

LUND UNIVERSITY

Small antenna analysis using convex optimization

Gustafsson, Mats

Published in: AES 2013 Proceedings

2013

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA): Gustafsson, M. (2013). Small antenna analysis using convex optimization. In AES 2013 Proceedings (pp. 1-2)

Total number of authors: 1

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply: Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

· Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

or research.
You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain

· You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117 221 00 Lund +46 46-222 00 00

Small antenna analysis using convex optimization

Mats Gustafsson

Lund University, Sweden mats.gustafsson@eit.lth.se

Abstract— The performance of small antennas is constrained by the electrical size of the antenna structure. Here, convex optimization is used to analyze small antennas. It is shown that stored electric and magnetic energies, radiated power, and radiated field expressed in the current density can by combined to form convex optimization problems for many interesting antenna cases. The solution of the optimization problem determines physical bounds on the antenna performance.

Fundamental limitations on small antennas were first studied more than 60 years ago by Wheeler [14] and Chu [2], see also [9,13]. The approach by Chu is based on mode expansions of the fields outside the smallest circumscribing sphere [2] and explicit calculations of the stored electric and magnetic energies and the radiated power to determine the Q-factor. This approach has dominated the research but is unfortunately restricted to canonical geometries such as spheres. The analysis was generalized to arbitrarily shaped antennas by a reformulation of the antenna problem into a scattering problem and utilizing the forward scattering sum rule [4, 5], see also [6, 10, 12, 15] for alternative approaches.

In this presentation, the analysis is generalized to many new and important antenna problems using convex optimization [1,8]. The results are based on formulations of the antenna problems as convex optimizations problems, where we use that the stored electric and magnetic energies, and radiated power are positive semi-definite quadratic forms in the current density. We use the explicit expressions by Vanderbosch [11] for the stored energies, see also [7] for an alternative derivation and interpretation. Moreover, the presented results are for current densities in free space.

Following the notation in [8] and expand the current density, J, in local basis functions as in the method of moments (MoM), and let

$$\begin{cases} W_{\rm e} \approx \mathbf{J}^{\rm H} \mathbf{X}_{\rm e} \mathbf{J} & \text{stored electric energy} \\ W_{\rm m} \approx \mathbf{J}^{\rm H} \mathbf{X}_{\rm m} \mathbf{J} & \text{stored magnetic energy} \\ P_{\rm r} \approx \mathbf{J}^{\rm H} \mathbf{R}_{\rm r} \mathbf{J} & \text{radiated power} \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}^* \cdot \boldsymbol{F}(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}) \approx \mathbf{F}^{\rm H} \mathbf{J} & \text{far field in the } \hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \text{ direction and the } \hat{\boldsymbol{e}} \text{ polarization,} \end{cases}$$
(1)

where **J** is a column matrix with the expansion coefficients for J and the superscripts * and ^H denote the complex conjugate and Hermitian transpose, respectively. The quality factor is defined as a weighted quotient between the stored energy and the radiated power [2,9,13]

$$Q = \frac{2\omega \max\{W_{\rm e}, W_{\rm m}\}}{P_{\rm r}} \approx \frac{2\omega \max\{\mathbf{J}^{\rm H} \mathbf{X}_{\rm e} \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}^{\rm H} \mathbf{X}_{\rm m} \mathbf{J}\}}{\mathbf{J}^{\rm H} \mathbf{R}_{\rm r} \mathbf{J}}.$$
 (2)

Similarly, the partial directivity is a weighted quotient between the radiation intensity in the direction \hat{k} and polarization \hat{e} and the radiated power, *i.e.*,

$$D(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}) = \frac{\beta |\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}^* \cdot \boldsymbol{F}(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}})|^2}{P_{\rm r}} \approx \frac{\beta |\mathbf{F}^{\rm H} \mathbf{J}|^2}{\mathbf{J}^{\rm H} \mathbf{R}_{\rm r} \mathbf{J}},\tag{3}$$

where β is a normalization constant. To form convex optimization problems, we first consider the partial directivity Q-factor quotient

$$\frac{D(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}})}{Q} \le \max_{\boldsymbol{J}} \frac{D(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}})}{Q} = \max_{\boldsymbol{J}} \frac{\beta |\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}^* \cdot \boldsymbol{F}(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}})|^2}{2\omega \max\{W_{\rm e}, W_{\rm m}\}} \approx \max_{\boldsymbol{J}} \frac{\beta |\mathbf{F}^{\rm H} \mathbf{J}|^2}{2\omega \max\{\mathbf{J}^{\rm H} \mathbf{X}_{\rm e} \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}^{\rm H} \mathbf{X}_{\rm m} \mathbf{J}\}}.$$
 (4)

The quotient is invariant for a multiplicative scaling $J \to \alpha J$ showing that it is sufficient to consider $|\mathbf{F}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{J}|^{2} = 1$ or $\mathrm{Re}\{\mathbf{F}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{J}\} = 1$, see [6]. This gives the convex optimization problem

minimize
$$\max{\{\mathbf{J}^{H}\mathbf{X}_{e}\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}^{H}\mathbf{X}_{m}\mathbf{J}\}}$$

subject to $\operatorname{Re}{\{\mathbf{F}^{H}\mathbf{J}\}} = 1$ (5)

where the constant 1 is used for simplicity. Convex optimization problems can be solved with e.g., the matlab toolbox CVX [3], see [8]. The main advantage with the formulation as a convex optimization problem is that we can easily extend the D/Q problem (5) to other problems. By e.g., adding a constraint on the radiated power P_r , we get the convex optimization problem

minimize
$$\max{\{\mathbf{J}^{H}\mathbf{X}_{e}\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}^{H}\mathbf{X}_{m}\mathbf{J}\}}$$

subject to $\operatorname{Re}{\{\mathbf{F}^{H}\mathbf{J}\}} = 1$ (6)
 $\mathbf{J}^{H}\mathbf{R}_{r}\mathbf{J} \leq \beta D_{0}^{-1},$

that can be interpreted as a constraint on the partial directivity $D(\hat{k}, \hat{e}) \geq D_0$. The formulation (6) can be used to analyze the minimum Q for superdirective antennas. We can also add other constraints that determines bounds on antennas that have a specified radiated field or are integrated in passive (metallic and/or dielectric) structures [8].

REFERENCES

- 1. S. P. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe. *Convex optimization*. Cambridge Univ Pr, 2004.
- L. J. Chu. Physical limitations of omni-directional antennas. J. Appl. Phys., 19, 1163–1175, 1948.
- 3. M. Grant and S. Boyd. CVX: Matlab software for disciplined convex programming, version 1.21. cvxr.com/cvx, April 2011.
- M. Gustafsson, C. Sohl, and G. Kristensson. Physical limitations on antennas of arbitrary shape. Proc. R. Soc. A, 463, 2589–2607, 2007.
- M. Gustafsson, C. Sohl, and G. Kristensson. Illustrations of new physical bounds on linearly polarized antennas. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, 57(5), 1319–1327, May 2009.
- M. Gustafsson, M. Cismasu, and B. L. G. Jonsson. Physical bounds and optimal currents on antennas. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, 60(6), 2672–2681, 2012.
- M. Gustafsson and B. Jonsson. Stored electromagnetic energy and antenna Q. Technical Report LUTEDX/(TEAT-7222)/1–25/(2012), Lund University, Department of Electrical and Information Technology, P.O. Box 118, S-221 00 Lund, Sweden, 2012. http://www.eit.lth.se.
- 8. M. Gustafsson and S. Nordebo. Antenna currents for optimal Q, superdirectivity, and radiation patterns using convex optimization. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, 2013. (in press).
- 9. R. C. Hansen and R. E. Collin. Small Antenna Handbook. Wiley, 2011.
- H. Thal. Q bounds for arbitrary small antennas: A circuit approach. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, 60(7), 3120–3128, 2012.
- 11. G. A. E. Vandenbosch. Reactive energies, impedance, and Q factor of radiating structures. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, **58**(4), 1112–1127, 2010.
- 12. G. A. E. Vandenbosch. Simple procedure to derive lower bounds for radiation Q of electrically small devices of arbitrary topology. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, **59**(6), 2217–2225, 2011.
- 13. J. Volakis, C. C. Chen, and K. Fujimoto. Small Antennas: Miniaturization Techniques & Applications. McGraw-Hill, New York, 2010.
- H. A. Wheeler. Fundamental limitations of small antennas. Proc. IRE, 35(12), 1479–1484, 1947.
- 15. A. D. Yaghjian and H. R. Stuart. Lower bounds on the Q of electrically small dipole antennas. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, **58**(10), 3114–3121, 2010.