



LUND UNIVERSITY

Microorganisms that commonly cause infective endocarditis- what about Aerococcus in the Duke-ISCVID criteria?

Sunnerhagen, Torgny; Senneby, Erik; Rasmussen, Magnus

Published in:

Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America

DOI:

[10.1093/cid/ciad393](https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciad393)

2023

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Sunnerhagen, T., Senneby, E., & Rasmussen, M. (2023). Microorganisms that commonly cause infective endocarditis- what about Aerococcus in the Duke-ISCVID criteria? *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*, 77(8), 1217-1219. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciad393>

Total number of authors:

3

Creative Commons License:

CC BY-NC-ND

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

Microorganisms That Commonly Cause Infective Endocarditis: What About *Aerococcus* in the Duke–International Society for Cardiovascular Infectious Diseases Criteria?

TO THE EDITOR—International Society for Cardiovascular Infectious Diseases (ISCVID) criteria, recently published in *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, include important revisions to the microbiological aspects of the diagnostic criteria for infective endocarditis (IE) [1]. The revised criteria provide useful simplifications for the interpretation of blood culture results in relation to whether a bacteremia constitutes a major or a minor Duke–ISCVID criterion [1–3]. The most significant change, however, is that a number of pathogens have been added to the list of “microorganisms that commonly cause IE.” For such pathogens, 2 positive blood cultures are sufficient to be regarded as a major Duke–ISCVID criterion. The inclusion of an increased number of microorganisms that commonly cause IE is claimed to increase the sensitivity of the criteria [4], but this remains to be proven for most pathogens. Moreover, the reclassification will likely decrease the specificity of the Duke–ISCVID criteria, resulting

in an increased number of possible IE cases.

Whereas several bacterial species that can cause IE, such as *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*, *Streptococcus dysgalactiae*, *Streptococcus agalactiae*, *Abiotrophia*, *Granulicatella*, and *Gemella*, have been “upgraded” to microorganisms that commonly cause IE, *Aerococcus* spp. are not regarded as common causes of IE. Thus, 3 positive blood cultures for *Aerococcus* spp. are needed to fulfill the major microbiology criterion [1].

IE caused by *Aerococcus* was described in 1991 [5]. However, it was only after the introduction of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry for species determination of bacteria that *Aerococcus urinae* was found to be a relatively common IE-causing organism [6, 7]. Most reports on *A. urinae* IE have been case reports, but some larger case studies have also been published [5, 8]. From published cases, it is clear that *A. urinae* IE affects older men with underlying urinary tract pathology [9].

Aerococcus urinae can evidently cause IE, but does that make it a microorganism that commonly causes IE? In Table 1, the percentage of patients with

bacteremia that have IE is shown, comparing the new common IE pathogens in the Duke–ISCVID criteria and *A. urinae*. Many studies were performed in different settings and with different methodologies, hampering direct comparisons. However, some studies evaluated the risk for IE with several pathogens, making comparisons more accurate; these are presented in the 4 right-hand columns of Table 1.

We believe that the available evidence suggests that *A. urinae* has a similar propensity to cause IE compared with most bacterial species regarded as microorganisms that commonly cause IE according to the Duke–ISCVID criteria [1]. The same article quoted in the Duke–ISCVID criteria [1] to justify the inclusion of *Abiotrophia*, *Granulicatella*, and *Gemella* as common IE pathogen shows that *A. urinae* should be placed in the same category of pathogens [11]. The risk for IE in bacteremia with *A. urinae* is likely significantly higher than the risk for IE in *S. dysgalactiae* bacteremia (Table 1). We suggest that *A. urinae* be given the same status as the other microorganisms that commonly cause IE in the next revision of the Duke–ISCVID criteria.

Table 1. Proportion of Patients With Infective Endocarditis (IE) Among Patients With Bacteremia With *Aerococcus urinae* and Typical IE Pathogens According to Duke–International Society for Cardiovascular Infectious Diseases

Pathogen	Studies On Single Species		Studies Comparing Different Species			
	IE (%)	Reference	IE (%) [10]	IE (%) [11]	IE (%) [12] ^a	IE (%) [13]
<i>Aerococcus urinae</i>	2.6, 10, 18, 19; 35%	[5, 7, 14–16]	...	5.4%
<i>Abiotrophia defectiva</i>	45%	21%
<i>Gemella</i>	4.0%	6.4%
<i>Granulicatella</i>	17%	6.7%	12%	...
<i>Staphylococcus lugdunensis</i>	6.3, 6.8; 46%	[17–19]
<i>Streptococcus agalactiae</i>	5.0%	[20]	9.1%	6.0%
<i>Streptococcus dysgalactiae</i>	0.9, 1.0; 1.4%	[21–23]	6.4%	0%

Abbreviation: IE, infective endocarditis.

^aThis is the only study in which an IE diagnosis was determined using *International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification*, codes only. It is referred to in the 2023 Duke–International Society for Cardiovascular Infectious Diseases criteria [1].

Note

Potential conflicts of interest. The authors: No reported conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Torgny Sunnerhagen,^{1,2,3} Erik Senneby,^{2,4} and Magnus Rasmussen^{1,5,6}

¹Division of Infection Medicine, Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Lund University, Lund, Sweden; ²Department of Clinical Microbiology, Infection Control and Prevention, Office for Medical Services, Region Skåne, Lund, Sweden; ³Department of Clinical Microbiology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁴Clinical Microbiology, Department of Translational Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden; and ⁵Division for Infectious Diseases, Skåne University Hospital Lund, Sweden

References

1. Fowler VG, Durack DT, Selton-Suty C, et al. The 2023 Duke–ISCID criteria for infective endocarditis: updating the modified Duke criteria. *Clin Infect Dis* **2023**. doi:10.1093/cid/ciad271
2. Durack DT, Lukes AS, Bright DK; Duke Endocarditis Service. New criteria for diagnosis of infective endocarditis: utilization of specific echocardiographic findings. *Am J Med* **1994**; *96*:200–9.
3. Li JS, Sexton DJ, Mick N, et al. Proposed modifications to the Duke criteria for the diagnosis of infective endocarditis. *Clin Infect Dis* **2000**; *30*:633–8.
4. Dahl A, Fowler VG, Miro JM, Bruun NE. Sign of the times: updating infective endocarditis diagnostic criteria to recognize *Enterococcus faecalis* as a typical endocarditis bacterium. *Clin Infect Dis* **2022**; *75*:1097–102.
5. Christensen JJ, Gutschik E, Friis-Møller A, Korner B. Urosepticemia and fatal endocarditis caused by *Aerococcus*-like organisms. *Scand J Infect Dis* **1991**; *23*:717–21.
6. Senneby E, Nilson B, Petersson AC, Rasmussen M. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry is a sensitive and specific

method for identification of aerococci. *J Clin Microbiol* **2013**; *51*:1303–4.

7. Senneby E, Goransson L, Weiber S, Rasmussen M. A population-based study of aerococcal bacteremia in the MALDI-TOF MS-era. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* **2016**; *35*:755–62.
8. Sunnerhagen T, Nilson B, Olaison L, Rasmussen M. Clinical and microbiological features of infective endocarditis caused by aerococci. *Infection* **2016**; *44*:167–73.
9. Rasmussen M. *Aerococcus*: an increasingly acknowledged human pathogen. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **2016**; *22*:22–7.
10. Chesdachai S, Yetmar ZA, Tabaja H, et al. Contemporary experience of *Abiotrophia*, *Granulicatella* and *Gemella* bacteremia. *J Infect* **2022**; *84*:511–7.
11. Berge A, Kronberg K, Sunnerhagen T, Nilson BHK, Giske CG, Rasmussen M. Risk for endocarditis in bacteremia with *Streptococcus*-like bacteria: a retrospective population-based cohort study. *Open Forum Infect Dis* **2019**; *6*:ofz437.
12. Chamat-Hedemand S, Dahl A, Ostergaard L, et al. Prevalence of infective endocarditis in streptococcal bloodstream infections is dependent on streptococcal species. *Circulation* **2020**; *142*:720–30.
13. Park JH, Jung J, Kim MJ, et al. Incidence, clinical characteristics, and outcomes of *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subspecies *equisimilis* bacteremia in a tertiary hospital: comparison with *S. agalactiae* bacteremia. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* **2019**; *38*:2253–8.
14. Senneby E, Petersson AC, Rasmussen M. Clinical and microbiological features of bacteremia with *Aerococcus urinae*. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **2012**; *18*:546–50.
15. Sihvonen R, Turunen M, Lehtola L, et al. Clinical and microbiological characterization of *Aerococcus urinae* bacteraemias at Helsinki metropolitan area, Finland. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* **2022**; *41*:751–60.
16. Tai DBG, Go JR, Fida M, Saleh OA. Management and treatment of *Aerococcus* bacteremia and endocarditis. *Int J Infect Dis* **2021**; *102*:584–9.
17. Non LR, Santos CA. The occurrence of infective endocarditis with *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* bacteremia: a

retrospective cohort study and systematic review. *J Infect* **2017**; *74*:179–86.

18. Zinkernagel AS, Zinkernagel MS, Elzi MV, et al. Significance of *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* bacteremia: report of 28 cases and review of the literature. *Infection* **2008**; *36*:314–21.
19. Choi S-H, Chung J-W, Lee EJ, et al. Incidence, characteristics, and outcomes of *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* bacteremia. *J Clin Microbiol* **2010**; *48*:3346–9.
20. Vasikasin V, Changpradub D. Clinical manifestations and prognostic factors for *Streptococcus agalactiae* bacteremia among nonpregnant adults in Thailand. *J Infect Chemother* **2021**; *27*:967–71.
21. Trell K, Sendi P, Rasmussen M. Recurrent bacteremia with *Streptococcus dysgalactiae*: a case-control study. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* **2016**; *85*:121–4.
22. Nevanlinna V, Huttunen R, Aittoniemi J, Luukkaala T, Rantala S. Incidence, seasonal pattern, and clinical manifestations of *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subspecies *equisimilis* bacteremia; a population-based study. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* **2023**; *42*:819–25.
23. Bläckberg A, Lundberg K, Svedevall S, Nilson B, Rasmussen M. Time to positivity of blood cultures in bloodstream infections with *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* and association with outcome. *Infect Dis (Lond)* **2023**; *55*:333–9.

Correspondence: M. Rasmussen, BMC B14, S-221 84 Lund, Sweden (Magnus.Rasmussen@med.lu.se). T. Sunnerhagen, BMC B14, S-221 84 Lund, Sweden (torgny.sunnerhagen@med.lu.se).

Clinical Infectious Diseases®

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciad393>