



# LUND UNIVERSITY

## Industrial energy efficiency and technology transfer in the Chinese cement sector

Nordqvist, Joakim; Nilsson, Lars J

*Published in:*  
[Host publication title missing]

2001

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Nordqvist, J., & Nilsson, L. J. (2001). Industrial energy efficiency and technology transfer in the Chinese cement sector. In *[Host publication title missing]* (pp. 475-487). European Council for an Energy Efficient Economy (ECEEE).

*Total number of authors:*  
2

### General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:  
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117  
221 00 Lund  
+46 46-222 00 00

# Industrial energy efficiency and technology transfer in the Chinese cement sector

Joakim Nordqvist, Lund University  
Lars Nilsson, Lund University

---

## 1. SYNOPSIS

---

Private sector investments in technology transfer to Chinese cement industry could help realise substantial reductions of greenhouse gas emissions by contributing to increased energy efficiency.

---

## 2. ABSTRACT

---

The present patterns of energy use are a major driver of greenhouse gas emissions. Therefore, a key strategy in climate change mitigation is to address the large potentials for increased energy efficiency in industry. Cement production is a particularly interesting sector. In addition to being highly energy intensive, it is based on a calcination process which in itself releases carbon dioxide.

China is the world's leading cement producer and the second largest source of greenhouse gases. Due to widespread use of outdated technology, energy efficiency in Chinese cement production is generally poor, despite a reduction in national energy intensity during the past two decades.

For reasons not including climate concern, a process of structural transformation of China's cement industry has been initiated through policy statements and regulatory measures. If successful, the reform will bring substantial reductions of greenhouse gas emissions, but competing objectives and other impediments will make progress slow. A future framework such as the clean development mechanism, which creates market-based incentives for foreign private actors to invest in technology transfer, may catalyse the transformation. Dual objectives of climate change mitigation and third world development could be combined.

Various barriers in China threaten to deter climate-change driven, privately funded technology transfer. Based on literature studies and on-site interviews, this paper touches upon the reasons for this situation and ways to address it. Systems of innovation, capacity building, industrial behaviour, and market change exemplify focal areas of the analysis.

---

## 3. INTRODUCTION

---

### Technology transfer

Technology transfer as an academic concept is linked to the study of technical innovations and is subject to theorising within a multitude of disciplines. The field lies in the cross-section of many areas including political science, economy, technology, history, and futures studies, and the term can be used to denote a wide span of processes, such as market-induced international business and official development assistance. In addition, the actual occurrence as such of technology transfer may be either spontaneous or deliberate in each particular instance, and, fundamentally, it is dependent on the extensiveness of the applied definition of "technology". With this background in mind, it is not difficult to realise why people often approach the area very differently, which in turn can lead to misunderstandings.

In this paper, technology transfer is considered from a technical point of view in the context of international discussions on climate change, as previously treated by Martinot *et al.* (1997), Forsyth (1998), IPCC (2000), and Worrell *et al.* (2001).

### **Climate change**

Climate change caused by anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases has become one of the most attention-drawing environmental issues of present time. One reason is its close links to the challenge of how to sustain development of the third world, in order to attain and uphold an equitable sharing of the standard of living already achieved in developed countries. The present patterns of global energy use and their associated emissions of fossil carbon to the atmosphere are a major driver of human-induced climate change, which may pose serious threats to such ambitions.

In 1992, the United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change (the Climate Convention) was opened for signature in Rio de Janeiro. The first annual Conference of the Parties (COP) was held in 1995, one year after the convention entered into force. Technology transfer is explicitly addressed in the convention, which in article 4.5 makes developed countries commit themselves to the promotion of technology transfer to developing countries as a strategy for reducing the stress on global climate patterns. In 1998, the COP initiated a consultative process on technology transfer.

The Kyoto Protocol is a treaty under the Climate Convention, in which developed countries make quantified commitments to limit the emissions of greenhouse gases. The protocol was adopted by the COP in 1997 but has not entered into force. It contains the provision for three flexibility mechanisms. The clean development mechanism (CDM) is one of these, which, when in place, will allow projects executed in the third world to generate emission reduction credits. The CDM has three explicit purposes. First, to assist developing countries to achieve sustainable development, second, to assist developed countries to comply with emission limitation commitments, and third, by generating funds, to assist those developing countries that are particularly vulnerable to climate change in meeting the cost of adaptation (FCCC, 1999). Equity and technology transfer are both important components of the CDM.

So far, developed countries are responsible for the major portion of annual greenhouse gas emissions. Soon, however, the third world will become the dominant source. China, a developing country and home to one fifth of the entire global human population, already has the second largest national greenhouse gas emissions in the world, and is predicted to overtake the United States within twenty years (Johnston, 1998). Part of the explanation is that China's heavy dependence on coal is predicted not to decrease in the immediately foreseeable future.

### **China, cement, and industrial energy efficiency**

The Chinese energy system is totally dominated by coal as a source of primary energy. In 1992, industry, responsible for 67 % of total energy use in China, consumed almost 390 Mt of coal and 530 TWh of electricity<sup>1</sup> (LBNL, 1996). Out of these amounts, cement production, being one of the most energy intensive industrial sectors, accounted for 45 Mt and 31 TWh, respectively (Zhu, 2000). Since 1992, cement production in China has increased rapidly, almost doubling from some 308 Mt to an estimated 576 Mt in 2000 (Liu, 2000). China is the world's leading cement producing country, responsible today for over one third of the global output.

With climate change and greenhouse gas emissions in mind, cement industry is an important sector to observe. Not only is production very energy intensive and cement itself significant especially in developing areas with large needs for infrastructural investments. In addition, cement production involves a calcination process, in which carbon dioxide is released when heated calcium carbonate is transformed into calcium oxide to form clinker. In 1996, Chinese cement production emitted 99 million tonnes of carbon, constituting almost 11 % of China's national total emissions. Half of these cement-related emissions were caused by energy use, while the rest were the result of calcination. In all, they approximately equalled the total national emissions of France (ORNL, 1999; Zhu, 2000).

Industrial energy intensity in China has continuously declined since the late 1970s, but it is only in the recent past few years that there has been a decrease also in the total amount of energy used (Sinton *et al.* 1998). Notwithstanding these positive trends, various reports on energy efficiency in Chinese cement production agree that the potential for improvements remains considerable (Wang, 1991; BECon, 1995; Liu *et al.* 1995; AIT,

1997; Price *et al.* 1999; Zhu, 2000). There are several possibilities for the promotion of increased energy efficiency in China's cement industry. Technology substitution, technology up-grading, and improved energy management are strategies on three different levels that are all being employed through various initiatives.

### Objective and methodology

Starting out with an assumption that, in the future, transfer of energy efficient industrial technology may be channelled through international frameworks induced by global concerns about climate change, our study set out to investigate the present situation of the Chinese cement sector. The purpose has been to shape an understanding of the sector's preparedness in practice to accept initiatives to reduce greenhouse gas emissions through increased energy efficiency. Our aim has been to explore whether and how climate-change related technology transfer could help realise known potentials, for example through the CDM. The topic is problemised in this paper, which is based on the experiences from a visit to China in November 2000.

Through literature studies and a series of semi-structured interviews with representatives of relevant organisations in China, information has been collected, and our findings have been processed in an analytical framework based on theories of technology transfer. The framework comprises the following six factors, to which the aspect of Chinese views on climate change and technology transfer has been added.

- *Macroeconomic conditions.* Observation and valuation may include the level and history of foreign direct investment, and the outcome of interviews with representatives of industry and finance regarding their experiences and expectations.
- *National systems of innovation.* These include the institutional and organisational structures, which support technological development and innovation. Specific examples are industry structure, institutions, and patterns for promotion of international co-operation and investment.
- *Capacity building.* Human and institutional capacities and the conditions for capacity building can be assessed by mapping existing initiatives and provisions for access to technical literature, information exchange through professional and trade organisations, joint research efforts, international exchanges, etc.
- *Patterns of industrial behaviour.* One area of interest is to study how industry has behaved and developed historically. Through interviewing industry representatives and observers, some insight may be gained as to what extent the industry is prone to change.
- *Government-induced market change.* In this context, market change denotes government efforts to induce substantial change in the structure, energy efficiency, or environmental performance of industry.
- *Technical standards and codes.* Codes and standards are important to reduce transaction cost. Quality standards are one example, in the absence of which, the value of different qualities may not be appreciated. This, in turn, deters technology development and investments in new technology.

---

## 4. CHINESE CEMENT INDUSTRY

---

Since economic reforms began in 1978, Chinese cement production has boomed. From an annual output of 80 Mt in 1980, there has been a seven-fold increase in twenty years (Liu and Wang, 1994; Liu, 2000). Today, most domestic analysts agree, the situation has stabilised, and in the future production will grow only moderately. The massive increase up until now was realised through the establishment of the present structure of a fragmented industry, totally dominated by small-scale, low-capacity, and low-tech rural enterprises. Given the technical capacity of their equipment, and in international comparison, these enterprises often suffer from an unwarranted high use of energy, which can be explained by insufficiently trained labour and a low degree of automatisisation. Compared to so-called foreign advanced kilns, the average equivalent performance in China by presently installed kilns of all kinds is considerably lower, as shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. Comparison of energy performance of different types of cement kilns (Zhu, 2000)**

Type of kiln	Chinese common kilns		Foreign advanced kilns		Chinese compared to foreign
	Energy intensity	Energy efficiency	Energy intensity	Energy efficiency	
	kJ/kg	%	kJ/kg	%	
Precalciner, rotary	3553	49	2888	61	123
Cyclone preheater, rotary	4182	42	3056	58	137
Shaft preheater, rotary	4700	37	3600	49	131
Lepol, rotary	4605	38	3349	53	138
Electric cogeneration, rotary	6100	29	5232	34	117
Wet process rotary	6145	30	5232	34	117
Vertical shaft	4887	37	2990	60	163

Estimates of the present number of cement kilns in China vary. In March 2000, the Chinese Building Materials Journal reported over 14 000 kilns in operation in 1999 (Liu, 2000). In a recent report on the sector's greenhouse gas emissions, however, the Energy Research Institute claims the number to be just slightly over 9400 (Zhu, 2000)<sup>2</sup>. Vertical shaft kilns, which have low production capacities of between 50 and 350 tonnes of clinker per day (Liu, 2000), make out the great majority of these kilns, some nine out of ten according to both sources. They represent almost eighty per cent of the total cement production of China. For several reasons the situation is recognised as unsatisfactory. Although employing some two million people, many problems are associated with such a large industry, which is predominantly based on outdated technology. Resource waste, inferior product quality, and poor environmental performance are obvious adverse phenomena. Consequently, planning authorities are currently implementing a framework to structurally transform the Chinese cement sector.

The general tendency today is that the number of kilns is decreasing, as, in accordance with central policy, plants that are badly managed, use outdated technology, and cause environmental disturbances are being forced to improve or cease operation. To enact such a policy, the State Economic and Trade Commission (SETC) publishes a black list of technologies that are explicitly banned. Since 1996, according to claims by the State Administration for Building Materials Industry (SABMI), small-scale capacity corresponding to 60 Mt per year has already been abolished, due to SETC's banning of kiln diameters less than 2.2 m. As of the end of 2000, cement kilns with production capacities below 44 000 tonnes per year (~120 tonnes per day) are no longer allowed. In addition, only precalciner rotary kilns are permitted in new installations. Through this regulation it is expected that production of cement in precalciner kilns, presently some nine to ten per cent of total output, will have increased by 10 Mt per year by the end of the 10<sup>th</sup> five-year plan period (2001-2005) (CCA, 2000-11-30).

Dating a few years back, there exists an explicitly formulated ambition by central authorities to make the cement sector a "green industry" in China. The main meaning of this formulation, as explained by China Cement Association, is to achieve a high level of resource efficiency. A green cement industry will not produce any solid waste products, but will contribute to the disposal of such waste from other sectors, for example through addition of fly ash and slag to clinker to form cement. Resource efficiency also applies to energy use. On the enterprise level, reduced energy intensity can easily be motivated from a simple economic perspective. According to SABMI statistics from 1991, the cost of energy constituted 40 % of the total production cost of cement (Liu and Wang, 1994). However, despite the fact that the building materials industry as a whole is the largest industrial consumer of coal after electric power production, emission reductions are generally not included when referring to the concept of a green cement industry. Generally, only dust is recognised as a problem. SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from cement production, it is claimed, cause no serious impacts and are kept below regulatory standards. SABMI officials point out that SO<sub>2</sub> is absorbed by the clinker, which functions as a filter for the combustion exhaust gases, and further reductions of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions have to be addressed during plant design. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are admittedly very high, but the greening of China's cement industry does not, yet, include an ambition to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

---

## 5. OBSERVATIONS

---

### Macroeconomic conditions

So far, investments by foreign-owned corporations in Chinese cement sector have been limited, both in capital and number of enterprises, but there is a clear interest on the part of international actors to at least be present on the market (Nicholls, 2000; Hilb, 2000). Leading international cement conglomerates such as Holderbank, Heidelberger Zement, and Lafarge are all represented in China. Due to large differences between the international and Chinese market situations, foreign actors are likely to remain cautious about extending their involvement, which would typically be based on a primarily long-term perspective to establish relationships and acquire experience. Foreign direct investment in the form of joint ventures is preferred to whole ownership and to other modes of market participation, such as licensing and franchising (Hilb, 2000).

The single largest actor to date on China's cement market is the Chinese Anhui Conch Group, which has taken the lead in a domestic consolidation trend, encouraged by central authorities. Having gradually acquired smaller enterprises to increase its own capacity, Anhui Conch's total sales volume of cement and clinker in 1999 amounted to slightly less than 5.7 Mt, concentrated to seven provinces in China's dynamic South-east (Conch, 2000). Other groups can be seen to follow the trend, but this type of ownership structure is very rare. Control over the vast majority of cement production capacity is still scattered over thousands of small, independent enterprises, most of which are state-owned or so-called township and village enterprises. State ownership is executed on many different levels of government, mostly locally and provincially. In practise, therefore, state enterprises compete with each other on common, local markets. In the future, analysts at Tianjin Cement Industry Design and Research Institute suggest, there will be considerably fewer actors on the market, perhaps only some eight to ten large-scale groups, which may be both domestic and foreign. The realisation of such a scenario, resembling the international market situation, will require a large span of time, and many problems have to be dealt with in the process (TCDRI, 2000-11-23).

Structurally, eastern China has developed rapidly, whereas the western part of the country lags behind, creating an ever-wider gap. Bridging this gap in development between the flourishing East and the impoverished West is presently an item of top priority on the Chinese political agenda, and one which will require infrastructural investments and local access to large quantities of cement. Therefore, it is expected that lenience in the enforcement of cement sector transformation policy may be necessary.

### National systems of innovation and technology

Apart from manufacturing enterprises, a great number of other actors also constitute parts of the Chinese cement sector. A rough overview can be given by defining categories such as authorities, branch organisations, technology institutes, management institutes, and policy institutes.

Besides a multitude of sub-state-level authorities, many state-level authorities are involved in the sector, the most important of which are SETC, and the State Development and Planning Commission (SDPC). On the ministry level, the State Environmental Protection Agency (SEPA), the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), and the Ministry of Foreign Trade and Economic Co-operation (MOFTEC) survey their respective areas of authority. Apart from enforcing regulations, authorities supervise the involvement of foreign actors. At present, the procedure for foreign investment approval in China follows the steps below. First, enterprises in China and abroad establish contact and agree to apply for approval. Application is made by the Chinese party to the local authorities, which, if in favour of the proposal, will forward it to the relevant central authority. Projects involving revision of industrial technology are handled by SETC, while SDPC takes responsibility for the establishment of new plants or production lines. Large projects are passed on to the State Council. After having reviewed and accepted the primary application, the foreign investor will be called upon to present a proper feasibility analysis of the project. Only upon the approval by the authority of such a document, the case will be passed on to MOFTEC, which will administer the final negotiations leading up to implementation (MOFTEC, 2000-11-28).

SABMI, which used to have a sectorally administrative and co-ordinating function, has been abolished as of November 2000. Its main supervising functions have been transferred to SETC, and the role of protecting the interests of cement manufacturers, it is expected, will be shouldered by China Cement Association, which is a government-initiated branch organisation.

In the field of technology research, there are several cement industry design and research institutes that used to be controlled by SABMI. The largest one, which is located in Tianjin, has been transferred to the Enterprise Committee of the State Council. Overall technical competence is high, but diffusion appears to be poor. The Centre for Environmentally Sound Technology Transfer (CESTT), which is closely knit to the Administrative Centre for China's Agenda 21 and MOST, concerns itself with technology in a general and cross-sectoral sense, mainly addressing the needs of small and medium-sized enterprises.

In terms of enterprise management development, actors include the National Cleaner Production Centre (NCPC) and China Academy of Management Science. NCPC is currently undertaking activities to influence cement manufacturing enterprises. Promotion of rational and efficient management practices is a strategy that may improve industrial performance in a variety of ways, including reduction of emissions.

Policy research and management, finally, are an area of some political sensitivity. Being a country in transition, it is natural that China needs to reassess and develop these tools. Under the powerful SDPC, there are two centres, belonging to the Energy Research Institute, that are involved in cement sector topics. These are Beijing Energy Efficiency Centre (BECon) on the one hand and the Centre for Energy, Environment and Climate Change (CEEC) on the other. The Division of Energy Analysis and Economy at Qinghua University also holds high competence in this field.

In general, international co-operation and investments are encouraged in China, as long as other important priorities such as domestic environmental standards are not violated.

### **Capacity building**

Capacity building relevant to the areas of climate change, technology transfer and cement production, is fragmented and takes place in many organisations. Fora for dissemination of information and potential discussions exist for example in the Chinese Building Materials Journal, which used to be published by SABMI.

At Tianjin Cement Industry Design and Research Institute, international contacts and exchanges are part of the general activities, which include quality improvement techniques, technology and plant design, etc. There are also, however, activities on a much smaller scale, for example experiments with carbon dioxide separation, but not all of these activities are communicated to partners or research colleagues. Other research institutes with specific competence and knowledge about the structure of Chinese cement sector include, in particular, BECon, CEEC, NCPC, and Qinghua University.

The CEEC has so far been working to establish an overview of the current climate performance of the Chinese cement sector. The Energy Research Institute, to which CEEC belongs, and Qinghua University are the Chinese government's main resources for policy making in the energy field, and many of their projects are explicitly assigned to them by the authorities. With a heavy work-load of assignments from the authorities, there is generally little space for own initiatives to build capacity, but in co-operation with Japanese partners, CEEC is about to commence such a project in 2001, aiming to investigate the prospects and consequences of application of the CDM in the cement industry. At Qinghua University there are also activities relating to the CDM, and the interest in the topic extends to CESTT, which tries to promote all forms of environmentally sound technology transfer.

A prerequisite for participation in the CDM is the ability to make satisfactory estimates of greenhouse gas emissions. National competence in this field needs to be developed, and for this reason there are on-going capacity-building activities at SEPA and BECon, as well as at the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS). So far, all three organisations have been working independently with different models. The amount of information that is shared between the three is very limited, but it is known that their results are not mutually concordant. On this issue, NBS has had more exchange with its counterparts in the United Kingdom and Norway than with SEPA and BECon.

The international community is involved in Chinese capacity building also in other ways. In co-operation with the Energy Research Institute, the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), and the Global Environment Facility are presently involved in a process to better co-ordinate their efforts to remove Chinese barriers to increased energy efficiency. The overall aim is to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions. The scheme is

called China Energy Efficiency Programme, and industry is included as one out of six key areas in the framework of this process, which is driven by UNDP's Beijing office.

### **Patterns of industrial behaviour**

Excellence and independence from one's peers are prestigious traits in China. Maybe this fact can partly explain why sharing of information about priorities, projects, and progress seems to be limited among the many actors within the cement sector. In administration, there exists a general tradition of secrecy, which makes data difficult to obtain, and internal debate or discussions hard to intercept. Also, Chinese decision-making works in a complicated environment of split responsibilities and unclear liabilities, which involves many authorities on various levels but does not provide a forum for open consultation and control. In international industrial co-operation, a historically successful strategy has focused on gaining maximum advantage, while sacrificing a minimum of integrity by making few own commitments. Hence, after several years of international contacts, China, from a national perspective, possesses state of the art technologies in cement manufacture. However, the internal diffusion of technology remains slow.

There is in China a tendency of scepticism towards private sector capacity in terms of both financial strength and competence, whereas public agencies are more readily trusted in these respects. This may be interpreted as a reflection of the traditional social and economic structure of the People's Republic. However, it is a view that does not correspond to the established situation in Western market economies, where private interests as a whole possess the greater share of both know-how and investment capital. Due to this contextual difference, foreign private investment initiatives, unless endorsed by a public agency within a formal project framework, may encounter seemingly unwarranted difficulties in gaining support from prospective Chinese partners: authorities as well as enterprises.

In the past, whereas new cement technology has been adopted by research institutes, expansion of production capacity within the industry has mainly been founded on traditional, simple, and well-established Chinese technology. The great momentum of the expansion of the past twenty years, and the lack in rural areas of funds and technical and business-management expertise, can partly explain this development.

### **Government-induced market change**

Market change has been a distinguishing feature of China since 1978, when the country embarked upon a transitional journey towards market economy. The new, centrally dictated ambition to transform China's cement production is a consequence of the side effects of this process. Policies to phase out old technology and apply stricter regulations and standards point out the direction of the process and stimulate the demand for new and modern technology.

An important and recent government-induced change, which reshuffles the relationships between actors within the cement sector, is the decision to abolish SABMI. While the administration's responsibilities as an authority is to be taken over by SETC, it is clear that resources will be shrunk and activities reduced. For example, the ambition to maintain data collection and an up-to-standard information bank about the sector's enterprises will probably be compromised. It is hoped that in future China Cement Association, which is regarded as a non-governmental organisation even though its management personnel are appointed by the authorities, will take over the co-ordinating and information-disseminating responsibilities of SABMI. However, although China Cement Association with some 3000 enterprise members is the largest branch organisation within the overall Building Materials Association, it needs time to expand its activities. Its present staff in Beijing numbers less than a dozen. So far, the responsibility to set up local branch associations rests with the local authorities, since there is not enough awareness among enterprises themselves that they may also make such initiatives. In the long run, it is thought that China Cement Association will evolve into a more comprehensive branch organisation. Presently, however, it cannot entirely fulfil the role as an information resource and a forum for opinion-influencing discussions among sector actors (CCA, 2000-11-30).

SABMI's abolishment also results in the scattering of superior responsibility for the various Chinese cement design and research institutes that it used to control. Whereas the Tianjin institute is retained in central authority control, the rest have been transferred to authorities on other levels or transformed into "technical enterprises".



### Technical standards and codes

In rural China, cement quality has not generally been a prioritised property to the buyer, and there has been little incentive for the local cement producer to worry about quality aspects. However, poor or uneven quality can be a serious problem in building applications where reliability in strength is essential. High-rise buildings and bridges are obvious examples. In the past few years, quality control has become more and more of an issue in China, and as an example of this it can be noted that ISO 9000 is gaining ground in the cement sector. Tianjin Cement Industry Design and Research Institute was certified in 1996. There is also a change underway in China to adopt international product quality standards for cement, instead of the old, domestic ones. Environmental performance and quality are starting to attract attention as well, and some cement plants are in the process of obtaining ISO 14 000 certification.

### Chinese views on climate change and technology transfer

China is an active party to the Climate Convention and has declared as its policy to reduce the growth of greenhouse gas emissions. Emphasis is also put, however, on the fact that developed countries bear the main responsibility for global climate impacts so far. Therefore, these countries must provide new and additional funds to developing countries and transfer environmentally sound technologies on favourable terms (Wu *et al.* 1998).

In addition, many Chinese establishment representatives host a fair measure of scepticism towards the application of emission reduction credits. There exists a widespread distrust towards the concept, based on the perceived risk that developed countries will profit unfairly from climate change mitigation at the expense of third world development. The instrument, it is feared, might be abused to serve as a new means for economic exploitation of less developed countries. Therefore, many find it doubtful whether technology transfer in exchange for emission reduction credits can actually fulfil the stipulated requirement: that terms be favourable to the country hosting the project.

---

## 6. DISCUSSION

---

### Technology transfer

On the whole, the notion in China of technology transfer is linked more firmly to official development assistance (ODA) than to private business. For example, SETC offers explicit suggestions for possible ODA co-operation in the cement sector and regards this option very seriously, whereas less emphasis is put on private development investments (SETC, 2000-11-22). This outlook has many underlying reasons. For one thing, the governments of developed countries have committed themselves to technology transfer when signing the Climate Convention. In China, this commitment is generally interpreted in terms of ODA. Private enterprises do not enjoy the same credibility as governmental organisations, and, furthermore, the occurrence of privately funded technology transfer, it is feared, might be used by governments of developed countries as a pretext for reductions of ODA funding. There may be reason to consider, however, whether or not a too narrow view on technology transfer can in fact be detrimental to actual occurrence. Instead of competition, private initiatives may be a complement to ODA. Due to unfavourable sentiments, such additional initiatives risk being nipped in the bud.

In 1998, foreign direct investments in low- and middle-income countries actually exceeded, by a factor 4.5, all the money spent on traditional ODA<sup>3</sup>. For China, the corresponding factor was 25 (World Bank, 2000a; World Bank, 2000b). These numbers imply that, although technology transfer financed by public funds may still be important, the potential space for privately financed activities is also substantial. Thus, in order to better comply with the spirit of the Climate Convention, an important challenge for developed and developing countries alike would be to create incentives for private actors to engage in technology transfer activities. In a market-driven context, this means that these activities need to be made profitable. Irrespective of any disputes over how large public funds developed countries are obliged or able to spend, such measures could be encouraged by all governments committed to technology transfer as a way of addressing climate change.

In the case of Chinese cement industry, an important observation can be made that, although there is a definite demand for technology transfer, there is no doubt that much of the technical capacity and competence to bridge this need already exists within China itself. Chinese institutes for industrial design and research have had

beneficial contacts with world-leading cement-equipment suppliers and developers for a long time, and indigenous capacity in this area is often well at par with international top level. Therefore, in the present situation, addressing barriers to cross-border sharing of technology is not the main concern. Rather, attention ought to be directed at examining other ways of encouraging and executing the transfer of technology, needed to realise a sustainable structural reform of the sector.

Inducing technology transfer to hasten the structural transformation of Chinese cement industry, whether the technology be foreign or domestic, could have noticeable positive effects in terms of reducing global greenhouse gas emissions. Climate change being a global problem, international involvement and co-operation is necessary and ought to be encouraged.


### The clean development mechanism

From a climate-oriented viewpoint, the CDM can be seen as a way to address problems caused by environmental externalities. In this light, a framework for emission reduction credit transactions may be regarded as a means for redirection of private capital and technology to the third world, allowing developing countries to pave themselves a shorter and more sustainable development path towards economic welfare. The concept of technology transfer is explicitly mentioned as one of several guiding principles of the CDM (FCCC, 1999).

The prospective parties to CDM projects will quite naturally perceive the mechanism in different ways. For industry in developed countries and trans-national corporations, the CDM, when established, may be seen as a way to avoid expenses or to make money. For industry in developing countries, it can be regarded as an opportunity to attract foreign capital and obtain new technology. In China, the concept of emission reduction credits tends to be regarded with scepticism and as a potential means for foreign interests to exploit the situation in developing countries. It is necessary, of course, that potential hazards of inequity and other unsustainable consequences are recognised and avoided. However, whereas attentiveness and justified critique are constructive tools in open and honest discussions, they cannot lead forward in an atmosphere of distrust.

If the CDM becomes an additional channel for investments to promote technology transfer in Chinese cement production, the co-operative framework for the transfer as such can hypothetically be organised either directly or indirectly. Direct technology co-operation occurs when the investor is also the source of the technology, whereas indirect co-operation occurs when a third party, for example a technically advanced domestic enterprise or institute, acts as the technology source. Figure 1 illustrates the difference.

**Figure 1. Direct and indirect transfer of technology in climate-driven co-operation**



Any investments, which are to be eligible for incorporation within the CDM framework, need to address a number of different conditions. Begg *et al.* point out that different conditions need to be prioritised in various circumstances, since trade-offs between different ambitions are inevitable and evaluation “against a single objective (such as economic efficiency) is inherently flawed” (Begg *et al.* 2001). Nevertheless, certain

requirements should not be compromised. The real offset of greenhouse gas emissions is one such requirement, and the additionality of this offset is another. But, indeed, how can additionality be proven when the sector is transforming anyway? The answer to such a question, or to the problem of how to determine project baselines, is not obvious. In fact, at this stage it cannot be given, since the regulatory framework of the CDM remains to be agreed upon. Still, asking the questions is important, since these issues have to be taken into account during the design of the mechanism.

Another such question might be whether or not the CDM should be allowed at all to support foreign-financed transfer of domestic technology within a developing country. The parties to the Climate Convention have agreed that “Capacity-building activities which can most successfully help achieve and sustain effective technology transfer are those which measurably utilise and enhance existing endogenous capacities and technologies” (FCCC, 2000). Still, opinions on CDM application may differ for political reasons. This study, however, does not distinguish between direct and indirect technology transfer. As long as real and additional emission reductions are achieved, these are assumed to be eligible for CDM crediting.

### **The way forward**

The hoped-for transformation of the Chinese cement industry can be described as a large-scale transfer of modern technology for energy efficient production, meant to increase the sector’s performance. As a benefit, particularly brought forward in this paper, it will substantially reduce present greenhouse gas emissions. Implementing this transformation, although urgent for many reasons, will unquestionably require a slow and long process. On the one hand, many potentially conflicting ambitions such as safeguarding rural employment and development rival for priority, on the other, barriers such as insufficient funds, poor diffusion of information, and structural inertia impede progress. In order to make a structured attempt at formulating a coherent strategy for the promotion of the process, three hypothetical main implementation paths can be suggested, none of which necessarily exclude the others:

1. Domestically funded change.
2. Foreign-supported projects through ODA.
3. Private investments from abroad, based on the realisation of reduced greenhouse gas emissions.

Each of these strategies has its weaknesses, strengths, and prerequisites, which implies that they may be combined into a more robust whole. Most measures taken and policies adopted so far focus on the first strategy, domestically driven action, and give little attention to foreign involvement in the sector’s development. Whereas the technical capacity to implement the transformation exists within China, this strategy has a disadvantage in the fact that resources in the form of domestic funds are limited and domestic technology transfer is slow. Therefore, central Chinese authorities also encourage foreign support through ODA – technology, policy, and management being explicitly highlighted as suitable targets for model projects. The competition for available ODA funds, however, is tough between developing countries and different projects worthy of support. There are many different criteria that have to be considered by the agencies that grant ODA. Therefore, the amount of funds that may spill over onto Chinese cement sector restructuring can only be marginal.

The third path, using policy mechanisms to induce a flow of foreign private funds into the Chinese cement sector, is not generally recognised as an option. This may change, however, if and when private investors become interested in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Today, the process following the Kyoto Protocol agreement on flexibility mechanisms, especially the CDM, is an indication that such incentives may evolve. Even though the CDM is still an immature concept, where a lot of research and negotiation is needed in order to arrive at a functional framework, it appears to hold the potential to evolve into an important source of funding. If such prospects of emission reduction investments appeal to actors who are involved in the promotion or implementation of Chinese cement sector transformation, there is reason to make preparations at an early stage by getting involved in climate change issues. Early removal or reduction of the barriers that can already be identified may prevent future investors from being deterred.

Among the presently most important barriers in China to future climate-change mitigatory technology transfer in the cement industry, our study especially highlights the following:

- The lack of attention on the part of Chinese authorities and industry to the connection between cement production and greenhouse gas emissions, and to the potential to substantially reduce these emissions, which is inherent in the initiated process of cement sector transformation.
- The fact that, with regard to the concept of flexibility mechanisms, caution and mindfulness – which in themselves can be constructive – with some influential Chinese parties have turned into reluctance or even distrust.
- The rigid and inert structure that limits information sharing and cross-sectoral open discussions in Chinese bureaucracy and decision-making.

In combination, these aspects may very well hinder sanctioning even of beneficial projects, which could emerge once an internationally accepted framework such as the CDM exists. The complex Chinese system of shared responsibility between different authorities on various levels increases this risk, since many institutions with different agendas and priorities are involved in the process of project approval.

As an important strategy for reduction of all of these obstacles, we suggest increased efforts for networking and information sharing. Already, many institutions in China are involved in investigations and research, which address issues of relevance to this area. However, in many cases, communication between institutions is poor. Sometimes this may be due to a tradition of secrecy or even rivalry between parties. Therefore, some tasks are being performed in parallel without mutual insight that could increase the quality of everyone's results and prevent waste of resources in the form of labour and money. To create a dynamic environment for innovations, discussion rather than secrecy needs to be promoted. This applies not only to actors within China. International participation and involvement at various levels are also critical.

---

## 7. SUMMARY

---

Cement is an important product, especially in a developing country in need of infrastructural renewal. During the past twenty-odd years, China has undergone a phase of rapid development, which has allowed, and depended upon, the emergence of the country's presently huge cement industry. However, as the pace of constructional development in China stabilises, it has become clear that the present structure of the cement sector is unsustainable. Therefore, a structural transformation process has been initiated through central policy statements and regulatory measures. The problems addressed by Chinese authorities are principally poor energy efficiency, resource waste, inferior product quality, and excessive emissions of dust. So far, however, the significance of the potential of this transformation process to alleviate also a share of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions has been largely ignored.

This paper indicates three sources of funds that may be combined to finance the process of change: domestic resources, ODA, and foreign private capital. Of these, only the first two are readily recognised and accepted in China. We therefore raise the issue of whether or not the Chinese cement sector in the future might also become an interesting arena for international investments. An important provision would be that domestically and internationally accepted climate-related policy instruments and mechanisms are developed that assign a market value to reductions of greenhouse gas emissions. The CDM, it is suggested, may evolve into such a mechanism. The establishment of functional, institutional frameworks for the CDM will not on its own, and automatically, open the path for international involvement in the sector. Further barriers have to be removed, which will otherwise deter investors. A few, China-specific key obstacles are indicated in this paper. These are: lacking attention to the connection between reduced climate impact and improvements in cement sector performance, scepticism about the CDM as a concept on the one hand and private capital on the other, and a structural environment restraining co-operation and discussions about important ideas, policies and priorities.

Despite the possible benefits to China, present attitudes towards foreign private investments for reduction of greenhouse gas emissions tend to be inhibitive, and market actors are poorly informed about the topic. If these conditions are balanced with time, and if international climate change negotiations lead to operative frameworks for flexibility mechanisms, an important source of foreign funding may arise for Chinese cement sector development.

---

## 8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

---

The project behind this presentation was funded by the Swedish International Development Co-operation Agency (Sida). The Royal Swedish Academy of Engineering Sciences (IVA) and the Chinese Academy of Engineering (CAE) both made valuable contributions to enable and support the visit to China, on which this paper is based. The assistance and hospitality of all our partners and interviewees in China are also gratefully acknowledged.

---

## 9. REFERENCES

---

- AIT, 1997. *Technology, energy efficiency and environmental externalities in the cement industry*, Ed. Brahmanand Mohanty; School of Environment, Resources and Development, Asian Institute of Technology; Bangkok
- BECon, 1995. "Energy Efficiency Opportunities in China: Industrial Equipment and Small Cogeneration", PNL-10252, Ed. Zhou Dadi and Jessica Hamburger; Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, WA
- Begg, K.G., Jackson, T., and Parkinson, S., 2001. "Beyond joint implementation — designing flexibility into global climate policy", *Energy Policy* Vol. 29, No. 1, pp 17-27
- CCA, 2000-11-30. Interview with Mr. Li Jianzhi of China Cement Association, Beijing
- Conch, 2000. "Annual Report 1999" (downloadable at <http://www.irasia.com/listco/hk/anhuiconch/annual/index.htm>, accessed 2001-01-16); Anhui Conch Cement Company Limited, Wuhu City
- FCCC, 2000. "Development and transfer of technologies. Status of the consultative process (decision 4/CP.4)", FCCC/SBSTA/2000/4 (downloadable at <http://www.unfccc.de/resource/sbsta00.html>, accessed 2001-01-23); Framework Convention on Climate Change, Bonn
- FCCC, 1999. "Mechanisms pursuant to articles 6, 12 and 17 of the Kyoto Protocol. Synthesis of proposals by Parties on principles, modalities, rules and guidelines", FCCC/SB/1999/8 (downloadable at <http://www.unfccc.de/resource/sb99.html>, accessed 2000-12-15); Framework Convention on Climate Change, Bonn
- Forsyth, Tim, 1998. "Technology Transfer and the Climate Change Debate", *Environment* Vol. 40, No. 9, pp 16-20, 39-43
- Hilb, Michael, 2000. "Entry into the Chinese Cement Market. Case Study of a Global Cement Producer"; Stockholm School of Economics, Stockholm
- IPCC, 2000. *Methodological and Technological Issues in Technology Transfer*, Special Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Ed. Bert Metz *et al.*; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Johnston, Alastair Iain, 1998. "China and International Environmental Institutions: A Decision Rule Analysis", *Energizing China. Reconciling Environmental Protection and Economic Growth*, Ed. Michael B. McElroy, Chris P. Nielsen, and Peter Lydon; Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA
- LBNL, 1996. "China Energy Databook", LBNL-23822 Rev. 3, Ed. Jonathan E. Sinton; Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA
- Liu Feng, Ross, Marc, and Wang Shumao, 1995. "Energy Efficiency of China's Cement Industry", *Energy* Vol. 20, No. 7, pp 669-681
- Liu Feng, and Wang Shumao, 1994. "The Building Materials Industry in China: An Overview", LBL-37209; Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA
- Liu Zhong, 2000. "Main Contents of Cleaner Production Self Audit Kit for Cement Industry" (draft version); China National Cleaner Production Centre, Beijing
- Martin, Nathan, Worrell, Ernst, and Price, Lynn, 1999. "Energy Efficiency and Carbon Dioxide Emissions Reduction Opportunities in the U.S. Cement Industry", LBNL-44182; Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA
- Martinot, Eric, Sinton, Jonathan E., Haddad, Brent M., 1997. "International Technology Transfer for Climate Change Mitigation and the Cases of Russia and China", *Annual Review of Energy and the Environment* Vol. 22, pp 357-401

- MOFTEC, 2000-11-28. Interview with Mr. Wu Zhijun of the Ministry of Foreign Trade and Economic Co-operation, Beijing
- Nicholls, Mark, 2000. "Asia cautious on carbon trades", *Environmental Finance*, March issue, pp 26-27
- OECD, 2001. "Development Co-operation. 2000 Report", *DAC Journal* Vol. 2, No. 1, Table 7, (downloadable at <http://www.oecd.org/dac/htm/dacstats.htm>, accessed 2001-04-04); Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris
- ORNL, 1999. Electronic source: "Ranking of the world's countries by 1996 total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil-fuel burning, cement production, and gas flaring", internet address <http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/trends/emis/top96.tot> (accessed 2000-10-27); Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN
- Price, Lynn, Worrell, Ernst, and Phylipsen Dian, 1999. "Energy Use and Carbon Dioxide Emissions in Energy-Intensive Industries in Key Developing Countries", LBNL-45292; Environmental Energy Technologies Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA
- SABMI, 2000-11-17. Interview with Mr. Liu Xide, Mr. Chen Min, and Mr. Wang Wanping of the State Administration of Building Materials Industry, Beijing
- SETC, 2000-11-22. Interview with Mr. Xie Ji of the State Economic and Trade Commission, Department of Resources Conservation and Comprehensive Utilisation, Beijing
- Sinton, Jonathan E., Levine, Mark D., and Wang Qingyi, 1998. "Energy efficiency in China: accomplishments and challenges", *Energy Policy* Vol. 26, No. 11, pp 813-829
- TCDRI, 2000-11-23. Interview with Mr. Xu Fen at Tianjin Cement Industry Design and Research Institute, Tianjin
- UN, 1995. "Report of the World Summit for Social Development in Copenhagen, 6-12 March 1995" (downloadable at [gopher://gopher.undp.org:70/00/unconfs/wssd/summit/off/a--9.en](http://gopher://gopher.undp.org:70/00/unconfs/wssd/summit/off/a--9.en), accessed 2001-04-04); United Nations, New York, NY
- Wang Shumao, 1991. "The Investment-Energy Conserving Curve of the Building Materials Industry in China"; Energy Research Institute, Beijing
- World Bank, 2000a. *World Development Report 2000/2001: Attacking Poverty*, 23<sup>rd</sup> edition, Table 21, pp 314-15 (downloadable at <http://www.worldbank.org/poverty/wdrpoverty/report/index.htm>, accessed 2001-04-04); World Bank, Washington, DC
- World Bank, 2000b. *World Development Indicators 2000*, Table 6.11, pp 346-49 (downloadable at [http://www.worldbank.org/data/databytopic/databytopic.html#INTERNATIONAL\\_ECONOMICS](http://www.worldbank.org/data/databytopic/databytopic.html#INTERNATIONAL_ECONOMICS), accessed 2001-04-04); World Bank, Washington, DC
- Worrell, Ernst, van Berkel, Rene, Zhou Fengqi, Menke, Christoph, Schaeffer, Roberto, and Williams, Robert O., 2001. "Technology transfer of energy efficient technologies in industry: a review of trends and policy issues", *Energy Policy* Vol. 29, No. 1, pp 29-43
- Wu Baozhong, Fan Yuansheng, He Kebin, and Zhao Weijun, 1998. "The Status and Trend of China's Policies on Climate Change", *Energizing China. Reconciling Environmental Protection and Economic Growth*, Ed. Michael B. McElroy, Chris P. Nielsen, and Peter Lydon; Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA
- Zhu Songli, 2000. "Greenhouse Emission from Cement Industry and its Reduction Countermeasures" (in Chinese); Energy Research Institute, Beijing

---

## 10. END NOTES

---

<sup>1</sup> On a national average, 83 % of Chinese electricity generation in 1992 were based on fossil fuels (which, almost exclusively, means coal). The remaining 17 % were accounted for by hydroelectric generation. (LBNL, 1996).

<sup>2</sup> For comparison, US output of cement in 1997 was exceeded six times by Chinese production in the same year, but the number of cement plants in the United States was only 119 (Martin *et al.*, 1999; Liu, 2000). This corresponds to some seventy times fewer plants than in China, where estimates indicate a number around eight thousand (SABMI, 2000-11-17; Conch, 2000).

<sup>3</sup> Naturally, the present levels and amounts of public ODA are not an undisputed issue, and many will claim that they could or should be higher. The UN recommends, as a minimum target, that 0.7 % of GDP be set aside for international development promotion. Few countries comply with this recommendation (UN, 1995; OECD, 2001).