = 2.8e-$

09) (Figure 7a). This was consistent with the down regulation found with mass spectrometry. For tubulin β -chain, we were able to score 121 tumour pairs, which showed a decrease in expression intensity from primary tumour to lymph node metastases ($p = 3.5e-05$) (Figure 7b). This is also consistent with the mass spectrometry observed down regulation. The IHC staining intensity was very low of the remaining antibodies; (thrombomodulin, CK17 and CD82) and we found that it was not possible to compare primary tumours and metastases (Table 2), as a large majority scored 0 intensity. This was despite great effort to optimize the IHC protocol. Thus, the IHC analysis was able to verify the decrease of ATPIF1 and tubulin β -chain expression seen with mass spectrometry, while CD82, CK17 and thrombomodulin analyses were excluded due to poor staining.

ATPIF1 is the inhibitor of the ATP synthase, which provides the majority of oxygen necessary for sustained cellular activity [32]. Previously, it has been shown that ATPIF1 is overexpressed in human carcinomas, blocking the ATP synthase and decreasing the ATP production. This stimulates aerobic glycolysis, which in cancer cells is known as the Warburg phenotype [33,34]. Such reprogramming of the cellular energy metabolism is considered to be an emerging hallmark of cancer. Although it is known that ATPIF1 is overexpressed in several carcinomas, it is more difficult to find studies investigating and comparing the expression of ATPIF1 in primary tumours and lymph node and distant metastases [35]. Although the Warburg phenotype has been shown to stimulate metastasis, we see a decrease in ATPIF1 expression from primary tumour to lymph node metastasis. One explanation could be that the expression of ATPIF1 levels out after induction of metastasis, and only increases in expression during the transformation process from normal cell to tumour cell.

Tubulin β -chain builds up heterodimers of tubulin, which in turn are the major components of microtubules. Microtubules play a very important role in formation of the mitotic spindle, which separates the chromosomes during cell division. Furthermore, microtubules are crucial constituents of the cytoskeleton, making them important facilitators of transport and cell motility [36]. This also makes them good targets for cancer therapy, so called anti-mitotics, which bind to β -tubulin and disrupt the microtubules in the mitotic spindle. This prevents separation of the sister chromatids and hence halts mitosis [37]. The reason for a decreased level of β -tubulin in lymph node metastases is not obvious and needs to be further studied. However, here it is found in two separate patient cohorts, and with two independent techniques.

Conclusions

In this study we compared differential glycoprotein expression in a unique set of matched tumour pairs, 14 lymph node metastases and 9 distant metastases. We used a paired

Table 2 Immunohistochemical staining intensities

Intensity	ATPIF1	Tubulin β -chain	CD82	CK17	Thrombomodulin
Primary tumour	126	121	121	122	117
0	1	6	96	144	89
1	10	29	23	5	23
2	34	56	2	2	4
3	49	22	0	0	1
4	32	8	0	1	0
Synchronous lymph node metastasis	126	121	121	122	117
0	3	8	85	116	91
1	21	54	33	2	24
2	55	49	3	3	1
3	33	9	0	1	1
4	14	1	0	0	0
Asynchronous metastasis			32	35	
0			17	31	
1			9	2	
2			3	2	
3			2	0	
4			1	0	

approach and showed a large difference in glycoprotein expression between the primary tumour and its matched metastases, as well as large interpersonal differences.

Further, the glycoproteins here found to be differentially regulated gives an important insight into the evolution of a primary tumour towards the spreading of the disease as seen by changes in cell adhesion and migration pathways and immune response. We also demonstrate the glycoprotein difference between ER+ and ER- and that ER ligand independent activation seems to be an important pathway. Finally, we validated the differential expression

of two glycoproteins: ATPIF1 and β -tubulin which confirms the validity of the mass spectrometry results and that loss of ATPIF1 and β -tubulin may be important events for a breast cancer to be able to metastasize.

In summary, there are substantial changes at the glycoprotein level during tumour development indicating that future research should be aimed at the biology of the metastasis to be able to tailor treatment according to the characteristics of the metastases, since treatment strategies are normally based on the primary tumour.




Figure 6 This show representative images of intensity grading levels. Tissue cores are 1.0 mm and the images were taken with 40x magnification. **a)** Staining of ATPase Inhibitory Factor 1 levels 0–4 **b)** IHC staining of tubulin β -chain intensity levels 0–4.




Figure 7 This shows the change in immunohistochemistry intensity between primary tumours and lymph node metastasis. Wilcoxon signed-rank test for paired samples were performed to assess statistical significance. Red line represents the mean. **a)** The expression of ATPase inhibitory factor 1 significantly decrease ($p = 2.8e^{-09}$). **b)** The expression of tubulin β -chain significantly decreases ($p = 3.5e^{-05}$).

Methods

Materials

All HPLC solvents, Ammonium bicarbonate, 2,2,2-Trifluoroethanol (TFE), Dithiothreitol (DTT), N-acetyl-cysteine and iodoacetamide (IAA) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Stockholm, Sweden). Criterion Precast SDS-PAGE gels (12.5%) and Affi-Prep Hydrazide Hz resin supplied as 50% slurry in isopropanol were from BioRad (Hercules, CA, USA). Protein Desalting Spin Columns and Sodium meta-periodate were acquired from Pierce (SDS diagnostics, Falkenberg, Sweden). UltraMicroSpin C18 and UltraMacroSpin C18 columns were from the NestGroup (Southborough, MA, USA). Sequencing grade modified Trypsin was purchased from Promega (Madison, WI, USA), Zirconia beads from BioSpec Products, Inc. (Bartlesville, OK, USA). RapiGest and Sep-Pak C18 from Waters (Milford, MA, USA). PNGase F and Protease Inhibitor cocktail were acquired from Roche GmbH (Mannheim, Germany).

Patient data

Frozen samples from 23 patients were retrieved from the bio bank of the Department of Oncology, Lund University (Table 1). The criteria for selection were to have both a primary breast tumour sample and a sample from a lymph node metastases or distant metastases stored in the bio bank. We divided the patients into two groups, based on the type of metastasis. The first group had lymph node metastasis (LNM) (i.e. in the ipsilateral axilla) and the second group had distant metastasis (DM) (i.e. at any other site than the same breast and the ipsilateral axilla). We further divided the DM group based on site of the recurrence: abdomen (usually the greater oment), lung, skeleton, skin, contralateral axilla and ipsilateral breast. Two

patients had 3 samples, one with a primary tumour and two distant metastases in the skin, and one with a primary tumour and metastasis to the lung as well as a recurrence in the same breast (local recurrence). The patient with a local recurrence was included in the DM group since this patient also had a lung metastasis. The local recurrence was included in the PCA plot for visualisation but was excluded from the comparison between primary tumour and distant metastasis.

The patients underwent primary surgery, either mastectomy or breast conserving surgery, in the South Sweden health care region between the years 1987–2000. They obtained standard of care after primary surgery, which for the DM group means between the primary tumour and distant metastasis. The treatment given was adjuvant radiotherapy (DM: 22%, LNM: 78%), adjuvant endocrine therapy (Tamoxifen, aromatase inhibitor, oophorectomy or radio castration) (DM: 22%, LNM: 64%) and adjuvant chemotherapy (FEC or CMF) (DM: 11%, LNM: 43%). Two patients in the LNM group received neoadjuvant chemotherapy (FEC). For patients with lymph node metastasis, the axillary surgery was performed either at the same time as primary surgery, or in the following 2–3 weeks, after cancer cells was detected in sentinel node, or other pathologic indication for additional surgery. Patients with distant metastasis were operated for the second time 0–11 years after the primary surgery. In one case, a skin metastasis was found and removed before performing mastectomy. The indication for secondary surgery and removing a distant metastasis could be a pathologic fracture, an unknown skin lesion or to obtain diagnosis for an unknown tumour.

Tumour samples were directly put on ice during surgery and stored in -80°C until analysis. A slide was taken from

each tumour piece to be analysed, both primary and metastasis, and stained with haematoxylin and eosin and verified to have cancer tissue present. Follow-up and patient data was obtained through examination of patient records and from tumour analysis performed at the bio bank. The study was approved by the ethical committee of Lund University (LU 240–01).

Clinico-pathological variables

Oestrogen receptor (ER) status was obtained from routine pathologic examination with immunohistochemistry (IHC) with a cut off of 10% or from an enzyme immuno-assay with a cut off of 25 fmol/mg protein.

Sample preparation

Frozen tissue samples were pulverised in bead beater 4 × 1 min at the highest speed and lysed with 0.5 ml lysis buffer (50% 2,2,2-Trifluoroethanol (TFE), 50% PBS). RapiGest, 1% in 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate was added to the samples and the lysates were centrifuged at 2000 g for 30 min, at 4°C, after which supernatant was incubated at 60°C, with shaking for 2 hours. Samples were then centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 min, at RT and supernatant was reduced and alkylated by incubation with 5 mM DTT at 60°C, 800 rpm for 30 min followed by incubation with 25 mM IAA at RT, in dark for 30 min. Samples were digested with 7.9 mg/ml Trypsin at 37°C, 1000 rpm, overnight. The digest was submitted to reverse-phase clean up in 500 mg C₁₈ cartridge. The peptides were eluted from the cartridge with 0.1% FA in 50% ACN and the flow-through was concentrated in a SpeedVac, to 100 µl final volume.

Sample modification and digestion

Samples were diluted with oxidation buffer and sodium meta-periodate in water was added to the sample to a 8 mM concentration and samples incubated at 6°C, dark at 600 rpm for exactly 60 minutes. Reverse-phase clean up of digest was performed again and samples were concentrated in SpeedVac to about 400 µl. 500 µl of the supplied 50% slurry in isopropanol was washed with 3x500 µl of water; 3x500 µl of coupling buffer and after which the resins were diluted with coupling buffer to a 50%-slurry. The concentrated samples were resuspended with 400 µl coupling buffer and pH adjusted to 4.5 and then 500 µl of the 50%-slurry in coupling buffer was added to each sample and incubated at head-over rotation, RT overnight. Supernatant was collected by centrifugation (non-bound peptides) and resin washed with 2x500 µl of coupling buffer and collected into the same tube (glycopeptides).

Samples were washed with 5x500 µl each of 5 M sodium chloride, HPLC-H₂O, 80% ACN, Methanol, HPLC-H₂O and 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate. The resins

were transferred to a new Eppendorff tube with 300 µl 100 mM Ammonium bicarbonate buffer, pH 8.0. PNGase F (5 µl of a 1U/µl) was added to the resin, pH adjusted to 8.0 and resins incubated at 37°C. After the incubation, pH was adjusted to 8.0, samples centrifuged at briefly in a mini-Eppendorf centrifuge for 30 sec and these formerly N-glycosylated peptides, collected by pipetting into a new tube. The resins were washed 2x with 500 µl 80% ACN, and flow-through combined with the previous one. Samples were then dried in SpeedVac, to volume of 100 µl. Reverse-phase clean up of digest was performed again, peptides eluted with 0.5 ml 0.1% FA/50% ACN into a new tube and then dried completely in Speed-Vac. Dried samples were resuspended with 20 µl of 0.1%FA/0.3% ACN in HPLC-grade water.

Mass spectrometry analysis

An ESI-LTQ-Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron, Bremen, Germany) interfaced with an Eksigent nanoLC plus HPLC system (Eksigent technologies, Dublin, CA, USA) was used for all analyses. Peptides were loaded a constant flow rate of 10 µl/min onto a pre-column (PepMap 100, C₁₈, 5 µm, 5 mm × 0.3 mm, LC Packings, Amsterdam, Netherlands) and subsequently separated on a 10 µm fused silica emitter, 75 µm × 16 cm (PicoTip™ Emitter, New Objective, Inc. Woburn, MA, USA), packed in-house with Repronil-Pur C₁₈-AQ resin (3 µm Dr. Maisch GmbH, Ammerbuch-Entringen, Germany). Peptides were eluted with a 150 min for label-free quantification linear gradient of 3 to 35% acetonitrile in water, containing 0.1% formic acid, with a flow rate of 300 nl/min.

The LTQ-Orbitrap was operated in a data-dependent mode simultaneously acquiring MS spectra in the Orbitrap (from m/z 400 to 2000) and MS/MS spectra in the LTQ. Four MS/MS spectra were acquired using CID (collision induced dissociation) in the LTQ and each Orbitrap-MS scan was acquired at 60,000 FWHM nominal resolution settings using the lock mass option (m/z 445.120025) for internal calibration. The normalized collision energy was set to 35% for CID. The dynamic exclusion list was restricted to 500 entries using a repeat count of two with a repeat duration of 20 seconds and with a maximum retention period 120 seconds. Precursor ion charge state screening was enabled to select for ions with at least two charges and rejecting ions with undetermined charge state.

Data analysis

Raw mass spectrometric data was independently analysed in Progenesis LC-MS (Nonlinear Dynamics Ltd, version 4.1.4804) and Proteios SE (version 2.19.0) software platforms. In both cases, runs were aligned and peptide identifications were propagated between runs in order to minimize missing values. MS/MS spectra of ions with charge +2/+3/+4 between 400–1000 m/z and

27–62 min. were filtered and submitted to Mascot for identification using the UniProt database release 2012 filtered for human. Identifications were filtered with an FDR of 0.05 at the peptide and protein levels. Only peptides showing an Asn to Asp conversion with a consensus sequence of NX(S/T) were used for subsequent analysis. Only proteins with at least one unique peptide were kept in the dataset. Qlucore Omics Explorer (Qlucore AB, version 2.3) software was used for statistical analysis of the protein expression profiles. The functional enrichment and pathway analysis was carried out with MetaCore™ (Thomson Reuters, version 6.14).

Statistical analysis

Normalized protein abundances (by Total Ion Current in the area of analysis) were used for the analysis. The abundances were log₂ transformed. For each sample, the average log₂ abundance over two technical replicates was calculated. A pairwise t-test for each protein was made between the primary and metastasis tumours for both the lymph node and the distant groups. Patients with missing values were removed for these calculations. Histograms of the p-values were made for both the lymph node and the distant metastasis groups. The histograms have a high count at low p-values showing that there are significant differences between the primary and metastasis tumours after multiple hypothesis correction. Principal component analysis (PCA) plots were made for both the distant and lymph node tumours. For each pair of primary and metastasis tumour from the same patient, the correlation distance between the two tumours was calculated. A box plot was made for the pair distances comparing the lymph node and distant groups. The mean value of the distance between pairs shows no significant difference, but the variance is higher in the lymph node metastasis group (p-value = 0.05, F test). For IHC analysis, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used. All computations were done in R.

Immunohistochemical analysis

A previously constructed tissue microarray (TMA) was used for immunohistochemistry verification. Details and patient characteristics are found in the original study [30,31]. In brief, 552 primary tumours, 159 axillary synchronous lymph node metastases and 51 asynchronous metastases (locoregional or distant), were collected. From the donor paraffin block two tissue cylinders of 1.0 mm were taken from a representative area of the tissue and transferred to a recipient paraffin block. The TMA blocks were cut into 3–4 μm thick sections and mounted on to glass slides (SuperFrost Plus, Menzel-Gläser, Gerhard Menzel GmbH, Braunschweig, Germany), the glasses were let to dry at room temperature and baked in a heat chamber at 60°C for 2 hours.

The following monoclonal antibodies were used: mouse anti-cytokeratin 17 (1:30, Dako, Glostrup, Denmark), rabbit anti-CD82 (1:500, Cell Signalling Technologies, USA) rabbit anti-β-tubulin (1:300, Cell Signalling Technologies, USA), rabbit anti-ATPIF1 (1:1500, Cell Signalling Technologies, USA) and mouse anti-thrombomodulin (1:10, Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). Slides with cytokeratin 17, CD82 and tubulin β-chain were placed in EnVision™ FLEX buffer with pH 9 (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) heated in a PT-Link (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) to 65°C, then 98°C for 20 min and decreased to 65°C. Slides with ATPase inhibitory factor 1 (ATPIF1) and Thrombomodulin were deparaffinised using xylene, 99% and 96% ethanol, placed in a pH 6 Target Retrieval Solution (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). Subsequently, the slides were placed in a Pressure Cooker 2100 Retriever (Histolab Products AB, Gothenburg, Sweden) in 125°C for 5 minutes and then let to cool. Staining was performed with EnVision™ FLEX, High pH (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) in an automatic immunohistochemistry staining machine (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). All antibodies were incubated with the slides for 30 minutes, except the β-tubulin slides, which were incubated for 60 minutes. Counterstaining was performed using REAL™ Haematoxylin (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) for 2 minutes. Sections were dehydrated and mounted with coverslips.

Protein levels were scored with a light microscope under the supervision of a pathologist. Cores were scored for intensity in 5 levels (0–4) and proportion as percentage of positive cells. The average between cores were calculated for each tumour and used for further analysis. An average proportion <10% were regarded as negative (intensity level 0), otherwise intensity was used for analysis. Pairs of primary and synchronous lymph node or asynchronous metastases missing data from one of the tumour types were excluded. Primary tumours and synchronous lymph node metastasis were scored. Asynchronous metastases were scored if mass spectrometry analysis showed differential regulation between primary tumour and distant metastasis.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Metacore analysis showing the proteins found that are involved in the development of ligand independent oestrogen receptor activation. (a) The change in expression relative to the primary tumour is indicated by the thermometers next to the protein representation for ER+ lymph node metastases (1) and ER- lymph node metastases (2). EGFR (Epidermal growth factor receptor, HER2), ErbB2 (Receptor tyrosine-protein kinase erbB-2) ErbB3 (Receptor tyrosine-protein kinase erbB-3), IGFR1 (insulin-like growth factor receptor-1), Shc (Shc transforming protein-1), NCOA2 (nuclear receptor co-activator-2), TFF1 (Treffol factor 1) and PKA-reg (protein kinase A regulatory subunit). (b) The change in expression, relative to the primary tumour, is indicated by the thermometers next to the protein representation for ER+ distant metastasis (1) and ER- distant metastasis (2). (c) The change in expression, relative to the primary tumour, is indicated by the thermometers next to the protein representation for ER- lymph node metastasis (1) and ER- distant (2)

metastases. (d) The change in expression, relative to the primary tumour, is indicated by the thermometers next to the protein representation for ER+ lymph node metastasis (1) and ER+ distant (2) metastases.

Abbreviations

LC-MS/MS: Liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry; ER: Oestrogen receptor alpha; DM: Distant metastasis; LNM: Lymph node metastasis; PCA: Principle component analysis; ACN: Acetonitrile; IHC: Immunohistochemistry; TMA: Tissue microarray.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

EK performed the protein extraction, digestion, glycoproteomics, MS alignment and database searching and drafted the manuscript. EN conceived of the study, and participated in its design, interpretation of data analysis, immunohistochemistry analysis and coordination and helped write the manuscript. MK performed the paired statistical analysis. MS did the clinical data collection and immunohistochemistry analysis, data analysis and interpretation of results and helped write the manuscript. DG supervised the scoring of the immunohistochemistry analysis, LR collected all patient information for the TMA, KH performed all the MS analysis. PJ performed the MS data and pathway analysis. SW helped optimise the protein analysis protocols and carried out the pathway analysis with PJ. EF carried out the immunohistochemistry scoring and analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (<http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org/>) via the PRIDE partner repository with the temporary dataset identifier px-submission #33285. We would like to extend our thanks to Kristina Lövgren for creating the original TMA and for helping with the optimizing and staining of the current antibodies and PRIDE Team for their help in making the dataset available. This project was supported by grants from Swedish National Research Council (VR-NT, PJ), the Foundation for Strategic Research (SSF; PJ) (Strategic Centre for Translational Cancer Research - CREATE Health), the Knut and Alice Wallenberg foundation (PJ) and Vinnova (PJ), Governmental funding of clinical research within the NHS (National Health Services, ALF)(EN), BRO (The Swedish Breast Cancer Association) (EN), and Mrs Berta Kamprad Foundation (EN).

Author details

¹Department of Immunotechnology, House 406, Medicon Village, SE-223 81 Lund, Sweden. ²Division of Oncology and Pathology, Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Lund University, Medicon Village, SE-223 81 Lund, Sweden. ³Amber Biosciences AB, Skrivarevägen 9, SE-22657 Lund, Sweden. ⁴Department of Pathology, Skåne University Hospital, SE-22185 Lund, Sweden. ⁵Department of Surgery, Clinical sciences, Lund University, SE-22185 Lund, Sweden. ⁶Department of Surgery, Skåne University Hospital, SE-22185 Lund, Sweden.

Received: 30 December 2014 Accepted: 13 April 2015

Published online: 12 May 2015

References

- Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (EBCTCG). Effects of chemotherapy and hormonal therapy for early breast cancer on recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2005;365:1687–717.
- Smirnov DA, Zweitig DR, Foulk BW, Miller MC, Doyle GV, Pienta KJ, et al. Global gene expression profiling of circulating tumor cells. *Cancer Res*. 2005;65:4993–7.
- Ignatiadis M, Georgoulas V, Mavroudis D. Micrometastatic disease in breast cancer: clinical implications. *Eur J Cancer*. 2007;44:2726–36.
- Rodenhiser DI, Andrews JD, Vandenberg TA, Chambers AF. Gene signatures of breast cancer progression and metastasis. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2011;13:201.
- Grabau D, Jensen MB, Rank F, Blichert-Toft M. Axillary lymph node micrometastases in invasive breast cancer: national figures on incidence and overall survival. *APMIS*. 2007;115:828–37.
- Abreu E, Martinez P, Betancourt L, Romero G, Godoy A, Bergamo L. Treatment plan for breast cancer with sentinel node metastasis. *Ecancermedicallscience*. 8. doi:10.3332/ecancer.2014.383.
- Falck A-K, Fernö M, Bendahl P-O, Rydén L. Does analysis of biomarkers in tumor cells in lymph node metastases give additional prognostic information in primary breast cancer? *World J Surg*. 2010;34:1434–41.
- Falck A-K, Fernö M, Bendahl P-O, Rydén L. St Gallen molecular subtypes in primary breast cancer and matched lymph node metastases—aspects on distribution and prognosis for patients with luminal A tumours: results from a prospective randomised trial. *BMC cancer*. 2013;13:558.
- Karlsson E, Appelgren J, Solterbeck A, Bergenheim M, Alvariza V, Bergh J. Breast cancer during follow-up and progression - A population based cohort on new cancers and changed biology. *Breast cancer during follow-up and progression - A population based cohort on new cancers and changed biology*. *Eur J Cancer*. 2014;50:2916–24.
- Wilking U, Karlsson E, Skoog L, Hatschek T, Lidbrink E, Elmberger G, et al. HER2 status in a population-derived breast cancer cohort: discordances during tumor progression. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*. 2010;125:553–61.
- Shah SP, Morin RD, Khattra J, Prentice L, Pugh T, Burleigh A, et al. Mutational evolution in a lobular breast tumour profiled at single nucleotide resolution. *Nature*. 2009;461:809–13.
- Li J, Gromov P, Gromova I, Moreira JM, Timmermans-Wielenga V, Rank F, et al. Omics-based profiling of carcinoma of the breast and matched regional lymph node metastasis. *Proteomics*. 2008;8:5038–52.
- Thongwatchara P, Promwikorn W, Srisomsap C, Chokchaichannankit D, Boonyaphiphat P, Thongsuksai P. Differential protein expression in primary breast cancer and matched axillary node metastasis. *Oncology reports*. 2011;26:185–91.
- Dumont B, Castronovo V, Peulen O, Blétard N, Clézardin P, Delvenne P, et al. Differential proteomic analysis of a human breast tumor and its matched bone metastasis identifies cell membrane and extracellular proteins associated with bone metastasis. *J Proteome Res*. 2012;11:2247–60.
- Wollscheid B, Bausch-Fluck D, Henderson C, O'Brien R, Bibel M, Schiess R, et al. Mass-spectrometric identification and relative quantification of N-linked cell surface glycoproteins. *Nat Biotech*. 2009;27:378–86.
- Zielinska DF, Gnäd F, Wiśniewski JR, Mann M. Precision mapping of an in vivo N-glycoproteome reveals rigid topological and sequence constraints. *Cell*. 2009;141:897–907.
- Tian Y, Zhang H. Characterization of disease-associated N-linked glycoproteins. *Proteomics*. 2013;13:504–11.
- Sinn H-P, Kreipe H. A brief overview of the WHO classification of breast tumors, 4th edition, focusing on issues and updates from the 3rd edition. *Breast Care (Basel)*. 2013;8:149–54.
- Sørli T, Perou CM, Tibshirani R, Aas T, Geisler S, Johnsen H, et al. Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2001;98:10869–74.
- Prat A, Parker JS, Karginova O, Fan C, Livasy C, Herschkowitz JI, et al. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of the claudin-low intrinsic subtype of breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2009;12:R68–8.
- Engström MJ, Opdahl S, Hagen AI, Romundstad PR, Akslen LA, Haugen OA, et al. Molecular subtypes, histopathological grade and survival in a historic cohort of breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2013;140:463–73.
- Li A-M, Tian A-X, Zhang R-X, Ge J, Sun X, Cao X-C. Protocadherin-7 induces bone metastasis of breast cancer. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2013;436:486–90.
- Sjöblom T, Jones S, Wood LD, Parsons DW, Lin J, Barber TD, et al. The consensus coding sequences of human breast and colorectal cancers. *Science*. 2006;314:268–74.
- Dasgupta B, Dufour E, Mamdouh Z, Muller WA. A novel and critical role for tyrosine 663 in platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 trafficking and transendothelial migration. *J Immunol*. 2009;182:5041–51.
- Kao YL, Donaghue K, Chan A, Knight J, Silink M. A variant of paraoxonase (PON1) gene is associated with diabetic retinopathy in IDDM. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1998;83:2589–92.
- Marchesani M, Hakkarainen A, Tuomomainen T-P, Kaikkonen J, Pukkala E, Uimari P, et al. New paraoxonase 1 polymorphism I102V and the risk of prostate cancer in Finnish men. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2003;95:812–8.
- Bobin-Dubigeon C, Jaffré I, Joalland M-P, Classe J-M, Campone M, Hervé M, et al. Paraoxonase 1 (PON1) as a marker of short term death in breast cancer recurrence. *Clin Biochem*. 2012;45:1503–5.

28. Gruvberger S, Ringnér M, Chen Y, Panavally S, Saal LH, Saal LH, et al. Estrogen receptor status in breast cancer is associated with remarkably distinct gene expression patterns. *Cancer Res.* 2001;61:5979–84.
29. Lindström LS, Karlsson E, Wilking UM, Johansson U, Hartman J, Lidbrink EK, et al. Clinically used breast cancer markers such as estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 are unstable throughout tumor progression. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30:2601–8.
30. Falck A-K, Bendahl P-O, Chebil G, Olsson H, Fernö M, Rydén L. Biomarker expression and St Gallen molecular subtype classification in primary tumours, synchronous lymph node metastases and asynchronous relapses in primary breast cancer patients with 10 years' follow-up. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2013;140:93–104.
31. Falck A-K, Bendahl P-O, Ingvar C, Isola J, Jönsson P-E, Lindblom P, et al. Analysis of and prognostic information from disseminated tumour cells in bone marrow in primary breast cancer: a prospective observational study. *BMC cancer.* 2012;12:403.
32. Gledhill JR, Montgomery MG, Leslie AGW, Walker JE. How the regulatory protein, IF(1), inhibits F(1)-ATPase from bovine mitochondria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2007;104:15671–6.
33. Sánchez-Aragó M, Formentini L, García-Bermúdez J, Cuezva J. IF1 reprograms energy metabolism and signals the oncogenic phenotype in cancer. *Cell Cycle.* 2012;11:2963–4.
34. Sánchez-Cenizo LL, Formentini LL, Aldea MM, Ortega ADA, García-Huerta PP, Sánchez-Aragó MM, et al. Up-regulation of the ATPase inhibitory factor 1 (IF1) of the mitochondrial H⁺-ATP synthase in human tumors mediates the metabolic shift of cancer cells to a Warburg phenotype. *J Biol Chem.* 2010;285:25308–13.
35. Porporato PE, Payen VL, Pérez-Escuredo J, De Saedeleer CJ, Danhier P, Copetti T, et al. A mitochondrial switch promotes tumor metastasis. *Cell Rep.* 2014;8:754–66.
36. Berrieman HK, Lind MJ, Cawkwell L. Do beta-tubulin mutations have a role in resistance to chemotherapy? *Lancet Oncol.* 2004;5:158–64.
37. Dozier JH, Hiser L, Davis JA, Thomas NS, Tucci MA, Benghuzzi HA, et al. Beta class II tubulin predominates in normal and tumor breast tissues. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2002;5:R157–69.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

