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ACTIVITIES OF DAILY LIVING – OUTCOME DURING TWO YEARS IN GALANTAMINE TREATED ALZHEIMER PATIENTS

Wattmo C, Wallin Å K, Minthon L
Clinical Memory Research Unit, Department of Clinical Sciences, Malmö, Lund University, Sweden.

Objective
To analyse and present the outcome of longitudinal change in ADL function and cognition in patients treated with galantamine for two years.

Methods and Subjects
The Swedish Alzheimer Treatment Study (SATS) is an open, long-term, multicentre study in a routine clinical setting. Patients with the diagnosis of Alzheimer’s Disease received the cholinesterase inhibitor galantamine. The 122 patients were assessed with several functional and cognitive rating scales including IADL, PSMS, FAST, MMSE and ADAS-cog at baseline and every 6 months for a total period of two years.

The expected rate of IADL decline in untreated patients has been calculated using a linear equation as presented by Green et al.[1, 2]:

$$\Delta \text{IADL} = 10.124 - 0.332 \times \text{IADL}_{\text{Bas}}$$

where IADL is the IADL baseline score.

A two-step cluster analysis was performed to reveal any natural groupings (clusters) of the patients based on the ADL scores at baseline.

### Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients (n)</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (males/females)</td>
<td>39% / 61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galantamine mean dose mg/day</td>
<td>15.5 - 19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 year completion rate</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at investigation*</td>
<td>72.4 ± 7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration, years*</td>
<td>3.0 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE*</td>
<td>23.2 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAS-cog*</td>
<td>17.2 ± 8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IADL*</td>
<td>13.7 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSMS*</td>
<td>7.0 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAST*</td>
<td>3.4 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*mean ± SD

### Results

#### Fig A
PSMS and FAST

After two years of galantamine treatment the total mean change from baseline in PSMS score was 4.3 ± 4.9 (mean ± SD) points. Using the mathematical model by Green et al.[1] patients in this study are expected to decline approximately 11.7 ± 3.3 points on IADL score after 2 years. The IADL changes from baseline show a strong linear relationship (0.009< |r|<0.05) with cognition at the 18 and 24 months assessments.

#### Fig B
IADL

The significant variables between the two groups, in order of significance, were:

- Log10(Probability): larger value is more significant
- PSMS change between baseline and 18 months*
- PSMS change between baseline and 24 months*
- IADL H: Ability to handle finances
- IADL G: Responsibility for own medications
- IADL F: Telephone
- IADL E: Personal responsibilities
- IADL D: Clothing
- IADL C: Food preparation
- IADL B: Telephone
- IADL A: Ability to use telephone
- IADL 5: Weekday domestic tasks
- IADL 4: Decreased ability to perform complex tasks
- IADL 3: Decreased job functioning; difficulty in travelling
- IADL 2: Two steps up stairs; two steps down stairs
- IADL 1: Ability to walk 10 meters

#### Fig C
Other significant differences between the two groups

110 patients with complete items in the three ADL scales at baseline were analysed in a two-step cluster analysis. Two clusters (groups) were identified (p<0.000).

The patients in cluster 1 are more cognitive impaired at baseline than the other group and have lower percentage of apoE4-carriers. No significant differences in gender, duration, age at investigation or mean dose of galantamine during the study was observed. The cluster 1 patients also decline significantly faster in the long-term outcome of PSMS score compared to the other group; IADL and FAST scales do not show this difference.

### Conclusions

The instrumental ADL scale in the galantamine treated patients showed a faster decline of function than the PSMS and FAST scales, but significantly less than expected by using the mathematical model by Green et al.

Increasing strength in the linear correlation between the three ADL scales as well as cognition was observed during the two years of the study.

Cluster analysis based on ADL scores at baseline, identified two subgroups: with different cognitive ability, dissimilar proportion of apoE4-carriers and rate of change in basic functional decline.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IADL</td>
<td>Instrumental activities of daily living scale (8 – 31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSMS</td>
<td>Physical Self-Maintenance Scale (0 – 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAST</td>
<td>Functional Assessment Staging (0 – 140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>Mini Mental State Examination (30 – 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAS-cog</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale-cognitive subscale (0 – 70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>