

# Civilizing Unmarried Urban Women in China

## Authentic or Not?

Author: Li Ting  
Supervisor: Annika Pissin



## Abstract

---

**Aim:** The aim of this research was to explore and evaluate the new expected identities of unmarried urban women in China, by analyzing the changes of marriage law in recent sixty years, particularly in property division in the case of divorce.

**Methodology:** The paper proceeded under the qualitative method and designed a case study in Chengdu. The data from books, journals, policies, newspapers and the interviewing texts were analyzed under theoretical concepts and discourse analysis method.

**Theoretical framework:** The theoretical framework of the complex “governmentality-identity-authenticity” was applied in the thesis, with the main focus on gender issues.

**Conclusions:** The self-reliance identity has been established among the unmarried urban women in China, while the self-esteem identity failed. By interviewing unmarried urban women in Chengdu, the biggest obstacle is the lack of protection and compensation for divorced women from China’s marriage law. The fear of drop in life standard and suffering from poverty after divorce led to the insecure feelings and negative emotions among the unmarried urban women, which hugely influenced on their marriage concepts and self-esteem identity building.

**Key words:** Identity, Governmentality, Authentic, Marriage Law, Property Division, Gender, China, Unmarried Urban Women.

## Forward

*~ By three methods we may learn wisdom: by reflection, which is noblest; by imitation, which is easiest; and by experience, which is the bitterest. ~*

*~ Confucius*

Writing a thesis is like a journey to seek wisdom, which is full of painfulness, but also joyfulness. The road has been extremely long and rugged. I used to confuse, hesitate and struggle a lot. Finally, I reached my destination and I would like to give a million thanks to those people who help me go through this hard time.

I would like to give a ‘bear’ hug to my supervisor Annika Pissin. I cannot count how many times I knock your office door and bomb your mailbox. Thanks for your academic suggestions, encouragement and patience.

I am thankful to the professor Ma Yinan in Peking University, who provides me with very important and relevant information about my research. I deeply respect your work to support women’s empowerment in China and thank you to believe in my idea.

I express my gratitude to my fieldwork advisor professor Song Jiang in Peking University, who gives me contacts for my interviews in Beijing.

Hundreds thanks to my ‘gang’ in Chengdu, you guys help me successfully arrange interviews and conduct my case study in Chengdu. Your supports are definitely out of my expectation. I realize what is called ‘friends in need are friends indeed’! I feel so lucky and grateful to find you, my close friends.

## Table of Contents

---

<b>1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1 Statement of Problem.....	5
1.2 Research Questions.....	7
1.3 Disposition.....	9
<b>2. Method and Material.....</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Discourse Analysis Approach.....	10
2.2 Feminism in Family Studying Approach.....	12
2.3 A Case Study in Chengdu and Data Collection.....	14
<b>3. Theoretical Framework.....</b>	<b>16</b>
3.1 Governmentality: Policy and Underlying Logic.....	17
3.2 Political-Based Narratives, Identity, and Authenticity.....	18
3.3 Applications for Research.....	20
<b>4. Governmentality of Marriage: Law and Underling Logic.....</b>	<b>21</b>
4.1. Historical Implications of Previous Marriage Law.....	21
4.1.1 Releasing Female Labour Force (1950-1980).....	22
4.1.2 Privatizing Individual Property (1980s-).....	24
4.2 The Underlying Logic of Governing Marriage.....	27
<b>5. Representing Ideal Identity of Women.....</b>	<b>29</b>
5.1 Self-Reliance Identity.....	30
5.2 Self-Esteem Identity.....	31
<b>6. Analyzing Self-Representations of Unmarried Urban Women.....</b>	<b>35</b>
6.1. Examining Self-Reliance Identity.....	36
6.1.1 Economic Independence: Housewives?.....	36
6.1.2 Evaluation: Experience-Based Identity.....	38
6.2. Examining Self-Esteem Identity.....	39
6.2.1 Marriage Selection: Ideal Husbands?.....	39
6.2.2 Reactions to the New Policy.....	42
6.2.3 Evaluation: Fear and Resistance.....	45
6.2.4 Why Failed?.....	46
<b>7. Conclusion.....</b>	<b>50</b>
7.1 Summary and Discussion of the Findings.....	50
7.2 Suggestions for Further Research.....	51

<b>Bibliography.....</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>Appendix.....</b>	<b>58</b>

## **1. Introduction**

---

*This introductory chapter describes the third amendment of the marriage law in China. It presents the background and problem of the research. Moreover, the research questions are put forward. In the end, it states the disposition of the thesis.*

---

The marriage institution guides individual activity, sustains identity,  
gives sense and purpose to the lives of its participants, and thereby  
produces valuable social goods.

(Stewart 2008: 369)

The functions of the marriage institution, referred to by Stewart in 2008 above, are based on a critical examination of the factual description of marriage. This statement shows how influentially marriage interacts with our daily life and behaviours. Moreover, under the constitutional norms, legal institutions constitute various aspects of social life, including the heterosexual marriage (Engel & Munger 2003: 13). Particularly, law becomes the most obvious element in the legal institutions, since it defines social roles, signifying how we should be interpreted and how we should interpret others in the social relationships (Mitnick 2007: 823-824). Therefore, in the case of marriage, a clear proffer of “law as givers of institution” says that civil marriage is wholly a legal construct, which marriage as experienced in our society is something that the marriage law gives to people; and that, therefore, marriage is something that without the marriage law, people would not have in any living or meaningful way (Stewart 2008: 363). Thus, the role of marriage law in marriage institution can be seen as “creator” or “facilitator” of relationships between both sides. And the constitutive nature of marriage law might be embodied in a legislative right defining and granting a right of establishing a set of social identities in the marital relationship.

Therefore, the marriage law is very important for studying marriage institution, including the identities, and the lives of both women and men. Furthermore the marriage law in fact also highlights the specific characteristics of gender in/equality. The next section draws attention to the marriage law in China. It presents the preliminary discussions and the lack of the research topic.

## **1.1 Statement of Problem**

In July 2011, the third amendment of marriage law in China was published, which sparked off a considerable debate among the society. The animated discussion is centered on the property division in the case of divorce, particularly in articles 7 and 10:

Article 7 “A house bought by the parents and registered under their son/daughter’s name remains the personal property of the son/daughter after the son/daughter gets married.”<sup>1</sup>

Article 10 “Houses bought on mortgage by one party with down payment, before the marriage, are to be deemed as the individual property of the registered owner, rather than the joint estate of the couple, no matter whether the registered owner uses the joint property to pay the mortgage after marriage or not. In the case of divorce, the house belongs to the registered own, the other party will get some compensations depending on the real situation of repayment.”<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> 第七条：婚后由一方父母出资为子女购买的不动产，产权登记在出资人子女名下的视为只对自己子女一方的赠与，该不动产应认定为夫妻一方的个人财产。

<sup>2</sup> 第十条：夫妻一方婚前签订不动产买卖合同，以个人财产支付首付款并在银行贷款，婚后用夫妻共同财产还贷，不动产登记于首付款支付方名下的，人民法院可以判决该不动产归产权登记一方，尚未归还的贷款为产权登记一方的个人债务。双方婚后共同还贷支付的款项及其相对应财产增值部分，离婚时应根据婚姻法第三十九条第一款规定的原则，由产权登记一方对另一方进行补偿。

After the release of the amendment, the reports and discussions about this third amendment rapidly blotted out on the Internet, newspaper, and TV program. A large amount of discussions are associated with focus on gender issues. Mostly, they discussed costs and benefits of property division in marriage between men and women. Among those interpretations of the third amendment of marriage law, two headlines have drawn my attention as the most representative:

- New “Marriage Law”: Men Chuckling, Women Worrying?

新《婚姻法》：男人笑，女人愁？

(Yi 2011)

The article presents the collected research data from matchmakers (*hongniang*) and matchmaking companies (*hunjie gongsi*), which predicts that the new amendment of marriage law will change the marital concepts of young generation, particularly for women and their families. It states that instead of marrying for house and car, unmarried young women may focus more on job, personality, hobbies and love, when it comes to marriage.

- New “Marriage Law” Is the Law of “Men Sleep With Girls For Free”

新《婚姻法》就是男人白睡姑娘法

(Ifeng.com 2011)

This is one episode title of “Qiang Qiang San Ren Xing”, which is one of the most popular TV programs in China. The program discussed the public reaction of the new amendments both from women and men. And the anchor Dou pointed out that the large-scale emergence of women’s unsatisfied emotion about this new amendment of marriage law had strongly proved the sign of deteriorated morality in marriage among young women in contemporary China. Additionally, he argued that many young women felt

unhappy about this new policy, because those young women only thought about marrying for wealth, not for love.

As a conclusion, both of them sharply point out the “deteriorated marriage” concepts in contemporary China and their belief that it is necessary for women to change after implementing the new amendment. In their opinion, especially young women, who prefer to marry rich men are the main cause of the deterioration of marriage in China. The new amendment clearly regulates property division, which is good to change this mammonism marriage concept from young women. Even though there were a lot of dissatisfaction and complains from women on their blogs and micro blogs about the unfairness of the new policy, but the majority of the media has usually emphasized the enforcement of the law and the biddability of women, which seems that the situation right now for women to change their deteriorated behaviours is irreversible. Accordingly, I started to question this: “why women? ” -Why do women suffer “more” from this new policy? Why do they suffer anyhow? Why would a policy be implemented from which near half of Chinese citizens would suffer? Why do women need to compromise and change? And why are women accused to be greedy?

## **1.2 Research Questions**

Based on the problem presented above the research focuses on the nexus between policy, women’s identity and authenticity. Specifically, in the research, women’s “identity” refers to women’s individual behaviours and concepts of value in family and marriage; while “authenticity” is used to examine the process of identity formation and evaluate whether the identity is established or not (cf. Duara 1998; Brown 2010; Appiah 2006 etc.). Also based on the theory which I will explain in chapter 3 of this thesis that a government can use law to have impact on people’s social experience, and thus on their identity formation, I argue that the marriage law is implemented as a governmental education of women’s behaviour and concept of value in marital relationship, tries to civilize women in China. By revising and

amending the marriage law over the past sixty years, the elites of Chinese government aimed to cultivate some new marital values on women and build up the ideal identity of Chinese women. This research aims at analyzing the new identity building of women and investigates whether the new identity is established or not among unmarried urban women in China. Thus, the main research questions are:

How do the changes of the marriage law cultivate a new identity of women in China?  
Is it established or not among unmarried urban women?

Marriage and love in China have been subject to various interpretations in accordance with the State's needs (Liu 2004: 199). For example, in the 1950s onward, sharing the same political and ideological outlook was the most basic condition of marriage and love (Evans 1997: 91). Also in the 1980s, when economic principles were highly promoted, marriage and love as a "personal" matter seemed to be less the State's business (Evans 1997: 96). Therefore, to study the marriage institution in relation to identities, the nation-state needs and its approach of the marriage law are the crucial aspects to examine. Thus it is important to investigate the questions such as:

- What is the governmentality of marriage in China? How does the Chinese government interfere marriage issues?
- What kind of identity the government wants to cultivate on Chinese women?

If this marriage law is used to civilize unmarried urban women in China by the government, then it is necessary to check the reaction to the new identities, whether they are successfully established or not. Therefore the following questions about authenticity will be examined, such as:

- To what degree, is the new identity established or not among the unmarried urban women?

- What effects and problems are produced among changes of the marriage law? Who is likely to benefit from this new changes, man or woman? What is left or unsolved from this changes?

### **1.3 Disposition**

The thesis consists of seven chapters, which are divided into three parts.

A general introduction (chapter 1) and chapter 2 about material and method constitute the first introduction part.

The second part is the main body of the thesis, which consists of four chapters (chapter 3 to chapter 6). Chapter 3 presents the theoretical framework discussing a set of theories concerning “governmentality-identity-authenticity”, as well as how those theories are applied in the thesis. Based on those theories, chapter 4 begins to analyze the China’s governmentality of marriage from the changes of the marriage law in the past sixty years, in order to analyze the underlying logics of the Chinese government about marriage. With the consideration of marital governmentality, the following chapter 5 elaborates on the new identities in marriage, which the government expects to civilize Chinese women in contemporary society. Chapter 6 deals with the authenticity of the new identities. It examines the new identity building among unmarried urban women, by analyzing the interview texts collected from women in Chengdu.

The third and last part is the conclusion, which is presented by chapter 7. On one hand, the summary and discussion of the result from the analytical chapter is displayed. On the other hand, it also reflects upon the findings and the contribution as well as provides suggestions for future research.

## **2. Method and Material**

---

*In this chapter, the methodological considerations are presented. It begins with a motivation of the research approaches in relation to the aims of the thesis. Then it outlines and explains both discourse analysis approach and feminism in family studying approach. Last the case study and data collection methods will be introduced.*

---

The aim of the research is to describe and understand a possible new identity for unmarried urban women in China, by analyzing the changes of marriage law in recent years, particularly in property division in the case of divorce. To do this, the study adopts a qualitative research strategy (Bryman 2008: 21). First of all, the process of gathering materials from policy, newspaper and website are mostly inductive as I interpret the governmentality on marriage and the new identity on women in China. This step is instructed by discourse analysis approach to analyze texts, especially with consideration of different context and presuppositions (Bacchi 1999: 7-8). Second, the empirical materials from interview texts are analyzed based on the theories of feminism in family studies, specifically the “feminization of poverty” approach, to figure out the disadvantages for divorced women (cf. Franklin 1992; Atwood & Genovese 1997; Huang 2007; Peterson 1987).

### **2.1 Discourse Analysis Approach**

The method of the research is discourse analysis, specifically the ‘What’s the Problem?’ approach developed by Bacchi in 1999. Bacchi argues that in policy draft or policy debate the various descriptions of an issue or a ‘problem’ are different interpretations, which involve judgment and choices (1999: 4). Thus, she proposes that instead of approaching policies as solutions of the problems, we should realize that policies constitute competing representations of political issues (Bacchi 1999: 5).

Furthermore, 'problem' contains both representing the presupposition and left unproblematic representation (Bacchi 1999: 8). Accordingly, when we analyze the revisions of marriage law in China, we can notice that there is an existing area with material consequences where the policy is practiced. And we find that there still are competing ideas or ways of talking inside the policymaking.

Bacchi also proposes the basic method of how to use discourse analysis to investigate a policy. First of all, give a close analysis of items that do make the political agenda to see how the representation of those issues limits (Bacchi 1999: 7). Since the policy comes out on the condition that people believe there is a marriage problem that needs states policy intervention, I can start to figure out what the 'problem' is exactly. For instance, is there any marital or gender biased concept, which hinders the economic development in China? Or is there any marriage crisis among the young generation, which needs to be interfered by government policy? Here analysis or judgment can give different impressions, such as a concern, a cause, or a decision (ibid.). Similarly, at the level of 'social' problem, we should figure out what the public responsibility is that addresses the policy. Thus, context is extremely important to analyze the problem whether is due to location-specific, institution-specific or history-specific.

Then, policy 'responses' need to be understood as part of a discursive construction of the 'problem' (Bacchi 1999: 8). That's because after testing out the presuppositions and assumptions in competing interpretations, people can clear out the 'real' problems and make comment on them (ibid.). Related to the marriage law, the revision can be seen as the government's choice to solve the most essential problem from the decision makers' point of view. However, it does not mean that other opposite interpretations are not important. To analyze the marriage law, I will take both sides of the representations from government and feminism, and try to figure out whether this policy is practiced by sacrificing women's benefit in order to solve the social problems or not.

Furthermore, we can make evaluation of policies (Bacchi 1999: 13). Based on this theory, the research will focus on analyzing the interviewing texts from urban unmarried women to check out whether this policy will change their marital concept, and the discussing texts among the society about publishing this policy.

Generally, in this thesis, I will use the “What’s the Problem?” approach as a main clue to analyze the marriage law. First, by combining the historical background of Chinese women’s marital status and the changes of the marriage law, I will study governmentality of marriage, in order to present the specific problems of marriage in China. Then, I will analyze the women’s identity, such as what essential problems the government wants to solve on marriage or what kinds of identity the government wants to address in relation to women in China as a solution of marital problems, in order to display the government’s representation on the problems. Additionally, I will discuss the competing representation from the feminism perspective and critically question the policy that appears gender-neutral, and the interest and cost between women and men.

## **2.2 Feminism in Family Studying Approach**

The research aims at investigating the marital concepts of unmarried urban women in China and finding out whether the government-expected identity is established or not among the group of women. Since those young women’s feelings, such as fears of divorce and impoverishment or marital convention, are strongly associated with their conjugal selection and identity, thus, the women’s inner feelings will be examined by analyzing the interview texts. Also in order to explain the fears about future marriage among the unmarried urban women in China, I will use the “feminization of poverty” approach to discuss the disadvantages for divorced women and the connections between property division in the case of divorce and conjugal selection of young women.

Marriage and family have always been one of the paramount issues of feminism. In the 1980s, feminists focused on the meaning of family and considered the diversity of family life without seeing the “standard package”, which for instance is married couple with children and husband as primary provider (Thompson & Walker 1995: 858). Instead of taking for granted who or what family is, feminists believe that family studies should accommodate family diversity (ibid.). Regarding this belief, feminists notice that starting from the end of 19<sup>th</sup> century, feminism movements in the world have already achieved that women attained some civil rights in the law system of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, such as property ownership, self-determination of divorce, and guardianship for children. However there still exist many unequal gender phenomena in the domain of marriage and family (Huang 2007: 42). Therefore, in the emerge of the second global wave of feminism in 1960s, feminists restarted to more deeply criticize and theoretically analyze in the fields of family, marriage, sex, body, housework, breeding and so on (ibid.). And they advocated change in women's subordination and disadvantage in families and stated openly that women are often disadvantaged in family life, men's interests are served more often than women's interests, and gender inequality is unacceptable (Thompson & Walker 1995: 251).

Particularly, in 1978, the term feminization of poverty, which was introduced by Diana Pearce, soon entered the social science lexicon and a campaign emerged to address a type of poverty that based on gender (Franklin 1992: 143-144; Pearce, 1983: 71). One of the most central assertions from feminism campaign in addressing poverty based on gender is that divorce produces a single man and a single woman who takes care of children (Franklin 1992: 144). Researchers, studying the economic situation of women in no-fault divorce states, reported that on a world-wild scale generally women and their children suffer a precipitous drop in income and standard of living after divorce (Atwood & Genovese 1997: 22). This precipitous drop in income in custodial mothers and their children's income is referred to as the “feminization of poverty” (ibid.).

Moreover, feminists argue that although some phenomena of the feminization of poverty happened among women, who live in a family with husband, the most common situations of that throughout the world emerged in groups of women, who are the heads of the family (Huang 2007: 58). Applied to the household registration system in China, it refers to the group of women called “*nü huzhu*”, such as single mothers with children or single ladies. (Huang 2007: 59). And those women usually have gone through divorcing, separating without a legal divorce of husband, having babies without marriage or being unmarried (ibid.). Furthermore, Huang points out that in China a large number of the “*nü huzhu*”, who are divorced, have suffered from poverty (2007: 60). That raises the question: “What are the economic and social factors associated with being female that result in poverty after divorce?”

In order to explain the impoverishment of women after divorce, studies concerned with the feminization of poverty have tended to focus on three areas which include the changes in family structures, the labour market, and welfare programs (Peterson 1987: 330). Especially, in Peterson’s point of view, welfare programs, containing effectiveness in helping women and impacts of policy changes, have great influence on women after divorce (1987: 335). Thus, in order to figure out the policy factors that impoverish divorced women in China, one of the aims of the thesis is to critically analyze the marriage law that appears gender-neutral in the disguise and to discuss the interests and costs between women and men in the case of divorce.

### **2.3 A Case Study in Chengdu and Data Collection**

The primary sources for this thesis are policy statements, media representation of the marriage amendments, such as articles or news about the controversial discussions and debates among the policy makers, as well as the interview I have conducted.

In the research I conducted a case study. One of the most important parts of case study research is the consideration of the context and the understanding of the

contextual conditions (Yin 2003:13). The aim of the thesis is to investigate the identity building among unmarried urban women in China. Within the considerations of China's diversity, the identities of women are various in different regions. Therefore, I chose Chengdu as a case to conduct my interviews. Economically and Politically, Chengdu is the capital city of Sichuan Province and one of the big cities with rapid of urbanization and modernization in China. Moreover, Chengdu is geographically situated in central China with less transient population and foreign cultural assimilation, compared with other metropolitans such as Shanghai and Beijing. The civic culture is stable. Thus, it is suitable for my study to investigate the new identity building.

It is helpful in generating rich and interesting data to use the qualitative techniques, for example structured/semi-structured interview (Bryman 2008: 53). The research is based on interviewing ten unmarried urban young women, who are at the age of 24 to 29. All informants are heterosexual, the only child in their family and originally from Chengdu. Furthermore, the majority of them are now in the relationships with their boyfriends and planning to get married, while few of them broke up with their boyfriends. All of them had been in a serious relationship at least for 2 years. Seven of them graduated from university, including two undergraduates, three postgraduates from Chinese universities, two postgraduates from overseas universities, and one PhD student. Another three among them graduated from colleges with associate degrees. In regard of working experience, nine of them are working and one is still studying. Three of them have been working for more than four years, while other six of them have at least one or two year working experience. The monthly salaries of them are ranged from 3,000 RMB to 6,500 RMB. One is living by her parents' money. And I find those informants from my friends' contacts.

Each session of interview time was around 3 to 4 hour in a local café. I recorded seven the interviews with the informants' consent and took the notes of other three, in order to do discourse analysis. The aim of the interview was to get some first hand

materials about the marriage value and selection, particularly the feedbacks of the third amendment of marriage law, so as to exam whether the expected identities authenticate on women or not. The conversation mainly included four parts: the economic view, the view of choosing boyfriend, the view of choosing husband, and the opinion about marriage and marriage law.

All the interviewees and the people who help me find contacts are well aware of my research. According to the rules of ethnical considerations, the names of my interviewees and informants will be confidential throughout the thesis.

### **3. Theoretical Framework**

---

*This chapter outlines a set of theories. Initially, it explains the reasons and the ways of studying governmentality on marriage that emphasizes on policy and underlying logic. Furthermore, it elaborates on narratives, identity and authenticity, to give the explanation of how the nation-state influences on individuals. Last, it introduces how the theoretical framework will apply to this research.*

---

Rooted in modern science, modern state power often centers on the biological body, both on individual and collective levels (Greenhalgh 2010: 12). According to Foucault, modern governance is the governance of human life or more specific human bodies, which are administrating and cultivating individuals and collective life, health, and welfare (ibid.). Particularly, since in recent years, specialists on modern governance have drawn more attention to the historical emergence of a new form of power, and claimed that the study should not only concentrate in the state or governments themselves, but also increasingly disperse throughout society in institutions of medicine, education, and the law (Greenhalgh 2010: 11). Therefore, laws can be seen as one of the most significant type of governing techniques to be investigated. Especially the changes of marriage law can, for one thing, offer a lens to

study the Chinese governmentality of marriage, which displays the government's aims and goals to govern the marital relationship. For another thing, laws can be seen as a narrative romanticized by government as an approach of power exertion, to change the individual social experiences in order to form a new identity.

### **3.1 Governmentality: Policy and Underlying Logic**

In 21<sup>st</sup> century, states and other governing agencies attempt to modernize their populations by getting individuals to adjust their provided modern norms on sexuality, marriage, contraception, childbearing, and so on (Greenhalgh 2010: 10). In order to get individuals to accept their modern reproductive norms and associated practices, states and government try to negotiate and contest with individuals (ibid.). Undoubtedly, within this negotiation and competitions, power can be distributed and exerted in many different forms or ways. Moreover, accompanying the emerged new agenda in the 1990s, more and more analytical power studies of modern governance shift from state-centric analyses to include a focus on the processes of governance, to highlight the power of non-state actors, and to identify and theorize the changing forms and institutionalization of political authority (Sending & Neumann 2006: 651). Under this context, in order to grasp the thinking and practice associated with the relations and the implied power between states, governments and other actors, the concept of 'governmentality' originally developed by Michel Foucault can be drawn on as one of explanation (Sending & Neumann 2006: 652). Namely the ideas emerging from studies of governmentality are a combination of government and political rationality, which explores modes of governing beyond the state (Greenhalgh 2010: 11). That's to say, governmentality can highlight the significance of logics and rationality of governance, which is an analytical concept aimed at grasping government as a form of power (Sending and Neumann 2006: 656). Furthermore, in the perspective of governmentality based on Foucault, the role of non-state actors, which include individuals, is not an example of transferring power from the state to individuals, rather it is an expression of a changing logics and rationality of

government (Sending & Neumann 2006: 652). Thus, the individuals do not stand in the opposite position of the political power of state, in sharp contrast, is a most essential feature power operation in late modern society (ibid.). Generally governmentality can be seen as a different take on modern governance and non-state actors. And following the ideas of governmentality based on Foucault, the different governmentality contains various meanings for states and governments influencing on their individuals and cultivating their ideal citizens.

Additionally in regard of a focus on governmentality, there are at least two important implications, which are investigating the specific practices and techniques of governing as an empirical phenomenon, and identifying the rationality that characterizes the systematic thinking and knowledge (Sending & Neumann 2006: 657). In other words, in order to figure out what a government aims at, on one hand, the concrete policies and enforcement techniques are important, on the other hand, the underlying logics, which have analytical precedence, can never be neglected, because they shape how those institutions, policies, and enforcement method develop (Greenhalgh 2010: 12). Thus with respect to the latest revisions of marriage law in China from 1950s to 2011 as an enforcement techniques, I can analyze the changes of property ownership between two parties in marriage, so as to conclude the power relations between men and women and also to find out the underlying logics of governmentality on marriage.

### **3.2 Political-Based Narratives, Identity, and Authenticity**

A “narrative” is stories about how people develop, where their origins place in antiquity, where details are difficult to reconstruct or challenge (Brown 2010: 462). Since these stories can shift over time, tracking contemporary politics, the narratives can be used as a rhetorical strategy to obfuscate how identities reflect current politics (ibid.). That’s to say, in order to fit contemporary political needs, narratives can make leading to the present identity seem to be an unfolding of some predestined essence of

descent (Brown 2010: 463). Thus, by romanticizing narratives, the government has the possibility to construct an ideal identity of marriage, such as women/men's behaviours or concepts of marital value.

Moreover, in order to find out how the narratives work on building identities, the "authenticity" should be taken into consideration. Authenticity refers primarily to an order or regime, which cites various narratives of authoritative inviolability and a nation-state of power that repeatedly constitutes itself as the locus of authority (Duara 1998:294). Additionally, a nation-state that wants to be "authentic" can only go through the evolution of self-consciousness that the nation would be positioned to move competitively into modernity (Duara 1998: 289). And this self-consciousness of nation-state requires sharing some core ideas between the collective and the individual, in the aspects of identity and so on (ibid.). In the case of marriage and family, the shared collective identities on both parties, including morality of marital life, value of family and so forth are the essential factors to achieve the authenticity of nation-state. Thus it is necessary for government to cultivate authentic identities by narratives. Furthermore, the concept of authenticity assumes that each individual has a unique "inner self", constructed by individuals but constrained and guided by individuals' culture and society (Brown 2010: 463; Appiah 2006: 17). In order to build an authentic identity, an individual must follow that inner self (Brown 2010: 463). Also the inner self can be focused on the empirical processes by people's negotiation and internalizing themselves in collectives (ibid.). Therefore, in the case of women's identity, if a woman showed positive feelings such as "willing" or "entranced" for an identity, then it could mean that she follows her inner self to accept this identity. On the contrary, if a woman expressed negative feelings, such as "fear" or "enforced", then it could be recognized as resistances of negotiating or failures of building this identity.

Essentially, since the authentic identity is fluid under the changing social experience, governments and authorities can influence collective identities by shaping

individuals' social experience (Brown 2010: 476). Associated with the revisions of marriage law in China, on the one hand, government can use policy changes as a narrative of unfolding to change the social experience of the marriage relationship. Subsequently, it can influence and adjust the individuals' interactions in households and form a new individual identity on women. On the other hand, even though the government tries to form a new collective identity among urban Chinese women, whether it can confer their individual identity in turn or not, it is decided by the authenticity of the identity, such as how they react about the changes.

### **3.3 Applications for Research**

In the following analysis, I will use the theoretical framework of governmentality, identity and authenticity to examine the revisions of marriage law in China.

Starting from analyzing the changing policies of marriage law particularly in property division, I will explore the power relation between government and individuals, in order to investigate the underlying logics on marriage from the Chinese government. For instance, what is the boundary between individual freedom and government interference in marriage? In which case will government interfere in individual behaviors?

Moreover, the revisions and amendments of marriage laws are the narratives of unfolding as an approach for government to change or adjust individual behaviors, in order to follow the underlying logics of governmentality on marriage. Therefore by studying the changes of marriage laws in property, including revisions and amendments, I analyze the changes of individual social experience under the interaction of marriage and family; also figure out the new identity. For instance, under the context of the prevailing traditional marriage custom, what's the new relationship on property division between men and women in the case of divorce? Who gains more benefits from the new declaration of property ownership, man or

woman? How does it change the marriage status of women, when it comes to select grooms?

Lastly, based on the interviews of unmarried urban women in Chengdu, I examine the authenticity of the new identity, and ask whether the individual identity is authenticated in turn to become a collective identity or not. For instance, even though government use law to interfere marital issues, I would like to investigate despite knowing about the disadvantage of property distribution in the case of divorce, whether those urban young women will accept and establish the new identity to change their situations and follow the “inner self” or not.

#### **4. Governmentality of Marriage: Law and Underlying Logic**

---

*This chapter investigates the historical changes of China’s marriage law in recent sixty years, particularly drawing attention to the property division in the case of divorce. Separately, it concludes two features of those changes, which are releasing female labour force from 1950 to 1980 and privatizing individual property from 1980 till now. Last, it expands on analyzing the underlying logic of governing marriage from Chinese government.*

---

##### **4.1. Historical Implications of Previous Marriage Law**

The historical unity of the nation-state is inseparable from the complexities of contemporary diversity. Because a national history is always being challenged through counter histories or through political opposition by different ethnicities, races, classes, or other expressions of difference, therefore the regime of authenticity contributes tremendously to the production through narratives that depict the priority of the nation (Duara 1998: 295). And the subjects of authenticity can be symbolized for the associated notions of identity, honor, morality, spirituality and so on, to stand

for some representations being denied by citizens in the public, in order to consolidate a nation-state (ibid.).

#### **4.1.1 Releasing Female Labour Force (1950-1980)**

Traditionally, there are five relationships in traditional Confucian narrative with descending order of importance, which are ruler and minister, father and son, elder brother and younger brother, husband and wife, friend and friend (Li 2003: 12). They strengthened the intra-familial relations and weakened the position of conjugal relations in Chinese historical society (Li 2003: 27). Moreover China's patrilineal kinship system emphasized the linkage of 'descent line' traced through a man with both his ancestors and descendants, which indicates that the male descent line was more important than individual family relationships (Pimentel 2000: 32). Thus, the so-called arranged marriage system and virilocal postmarital residence both facilitated the attainment of family reproduction and conjugal bonds inferior to intergenerational ties (ibid.). Moreover, since women in the marital relationship did not have any right to inherit or manage property, the lacking rights to dispose of possession mainly led to women's reliance and submission to men in traditional society (Huang 2007: 121).

However, during the 20<sup>th</sup> century, tremendous changes in Chinese marriage and family system took place, specifically the freedom of women in the household and marriage. One of the most significant turning points is the establishment of the People's Republic of China (PRC) in 1949. Starting from that, China has gradually constructed a legal system to protect the interests and rights of women in various ways. Particularly the first marriage law of China issued in 1950, which contained eight chapters and twenty-seven articles. Since gender inequality largely existed in imperial China, the marriage law in 1950 mainly reflected the overall commitment of the Communist Party toward gender equality (Agarwal 2002: 407). The slogan 'abolishing the old and establishing the new' (*feijiu lixin*) was the essence of marriage law in 1950. That's to say, on one hand, 'abolishing the old' (*feijiu*) substantially

focused on the issues such as abolition of forced and arranged marriage, forbidding bigamy, the custom of child-brides and so on (ibid.). On the other hand, the so-called 'establishing the new' (*lixin*) aimed at building up the marriage system of new democracy and socialism by implementing marriage freedom, monogamy, gender equality, and protecting women and children's rights (Ge 2006: 10). So based on the essence of gender equality and building women's economic independence, the article twenty-three in chapter six stipulated that both married parties have the equal property ownership rights and rights to deal with the property, and if divorced, besides the women's pre-marital property still belongs to women, the division of other property should be based on the mutual negotiation; if both parties cannot make a deal, people's court is responsible to bring in a verdict, which should take care of women and children's benefits into first place. Obviously, bonded with other laws such as land law, the marriage law in 1950 not only encouraged marital equality, but also guaranteed the women's property rights to be economically independent in society.

Overall, the most outstanding feature of governing women in this period is to go through the neutrally gendered marriage law, in order to challenge women's submissive status and absorb women's labour force. Motivated both by feminist ideals and by a desire to mobilize women's labor for national development, the Communist government began providing women with employment opportunities soon after it took control of China in 1949 (Fong 2002: 1101). Namely, the main reason for publishing a new marriage law in 1950 was to release the productivity among Chinese women, in order to accompany with the establishment of the new democracy system and absorb female labour force to the development of China's rural agriculture and urban industrialization (Ge 2006: 4). According to the outline land law of China in 1947, it referred that all of the rural citizens, including the old, the young, women, and men, have the right to distribute land impartially. As a consequence, in 1952, rural women (*nongcun funu*) participated in over 60% agricultural production (Ge 2006: 29). And in cities, the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and government advocated women to join the socialism construction and

assign China Women's Federation tasks to prevail the value of women's economic independence and gender equality (Ge 2006: 30). In 1952, there were some 1.85 million women working in unites of ownership by the whole people (*quanmin suoyouzhi*) (ibid.). Additionally, according to the report of marriage law published in *People's Daily*, Deng Yinchao said:

“The reason of implementing the new marriage law is embodying a concentrated reflection of awakened working people, particularly working women...”

May 26<sup>th</sup> 1950

Therefore, to coordinate with other policies to encourage women to get a job, the property division of marriage law in 1950 on one hand, partially protected women's pre-marriage property to stimulate unmarried women's motivations. On the other hand, it defined the joint property for husband and wife and aimed to change women's submissive status inside family and encouraged more and more married women to work independently.

#### **4.1.2 Privatizing Individual Property (1980s-)**

Later in 1980, after China's reform in 1979 rapid economic development, the revision of the marriage law took place, in order to establish a system of morality compatible with a socialist-capitalist market economy and politics. The revision of the marriage law in China added ten more amendments and advocated later marriage and later childbearing under the influence of family plan policy. As for the property division, on one hand, the amendment gave more explicit explanation on joint property. It stipulated that the property got in the marriage period belongs to the joint property, except that two parties make another contract. And both parties have the right to inherit property from each other's family. On the other hand, the amendment changed the law in 1950 that the women's pre-marital property still belongs to women in the case of divorce. The amendment clarify that the men's pre-married property is also

recognized as men's private property, not as jointly owned property, which embodied the equal principle between genders on property (Huang 2007: 135). Even though passed in 1950 and modified in 1980, the marriage law resulted in a relatively comprehensive system to regulate marital relationship; it still lacked in general provisions governing personal relations (Zhang 2002: 400). For instance, in the system of property ownership division, it did not contain provisions related to the management of property held in joint possession, the distinction between a common debts and individual debt, and use of common or separate property to meet debts (ibid.). The incompleteness of these previous laws has caused inconvenience for judicial practice.

Therefore on 28<sup>th</sup> April 2001, the National People's Congress (NPC) adopted the revised marriage law of the PRC. Specifically regarding the property division, the rights over inheritance and division of marital property in the case of divorce has been clearly defined (Agarwal 2002: 409). Article 17 states: property gained within the span of marriage, such as salary, profits, heritage without being specified in the will or the contract that property belongs to one party exclusively and so forth, is considered to be jointly owned property. In article 18, however, allows for individual property, which states that one part's pre-marriage private property, which may include medical compensation, living allowance for the disabled, inherited or gifted property, is considered to be individually-owned. Furthermore, in the article 19, it denotes the change of matrimonial property system in China, which is combined both husband and wife legal property system (*fading caichanzhi*) and husband and wife appointed property system (*yueding caichanzhi*). The former means that husband and wife can follow the regular jointly owned property system and private owned property system given by marriage law to divide their property (Huang 2007: 136). The later means that husband and wife can choose to negotiate and make a contract to divide their private property and jointly owned property (ibid.).

Following the marriage law in 2001, in next three years, two more amendments of 2001 marriage law are adopted to supplement explanations. Particularly in the property division, the first amendment published on December 27<sup>th</sup>, 2001, reaffirmed that the pre-marriage property always belongs to one party, which would never change to the joint property, except that two parties make another agreement. The second amendment was issued January 6<sup>th</sup>, 2004, which mainly addressed the house value and ownership in the case of divorce, the problem of husband and wife's debts, and the ownership of company property in the case of divorce. Specifically, in the article twenty-two, it stipulated that if a house bought by the parents and registered under their child's name before the child get married, then the house, considered as gift property, belongs to one party, except that parents present the ownership of the house belongs to both parties; if bought after the marriage, the house belongs to joint property for both parties. Both of the amendments further clarify the issues of property division and reaffirm the appointed property, which were widely accepted by society.

Compared with the former revisions of marriage law, the amendments give more and more clear provisions of private property and marriage relation based on the core of property ownership, and fully respects the autonomy of husband and wife, which agrees to give the parties the right to freedom of dealing with property. This can be signified as an important feature of the underlying logic after 1980s till now, which is to consolidate individualism step by step through the privatization of individual property in marriage. This is a trend caused by families' transformation.

Since China has undergone another wave of modernization characterized by rapid social change, fast paced economic growth; families began to adapt themselves in their new role of consumption institution (Fowler & Gao 2010: 344). Much as is the case in western countries, Chinese families started to finance themselves, such as saving money, using credit card, investing in stock market, buying houses and so on (ibid.). Therefore this economic consumption function caused a family

metamorphosis to be more market consumption oriented. Additionally, aggravated by the family planning policy and the high living expenditure, the traditional extended, multigenerational, masculine-centric, cooperative of communal living has been transformed into small, nuclear institution that seek individual goals on a much more sexually equal basis (Fowler& Gao 2010: 347). Thus, with the progress of the growing space of civil society has discernibly expanded, signifying that the state will no longer adopt blatantly crude disruptive or oppressive measures against spontaneous civil activities, instead, the nation-state should only intervene by laws through the protection of individual rights (Liang 1999: 223). As a result, the proliferation of new legislation in marriage has been aroused in China, which is complying with the increasing mentality of individualism, approaching the privatization of individual property, and weakening the control of the family over the individual.

## **4.2 The Underlying Logic of Governing Marriage**

From the overview of how the problem has been defined, interpreted and practiced in policy discourse, the underlying logics of Chinese government on marriage can be seen as conferring more rights to individuals and specifying nation-state's interferences on the basis of gender equality, in order to comply with individualism and accomplish self-governing in household.

Due to the global triumph of neo-liberalism and the capitalist mode of production, Chinese society has been into the current round of individualization through the impact of globalization (Yan 2010: 507). While experiencing the radical changed in her/his life situation, the Chinese individuals have also gone through more and more searches for individual identity and reformation of the self in the subjective domain (Yan 2010: 504). Thus, the changes of marriage law reflect a trend of keeping abreast of both individual freedom and nation-state interference, yet specializing more clear and definite in different categories. The imperial China prevailed the concept of 'laws

do not go into family' (*fabu rujia*), which means the nation-state law should barely interfere the family issue and relations (Qian 2001: 157-158). However, this concept is found upon the sacrifice of rights and protections of women and children under patriarchal society. Also undergoing the process of strong interference by law in marriage and family life such as political marriage in the 1960s and 70s, Chinese government started to follow the new mentality of individualism and practice a new model of governance, which can be understood as self-governing in household (Ma 2011a: 45).

Specifically, on one hand, in order to imbue self-governing into administration as an external discipline, government needs to establish morals to self-discipline without an authoritative and mandating legal force that guarantees the conduct of citizens (Zhang 2002: 401). Thus the legislation of marriage law is continuously expanding individuals' rights by privatizing individual property and confirming individual marital choices, while withdrawing some limitations on marital issues. Therefore individuals could gain the freedom of manipulating their own property and the freedom to marry or divorce at their own wills and so on, so as to provide a space for individuals' self-governing in the relationship with others (*ibid.*). For instance, in the case of property division, marriage law in recent sixty years displays the progress of transforms from purely legal property system; then to mutual existence of legal property system and appointed property system; finally to the improvement of appointed property system and establishment of individual specific property system (Ma 2011a: 46).

On the other hand, If the moral standards of self-governing deteriorated, the wish to strengthen the family and support a civilized way of life would easily become mere pretence (Zhang 2001: 40). Thus, the mandatory legal principles in turn should contribute to the construction of a moral norm for self-governing in marriages. Therefore according to the marriage law, the state also gradually build up the more comprehensive law system to interfere in some specific fields with regard of

protecting the weak party in marriage, such as improving the system housework indemnity, system of compensation for damage due to divorce and so forth (Ma 2011a: 49).

In general, inside this power relation, nation-state aimed to grant more powers to individual and family autonomy, in the aspects of family value, normal human relations, morality and so on. In turn, the nation-state has tightened up the interference and administration on the issues of domestic violence, child reproduction and so forth. Thus it can not only strengthen the family and support a civilized and healthy way of life as a part of the construction of moral standards in the citizenry, but also can use the legal regulations of marriage law to make the adjustments and draw the moral boundaries in marital relationship (Zhang 2002:401).

## **5. Representing Ideal Identity of Women**

---

*This chapter concentrates on analyzing the new identities, which Chinese government expects to build on women in marital relationship. Particularly, it concludes two kinds of identity, self-reliance and self-esteem.*

---

With the rise of individualism, the marriage law has changed considerably, where the individual affection becomes the more and more important element in marriage and family constructing, but the fundamental functions of family such as reproduction and economic supporting have never disappeared (Ma 2011a: 48). Thus, in this context, marriage law is endowed with the characteristics of public law, which emphasizes on balancing the individual freedom and the nation-state interference, by using comparatively direct methods, such as revisions of the law (ibid.). Moreover, authorities can influence collective identities by shaping individuals' social experience (Brown 2010: 476). Therefore, by analyzing the changes of property allocation in the case of divorce under the hidden logics, which enormously

influenced on the marital interaction, we can analyze the power distribution and manifestation between both parties, in order to figure out some identities that government expected to impose on women.

### **5.1 Self-Reliance Identity**

According to the underlying logic of Chinese government based on individualism, women's economic independence is the premise of individuality. Thus, from 1950 till now, one of the most important identities, which Chinese government wanted to locate on women, is the identity of self-reliance.

Law in China was intended as a means to bring the domestic realm into closer relationship with the state, not to disrupt it (Glosser 2003: 10). And China's marriage law was not primarily a means to raise women's status, but its central concern was the state's relationship to families (Glosser 2003: 17). The Chinese government made nation building an explicit part of a couple's marital and social duties, codifying the idea that a reformed family would lead to a strong nation (ibid.). Therefore, in order to fulfill the requirement of female labour force, the party continued to insist that women should achieve liberation by participating in movement to benefit the nation, specifically those to raise productivity (Evans 1999: 37). As response, the produced long-term effects of Marriage Law largely practiced women's transition in married life, which turns out to be more partial, less traumatic, and more varied (Hershatter 2004: 995). The marriage law has changed the social experiences of women. For instance, in 1950s, a woman's status and mobility in public life largely are still fettered by tradition. In order to break through the shackles of convention, and to cooperate with the liberation, the marriage law is partially inclined to grant some fundamental rights to women, such as freedom of choice and divorce at will (Hershatter 2004: 999). As one of the most efficient ways, the marriage law in 1950 stipulated that only women's pre-marriage property still belongs to women in the case

of divorce. This largely encouraged women to go out working and became more economic independence.

To coordinate with changes of the marriage law, Chinese government has propagated and declared the economic independence thoughts constantly. For example, the vice president of China Women's Federation Gu Xiulian delivered a report about the progress of promoting women's liberation in China (Jun. 26<sup>th</sup> 2002). Gu concluded the success and failure of the strategic principle in women's rights and emphasized the future policy for Women's Federation is to popularize laws made for protecting women, such as marriage law and to establish women's status and development in working fields under the economic and social background. Also, in 2005, information office of the state council announced a report called gender equality and women's development in China, which contains nine chapters to judge women's development in various ways (Aug. 25<sup>th</sup> 2005). Essentially the report emphasized the importance of establishing comprehensive law systems to protect women's rights, and also clearly pointed out the significance of women labour force in the aspects of women and economy, women and political decision-making (ibid.). In those cases, the marriage law becomes the positive stimulus to encourage women's independence of economy in order to reach self-reliance identity.

## **5.2 Self-Esteem Identity**

As the underlying logics mentioned before, even though the Chinese government granted more rights of individual autonomy in marriage and relationship, once the outline social morals deteriorated, the authority can always regulating and control under macro coordination of marital relationship by amend the marriage law (Zhang 2001: 401). In this case, the third amendment of marriage law indicates the reaction about how the Chinese government deals with marital barriers of practicing individualism by building up the identity of "self-esteem" (*zizun*) on women.

Although the family continues to be a critical unit of demographic and social analysis, perceptions of what constitutes the “family” vary across groups and societies (Tillman & Nam 2008: 367). Usually popular perceptions of what constitutes the family are driven by prevailing views regarding the different kinds of functions that people in these units are expected to perform for one another and for society at large, such as economic and emotional support, reproduction, socialization of children (ibid.). In China, after the open-up policy, the conception of family turns to be more pluralistic, with the rapid economy development and the prevailing individualism, (Luo 2011: 175). Namely, in recent year, many new alternative models of family are emerging. For instance, “AA family” presents husband and wife go Dutch in all kinds of family issues, such as expenditure and housework. “Dink family” refers double incomes no kid, which means the agreement of not having kid in marital life between both parties. The “Weekend husband and wife” means that couples live separately during the weekdays and only meet once in the weekend. However, accompanying with the further expanding of individualism and pluralism, some social problems has arisen, which keep challenging people’s moral boundary. Specifically, Tillman and Nam argue that the shared residence does not guarantee shared family experiences (2008: 370). The alternative models like “AA family” and “Dink family” lack in the meaningful contact between husband and wife, particularly when it comes to the provision of intimacy, emotional support, or involvement in practical care-giving tasks. Also, the residential separation of family members, such as “weekend husband and wife”, prevents these family functions from operating effectively.

Additionally, owing to the high price of real estate and the wide gap between the rich and the poor, the new concept of marriage among young female generation, the so-called “after 80” or “80s” who born after 1980, to large extent is associated with the economic status and capability of earning money, such as whether the partner can purchase house or not (Luo 2011: 172). This concept of marriage aggravated the collapse of the traditional function of family based on emotional supporting. Deeply this kind of concept is rooted in Chinese traditional marriage custom. Namely, since

the Chinese traditional unwritten marriage custom of “marry up” is that husband/man’s family bought the house, and wife/women’s family prepared for the domestic decoration, including furniture, electrical equipments and so on. Thus as women the ability to live up to this expectation remains an important determinant of whether a man can win a bride or not (Fong 2002: 1104). Therefore, a son and his parents must try to buy, rent, borrow, or inherit extra housing by the time the son is ready to marry (ibid.).

As a result, on one hand, under the high housing price, it led to the delayed marriage problem and the great pressure to find a wife for Chinese young women. For instance, according to a survey conducted by China Daily on June 4<sup>th</sup> 2011, 24.8 percent of China’s urban youth postponed marriage, because of the housing pressure. Nearly 70 percent of respondents, mainly youth aged from 25 to 35 living in urban areas, said they would not like to get married if they still had to rent, since they lacked a sense of security. On the other hand, under the trend of house/money based marriage or relationship, many rich married guys cheated or lured unmarried young girls with houses. Thus, the irresponsible extramarital love and the Chinese mistress boom have emerged in large number, such as the overflowing of the “little three” (*xiaosan*) who is socially identified as short-term mistress, and the “second wife” (*ernai*) who is believed as long-term mistress and so on. In an article from the New York Times, Levin pointed out that the mistress boom has bred a generation of nouveau-riche lotharios, who yearn to rival the sexual conquests of the Chinese imperial ancestors (Aug. 9<sup>th</sup> 2011). Thus in an effort to combat the growing lure of the sugar daddy, some local governments have even gone on preaching against moral turpitude and trying to encourage young women to rely on less carnal skills to survive (ibid.).

By facing the marital puzzle, like the phenomenon of postponing marriage in urban city, and the moral deterioration of marriage, such as house based or money based relationship, the Supreme People’s Court had started to consider a draft interpretation

of the country's marriage law. Namely, according to the interview with one legislator, who is the member of the expert group in marriage legislation and a professor in Peking University, she says:

“The Supreme People's Court has managed a lot of conferences to get suggestions of the supplements of marriage law. One of essential reason to draft the third amendment of 2011 marriage law is to adjust the deterioration of social moral atmosphere, and crack down the money worship in regard of marriage and mammonist in younger generation, particularly the ‘little three’ and the ‘second wife’... in order to solve the predicament that young men without a house or parents' help to buy a house, are extremely difficult to get married...”

March 7<sup>th</sup> 2012

Thus, within the consideration of the necessity of emotional support in family and marriage, on July 4, 2011, the third amendment of the supreme people's court of several issues on the application of the marriage law have been adopted. It is hereby issued and come into force on August 13, 2011. Compared with the former one, the new law gives 19 new clauses to further explain the ambiguous details of the marriage law, which can be generalized into six important aspects. Particularly, the article 7 and article 10 (mentioned above in the introduction part) associated with property division, which aroused a lot of controversy.

Based on balancing both individual freedom and government interference in the case of moral deterioration, the third amendment requires the “self-esteem” (*zizun*), a higher moral standard of women' marriage selection. It emphasizes that women should not expect to gain wealth from marriage. Instead, marriage should be built upon emotional support, such as sharing hardships together, intimacy, and care giving. In order to change women's marriage concept and establish the “self-esteem” identity, the marriage law changes the social experiences and only protects the individual property. Namely, according to the former marriage law, in the case of divorce, the property of the house has the possibility to mutually own by both parties.

However, this new amendment indirectly implies the women's morality retroversion such as "marry for money" or "marry for house", and directly abolished some property rights for women in the case of divorce in article 7 and article 10 (Luo 2011: 172). The government intends to adjust moral value of marriage and family, which is not based on mammonsism, and asks women to abandon the thought of taking house as an indispensable condition in marriage (ibid.). That is to say, the amendment encourages women to abandon the traditional marriage custom of "marry up" and emotionally support their partners to build up intimacy. For instance, one of the most typical sayings in the Chinese internet right now is: "to marry a "blue chip share" (*jiyou gu*),<sup>3</sup> who are rich sons of senior officials and enterprise owners, is not as good as to marry a "potential share" (*qianli gu*)<sup>4</sup>, which is a metaphor of very talent and capable young people from poor families. It indicates marriage as a long-term investment. The rich people's wealth is their own property that would never belong to women. But if women would like to marry a "potential share" and struggle with them, in the future women might accumulate wealth owned by both.

## **6. Analyzing Authenticity of Unmarried Urban Women**

---

*This chapter analyzes the interview texts from unmarried urban women in Chengdu about their opinions of housewives, ideal husbands, and the reactions to the third amendment of China's marriage law. Also, it evaluates the authenticity of two new identities separately. In the ends, it criticizes on reasons of the failed identity.*

---

---

<sup>3</sup> Blue chip share is an economic term, which used in stock market. According to New York Stock Exchange, it is stock in a corporation with a national reputation for quality, reliability and the ability to operate profitably in good times and bad.

<sup>4</sup> Potential share is also an economic term in stock market. It implies the share that has possibility of winning money in long term.

Even though the government put forward the marriage law and the amendments to propagate the ideal women's identities, the core criterion of success is that women can follow their inner selves or not (Brown 2010: 463). Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the authenticity. It remains to assess how will those unmarried urban women react by confronting this new amendment, and whether they would like to accept the new identities or not.

## 6.1. Examining Self-Reliance Identity

### 6.1.1 Economic Independence: Housewives?

The interviews were conducted on the topics housewife, in order to investigate those women's feelings about self-reliance identity. Specifically, it remains to know whether the informants are willing to be economic independent or not.

All of the informants state clearly that they would prefer to working over being a housewife. Only one of them indicated that she might leave her job temporarily for 1 to 2 years, if she really needed to take care of her children after marriage. The others claimed that they would never be housewives in the future. And the underlying reasons of economic independence are diverse, which generally divide into two categories.

On one hand, some informants consider work as a necessary guarantee for marriage stability. For instance, two of them said:

“我觉得女生**必须**要有自己的工作，哪怕挣的钱少一些。家庭主妇婚后没有前途啊...”

I think girls **must** have their own jobs, even if they earn less money. A housewife has no future after marriage [...] (G2 Jan. 8<sup>th</sup> 2012).

“... 还是**经济独立**比较重要。要不然**只能**在家上演“回家的诱惑”咯！”

[...] The **economic independence** is comparatively important. Otherwise, it will **only result in** the performance of the “home temptation”<sup>5</sup> in my family (G7 Jan. 25<sup>th</sup> 2012)!

From the word “must” showing the necessity of both objective and subjective logics, and the phrase “only result in” indicating the inevitable consequence, they reveal that the informants resisted to be a housewife and preferred to be “economical independent”. However, the expressions also demonstrate the fact that the informants’ fear of being a housewife is largely associated with the consideration of marriage stability. The researcher followed this opinion to further discuss the marriage stability and happiness with her informants. Generally in their opinions, the interviewees believe that housewives are usually easy to be abandoned by their husbands, since they are too economically dependent and submissive, which makes their husband feel easily controlled and bored (G1 Jan. 7<sup>th</sup> 2012; G7 Jan. 25<sup>th</sup> 2012 et.). Furthermore, they believed that after a divorce, housewives would lack in basic social experiences and capability of working, which will lead to a miserable life (G2 Jan. 8<sup>th</sup> 2012). Additionally, when it comes to the original resources of those ideas, they usually got the so-called “common sense” from TV or real stories from friends.

On the other hand, some of the interviewees believed that work is a guarantee for their own development and independence. For example, one woman said:

“...比起家务活，我觉得工作更轻松愉快而且有成就感。工作中的人际交往及**自我独立**相当重要。”

[...] Compared with housework, I feel working is more relaxed, joyful and [through it I can be more] accomplished. The human communication in my job is very important for my **self-reliance** (G10 Feb. 12<sup>th</sup> 2012).

Those women reckon that job and career are significant for their own life and personal value. They think that both the marital life and personal career are connected

---

<sup>5</sup> “Home temptation” is one of the most popular TV series in 2011 in Mainland China. It tells a story that a kindhearted housewife, who was miserably abandoned by her husband, then became a confident and successful workingwoman in order to take revenge.

to their happiness and feeling of security (G6 Jan. 15<sup>th</sup> 2012). And it is also very important to pursue an ideal job for “self-reliance” (G10 Feb. 12<sup>th</sup> 2012). Additionally, all of them expressed their expectations to get a good job in the future, since they are well educated or sufficiently experienced, even though some of them are facing their promotion bottleneck right now.

### **6.1.2 Evaluation: Experience-Based Identity**

Acculturation may make people want a particular identity, but identity must be socially negotiated (Brown 2010: 464). If an imposed identity corresponds to actual experience, it is accepted (ibid.). Therefore, the changed women’s identity from housewife to economically independent women should require the acquiescence of those unmarried urban women.

Those young informants expressed the importance of “economic independence” in their life. Some of them believe economic independence is indispensable to marital happiness and stability. Others hold the opinion that both achievements in career and marital stability are essential to their happiness. No matter it is mainly associated with family stability or self-improvement, pursuing a good job has definitely become indispensable to unmarried urban women’s life. Moreover, the interviews show that more and more women in China start to search an ideal job for their own development, not only for family or husband.

Generally, individuals’ inner self is strongly influenced by social experience, which is influenced by the interactions between one person and other individuals, also by the broader range of political-economic, historic and personal factors that shape those interactions (Brown 2010: 463; Appiah 2006: 16). The interviews prove that the social experiences, accumulating from the mainstream thoughts that are conducted by drama, TV series, education, and the interactions between peoples have substantial influence on the inner selves of those women. Furthermore, because the individual

behavior and perception can more or less constrain and interfere others in the network structure, which construct shared collective identities and in turn confer authenticity. Therefore regarding the interviews about economic independence, the majority of the informants expressed the positive feelings to accept it, which proves that the “self-reliance” identity has been successfully built up and reached the authenticity.

## 6.2. Examining Self-Esteem Identity

### 6.2.1 Marriage Selection: Ideal Husbands?

The researcher discussed about the expectations of the future husbands and family structure from those unmarried women, such income of their husbands, housework division, and gender roles. The purpose is to know the concept of marriage among those young women.

Firstly, all of them gave out the familiar answer about the incomes of their partners. They hope the partners have higher incomes than them, and some of them even gave out a preferably quantitative figure. One of the typical answers is:

“肯定是他收入比我收入更多，据报道，男方收入是女方的1.5或2倍的家庭相对比较稳定。”

**Definitely**, his income should be higher than mine. According to news report, it would be comparatively more stable if his income were 1.5 times or 2 times of me (G5 Jan. 14<sup>th</sup> 2012).

When the researcher made a detailed inquiry about why they think their partners' income should be at least higher than their own, for instance, they answered:

“女人不就是希望在吃饱穿暖的情况下还能3不5时的出去疯狂SHOPPING一般么?...当然不是傍大款心态只是在这个国家,这样的收入另这段婚姻至少是本人比较有安全感。”

In the condition of eating themselves fill and wearing warm clothes, all of the women wish to go out occasionally to do a crazy shopping, don't they? [ ...]

Of course, it does not mean to find a sugar daddy<sup>6</sup>, just in this country, this kind of income can make me feel **safe** (G3 Jan. 8<sup>th</sup> 2012).

“他应该有能力挣钱养家，我的**自由支配**花销...”

He should earn bread for the whole family, my [income] can be **distributed freely** for my own expenditure [...] (G8 Feb. 4<sup>th</sup> 2012).

In regard of the marriage choices or selection, those Chinese young women “definitely” hope their partners can earn more money and take the lion’s share of supporting family expenditure. They hold the opinion that man in the family should take more responsibilities to economically support the whole family including raising kids, covering medical fee, and bearing daily expense (G3 Jan. 8<sup>th</sup> 2012; G9 Feb. 5<sup>th</sup> 2012 et.). This kind of capability would lead to “safe” or security feelings of those girls. Also it reflects that many unmarried women expect not to share too much economic burdens in their future family. Overall they hope to “distribute” their own salaries to do some shopping and social events.

Second, the housework arrangement is put forward. All of the women would like to take more shares of housework. One of the most important features is that even though they think it would be equal if their partners participate in some housework, but they deeply inside prefer them to be more career-oriented. For example, one woman said:

“理想状态，大家共同承担家务，**当然**我可以多做一些。但是我更希望他能以事业为重。所以我也不介意**全部**承担。”

In ideal condition, both parties share the housework together. **Of course** I can take more. Importantly, I hope he can be more career-oriented. Therefore I would not mind if I took **all** of the housework (G2 Jan. 8<sup>th</sup> 2012).

“我认为男女生来**本来**就是不同的，女人主内，男人主外是个合理的分工。”

---

<sup>6</sup> Sugar daddy is a slang that means a rich older man, who gives presents and money to a much younger woman, usually in return for sex.

I think men and women are **born** to be different, women take responsibility of house and family, while men go out making money, is a reasonable division of labour (G4 Jan. 14<sup>th</sup> 2012).

Clearly, the preconception of gender role has still deeply remained in those young women, even though they enjoy more freedom than ever in the history. The social atmosphere has shaped women's identity to assume more in housework, and made them believe they are "of course" "born" to be good at it. The further conversation between the researcher and informants is based on what kind of housework they can manage well. To my surprise, many of them confessed that they were not very good at cooking (G2 Jan. 8<sup>th</sup> 2012, G7 Jan. 25<sup>th</sup> 2012 et.). But they supplemented that they believed they had more talents than men (G1 Jan. 7<sup>th</sup> 2012; G5 Jan. 14<sup>th</sup> 2012). While, one woman expressed that men were more competitive and talent in political and economic competition (G3 Jan. 8<sup>th</sup> 2012). Thus inside they deeply reckoned that women in marriage were much easy to be convinced and compromised to change a more stable but less competitive job, in order to take care of the family and support the men's career.

According to the interviews before, those young women still hold the traditional complex that men should take the burden to earn the family's living, while women's duty is to maintain the marriage stability and take in charge of household trivial matters. Therefore, the researcher questioned closely whether they can accept that women go out to make money, while men take more responsibility of family issues. Nine out of ten women resolutely opposed this idea, they said:

“【我】还是希望男人**强势**一点。”

[I] hope that men can be a little bit more **dominant** (G6 Jan. 15<sup>th</sup> 2012).

“个人认为这样长此以往下去会严重践踏咯男同志的**虚荣心**嘞。”

From my perspective, in the long term, this [concept] will severely trample on the men's **vanity** (G8 Feb. 5<sup>th</sup> 2012).

“意思是老公辞职在家头带娃娃？那不得行哈。我觉得可以请**保姆**吧。或者找**父母**帮...”

Does it mean that husband quits job and take care of our baby? It cannot be like that. I think we can hire a **nanny** instead. Otherwise ask for **parents'** help [...] (G1 Jan. 7<sup>th</sup> 2012).

“**灰常反对!** 无论怎么样, 男主内是我比较不能接受的。…可以**请人**照顾孩子咯。”

**Extremely opposed!** In every case, I cannot accept men take responsibility of house and family. [...] I can **hire somebody** to take care of baby (G3 Jan. 8<sup>th</sup> 2012).

“这种问题都要问, 我觉得**中国国情** “男主内, 女主外” 不太可能呀。和我的个人意志都没有关系。”

Is it necessary to ask this kind of question? I think under **the condition of China**, ‘men take responsibility of house and family, while women go out making money’ is impossible. There **no connection to** my personal will (G9 Feb. 5<sup>th</sup> 2012).

The concept that men are supposed to go out making money, while women are more responsible for housework, still intensely influences women’s life, which can also be called as collective value of marriage. For one thing, it is deeply rooted in the Chinese social structure, which creates men’s identities of “dominance”, “vanity” and so forth. For another thing, the society provides the channels for women to compromise, such as hiring a “nanny” or asking “parents’ help”. This is the condition of China, even though some women realize the fact of gender inequality, but they have no alternative or willing to change.

## **6.2.2 Reactions to the New Policy**

The interviews above have displayed the feelings of the informants about marriage selection and value. Later, the researcher focused on the reactions of the third amendment of China’s marriage law, particularly the property division in the case of divorce. Specifically, the researcher wants to analyze how the interviewees feel about the new policy? What would they like to change?

Regarding to changes of the house ownership and the high price of realty estate, the majority of them expressed that they could accept the article 7. Since they believed:

“如果（房子）全是是男方家出的钱，房子归他，还是比较公平。”

If the male family paid all of it (the house), it is comparatively **fair** that the house belongs to him (G9 Feb. 5<sup>th</sup> 2012).

“大家都AA制，也蛮好滴，哪个都不占哪个的。”

It is good that everyone **goes Dutch**. Nobody took benefits on it (G4 Jan. 14<sup>th</sup> 2012).

They thought since the marriage law was judged by money and property, then it was understandable to be totally economically equal. But they harshly criticized the article 10 and clearly pointed out the bottom line. For example, they said:

“既然【我】出了钱【贷款】，我就一定要产权，**保底**呀！人人求自保。”

Now that [I] paid [the mortgage], I will definitely ask for the ownership of the house. **Breaking Even!** Everybody prays for protect themselves (G6 Jan. 15<sup>th</sup> 2012).

“要让我出钱，就**必须**【在产权证上】加我名字。”

Ask me to pay, then **must** add my name [on the property ownership certificate] (G3 Jan. 8<sup>th</sup> 2012).

Apparently, the majority of those women have accepted the fact of privatization of individual property, and compromised to change the traditional marriage convention that men/his family bought a house. Instead, they more or less tended to have the absolute equality in the property; such as they mentioned above “breaking even”, “go Dutch”, or “if I paid, then I would ask for the proper shares of house ownership”. Moreover, since they were afraid of suffering from poverty after a divorce, more and more women actually also started to consider buying a house or paying the down money (G2 Jan. 8<sup>th</sup> 2012; G9 Feb. 5<sup>th</sup> 2012). For example, one informant mentioned that she and her family would like to buy a house, if her future husband could accept (G2 Jan. 8<sup>th</sup> 2012).

However, when the researcher asked how they deeply felt about the whole property division of China's marriage law in the case of divorce, those unmarried women shared the feelings of insecurity and worry. For instance, some of them said:

“我亏大了！我们又要生娃，上班，做家务。等到四十，人老珠黄时。老公要离婚，【女人】还要被踢出门，什么补偿，保障都没有。”

I lose a lot! We need to give birth to babies, work, and do housework. Until we are forty, we get old like the pearl becoming yellow. If husband want to divorce, [women] will be kicked out of the door without any compensation and guarantee (G4 Jan. 14<sup>th</sup> 2012).

“怎么不担心？到时候如果中年离婚了，男的还可以找年轻漂亮的女孩，而中年女人都没人要。这不是社会潜规则吗？”

Why not worry? If get divorced in the middle age, guys still can find the beautiful young ladies, while nobody wants to have middle aged women. Isn't it the social unwritten rule (G2 Jan 8<sup>th</sup> 2012)?

The phrase of “be kicked out of the door” shows the fear and negative emotion from women's inner self. It implies that without house ownership, women land themselves in a passive position of the marital relationship. Specifically, they worried about getting divorced without any compensation or protection. Furthermore, women do not imagine randomly about this worry. This kind of fear of divorce is based on the “social unwritten rule”, which divorced women can hardly find a new husband, while divorced men face much easier situation to marry again.

“那这样我干嘛结婚。结婚和同居一样嘛。【同居】还可以少很多麻烦。”

In this case, why should I get married? Marriage is the same as cohabitation. [Cohabitation] also have fewer troubles (G3 Jan. 8<sup>th</sup> 2012).

Additionally, two women expressed that they would actually consider having a long-term cohabitation relationship instead of marriage, since there is no big difference between marriage and cohabitation, when it comes to the property division (G3 Jan. 8<sup>th</sup> 2012; G10 Feb. 12<sup>th</sup> 2012). Thus, the new family model of cohabitation may get more and more popular among younger urban women.

### **6.2.3 Evaluation: Fear and Resistance**

The self-esteem identity aims at encouraging the positive emotional elements in marriage selection and concept, in order to resolve the problems of marriage puzzles and marital deterioration. However, the deliberate attempts at manipulation of identity do not always succeed (Brown 2010: 459). The hierarchical character of interactions, such as traditional convention, also influences the aggregation the authentic identity (Brown 2010: 463). Particularly, the interview texts bear many signs of resistance to this identity.

First of all, according to the interviews about ideal husband, it gives out an overall image that even though those unmarried urban women in China get more and more involved in the working field in order to be economically independent. However, according to the interviews about marriage selection and concept, they are still dependent and attach themselves to the conjugal partners when it comes to marriage and family life. Particularly, from their opinion, the roles of family members are still clearly divided by gender. Men are supposed to earn money to support the family, while women are responsible for housework. Thus, the men's income and economic status are still very important for women to select husbands. And the ideal husbands should also keep the dominant identity inside relationships.

Second, the interviews show that those unmarried urban women are reluctant to accept the new amendment of marriage law, since the property compensation of women is deprived from it. And it arouses the feeling of insecurity among many women. The fear of being "kicked out of the door" without any compensation or suffering from "social unwritten rules" that exposes the difficulty of divorced women to remarry, excessively pushes women to seek for more economic security. Inevitably, the fear leads to some negative reactions from those women, such as "go Dutch" and "break even". And those reactions ask for totally equal division of economy, particularly housing issue. It is based on "How much I pay equals how

much I gain”. In this case, it even more emphasizes on the economic element of marriage and family, and is actually at variance of the identity goal.

Third, since the fear insures and resists to the new amendment of marriage law, the new kinds of alternative family models, such as cohabitation, may prevail among the young women. Shared residence does not guarantee shared family experiences, particularly when it comes to the provision of intimacy, emotional support, or involvement in practical care-giving tasks (Tillman & Nam 2008: 370). Therefore, cohabitation also deviates from the original goals of building self-esteem identity, which aims to solving the delayed marriage problem and mistress boom problem.

Generally the identity of self-esteem to some degree failed in the negotiation to unmarried women’s inner-selves in urban China, since they showed the fears and resistances from the interviews. Those unmarried urban young women tend to be more “economic” and “realistic” when it comes to marriage. The inner insecurity and fears makes them to care more about partners’ economic status. Also the traditional marriage convention still largely influence on those women’s identity that men are supposed to land in a more dominant position both economically and mentally, while women are designed to be more dependent to men in the relationship.

#### **6.2.4 Why Failed?**

From the evaluation above, the third amendment of marriage law failed to build up self-esteem identity among those unmarried urban women, meanwhile the “marry-up” convention still largely influence on women’s identity building. According to Brown, social experience not only explains the failure itself, but also the subsequent nostalgia (2010: 466). Thus it remains to examine the contradictions between the new identity and traditional marriage convention.

Specifically, the traditional marriage custom emphasizes that grooms are expected to provide marital housing to shelter, while a bride and her parents can consider the ability to provide or contribute to the purchase of marital housing an extra bonus to enhance the daughter's marriagiability and comfort, such as electrical application, furniture and other things (Fong 2002: 1104). Under the extremely high housing price, urban women actually follow this convention to strive for their rights and interests of the marriage. Since in China, instead of alimony, the joint after-marriage property is the main compensation for divorced women (Ma Feb. 20<sup>th</sup> 2012). For instance, if a couple got divorced, women also have some parts of the housing ownership for compensation. This “marry-up” convention is actually a bargaining chip or privilege for women in the marital statues, during both the courtship and marriage. However, the amendments of article 7 and article 10 totally privatized the individual property, which made women have no legal rights to share with the housing property division in the case of divorce. It deprived of women’s privilege that attached with traditional marriage convention, and granted more powers to men.

Some feminism scholars argued that since men and women hold the different social resources, the neutrally gendered and equal law is more beneficial to men when it comes to implement it (Ma Aug. 18<sup>th</sup> 2011b). And the legislation purposely or accidentally ignored the femininity angle of view, by designing the neutrally gendered provisions, which result in the different effects among man and woman in the execution (Ma & Tong, et. 2006: 43). Namely, in *China Women’s News*, Ma points out:

“ The women’s property is movable and consuming property, which is shared by both parties in the family. But men’s property is immovable property, which will appreciate in many cases. In the regulation of new amendment, the so-called gender equal rule will actually result in women’s loss and men’s gains in the case of divorce. Consequently, the divorced women will end up leaving the shelter with a small amount of money, which even cannot afford to buy a new apartment (Ma Aug. 25<sup>th</sup> 2011c).”

Also the problem about the down payment and house ownership has emerged to be more and more controversial. With the substantial rise of house price in many big cities, it is more difficult for young people to get sheltered. Considering the combination of the Chinese marriage convention and the economic reality, many young couples have gotten an apartment on the condition that men pay the down payment, while both sides take mortgage from banks together. However, according to the new amendment article 10, if one party took the down payment, no matter whether the other party participate in the repayment or not, the property right of the house always belongs to the registered owner. Thus, it led to disadvantages on women. For example, if women participated in paying off the loans, actually they would have lost their opportunity and capacity to purchase a house (Ma Aug. 25<sup>th</sup> 2011c). Also in the discussion from *Baidu Tieba*, one of the biggest searching engines and the most popular forums in China, many network citizens complain about the problem of article 10. Among them, a netizen, called Xinyuner198651, made one of the most typical comments. She said:

“When it is dealing with reality, it is a gendered unequal regulation, since many young men only pay small mount of down payment to the realty estate company before marriage, and both husband and wife share the majority part of the mortgage after the marriage. Now the property rights become to men...it is so unfair! ”

August 19<sup>th</sup> 2011

Generally, the new amendment aims at challenging the traditional marriage convention by building up young women’s self-esteem to solve the marital puzzles and moral deterioration. However, because the property division in the case of divorce has not considered carefully about the disadvantage of women’s economic status under the economic reform and the specific troubles under the traditional convention in China (Ma & Tong, et. 2006: 44). Therefore, it also deprives many women’s marital protection or compensation and lands women on disadvantage position in the case of divorce. Particularly, when it comes to deal with the ownership

of houses in urban city, divorced urban women would probably face a lower standard of living, such as losing the house ownership.

Moreover, due to the sex discrimination, occupational segregation, and sexual harassment, women who seek jobs to support themselves and their families are disadvantaged in the labour market (Pearce 1983: 70). In China a large number of women in many occupations are still subject to discrimination, including discriminatory hiring practice, limited opportunities for promotion, the lack of social insurance benefits, early compulsory retirement age, and the various forms of harassment (Yang & Li 2009: 297). This uncertainty and bias of career make women even more vulnerable in the case of divorce. And divorced women may also suffer from a big drop of income in China, which leads them to become a poor “*nü huzhu*” (see above). Consequently, the changes of marriage law may cause the problems of “feminization of poverty” in China.

Furthermore, since the degree of correlation between financial security and self-esteem implies that people with money feel better about themselves than those without, and impoverished people are perceived to be of less value to outside observers as well, so the “feminization of poverty” may also lead to the psychological problems to women, such as negative self-images (Atwood & Genovese 1997: 25). Regarding to the interviews the lack in compensation of marriage law in China has already aroused some feelings of insecurity among those unmarried urban women, which may even intensify the building of negative self-images. Thus, the changes of marriage law not only failed to build up self-esteem identity in order to resolve the social problems, but also might cause further problems based on feeling of insecurity among those unmarried urban women.

## 7. Conclusion

---

*This is the last chapter of the thesis, which consists two parts. On one hand, it gives a summary and discussion of the findings in relation to the research questions. On the other hand, it reflects upon some suggestions of the further research.*

---

### 7.1 Summary and Discussion of the Findings

The thesis showed how the changes of marriage law influence on women's identity and evaluated the authenticity of the new identities among unmarried urban women. Namely, the changes of China's marriage law in recent sixty years, particularly in the property division in the case of divorce, have been described. The underlying logics of governmentality on marriage have been analyzed. The new identities on women have been interpreted. The authenticity of the new identities building has been inspected.

As a result, the Chinese government held the underlying logics of cultivating individualism and self-governing in family and marriage, by bestowing more rights on individuals and defining the boundaries of nation-state's interferences. Following this hidden logic of governmentality on marriage, the revisions and amendments marriage law have been used to change the social experiences of women in China, such as property division in the case of divorce, in order to forge the collective identities of self-reliance and self-esteem, which aim at releasing the female labour force and solving the social problems of marital puzzle and moral deterioration. Moreover, based on the interviewing investigation of this study, the self-reliance identity has been successfully accepted and assimilated by unmarried urban women in China. However, the self-esteem identity has aroused the resistances among women's inner-selves, and to some degree failed to being assimilated. Thus, the thesis inspected reasons of the failure from feminism perspective. It concluded that since the traditional marriage convention was a main way for women in China to get

compensation and protection from marriage, unmarried urban women hardly could authenticate the new amendment of marriage law, which tried to break down the convention and indirectly weakened the women's rights and benefits in marriage. Additionally, the interviewing investigation also manifested the insecure feelings of those young women's inner selves, which might lead to more marital problems such as popularity of cohabitation.

The findings in the thesis imply two meanings for the policy makers in China. For one thing, it is necessary for the Chinese government to introspect the ways or angles to represent a social problem. For instance, the postponing marriage puzzles happened in urban China, because of man and their family cannot afford to an apartment. Is that really women's fault? Or is it the exorbitant prices of realty estate in China? Which is more urgent problem to solve? Also, when it comes to criticize the mistress boom or the mammonism among young women, is it only because of women's morality deterioration? Or should rich or married men also take some responsibilities of seducing and disloyalty? Does the society give women and men the same opportunity to compete with each other fairly? Consequently, what we see from the policy is that the representations of those problems from the policies, given by Chinese government, shift the majority of the blame to women and sacrifice women's rights and protections to solve the problem. For another thing, it also exposes the lack of feminism or feminist considerations among Chinese decision-makers, particularly in the legislators of marriage law. It is doubted that whether those judges give any consideration about women's rights and empowerment. At least from the third amendment of marriage law, it proves that there is still a long way to go for feminism in China.

## **7.2 Suggestions for Further Research**

The topic is very interesting, but word number is limited. A few studies can be conducted for further researches. For examples, this study focuses on the unmarried

urban women in China, inspecting the authenticity of the identities under the changes of marriage law. While, the other groups or generations of women can also be investigated, such as married women and divorced women. It might give the different pictures of marital concept and women's identity.

## Bibliography

### Printed References

Agarwal, Ritu (2002) "Marriage Law in China Revised". *China Report*, 38:3, 407-413.

Appiah, K.A (2006) "The Politics of Identity". *Daedalus*, 135:4, 15-22.

Atwood, Joan D. & Frank Genovese (1997) "The Feminization of Poverty: Issues and Therapeutic Concerns". *Journal of Feminist Family Therapy*, 9:2, 21-40.

Bacchi, Carol Lee (1999) *Women, Policy and Politics: the Construction of Policy Problems*. London: SAGE.

Brown, Melissa J. (2010) "Changing Authentic Identities: Evidence from Taiwan and China". *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 16, 459-479.

Bryman, Alan (2008) *Social Research Methods*. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Deng, Yinchao (1950) "Deng Yinchao's Report about Marry Law (邓颖超同志关于婚姻法的报告)." *People's Daily*, May 26.

Duara, Prasenjit (1988) "The Regime of Authenticity: Timelessness, Gender and National History in Modern China". *History and Theory*, 37:3, 287-308.

Engel David M. & Frank W. Munger (2003) "Law and Identity in the Life Stories of Americans with Disabilities". *Rights of Inclusion*, 37, 12-37.

Evans, Harriet. *Women and Sexuality in China: Dominant Discourses of Female Sexuality and Gender Since 1949*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Evans, Harriet (1999) " 'Comrade Sisters': Gendered Bodies and Spaces". In *Picturing Power in the People's Republic of China: Posters of the Cultural Revolution*, edited by Harriet Evans and Stephanie D. Lanham, 8-40. Md.: Rowman and Littlefield.

Fong, Vanessa L. (2002) "China's One-Child Policy and the Empowerment of Urban Daughters". *American Anthropologist*, 104:4, 1098-1109.

Fowler, Aubrey R. & Jie Gao & Les Carlson (2010) "Public Policy and the Changing Chinese Family in Contemporary China: The Past and Present as Prologue for the Future". *Journal of Macromarketing*, 30:4, 342-353.

Franklin, Donna L. (1992) "Feminization of Poverty and African-American Families: Illusions and Realities". *Affilia*, 7:2, 142-155.

Ge, Shitao (2006) "The Study of New Marriage Law and Women's Marriage and Family in the Early Stage of the People's Republic of China (新婚姻法与建国初期妇女婚姻家庭研究)". Master dissertation, Guangxi Normal University.

Glosser, Susan L. (2003) *Chinese Version of Family and States, 1915-1953*. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press.

Greenhalgh, Susan (2010) *Cultivating Global Citizens: Population in the Rise of China*. Harvard University Press, October 15.

Gu, Xioulian (2002) "New Development of Promoting Women's Empowerment (推动妇女维权工作新发展)." *People's Daily*, June 26.

Hershatter, Gail (2004) "State of the Field: Women in China's Long Twentieth Century". *Journal of Asian Studies*, 63:4, 991-1065.

Huang, Yu (2007) "The Chinese Marriage and Family Law Study on Feminism Approach (婚姻家庭法之女性主义分析)". PHD dissertation, Southwest University of Political Science and Law.

Information Office of the China's State Council (2005) *Gender Equality and Women's Development in China*. Beijing: Information Office of the China's State Council.

Li, Zehou (2003) *Study on the History of Ancient Chinese Ideology (《中国古代思想史论》)*. Tianjin Social Science Academy Publishing House (天津社会科学院出版社), May 1.

Liang, Zhiping (1999) "Tradition and Change: Law and Order in a Pluralist Landscape". *Cultural Dynamics*, 11: 2, 215-236.

Lin, Mu (2007) "'The Outline of China's Land Law' in 1947 (一九四七年的《中国土地法大纲》)". *General Review of the Communist Party of China*.

Liu, Jieyu (2004) "Holding Up the Sky? Reflections on Marriage in Contemporary China". *Feminism & Psychology*, 14:1, 195-202.

Luo, Junhan (2001) "The New Changes of Marital Concepts from the Perspective of 'New Marriage Law' (从 '新婚姻法' 看我国婚姻观的新变化)". *Manger and Administer* (经营管理者), 23, 173-177.

Ma, Yinan (2011a) "Individual Freedom and Nation-state Interference Under Marriage Law (婚姻法中的个人自由与国家干预)". *Wenhua Zongheng*, 4, 45-50.

\_\_\_\_\_ (2011b) "No Need to Be Afraid of Marriage Because of the Law (不必因法而恐婚)". *Guangming Daily*, August 18.

\_\_\_\_\_ (2011c) "Can the Gender Equal 'Law' in Disguise Compensate Life? (貌似中立的“法律”能补偿人生吗?)". *China Women's News*, August 25.

Ma, Yinan & Tong Yuanlin & Pan Li & Wang Jing (2006) "Gender Analysis of the Division of Property in Divorce (离婚财产风格若干问题的社会性别分析)". *Collection of Women's Studies* (妇女研究丛论), 77, 42-46.

Mitnick, Eric J. (2007) "Law, Cognition, and Identity". *Louisiana Law Review*, 67: 3, 823-869.

Pearce, Diana M. (1983) "The Feminization of Ghetto Poverty". *Society* (New Brunswick), 21: 1, 70-74.

Peterson, Janice (1987) "The Feminization of Poverty". *Journal of Economic Issues*, 56: 1, 329-336.

Pimentel, Ellen Efron (2000) "Just How Do I Love Thee?: Marital Relations in Urban China". *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 62:1, 32-47.

Qian, Mu (2001) *The Gain and Loss of Chinese Political Affairs in all the Past Dynasties* (《中国历代政治得失》). Joint Publishing Company (三联书店), June.

Sending, Ole Jacob & Iver B. Neumann (2006) "Governance to Governmentality: Analyzing NGOs, States, and Power". *International Studies Quarterly*, 50, 651-672.

Stewart, Monte Neil (2008) "Marriage Facts". *Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy*, 31:1, 313-369.

Thompson, Linda & Alexis J. Walker (1995) "The Place of Feminism in Family Studies". *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 57, 847-865.

Yan, Yunxiang (2010) "The Chinese Path to Individualization". *The British Journal of Sociology*, 61:3, 489-512.

Yang, Sadie & Ao Li (2009) "Legal Protection against Gender Discrimination in the Workplace in China". *Gender & Development*, 17: 2, 295-308.

Yi, Min (2011) "New 'Marriage Law': Men Chuckling, Women Worrying? (新《婚姻法》：男人笑，女人愁?)". *Government Legality*, 27, 12-13.

Zhang, Xuejun (2012) "Amendment of the Marriage Law in China". *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, 16, 399-409.

### **Internet Sources**

Baidu, Tieba (2011) "New Marriage Law Can Only Cure the Symptoms, Not the Disease (新婚姻法，治标不治本)".

<http://tieba.baidu.com/p/1460054494> (Accessed 19. August 2011).

China Daily (2011) "Housing Pressure Leads to Delayed Marriage".

[http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/bizchina/2011-06/04/content\\_12642236.htm](http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/bizchina/2011-06/04/content_12642236.htm) (Accessed 4. June 2011).

Ifeng (2011) "New 'Marriage Law' Is the Law of 'Men Sleep With Girls For Free' (新《婚姻法》就是男人白睡姑娘法)".

[http://phtv.ifeng.com/project/fenghuangbanyuekan/fhbyk3/detail\\_2011\\_09/09/907883\\_2\\_0.shtml](http://phtv.ifeng.com/project/fenghuangbanyuekan/fhbyk3/detail_2011_09/09/907883_2_0.shtml) (Accessed 9. September 2011).

Levin, Dan (2011) "China's New Wealth Spurs a Market for Mistresses." *The New York Times*.

<http://www.nytimes.com/2011/08/10/world/asia/10mistress.html?pagewanted=all> (Accessed 9. August 2011).

### **Interviews**

Ma, interview with a professor in Peking University and legislation committee member of Marry Law, notes taken during the interview, February 20, 2012.

G1, interview with an unmarried urban woman, Chengdu, notes taken during the interview, January 7 2012.

G2, interview with an unmarried urban woman, Chengdu, records taken during the interview, January 8 2012.

G3, interview with an unmarried urban woman, Chengdu, records taken during the interview, January 8 2012.

G4, interview with an unmarried urban woman, Chengdu, records taken during the interview, January 14 2012.

G5, interview with an unmarried urban woman, Chengdu, records taken during the interview, January 14 2012.

G6, interview with an unmarried urban woman, Chengdu, records taken during the interview, January 15 2012.

G7, interview with an unmarried urban woman, Chengdu, records taken during the interview, January 25 2012.

G8, interview with an unmarried urban woman, Chengdu, records taken during the interview, February 4 2012.

G9, interview with an unmarried urban woman, Chengdu, notes taken during the interview, February 5 2012.

G10, interview with an unmarried urban woman, Chengdu, notes taken during the interview, February 12 2012.

## Appendix 1            The Marriage Law of the People's Republic of China

### 《中华人民共和国婚姻法》

Source: Xinhua News Agency, Beijing, April 28<sup>th</sup> 2001      (新华社北京4月28日2001)

《全国人民代表大会常务委员会关于修改〈中华人民共和国婚姻法〉的决定》已由中华人民共和国第九届全国人民代表大会常务委员会第二十一次会议于2001年4月28日通过，现予公布，自公布之日起施行。

#### 目录

- 第一章总则
- 第二章结婚
- 第三章家庭关系
- 第四章离婚
- 第五章救助措施与法律责任
- 第六章附则

#### 第一章 总则

第一条 本法是婚姻家庭关系的基本准则。

第二条 实行婚姻自由、一夫一妻、男女平等的婚姻制度。保护妇女、儿童和老人的合法权益。实行计划生育。

第三条 禁止包办、买卖婚姻和其他干涉婚姻自由的行为。禁止借婚姻索取财。禁止重婚。禁止有配偶者与他人同居。禁止家庭暴力。禁止家庭成员间的虐待和遗弃。

第四条 夫妻应当互相忠实，互相尊重；家庭成员间应当敬老爱幼，互相帮助，维护平等、和睦、文明的婚姻家庭关系。

#### 第二章 结婚

第五条 结婚必须男女双方完全自愿，不许任何一方对他方加以强迫或任何第三者加以干涉。

第六条 结婚年龄，男不得早于二十二周岁，女不得早于二十周岁。晚婚晚育应予鼓励。

第七条 有下列情形之一的，禁止结婚：

- (一) 直系血亲和三代以内的旁系血亲；
- (二) 患有医学上认为不应当结婚的疾病。

第八条 要求结婚的男女双方必须亲自到婚姻登记机关进行结婚登记。符合本法规定的，予以登记，发给结婚证。取得结婚证，即确立夫妻关系。未办理结婚登记的，应当补办登记。

第九条 登记结婚后，根据男女双方约定，女方可以成为男方家庭的成员，男方可以成为女方家庭的成员。

第十条 有下列情形之一的，婚姻无效：

- (一) 重婚的；
- (二) 有禁止结婚的亲属关系的；
- (三) 婚前患有医学上认为不应当结婚的疾病，婚后尚未治愈的；
- (四) 未到法定婚龄的。

第十一条 因胁迫结婚的，受胁迫的一方可以向婚姻登记机关或人民法院请求撤销该婚姻。受胁迫的一方撤销婚姻的请求，应当自结婚登记之日起一年内提出。被非法限制人身自由的当事人请求撤销婚姻的，应当自恢复人身自由之日起一年内提出。

第十二条 无效或被撤销的婚姻，自始无效。当事人不具有夫妻的权利和义务。同居期间所得的财产，由当事人协议处理；协议不成时，由人民法院根据照顾无过错方的原则判决。对重婚导致的婚姻无效的财产处理，不得侵害合法婚姻当事人的财产权益。当事人所生的子女，适用本法有关父母子女的规定。

### 第三章 家庭关系

第十三条 夫妻在家庭中地位平等。

第十四条 夫妻双方都有各用自己姓名的权利。

第十五条 夫妻双方都有参加生产、工作、学习和社会活动的自由，一方不得对他方加以限制或干涉。

第十六条 夫妻双方都有实行计划生育的义务。

第十七条 夫妻在婚姻关系存续期间所得的下列财产，归夫妻共同所有：

- (一) 工资、奖金；
- (二) 生产、经营的收益；
- (三) 知识产权的收益；
- (四) 继承或赠与所得的财产，但本法第十八条第三项规定的除外；
- (五) 其他应当归共同所有的财产。夫妻对共同所有的财产，有平等的处理。

第十八条 有下列情形之一的，为夫妻一方的财产：

- (一) 一方的婚前财产；
- (二) 一方因身体受到伤害获得的医疗费、残疾人生活补助费等费用；
- (三) 遗嘱或赠与合同中确定只归夫或妻一方的财产；
- (四) 一方专用的生活用品；

(五) 其他应当归一方的财产。

第十九条 夫妻可以约定婚姻关系存续期间所得的财产以及婚前财产归各自所有、共同所有或部分各自所有、部分共同所有。约定应当采用书面形式。没有约定或约定不明确的，适用本法第十七条、第十八条的规定。夫妻对婚姻关系存续期间所得的财产以及婚前财产的约定，对双方具有约束力。夫妻对婚姻关系存续期间所得的财产约定归各自所有的，夫或妻一方对外所负的债务，第三人知道该约定的，以夫或妻一方所有的财产清偿。

第二十条 夫妻有互相扶养的义务。一方不履行扶养义务时，需要扶养的一方，有要求对方付给扶养费的权利。

第二十一条 父母对子女有抚养教育的义务；子女对父母有赡养扶助的义务。父母不履行抚养义务时，未成年的或不能独立生活的子女，有要求父母付给扶养费的权利。子女不履行赡养义务时，无劳动能力的或生活困难的父母，有要求子女付给赡养费的权利。禁止溺婴、弃婴和其他残害婴儿的行为。

第二十二条 子女可以随父姓，可以随母姓。

第二十三条 父母有保护和教育未成年子女的权利和义务。在未成年子女对国、集体或他人造成损害时，父母有承担民事责任的义务。

第二十四条 夫妻有相互继承遗产的权利。父母和子女有相互继承遗产的权利。

第二十五条 非婚生子女享有与婚生子女同等的权利，任何人不得加以危害和歧视。不直接抚养非婚生子女的生父或生母，应当负担子女的生活费和教育费，直至子女能独立生活为止。

第二十六条 国家保护合法的收养关系。养父母和养子女间的权利和义务，适用本法对父母子女关系的有关规定。养子女和生父母间的权利和义务，因收养关系的成立而消除。

第二十七条 继父母与继子女间，不得虐待或歧视。继父或继母和受其抚养教育的继子女间的权利和义务，适用本法对父母子女关系的有关规定。

第二十八条 有负担能力的祖父母、外祖父母，对于父母已经死亡或父母无力抚养的未成年的孙子女、外孙子女，有抚养的义务。有负担能力的孙子女、外孙子女，对于子女已经死亡或子女无力赡养的祖父母、外祖父母有赡养的义务。

第二十九条 有负担能力的兄、姐，对于父母已经死亡或父母无力抚养的未成年的弟、妹，有抚养的义务。由兄、姐抚养长大的有负担能力的弟、妹，对于缺乏劳动能力又缺乏生活来源的兄、姐，有抚养的义务。

第三十条 子女应当尊重父母的婚姻权利，不得干涉父母再婚以及婚后的生活。子女对父母的赡养义务，不因父母的婚姻关系变化而终止。

#### 第四章 离婚

第三十一条 男女双方自愿离婚的，准予离婚。双方必须到婚姻登记机关申请离婚。婚姻登记机关查明双方确实是自愿并对子女和财产问题已有适当处理时，发给离婚证。

第三十二条 男女一方要求离婚的，可由有关部门进行调解或直接向人民法院提出离婚诉讼。人民法院审理离婚案件，应当进行调解；如感情确已破裂，调解无效，应准予离婚。有下列情形之一的，调解无效的，应准予离婚：

- (一) 重婚或有配偶者与他人同居的；
- (二) 实施家庭暴力或虐待、遗弃家庭成员的；
- (三) 有赌博、吸毒等恶习屡教不改的；
- (四) 因感情不和分居满二年的；
- (五) 其他导致夫妻感情破裂的情形。一方被宣告失踪，另一方提出离婚诉讼的应准予离婚。

第三十三条 现役军人的配偶要求离婚，须得军人同意，但军人一方有重大过错的除外。

第三十四条 女方在怀孕期间、分娩后一年内或中止妊娠后六个月内，男方不得提出离婚。女方提出离婚的，或人民法院认为确有必要受理男方离婚请求的，不在此限。

第三十五条 离婚后，男女双方自愿恢复夫妻关系的，必须到婚姻登

记机关进行复婚登记。

第三十六条 父母与子女间的关系，不因父母离婚而消除。离婚后，子女无论由父或母直接抚养，仍是父母双方的子女。离婚后，父母对于子女仍有抚养和教育的权利和义务。离婚后，哺乳期内的子女，以随哺乳的母亲抚养为原则。哺乳期后的子女，如双方因抚养问题发生争执不能达成协议时，由人民法院根据子女的权益和双方的具体情况判决。

第三十七条 离婚后，一方抚养的子女，另一方应负担必要的生活费和教育费的一部或全部，负担费用的多少和期限的长短，由双方协议；协议不成时，由人民法院判决。关于子女生活费和教育费的协议或判决，不妨碍子女在必要时向父母任何一方提出超过协议或判决原定数额的合理要求。

第三十八条 离婚后，不直接抚养子女的父或母，有探望子女的权利，另一方有协助的义务。行使探望权利的方式、时间由当事人协议；协议不成时，由人民法院判决。父或母探望子女，不利于子女身心健康的，由人民法院依法中止探望的权利；中止的事由消失后，应当恢复探望的权利。

第三十九条 离婚时，夫妻的共同财产由双方协议处理；协议不成时，由人民法院根据财产的具体情况，照顾子女和女方权益的原则判决。夫或妻在家庭土地承包经营中享有的权益等，应当依法予以保护。

第四十条 夫妻书面约定婚姻关系存续期间所得的财产归各自所有，一方因抚育子女、照料老人、协助另一方工作等付出较多义务的，离婚时有权向另一方请求补偿，另一方应当予以补偿。

第四十一条 离婚时，原为夫妻共同生活所负的债务，应当共同偿还。共同财产不足清偿的，或财产归各自所有的，由双方协议清偿；协议不成时，由人民法院判决。

第四十二条 离婚时，如一方生活困难，另一方应从其住房等个人财产中给予适当帮助。具体办法由双方协议；协议不成时，由人民法院判决。

## 第五章 救助措施与法律责任

第四十三条 实施家庭暴力或虐待家庭成员，受害人有权提出请求，居民委员会、村民委员会以及所在单位应当予以劝阻、调解。对正在实施的家庭暴力，受害人有权提出请求，居民委员会、村民委员会应当予以劝阻；公安机关应当予以制止。实施家庭暴力或虐待家庭成员，受害人提出请求的，公安机关应当依照治安管理处罚的法律规定予以行政处罚。

第四十四条 对遗弃家庭成员，受害人有权提出请求，居民委员会、村民委员会以及所在单位应当予以劝阻、调解。对遗弃家庭成员，受害人提出请求的，人民法院应当依法作出支付抚养费、抚养费、赡养费的判决。

第四十五条 对重婚的，对实施家庭暴力或虐待、遗弃家庭成员构成犯罪的，依法追究刑事责任。受害人可以依照刑事诉讼法的有关规定，向人民法院自诉；公安机关应当依法侦查，人民检察院应当依法提起公诉。

第四十六条 有下列情形之一的，导致离婚的，无过错方有权请求损害赔偿：

- （一）重婚的；
- （二）有配偶者与他人同居的；
- （三）实施家庭暴力的；
- （四）虐待、遗弃家庭成员的。

第四十七条 离婚时，一方隐藏、转移、变卖、毁损夫妻共同财产，或伪造债务企图侵占另一方财产的，分割夫妻共同财产时，对隐藏、转移、变卖、毁损夫妻共同财产或伪造债务的一方，可以少分或不分。离婚后，另一方发现有上述行为的，可以向人民法院提起诉讼，请求再次分割夫妻共同财产。人民法院对前款规定的妨害民事诉讼的行为，依照民事诉讼法的规定予以制裁。

第四十八条 对拒不执行有关抚养费、抚养费、赡养费、财产分割、遗产继承、探望子女等判决或裁定的，由人民法院依法强制执行。有关个人和单位应负协助执行的责任。

第四十九条 其他法律对有关婚姻家庭的违法行为和法律责任另有规定的，依照其规定。

## 第六章 附则

第五十条 自治地方的人民代表大会有权结合当地民族婚姻家庭的具体情况，制定变通规定。自治州、自治县制定的变通规定，报省、自治区、直辖市人民代表大会常务委员会批准后生效。自治区制定的变通规定，报全国人民代表大会常务委员会批准后生效。

第五十一条本法自1981年1月1日起施行。1950年5月1日颁行的《中华人民共和国婚姻法》，自本法施行之日起废止。