



LUND UNIVERSITY

Airborne bacteria in hospital operating theatres during surgery

Alsved, Malin; Civilis, Anette; Ekolind, Peter; Tammelin, Ann; Erichsen Andersson, Annette; Jakobsson, Jonas; Svensson, Tobias; Ramstorp, Matts; Santl-Temkiv, Tina; Larsson, P A; Bohgard, Mats; Löndahl, Jakob

2017

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Alsved, M., Civilis, A., Ekolind, P., Tammelin, A., Erichsen Andersson, A., Jakobsson, J., Svensson, T., Ramstorp, M., Santl-Temkiv, T., Larsson, P. A., Bohgard, M., & Löndahl, J. (2017). *Airborne bacteria in hospital operating theatres during surgery*. Abstract from Ulmer Symposium Krankenhausinfektionen, Ulm, Germany.

Total number of authors:

12

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

Airborne bacteria in hospital operating theatres during surgery

M. Alsved¹, A. Civilis², P. Ekolind³, A. Tammelin⁴, A. Erichsen Andersson⁵, J. Jakobsson¹, T. Svensson¹,
M. Ramstorp¹, T. Santl Temkiv¹, P.A. Larsson², M. Bohgard¹, J. Löndahl¹.

¹Department of Design Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

²Operating department, Region Skåne, Helsingborgs lasarett, Helsingborg, Sweden

³Avidicare AB, Medicon Village, Lund, Sweden

⁴Department of Medicine Solna, Unit of Infectious diseases, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm.

⁵Institute of Health and Care Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, Göteborg, Sweden

Introduction

Post-operative infections obtained from open-wound surgeries constitute an unnecessary load on both healthcare and affected patients. The annual cost for post-operative infections in Sweden is estimated to 400 million Euro. It is well established that increased air cleanliness reduces the number of post-operative infections. Therefore, the ventilation system is important in order to reduce the number of infectious particles in the air during surgery. Ventilation with high airflow, as in operating theatres, consumes a high amount of energy and it is thus desirable to find energy efficient solutions.

The purpose of this work is to evaluate air quality, energy efficiency and working comfort for different ventilation techniques in operating theatres.


Method

Three different ventilation systems were evaluated: turbulent mixed airflow (TMA), laminar airflow (LAF) and the newly developed technique temperature controlled airflow (TAF). In total, measurements were performed during 45 elective operations: 15 for each type of ventilation system. The concentration of colony forming units (CFU)/m³ was measured at three locations in the rooms: close (<0.5 m) to the wound, at the instrument table and peripherally in the room. Additional measurements were carried out, where the number of CFU/m³ was compared to the number of viable particles detected by a high airflow fluorescence spectrometer (BioTrak 9510-BD, TSI).

Results

In this study we show that LAF and TAF, but not TMA, have less than 10 colony forming units (CFU)/m³ at all locations in the operating room, which is in line with the recommendations in most countries. TAF has up to 30% lower energy consumption than LAF, which is related to the almost double airflow volume in LAF. The BioTrak showed that there are more viable particles in the air than what is counted by CFU measurements.

A questionnaire was given to the operating staff, and their answers showed that they experienced less disturbance from noise and draught in TMA and TAF than in LAF.



Conclusion

Reducing the CFU concentration in operating theatres is difficult, since most particles are emitted by the staff. Nevertheless, both the LAF and TAF ventilation keep high air cleanliness with low CFU concentrations throughout the operation.

This work was supported by Avidicare AB, Swedish Energy Agency, FORMAS and AFA.