Deliberate self-harm in Swedish university students – onset and relationships with anxiety and mindfulness

Bjärehed, Jonas; Johansson, Olof

2009

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Deliberate self-harm (DSH) can be defined as intentional self-induced harming of one's own body resulting in relevant tissue damage (Fry et al., 2006).

Previous research has received much interest in research and in literature-reviews during recent years (Fry et al., 2006).

DSH typically has its onset in early adolescence and is strongly correlated with psychiatric symptoms, but occurs over many different disorders, as well as in non-clinical samples (Iwaï, et al. 2006; Hoek, et al., 2015).

DSH is generally viewed as a dysfunctional coping mechanism or as a non-adaptive strategy to regulate tension and other negative emotions used by some people (Inckelberg, 2017).

Regrettably, the research in this field has been obstructed by methodological shortcomings, such as the lack of a unison definition of DSH and reliable instruments to measure such behaviors. One attempt to amend these methodological problems has been the development of the Deliberate Self-Harm Inventory (DSH; Gray, 2001).

To date no Swedish data on the prevalence of DSH in university students has been available.

Therefore, this study was planned in two steps:

First a shortened Swedish adaptation of the Deliberate Self-Harm Inventory (DSH-S) (Bjärrehed & Lundh, 2008) was used in a sample of university students. This version of the instrument screened for the life-time prevalence of a broad range of different forms of DSH and was thus used to establish if these behaviors indeed were present in university student.

Second, a further shortened version of the instrument called the Deliberate Self-Harm Inventory (DSH-S version-revised (DSH-VR); Bjärrehed & Lundh, 2008) that had previously been used with Swedish adolescents was administered to a second sample of university students. The reason for using the DSH-VR was that a shorter instrument would be easier and quicker for participants to answer.

Data reported in this study was collected on two separate occasions with about one year interval in two separate samples of university students at one Swedish University.

Participants

Sample 1: A total of 512 university students were recruited to respond to the questionnaire. After excluding participants with extensive missing data (247 men and 252 women, 1 had not stated sex) remained. Age of respondents was between 18-49 years (mean age: 24.0, SD = 4.9).

Sample 2: A total of 187 university students (91 men and 95 women, 1 had not stated sex) between 19-45 years (mean age: 23.6, SD = 3.7) were recruited to answer the questionnaire.

In both cases of data collection participants were approached on the University campus by research assistants. They were given general information about the study and asked to fill out the questionnaires.

A modified version of the Deliberate Self-Harm Inventory (DSH; Gray, 2001) asks respondents to report how many times they have engaged in a number of self-harming behaviors. In Sample 1 a version of the DSH that asks for the life-time occurrences of 16 different forms of DSH was used (Lundh et al., 2007). In Sample 2 a shortened version of the DSH that asks for occurrences of 9 forms of DSH during the last 6 months was used (Bjärrehed & Lundh, 2008).

The Mindful Attention Awareness Scale. The MAAS (Brown & Ryan, 2003) measures dispositional mindfulness, i.e. awareness of and attention to events and experiences in the present moment. The MAAS is composed of 15 self-report items that asks how often participants experience different day-to-day experiences like “I could be experiencing some emotions and not be conscious of it until some time later,” an 1 (almost always) to 6 (almost never) scale where high scores represent high degree of mindfulness.

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. The HADS (Zigmond & Snaith, 1983) is a commonly used measure to detect states of depression and anxiety. The scale consists of 7 items that measure anxiety like “I feel tense or wound up” and 7 items that measure depression, like “I still enjoy the things I used to enjoy.”

As in several previous studies, DSH was found to be fairly common in the two separate non-clinical samples of university students studied here, and is similar to the prevalences found when similar methodology has been used to assess DSH in previous research.

The number of behaviors asked for and also the time-period measured is important for the overall estimate of DSH prevalence in a particular sample and have to be considered when interpreting results over different studies.

The results from Sample 1 suggests that some extreme forms of DSH, such as “rubbed sandpaper on your body”, “dripped acid onto your skin”, “used bleach, comet, or oven cleaner to scrub your skin”, “rubbed glass into your skin” and “broken your own bones” are infrequently reported by a very small proportion of respondents in non-clinical samples.

It has been suggested that DSH could be relatively unstable over time (Bjärrehed & Lundh, 2008) and that DSH often start during early adolescence and then generally dissipate over time. Lower prevalence rates would be expected when only recent DSH is asked for. DSH reported during the last 6 months, might therefore be more relevant as an estimate of the prevalences of commonly found forms of DSH in non-clinical populations.

The correlation between DSH and anxiety and the elevated level of anxiety in the group of self-harming participants is consistent with the view of DSH as a symptom of psychopathology. It would also be consistent with the view that DSH could be a dysfunctional strategy to regulate negative emotion (i.e. anxiety). The relationship with mindfulness also fits this model as high mindfulness related to more functional emotional regulation, and low mindfulness would be found correlating to both DSH and elevated anxiety in this model.

Conclusion

As reported during the last 6 months, might therefore be more relevant as an estimate of the prevalences of commonly found forms of DSH in non-clinical populations.
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