LUND UNIVERSITY

On linguistics categories as categories : The case of antonyms and synonyms

Paradis, Carita; Tesfaye, Debela

2015

[Link to publication](#)

Total number of authors:
2

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

Carita Paradis, Lund University & Debela Tesfaye, Addis Ababa University

On linguistics categories as categories

The case of antonyms and synonyms

This corpus study addresses the question of the nature and the structure of antonymy and synonymy as categories in language. While quite a lot of empirical research using different observational techniques has been carried on antonymy (e.g. Roehm et al. 2007, Lobanova 2013, Paradis et al. 2009), not as much has been devoted to synonymy (e.g. Divjak 2010) and very little has been carried out on both of them using the same methodologies (Gries & Otani 2010). The goal of this study is to bring antonyms and synonyms together, using the same (semi-)automatic methods to identify their behavioral patterns in texts. We examine the conceptual closeness/distance of synonyms and antonyms through the lens of their DOMAIN instantiations. For instance, *strong* used in the context of WIND or TASTE (OF TEA) as compared to *light* and *weak* respectively, and *light* as compared to *heavy* when talking about RAIN or WEIGHT. In order to identify as many domains as possible for our synonyms and antonyms, we choose as our starting-point sets of both antonym and synonym pairs, and through their use we extract and cluster other words expressing properties of these various domains. Using an algorithm similar to the one proposed by Tesfaye & Zock (2012) and Zock & Tesfaye (2012), we mine the co-occurrence information of the pairs in different domains separately, measuring the strength of their relation in the different domains with the aim of (i) making principled comparisons between antonyms and synonyms from a DOMAIN perspective, (ii) enhancing the algorithm to mine co-occurrence information specific to given domains, and (iii) determining the structure of antonymy and synonymy as categories in language and cognition.

References

Divjak, D. 2010. Structuring the lexicon: a clustered model for near-synonymy. Berlin: de Gruyter.

Gries, Stefan Th. & N. Otani. 2010. Behavioral profiles: a corpus-based perspective on synonymy and antonymy. ICAME Journal 34. 121–150.

Lobanova, A. 2012. The Anatomy of Antonymy: A Corpus-Driven Approach. Dissertation, University of Groningen.

Paradis, C., C. Willners & S. Jones. 2009. Good and bad opposites: using textual and psycholinguistic techniques to measure antonym canonicity. The Mental Lexicon 4(3). 380–429.

Roehm, D., I. Bornkessel-Schlesewsky, F. Rösler & M. Schlesewsky 2007. To predict or not to predict: Influences of task and strategy on the processing o f semantic relations. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience 19 (8). 1259–1274.

Tesfaye, D. & Zock, M. 2012. Automatic Extraction of Part-whole Relations. In Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Natural Language Processing and Cognitive Science.130-139

Zock, M. & Tesfaye, D. 2012. Automatic index creation to support navigation in lexical graphs encoding part of relations. Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on Cognitive Aspects of the Lexicon (CogALex-III), COLING 2012. 33–52.