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Abstract—This paper presents an energy efficient adaptive QR decomposition scheme for Long Term Evolution Advance (LTE-A) downlink system. The proposed scheme provides a performance robustness to fluctuating wireless channels while maintaining lower workload on a reconfigurable hardware. A statistic based algorithm-switching strategy is employed in the scheme to achieve workload reduction and stable computing resource requirement for QR decomposition. With run time resource allocation, computing resources are assigned to highest performance gain segments to reduce performance loss. By utilizing the dynamic voltage and frequency scaling (DVFS) technique, we further exploit the potential of power saving in various workload situation while maintaining fixed throughput. The proposed technique brings power reduction upto 57.8% in EVA-5 scenario and 24.4% with a maximum SNR loss of 1 dB in EVA-70 scenario, when mapped on a coarse grain reconfigurable vector-based platform.

Index Terms—QR decomposition, multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO), dynamic voltage and frequency scaling (DVFS)

I. INTRODUCTION

Multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) technique is adopted in LTE-A standard for its capability in increasing system data rate without extra bandwidth requirement. To implement practical MIMO system, pre-processing of the channel state information (CSI), e.g. QR decomposition (QRD) [1] is essential for various MIMO detection algorithms, like sphere decoder [2]. To tackle the high computing cost of QRD, several algorithms [3], [4] have been proposed. These low complexity implementations rely on approximations of QRD by leveraging wireless channel feature. Comparing with exact (brute force) QRD, these algorithms bear different degradation in system performance for different scenarios. Runtime adaptive processing that alternates current algorithm to fit changing external environment is a promising method to reduce computational burden on hardware, while still keeping robust performance in changing environment. From a hardware perspective, reduction in computation complexity directly leads to reduction in power consumption, since it decreases activity ratio of transistors. Benefiting from the diverse workload of adaptive processing, the potential of power saving could also be exploited by dynamic voltage and frequency scaling (DVFS) while still maintaining a constant throughput. DVFS is a well known low power technique [6], where both supply voltage and frequency can be decreased, when the workload of a processor is low. To meet the latency requirement during transmission, resource control schemes are necessary for realizing DVFS. [5].

This paper exploits the aforementioned low-power design techniques, by demonstrating energy efficient QRD for LTE-A downlink system. The adaptive scheme is proposed for adjusting algorithms to fit external environment and minimize the performance loss with reduced working frequency. To efficiently implement adaptive processing, we leverage the reconfigurability of a coarse grain vector processor for mapping adaptive algorithms. Using a 65 nm CMOS library set, we test achievable frequencies of the platform to set up work modes for several available supply voltages with post-layout results. Based on that, the performance simulation result shows that the scheme manages to control performance loss around 1 dB at $10^{-2}$ frame error rate (FER) in EVA-5 and EVA-70 scenarios with dedicated work mode. On the other side, the achieved power saving is 57.8% and 24.4% in EVA-5 and EVA-70 respectively.

II. BACKGROUND

A. QR update scheme

There are several well-known QRD algorithms, Gram-Schmidt [7], Given rotation [8] and Householder [9]. Based on that, researches aimed to reduce the complexity of QRD by exploiting wireless channel characteristic, e.g. exploiting the correlation within time domain and frequency domain [3] [10] [11]. The method proposed in [3] takes advantage of the time correlation between two adjacent channel state information. This method is very effective in reducing complexity, however, its performance largely depends on time correlation which could be parametrized as Euclidean Distance (ED) between CSI matrices $H$.

The following equations are in-line with [3], wherein a MIMO system with $N$ transmit antennas, $N$ receive antennas is proposed. The QR update algorithm contains 2 steps, first an exact computation is performed as

$$H_{\text{old}} = Q_{\text{old}}R_{\text{old}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

and then utilizes approximate new CSI as

$$H_{\text{new}} = Q_{\text{new}}R_{\text{new}} = Q_{\text{old}}(Q_{\text{old}}^H R_{\text{new}}) = Q_{\text{old}}R_{\text{new}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

(1) denotes a brute force QRD process which is referred to as Step-I and (2) denotes the next QR update process (Step-II) based on result obtained in (1). This tracking scheme...
introduces errors since there is no guarantee that \( R_{\text{new}} \) will be an upper-triangle matrix. The performance of this method is largely dependent on the changing rate of \( H \) which is positively correlated with Doppler shift of the terminal. Euclidean distance between these two matrices could be used as a parameter to arbitrate with a threshold for which algorithm will be used in the following QR decomposition to control error rate with an acceptable loss. Thus we obtain 3 candidate algorithms, pure brute force QRD, pure QR update, and hybrid QR, determined by the process on Step-II.

The complexity and constitution of these QR decomposition schemes are shown in Table I. It is important to note that even in case-III, the brute force QR is an essential procedure since it requires an initial state. In hybrid QRD scheme, ED measuring is an essential step which determines which process will be chosen for the current QRD. Hence, the measuring and choosing strategy will influence the proportion of brute force QRD and QR update in large time scale and leads to variation on the average complexity of each QRD.

B. Hardware Computing Resource Model

To assist the requirement of our resource scheduling scheme, we briefly introduce a computing resource model. In a certain period of time \( T_{\text{total}} \) processing \( n \) QRD, the amount of available computing resource \( S \) is defined by the capacity of average operation per clock cycle \( C \) and the clock cycle number \( n_{clk} \). \( C \) is a constant characteristic of the target hardware that denotes the fitness between hardware and algorithm. Clock cycle period \( T_{clk} \) is reciprocal to frequency \( f \). Thus we determined that the amount of operation resource we could obtain in a certain period of time is proportional to the current operational frequency \( f \). The relationship between frequency requirement and proportion \( p \) of brute force in all QRD is

\[
S = C \times n_{clk} = C \times \frac{T_{\text{total}}}{T_{clk}} = C T_{\text{total}} \times f
\]

\[
\geq \begin{cases} 
    n R_b & \text{case-I} \\
    p n R_b + (1 - p) n R_u + n R_t & \text{case-II} \\
    n R_u & \text{case-III}.
\end{cases}
\]

The complexities of QR update, brute force QRD, and algorithm decision-making are represented as \( R_u, R_b, \) and \( R_t \), respectively. The amount of operation is determined by the selected strategy, and in hybrid schemes influenced by the proportion of brute force algorithm \( p \). Conversely, the upper bound of proportion of brute force algorithms is determined by current frequency in advance, as shown in Fig. 1.

III. REAL TIME SELF-ADAPTIVE QRD SCHEME

To fully benefit from the potential workload reduction in the aforementioned hybrid QRD scheme, we propose a real time self-adaptive QRD scheme according to current external environment and the supply voltage and frequency. The performance loss between hybrid algorithms and pure brute force varies in different scenario, e.g. QR update scheme suffer lower performance loss in low speed (mobility) scenario than in high speed scenario. Thus the workload requirement or complexity of update scheme is largely relevant to real time circumstance and scheme threshold we chosen, while maintaining the throughput to be a constant is also an essential requirement at system level. To avoid conflicts occurring between the upcoming QRD frames, throughput of QRD in a certain number of frames must be fixed. This is an important prerequisite for this scheduling scheme. In order to solve the fixed throughput problem, gap between computing resource consumption and resource budget must be tackled.

A. Real Time Computing Resource Allocation Scheme

The number of QRD in a certain time interval, e.g. within one frame, is determined by LTE-A standard and the number of sub-carriers in assigned bandwidth. The initial computing resource we have is defined as clock cycle we have in a frame. Using this definition, we can simplify our problem to allocation limited computer resource to certain number of processes. The remaining resource for \( k \)-th QRD operation is formulated as \( R_{k+1} = R_k - C_k \), where \( C_k \) determined the complexity consumption in current QRD. Each time node that we have to decide which algorithm in the following QRD is to be used are regarded as decision point, as shown in Fig. 2. The performance obtained in \( k \)-th QRD is determined by the chosen algorithm and external environment \( e_k \), regarded as \( P_k(C_k, e_k) \). The scheme problem is simplified as maximizing the overall performance

\[
\Psi_{\text{opt}} = \max_{\sum C_k = S} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{QR}} P_k(C_k, e_k), \tag{4}
\]
which is also known as the 0–1 Knapsack Problem [12]. In following sections, different schemes are described and later we evaluate the performance of these schemes.

1) Greedy Resource Allocation Scheme: External environment can’t be obtained until the next time unit, thus no optimal resource allocation scheme could be obtained in real life system. A simple greedy algorithm is proposed in Table. II that allocates computing resources for \( n_{QR} \) QRD. It is greedy and a low-complex algorithm requiring addition and subtraction. It starts with initial remaining resource \( R = S \) and the starting state as normal. In each check point, we identify the next algorithm chosen by testing Euclidean distance between previous CSI matrix \( H_{k-1} \) and current \( H_k \), where the subscript \( k \) is the time index. It must be pointed out that when the remaining resource is more than using brute force algorithm in the following QRD, simply running brute force QRD will avoid extra performance loss in accurate without risking in time-out. This phenomenon is named as resource overflow in this paper. Overflow will cause loss in performance for those previous QRD which could have been solved using an accurate algorithm. On the contrary, the phenomenon that remaining resource is less than using QR update in the following QRD is named as resource exhaustion. Exhaustion will also cause loss in performance due to all further QRD must be solved using an inaccurate algorithm. Overflow and exhaustion are collectively referred to as abnormal state and should be avoid. The current state will be transferred to overflow state or exhaustion state while the remain computing resource approach the resource guard line.

2) Threshold Adjust Scheme: Greedy scheme introduces a low complexity method for a specific external environment.

However, due to the varied external environment, fixed threshold could introduce a mass of overflow or exhaustion state, which leads to performance loss. In this case, runtime adapting threshold to environment to avoid exhaustion or overflow state is a more efficient method to avoid unnecessary performance loss. Since exact estimation of future ED is very difficult to obtain, real time update based on current resource and environment situation is a sub-optimal method.

We propose a scheme that divide a large time interval into \( m \) sub time units and update threshold in each sub time unit to obtain a revised threshold. The main idea of the scheme is based on the portion \( p^\prime \) of QR update in the remaining computing resource, updating threshold through the distribution of ED. The process of obtaining real time portion is shown in (5) where \( R' \) and \( n' \) denotes remaining computing resource and the number of QRD to proceed, respectively. In each sub time unit, the threshold is updated based on

\[
p^\prime = \frac{n'R_a + n' R_u - R'}{R_b - R_u} \tag{5}
\]

One straight-forward way to find the ideal threshold for current sub time unit is to sort all ED and choose the \( \frac{n'}{m} \)-th largest ED as the revised threshold. However, the complexity of sorting algorithms, \( O\left(\frac{n}{m}\log\frac{n}{m}\right) \sim O\left((\frac{n}{m})^2\right) \) [13] [14], is unacceptable for threshold decision. More importantly, it requires the buffering of the data of a whole frame, resulting in high latency.

Near-ideal threshold \( \theta \) could be calculated with \( p^\prime \) and inverse cumulative distribution function (CDF). According to the experimental results of ED distribution as shown in Fig. 3, the distribution of ED in a certain frame is irregular. However, to simplify the problem, we assume that the distribution of
ED in a subframe approximated as a Gaussian distribution. Potential error will be introduced due to this approximation. Nevertheless, its low complexity makes it a promising method in practical implementation. (6) denotes quantile function for threshold estimation [15] where \( \mu \) and \( \sigma \) refers to the mean value and variance of ED distribution in the previous time unit. \( \text{erf}^{-1} \) is an inverse Gauss error function, which can be defined and expanded in terms of Maclaurin series as

\[
F^{-1}(p; \mu, \sigma) = \sigma \Phi^{-1}(p) + \mu \\
= \sqrt{2} \sigma \text{erf}^{-1}(2p - 1) + \mu \\
\approx \sigma \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2} \left( 2p - 1 + \frac{\pi}{12} (2p - 1)^3 \right) - \mu \\
= \theta.
\]

Considering the range of the input value \( p \) is \([0,1]\) and the accuracy we get, only first two Maclaurin expansions is chosen to estimate near-ideal threshold.

To avoid unnecessary variation during the tracking, We also consider a forgetting factor \( \beta \) to track ED distribution between the past 2 subframes

\[
\hat{\mu}_i = \beta \mu_i + (1 - \beta) \hat{\mu}_{i-1}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_i = \beta \sigma_i + (1 - \beta) \hat{\sigma}_{i-1}.
\]

The current treated characteristics, denoted as \( \hat{\mu}_i \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_i \), can be expressed using current raw characteristics and previous treated characteristics. When \( \beta = 1 \), then the estimated characteristic of current frame is equivalent to the statistical result of last frame. By introducing the forgetting factor \( \beta \), a more stable threshold can be achieved, which potentially is favourable for performance of entire system.

**B. Performance Evaluation**

The performance is evaluated under a framework using LTE-A standard. A MIMO system of 4 transmitting antennas and 4 receiving antennas is simulated with K-best MIMO detector using \( K = 10 \). The bandwidth is 5 MHz and the number of used sub-carriers is 300. 64-QAM modulation is used and coded with a rate 1/2 parallel concatenated turbo code. Specification of resource in frequency and time domain on LTE-A standard shown in Fig. 4, ED are determined as

\[
\text{ED of 1000 frame} \quad \text{Guass Distribution} \quad \text{ED in 1 subframe}
\]

Fig. 3: Distribution of squared Euclidean distance between full-H pilot and half-H pilot in 3GPP Extended Vehicular A with a maximum Doppler shift of 70 Hz (EVA-70).

Fig. 4: LTE-A time-frequency resource structure of \( 4 \times 4 \) MIMO system.
shows that run time threshold adjusting scheme achieves about 1.5 dB improvement at FER = 10^{-2} in EVA-70 scenario. Moreover, we also investigate the influence of forgetting factor β in Fig. 7. Comparing with the different forgetting factor β, we do not find a big difference in FER. A variation range of SNR about 0.2 dB for a FER of 10^{-2} is provided.

IV. HARDWARE IMPLEMENTATION WITH DVFS

To evaluate the proposed scheme, we mapped the algorithms on a vector-oriented reconfigurable platform [4]. As previously discussed, the platform is implemented in 65 nm STMicroelectronics CMOS technology and measured with a library set of different supply voltages in Common Power Format (CPF) low power design flow. In Table. III, detailed number of multiplications in both full-H and half-H renewal and average numbers of multiplications per QRD procedure in different schemes are shown. The platform is capable for 16 complex-value multiplications simultaneously. Due to the high reconfigurable of the target platform, the utilization of multipliers approaches 100% with dedicated mapping. In order to handle against data dependency in QR procedure, multi QR procedure on different sub-carriers are paralleled mapped on the platform to fully leverage computing resource and compressed execution time.

To implement the multi-scheme, we use the aforementioned library set with 3 supply voltages, 1.1 V, 1.0 V, and 0.9 V. Running pure brute force QRD with the highest supply voltage 1.1 V in 500 MHz is guiding the throughput for rest supply voltages. Based on that, 1.0 V and 0.9 V is used for testing hybrid algorithm and pure QR update separately. The proportion p in hybrid algorithm is decided by the achievable frequency with a precondition of fixed throughput. As a result, Table. IV shows the manual mapping result of clock cycle per QRD in different supply voltages and post-layout power simulation result. The results show that a 57.8% power reduction is achievable with supply voltage scaling technique.

Fig. 8 shows the relationship between voltage supply and power consumption with the system performance of wireless transmission. It must be noted that dash lines in Fig. 8 only denote the tendency of performance loss and do not imply measured result. The lowering of supply voltage and frequency will lead to shrinking in computing resource which inevitably causes increase in performance loss compared with brute force QRD. This tendency expands with the increase in the Doppler shift. Combining the resource allocation scheme and DVFS has a twofold advantage, firstly the tendency of performance degradation is restrained, and the energy consumption is lowered significantly. Furthermore, the proposed scheme is robust with respect to channel conditions and does not require a pre-defined threshold.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a energy efficient real time self-adaptive QR decomposition scheme for LTE-Advance standard. As
TABLE III: Amount of Complex-Value Multiplication in One Slot of a Subcarrier

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full-H renewal</th>
<th>Half-H renewal</th>
<th>Average per QR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure brute force QRD</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid algorithm*</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>78.4 **</td>
<td>87.2 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure QR update</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ measurement</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ measurement</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 60% brute force and 40% QR update
** Expected value, including ED measurement

Fig. 7: Performance comparison for different forgetting factor β.

Fig. 8: Summary of relationship with power reduction and system performance.

TABLE IV: Manually Mapped and Implementation Result of Targeted Schemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pure brute force QRD</th>
<th>hybrid QRD</th>
<th>pure QR update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Execution clock per QRD</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency requirement</td>
<td>500 MHz</td>
<td>545 MHz</td>
<td>333 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throughput</td>
<td>83.3 MQRD/s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply voltage</td>
<td>1.1 V</td>
<td>1.0 V</td>
<td>0.9 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average power</td>
<td>190.5 mW</td>
<td>142.1 mW</td>
<td>80.4 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average energy/QRD</td>
<td>2.29 nJ</td>
<td>1.71 nJ</td>
<td>0.97 nJ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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