

LUND UNIVERSITY

Inconclusive Evidence for or against Positive Antigen Selection in the Shaping of Human Immunoglobulin E Repertoires: A Call for New Approaches.

Levin, Mattias; Ohlin, Mats

Published in: International Archives of Allergy and Immunology

DOI: 10.1159/000345421

2013

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Levin, M., & Ohlin, M. (2013). Inconclusive Evidence for or against Positive Antigen Selection in the Shaping of Human Immunoglobulin E Repertoires: A Call for New Approaches. International Archives of Allergy and Immunology, 161(2), 122-126. https://doi.org/10.1159/000345421

Total number of authors: 2

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

- Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the
- legal requirements associated with these rights

· Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117 221 00 Lund +46 46-222 00 00

1	SHORT COMMUNICATION
2	
3	Inconclusive evidence for or against positive antigen selection in the
4	shaping of human IgE repertoires – a call for new approaches
5	
6	Mattias Levin and Mats Ohlin *
7	Dept. of Immunotechnology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden
8	
9	Short title: Antigen selection shaping IgE
10	
11	Keywords: allergen; IgE; mutation; repertoire; selection
12	
13	
14	* Corresponding author: Dept. of Immunotechnology, Lund University, BMC D13, S-
15	22184 Lund, Sweden; telephone: +46-46-2224322; telefax: +46-46-2224200; e-mail:
16	mats.ohlin@immun.lht.se
17	
18	
19	Self-archived version of post-print
20	Copyright © 2013 S. Karger AG, Basel
21	Published as: Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2013;161:122–126
22	(http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000345421)
23	Supplementary information is available at Publisher's web site.
24	
25	

25 Abstract

Background: The mechanisms driving the development of IgE antibody repertoires are a
matter of debate. Alternatives to the classical view on antibody development, involving
somatic mutation and antigen driven selection of high-affinity variants in germinal centers,
have been proposed.

30 **Methods:** We have re-analyzed the pattern of mutations in previously isolated and 31 characterized human clonally unrelated IgE-encoding transcripts using the validated focused 32 binomial methodology to find evidence in such genes of antigen-specific selection.

Results: As expected there is a selection against replacement mutations in IgE framework regions. In contrast, in all examined cases but one (assessing IgE repertoires of parasiteinfected individuals) there was no evidence in favor of either positive or negative selection in complementarity determining regions. Importantly, however, the validated method also failed to detect selection for replacement mutations in two, non-IgE, hypermutated antibody population targeting tetanus toxoid and vaccinia virus, respectively.

39 Conclusions: Current methodology is unable to define with certainty, using commonly 40 assessed IgE repertoire sizes, if antigen selection is or is not a major driving force in the 41 establishment of human IgE. New approaches are needed to address this matter.

42

42 Introduction

43 Antibodies of the IgE isotype are well-described, key components of the defense against 44 parasites but also of type 1-hypersensitivity reactions. The mechanisms behind the 45 development of diversity in IgE antibody repertoires, however, are a matter of substantial 46 debate. The classical view of development of hypermutated antibodies is that selection of B cells producing such antibodies occurs in germinal centers. To ensure development towards a 47 48 high affinity antibody this process is believed to favor replacement mutations targeting the 49 complementarity determining regions (CDR) rather than silent mutations. In contrast 50 replacement mutations are counter-selected in the framework regions (FR), as they are likely 51 to be accompanied by unacceptable structural effects on the folded protein. The end product 52 of this antigen selection process should be a pool of B cells carrying genes encoding antigen-53 specific, high-affinity antibodies. In the case of IgE-repertoire development alternative 54 mechanisms, not necessarily involving selection by antigen [1], have however been proposed. 55 For instance, extensive polyclonal expansion of B-cells producing IgE has been suggested to 56 be a major contributor to the establishment of such antibodies [1-2]. Microbial toxins might 57 act as superantigens, exhibiting excessive polyclonal mitogenic activity on B-cell populations. 58 Such repertoires may be dominated by sequences that carry a lower than expected number of 59 substitutions in the CDR as there will be no selective advantage in creating an optimal 60 binding site towards a conventional antigen. Indeed some [2-5] but not all [6] studies have 61 indicated evidence of mutations in IgE not compatible with selection by antigens.

62 What is then the basis for the differences between different studies of the involvement of 63 selection in the shaping of IgE repertoires? Firstly the studies have assessed IgE in different 64 clinical conditions and it is not unreasonable to expect that IgE develop in different ways in 65 different diseases. Secondly, the analytical approach is a source of error. Identification of 66 antigen-directed selection in antibody evolution is problematic for a number of reasons 67 including but not limited to high background of neutral mutations, different codon usage in 68 different parts of antibody sequences and differences in the mutagenability of different 69 codons [7-11]. Furthermore, unequivocal assignment of germline gene origin is difficult as 70 there is generally no knowledge of the germline gene repertoire that generated the IgE 71 repertoires. Consequently miss-assignment is likely occurring in several cases adding 72 irrelevant noise to the data set.

To minimize the influence of methodological differences we have now re-assessed the pattern of mutations in several IgE-encoding gene populations using a single, extensively validated methodology [10-12] to define whether or not it is possible to pin-point antigen selection as a major driving force shaping the development of IgE repertoires as they are described in today's literature.

- 78
- 79

80 Materials and Methods

81 Study material

82 Antibody heavy chain variable domain-encoding sequences (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1) 83 were derived from IgE-producing cells found in peripheral blood of patients diagnosed with 84 allergic rhinitis [13-14] and in sinus mucosa of patients diagnosed with chronic rhinosinusitis 85 or non-allergic fungal eosinophilic sinusitis [15], the latter being a condition devoid of systemic allergy but with signs of local reactions similar to allergic inflammation. We also 86 87 included sequences encoding IgE derived from children with allergic asthma [6] and 88 parasitized subjects [5] in this study. In addition, two repertoires of antibodies, likely mostly 89 IgG, specific for tetanus toxoid and vaccinia virus of recently boosted individuals were 90 included for comparison [16-17].

91

92 Analysis

93 To avoid repeated analysis of frequently occurring rearrangements, only one randomly 94 picked sequence of the different clonotypes (a clonotype is defined as described by the 95 authors or as a set of clones with an origin in a given rearrangement (with an origin in a 96 common IGHV gene and a nucleotide identity within the heavy chain CDR3 of >80%) that 97 has occurred in a single individual) was used in the study. To be included, a sequence from 98 each clonotype must also be accessible from GenBank. The sequence must furthermore be 99 complete across the investigated sequence, must not show evidence (as assessed by the V-100 QUEST algorithm [18]) of mutations involving insertions or deletions, and must not contain 101 unspecified bases. The IGHV gene/allele that had been used in the process that generated a 102 rearranged heavy-chain encoding sequence was defined using the IMGT/V-QUEST online 103 tool [18]. As PCR had been used to amplify sequences bases comprising the first codons were 104 not included in the analysis in order to avoid an influence of primer design on the assignment 105 of germline gene origin and mutation. Consequently, codons 1 to 8 were always excluded and 106 in the case of sequences described by Kerzel et al. [6] codons 1-26 were excluded. All these 107 gene populations represent diverse sets of sequences in terms of V gene subgroup and gene usage (Table 1). All sets also carry high frequencies of mutations at the nucleotide level(Table 1).

110 The analysis of selection was performed using the extensively validated focused binomial 111 test (http://clip.med.yale.edu/sel/) as described by Hershberg *et al.* [11] and as modified by 112 Uduman et al. [12]. We used the default setting of the online tool, i.e. CDR were defined as 113 codons 27-38 and 56-65 in accordance with the unique IMGT CDR definition rules and the 114 IMGT numbering system [19]. These residues create a surface directed towards an antigen 115 sitting in a binding site and avoids analysis of residues in the C^{''} β-strand of the folded heavy 116 chain variable domain as included in the Kabat definition of the second heavy chain CDR. 117 Codons beyond residue 104 were not included in the analysis to eliminate confounding effects 118 caused by sequence alterations associated with VDJ rearrangement process, i.e. modifications 119 that are unrelated to the somatic hypermutation and the selection process. The Benjamini-120 Hochberg false discover method was employed, using an on-line tool 121 (http://sdmproject.com/utilities/?show=FDR), to correct for the fact that multiple statistical 122 tests were performed.

- 123
- 124

125 **Results and Discussion**

126 In agreement with prior studies of mutated immunoglobulin sequences [5,7], the vast 127 majority of sequences did not show statistical significant evidence of positive or negative 128 selection on their own (data not shown). All populations showed strong negative selection 129 against mutations in immunoglobulin FR (Table 1), in agreement with expectations (assuming 130 that many mutations in FR will detrimentally influence the stability of the V domains) and 131 past experience [10]. Furthermore there was little evidence of positive selection in the CDR of 132 IgE-encoding sequence populations. Only IgE derived from parasitized individuals [5] 133 showed statistically significant selection in CDR, in this case negative selection. This is in 134 agreement with past studies of this population of sequences that showed less evidence of 135 antigen selection as compared to IgG [5]. The study by Kerzel et al. [6], a study that involved 136 sequencing of more than 1000 IgE-encoding genes from 13 lymphocyte donors, has, however, 137 previously reported evidence of antigen selection in IgE. That repertoire, however, did not 138 show evidence of positive selection as assessed using the focused binomial test. Importantly, 139 though, the tetanus toxoid-specific and the vaccinia virus-specific antibody population also 140 did not show evidence of positive selection in CDR using the focused binomial test system.

141 This finding suggests that an extensively validated analytical approach like the one employed 142 in this study cannot with ease detect positive selection in human antibody populations highly 143 suspected to have undergone positive selection. This points to the limitation of the approach 144 itself. It has previously even been suggested that none of the frequently used tests, approaches 145 like the binomial test [8], the multinomial test [20] or the focused binomial test [11], are able 146 to detect selection by antigen in the CDR of immunoglobulin-encoding transcripts [10]. This 147 highlights the complexity of designing such algorithms with high enough specificity without 148 reducing sensitivity to levels were selection is very difficult to detect. The lack of selection 149 was not accompanied by a low level of mutation in the sequences used for analysis as the 150 frequency of mutation was 5.6-8.4 % (Table 1). Thus, sample sizes like those used in this 151 study, a size common to most studies of IgE-encoding gene sequences, are likely insufficient 152 to establish evidence of positive selection in CDR using those tests available today.

153 The failure to detect evidence for positive selection in sets of antibody-encoding genes of 154 sizes common to many studies of IgE repertoires suggest that new approaches need to be 155 taken to address this issue. Firstly one has to realize that it by no means is certain that antigen 156 selection translates into a mathematically detectable enhancement of substitutions in CDR. 157 Indeed many affinity-enhancing mutations do not reside in CDR [21], a fact that confounds 158 this analytical approach. It has also been argued that false-positive results are frequent in 159 particular when not applying an analytical strategy based on a focused binomial methodology 160 and, even more damaging, that one should not even expect to find mathematically detectable 161 signs of selection in the CDR of antigen-binding site of antibodies [10].

162 A problem if we ever are going to be able to detect subtle increases in substitution in CDR 163 as a consequence of antigen selection is that even rather extensive conventional sequencing 164 efforts (exemplified by Kerzel et al. [6]) generates a relatively small number of independent 165 clones due to the oligoclonal nature of IgE repertoires [13]. It is expected that larger, carefully 166 controlled studies to clarify the existence, or not, of positive and negative selective pressure 167 during the development of the different human IgE repertoires will be required to resolve this 168 matter. It is anticipated that high throughput sequencing of genes derived from large numbers 169 of donors may aid in this endeavor. Firstly such methodology provides larger data sets that 170 may be required to resolve this matter with statistical significance. Secondly the methodology 171 can be used to deduce the germline repertoire of each individual [22] thereby facilitating 172 correct germline gene assignments eliminating background noise in the data set. It is 173 anticipated that such efforts may eventually permit us to address this matter.

6

174 Ultimately the resolution of the matter of whether or not selection for higher affinity 175 occurs in human IgE may have to await a very laborious analysis of mutated, allergen-specific 176 IgE clones in comparison to their unmutated germline counterparts. Current technology has, 177 as far as we are aware, not been able to identify gene sequences encoding native combinations 178 of heavy and light chain variable domains of IgE with known allergen specificity, for instance 179 through sorting of cells of the B cell lineage by flow cytometry in combination with cloning 180 of the corresponding variable domain-encoding genes. Such technology in combination with 181 determination of the affinity for the immunizing agent has in the past been used to decipher 182 the extent of affinity maturation in the much more commonly occurring cells of the B cell 183 lineage that encode isotypes other than IgE [16]. However, even when access to such human 184 IgE-producing clones can be ensured in the future, many IgE responses pose a very specific 185 problem in the context of analysis of affinity maturation. Many allergens have cross-reacting 186 counterparts in other species or are even represented by a multitude of more or less similar 187 isoallergens and isoforms within a given species [23]. In most cases it will likely be 188 impossible to know the allergen form(s) that originally induced the response and that 189 was/were the driver in the affinity maturation process that eventually resulted in the 190 population of IgE-producing B cells observed in a given allergic individual. Consequently it 191 will likely be very difficult to define with certainty the extent of affinity maturation that has 192 occurred in vivo unless one is able to assess a response involving few cross-reacting 193 allergens/allergen isoforms or a response focused on a conserved epitope. Until these 194 conceptual matters have been resolved and given the inability of even a highly validated 195 statistical methodology to detect positive selection in IgG-encoding antibody populations, we 196 suggest that claims of the presence or lack of selection in IgE responses, and its relation to the 197 mechanism of IgE-mediated disease, have to be treated with caution.

- 198
- 199

200 Acknowledgements

- 201 This study was supported by grants from the Swedish Research Council (grant numbers: 521-
- 202 2008-3614 and 521-2011-3282) and Alfred Österlunds stiftelse.
- 203

203 **References**

- Gould HJ, Takhar P, Harries HE, Chevretton E, Sutton BJ The allergic march from
 Staphylococcus aureus superantigens to immunoglobulin E. Chem Immunol Allergy
 2007;93:106-136.
- Coker HA, Harries HE, Banfield GK, Carr VA, Durham SR, Chevretton E, Hobby P,
 Sutton BJ, Gould HJ: 2005. Biased use of VH5 IgE-positive B cells in the nasal mucosa
 in allergic rhinitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2005;116:445-452.
- Dahlke I, Nott DJ, Ruhno J, Sewell WA, Collins AM: Antigen selection in the IgE
 response of allergic and nonallergic individuals. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.
 2005;117:1477-1483.
- 4. Pratt E, Collins AM, Sewell WA, Harvey RJ: Antigen selection in IgE antibodies from
 individuals with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. Am J Rhinol Allergy
 2010;24,:416-421.
- 5. Wang Y, Jackson KJL, Chen Z, Gaeta BA, Siba PM, Pomat W, Walpole E, Rimmer J,
 Sewell WA, Collins AM: IgE sequences in individuals living in an area of endemic
 parasitism show little mutational evidence of antigen selection. Scand J Immunol
 2011;73:496-504.
- 6. Kerzel S, Rogosch T, Struecker B, Maier RF, Zemlin M: 2010. IgE transcripts in the
 circulation of allergic children reflect a classical antigen-driven B cell response and not a
 superantigen-like activation. J Immunol 2010;185:2253-2260.
- 7. Bose B, Sinha S: Problems in using statistical analysis of replacement and silent
 mutations in antibody genes for determining antigen-driven affinity selection.
 Immunology 2005;116:172-183.
- 8. Chang B, Casali P: The CDR1 sequences of a major proportion of human germline Ig
 VH genes are inherently susceptible to amino acid replacement. Immunol Today1994;
 15:367-373.
- 9. Dunn-Walters DK, Spencer J: Strong intrinsic biases towards mutation and conservation
 of bases in human IgVH genes during somatic hypermutation prevent statistical analysis
 of antigen selection. Immunology 1998;95:339–345.
- 10. MacDonald CM, Boursier L, D'Cruz DP, Dunn-Walters DK, Spencer J: Mathematical
 analysis of antigen selection in somatically mutated immunoglobulin genes associated
 with autoimmunity. Lupus 2010;19:1161-1170.

- 11. Hershberg U, Uduman M, Shlomchok MJ, Kleinstein SH: Improved methods for
 detecting selection by muatation analysis of Ig V region sequences. Int. Immunol.
 2008;20:683-694.
- 12. Uduman M, Yaari G, Hershberg U, Stern JA, Shlomchik MJ, Kleinstein SH: Detecting
 selection in immunoglobulin sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 2011;39:W499-W504.
- Andréasson U, Flicker S, Lindstedt M, Valenta R, Greiff L, Korsgren M, Borrebaeck
 CAK, Ohlin M: The human IgE-encoding transcriptome to assess antibody repertoires
 and repertoire evolution. J Mol Biol 2006;362:212-227.
- 243 14. Persson H, Karbalaei Sadegh M, Greiff L, Ohlin M: Delineating the specificity of an IgEencoding transcriptome. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2007;120:1186-1192.
- Levin M, Tan LW, Baker L, Wormald PJ, Greiff L, Ohlin M: Diversity of IgE-encoding
 transcripts in sinus mucosa of subjects diagnosed with non-allergic fungal eosinophilic
 sinusitis. Clin. Exp. Allergy 2011;41:811-820.
- Poulsen TR, Meijer PJ, Jensen A, Nielsen LS, Andersen PS: Kinetic, affinity and
 diversity limits of human polyclonal antibody responses against tetanus toxoid. J
 Immunol 2007;179:3841-3850.
- 17. Lantto J, Haahr Hansen M, Rasmussen SK, Steinaa L, Poulsen TR, Duggan J, Dennis M,
 Naylor I, Easterbrook L, Bregenholt S, Haurum J, Jensen A: Capturing the natural
 diversity of the human antibody response against vaccinia virus. J Virol 2011;85:18201833.
- 18. Brochet X, LeFranc MP, Guidicelli V: IMGT/V-QUEST: the highly customized and
 integrated system for IG and TR standardized V-J and V-D-J sequence analysis. Nucl
 Acids Res 2008;36:W503-W508.
- 258 19. Lefranc MP, Pommié C, Ruiz M, Giudicelli V, Foulquier E, Truong L, Thouvenin-Contet
 259 V, Lefranc G: IMGT unique numbering for immunoglobulin and T cell receptor variable
- domains and Ig superfamily V-like domains. Dev Comp Immunol 2003;27:55-77.
- 261 20. Lossos IS, Tibshirani R, Narasimhan N, Levy R: The interference of antigen selection on
 262 Ig genes. J Immunol 2000;165:5122-5126.
- 263 21. Daugherty PS, Chen G, Iverson BL, Georgiou G: Quantitative analysis of the effect of
 264 the mutation frequency on the affinity maturation of single chain Fv antibodies. Proc Natl
 265 Acad Sci USA 2000;97:2029-2034.
- 266 22. Boyd SD, Gaëta BA, Jackson KJ, Fire AZ, Marshall EL, Merker JD, Maniar JM, Zhang
 267 LN, Sahaf B, Jones CD, Simen BB, Hanczaruk B, Nguyen KD, Nadeau KC, Egholm M,
- 268 Miklos DB, Zehnder JL, Collins AM: Individual variation in the germline Ig gene

- repertoire inferred from variable region gene rearrangements. J Immunol 2010;184:6986-
- 6992.
- 271 23. Chapman MD: Allergen nomenclature. Clin Allergy Immunol 2008;21:47-58.

ly repertoires.
antibody
ed
vestigate
Ē.
fi
Characteristics 0
Ϊ.
Table
272

Donor	Lymphocyte	lsotype	Number of	Number of analyzed	Number of IGHV germline ^a	of IGHV ine ^a	Frequency of mutations	Focused test I	Focused binomial test P-value ^b	Correct binom va	Corrected focused binomial test P- value ^{b,c}	Reference
CONTINUE	an inos		STOTION	seduences	sdnorgene subgroups	səuəb	gene (%)	CDR	FR	CDR	FR	
Chronic rhinosinusitis	Sinus mucosa	IgE	4	24	4	14	6.1	0.16	-2.4 x 10 ⁻⁷	0.19	-4.8 x 10 ⁻⁷	
Non-allergic fungal eosonophilic sinusitis	Sinus mucosa	IgE	4	34	4	19	6.6	0.37	-1.2 x 10 ⁻¹⁴	0.40	-2.8 x 10 ⁻¹⁴	15
Seasonal allergic rhinitis	Peripheral blood	IgE	2	70	2	20	5.6	0.056	-6.4 x 10 ⁻²⁰	0.087	-1.8 x 10 ⁻¹⁹	13
Allergic asthma (children)	Peripheral blood	IgE	13	102	ß	28	6.8	-0.11	-7.4 x 10 ⁻²⁵	-0.14	-5.2 x 10 ⁻²⁴	6
Parasitized	Peripheral blood	IgE	14	53	9	82	8.4	-0.015	-8.3 x 10 ⁻²⁹	-0.026	-1.2 x 10 ⁻²⁷	ß
Immunized with tetanus toxoid	Peripheral blood	IgGd	2	57	5	23	8.2	-0.42	-4.4 x 10 ⁻²⁰	-0.42	-1.5 x 10 ⁻¹⁹	16
Immunized with vaccinia virus	Peripheral blood	IgGd	5	85	Ĺ	72	7.6	0.081	-1.1 x 10 ⁻²⁰	0.11	-5.1 x 10 ⁻²⁰	17
^a In addition, se	In addition, several different allelic variants of these germline genes	elic variant	s of these gerr	ermline genes we	were used in some repertoires	ne repertoire	SS					

Test was performed as described by Uduman et al [12]. Positive and negative P-values suggest positive and negative selection, respectively. Repertoires showing significant evidence for selection are shaded in grey. م

Corrected for the influence of multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg false discover method. с

The isotype of this repertoire was not described in detail. However, the 3'-primer used for amplification of the heavy chain variable domain-encoding genes shows perfect match with sequences encoding IgG but 11, 8, 7 and 3 mismatches with sequences encoding IgM, IgA, IgD and IgE, respectively. Furthermore, transcripts encoding IgE are likely to be very rare in this material. Altogether this suggests that the majority of isolated genes encoded IgG. p