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Recreational values of the natural environment in relation to neighbourhood satisfaction, physical activity, obesity and wellbeing

J Björk,1 M Albin,2 P Grahn,3 H Jacobsson,1 J Ardö,4 J Wadbro,3 P-O Östergren,5 E Skärbäck3

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The aim of this population-based study was to investigate associations between recreational values of the close natural environment and neighbourhood satisfaction, physical activity, obesity and wellbeing.

Methods: Data from a large public health survey distributed as a mailed questionnaire in suburban and rural areas of southern Sweden were used (N = 24,819; 59% participation rate). Geocoded residential addresses and the geographical information system technique were used to assess objectively five recreational values of the close natural environment: serene, wild, lush, spacious and culture.

Results: On average, a citizen of the Scania region, inner city areas excluded, only had access to 0.67 recreational values within 300 metres distance from their residence. The number of recreational values near the residence was strongly associated with neighbourhood satisfaction and physical activity. The effect on satisfaction was especially marked among tenants and the presence of recreational values was associated with low or normal body mass index in this group. A less marked positive association with vitality among women was observed. No evident effect on self-rated health was detectable.

Conclusions: Immediate access to natural environments with high recreational values was rare in the study population and was distributed in an inequitable manner. Moreover, such access was associated with a positive assessment of neighbourhood satisfaction and time spent on physical activity, which can be expected to reduce obesity and increase vitality by having a buffering effect on stress.

There is a growing interest among landscape planners and public health officials in the effects of urbanisation on physical activity, obesity and wellbeing.1-4 Most research has focussed on the effects of the built (man-made) environment, including economic influences (cost and access), neighbourhood safety and transportation opportunities.5-11 Negative aspects of built environments have been linked to physical inactivity and obesity.5-12 Less emphasis has been put on the role of close access to natural environments15-18 and few large population-based studies have been based on objective assessments.17 18

Individuals interact with natural environments through active participation (eg, gardening or conservation), personal leisure-time activities (eg, walking, cycling or picnicking) or by simply viewing nature.19 Active participation and activities in natural environments imply physical activity, which can be expected to have positive effects on obesity. Access to nearby natural environments is also likely to be beneficial for vitality and perceived general health.13-15 17 20 21 Neighbourhood satisfaction, as part of the construct social coherence, has been linked to psychological wellbeing.20 The sounds of nature may reduce stress and improve wellbeing.24 Drops in blood pressure have been observed after walking in a natural environment.25 Stronger effects of nearby natural surroundings on perceived general health have been observed in subgroups, eg, the elderly and ill, that spend more time at home.27 22 23

Since the 1960s, research concerning people’s preferences for natural environments has resulted in evolutionary based hypotheses, claiming that people need natural environments with certain characteristics, eg, wildness, species richness and spaciousness, to enjoy and get on in their neighbourhood.24 29 Motivated by previous research,17 we also hypothesised that not only the distance to but also the recreational values of the natural environment are important. We expected nearby natural surroundings to be more important for tenants, most often without access to their own garden that may compensate for the absence of other green areas.17 29

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Public health survey

The study, which was conducted in accordance with the Swedish law of ethics, was based on data
from an extensive public health survey distributed as a mailed questionnaire in the Scania region in southern Sweden. All individuals 18–80 years old, living in this region on 30 June 2004, constituted the study population (N = 855 599). The population was stratified by gender and geographical area, resulting in 2 × 62 = 124 different strata. Samples were randomly selected from the population registry such that an approximately equal number of individuals were contacted in each stratum. Answers were obtained during September 2004 to January 2005 from 27 963 individuals, a 59% participation rate. The participation

**Figure 1** Mean number of recreational values of the natural environment within 300 metres distance from the residence in each of the 33 municipalities in the Scania region (range 0.08 for Staffanstorp municipality in the southwest to 1.68 recreational values on average for Höörby municipality in the middle of Scania).
rate was higher among women, the elderly, individuals born in Sweden and among individuals with high education and income. Residential geocodes were obtained for 27 879 participants. Only survey participants of rural and suburban areas were included in the present study (N = 24 819; table 1). An assessment of the recreational values of the natural environments in inner city areas, mostly urban parks, was outside the scope of the present study and we therefore excluded survey participants from the inner city areas of Malmö, Lund, Helsingborg and Kristianstad (N = 5060).

We used a survey question regarding neighbourhood satisfaction as a first test of the importance of attractive natural surroundings very close (100–300 metres) to the residence and compared the effect among tenants and house-owners. We then investigated associations between nearby natural surroundings and survey questions regarding: (1) time spent on moderate physical activity per week; (2) body mass index (BMI); (3) self-rated physical and psychological health at present; (4) the 56-item short-form (SF-36) health survey item “vitality”.

The phrasings of the two questions regarding neighbourhood satisfaction and physical activity were specific for the present and similar national and regional surveys (see Appendix 1). BMI was calculated as self-reported weight/length², rounded to the nearest integer and grouped as normal (<25 kg/m²), overweight (25–29 kg/m²) or obese (≥30 kg/m²). The seven-graded scale for self-rated health had specified response options only at the ends of the scale (1, very poor (could not feel worse), 7, very good (could not feel better)). For SF-36 vitality, we used the median rating (1–6) of the four questions (full of life, a lot of energy, (not) worn out and (not) tired).

Assessment of the natural neighbourhood environment

Interview studies conducted in 1995–2005 in landscape architecture/environmental psychology on preferences and habits regarding urban parks have revealed eight characteristics, recreational values, of natural surroundings that humans appreciate and that may have positive health effects. With available data, we were able to establish objective definitions (see Appendix 2) that were possible to implement using the GIS technique for five of these recreational values, which were originally described as shown in the box.

We assessed the presence/absence of each of the five recreational values within 100–300 metres from the centre of the property at each geocoded residential address. Data for this automatic assessment were obtained from Lantmäteriet (the National Land Survey of Sweden), which within the European Union program CORINE (Coordination of Information on the Environment) mapped the land and vegetation cover of Sweden into approximately 58 classes, using 25 x 25 metre grids. Regional GIS databases from the County Administrative Board of Scania were also used. With the available data, we could not assess objectively the recreational values of urban parks in inner city areas (located in Malmö, Lund, Helsingborg and Kristianstad) and the assessments were therefore restricted to suburban and rural areas.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted using SPSS 14.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA). We considered p values below 0.05 and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for odds ratios (OR) that excluded unity as statistically significant. As a result of the stratified sampling scheme, weighted statistical analyses were used when population means and prevalences were estimated. We used Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient to test associations between the number of recreational values (0–5) present near the residence and ordered response categories of the survey questions. Suggested associations were investigated further using ordinal regression under the cumulative odds model with location parameters only. This model estimates the average OR of all possible dichotomisations of the ordinal response variable. All ordinal response variables were coded such that an OR greater than one implied a beneficial health effect.

In the regression analyses, individuals with four and five recreational values near the residence were collapsed into one category because of too small numbers. Confounding adjustments were made for the broad list of individual determinants of health in table 1, using the categorisation presented. Four age categories were used: 15–29, 30–44, 45–64 and 65–80 years. For identified associations, we investigated effect modification by gender, age and type of residence (rented flat or room versus own house), respectively, using the likelihood ratio test for the cross-product term. In a separate set of analyses, we also evaluated effects of the five individual recreational values by using two binary variables for each characteristic, indicating presence/absence within 100 and 300 metres, respectively. All recreational values with p ≤ 0.30 in univariate analyses were included in a combined regression model, from which we excluded insignificant indicator variables one by one, starting with the variable with the highest p value. For each insignificant recreational value, the variable for 100 metres was always omitted before the 300 metres variable.

RESULTS

Recreational values of the natural neighbourhood environment in the survey sample

On average, a citizen of the Scania region, inner city areas excluded, had access to only 0.67 recreational values within a 300 metres distance from their residence (fig 1). We estimated that more than 70% of the study population had a natural neighbourhood environment either without any of the recreational values (58%) or with only the culture characteristic present (14%) within 300 metres distance. The most prevalent of the five recreational values within 300 metres distance from the residences were culture and lush (both estimated to be present near 24% of all residences in the study population), followed by spacious (10%), serene (6%) and wild (5%). Restricting the distance to 100 metres lowered the prevalences markedly (culture 15%, lush 7%, spacious 5%, serene 4% and wild 1%). The proportions of individuals born in Sweden and living in their own houses were noticeably higher in residential areas where more than one of the five recreational values were present (table 1).
Associations with neighbourhood satisfaction

The number of recreational values near the residence was positively correlated with neighbourhood satisfaction (p = 0.001 both for 100 and 300 metres). The overall neighbourhood satisfaction was highest among house-owners but the association with the number of recreational values was much more marked for tenants (fig 2). The effect on neighbourhood satisfaction was also evident among individuals living in their own flats (not in figures). For house-owners, serene (OR 1.4, 95% CI 1.1 to 1.6) and wild (OR 1.4; 95% CI 1.1 to 1.8) within 300 metres had the strongest impact on neighbourhood satisfaction in the multivariable regression analyses, whereas serene (OR 1.7; 95% CI 1.3 to 2.3) within 300 metres, lush within 300 metres (OR 1.4; 95% CI 1.2 to 1.7) and lush within 100 metres (OR 1.4; 95% CI 1.0 to 1.9) were the most important recreational values for tenants.

Associations with physical activity and BMI

There was a clear positive correlation between the number of recreational values present within 300 metres distance from the residence and time spent on moderate physical activities (p = 0.001; fig 3). This association remained evident when adjusting for possible individual-level confounders in the multivariable analysis (table 2) and when the analysis was restricted to recreational values present within 100 metres (not in tables). No firm signs of effect modification by gender, age, or

Table 2 Ordinal regression analyses for the association between the number of recreational values of the natural environment within 300 metres from the residence and time spent on moderate physical activities and normal body mass index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All (N = 24 819)</th>
<th>House-owners (N = 14 520)</th>
<th>Tenants (N = 6390)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time spent on moderate physical activities</strong>†‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of recreational values within 300 metres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>1.44 (1.24–1.66)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.81–1.14)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.94–1.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.41 (1.26–1.57)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.93–1.22)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.96–1.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.13 (1.04–1.24)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.86–1.08)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.87–1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.08 (1.02–1.15)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.92–1.08)</td>
<td>1.24 (1.10–1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00 (Ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (Ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (Ref)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BMI, Body mass index.**

*Ordinal scale with five categories: never (reference category), <=1 h per week, 1–3 h per week, 3–5 h per week and >5 h per week (see Appendix 1 available online only).
†Ordinal scale with three categories: obese (>=30 kg/m²; reference category), overweight (25–29 kg/m²) and normal (<25 kg/m²).
‡Adjusted for gender, age, born abroad, educational level, employment status, problems with paying bills, smoking status and type of residence.
§Adjusted for all individual factors in footnote †, except type of residence.
type of residence emerged \((p>0.15\) in tests for interactions). Significant effects for recreational values within 300 metres on physical activity were observed for lush, spacious, serene and wild, all with similar OR in the range 1.1–1.2 in the regression analyses. No additional effects were seen for recreational values present within 100 metres.

There was a weak overall negative correlation between the number of recreational values within 300 metres distance from the residence and BMI \((p = 0.04)\). After confounding adjustment, the beneficial effect on BMI was apparent among tenants but not among house-owners (table 2; \(p\) for interaction 0.02). The proportion of obese (BMI \(>30\) kg/m²) individuals among tenants was 17\% in residences with zero recreational values within 300 metres \((N = 3752)\) compared with 13\% in residences with at least one recreational value present \((N = 2385)\). The effect on BMI was not clearly differential with regard to gender \((p = 0.11)\) or age \((p = 0.26)\).

### Associations with self-rated health and vitality

Some positive correlation between the number of recreational values and good self-rated health was seen for 300 metres \((p = 0.05)\) but not for 100 metres distance from the residence \((>0.30)\). The association was, however, weak and did not remain apparent after detailed confounding adjustment \((>0.30)\); table 3). No signs of effect modification emerged \((p=0.28\) in tests for interactions). Vitality correlated positively with the number of recreational values both within 100 and 300 metres distance from the residence \((p = 0.02\) and \(p<0.001)\). For 300 metres, a weak effect on vitality was still present after confounding adjustment among women but not among men (table 3; \(p\) for interaction 0.04). The proportion of women with high vitality (median score at least 5.0 out 6.0) was 37\% in residences with zero recreational values within 300 metres \((N = 7189)\) compared with 40\% in residences with at least one recreational value present \((N = 5684)\). The effect on vitality was not differential with regard to age \((p>0.30)\) or type of residence \((p = 0.23)\). The presence of any of the five individual recreational values could not predict significantly self-rated health or vitality in the regression modelling (not in tables).

### DISCUSSION

#### Principal finding

Recreational values of the nearby natural environment were positively associated with neighbourhood satisfaction, physical activity and, for tenants, with normal or low BMI. We also observed a positive association with vitality among women but less marked. No evident effect on self-rated health was detectable.

#### Strengths and weaknesses of the study

The major strengths of this study were the large number of participants and the extensiveness of the questionnaire, which made detailed confounding control possible. We used objective measures of the recreational values, which facilitated neighbourhood assessment for each residence using the GIS technique. Such assessment of natural surroundings should also be possible to conduct for other regions and countries if land types not present in southern Sweden are included in the definitions of the recreational values. A major limitation was that, on the basis of the available data, we could not assess the recreational values of the natural surroundings in inner city areas and the generalisability of the results to such areas is therefore uncertain. We did not adjust the results for urbanity because we aimed at estimating total (direct plus indirect) effects associated with nearby natural surroundings. The absence of recreational values in nearby natural surroundings correlated strongly with urbanity and the health effects of built and natural environments are therefore hard to separate. Another limitation was the cross-sectional

---

**Table 3** Ordinal regression analyses for the association between the number of recreational values of the natural environment within 300 metres from the residence and self-rated physical and psychological health and vitality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of recreational values within 300 metres</th>
<th>All ((N = 24,819))</th>
<th>Men ((N = 11,332))</th>
<th>Women ((N = 13,487))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>0.95 (0.83 to 1.10)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.74 to 1.11)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.88 to 1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.08 (0.96 to 1.20)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.90 to 1.23)</td>
<td>1.22 (1.06 to 1.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.05 (0.96 to 1.15)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.91 to 1.18)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.94 to 1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00 (0.94 to 1.06)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.84 to 0.99)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.00 to 1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00 (Ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (Ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (Ref)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ordinal scale with seven categories ranging from “Very poor (could not feel worse)” to “Very good (could not feel better”).

†Adjusted for gender, age, born abroad, educational level, employment status, problems with paying bills, smoking status and type of residence.

‡Adjusted for all individual factors in footnote †, except gender.

---

**What this paper adds**

Positive natural neighbourhood environments, assessed with objective measures, were rare and distributed in a socially inequitable manner in suburban and rural areas of southern Sweden. Furthermore, they were associated with neighbourhood satisfaction and high physical activity and to some extent with high vitality and with normal/low BMI.
setup that limits definite conclusions regarding cause-effects. The participation rate was low (59%), although not lower than in similar studies. Participation was positively associated with determinants of socioeconomic status, which implies that some association between the outdoor environment and participation was probably present. This may have yielded a tendency to overestimate the strength of the associations, provided that participation was also positively associated with wellbeing.

The validity of the questionnaire should also be considered. The questions about vitality were taken from a well-established instrument, the SF-36 health survey. Reasonable overall validity of self-reported BMI was observed in a recent Swedish study but the fraction of obesity was markedly underestimated compared with actual measurements. Such underestimations of BMI, would, if non-differential with respect to the neighbourhood environment, produce bias towards the null. The question about self-rated health has shown high concordance with similar single-question measures. The questions about neighbourhood satisfaction and time spent on moderate physical activity were specific for the present and similar national and regional surveys.

The results in relation to previous studies
The effects of the recreational values of the natural environment on physical activity and on BMI among tenants were remarkably strong in relation to the individual determinants that we controlled for. The effects of objective measures of the quality of urban green space on physical activity were not evident in a study from the United Kingdom, whereas a large American study noted an average effect on body weight due to urban sprawl that was small but comparable in size with the effects of gender and smoking. In a study from Greenwich, London, dissatisfaction with green spaces close to the residence was positively associated with being in the lowest quartile for mental health, but was not associated with vitality, as measured by SF-36 subscales. Effects on mental health were not evaluated in the present study, whereas an association with vitality restricted to women was observed, using objective measures of BMI, would, if non-differential with respect to the natural environment indeed converge. Most natural surroundings close to residential areas do not, however, qualify for natural preservation programmes but should nevertheless be regarded as key areas for human health promotion.

Possible mechanisms and implications
The current study highlights the importance of natural surroundings for neighbourhood satisfaction and for obesity avoidance among tenants in particular. Neighbour satisfaction is likely to increase general psychological wellbeing. Access to recreational values of the natural environment was rare and was distributed in a socially inequitable manner. It is likely that the access to a private garden makes the house-owner less dependent on the common natural environment for wellbeing. Recent research from the United Kingdom has suggested that sociopolitical, physical and economic features of the neighbour- hood environment could be more beneficial for women’s than for men’s health. In our study, the natural neighbourhood environment had a beneficial effect on vitality among women only. As women on average had a lower self-rated vitality than men, it can be argued that women may both have more need for and more benefit from restorative surroundings. It is also possible that women’s everyday lives are still more dependent on their close surroundings than men’s. It may be argued, however, that this would also have applied to the elderly, which we did not find.

A crucial task of public health at present is to support individuals in making healthy choices. Our findings imply that the natural environment is important for public health. Planning for and the restoration of natural environments near residential areas should thus be seriously considered as an additional supportive tool to achieve sustainable and equitable health. In this endeavour, the agendas for public health and natural environment indeed converge. Most natural surroundings close to residential areas do not, however, qualify for natural preservation programmes but should nevertheless be regarded as key areas for human health promotion.

Issues for future research
The research strategy of linking geographically determined exposures to individual information on health status and health-related lifestyles, obtained from public health surveys, represents a fairly novel and very promising pathway. The strategy has the potential for supporting the idea that investments in one sector (the natural environment) could very well yield considerable gains in another sector (public health). It also clearly opens up for further development by means of methods developed in health economics (eg, computing disability-adjusted life years) for estimating the monetary value of health gains of the kind described. This would most certainly be helpful in guiding political priorities, especially if applied in the context of a sustainable development framework.
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