



# LUND UNIVERSITY

## Genetic prediction of postpartum diabetes in women with gestational diabetes mellitus

Ekelund, Magnus; Shaat, Nael; Almgren, Peter; Anderberg, Eva; Landin-Olsson, Mona; Lyssenko, Valeriya; Groop, Leif; Berntorp, Kerstin

*Published in:*  
Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice

*DOI:*  
[10.1016/j.diabres.2012.04.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2012.04.020)

2012

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Ekelund, M., Shaat, N., Almgren, P., Anderberg, E., Landin-Olsson, M., Lyssenko, V., Groop, L., & Berntorp, K. (2012). Genetic prediction of postpartum diabetes in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 97(3), 394-398. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2012.04.020>

*Total number of authors:*  
8

### General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:  
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117  
221 00 Lund  
+46 46-222 00 00

# **Genetic prediction of postpartum diabetes in women with gestational diabetes mellitus**

M. Ekelund<sup>1</sup> \* MD, PhD; N. Shaat<sup>2</sup> \* MD, PhD; P. Almgren<sup>3</sup> MSc, E. Anderberg<sup>4</sup> RNM, PhD; M. Landin-Olsson<sup>1</sup> MD, PhD; V. Lyssenko<sup>3</sup> MD, PhD; L. Groop<sup>3</sup> MD, PhD and K. Berntorp<sup>2</sup> MD, PhD

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Hospital of Helsingborg, Helsingborg, Sweden; the <sup>2</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Lund University, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden; the <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Science, Diabetes and Endocrinology, Lund University, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden; and the <sup>4</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Lund University, Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden

\* These authors contributed equally

Corresponding author:

Dr Magnus Ekelund

Department of Internal Medicine

Hospital of Helsingborg

SE-251 87 Helsingborg

Sweden

Phone: +46 42 406 1790; Fax: +46 42 406 1689 ; E-mail: [magnus.ekelund@med.lu.se](mailto:magnus.ekelund@med.lu.se)

## ABSTRACT

**Aims:** To examine whether genetic variants that predispose individuals to type 2 diabetes (T2D) could predict the development of diabetes after gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM).

**Methods:** 13 SNPs (*FTO* rs8050136, *CDKALI* rs7754840 and rs7756992, *CDKN2A/2B* rs10811661, *HHEX* rs1111875, *IGF2BP2* rs1470579 and rs4402960, *SLC30A8* rs13266634, *TCF7L2* rs7903146, *PPARG* rs1801282, *GCK* rs1799884, *HNF1A* rs1169288, and *KCNJ11* rs5219) were genotyped in 793 women with GDM after a median follow-up of 57 months.

**Results:** After adjustment for age and ethnicity, the *TCF7L2* rs7903146 and the *FTO* rs8050136 variants significantly predicted postpartum diabetes; hazard ratio (95% confidence interval 1.29 (1.01–1.66) and 1.36 (1.06–1.74) respectively (additive model) versus 1.45 (1.01–2.08) and 1.56 (1.06–2.29) (dominant model). Adjusting for BMI attenuated the effect of the *FTO* variant, suggesting that the effect was mediated through its effect on BMI.

Combining all risk alleles to a weighted risk score was significantly associated with the risk of postpartum diabetes (hazard ratio 1.11, 95% confidence interval 1.05–1.18,  $p=0.00016$  after adjustment for age and ethnicity).

**Conclusions:** The *TCF7L2* rs7903146 and *FTO* rs8050136 polymorphisms, and particularly a weighted risk score of T2D risk alleles, predict diabetes after GDM. Further studies in other populations are needed to confirm our results.

## KEY WORDS

*FTO*, GDM, Gestational diabetes mellitus, postpartum diabetes, risk prediction, risk score, *TCF7L2*

## MAIN TEXT

### Introduction

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), affects about 2% of pregnant women in Sweden (1). About 50% of the women develop diabetes, mostly type 2 diabetes (T2D), within five to ten years after pregnancy (2, 3). Studies have consistently shown that women with a family history of diabetes have an increased risk of GDM (4, 5). In addition, we and others have demonstrated that GDM shares some genetic risk factors with T2D (6-9). To our knowledge, only one study has evaluated whether genetic variants can predict postpartum diabetes in women with GDM (10). Given the very high rate of progression to overt diabetes after GDM, genetic factors, which show modest effects for prediction of T2D, might show stronger effects in women with GDM. We therefore investigated whether 13 SNPs in 11 genes that have been reproducibly associated with modest effects for prediction of T2D might predict the development of diabetes after GDM. These genes include the *CDKALI*, *CDKN2A/2B*, *HHEX*, *IGF2BP2*, *SLC30A8*, *TCF7L2*, *KCNJ11*, *GCK*, *HNF1A*, *PPARG* and *FTO* (11-13).

### Materials and methods

A 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was offered to all women at the 28<sup>th</sup> week of gestation and also at gestational week 12 if they had a first degree relative with diabetes or a history of GDM during previous pregnancies. The diagnostic criteria for GDM were those recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 1999, defining GDM as the joint category of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) in non-pregnant adults. A 2-h capillary blood glucose concentration  $\geq 7.8$  mmol/L (or plasma glucose  $\geq 8.6$  mmol/L) was regarded as diagnostic for GDM, while a glucose concentration below that limit was considered as normal glucose tolerance during pregnancy (14, 15). HemoCue blood glucose meters (HemoCue, Ängelholm, Sweden) were used to obtain immediate analyses of glucose

concentrations. The screening programme has previously been described in detail (1). The study population consisted of 838 women with GDM participating in two previous studies (2, 16). A 75 g OGTT was offered to all women 1, 2 and 5 years after delivery and the WHO criteria for the diagnosis of diabetes were used (14). In all, 793 women (75% Europeans and 25% non-Europeans) underwent an OGTT postpartum. Women of non-European origin were immigrants from different countries in South America, Africa, Asia and the Middle East. All participants gave written informed consent and the Ethics Committee of Lund University approved the study protocol.

Genotyping was performed with the use of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry on the MassARRAY platform (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) (17), with the exception of *KCNJ11* rs5219, which was genotyped using an allelic discrimination assay on the ABI7900 platform (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Ca, USA). Average SNP genotyping success rates were 94.5%. All SNPs were in HWE ( $p > 0.05$ ).

Variables are presented as mean (standard deviation).  $X^2$  tests were used to test for deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Cox proportional hazards regression was used to estimate the effect of genetic variants on the risk of developing postpartum diabetes and shown as Kaplan–Meier survival curves and hazard ratios (18). Data were treated as right censored with diabetes diagnosis as endpoint. Risk alleles/genotypes were defined as earlier described (12, 13). Both additive and dominant genetic models were analyzed. We also evaluated whether the combined risk alleles of the 13 SNPs could increase the predictive value. The effect of all SNPs in the risk score were weighted by their respective regression coefficients in a Cox regression model, with and without adjustment for age and non-European ethnicity (19). All statistical analyses were performed with the use of STATA software version 11.2.

## Results

Out of the 793 women who were followed with OGTTs after pregnancy, postpartum diabetes was diagnosed in 134 (17%), while 503 (63%) had normal glucose tolerance. These were the glucose categories used when calculating risk estimates. The remaining 156 (20%) had IGT. The clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1. If more than one OGTT had been performed, the latest available data were used. Median time to follow-up was 57 months (interquartile range 14 to 61 months).

After adjustment for age and ethnicity, the *TCF7L2* rs7903146 variant predicted postpartum diabetes when applying an additive model (hazard ratio (HR) 1.29, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.01–1.66,  $p=0.039$ ) as well as a dominant model (HR 1.45, 95% CI 1.01–2.08,  $p=0.044$ ) (Table 2 as well as figure 1a and b). Furthermore, the *FTO* rs8050136 variant also predicted postpartum diabetes under an additive model (HR 1.36, 95% CI 1.06–1.74,  $p=0.015$ ) as well as under a dominant model (HR 1.56, 95% CI 1.06–2.29,  $p=0.023$ ) (Table 2 as well as figure 2a and b). As expected, the association of the *FTO* rs8050136 polymorphism disappeared after adjustment for postpartum BMI, suggesting that the effect was primarily mediated through the effect of *FTO* on BMI (additive model: HR 1.30, 95% CI 0.98–1.73,  $p=0.069$  and dominant model: HR 1.39, 95% CI 0.91–2.12,  $p=0.128$ ) (Table 2). The genotype frequencies of the *FTO* rs8050136 and *TCF7L2* rs7903146 polymorphisms postpartum in women with GDM are presented in Table 3.

In addition, we calculated a genetic risk score as the weighted sum of the risk alleles from the 13 SNPs and observed that a score including all these SNPs was significantly associated with a higher risk of postpartum diabetes, HR 1.15 (95% CI 1.09–1.21),  $p=1\times 10^{-6}$  as well as 1.11 (95% CI 1.05–1.18),  $p=0.00016$  after adjustment for age and ethnicity for each risk-allele increase.

## Discussion

We have previously shown that the *TCF7L2* rs7903146 polymorphism is associated with an increased risk of GDM (6, 7, 9) as well as with an increased susceptibility to T2D (20). In addition, we have shown that the risk T-allele of the *TCF7L2* rs7903146 polymorphism is associated with impaired insulin secretion, incretin effects, and enhanced rate of hepatic glucose production. Moreover, *TCF7L2* expression in human islets was increased 5-fold in patients with T2D, particularly in carriers of the TT genotype (21). Furthermore, overexpression of *TCF7L2* in human islets has been shown to reduce glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (21). Watanabe et al. found that variation in *TCF7L2* is associated with GDM and interacts with adiposity to alter insulin secretion in Mexican Americans (8). These mechanisms could partly explain the association between *TCF7L2* rs7903146 polymorphism and the increased risk of postpartum diabetes in women with GDM in the present study.

In a study by Frayling et al. it was shown that the common *FTO* rs9939609 variant increases the risk of diabetes through an effect on BMI (22), and this finding has been confirmed by others (23). In the present study the *FTO* rs8050136 variant was associated with an increased risk of developing diabetes postpartum. Adjusting for BMI clearly attenuated the effect of the *FTO* variant, suggesting that most of its effect is mediated through its effect on BMI. The finding that the *FTO* rs8050136 variant increases the risk for postpartum diabetes is not surprising given its effect on BMI, since most women with GDM are obese. Furthermore, we show that a combined risk score of 13 SNPs that have been associated with T2D and related traits increases the risk for postpartum diabetes in women with GDM.

The Danish study by Lauenborg et al. (10) found that of 11 established T2D susceptibility variants the *CDKN2A/2B* rs10811661 and the *WFS1* rs10010131 polymorphisms were associated with incident T2D in 283 women with GDM up to 2 years postpartum. They did

not observe any increased risk associated with the *TCF7L2* rs7903146 or *FTO* rs9939609 variants.

Although the present study to our knowledge is the largest study to evaluate the risk of genetic variants on postpartum diabetes it suffers like the Danish study from limited power. Another weakness of the study is that we have not corrected for multiple comparisons. Therefore the results should be considered preliminary. Larger studies in other populations are encouraged to confirm our results.

### **Funding**

This study was supported by grants from the Zoégas foundation, the Anna Lisa and Sven-Eric Lundgren Foundation, Research Funds of Malmö University Hospital, County of Skåne, Swedish Research Council (Linné), EU (ENGAGE) and the Wallenberg Foundation.

### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### **Acknowledgements**

We acknowledge Ylva Wessman, Vera Gunnarsson, Margit Bergström, Bertil Nilsson and Anneli Svensson for skilful technical assistance.



## References

1. Anderberg E, Kallen K, Berntorp K, Frid A, Aberg A. A simplified oral glucose tolerance test in pregnancy: compliance and results. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2007;86(12):1432-6.
2. Ekelund M, Shaat N, Almgren P, Groop L, Berntorp K. Prediction of postpartum diabetes in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia.*53(3):452-7.
3. Kim C, Berger DK, Chamany S. Recurrence of gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *Diabetes Care.* [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Review]. 2007 May;30(5):1314-9.
4. Solomon CG, Willett WC, Carey VJ, Rich-Edwards J, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, et al. A prospective study of pregravid determinants of gestational diabetes mellitus. *JAMA.* 1997 1997 Oct 1;278(13):1078-83.
5. Williams MA, Qiu C, Dempsey JC, Luthy DA. Familial aggregation of type 2 diabetes and chronic hypertension in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. *J Reprod Med.* 2003 2003 Dec;48(12):955-62.
6. Shaat N, Groop L. Genetics of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Current Medicinal Chemistry.* 2007;14(5):569-83.
7. Shaat N, Lernmark A, Karlsson E, Ivarsson S, Parikh H, Berntorp K, et al. A variant in the transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) gene is associated with an increased risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia.* 2007 2007 May;50(5):972-9.
8. Watanabe RM, Allayee H, Xiang AH, Trigo E, Hartiala J, Lawrence JM, et al. Transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) is associated with gestational diabetes mellitus and interacts with adiposity to alter insulin secretion in Mexican Americans. *Diabetes.* 2007 2007 May;56(5):1481-5.
9. Papadopoulou A, Lynch KF, Shaat N, Hakansson R, Ivarsson SA, Berntorp K, et al. Gestational diabetes mellitus is associated with TCF7L2 gene polymorphisms independent of HLA-DQB1\*0602 genotypes and islet cell autoantibodies. *Diabetic Medicine.*28(9):1018-27.
10. Lauenborg J, Grarup N, Damm P, Borch-Johnsen K, Jorgensen T, Pedersen O, et al. Common type 2 diabetes risk gene variants associate with gestational diabetes. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism.* 2009;94(1):145-50.
11. Saxena R, Voight BF, Lyssenko V, Burt NP, de Bakker PI, Chen H, et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies loci for type 2 diabetes and triglyceride levels. *Science.* 2007 2007 Jun 1;316(5829):1331-6.
12. Scott LJ, Mohlke KL, Bonnycastle LL, Willer CJ, Li Y, Duren WL, et al. A genome-wide association study of type 2 diabetes in Finns detects multiple susceptibility variants. *Science.* 2007 2007 Jun 1;316(5829):1341-5.
13. Sladek R, Rocheleau G, Rung J, Dina C, Shen L, Serre D, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies novel risk loci for type 2 diabetes. *Nature.* 2007 2007 Feb 22;445(7130):881-5.
14. Alberti KG, Zimmet PZ. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1: diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus provisional report of a WHO consultation. *Diabetic Medicine.* 1998;15(7):539-53.
15. Burnett RW, D'Orazio P, Fogh-Andersen N, Kuwa K, Kulpmann WR, Larsson L, et al. IFCC recommendation on reporting results for blood glucose. *Clinica Chimica Acta.* 2001;307(1-2):205-9.
16. Anderberg E, Landin-Olsson M, Kalen J, Frid A, Ursing D, Berntorp K. Prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes after gestational diabetes mellitus comparing different cut-off criteria for abnormal glucose tolerance during pregnancy. *Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica.*90(11):1252-8.

17. Tang K, Fu D, Kotter S, Cotter RJ, Cantor CR, Koster H. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry of immobilized duplex DNA probes. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 1995;23(16):3126-31.
18. Klein J. *Survival analysis: techniques for censored and truncated data* 2nd ed. New York: Springer; 2003.
19. Cornelis MC, Qi L, Zhang C, Kraft P, Manson J, Cai T, et al. Joint effects of common genetic variants on the risk for type 2 diabetes in U.S. men and women of European ancestry. *Ann Intern Med*. 2009 Apr 21;150(8):541-50.
20. Lyssenko V. The transcription factor 7-like 2 gene and increased risk of type 2 diabetes: an update. *Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition & Metabolic Care*. 2008;11(4):385-92.
21. Lyssenko V, Lupi R, Marchetti P, Del Guerra S, Orho-Melander M, Almgren P, et al. Mechanisms by which common variants in the TCF7L2 gene increase risk of type 2 diabetes. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 2007;117(8):2155-63.
22. Frayling TM, Timpson NJ, Weedon MN, Zeggini E, Freathy RM, Lindgren CM, et al. A common variant in the FTO gene is associated with body mass index and predisposes to childhood and adult obesity. *Science*. 2007;316(5826):889-94.
23. Lyssenko V, Jonsson A, Almgren P, Pulizzi N, Isomaa B, Tuomi T, et al. Clinical risk factors, DNA variants, and the development of type 2 diabetes. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2008;359(21):2220-32.

**Table 1**

Clinical characteristics of women with GDM in relation to glucose category postpartum

<b>Glucose category</b>	<b>NGT</b>	<b>Diabetes</b>	<b>P-value</b>
Number of women	503	134	
Age at delivery (years)	32.2 ± 4.8	32.8 ± 5.3	0.25
BMI at follow up (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25.5 ± 4.8	30.8 ± 6.3	6x10 <sup>-22</sup>
Origin			
European	413 (82)	71 (53)	1x10 <sup>-11</sup>
Non-European	90 (18)	61 (46)	
Unknown	0 (0)	2 (1)	

Data are mean ± SD, n (%). Differences in means were tested by T-test. Frequency differences were tested by Pearson chi-square test. NGT = normal glucose tolerance.

**Table 2**

The effect of the studied variants on the risk for development of postpartum diabetes

SNP	Risk alleles	Additive model		Dominant model	
		HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value
CDKAL1 rs7754840	<b>C</b>	0.93 (0.72-1.20)	0.554	0.90 (0.63-1.28)	0.550
CDKAL1 rs7756992	<b>G</b>	0.92 (0.71-1.18)	0.500	0.92 (0.65-1.31)	0.650
CDKN2A/2B rs10811661	<b>T</b>	1.29 (0.85-1.94)	0.228	1.37 (0.33-5.64)	0.664
HHEX rs1111875	<b>C</b>	1.21 (0.94-1.56)	0.146	1.05 (0.65-1.70)	0.850
IGF2BP2 rs1470579	<b>C</b>	1.22 (0.94-1.59)	0.140	1.14 (0.80-1.63)	0.476
IGF2BP2 rs4402960	<b>T</b>	1.16 (0.89-1.53)	0.272	1.06 (0.74-1.53)	0.733
TCF7L2 rs7903146	<b>T</b>	1.29 (1.01-1.66)	<b>0.039</b>	1.45 (1.01-2.08)	<b>0.044</b>
PPARG rs1801282	<b>C</b>	0.94 (0.63-1.40)	0.769	0.93 (0.23-3.78)	0.921
SLC30A8 rs13266634	<b>C</b>	1.16 (0.85-1.58)	0.344	0.69 (0.37-1.30)	0.251
GCK rs1799884	<b>A</b>	1.18 (0.88-1.59)	0.277	1.12 (0.77-1.62)	0.548
HNF1A rs1169288	<b>C</b>	1.05 (0.82-1.35)	0.692	1.05 (0.72-1.53)	0.790
KCNJ11 rs5219	<b>A</b>	1.15 (0.89-1.48)	0.293	1.02 (0.70-1.48)	0.918
FTO rs8050136	<b>A</b>	1.36 (1.06-1.74)	<b>0.015</b>	1.56 (1.06-2.29)	<b>0.023</b>
		1.30 (0.98-1.73)	0.069*	1.39 (0.91-2.12)	0.128*

Analyses were carried out with age and ethnicity-adjusted Cox proportional hazard regression model. \*corrected for age, ethnicity and postpartum BMI

**Table 3**

The genotype frequencies of the *FTO* rs8050136 and *TCF7L2* rs7903146 polymorphisms in women with GDM in relation to glucose category postpartum

Polymorphism	Genotype	Glucose category postpartum		P-value
		NGT n (%)	Diabetes n (%)	
FTO rs8050136	CC	180 (37.5)	39 (31.0)	0.332
	CA	223 (46.5)	62 (49.2)	
	AA	77 (16.0)	25 (19.8)	
TCF7L2 rs7903146	CC	239 (50.2)	49 (39.2)	0.020
	CT	195 (41.0)	56 (44.8)	
	TT	42 (8.8)	20 (16.0)	

Frequency differences were tested by Pearson chi-square test. NGT = normal glucose tolerance.