

Fei Lu

Comments Corrections

Topic: Compute a Crowdedness Index on Historical GIS Data - A Case Study of Hög Parish, Sweden, 1812-1920

Examiner: Lars Harrie and Finn Hedfalk

Presentation time: 2014, 12, 19

A received comments from my examiner Lars and Hedfalk individually right after the presentation. Commons from both examiners are focusing on three aspects: the building crowdedness index method (chapter 4.2.3), the appendix, and the presentations (writing) of whole thesis. On the original report, the evaluation of method building crowdedness index was not described properly, the figure did not show reasonable result. For this common, I corrected the evaluation test, changed the presentation of the evaluation curve. On the final report, the evaluation test and results are meeting the requirements. On the original report, the appendixes are showing all the possible results of the last two methods of chapter 6 (crowdedness index on property unit levels). Both of my examiner thought that is not necessary to show all the possible results. So instead of that, I changed the appendixes to some detailed information of each index methods (Matlab codes). I think the appendix is now showing more useful information of the thesis study. I also got some comments from both of my examiner about my writing. Some parts of the original report were not presented on scientific ways. All of those writing problems were marked by Lars, so that I corrected all of those comments in the final report.

There are also some un-corrected comments which I decided to keep them in the original way. From here, all the comments from both examiners are corrected. And it should able to be handed in now.