

# LUND UNIVERSITY

## Social value of the child in the global south: A multifaceted concept

Qamar, Azher Hameed

Published in: Journal of Early Childhood Research

DOI: 10.1177/1476718X221089581

2022

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA): Qamar, A. H. (2022). Social value of the child in the global south: A multifaceted concept. Journal of Early Childhood Research, 20(4), 610-623. https://doi.org/10.1177/1476718X221089581

Total number of authors: 1

#### General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply: Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

· Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

or research.
You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain

· You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

#### LUND UNIVERSITY

**PO Box 117** 221 00 Lund +46 46-222 00 00



Social value of the child in the global south: A multifaceted concept

Journal of Early Childhood Research I-14 © The Author(s) 2022

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1476718X221089581 journals.sagepub.com/home/ecr





Lund University, Sweden National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Pakistan

#### Abstract

The term "the value of the child" was coined by economists in the context of demographic transition and fertility, emphasizing economic and cultural aspects. However, the scope of the value of the child was confined to a cost-benefit analysis. The "social value of the child" is a comprehensive concept that encompasses the economic, psychological, social, and cultural value of the child. Contextual knowledge of childhood was emphasized with the emergence of the sociology of childhood, taking into account the diversity of children's lives affected by cultural and institutional contexts across the world. This essay offers a synopsis of the social value of the child and the social construction of the value of the child in the global south. The global south represents the complex socio-cultural context of the majority world, wherein modern or global theories of childhood originating in the global north are contested. This brief article concludes that studies emphasizing the value of the children in the global south should investigate the intricate and relevant interconnections between the psychological, familial, and religious value of the child, all of which contribute to the social value of the child.

#### **Keywords**

value of the child, social value of the child, global south, social construction of childhood, sociology of childhood

## Introduction

Children and childhoods are linked to several interconnected social phenomena comprised of complex theoretical constructs, such as motherhood (Collett, 2005; Teodorescu, 2017), infertility (Dyer, 2007; Greil et al., 2011), and gender (Lorber, 2011; Osmond and Thorne, 2009). A comprehensive picture of childhood is a mosaic of meanings that may be studied and connected through interdisciplinary and holistic perspectives. Social studies of children and childhood (also known as the sociology of childhood) is an interdisciplinary research field established in the early 1980s by sociologists, anthropologists, historians, and other childhood researchers (e.g. James et al., 1998;

**Corresponding author:** 

Azher Hameed Qamar, Postdoc Researcher, School of Social Work, Lund University, Lund 221 00 Sweden. Email: azher.hameed@live.com Prout and James, 1997; Qvortrup, 1994). Childhood studies' theoretical and empirical contributions gradually acknowledged and strengthened the conception of children as social actors in their social context (James, 2007; James and James, 2012; Mayall, 2002). By emphasizing contextual knowledge of childhood and taking into consideration the diversity of children's lives impacted by cultural and structural contexts throughout the world, the development of the sociology of childhood effectively created a place for childhood within sociological discourse (Holloway and Valentine, 2000; Prout, 2011; Wells, 2009).

The western model of childhood, promoted as a global model by international organizations, treaties, and laws, was unable to address the widely varied experiences of children and models of childhood in the global south. Discourses on the socially constructed child challenged the Eurocentric construct of "normal childhood," and reconceptualized children and childhood in various social and cultural contexts (Prout and James, 1997; Nieuwenhuys, 2013; Prout, 2005; Punch, 2003; Qamar, 2021; Qvortrup, 1994; Woodhead and Montgomery, 2003). Child-rearing practices are as diverse as worldwide cultures, shaped by the conception and status of children in different cultures. Child-rearing practices also revealed the perceived value of children, the transactional nature of parent-child relationships, and parents' social networks that provide them with material and strategic resources (Bühler, 2008; Montgomery, 2009; Smørholm, 2016; Vlassoff, 2011).

Parenting, families, gender roles, and childcare practices are examples of interrelated social realities that may be employed to understand children and childhoods in a variety of contexts. The statuses of socially constructed children, who are not "universal" children, should be recognized in empirical studies that employ the contexts of children's lives and analyze how the children are situated in those contexts (Kjørholt, 2004). Social constructionists study people in their social and cultural contexts, where they construct and interpret their social realities. Hence, children and childhoods should be studied in connection with the statuses of children, as well as adult-child relationships in their social and cultural contexts (Brysk, 2004; Montgomery, 2009; Prout and James, 1997). The social value of the children in society is informed by their social status, adultchild relationships, socioeconomic conditions, the flexibility of livelihood strategies, evolving conceptions of children and childhood, and corresponding childcare practices. My focus in this essay is on the social value of the child in the global south linked to the paradigm of "the socially constructed child." I present here a glimpse of the social value of the child, and the interconnection between the social meaning of children and the value of the child. I include the conception of a "socially valued child" linked with the socio-cultural nexus of norms and values related to the child and childcare culture in the global south. Recognizing the need for the theories of the value of the child grounded in local contexts, this essay outlines a preliminary conceptual framework for studying children's lives in their sociocultural context.

## The value of the child: A brief background

Lancy (2014) identifies two types of a "valued" child that he termed as *cherubs* and *chattel*. In modern western societies, children are perceived as vulnerable and innocent. They are protected and nourished. Parents spend a lot of money on their children's upbringing. The value of the child for the parents is connected to the emotional value and the pleasure of having children. Lancy termed these children as expensive little cherubs. The other type of children that Lancy discussed are chattels. The chattel are valued as property or commodity who are invested to pay back in the future. The chattel discourse portrays a child as economically valuable to the parents. In this respect, children are seen as chattels in economic theories. Economic theories that were focused on a "cost and benefit" analysis of the children for the parents influenced the broader view on the

social value of the child informed by fertility theories. These theories were later expanded to include cultural perspectives on the value of children for parents, particularly when children contribute to the family's cultural heritage. Children, on the other hand, build relationships, form families, and contribute to society by expanding their social network (Guo and Dalli, 2016; Montgomery, 2009; Smørholm, 2016).

The work of Zelizer was a significant contribution to the understanding of the social value of the children. She pointed to the importance of the historical shift and related change in the value of the child in the USA during the early period of industrialization. She suggested that the social value of the child is about giving meanings to the parents' lives. Referring to child labor, she mentioned transformation in the economic and sentimental value of the children in the early 20th century as, "the price of a useful wage-earning child was directly counterposed to the moral value of an economically useless but emotionally priceless child." (Zelizer, 1985: 82). Zelizer shows how the contemporary family has drastically changed from an economic unit where children were important assets in contributing economically, to a "sacred family" where children have a non-economic but emotionally highly valued status. Children are offered care and love and they, in return, give emotional satisfaction, instead of economic support. This trend shifted radically from children's paid labor to non-economic, educational, and learning activities of the children in the middle of the 19th century. Policies related to children were designed to ensure a healthy and productive child, eliminating child labor and the economic value of the children. Middle-class parents used to provide their children with financial assistance to support their "unproductive" children, and the provision of education was seen as necessary for child wellbeing. 1930's legislation to eliminate child labor and implement compulsory education promoted a "non-productive" childhood with an emotional and sentimental value that was taken as a non-economic definition of the child, what Zelizer termed as "economically worthless" and emotionally "priceless child." Although historians differ over the dating of sentimentalization of childhood (see Mortimer, 2003); usually eviction from child labor and growing attention toward the child's need for nurturance and protection was seen as sentimentalization of childhood. With an exclusively emotional value of the child, the expectation of being economically useful was turned down and the child was moved from an exchange framework (an economic investment with a return) to a privileged status supported by the modern notion of "ideal childhood" (as stated in UNCRC, 1989) where children were protected from the necessary expectations of economic return (Montgomery, 2009).

Zelizer's (2002, 2005) later work provides an understanding of children's work in systematically and dramatically changing contexts. She examines children's contribution to social relations and social production through their participation in family livelihood. In this perspective, children may be seen as economic and social actors simultaneously engaged in economic activities and social interactions. Hence, a paramount consideration should be given to the presentation of children and their status in the socio-cultural and economic context of the family (in particular) and the society (in general).

#### Theory of the value of the child (VOC)

The concept of the value of the child was first employed by economists in the context of demographic transition and fertility, emphasizing economic and cultural factors in changing the value of the children (Jensen, 2009). In fertility theories, the anticipated benefits of having children are significant but contested predictors of fertility-related behavior, alongside biological causes, controlled contraception, and chance births (Kohler, 2010; Margolis and Myrskylä, 2011). In economic theories of fertility, the benefits and costs of having children determine fertility-related decisions (Nauck, 2014). Caldwell's (2005, 1982) theory of intergenerational wealth flow proposes that the economic value of the children is directly and positively related to fertility level. In the fertility transition, the cost-benefit analysis and insurance value of the children have played a key role. A bottom-up (younger to older generations) wealth flow determines the economic value of the children and higher fertility levels, whereas children are seen as an economic burden, resulting in low fertility levels.

The cost-related conceptualization of children, and the economic value they have for their parents establish a "calculated" value of the child that overshadows various other aspects of the value of the child in diverse cultural contexts. The value of the child (VOC) theory broadened economic theories of fertility to a multilevel analysis, emphasizing the importance of children to their parents in varied socio/economic contexts. Researchers began to consider the child as having the potential to alleviate uncertainty in people's lives, improve martial cohesion, and provide insurance value (Friedman et al., 1994; Nauck, 2014). Among the socioeconomic and psychological perspectives on the costs and benefits of having children, the VOC theory offers a multidisciplinary perspective on the value of the child in various societies. The theoretical underpinnings of VOC theory developed by Hoffman and Hoffman (1973) presented an alternative explanation for the value of the child by bridging the gap between dominant economic and fertility theories, as well as psycho-social and cultural aspects of the value of the child. The theory analyzes the value of the child at both the individual and societal levels, and it offers an explanatory approach to a child-focused definition of fertility (Nauck, 2014). Several investigations were done in different cultures using various methodologies in this respect. These studies formed the basis for the theory of the value of the child, which encompassed the child's social, family, psychological, and economic value (Arnold and Fawcett, 1975; Hoffman and Hoffman, 1973; Hoffman and Manis, 1979; Nauck, 2014). These studies recognized three categories of the value of the child. The economic value of the child refers to the material benefits of children, their contribution to the domestic economy, and the old-age support they are expected to offer to their parents. Parents' joy, pleasure, satisfaction, and sense of fulfillment are seen as psychological or emotional value of the children. The social or normative value of a child is the value of a child as a status-giver and a means of family formation, which includes parental status, social recognition, family lineage, and the continuance of family values and traditions (Hoffman and Hoffman, 1973; Kagitcibasi, 1996; Nauck, 2014; Trommsdorff et al., 2005). Nonetheless, in developing countries, children's economic support is embedded in the family's cultural and economic contexts, which vary according to socio-cultural diversity. Cross-cultural studies conducted in the 21st century stepped beyond fertility-related decisions to the questions about child-bearing and intergenerational relationships and brought to light various dimensions of the value of the child in different societies (Mareš and Možný, 2005; Nauck, 2001).

Some studies (e.g. Hollos and Larsen, 1997; Kagitcibasi and Ataca, 2005) support the general findings of a decrease in the economic value of the children with increased socioeconomic development of society. However, in societies where supporting parents in old age is a cultural norm (reinforced by religious and moral values) increased socioeconomic development may not decrease expectations of old-age support. A comprehensive contextualization of the findings, in this case, may unearth the social meaning of old-age support and the cultural significance of the value of the children for parents. Another significant finding shows that with the progress in socio-economic development, the child's economic value decreases while his or her psychological value increases (see Kagitcibasi and Ataca, 2005; Yang, 1988). Such findings, however, cannot disregard the conceptual links between the various value of the children. Regardless of socioeconomic development, children may have economic and emotional value for their parents while also combining family and spiritual value in specific socio-cultural contexts.

## The social value of the child

Both the child's "presence" and "absence" affect individuals and families, and both discourses can be useful in determining the value of the child. According to studies on the socio-cultural impacts of infertility in women's lives, the absence of children is an external manifestation of infertility connected to reproductive role failure and disrupted social norms (Dimka and Dein, 2013; Podolska and Bidzan, 2011; Whiteford and Gonzalez, 1995). Several studies in non-western contexts report the consequences of childlessness among women and couples, revealing fears of loss of status, role failure, social stigmatization, economic and marital insecurity, and women being marginalized as "the evil eye" possessors, witchcraft, and contagious evil because of their jealousy of children and their mothers (Bhatti et al., 1999; Feldman-Savelsberg et al., 2002; Koster-Oyekan, 1999; Nahar, 2010; Nahar and van der Geest, 2014; Pashigian, 2002; Qamar, 2018; Sami and Saeed Ali, 2012).

Children continue to be a communal priority in traditional communities (of the global south), as they are a significant part of the larger social order. How does a child contribute to the well-being and social position of the family in the community? This broader question excavates the social meanings of fertility, pregnancy, birth, and infancy shifting the focus of economic and demographic theories on the value of the children to the social value of the child. Hence, the effects of the absence of the child in the family become the indicators of the social value of the child. In this sense, the concept "social value of the child" is more comprehensive than the concept "the value of the child."

This article is based on research I conducted in the pro-baby context of the global south, and I use the term "social value" as a broad term to situate children and families in the social and cultural institutionalization of childhood. Children form families, develop relationships, and enhance socioeconomic status (in collectivist cultures). Recognizing cultural values as a component of society's socioeconomic system (Trommsdorff, 2015), I describe the familial value of the child as a nexus of the social, cultural, and economic value of the child. Based on my research on the social value of the child in non-western pro-baby contexts (Qamar, 2016, 2017, 2018), I will focus specifically on the following key aspects of the value of the child.

**Psychological Value of the Child:** The presence or absence of a child has an impact on the psychological well-being of the parents. The parents' emotional connection to their child is embedded in biological, psychological, and social attachment to the child, as well as the need of the child in their lives for internal fulfillment, joy, sense of self, possession, and completion.

**Familial (Family) Value of the Child:** The child forms family and relationships. They contribute to strengthening marital bonds, family lineage, parental status, social visibility, and social relations. They contribute to the cultural orientation and economic status of the family.

**Religious Value of the Child:** The religious beliefs and practices that reinforce the presence of biological children underline the child's religious value. Religion elevates the parent's status by describing children as a source of heavenly blessings for them. Religious motives for having children include the promises of eternal rewards, salvation, exalted status, and blessings in this life and the hereafter.

The social value varies in terms of content and meaning providing a contextual understanding of children and childhoods. It is complex, multifaceted, and interconnected, including the religious, familial, economical, and psychological aspects of the value of the child. As described earlier, the research on the value of the child describes the social value of the children in terms of their capacity to give parental status, form families, and maintain family continuity. These studies find that economic and familial values constitute the social value of the child. The key questions, however, are: How do the economic and familial values relate to each other? What impacts do children have on parents' access to social networks and resources? How does the continuation of kinship

and transmission of family norms and values (including the socially prescribed role and family structure) protect the socio-economic interests of the family? These questions raise awareness of the interdependence of familial and economic interests that establish the social value of the children. On the other hand, in addition to presenting the value of the children's "presence" in the family, the multidimensional and interconnected normative perspective on the social value of the children highlights the repercussions of the "absence" of the children which disrupts the larger social order. Hence, the birth and death of a child become significant in the contexts where the social value of the child is anchored in interconnected values that make them precious and priceless.

In the 20th century, mainstream psychological theories of childhood and "natural" motherinfant attachments impacted postwar Western countries' childcare practices and emotional value of the child (Bowlby, 1988; Vicedo, 2011). In modern societies, where children are not supposed to contribute to economic activities or domestic work, they have an emotional value but a higher cost as parents bear a financial burden, particularly through spending on their education (Bugge, 2006; Jensen, 2009). The 20th century's emphasis on mass schooling also led to a shift in the value of the child. Children were seen as fragile and innocent beings who need to be protected and nourished. Parents spend a lot of money on them, not necessarily with a "payback in future" (old age support) thinking, although they gain emotional satisfaction and pleasure. Under the influence of this romantic ideology, children were taken out of the labor force and sent to school to get education. Children's economic value progressively declined, and education was promoted as a platform for investing in a child's moral, political, and economic future (Abebe, 2008; Cunningham, 2005; Qvortrup, 1994). However, in non-Western contexts, children did not lose their economic worth and they were expected to contribute to the family economy and care for the parents. Montgomery (2009:67) highlighted this:

"Outside the West, however, children can still be seen as an economic investment with a specific return, whether this is that they should go to work as soon as they can contribute to the family, or whether, in the longer term, they are expected to look after parents in their old age, thereby guaranteeing a safety net for the elderly"

African context is one of the examples from the global south where children ensure the socioeconomic wellbeing of their parents. They contribute to several domestic chores including looking after their younger siblings, allowing parents to do productive work. They are helpers, a source of happiness, and social security for their parents. They hold emotional and economic value for the parents (Abebe, 2008; Antoniou, 2007; Chant and Jones, 2005; Punch, 2001; Qamar, 2014). To be more specific, social value is theoretically determined by the social construction of children and childhood in various socio-cultural contexts, and it encompasses all aspects of the value of the child that contribute to the psycho-social and economic well-being of the parents and family. This broad yet interwoven perspective situates the social value of the child as the nexus of the psychological, familial, and religious value of the child (Figure 1).

## Discussion

As shown in Figure 1, the social value of a child is a holistic construct that includes the psychological, familial, and cultural value of the child. In a specific socio-cultural context, the social value of the child reflects the economic and psycho-social well-being of the parents. All facets of the child's social value are interwoven and rooted in the social construction of children and childhood.



Figure 1. Social value of the child-key conceptual connections (derived from Qamar, 2016, 2017, 2018).

## The psychological value of the child

Parents dedicate time to childcare in modern and wealthy countries (especially in the Western world) to satisfy their emotional attachment to their children. As a result, the value of the child for parents is mostly psychological and serves the purpose of personal gratification (Kagitcibasi, 1996; Kim et al., 2005). The emphasis on emotional gratification leaves little room for the parents' broader familial and societal objectives. However, in undeveloped countries where children participate in the domestic economy and support their parents in old age, the children are seen as social capital as well as a source of emotional gratification. Ethnographic studies in the global south revealed children and childcare practices embedded in cultural contexts, and the researchers frequently constructed images of children that differed from those formed by psychologists and medical professionals. Hence, the so-called universalization of attachment and parenting style theories is no more a "sacred cow" (Lancy, 2014; LeVine and Norman, 2008).

Love and attachment to children do not always adhere to romantic discourses on childhood (i.e. child as innocent, cute, fragile, angel). For example, corporal punishment to discipline children in some countries (Pate and Gould, 2012) does not always undermine or invalidate the psychological value of the children to their parents. It is a socialization practice aimed at fostering obedience, submission, and discipline in children (Sorin, 2005). "The disciplinary power that parents and teachers exercise is a mode of socializing the child into a "good" adult. . . and discipline and obedience are two perceived traits of a 'good' child that help children grow into successful adult members of the society" (Qamar, 2021: 35–36).

Multiple caregivers bathe, nurse, hold, carry, and co-sleep with children in Bhubaneswar (West India). They ignore the children's cries and whatever negative feelings they may have. This is a purposeful childcare practice based on the belief that the attention may put them at risk of "the evil eye," which is believed to damage valuable items, people, or animals (Seymour, 2001). Hence, the emotional value of the children for parents is not independent of their concerns for their children's safety and future participation in society for the social well-being of children, parents, and families.

Children contribute to the parents' psychosocial well-being by providing parental status, strengthening marital bonding, and securing parental future.

The transactional nature of parent-child relationships is constructive and successful, revealing children's social value and active participation in families (Bühler, 2008; Montgomery, 2009). Childcare practices create a reciprocal parent-child relationship, developing an emotional and social attachment, in a number of different ways, ranging from the economic usefulness of the children to the parent-child relationship and a "safety net" in old life (see Bühler, 2008; Kabeer, 2000; Kagitcibasi, 1996; Sam, 2001; Vlassoff, 2011).

Children can actively influence the lives of others and make their presence visible in their social networks by forming relationships between people (parents, grandparents, and other extended relationships), extending their social network, and gaining access to social resources that are ingrained in social relationships (Bühler, 2008; Gottlieb, 2004; Smørholm, 2016). In pronatal non-western collectivist and patriarchal context, the absence of a child is perceived as a loss of social status, power, control, and security. For example, studies conducted in India and Pakistan discovered that childlessness has several negative psycho-social repercussions that ultimately lead to distress and desperation destroying the psychological and social wellbeing of the couple (Bhatti et al., 1999; Mehta and Kapadia, 2008; Mumtaz et al., 2013; Qamar, 2018; Sami and Saeed Ali, 2012; Satheesan and Satyaranayana, 2018). In these countries, the pro-baby behavior and desire to have children is anchored in the socio-cultural and economic context, wherein fertility determines the success or failure of the members of the society. Hence, in this context, the child's contribution to psychosocial well-being should be interpreted as the psychological value of the children, which is closely related to the positive psychological and social experiences of the parents leading to the social construction of wellbeing.

#### Familial value of the child

Recognizing children for the continuity of kinship and family identifies the familial value of the children and their fundamental roles in the families (Montgomery, 2009). In the global south children's participation in domestic chores is part of socialization processes aimed at qualifying for assigned and desired social roles in the family. With the changing livelihood strategies, particularly in developing countries, children are seen as participants in the socio-economic wellbeing of the parents and the family. Participation of children in household tasks, for example, is an important feature of family life in agrarian societies, alongside schooling. Children are raised in this context as "interdependent persons" with socioeconomic value and a reciprocal relationship with the family. Hence, the cultural meaning of children's economic value is entwined with their familial value (Abebe, 2008; Abebe and Kjørholt, 2009; Antoniou, 2007; Pribilsky, 2001; Punch, 2001; Qamar, 2014). Similarly, religious inspiration persists in some cultures for children to help with household chores and to support their parents in their old age. Their participation in household chores and supporting their parents is regarded as a means of earning blessings in this world and the world to come (Chant and Jones, 2005; Mishra et al., 2005).

Children have historically been used to extend families and social networks. Breastfeeding by multiple women was a practice in medieval Arab culture to extend social networks by extending family relationships and therefore strengthening tribal power (see Altorki, 1980; Gil'adi, 1999; Joseph and Nağmābādī, 2003). Researchers have revealed the broad social and political meanings of breastfeeding, referring to it as a milk-bonding and milk-kinship practice aimed to extend familial and social networks (see Parkes, 2001; Yeo, 2003). Walati Muslim women (in Mali, for example) become milk-mothers by nursing other children and establishing kin relations, therefore extending their social network and receiving social support (Cleaveland, 2000). Children as "social

capital" can create social relationships and provide access to resources and networks through converting relationships into other forms of capital. Children's capacity to build relationships is considered in relation to their importance in forming families and establishing access to social and economic resources (Bühler, 2008; Leonard, 2005).

#### The Religious Value of the Child

The religious value of the child is an important motivation for having children; however, it is rarely addressed in research on the value of the child. In Ubuntu theory (African theory to raise children and make families), the spiritual significance of the children is related to the ancient religious belief system. The child is considered a member of the spiritual realm composed of Gods and ancestors. The status of the children encourages fertility and reproduction as spiritual affirmation and fosters familial fidelity, obedience, respect for older generations, and interconnectedness (Mugumbate and Chereni, 2019; Nolte and Downing, 2019). In Sub-Saharan Africa and Indian cultures, children are seen as divine blessings. The presence of a child brings divine/ancestor blessings to the family. Children create connections between their parents and the divine/ancestors, extending the family bloodline and insuring its immortality. Hence, childcare practices are seen as sacred/religious actions of caring for a divine blessing. In contrast, the absence of children in the family represents a lack of 'blessings,' which might lead to a 'curse.' (Keller, 2007; Sam et al., 2008; Saraswathi and Ganapathy, 2002; Smørholm, 2016). Perceiving children as a blessing is linked to their contribution to wealth creation through the expansion of social networks and access to resources. In Muslim societies, for example, the concept of blessing, or "Baraka" (in Arabic), refers to 'preciousness' in many different ways. The concept of blessing in Muslim cultures is linked with human prosperity with a sense of divine favor enclosing physical, psychological, and economic well-being (Demirel and Sahib, 2015; Geertz, 1971).

Perceiving children as *Baraka* (blessing) acknowledges their contributions to domestic chores, the companionship and comfort they offer to their parents when they are old or sick, the joy they provide to their families, the continuation of family lineages, and their parents' elevated positions. The concept of a child as a *Baraka* interlinks social, economic, familial, and psychological rationales for having children that yield love and care for children. The emotions toward a child are also a part of feelings toward the religion that connects the emotional value of the child to the religious value of the child, whereas the notion of "blessing" itself includes the economic, familial, and emotional value of the child constituting a socially valued child.

#### Conclusions

In human societies, the desire to have children transcends beyond the 'natural' aspect of the value of the child linked to animal instinct (pro-creation). It is based on the psychosocial construction of the value of the child. Parents want children to complete their families, while families function together as a social entity. Participation of children in the domestic economy is a survival strategy as well as a socialization strategy for community inclusion. In this context, the cultural and economic features of pro-baby societies are determined by the social value of the child. Hence, studies focusing on the value of the children in various societies should look into the complex and important interconnections between the cultural, psychological, and family value of the child, that eventually shape the social value of the child. Childhoods are not universal, and global theoretical models are incapable of producing the requisite knowledge of children's lives that is anchored in contextual sensitivities and complexity. Academics must investigate the meanings and impacts of children's "presence" and "absence" in people's lives to appreciate the intricate and comprehensive

construction of the social value of the child. This may be accomplished by enhancing applied research using interdisciplinary approaches and theoretical and methodological triangulation.

## Limitations of the study

This article discusses my research on the social value of the child in a patriarchal pronatal society of a traditional non-western context. The theoretical discussion presented here may be relevant in comparable contexts. However, the findings might be useful for studying children and childhood in the global south.

#### Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### ORCID iD

Azher Hameed Qamar (D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6137-6533

#### References

- Abebe T (2008) Trapped between disparate worlds? The livelihoods, socialization and school contexts of rural children in Ethiopia. *Children Today* 2(1): 1–29.
- Abebe T and Kjørholt AT (2009) Social actors and victims of exploitation: Working children in the cash economy of Ethiopia's South. *Childhood* 16(2): 175–194.
- Altorki S (1980) Milk-kinship in Arab society: An unexplored problem in the ethnography of marriage. *Ethnology* 19(2): 233–244.
- Antoniou L (2007) Ethnography of children's participation in domestic work in Nicosia. *Children Today* 1(1): 1–25.
- Arnold F and Fawcett JT (1975) *The Value of Children. A Cross-National Study*. Honolulu: University Press of Hawaii.
- Bhatti LI, Fikree FF and Khan A (1999) The quest of infertile women in squatter settlements of Karachi, Pakistan: A qualitative study. *Social Science & Medicine* 49(5): 637–649.
- Bowlby J (1988) A Secure Base. New York: Basic Books.
- Brysk A (2004) Children across borders: Patrimony, property, or persons? In: Brysk ALISON and Shafir G (eds) *People Out of Place: Globalization, Human Rights, and the Citizenship Gap.* New York: Routledge, pp.161–182.
- Bugge LS (2006) *Specialised kindergartens and the value of children*. In: 31st Conference of Association of Teacher Education in Europe. Slovenia, pp. 481–486
- Bühler C (2008) On the structural value of children and its implication on intended fertility in Bulgaria. *Demographic Research* 18: 569–610.
- Caldwell JC (1982) Theory of Fertility Decline. London and New York: Academic Pub.
- Caldwell JC (2005) On net intergenerational wealth flows: An update. *Population and Development Review* 31(4): 721–740.
- Chant S and Jones GA (2005) Youth, Gender and livelihoods in West Africa: Perspectives from Ghana and the Gambia. *Children's Geographies* 3(2): 185–199.
- Collett JL (2005) What kind of mother am I? Impression management and the social construction of motherhood. *Symbolic Interaction* 28(3): 327–347.
- Cleaveland T (2000) Reproducing Walati society. Women and the politics of gender, age and social ranking twentieth century Walata. *Canadian Journal of African Studies* 34: 189–217.

Cunningham H (2005) Children and Childhood in Western Society Since 1500. London: Pearson Education.

Demírel S and Sahib HB (2015) Concept of Barakah in Qur'ān and Sunnah: Towards its realization in modern discourse. *FSM İlmî Araştırmalar İnsan ve Toplum Bilimleri Dergisi* 0: 261–284.

- Dimka RA and Dein SL (2013) The work of a woman is to give birth to children: Cultural constructions of infertility in Nigeria. *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 17(2): 102–117.
- Dyer SJ (2007) The value of children in African countries Insights from studies on infertility. Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynaecology 28(2): 69–77.
- Friedman D, Hechter M and Kanazawa S (1994) A theory of the value of children. *Demography* 31(3): 375–401.
- Feldman-Savelsberg P, Inhorn MC and van Balen F (2002) *Infertility Around the Globe, New Thinking on Childlessness, Gender, and Reproductive Technologies.* Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Gottlieb A (2004) Babies as ancestors, babies as spirits. The culture on infancy in West Africa. *Expedition: The magazine of the University of Pennsylvania* 46(3): 13–21.
- Gil'adi A (1999) Infants, parents and wet nurses: medieval Islamic views on breastfeeding and their social implications (Vol. 25). Boston: Brill.
- Geertz C (1971) Islam observed: Religious development in Morocco and Indonesia (Vol. 37). University of Chicago Press.
- Greil A, McQuillan J and Slauson-Blevins K (2011) The social construction of infertility. Sociology Compass 5(8): 736–746.
- Guo K and Dalli C (2016) Belonging as a force of agency: An exploration of immigrant children's everyday life in early childhood settings. *Global Studies of Childhood* 6(3): 254–267.
- Hoffman LW and Hoffman ML (1973) The Value of Children to Parents. In: James T Fawcett (ed.), *Psychological Perspective on Population*. New York: Basic Books, pp. 19–76.
- Hoffman LW and Manis JD (1979) The Value of Children in the United States: A New Approach to the Study of Fertility. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 41(3): 583–596.
- Hollos M and Larsen U (1997) From lineage to conjugality: The social context of fertility decisions among the Pare of northern Tanzania. *Social Science & Medicine* 45(3): 361–372.
- Holloway SL and Valentine G (2000) Children's geographies and the new social studies of childhood. In: Sarah L Holloway and Gill Valentine (Eds), *Children's Geographies: Playing, Living, Learning.* New York: Routledge, pp.1–26.
- James A (2007) Giving voice to children's voices: Practices and problems, pitfalls and potentials. *American Anthropologist* 109(2): 261–272.
- James A and James A (2012) Key Concepts in Childhood Studies. London: SAGE.
- James A, Jenks C and Prout A (1998) Theorizing Childhood. Williston, VT: Teachers College Press.
- Jensen AM (2009) The value of children Fertility, personal choices and public needs. In: Qvortrup J (ed.) Structural, Historical and Comparative Perspectives. Sociological Studies of Children and Youth, vol. 12. Michigan: Department of Sociology, Central Michigan University, pp.195–220.
- Joseph S and Nağmābādī A (2003) Encyclopedia of Women and Islamic Cultures: Family, Law and Politics, vol. 2. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Kabeer N (2000) Inter-generational contracts, demographic transitions and the ?quantity-quality? Tradeoff: Parents, children and investing in the future. *Journal of International Development* 12(4): 463–482.
- Kagitcibasi C and Ataca B (2005) Value of children and family change: A three-decade portrait from Turkey. *Applied Psychology* 54(3): 317–337.
- Kagitcibasi Ç (1996) Family and Human Development across Cultures: A View from the Other Side. Psychology Press.
- Keller H (2007) Cultures of Infancy. Mahwah, NJ: Psychology Press.
- Kim U, Park YS, Kwon YE, et al. (2005) Values of children, parent-child relationship, and social change in Korea: Indigenous, cultural, and psychological analysis. *Applied Psychology* 54(3): 338–354.
- Kjørholt AT (2004) Childhood as a Social and Symbolic Space: Discourses on Children as Social Participants in Society. Doctoral Dissertation. Trondheim: Fakultet for samfunnsvitenskap og teknologiledelse. Retrieved on 25 July 2018 from http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:125285/FULLTEXT01. pdf.
- Kohler HP (2010) Fertility trends and implications. In: Zeng YI (ed.) *Demography-Volume I*. Oxford: EOLSS, pp.104–140.

- Koster-Oyekan W (1999) Infertility among Yoruba women: Perceptions on causes, treatments and consequences. *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 3(1): 13–26.
- Lancy DF (2014) *The Anthropology of Childhood: Cherubs, Chattel, Changelings.* New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Leonard M (2005) Children, childhood and social capital: Exploring the links. Sociology 39(4): 605-622.
- LeVine R and Norman K (2008) Attachment in Anthropological Perspective. In: LeVine A and New R (eds) Anthropology and Child Development: A Cross-Cultural Reader. Oxford: Blackwell, pp.127–142.
- Lorber J (2011) The social construction of gender. In: Grusky DB and Szelényi S (eds) *The Inequality Reader: Contemporary and Foundational Readings in Race, Class, and Gender.* Colorado: Westview Press, pp.277–283.
- Mareš P and Možný I (2005) The Czech family, reproductive behaviour, and the value of children in the Czech Republic. In: Trommsdorff G & Nauck B (Eds), *The Value of Children in Cross-Cultural Perspective. Case Studies from Eight Societies.* Lengerich: Pabst Science Publishers, pp. 67-90.
- Margolis R and Myrskylä M (2011) A global perspective on happiness and fertility. *Population and Development Review* 37(1): 29–56.
- Mayall B (2002) Studying childhood. In: Mayall B (ed.) *Towards a Sociology for Childhood*. Buckinggham: Open University Press, pp.9–26.
- Mehta B and Kapadia S (2008) Experiences of childlessness in an Indian context: A gender perspective. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies* 15(3): 437–460.
- Mishra RC, Mayer B, Trommsdorff G, et al. (2005) The value of children in urban and rural India: Cultural background and empirical results. In: Trommsdorff G and Nauck B (eds) *The Value of Children in Cross-Cultural Perspective : Case Studies From Eight Societies*. Lengerich: Pabst science, pp.143–170.
- Montgomery H (2009) An Introduction to Childhood: Anthropological Perspectives on Children's Lives. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Mortimer JT (2003) Working and Growing Up in America. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Mugumbate J and Chereni A (2019) Using African Ubuntu theory in social work with children in Zimbabwe. *African Journal of Social Work* 9(1): 9–16.
- Mumtaz Z, Shahid U and Levay A (2013) Understanding the impact of gendered roles on the experiences of infertility amongst men and women in Punjab. *Reproductive health* 10(1): 1–10.
- Nahar P (2010) Health seeking behaviour of childless women in Bangladesh: An ethnographic exploration for the special issue on: Loss in child bearing. *Social Science & Medicine* 71(10): 1780–1787.
- Nahar P and van der Geest S (2014) How women in Bangladesh confront the stigma of childlessness: Agency, resilience, and resistance. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly* 28(3): 381–398.
- Nauck B (2001) Intercultural contact and intergenerational transmission in immigrant families. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology 32(2): 159–173.
- Nauck B (2014) Value of children and the social production of Welfare. *Demographic Research* 30: 1793–1824.
- Nieuwenhuys O (2013) Theorizing childhood(s): Why we need postcolonial perspectives. *Childhood* 20(1): 3–8.
- Nolte A and Downing C (2019) Ubuntu-the Essence of caring and being: A concept analysis. *Holistic Nursing Practice* 33(1): 9–16.
- Osmond MW and Thorne B (2009) Feminist theories. In *Sourcebook of family theories and methods*. Boston, MA: Springer, pp.591–625.
- Parkes P (2001) Alternative social structures and foster relations in the Hindu Kush: Milk kinship allegiance in former mountain kingdoms of northern Pakistan. *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 43(1): 4–36.
- Pashigian MJ (2002) Conceiving the Happy Family: Infertility and marital politics in northern Vietnam. In: Marcia I and van Balen F (eds) *Infertility Around the Globe*. California: University of California Press, pp.134–151.
- Pate M and Gould LA (2012) Corporal Punishment Around the World. Santa Barbara, CA: Abc-clio.
- Podolska MZ and Bidzan M (2011) Infertility as a psychological problem. *Ginekologia Polska* 82(1): 44–49.
- Pribilsky J (2001) Nervios and modern childhood' migration and shifting contexts of child life in the Ecuadorian Andes. *Childhood* 8(2): 251–273.

- Prout A and James A (1997) A new paradigm for the sociology of childhood? Provenance, Promise. In: Prout A and James A (eds) Constructing and reconstructing childhood: Contemporary issues in the sociological study of childhood, New York: Routledge, pp. 7–33.
- Prout A (2005) *The Future of Childhood: towards the interdisciplinary study of children*. London: Routledge Falmer.
- Prout A (2011) Taking a step away from modernity: Reconsidering the new sociology of childhood. Global Studies of Childhood 1(1): 4–14.
- Punch S (2001) Household division of Labour: Generation, gender, age, birth order and sibling composition. Work Employment & Society 15(4): 803–823.
- Punch S (2003) Childhoods in the majority world: Miniature adults or tribal children? *Sociology* 37(2): 277–295.
- Qamar AH (2014) Challenges Associated With Primary Education in Rural Punjab, Pakistan. Moldova: Lambert Academic Publishing.
- Qamar AH (2016) Belief in the evil eye and early childcare in rural Punjab, Pakistan. *Asian Ethnology* 75(2): 397–418.
- Qamar AH (2017) The postpartum tradition of sawa mahina in rural Punjab, Pakistan. Journal of Ethnology and Folkloristics 11(1): 127–150.
- Qamar AH (2018) The social value of the child and fear of childlessness among rural Punjabi women in Pakistan. Asian Journal of Social Science 46(6): 638–667.
- Qamar AH (2021) What is a child? Exploring conceptualization of Pakistani adolescents about children. Journal of childhood studies 46(2): 34–50.
- Qvortrup J (1994) Childhood Matters: An Introduction. In: Qvortrup J, Bardy M, Sgritta G, et al. (eds) Childhood Matters: Social Theory, Practice, and Politics. Aldershot: Avebury, pp.1–24.
- Sam DL (2001) Value of children: Effects of globalisation on fertility behaviour and child-rearing practices in Ghana. *Research Review* 17(2): 5–16.
- Sam DL, Amponsah B and Hetland J (2008) Values of children among Sub-Saharan African women: The case of Ghanaian women. *Journal of Psychology in Africa* 18(4): 521–529.
- Sami N and Saeed Ali T (2012) Perceptions and experiences of women in Karachi, Pakistan regarding secondary infertility: Results from a community-based qualitative study. *Obstetrics and Gynecology International* 2012: 108756.
- Saraswathi TS and Ganapathy H (2002) Indian parents' ethnotheories as reflections of the Hindu scheme of child and human development. In: Poortinga YH and Keller H (Eds.) *Between Culture and Biology: Perspectives on Ontogenetic Development*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp.79–88.
- Satheesan SC and Satyaranayana VA (2018) Quality of marital relationship, partner violence, psychological distress, and resilience in women with primary infertility. *International Journal of Community Medicine* and Public Health 5(2): 734–739.
- Seymour SC (2001) Child Care in India: An examination of the "household size/infant indulgence" hypothesis. Cross-Cultural Research 35(1): 3–22.
- Smørholm S (2016) Pure as the angels, wise as the dead: Perceptions of infants' agency in a Zambian community. *Childhood* 23(3): 348–361.
- Sorin R (2005) Changing images of childhood: Reconceptualizing early childhood practice. *International Journal of Transitions in Childhood* 1(1): 12–21.
- Teodorescu A (2017) The women–nature connection as a key element in the social construction of Western contemporary motherhood. In: Douglas AV and Sam M (eds) *Women and Nature?* London: Routledge, pp.77–95.
- Trommsdorff G (2015) Cultural values regarding children and family: The cultural meaning of parent-child relationships. In: Jürgen S, Doris W, Carlos K, et al. (eds) *Pursuit of Meaning: Advances in Cultural and Cross-Cultural Psychology*. Bielefeld: Transcript-Verlag, pp.465–494.
- Trommsdorff G, Kim U and Nauck B (2005) Factors influencing value of children and intergenerational relations in times of social change: Analyses from psychological and socio-Cultural Perspectives: Introduction to the Special Issue. *Applied Psychology* 54: 313–316.
- UNCRC (1989) The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. UNICEF. Retrieved on 2 April 2018 from https://www.unicef.org.uk/what-we-do/un-convention-child-rights/

- Vlassoff C (2011) Desire for sons and subsequent fertility in rural India. A 20-year longitudinal study. *Journal* of Biosocial Science 44(3): 345–356.
- Vicedo M (2011) The social nature of the mother's tie to her child: John Bowlby's theory of attachment in post-war America. *The British Journal for the History of Science* 44(3): 401–426.
- Yeo SS (2003) Bonding and attachment of Australian Aboriginal children. *Child Abuse Review: Journal of the British Association for the Study and Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect* 12(5): 292–304.
- Wells K (2009) Childhood in Global Perspective. Cambridge: Polity Press
- Whiteford LM and Gonzalez L (1995) Stigma: The Hidden Burden of infertility. *Social Science & Medicine* 40(1): 27–36.
- Woodhead M and Montgomery H (2003) Understanding childhood: an interdisciplinary approach. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Yang CF (1988) Familism and development: An examination of the role of family in contemporary China Mainland, Hong Kong, and Taiwan. In: Sinha D and Hsr KAO (eds) Social Values and Development: Asian Perspectives. London: SAGE, pp.93–123.
- Zelizer VA (1985) Pricing the Priceless Child. The Changing Social Value of Children. New York: Basic Books, Inc.
- Zelizer VA (2002) Intimate Transactions. In: Guillen MF, Collins R, England P, et al. (eds) *The New Economic Sociology: Developments in an Emerging Field*. New York: Russell SAGE Foundation, pp.274–300.
- Zelizer VA (2005) The priceless child revisited. In: Qvortrup J (ed.) *Studies in Modern Childhood*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, pp.184–200.