MUSCULOSKELETAL



Meniscal body extrusion and cartilage coverage in middle-aged and elderly without radiographic knee osteoarthritis

Fredrik Svensson¹ · David T Felson² · Fan Zhang¹ · Ali Guermazi³ · Frank W Roemer^{2,4} · Jingbo Niu² · Piran Aliabadi⁵ · Tuhina Neogi² · Martin Englund^{1,2}

Received: 10 July 2017 / Revised: 20 September 2017 / Accepted: 18 October 2017 \odot The Author(s) 2018

Abstract

Objectives To determine meniscal extrusion and cartilage coverage on magnetic resonance (MR) images and factors associated with these parameters in knees of middle-aged and elderly persons free from radiographic tibiofemoral osteoarthritis (OA).

Methods Seven hundred eighteen persons, free of radiographic tibiofemoral OA, aged 50–90 years from Framingham, MA, USA, were included. We measured meniscal extrusion on 1.5 T MRI of both knees to evaluate both medial and lateral meniscal body extrusion and cartilage coverage. We also determined meniscal morphology and structural integrity. The multivariable association with age, body mass index (BMI), and ipsilateral meniscal damage was also evaluated.

Results The mean meniscal body extrusion medially was 2.7 mm and laterally 1.8 mm. The tibial cartilage coverage was about 30% of ipsilateral cartilage surface (both compartments). The presence of ipsilateral meniscal damage was associated with more extrusion in only the medial compartment, 1.0 mm in men and 0.6 mm in women, and less cartilage coverage proportion, -5.5% in men and -4.6% in women.

Conclusions Mean medial meniscal body extrusion in middle-aged or older persons without radiographic tibiofemoral OA approximates the commonly used cutoff (3 mm) to denote pathological extrusion. Medial meniscal damage is a factor associated with medial meniscal body extrusion and less cartilage coverage.

Key Points

• Medial meniscal extrusion in middle-aged/older persons without OA is around 3 mm.

• Lateral meniscal extrusion in middle-aged/older persons without OA is around 2 mm.

• Meniscal damage is associated with medial meniscal extrusion and less cartilage coverage.

Keywords Knee · Meniscus · Magnetic resonance imaging · Osteoarthritis · Coverage

Abbreviatio	ons	MR	Magnetic resonance
BMI	Body mass index	OA	Osteoarthritis
CI	Confidence interval	SD	Standard deviation
ICC	Intra-class correlation coefficient	TW	Tibial width

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-018-5741-3) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Fredrik Svensson fredrik.svensson@med.lu.se

- ¹ Faculty of Medicine, Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Orthopedics, Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Lund University, Lund, Sweden
- ² Clinical Epidemiology Research & Training Unit, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA
- ³ Department of Radiology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA
- ⁴ Department of Radiology, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany
- ⁵ Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

MTPW	Medial tibia plateau width
LTPW	Lateral tibia plateau width
MMCW	Medial meniscal coronal width
LMCW	Lateral meniscal coronal width
MMCEx	Medial meniscal coronal extrusion
LMCEx	Lateral meniscal coronal extrusion

Introduction

The menisci of the knee are two fibrocartilaginous discs located on the medial and lateral sides of the knee joint. Their primary purpose is to distribute loads over a broad area of the articular cartilage between femur and tibia [1-3]. Meniscus extrusion, i.e., when the peripheral border of the meniscus is substantially located outside the joint margin, has been reported to be associated with meniscal degeneration, meniscus tears, and the presence and progression of osteoarthritis (OA) [4-22]. However, there is very limited information of extent of meniscus extrusion and cartilage coverage of the meniscus of middle-aged and elderly free of tibiofemoral OA, i.e., not selected on the basis of attending a clinic as a patient, or having knee symptoms, or having risk factors for OA [23]. This information is needed to better understand what is "normal." Further, there is still quite limited information on common demographic factors associated with meniscus body extrusion as, e.g., gender [16, 24-26]. Therefore, in the present original report, we asked the following questions: (1) what is the meniscal body extrusion and coverage in a sample representative of the general population of middle-aged and elderly without radiographic tibiofemoral OA? What are the potential associations with age, body mass index (BMI), and meniscus damage in men and women, respectively? For this purpose, we used a comprehensive cohort of over 700 persons representative of the general population from Framingham, USA, with knees considered radiographically normal.

Material and methods

We used data from the well-characterized Framingham Community cohort [14, 27–29]. As detailed in prior work, the cohort consists of a random sample of 1039 persons from Framingham, MA, USA. The inclusion criteria and sampling have been detailed in a prior report [14]. In brief, the subjects were aged 50–90 years and were drawn from census tract data and random-digit telephone dialing. They were ambulatory and the selection was *not* made on the basis of knee or other joint problems. All subjects' height and weight were measured. We obtained posterioanterior knee x-rays in weightbearing using a fixed-flexion protocol. One musculoskeletal radiologist (PA), who was unaware of the MRI findings and

clinical data, scored the radiographs according to the Kellgren and Lawrence (KL) scale (intraobserver kappa, 0.83) [14, 30]. In our study, we included only persons with KL grade 0 in *both* knees and with readable knee magnetic resonance (MR) images for at least *one* knee resulting in a sample of 718 persons.

Knee MR imaging

MRI scans of 712 right knees and 674 left knees were obtained using a 1.5-T scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with a phased array knee coil. We used three pulse sequences to assess meniscus position and integrity; sagittal and coronal fat-suppressed proton-density-weighted turbo spin-echo (repetition time 3610 ms, echo time 40 ms, 3.5-mm slice thickness, 0-mm interslice gap, echo spacing 13.2 ms, turbo factor 7, field of view 140 mm, matrix 256 × 256); and sagittal T1-weighted spin-echo (repetition time 475 ms, echo time 24 ms, 3.5-mm slice thickness, 0-mm interslice gap, field of view 140, matrix 256 × 256).

Using the coronal images, one observer (FS, an orthopedic surgeon with more than 5 years of clinical experience incl. MRI) measured meniscal body extrusion to the nearest millimeter (mm) in both the medial and the lateral compartments of all knees where knee MRI was eligible for measurement of meniscus position. A subset of 30 knees was re-measured by the same observer as well as by a second reader (FZ, also an orthopedic surgeon with more than 5 years of clinical experience incl. MRI). The measurements were determined on the mid-coronal slice, where the medial tibia spine was of maximal area. When it was too difficult to distinguish the maximal spine area between two or more slices, the slice with the maximal tibia width was used. The point of reference for extrusion was the tibia plateau osteochondral junction at the joint margin excluding osteophytes [8, 12]. For the measurements, a reference line was drawn between the medial and lateral osteochondral junctions, defined as the tibia width. In 90° angle from this line, four gridlines were drawn. Then parallel to the tibia width the medial and lateral tibia plateau, the medial and lateral meniscal coronal width and the medial and lateral coronal meniscal extrusion were measured (Fig. 1). We excluded all subjects where the MR image was unreadable, or where the medial or lateral meniscal body was completely missing, i.e., no measure of meniscal extrusion could be obtained. We used Merge eFilm software 3.4 and made all the measurement to the closest mm.

As described in an earlier study of the Framingham cohort, a second reader (ME) (3-year clinical background in orthopedics incl. MRI) determined meniscus integrity (meniscus tear, maceration/destruction, and/or prior resection) on MR images of right knees [14]. All readers were blinded to the other readings and to clinical data.



Fig. 1 Example MR Image illustrating the measures. *TW* tibial width, *MTPW* Medial tibia plateau width, *LTPW* lateral tibia plateau width, *MMCW* medial meniscal coronal width, *LMCW* lateral meniscal coronal width, *MMCEx* medial meniscal coronal extrusion, *LMCEx* lateral meniscal coronal extrusion

Statistics

We assessed the intra- (reader FS) and inter-observer reliability (readers FS and FZ) for all MRI measurements using the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC).

We evaluated the distribution of the medial and lateral meniscal body extrusion in men and women, respectively. We also evaluated the meniscal body coverage, both the absolute measure in millimeter, but also defined as the proportion of the *width* of the mid-coronal ipsilateral tibia plateau covered by meniscus. Additionally, we presented means and standard deviations of meniscal body extrusion and coverage stratified by age (50–59, 60–69, and 70–90 years), body mass index (BMI) (< 25.0, 25.0–29.9, or 30.0+) or meniscus damage status (i.e., the presence or absence of either tear, maceration/destruction, and/or prior resection) as supplementary Web appendices.

Further, using a multivariable linear regression model with robust standard errors, we estimated the association of age (continuous), BMI (continuous), and meniscus damage (yes/no) with medial and lateral meniscal body extrusion and coverage, in men and women, adjusting for the tibia width to take the size of the knees into account. This multivariable analysis was only performed for right knees due to no data on meniscus integrity for left knees. For the statistical analysis, we used the Stata version 13.

Results

Study cohort characteristics

The mean (SD) age of our study sample was 61.0 (8.0) years and 55.3% were women. The mean BMI was 27.8 (Table 1).

Table 1	Descriptive statistics of the study sample from the general
population	of Framingham, MA, all knees were Kellgren and Lawrence
grade 0	

Characteristics	<i>n</i> = 718
Age, mean (SD) years	61.0 (8.0)
Sex, <i>n</i> (%)	
Men	321 (44.7)
Women	397 (55.3)
Body mass index, mean (SD) (kg/m ²)	27.8 (5.1)
Meniscal damage in the right knee, n (%)*	165 (23.0)
Knee MRI eligible for measurement of meniscus	position, n (%)
Right knee	712 (99)
Left knee	674 (94)

*Only right knees have been read for meniscal integrity

The intra-reader reliability for FS for the medial meniscal extrusion was ICC = 0.89 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.75, 0.96) and for the lateral meniscal extrusion ICC = 0.86 (95% CI 0.68, 0.94). The inter-rater reliability for FS and FZ for the medial meniscal extrusion was ICC = 0.69 (95% CI 0.43, 0.84) and for the lateral meniscal extrusion ICC = 0.68 (95% CI 0.42, 0.83).

Meniscal body extrusion

The absolute values for meniscal body extrusion were similar in both men and women, with the mean 2.7 mm of extrusion in the medial compartment, and 1.8 mm in the lateral compartment for both sexes combined (Table 2, Fig. 1). The proportion of knees with medial meniscal body extrusion of 5 mm or more was 7% in men and 2.5% in women. The corresponding proportions for the lateral compartment were 1.4% and 0.5%. In knees *without* medial meniscal damage, the medial meniscal body extrusion was 2.4 mm for medial compartment (Web appendix 1).

Absolute meniscal coverage and coverage proportion

The mean absolute meniscal coverage was 8.1 mm in the medial compartment and 9.2 in the lateral compartment,

Table 2Mean absolute measure in mm (SD) of medial and lateralmeniscal body extrusion for middle-aged and elderly persons withKellgren and Lawrence grade 0 in both knees

	Right knee		Left knee	
	Medial	Lateral	Medial	Lateral
Men	2.7 (1.3)	2.1 (1.2)	2.9 (1.2)	1.6 (1.2)
Women	2.5 (1.1)	1.9 (1.2)	2.7 (1.1)	1.5 (1.0)
All	2.6 (1.2)	2.0 (1.2)	2.8 (1.1)	1.5 (1.1)

Table 3 Mean absolute meniscal coverage in mm and coverage proportion in middle-aged and elderly persons with Kellgren and Lawrence grade 0 knees

	Mean cove	erage, mm (Sl	Relative coverage, % (SD)*					
	Right knee		Left Knee		Right knee		Left knee	
	Medial	Lateral	Medial	Lateral	Medial	Lateral	Medial	Lateral
Men	7.8 (3.2)	9.9 (2.9)	9.9 (4.0)	10.0 (2.7)	24 (10)	30 (9)	31 (13)	31 (9)
Women	6.9 (2.5)	8.5 (2.9)	8.4 (3.4)	8.8 (2.5)	25 (9)	30 (10)	31 (12)	31 (10)
All	7.3 (2.9)	9.1 (3.0)	9.0 (3.8)	9.3 (2.6)	25 (9)	30 (10)	31 (12)	31 (9)

*Proportion (%) of ipsilateral tibial plateau width on mid-coronal MR image

relatively similar in men and women. The mean coverage proportion (both sexes combined) was 28% in the medial compartment and 30% in the lateral compartment (Table 3, Figs. 2 and 3).

Evaluation of associations (cross-sectional) between the meniscal parameters and person characteristics

The multivariable analyses were made for medial and lateral compartment separately for the right knee. For the medial compartment, we found statistically significant associations with higher age (men only), and meniscal damage. No statistically significant associations were found for the lateral compartment (Table 4).

Data on extrusion and coverage proportion by gender and age can be downloaded as supplementary appendix (Web appendices 1 to 4). We only present data for the right knee. The results were similar also for the left knee.

Discussion

In the literature, there is substantial lack of information on meniscal extrusion and coverage from persons without radiographic tibiofemoral knee OA. In our study, we found medial meniscus extrusion to be around 3 mm, but to be greater, and thus less cartilage coverage, if meniscus damage was present. High BMI per se was not found to be associated with meniscus extrusion and cartilage coverage.

Meniscus extrusion and loss/destruction of meniscus tissue are rather well-established features associated with knee OA [4–22, 31]. However, little has been done to determine these proxy measures of meniscus function to be expected in a general population free of tibiofemoral OA. This is needed to better understand was is "normal" and also potential gender differences have not been evaluated. At large, we found that women without OA had similar degree of meniscal body extrusion and cartilage coverage as men without OA. We further corroborate previous findings that the presence of ipsilateral meniscus damage was associated with meniscal extrusion in



Fig. 3 The distribution of the meniscal body coverage as percentage of the ipsilateral tibia plateau width in middle-aged and elderly persons from the general population, stratified by sex and compartment



the medial compartment [8, 15, 17, 18, 23–25, 32–34]. Similar to prior reports, our cross-sectional data cannot answer the question whether meniscus damage, typically consisting of horizontal cleavages and/or flap tears (or in elderly women, simply meniscus maceration/destruction), is a result of a more mobile meniscus, or if the extrusion and displacement are a result of an already damaged meniscus and potentially disrupted hoop-tension. However, a prior longitudinal report based on Osteoarthritis Initiative data has provided evidence in support of the latter [25]. Either way, a damaged and extruded meniscus can no longer optimally fulfill its main purpose to distribute loads on the surrounding hyaline cartilage surfaces. As reported in earlier studies, meniscal body extrusion is a strong risk factor for cartilage loss [11, 12, 18, 19, 21, 32, 33, 35–38]. Such meniscal extrusion may be a strong

causal intermediate in the chain of events leading to biomechanical joint failure and OA [39]. Thus, any risk factors that can potentially be modified or prevented, such as meniscus tears, are of key interest in order to reduce meniscus extrusion and the OA risk.

Our study had a number of limitations. As previously mentioned, since this is a cross-sectional study, one cannot make any causal inferences. Further, the mainly Caucasian population of Framingham limits generalizability of results. Still, there are, to the best of our knowledge, no similar data reported elsewhere from a randomly sampled general population. Further, we did not measure other parts of the meniscus than the meniscus body, thus, e.g., the position of the posterior horn needs further attention. This is because posterior partial or complete root tears often lead to meniscal body extrusion. We only used the mid-coronary slide

Table 4	The associations of meniscal body	extrusion and m	eniscal body	coverage v	with age,	body mass	s index,	and ipsilatera	l meniscal	damage in
middle-ag	ed and elderly persons with Kellgre	n and Lawrence	grade 0 in bot	th knees, st	tratified b	y sex				

	Medial compartment		Lateral compartment			
Men Women		Women	Men	Women		
Meniscal body extrusion (mm)*						
Age, per 10 years	0.21 (0.05, 0.37)	-0.03 (-0.17, 0.12)	0.04 (-0.11, 0.20)	-0.07 (-0.21, 0.07)		
Body mass index, per unit	-0.01 (-0.04, 0.03)	-0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)	0.01 (-0.02, 0.05)	0.02 (-0.01, 0.04)		
Ipsilateral meniscal damage	1.02 (0.71, 1.34)	0.55 (0.20, 0.91)	-0.01 (-0.31, 0.30)	0.14 (-0.20, 0.48)		
Meniscal coverage proportion (%)						
Age, per 10 years	-1.49 (-2.62, -0.36)	-0.07 (-1.16, 1.01)	0.92 (-0.26, 2.09)	1.03 (-0.47, 2.53)		
Body mass index, per unit	0.20 (-0.06, 0.45)	0.04 (-0.11, 0.19)	0.08 (-0.18, 0.34)	0.00 (-0.18, 0.19)		
Ipsilateral meniscal damage	-5.45 (-7.67, -3.23)	-4.63 (-7.24, -2.02)	1.75 (-0.57, 4.07)	0.52 (-2.42, 3.46)		

Presented numbers are mean differences in mm or % with 95% confidence intervals. Italicized font indicates statistically significant associations *Model adjusted for tibia width

for measurements. There are other more comprehensive methods [40], but ours is very easy to use and reproduce. The knee MRIs were taken in standard non-weight-bearing, and we cannot exclude the possibility of changes in meniscus position as compared to weight-bearing. However, currently, weight-bearing knee MRI is not available for epidemiologic studies or in the clinical setting. Also, the time of the day varied for the knee MRI examinations, and the effect on meniscus position during the daily cycle is unknown. Finally, we would like to comment on that a recent report on obese women suggested an association between knee symptoms and medial meniscal extrusion [24]. This is an interesting study question that warrants further attention, but then taking into account also other structural features on MRI indicative of knee OA such as synovitis and bone marrow lesions.

In conclusion, there is a need of epidemiologic data to better understand "normal" meniscal position on MRI in radiographically normal knees of both women and men. We found that the mean medial body extrusion in the general population of mainly Caucasian middle-aged and elderly persons without tibiofemoral OA is close to 3 mm, which corresponds to a commonly used cutoff in radiology to denote pathological extrusion [8]. This does not necessarily imply that the 3-mm cutoff is the most optimal with respect to sensitivity and specificity for other OA pathology for epidemiologic study purposes or longitudinal prediction of subsequent ipsicompartmental cartilage loss. Therefore, future aims will be to scrutinize the commonly used cutoff of 3 mm to denote pathological extrusion in association to radiographic OA and bone marrow lesions on MRI, as well as to study the association between knee symptoms and meniscal body extrusion. For the medial compartment, factors associated with meniscus position were predominantly ipsilateral meniscus tear or maceration/destruction. For the lateral compartment, no similar associations were found.

Acknowledgements We thank Margret Clancy and Christine McLennan, for their critical contributions as project managers for the Framingham Osteoarthritis Community Cohort and the support staff, and all study participants.

Funding This study has received funding by: David Felson was supported by NIH grant AG18393 and AR47785, Martin Englund was supported by The Swedish Research Council, The Kock Foundation, The Swedish Rheumatism Association, Region Skåne, Governmental Funding of Clinical Research within National Health Service (ALF), and the Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Sweden. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Compliance with ethical standards

Guarantor The scientific guarantor of this publication is Martin Englund.

Conflict of interest The authors of this manuscript declare relationships with the following companies:

Dr. Guermazi reports personal fees from MerckSerono, TissueGene, OrthoTrophix, GE Healthcare, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, and Sanofi-Aventis, and personal fees from Boston Imaging Core Lab, LLC, outside the submitted work.

Dr. Roemer reports other from Boston Imaging Core Lab. (BICL), LLC, outside the submitted work.

Each other author certifies that he or she has no commercial associations (e.g., consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, patent/ licensing arrangements) that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article.

Statistics and biometry Aleksandra Turkiewicz kindly provided statistical advice for this manuscript.

Informed consent Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects (patients) in this study.

Ethical approval Institutional Review Board approval was obtained.

Methodology

- cross-sectional study
- multicenter study

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- 1. Kurosawa H, Fukubayashi T, Nakajima H (1980) Load-bearing mode of the knee joint: physical behavior of the knee joint with or without menisci. Clin Orthop Relat Res:283–290
- 2. Walker PS, Erkman MJ (1975) The role of the menisci in force transmission across the knee. Clin Orthop Relat Res:184–192
- Krause WR, Pope MH, Johnson RJ, Wilder DG (1976) Mechanical changes in the knee after meniscectomy. J Bone Joint Surg Am 58: 599–604
- Kenny C (1997) Radial displacement of the medial meniscus and Fairbank's signs. Clin Orthop Relat Res (339):163–173
- Adams JG, McAlindon T, Dimasi M, Carey J, Eustace S (1999) Contribution of meniscal extrusion and cartilage loss to joint space narrowing in osteoarthritis. Clin Radiol 54:502–506
- Gale DR, Chaisson CE, Totterman SM, Schwartz RK, Gale ME, Felson D (1999) Meniscal subluxation: association with osteoarthritis and joint space narrowing. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 7:526–532
- Sugita T, Kawamata T, Ohnuma M, Yoshizumi Y, Sato K (2001) Radial displacement of the medial meniscus in varus osteoarthritis of the knee. Clin Orthop Relat Res (387):171–177
- Costa CR, Morrison WB, Carrino JA (2004) Medial meniscus extrusion on knee MRI: is extent associated with severity of degeneration or type of tear? AJR Am J Roentgenol 183:17–23
- Englund M, Roos EM, Lohmander LS (2003) Impact of type of meniscal tear on radiographic and symptomatic knee osteoarthritis: a sixteen-year followup of meniscectomy with matched controls. Arthritis Rheum 48:2178–2187
- Englund M, Lohmander LS (2004) Risk factors for symptomatic knee osteoarthritis fifteen to twenty-two years after meniscectomy. Arthritis Rheum 50:2811–2819

- Hunter DJ, Zhang YQ, Tu X et al (2006) Change in joint space width: hyaline articular cartilage loss or alteration in meniscus? Arthritis Rheum 54:2488–2495
- Hunter DJ, Zhang YQ, Niu JB et al (2006) The association of meniscal pathologic changes with cartilage loss in symptomatic knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 54:795–801
- Englund M, Niu J, Guermazi A et al (2007) Effect of meniscal damage on the development of frequent knee pain, aching, or stiffness. Arthritis Rheum 56:4048–4054
- Englund M, Guermazi A, Gale D et al (2008) Incidental meniscal findings on knee MRI in middle-aged and elderly persons. N Engl J Med 359:1108–1115
- Allen DM, Li L, Crema MD et al (2010) The relationship between meniscal tears and meniscal position. Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis 2:315–323
- Englund M, Felson DT, Guermazi A et al (2011) Risk factors for medial meniscal pathology on knee MRI in older US adults: a multicentre prospective cohort study. Ann Rheum Dis 70:1733– 1739
- Choi CJ, Choi YJ, Lee JJ, Choi CH (2010) Magnetic resonance imaging evidence of meniscal extrusion in medial meniscus posterior root tear. Arthroscopy 26:1602–1606
- Crema MD, Roemer FW, Felson DT et al (2012) Factors associated with meniscal extrusion in knees with or at risk for osteoarthritis: the multicenter osteoarthritis study. Radiology 264:494–503
- Wirth W, Frobell RB, Souza RB et al (2010) A three-dimensional quantitative method to measure meniscus shape, position, and signal intensity using MR images: a pilot study and preliminary results in knee osteoarthritis. Magn Reson Med 63:1162–1171
- 20. Wenger A, Englund M, Wirth W et al (2012) Relationship of 3D meniscal morphology and position with knee pain in subjects with knee osteoarthritis: a pilot study. Eur Radiol 22:211–220
- Bloecker K, Guermazi A, Wirth W et al (2013) Tibial coverage, meniscus position, size and damage in knees discordant for joint space narrowing - data from the osteoarthritis initiative. Osteoarthr Cartil 21:419–427
- 22. Guermazi A, Hayashi D, Jarraya M et al (2013) Medial posterior meniscal root tears are associated with development or worsening of medial tibiofemoral cartilage damage: the multicenter osteoarthritis study. Radiology 268:814–821
- Bloecker K, Wirth W, Hudelmaier M, Burgkart R, Frobell R, Eckstein F (2012) Morphometric differences between the medial and lateral meniscus in healthy men - a three-dimensional analysis using magnetic resonance imaging. Cells Tissues Organs 195:353–364
- Zhang F, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Oei EHG, Turkiewicz A, Englund M, Runhaar J (2016) Factors associated with meniscal body extrusion on knee MRI in overweight and obese women. Osteoarthr Cartil. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2016.12.001
- 25. Zhang F, Kumm J, Svensson F, Turkiewicz A, Frobell R, Englund M (2016) Risk factors for meniscal body extrusion on MRI in subjects free of radiographic knee osteoarthritis: longitudinal data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. Osteoarthr Cartil 24:801–806

- 26. Bloecker K, Englund M, Wirth W et al (2011) Revision 1 size and position of the healthy meniscus, and its correlation with sex, height, weight, and bone area- a cross-sectional study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 12:248
- Felson DT, Anderson JJ, Naimark A, Walker AM, Meenan RF (1988) Obesity and knee osteoarthritis. The Framingham Study. Ann Intern Med 109:18–24
- Felson DT, Zhang Y, Anthony JM, Naimark A, Anderson JJ (1992) Weight loss reduces the risk for symptomatic knee osteoarthritis in women. The Framingham Study. Ann Intern Med 116:535–539
- Felson DT, Niu J, McClennan C et al (2007) Knee buckling: prevalence, risk factors, and associated limitations in function. Ann Intern Med 147:534–540
- Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS (1957) Radiological assessment of osteoarthrosis. Ann Rheum Dis 16:494–502
- Englund M, Roemer FW, Hayashi D, Crema MD, Guermazi A (2012) Meniscus pathology, osteoarthritis and the treatment controversy. Nat Rev Rheumatol 8:412–419
- Arno S, Bell CP, Xia D et al (2016) Relationship between meniscal integrity and risk factors for cartilage degeneration. Knee. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.knee.2015.11.004
- Lee DH, Lee BS, Kim JM et al (2011) Predictors of degenerative medial meniscus extrusion: radial component and knee osteoarthritis. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 19:222–229
- Lerer DB, Umans HR, Hu MX, Jones MH (2004) The role of meniscal root pathology and radial meniscal tear in medial meniscal extrusion. Skelet Radiol 33:569–574
- 35. Crema MD, Nevitt MC, Guermazi A et al (2014) Progression of cartilage damage and meniscal pathology over 30 months is associated with an increase in radiographic tibiofemoral joint space narrowing in persons with knee OA–the MOST study. Osteoarthr Cartil 22:1743–1747
- Cohen SB, Short CP, O'Hagan T, Wu HT, Morrison WB, Zoga AC (2012) The effect of meniscal tears on cartilage loss of the knee: findings on serial MRIs. Phys Sportsmedicine 40:66–76
- 37. Ding C, Martel-Pelletier J, Pelletier JP et al (2007) Knee meniscal extrusion in a largely non-osteoarthritic cohort: association with greater loss of cartilage volume. Arthritis Res Ther 9:R21
- 38. Berthiaume MJ, Raynauld JP, Martel-Pelletier J et al (2005) Meniscal tear and extrusion are strongly associated with progression of symptomatic knee osteoarthritis as assessed by quantitative magnetic resonance imaging. Ann Rheum Dis 64:556–563
- Englund M, Guermazi A, Roemer FW et al (2010) Meniscal pathology on MRI increases the risk for both incident and enlarging subchondral bone marrow lesions of the knee: the MOST Study. Ann Rheum Dis 69:1796–1802
- Bloecker K, Wirth W, Guermazi A, Hitzl W, Hunter DJ, Eckstein F (2015) Longitudinal change in quantitative meniscus measurements in knee osteoarthritis–data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. Eur Radiol 25:2960–2968