



LUND UNIVERSITY

Preview on Nanoparticle Monitors

Dahl, Andreas; Gudmundsson, Anders; Bohgard, Mats

Published in:
European Aerosol Conference 2009

2009

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Dahl, A., Gudmundsson, A., & Bohgard, M. (2009). Preview on Nanoparticle Monitors. *European Aerosol Conference 2009*, T091A32.

Total number of authors:
3

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

Preview on Nanoparticle Monitors

A. Dahl, A. Gudmundsson and M. Bohgard

Ergonomics and Aerosol Technology, Lund University, SE-22100, Lund, Sweden

Keywords: MiniDiSC, NanoCheck, NanoTracer, Indoor Air Quality

MiniDiSC (University of Applied Sciences, Windisch, CH), NanoCheck (Grimm Aerosol) and NanoTracer (Philips Research) are new, light weight, battery powered aerosol instruments that are based on electrical measurement techniques. The MiniDiSC is a handheld version of the DiSC (Fierz et al. 2007). The handheld NanoTracer (Marra 2008) and the portable NanoCheck (Schneider 2009) are based on similar measuring principle. These instruments are developed for measuring nanoparticles and are able to give fair estimates on number concentration and particle mean diameter. In this study the instruments were tested on candle smoke.

The NanoTracer and the MiniDiSC were at the time of the study in the final stages of development. The results are, therefore, not necessarily representative for the final products.

The three instruments were calibrated by the manufacturers with salt aerosols. During the tests the instruments were run in parallel with a uCPC (model 3025, TSI) and an SMPS-system consisting of a Vienna DMA and a 3760A CPC (TSI). The candle smoke was generated by ten candles and the smoke was then led to a 22m³ chamber where the instruments were placed. After reaching a mass concentration of 200µg/m³ the candles were extinguished. The chamber was continuously ventilated with particle free air at an air exchange ratio of 5h⁻¹.




Figure 1. Diameter correlation between SMPS system and the studied instruments. 60s average.

As shown in figure 1, the NanoTracer correlates well with the particle diameter as measured with SMPS (average of 2.5% error). The MiniDiSC and the NanoCheck underestimated the diameter with an average of 19% and 25% respectively. On the other hand as indicated in figure 2, the average error in the NanoCheck particle number concentration is

less than 2%, while NanoTracer and the MiniDiSC overestimated the number concentration by an average of 34% and 45% respectively.

Neither of the instruments was calibrated for the aerosol used in this study and errors are expected and since the errors show fairly monotonic relationships, proper calibration would suppress these errors.




Figure 2. Concentration correlation between uCPC and the studied instruments. 60s average. (At 400 000 #/cm³ the SMPS was used as reference.)

The SMPS system is the most frequently used instrument for nanoparticles, but the complexity makes field work challenging. Although the instruments in this study provide less accurate data, the simplicity and the battery powered design give them potential to be used extensively in field work.

Acknowledgements: This work has been supported by the Development Fund of the Swedish Construction Industry (SBUF) and The Swedish Research Council for Environment, Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning (FORMAS).

Special thanks to: Martin Fierz, Grimm Aerosol and Philips Aerasense

- Fierz M., Burtscher H., Steigmeier P. and Kasper M. (2007). Field measurement of particle size and number concentration with the Diffusion Size Classifier (DiSC). /SAE 2007-08PFL-484/
- Marra J. (2008). Ultra-fine particle sensors for indoor air pollution monitoring and control. *Proc., Indoor Air*, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Schneider F. (2009). New insights in aerosol particle Detection - NanoCheck and Wide Range Aerosol Spectrometer. *Proc. 18th Symposium of the NVvA*, Zeist, Netherlands.