



LUND UNIVERSITY

Airborne bacteria in hospital operating rooms during ongoing surgery

Alsved, Malin; Civilis, Anette; Ekolind, Peter; Tammelin, Ann; Erichsen Andersson, Annette; Jakobsson, Jonas; Svensson, Tobias; Ramstorp, Matts; Sadrizadeh, Sasan; Larsson, P A; Bohgard, Mats; Santl-Temkiv, Tina; Löndahl, Jakob

2018

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Alsved, M., Civilis, A., Ekolind, P., Tammelin, A., Erichsen Andersson, A., Jakobsson, J., Svensson, T., Ramstorp, M., Sadrizadeh, S., Larsson, P. A., Bohgard, M., Santl-Temkiv, T., & Löndahl, J. (2018). *Airborne bacteria in hospital operating rooms during ongoing surgery*. Abstract from 14th Kongress für Kranhenhaushygiene, Berlin, Germany.

Total number of authors:

13

Creative Commons License:

Unspecified

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply: Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

Abstract für den 14. Kongress für Krankenhaushygiene

Sie haben Ihr Abstract als **freier Beitrag** in **Englisch** mit folgendem Inhalt eingereicht:

Airborne bacteria in hospital operating rooms during ongoing surgery

M. Alsved¹, A. Civilis², P. Ekolind³, A. Tammelin⁴, A. Erichsen Andersson⁵, J. Jakobsson¹, T. Svensson¹,
M. Ramstorp¹, T. Šantl-Temkiv¹, P.A. Larsson², M. Bohgard¹, J. Löndahl¹

¹Department of Design Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

²Operating department, Region Skåne, Helsingborgs lasarett, Helsingborg, Sweden

³Avidicare AB, Medicon Village, Lund, Sweden

⁴Department of Medicine Solna, Unit of Infectious diseases, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm.

⁵Institute of Health and Care Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, Göteborg, Sweden

Abstract

Introduction

Post-operative infections obtained from open-wound surgeries constitute an unnecessary load on both healthcare and affected patients. It is well established that increased air cleanliness reduces the number of post-operative infections. Therefore, the ventilation system is important in order to reduce the number of infectious particles in the air during surgery. Ventilation with high airflow, as in operating rooms, consumes a high amount of energy and it is thus desirable to find energy efficient solutions. The purpose of this work is to evaluate air quality, energy efficiency and working environment comfort for three different ventilation techniques in operating rooms.

Method

The newly developed ventilation system temperature controlled airflow (T_cAF) was compared with the conventionally used turbulent mixed airflow (TMA) and laminar airflow (LAF). In total, 750 air sample measurements were performed during 45 orthopaedic operations: 15 for each type of ventilation system [1]. The concentration of colony forming units (CFU)/m³ was measured at three locations in the rooms: close to the wound (<0.5 m), at the instrument table and peripherally in the room. The working environment comfort was evaluated in a questionnaire.

Results

Our study shows that both LAF and T_cAF maintains CFU concentrations in the air during ongoing surgery significantly below 10 CFU/m³ at the wound and at the instrument table, and for T_cAF also in the periphery of the room, see Table 1. The median CFU concentration in TMA was at or above 10 CFU/m³ at all locations. T_cAF used less than half the airflow to that of LAF, resulting in a 28% reduction in energy consumption. The working environment comfort was perceived less noisy and having less draft in the T_cAF than the LAF ventilation.

Table 1. Concentration of airborne bacteria measured in CFU/m³ at three locations in the room, reported as median (lower quartile-upper quartile).

Measurement location	TMA	LAF	T _c AF
Wound	10 (6-25)	0 (0-0)	1 (0-4)
Instrument table	22 (10-35)	0 (0-0)	3 (2-6)
Peripherally in the room	17 (13-28)	9 (5-17)	5 (3-10)

Summary

Both the LAF and T_cAF ventilation maintain high air cleanliness with low CFU concentrations throughout the operation. TMA is less efficient in removing bacteria from the air close to the patient.

This work was supported by Avidicare AB, Swedish Energy Agency, FORMAS and AFA.

References

[1] Alsved, M., et al. (2017). Temperature controlled airflow ventilation in operating rooms compared with laminar airflow and turbulent mixed airflow. *J Hosp Infect.* Online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2017.10.013>.

Conflict of Interest

Peter Ekolind is the CEO of Avidicare AB

Rahmenthemen

Krankenhausbau, Umwelthygiene

