



# LUND UNIVERSITY

## Systematic feed-forward convolutional encoders are as good as other encoders with an M-algorithm decoder

Osthoff, Harro; Johannesson, Rolf; Anderson, John B

*Published in:*  
Abstracts, International Symp. on Information Theory

1993

[Link to publication](#)

### *Citation for published version (APA):*

Osthoff, H., Johannesson, R., & Anderson, J. B. (1993). Systematic feed-forward convolutional encoders are as good as other encoders with an M-algorithm decoder. In *Abstracts, International Symp. on Information Theory* (pp. 268). IEEE - Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc..  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel4/5602/14996/00748582.pdf>

*Total number of authors:*  
3

### General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:  
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117  
221 00 Lund  
+46 46-222 00 00

# SYSTEMATIC FEED-FORWARD CONVOLUTIONAL ENCODERS ARE AS GOOD AS OTHER ENCODERS WITH AN $M$ -ALGORITHM DECODER

Harro Osthoff\*, Rolf Johannesson\*, and John Anderson\*\*

\* Department of Information Theory  
University of Lund  
Box 118  
S- 221 00 Lund  
Sweden


\*\* Electrical, Computer, and  
Systems Engineering Department  
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute  
Troy, New York 12180-3590  
USA

**Summary**—In this paper we show that systematic convolutional encoders perform as well as nonsystematic ones when they are used together with  $M$ -algorithm decoders [1]. We describe the algorithm and give a brief historical review. The following curves show simulation results for the event error probability of the  $M$ -algorithm. We compare an optimum distance profile nonsystematic encoder ( $d_{free} = 22$ ) and a quick-look-in encoder ( $d_{free} = 18$ ) with a systematic encoder ( $d_{free} = 13$ ). All encoders have memory  $m = 20$  and in the decoder 32 states are extended at every time instant ( $M = 32$ ).

terms of the free distance and the distance spectrum. A rapid growth of the column distances is more important than a large free distance.

As a bonus when used together with the  $M$ -algorithm, systematic encoders outperform nonsystematic encoders in terms of bit error probability as shown in the next picture (framelength = 1024). The reason is that systematic encoders are superior from a correct path loss point of view.


Error Event Probability



All curves show the same event error probability performance.

Using criteria for the encoder quality like the optimum distance profile and the optimum profile spectrum [2] any encoder is equivalent to a systematic one over the encoder memory. As long as these distance criteria support the decoder performance, the event error probability of the  $M$ -algorithm depends only on  $M$ . Therefore, in a range of interesting values of  $M$ , systematic encoders should behave like nonsystematic encoders in terms of error event probability as our simulations show. The decoder complexity is independent of the memory of the encoder. The free distance does not matter as long it is big enough to correct all paths within the set of extended decoder states. Hence, for  $M$ -algorithm decoders, in contrast to the Viterbi decoder, code quality cannot be expressed in

Bit Error Probability



## References

- [1] J. B. Anderson, "Limited Search Trellis Decoding of Convolutional Codes", IEEE Trans. Information Theory, IT-35, pp. 944-956, Sep. 1989.
- [2] H. Osthoff, R. Johannesson, B. Smeets and H. Vinck, "On the Linear  $M$ -Algorithm", Proceedings IEEE Information Theory Symposium, San Diego, Jan. 1990, pp.85.

This work was supported in part by the Swedish Research Council for Engineering Sciences under Grant 91-91.