

LUND UNIVERSITY

Neuroinflammation and amyloid- β in early Alzheimer's disease. Insight into the earliest events using mouse models

Garcia, Megg Gonzales

2023

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Garcia, M. G. (2023). Neuroinflammation and amyloid- β in early Alzheimer's disease. Insight into the earliest events using mouse models. [Doctoral Thesis (compilation), Department of Experimental Medical Science]. Lund University, Faculty of Medicine.

Total number of authors: 1

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights. • Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117 221 00 Lund +46 46-222 00 00

İİİİ

Neuroinflammation and amyloid- β in early Alzheimer's disease

Insight into the earliest events using mouse models

MEGG G. GARCIA-RYDE DEPARTMENT OF EXPERIMENTAL MEDICAL SCIENCE | LUND UNIVERSITY



Neuroinflammation and amyloid-β in early Alzheimer's disease

Insight into the earliest events using mouse models

Megg G. Garcia-Ryde



DOCTORAL DISSERTATION

For the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) from the Faculty of Medicine at Lund University to be publicly defended on October 10, 2023 at 9:00 A.M. in Forum Medicum, BMC E11073, Sölvegatan 19, 223 62 Lund, Sweden

> *Faculty opponent* Dr. Soyon Hong UK Dementia Research Institute at UCL

Organization: Lund University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Experimental Medical Science

Document name: Doctoral dissertation

Date of issue 2023-10-10

Author(s): Megg G. Garcia-Ryde

Title and subtitle: Neuroinflammation and amyloid- β in early Alzheimer's disease: Insight into the earliest events using mouse models

Abstract:

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the leading cause of dementia and most common neurodegenerative disease worldwide, but there currently exists no effective treatment that can stop nor slow the progression of the disease. The current dogma in the field postulates that the appearance of extracellular amyloid-beta (A β) plagues, a histopathological hallmark of the disease, is the trigger for downstream, detrimental events, including neuronal loss, extensive neuroinflammation and cognitive decline. However, increasing evidence suggests that neuroinflammatory alterations and synaptic and neuronal dysfunction occur already before plaque deposition, which we have also noted in previous work done by our groups. In addition, we have found that Aβ aggregates intracellularly, especially within neurons, before plaque appearance, and this has the ability to impair synaptic function. Therefore, we wonder whether there is an interplay between the neuroinflammatory system, neuronal and synaptic alterations, and intracellular AB in the earliest stages of the disease. To address this, we utilize mouse-based models in vivo, primarily the 5xFAD transgenic mouse model, and in vitro neuronal culture models. In the scientific papers included in this thesis work, we explore aspects related to mechanisms and modulations related to early AD. This includes looking at the prion-like spread and properties of intracellular AB, identifying sex-specific effects of early-life stress on inflammatory systems as well as neurons and AB, and investigating the interaction between neuroinflammatory cells and early aggregated A β . Taken together, we have worked to elucidate the earliest events in the disease, including factors that can modulate pathogenesis and the underlying mechanisms. By fostering a greater understanding of AD, we attempt to aid efforts towards the development of an effective disease-modifying treatment.

Key words: Alzheimer's disease, amyloid-beta, neuroinflammation

Language: English, Swedish	Number of pages: 72
ISBN (print): 978-91-8021-457-5	ISSN: 1652-8220

I, the undersigned, being the copyright owner of the abstract of the above-mentioned dissertation, hereby grant to all reference sources permission to publish and disseminate the abstract of the above-mentioned dissertation.

Signature

Date 2023-08-31

Neuroinflammation and amyloid-β in early Alzheimer's disease

Insight into the earliest events using mouse models

Megg G. Garcia-Ryde



Cover image by Megg G. Garcia-Ryde Copyright pp 1-72 Megg G. Garcia-Ryde

Paper I © The Authors. Published by Springer Nature. Reproduced under a <u>CC BY 4.0 license</u>. Paper II © The Authors. Published by Springer Nature. Reproduced under a <u>CC BY 4.0 license</u>. Paper III © The Authors. Published by Elsevier. Reproduced under a <u>CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license</u>. Paper IV © The Authors. Unpublished manuscript.

Faculty of Medicine Department of Experimental Medical Science

ISBN 978-91-8021-457-5 ISSN 1652-8220

Printed in Sweden by Media-Tryck, Lund University Lund 2023



Media-Tryck is a Nordic Swan Ecolabel certified provider of printed material. Read more about our environmental work at www.mediatryck.lu.se

MADE IN SWEDEN 📲

To the friends I made along the way

Table of Contents

Popular science summary1		
Populärvetenskaplig sammanfattning	2	
List of papers		
Co-authored papers not included in this thesis	4	
Abstract	5	
Abbreviations	6	
Introduction	7	
What's in a name? – a brief historical perspective	8	
Alzheimer's disease as we know now		
Risk factors	11	
Histopathology		
Current therapies	14	
Amyloid-beta		
APP processing	15	
Familial Alzheimer's disease	17	
Intracellular amyloid-beta and plaque origin		
Aggregation and prion-like spread		
Neuroinflammation	19	
Microglia – the brain's resident immune cell		
Astrocytes	21	
Peripheral immune system		
Selective vulnerability		
Aims	25	
Methodological consideration		
Ethical permission	27	
Mouse models		
Intracerebral injection		
Maternal separation		
Behavioral tests		
Tissue collection		

Cell culture	
Molecular biology techniques	
Immunolabeling	
Microscopy and image analysis	
Statistics	
Summary of key results	
Paper I – Prion-like spread of intracellular Aβ and Aβ dynamics	
Paper II – Immediate and long-term effects of early-life stress on inflammatory systems and brain function	
Paper III – Alterations in Aβ and neuroinflammation due to early-life stress in young AD-transgenic mice	
Paper IV – Neuroinflammatory interactions with early aggregated $A\beta$ in the medial mammillary nuclei of young AD-transgenic mice44	
Discussion	
In the grand scheme of things – putting the papers in context	
Limitations49	
Future perspectives	
Concluding remarks	
Keferences	
Acknowledgements71	
Appendix (Papers I – IV)73	

Popular science summary

Alzheimer's disease is the most common cause of dementia, but there is no effective treatment to prevent nor slow the development of the disease. Amyloid-beta is a protein that aggregates into plaques and is a hallmark of the disease. This leads many scientists to believe that these plaques, which appear outside of cells, cause Alzheimer's disease. Recent treatments that have or are seeking regulatory approval aim to decrease this extracellular amyloid-beta plaque load, but these treatments have only moderately succeeded in improving the brain function. Therefore, it is important to consider what keeps Alzheimer's treatments from having a bigger impact on the disease, such as whether treatments can work on different aspects or at the earliest stages of the disease. An increasing number of studies have shown that numerous changes happen in the brain before plaque formation and that factors in the brain's immune system play a bigger role in driving the disease than previously believed. In our research, we observed and emphasized an initial accumulation of amyloid-beta inside of neurons before plaque appearance. This amyloid-beta can impact the function of neurons negatively, which at a large enough scale can impair brain function. Additionally, we and others have noticed that the immune cells and immune environment of the brain are altered in the pre-plaque phase of disease models. These observations have led to the present work as we asked whether there is a connection between amyloid-beta accumulation in neurons and inflammatory changes before plaques appear. Ultimately, an increased understanding of this and the earliest events of Alzheimer's disease development may contribute to more effective future Alzheimer's treatments.

Populärvetenskaplig sammanfattning

Alzheimers sjukdom är den vanligaste orsaken till demens, men trots detta finns det ingen effektiv behandling för att bota eller bromsa sjukdomsförloppet. Amyloidbeta är ett protein som aggregerar och samlar sig till plack vilket är ett av sjukdomens kännetecken. Detta har lett många forskare att tro att dessa plack, vilka dyker upp utanför celler, orsaker Alzheimers sjukdom. Därför försöker många nya behandlingar under utveckling att minska mängden extracellulära amyloidbetaplack, men dessa behandlingar har bara lyckats bättra hjärnfunktionen lite. Därför är det viktigt att tänka på vad som hindrar Alzheimers behandlingar från att ha större effekt på sjukdomen än vad de har, till exempel som att om behandlingarna skulle kunna göra något åt andra aspekter av sjukdomen eller vid ett tidigare skede av sjukdomen. Ett ökande antal studier har visat att många förändringar händer i hjärnan redan innan placken bildas och att faktorer i hjärnans immunsystem spelar en större roll i att driva sjukdomen än vad man tidigare trott. I vår forskning har vi sett och lagt vikt vid den inledande ansamlingen av amyloid-beta i neuronerna innan placken dyker upp. Denna amyloid-beta kan ha en negativ effekt på neuronernas funktion, vilket i stor nog skala kan ha en effekt på hjärnans funktion. Ytterligare har vi och andra lagt märke till att immunceller och hjärnans immunmiljö är förändrad i pre-plackstadiet i sjukdomsmodeller. Dessa observationer har lett oss till vårt nuvarande jobb då vi frågat oss om där är ett samband mellan amyloidbetaansamling i neuroner och förändringar i inflammation för placken dyker upp. Till sist skulle en ökad förståelse för detta och de tidigaste händelser i utvecklingen av Alzheimers sjukdom kunna bidra till effektivare behandlingar i framtiden.

List of papers

Note that all papers are published as Megg G. Garcia (maiden name).

Paper I

Tomas T. Roos, **Megg G. Garcia**, Isak Martinsson, Rana Mabrouk, Bodil Israelsson, Tomas Deierborg, Asgeir Kobro-Flatmoen, Heikki Tanila, Gunnar K. Gouras (2021) Neuronal spreading and plaque induction of intracellular A β and its disruption of A β homeostasis. *Acta Neuropathologica* 142:669–687. doi: 10.1007/s00401-021-02345-9

Paper II

Sara Bachiller, Isabel Hidalgo, **Megg G. Garcia**, Antonio Boza-Serrano, Agnes Paulus, Quentin Denis, Caroline Haikal, Oscar Manouchehrian, Oxana Klementieva, Jia-Yi Li, Kees-Jan Pronk, Gunnar K. Gouras, Tomas Deierborg (2022) Early-life stress elicits peripheral and brain immune activation differently in wild type and 5xFAD mice in a sex-specific manner. *Journal of Neuroinflammation* 19:151. doi: 10.1186/s12974-022-02515-w

Paper III

Megg G. Garcia, Agnes Paulus, Sandra Vázquez-Reyes, Oxana Klementieva, Gunnar K. Gouras, Sara Bachiller, Tomas Deierborg (2023) Maternal separation differentially modulates early pathology by sex in 5xFAD Alzheimer's disease-transgenic mice. *Brain, Behavior, & Immunity – Health* 32, 100663. doi: 10.1016/j.bbih.2023.100663

Paper IV

Megg G. Garcia, Emma Nyberg, Sabine Konings, Luis Quintino, Bodil Israelsson, Oxana Klementieva, Cecilia Lundberg, Sara Bachiller, Tomas T. Roos, Tomas Deierborg, Gunnar K. Gouras (2023) The medial mammillary nuclei are sites of early aggregated amyloid-beta and neuroinflammation in 5xFAD Alzheimer's disease-transgenic mice. Manuscript in preparation.

Co-authored papers not included in this thesis

- Isak Martinsson, Luis Quintino, Megg G. Garcia, Sabine C. Konings, Laura Torres-Garcia, Alexander Svanbergsson, Oliver Stange, Rebecca England, Tomas Deierborg, Jia-yi Li, Cecilia Lundberg, Gunnar K. Gouras. (2022) Aβ/Amyloid Precursor Protein-Induced Hyperexcitability and Dysregulation of Homeostatic Synaptic Plasticity in Neuron Models of Alzheimer's Disease. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience* 14:1-16. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.946297
- Antonio Boza-serrano, Agathe Vrillon, Karolina Minta, Agnes Paulus, Lluís Camprubí-ferrer, Megg Garcia, Ulf Andreasson, Anna Antonell, Malin Wennström, Gunnar Gouras, Julien Dumurgier, Emmanuel Cognat, Laura Molina-porcel, Mircea Balasa, Javier Vitorica, Raquel Sánchez-valle, Claire Paquet, Jose Luis Venero, Kaj Blennow, Tomas Deierborg (2022) Galectin-3 is elevated in CSF and is associated with Aβ deposits and tau aggregates in brain tissue in Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathologica 144:843-859. doi: 10.1007/s00401-022-02469-6
- Agnes Paulus, Anders Engdahl, Yiyi Yang, Antonio Boza Serrano, Sara Bachiller, Laura Torres-Garcia, Alexander Svanbergsson, Megg Garcia, Gunnar Keppler Gouras, Jia-Yi Li, Tomas Deierborg, Oxana Klementieva (2021) Amyloid Structural Changes Studied by Infrared Microspectroscopy in Bigenic Cellular Models of Alzheimer's Disease. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 22(7):3430.

Abstract

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the leading cause of dementia and most common neurodegenerative disease worldwide, but there currently exists no effective treatment that can stop nor slow the progression of the disease. The current dogma in the field postulates that the appearance of extracellular amyloid-beta $(A\beta)$ plaques, a histopathological hallmark of the disease, is the trigger for downstream, detrimental events, including neuronal loss, extensive neuroinflammation and cognitive decline. However, increasing evidence suggests that neuroinflammatory alterations and synaptic and neuronal dysfunction occur already before plaque deposition, which we have also noted in previous work done by our groups. In addition, we have found that AB aggregates intracellularly, especially within neurons, before plaque appearance and that this has the ability to impair synaptic function. Therefore, we wonder whether there is an interplay between the neuroinflammatory system, neuronal and synaptic alterations, and intracellular AB in the earliest stages of the disease. To address this, we utilize mouse-based models in vivo, primarily the 5xFAD transgenic mouse model, and in vitro neuronal culture models. In the scientific papers included in this thesis work, we explore aspects related to mechanisms and modulations related to early AD. This includes looking at the prion-like spread and properties of intracellular Aβ, identifying sex-specific effects of early-life stress on inflammatory systems as well as neurons and A β , and investigating the interaction between neuroinflammatory cells and early aggregated Aβ. Taken together, we have worked to elucidate the earliest events in the disease, including factors that can modulate pathogenesis and the underlying mechanisms. By fostering a greater understanding of AD, we attempt to aid efforts towards the development of an effective disease-modifying treatment.

Abbreviations

Includes only abbreviations used in more than one section.

AAV	Adeno-associated virus
Αβ	Amyloid-beta
AD	Alzheimer's disease
APOE	Apolipoprotein E
APP	Amyloid precursor protein
BDA	Biotinylated dextran amine
CA	Cornu ammonis
CTF	C-terminal fragment
EOAD	Early-onset Alzheimer's disease
LOAD	Late-onset Alzheimer's disease
N2a	Neuro-2a (cell line)
NSAID	Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug
Р	Postnatal day
PSEN	Presenilin
sAPP	Soluble amyloid precursor protein
TBI	Traumatic brain injury
TREM2	Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2
WT	Wild type

Introduction

The *Space Invaders*-inspired cover image is meant to serve as an analogy for the area of Alzheimer's disease (AD) that is covered in this thesis. In the image, increasingly reactive microglia take the role of the offending aliens, and a pyramidal neuron stands in as the defending ship. The shots being exchanged between alien and ship can be likened to cytokines and other molecules that mediate communication between neurons and microglia. In the original game, there is a barrier that shields the ship from the attacks of the aliens, disintegrating with every shot from the aliens (or, in some cases, a misplaced shot from the ship). Here, synaptic boutons are the buffer between the microglia and neuron. The brain in the upper right corner stands in as the life counter, and the score is displayed with birthday candles as a nod to increasing age being the biggest risk factor for AD but also how long this PhD work took in years. Like how the difficulty increases in the original game – as the space invaders are picked off, the score increases – microglia also change with increasing age, functioning differently and potentially in less neuron-supportive ways.

Though the image depicts microglia as an antagonist to neurons, that is not quite the case in reality. Microglia support neuronal and brain function by protecting against foreign threats and maintaining their local environment, among other things. At times, it can seem like microglia are the "bad guys" in AD because they can create an inflammatory, neurotoxic environment by releasing cytokines and other signals and aberrantly prune synapses, ultimately affecting neuronal activity and function.

The remainder of this thesis will explore the topic of neuroinflammation and aggregated amyloid-beta in early AD – what is known, what we found, and what remains unknown. My hope is that, after going through this thesis, the reader revisits the cover image and understands how neuron-microglia interactions can be related to the game *Space Invaders* as well as what the analogy fails to capture.

What's in a name? – a brief historical perspective

In November of 1906, Alois Alzheimer, a Bavarian psychiatrist and neuropathologist, presented the peculiar case of Auguste D. at a meeting for psychiatrists (37 Versammlung Südwestdeutscher Irrenarzte) in Tübingen. Germany (Alzheimer, 1906). Auguste D. was a female psychiatric patient who was hospitalized in 1901 at the age of 51 for cognitive impairment and rapidly declining memory at the mental institute in Frankfurt (Städtischen Anstalt für Irre und Epileptische in Frankfurt am Main), where Alzheimer examined her (Maurer et al., 1997). In April 1906, Auguste D. passed away, and Alzheimer investigated her brain post-mortem. In addition to presenting his results at the 1906 conference, he published them in a paper in 1907, called "On an Unusual Illness of the Cerebral Cortex" ("Über eine eigenartige Erkrankung der Hirnrinde") (original German: Alzheimer, 1907; English translation: Stelzmann et al., 1995). There, Alzheimer described the presence of "minute miliary foci" deposited around the cortex and intraneuronal fibrils, which we now know were extracellular amyloid-beta (AB) plaques and neurofibrillary tau tangles, respectively. These observations taken together with Auguste D.'s relatively young age at hospitalization led Alzheimer to remark that the case at hand went beyond the diseases known at that time.

In the same year that Alzheimer published that article, Oskar Fischer, a Czech psychiatrist and neuropathologist, published an article describing his observations in post-mortem brains from senile dementia^{*} cases wherein he described, for the first time, neuritic plaques (Fischer, 1907). It is important to note that neither Alzheimer nor Fischer were the first to describe plaques: Georges Marinesco, a Romanian neurologist, and Paul Blocq, a French pathologist, noted plaques in 1892 in the brain of epilepsy patients (Blocq and Marinescu, 1892), and Emil Redlich, an Austrian neurologist, described plaques, even using that term, in two cases of senile dementia (Redlich, 1898). What differentiated Fischer's observations of plaques from those of his predecessors was that Fischer noted neuronal elements within the plaques (Fischer, 1907, 1910, 1912). Figure 1 shows his observations that he illustrated himself of club-like, distended neurites associated with plaques (Fischer, 1907; Goedert, 2009).

^{*}Senile dementia refers to dementia that was thought to be due to old age (65 years and older). This term is considered outdated as it is derived from the idea that dementia is a normal part of aging and the word "senile" often carries a negative connotation in modern usage.



Figure 1. Original illustrations from Oskar Fischer's 1907 paper showing neuritic plaques in senile dementia. Illustrations were compiled into the figure shown here in Goedert (2009). Reproduced under a <u>CC BY-NC 2.0 UK license.</u>

Alzheimer was not alone in trying to understand what was going on in the brains of dementia patients, so how did the disease come to be called "Alzheimer's disease"? One of the biggest contributors to this is likely Emil Kraepelin, a German psychiatrist who was already prominent at the time and mentor to Alzheimer. In 1910, Kraepelin published a section in his textbook on Alzheimer's findings and called the disease Alzheimer's disease (*Alzheimersche Krankheit*) (Kraepelin, 1910). Still, Alzheimer's disease as it was known then was differentiated from senile dementia as the occurrence of symptoms and pathology occurred before the age of 65 (Berchtold and Cotman, 1998).

We know now that Alzheimer was describing a case of early-onset AD and that Fischer, as well as others, described pathology in late-onset AD. However, in its original usage, Alzheimer's disease exclusively referred to early-onset cases, so how did the term come to encapsulate both early- and late-onset forms of the disease? The answer is likely the culmination of many events. Some factors proposed by Michel Goedert (2009) on why the name "Alzheimer's disease" stuck – without a nod to Fischer – include the codification of Alzheimer's disease in textbooks, the link between Fischer's discoveries to the diagnosis of presbyophrenic

dementia[†], which was a concept that fell out of favor, and Fischer's unfortunate circumstances as a victim of the Nazi German regime at the time. Nevertheless, it was realized that both early- and late-onset cases shared symptoms and histopathology. In a twist of time, modern-day usage of the term Alzheimer's disease, more often than not, refers to the late-onset variant of the disease, which constitutes the largest proportion of cases.

Since it was given its moniker over 100 years ago, the concept of *Alzheimer's disease* has broadened, and great strides have been made in our understanding of the disease by many researchers both then and now. Though always advancing technology sheds light on aspects of the disease that would have been impossible before, many of the astute observations of past researchers like Fischer still hold and continue to be elaborated on in modern research.

Alzheimer's disease as we know now

Worldwide, it is estimated that 50 million people have AD, a number that is projected to increase to 150 million people in 2050 (Nichols et al., 2022; Prince et al., 2015). Taking into consideration preclinical[‡] and prodromal[§] AD cases, in addition to diagnosed cases, it is estimated that around 416 million people fall within the AD continuum (Gustavsson et al., 2023). Looking only at Sweden and clinical cases, an estimated 100 000 people are currently affected by AD, though this number is also expected to increase in the future (Hjärnfonden, 2021). In regard to sex, women make up disproportionately more AD cases compared to men, though the complete reason behind that remains unclear (Beam et al., 2018; Ferretti et al., 2018).

The vast majority of AD cases are idiopathic^{**}, meaning the cause of the disease is unknown. Similar to past categorizations of dementia (presenile and senile dementia), AD can be divided into early- (EOAD) and late-onset AD (LOAD) based on the age at which clinical symptoms appear with the cutoff most commonly being either 60 or 65 years of age. LOAD is overwhelmingly more common than EOAD as EOAD accounts for between 1-10% of all AD cases (Reitz et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2015).

[†] Presbyophrenic dementia was considered a subset of dementia characterized primarily by confabulation (false memories) and memory impairment. See Berrios (1986) for a historical review.

^{\ddagger} Defined as positive for A β and tau biomarkers but without symptoms.

 $[\]S$ Defined as positive for A β and tau biomarkers mild cognitive impairment

^{**} Idiopathic AD is commonly referred to as sporadic AD.

Risk factors

Insight from genetic studies

There is a misconception that most, if not all, EOAD cases are due to autosomal dominant mutations, meaning that one copy of a mutant gene is enough to cause AD. However, of EOAD cases, only around 10% are estimated to be due to autosomal dominant mutations, meaning they cause $\leq 1\%$ of all AD cases (Wingo et al., 2012). These autosomal dominant mutations occur in genes related to A β production, namely the genes for amyloid precursor protein (*APP*), presenilin 1 (*PSEN1*), and presenilin 2 (*PSEN2*), which are represented in the upper left region of Figure 2 and will be discussed more later. The remaining ~90% of EOAD cases are idiopathic, though genetic risk factors that contribute to LOAD risk likely also contribute to EOAD risk (Cruchaga et al., 2018; Sirkis et al., 2022). Interestingly, even LOAD is estimated to have a relatively high degree of heritability (Bergem et al., 1997; Gatz et al., 2006; Ridge et al., 2016).

Since the late 2000s, an increasing number of genome-wide association studies have given us insight into not only genetic variants that increase AD risk but also the biological pathways that are involved in the development of the disease. Figure 2 plots out genes that have variants that may impact one's risk of AD; genetic variants that confer a higher risk of AD are less common in the population. Functionally, AD risk genes tend to involved in A β or tau processing, lipid metabolism, the endosomelysosome system, or neuroinflammatory function (Baker et al., 2023; Bellenguez et al., 2022).

Of all the genes that can modulate AD risk, apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) is the most notable. *APOE* has three major allele forms: $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$, and $\epsilon 4$. Each person has two copies of the *APOE* gene and can inherit any combination of alleles. Worldwide, $\epsilon 3$ is the most common allele followed by $\epsilon 4$ then $\epsilon 2$, and this holds generally true between different populations though the exact proportions of each allele vary within each (Corbo and Scacchi, 1999; Farrer et al., 1997; Kern et al., 2015). *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ is the strongest genetic risk factor for AD and lowers the age on onset of LOAD (Corder et al., 1993; Poirier et al., 1993; Sando et al., 2008; Tsai et al., 1994). Conversely, *APOE* $\epsilon 2$ seems to protect against AD (Corder et al., 1994; Serrano-Pozo et al., 2015).

Besides mutations in the sequence, genes with a causative role in AD, namely APP and PSEN1, can also cause AD due to the presence of an extra genetic copy within an individual. Notably, individuals with Down syndrome, who have a partial or whole extra third copy of chromosome 21, have a high chance of developing EOAD due to having an extra copy of *APP*, which is located on chromosome 21. Extra *APP* copies have also been found in individuals without Down syndrome due to a duplication of *APP* within the chromosome; these rare duplications have been noted

as causing familial EOAD in the affected individuals (Blom et al., 2008; Rovelet-Lecrux et al., 2006; Sleegers et al., 2006).

Beyond variants that increase the risk of AD, there are also genetic variants that seem protective, i.e., decrease the risk of AD. *APOE* $\epsilon 2$, which was previously mentioned, is one example. Additionally, there are variants of *APOE* $\epsilon 3$ that may be protective, called the Christchurch (Arboleda-Velasquez et al., 2019) and Jacksonville variants (Liu et al., 2021; Medway et al., 2014). Another is the Icelandic mutation in *APP*, which will be discussed more later. More recently, a protective mutation in *RELN*, which encodes the protein reelin, was reported in a Colombian man with a familial-AD *PSEN1* mutation, similarly to how the *APOE* $\epsilon 3$ Christchurch variant was discovered (Lopera et al., 2023). Still, reliable knowledge of protective genetic variants in AD remains limited compared to risk-conferring genetic variants and more work remains to be done in this area to determine the functional role of protective variants (Andrews et al., 2019).



Figure 2. Genes with AD risk variants graphed according to their frequency in the population (x-axis) and the degree of risk they confer of developing AD (y-axis). Genes are color coded according the primary functional pathway(s) they are associated with (key in upper right). Genes with a yellow or red outline indicate that the gene may influence APP or tau metabolism, respectively. Reproduced from Lane et al. (2017) © EAN with permission. As figure is a derivative work, permission obtained for reproduction of the relevant original works in Karch and Goate (2015) © Society of Biological Psychiatry and Guerreiro et al. (2013) © Elsevier.

Other factors

The biggest risk factor for AD is increasing age; starting from 65 years of age, the risk of developing AD doubles every five years (Ziegler-Graham et al., 2008). Among those aged 65 years and older, women have nearly double the remaining lifetime risk of developing AD compared to men (Seshadri et al., 1997). Moreover, race and ethnicity also seem to play a role as, in the U.S., African Americans have the highest risk of AD compared to other races/ethnic groups (Lim et al., 2022).

Modifiable risk factors are of particular interest as they have the potential to be leveraged therapeutically to modulate the risk of developing AD. Head injury is generally associated with an increased risk of dementia (Schneider et al., 2021). Studies looking specifically at heady injury AD risk have also shown increased risk of the disease after experiencing a prior head injury (Fleminger et al., 2003; Plassman et al., 2000). With traumatic brain injury (TBI)^{††}, APP, A β , and tau are upregulated, linking TBI with AD on a molecular level (Edwards et al., 2017; Tsitsopoulos and Marklund, 2013).

Unlike TBI, there are a handful non-genetic risk factors with mostly unclear mechanistic connections to AD that do show a connection to AD risk. Higher education is generally associated with a lower risk of AD; conversely, lower education is associated with an increased risk of AD (Maccora et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2016). Developing type 2 diabetes mellitus (Gudala et al., 2013) or late-life depression (Diniz et al., 2013) also seem to increase AD risk. Additionally, there are risk factors that seem or are highly suggestive to be risk factors for AD, which are reviewed in Bellou et al. (2017).

Unlike the genetic risk factors discussed previously, non-genetic risk factors for AD tend to be less disease-specific and affect dementia risk in general (Mentis et al., 2021). Nevertheless, consideration of non-genetic risk factors, particularly modifiable ones, are of interest for efforts to reduce one's overall AD risk. Overall, consideration and continued study of both genetic and non-genetic risk factors is important, especially since most AD cases are idiopathic and likely have a multifactorial etiology.

Histopathology

Unchanged from what Alzheimer and others described more than 100 years ago, AD is histopathologically characterized post-mortem by extracellular A β plaques and intraneuronal neurofibrillary tau tangles such as those in Figure 3. Indeed, a quick Google search of the exact phrase "Alzheimer's disease is characterized by" is followed by 884 000 results with the phrase being completed with some variation

^{††} Traumatic brain injury is a kind of head injury. Colloquially, the terms are sometimes used interchangeably.

of wording to express extracellular amyloid-beta plaques and neurofibrillary tau tangles due to the importance of these two hallmarks. More so, identification of plaques and tangles post-mortem is used to definitively diagnose AD, though other changes in the tissue can also be seen (Hyman and Trojanowski, 1997).



Figure 3. Histopathological hallmarks in AD. Description of the figure from the original article (Nixon, 2007): "(A) The two hallmark features of Alzheimer disease, β -amyloid plaques (arrowheads) and neurofibrillary tangles (arrows) in AD brain are revealed by the Bielschowsky silver stain. (B) Antibodies against paired-helical-filament (PHF) tau (arrows) and β -amyloid (arrowheads) label PHF-containing neurites associated with amyloid deposits." Reproduced with permission from Journal of Cell Science.

Current therapies

Though we know more now than when the disease first got its name, there still exists no treatment that can prevent nor effectively modify the progression of the disease. There are three types of drugs approved to treat AD: acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitors, an N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist, and anti-A β immunotherapies. With the recent approval of an anti-A β immunotherapy, all three types of AD treatment are available in the U.S. In Europe, as of writing this thesis, no anti-A β immunotherapies have been approved yet, so the only treatments for AD in the European marker are AChE inhibitors and an NMDA receptor antagonist.

AChE inhibitors were the first type of drug to be approved to treat AD with the approval of tacrine in 1993 (Crismon, 1994). Used to treat mild to moderate cases of AD, these drugs work by inhibiting the breakdown of acetylcholine, levels of which are lower in AD brain. Following this came the NMDA receptor antagonist memantine, which was approved to treat cases of moderate to severe AD in the early 2000s.

The most recent drugs approved to treat AD are anti-A β immunotherapies. As of writing this thesis, there are two antibody treatments on the market: aducanumab (brand name Aduhelm®), released in 2021, and lecanemab (brand name Leqembi®), released in 2023. Both drugs had received accelerated approval by the

U.S. Food and Drug Administration as both showed the ability to reduce A β plaque load (Cavazzoni, 2021; Office of the Commissioner, 2023a). However, only lecanemab has gone on to receive traditional approval as it had a moderate effect on cognitive decline (Office of the Commissioner, 2023b; van Dyck et al., 2023). Though aducanumab has been shown to reduce A β plaque load, the cognitive benefit was not significant (Sevigny et al., 2016).

Amyloid-beta

The primary constituent of extracellular plaques in AD is $A\beta_{42}$. First isolated and identified from plaques in the 1980s, $A\beta$ is a relatively small peptide that is commonly either 40 ($A\beta_{40}$) or 42 ($A\beta_{42}$) amino acids long (Asami-Odaka et al., 1995; Dovey et al., 1993; Glenner and Wong, 1984). $A\beta$ is produced from the sequential cleavage of amyloid precursor protein (APP), which is covered here.

APP processing

APP is a transmembrane protein that is primarily processed via one of two pathways, the non-amyloidogenic pathway or the amyloidogenic pathway, illustrated in Figure 4.



Figure 4. APP processing pathways. To the left is the non-amyloidgenic pathway that occurs primarily at the cell surface. To the right is the amyloidgenic pathway that preferentially takes place in endosomes. Original figure from Rajendran and Annaert (2012). Reproduced with permission from John Wiley and Sons.

The non-amyloidogenic pathway takes place primarily at the cell surface. There, APP is first cleaved by a-secretase into soluble APP a (sAPPa), which is released

into the extracellular space, and a C-terminal fragment (a-CTF, also known as C83), which remains in the membrane. This is followed by cleavage of a-CTF into p3 and APP intracellular domain (AICD) by γ -secretase.

In the amyloidogenic pathway, APP is first cleaved by β -secretase to produce soluble APP β (sAPP β) and β C-terminal fragment (β -CTF, also known as C99). From there, β -CTF is cleaved by γ -secretase into A β and AICD. The most common lengths of A β produced are 40 and 42 amino acids long, but other lengths of A β can be produced due to different cleavage patterns or truncation (Kummer and Heneka, 2014). Moreover, as Figure 5 shows, the amyloidogenic pathway preferentially takes place in the endosome-lysosome system, and A β production has also been noted in the endoplasmic reticulum and trans-Golgi network (Hartmann et al., 1997).



Figure 5. Description from the original article (Gouras et al., 2005): "Schematic diagram of APP and Aβ trafficking within a neuron. APP is trafficked from the ER, where some Aβ may be generated, to the Golgi apparatus and then the plasma membrane (PM) where additional Aβ appears to be generated. Significant Aβ is produced in the trans-Golgi network (TGN). An important site of Aβ generation is in the endocytic pathway after APP internalization from the PM. Although APP localizes especially to the TGN, both APP and Aβ localize to vesicles within neuronal processes. In Alzheimer's disease Aβ42 accumulates within multivesicular bodiess of vulnerable neurons, especially within distal neuronal processes and pre- and post-synaptic compartments." ER = endoplasmic reticulum. MVBs = multivesicular bodies. Reproduced with permission from Elsevier.

Familial Alzheimer's disease

A number of families around the world have genetic mutations that lead to EOAD. These mutations lie within the genes for amyloid precursor protein (*APP*), presenilin 1 (*PSEN1*), and presenilin 2 (*PSEN2*) and affect APP processing or A β aggregation propensity. Mutations in *PSEN1* are the most common, followed by *APP* and *PSEN2*. PSEN1 and PSEN2 are part of the γ -secretase complex, which cleaves the CTF left after α - or β -cleavage. How *PSEN* mutations cause AD remains mostly unclear, but evidence suggests that *PSEN* familial AD mutations are loss-offunction (De Strooper, 2007). The resulting impaired function of PSEN1 seems to result in a different proportion of A β forms which has pathological consequences (Weggen and Beher, 2012; Petit et al., 2022).

Compared to *PSEN* mutations, familial AD mutations in *APP* are relatively easier to understand. As shown in Figure 6, these mutations can be located both within and outside of the A β sequence. Table 1 lists the mutations presented in Figure 6 and states their primary effect on A β .



Figure 6. A β mapped to APP. A β amino acid sequence with cleavage sites and familial AD mutations indicated. Adapted from Figure 1B in Yokoyama et al. (2022). Reproduced here under a <u>CC BY 4.0</u> <u>license</u>.

Table 1. Select familial AD mutations in APP in order of their location and their effect on A β . Data was obtained from the Alzforum mutations database (Alzforum, n.d.).

Mutation name	Amino acid changes	Effect
Swedish	K670N/M671L	Overall increased A levels
Arctic	E693G	More readily aggregates into protofibrils
Dutch	E693Q	More readily aggregates into fibrils
Austrian	T714I	Increased Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio
Florida	I716V	Increased Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio
Iberian	I716F	Increased Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio
Indiana	V717F	Increased Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio, promotes longer Aβ
London	V717I	Increased Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio

Unlike the mutation in Table 1, the Icelandic mutation (A673T) is particularly interesting as it was the first mutation in *APP* that was found to be protective and reduce the risk of developing AD (Jonsson et al., 2012). Mechanistically, this mutation seems to impact cleavage of APP by β -secretase and attenuate the amyloidogenic pathway. As a result, less A β is generated.

Taken together, the effects produced by the mutations that cause familial AD support the notion of A β being critical for the pathogenesis of AD. Though A β in AD is most notably seen in plaques, accumulation of intracellular A β precedes plaque appearance and may have its own, early role to play in AD.

Intracellular amyloid-beta and plaque origin

Before discussing what intracellular $A\beta$ is, it may be insightful to look briefly into why intracellular $A\beta$ is much less focused on in the field compared to plaques. A seemingly obvious reason is that plaques can become very large and are a hallmark of AD. A lesser known but potential factor is that, as plaque deposition increases, intracellular $A\beta$ decreases, making intracellular $A\beta$ difficult to study in areas saturated with plaques (Gouras et al., 2000; Mori et al., 2002). Practically, $A\beta$, especially $A\beta_{42}$, can be difficult to work with – even more so when trying to analyze intracellular $A\beta$ (Christensen et al., 2009; Gouras et al., 2012). In these situations, this quote by Carl Sagan is especially relevant: "Absence of evidence is not evidence of absence."

With those aspects considered, the existence of intracellular $A\beta$ should not come as a surprise as, mentioned earlier, amyloidogenic processing of APP preferentially occurs in the endosome-lysosome system. Indeed, we have noted that $A\beta$ accumulates in multivesicular bodies, which is illustrated in Figure 5, and that accumulation may be a driver of early synaptic impairment in AD (Takahashi et al., 2002). Moreover, evidence from Down syndrome and patients with mild cognitive impairment support the accumulation of $A\beta$ intracellularly before plaque appearance (Gouras et al., 2000; Mori et al., 2002). Even more so, aggregated intracellular $A\beta$ may serve as the starting point for plaques (D'Andrea et al., 2001; Friedrich et al., 2010; Gouras et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2022; Pensalfini et al., 2014).

Aggregation and prion-like spread

Plaques are composed of $A\beta$ in an aggregated state. These $A\beta$ aggregates can be classified into different groups depending on the number of peptides bound together and the overall structure, starting from monomers and followed by oligomers, protofibrils, and fibrils. One significant reason for consideration of $A\beta$ aggregate species is that $A\beta$ oligomers and not fibrils nor monomers are considered to be the most damaging (Cline et al., 2018).

As different species of A β have different properties, detection of the aggregation state is something to be considered. Traditionally, this was done in tissue using Congo red or thioflavin S (Kelényi, 1967). These dyes are understood as binding to β -sheet structures and indicate that with a visual change: Congo red shows birefringence under polarized light, and thioflavin S fluoresces green. Plaques that are positive for one of those dyes – or newer analogues – are considered dense-core and are the plaques typically thought of in AD. Conversely, diffuse plaques lack such signal. Interestingly, the Arctic mutation in *APP* promotes the development of diffuse plaques (Kalimo et al., 2013). The distinction between dense-core and an enuroinflammation, which will be discussed later.

The last point of consideration for this section on $A\beta$ is how plaques and aggregated $A\beta$ progressively appears in more brain regions in AD patients. Where Braak stages track neurofibrillary tau tangle progression throughout the brain (Braak and Braak, 1991; Braak et al., 2006), Thal phases does so for amyloid plaques (Thal et al., 2002). From looking at the Thal phases as well as the Braak stages, it can be seen that there is an order to where the AD hallmark aggregates appear, so what is mediating that spread? That some $A\beta$ aggregates possess a prion-like nature is one idea that is proposed (Watts and Prusiner, 2018), which is explored in Paper I of this thesis.

Neuroinflammation

As ~99% of AD cases are not directly caused by a familial AD mutation, mechanisms other than altered A β levels or structure must come into play. In recent years, the role of neuroinflammation in driving AD has been increasingly highlighted due to the finding of an AD risk-increasing variant of triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (*TREM2*), which can be noted in Figure 2, below *APOE4/4* (Guerreiro et al., 2013b; Jonsson et al., 2013). Still, the implications of neuroinflammation in AD is not new; immunoglobulins and complement factors were found in plaques decades ago (Eikelenboom and Stam, 1982). As the resident immune cell of the brain, microglia were suspected to be the prime actors of the complement in AD brain (Eikelenboom and Veerhuis, 1996). Moreover, the association between nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) use and lower AD risk also implicated neuroinflammation as playing a role in AD pathology (in 't Veld et al., 2001; Rich et al., 1995).

Microglia – the brain's resident immune cell

Microglia are the innate immune cell resident to the brain. During development, microglia begin in the yolk sac and then migrate to what will become the brain (Alliot et al., 1999). These cells exist in a continuum of states from highly ramified cells in a steady-state like mode to very round and ameboid cells with a high phagocytic capacity (Paolicelli et al., 2022). Though the ramified microglia are often thought of as "resting," they are doing everything but that; the ramifications allow the microglia to sample their environment, surveilling in case they need to step in (Bernier et al., 2019; Nimmerjahn et al., 2005). During development, microglia play an important role as cullers of excess synapses and neurons, which is important for proper network formation (Schafer and Stevens, 2015).

In AD, the role of microglia is perhaps even more complicated. There are arguments that microglia are "bad" as dramatically reducing microglial numbers has seemingly beneficial effects in mouse models (Olmos-Alonso et al., 2016; Sosna et al., 2018). On the other hand, *TREM2* variants that are associated with increased AD risk appears to be loss-of-function mutations (Cheng-Hathaway et al., 2018; Prokop et al., 2019). Additionally, microglia with AD-related *TREM2* mutations seem less able to interact with plaques and attenuate the plaque microenvironment (Wang et al., 2016). Therefore, it will be interesting following the development of a TREM2-based treatment that aims to enhance microglial function (Paul et al., 2021), especially given how clinical trials for the use of NSAIDs to treat AD have not panned out as expected (Meyer et al., 2019; The Alzheimer's Disease Anti-inflammatory Prevention Trial Research Group, 2013).

Nevertheless, in AD brain, microglia can often be found associated with plaques, such as in Figure 7, and likely play a role in plaque development and toxicity (Haga et al., 1989; McGeer et al., 1987; Stalder et al., 1999). In addition to phagocytosing and digesting A β , other functions of microglia at plaques may be to act as a barrier, keeping more toxic forms of A^β contained, and compacting plaques (Bolmont et al., 2008; Condello et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2017). In support of this, studies of microglia with impaired TREM2 function show that those microglia have a diminished capacity to interact with plaques, leading to reduced plaque compaction and a more toxic plaque environment (Wang et al., 2016; Yuan et al., 2016). However, not all plaques are created equal, and diffuse plaques tend to show markedly reduced microglial reactivity compared to dense-core plaques (Mackenzie et al., 1995; Ohgami et al., 1991). One perspective on this is that diffuse plaques are a precursor to dense core plaques and that microglia in a less-reactive state process the A β to facilitate plaque development (Sheng et al., 1997). Alternatively, diffuse plaques may lack the substrates needed to activate microglia (D'Andrea et al., 2004; Jung et al., 2015). Still, it remains unclear what the role of microglia are during the earliest stages of AD and, even more basic, how plaques develop, which is what we investigated in this thesis work.



Figure 7. Microglia (magenta, IBA1) are intertwined with a plaque (green, $A\beta$) in cortex from an AD patient. DAPI (blue) shows cell nuclei. Image is same as in Fig. 3a in Boza-Serrano et al. (2022). Original image was aquired by me and is adapted for presentation here.

Astrocytes

Compared to microglia, astrocytes, also known as astroglia, are more abundant in the brain and are well-known as support cells. These large, star-shaped glia help form and maintain the blood brain barrier (Abbott et al., 2006) and are crucial for neuronal function (Durkee and Araque, 2019). While microglia are the immune specialists in the brain, astrocytes can also exert neuroinflammatory roles and enter a reactive state in response to injury or threat (Han et al., 2021; Sofroniew and Vinters, 2010). In addition, astrocytes can step up and perform some microglial functions when necessary (Konishi et al., 2020). In AD, astrocytic activation biomarkers seem elevated in patients and may be useful in future diagnostic efforts (Bellaver et al., 2021).

As mentioned previously, *APOE* ε 4 is the strongest genetic risk factor for AD, and astrocytes are the primary producers of APOE in the brain, which can be seen in Figure 8 (Boyles et al., 1985; Pitas et al., 1987). However, under pathological conditions like AD, microglia can upregulate APOE (Mathys et al., 2019) which may play a role in the disease (Kang et al., 2018). Interestingly, when microglia lack functional TREM2, there is a reduction in APOE associated with plaques (Parhizkar et al., 2019). For example, if the microglia in the mice in Figure 8 lacked functional TREM2, there would likely be much less APOE associated the A β plaque than seen here. Furthermore, microglia and astrocytes seem to produce different species of APOE (Lanfranco et al., 2021), so APOE expression by each cell type likely plays different functions. Though not completely clear, there seems to be a pathologically relevant interplay between microglia, astrocytes, and APOE (Parhizkar and Holtzman, 2022; Wang et al., 2021) This may especially be the case at synapses, where microglia, astrocytes, and APOE and APOE (Lane-Donovan and Herz, 2017; Schafer et al., 2013).



Figure 8. Astrocytes (magenta, GFAP) surrounding a plaque (yellow, A β) in the hippocampus of a 5xFAD mouse. Note the APOE (green) associated with the astrocytes but also with the plaque. DAPI (blue) stains cell nuclei.

Peripheral immune system

The role of the peripheral immune system in AD is a significant development from when the brain was thought to be immunologically privileged – mainly meaning, that the brain was isolated from the peripheral immune system on account of the blood brain barrier (Carson et al., 2006). We know now that the reality is not so straightforward. To some degree, the brain can be considered as having immune privilege as the means of peripheral immune cell entry is limited under physiological conditions (Engelhardt and Coisne, 2011; Wilson et al., 2010). In AD, both the peripheral and brain immune system are considered affected, and the altered interaction between the two may promote pathology (Bettcher et al., 2021). In Paper II, we touch on the potential for the peripheral immune system to impact brain function.

Selective vulnerability

In addition to being the most common cause of dementia, AD is also the most common neurodegenerative disease. Though there are many diseases that fall under the neurodegenerative disease umbrella, one aspect that makes each unique is the brain regions affected and, as a result, the cognitive and behavioral effects. For example, in Parkinson's disease, the loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra leads to the characteristic motor symptoms of that disease. In AD, the hippocampus is often the poster child for AD-related brain regions as the hippocampus is well-known for being involved in learning and memory, but more regions are affected.

Braak staging and Thal phases spatio-temporally outline the development of AD histopathology in the brain and show that cortical regions and subcortical nuclei are

among the earliest regions affected (Braak et al., 2006; Thal et al., 2002; Braak and Braak, 1991). Some regions identified histopathologically are also a part of the default mode network, which consists of brain regions that are active during a resting or default state (Greicius et al., 2003; Harrison et al., 2008; Raichle et al., 2001). In AD, this network is impaired and seems to be altered early in the disease (Greicius et al., 2004; Mevel et al., 2011; Palmqvist et al., 2017; Scherr et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2013).

The entorhinal cortex, a part of the medial temporal lobe, which in turn is part of the default mode network, is of particular interest in AD (Stranahan and Mattson, 2010). This region, particularly the lateral entorhinal cortex, is considered to be the first region where changes in AD can be detected (Ball, 1978; Khan et al., 2014; Llamas-Rodríguez et al., 2022). This even extends to intracellular A β as reelinpositive neurons in layer II of the lateral entorhinal cortex were shown to be among the earliest to develop intracellular A β (Kobro-Flatmoen et al., 2016). Still, it remains mostly unknown what underlies such selective vulnerability. One idea is that selectively vulnerable regions are very active areas, which makes them susceptible to activity-modulated A β production. (Small and Swanson, 2018). Ultimately, this remains an active field of investigation and is important to consider when doing *in vivo* work.

Aims

The overall aim of this project is to determine what, if any, alterations are occurring in the interplay between neurons and synapses with microglia and neuroinflammation in association with early AD mechanisms, disease modulating risk factors, and intracellular A β . To that end, the following four papers included in this thesis take up more specific aspects and aims.

Paper I

- To determine the competence of intracellular A β as a prion-like seed *in vivo*
- To understand the dynamics between intra- and extracellular pools of $A\beta$ and how aggregated intracellular $A\beta$ may affect that

Paper II

• To investigate the inflammatory mechanisms that may underlie the effects of early-life stress and its link to neurological diseases and disorders

Paper III

• To examine whether early-life stress can modulate pre-plaque AD-related phenomena

Paper IV

• To define the interaction between microglia and presynaptic early aggregated $A\beta$
Methodological consideration

There are often multiple ways to accomplish a task. It often seems that identifying the "wrong" solution is much easier than determining the "right" solution. Adding to that, lab protocols usually remain unchanged for years, following the adage "if it ain't broke, don't fix it." The amounts and concentrations that are listed in a protocol can feel arbitrary as they are usually determined empirically, and what works in one lab may not work in another. Regardless, every scientist should understand the general logic behind a protocol. Practically speaking, this understanding is extremely valuable when, for example, a tried-and-true protocol yields no or inconsistent results, so troubleshooting is required (this happens often). Additionally, applications for funding or ethical permission may require justification of the materials and methods used for the question being asked.

In this section, key materials and methods will be briefly discussed. Full details of the materials and methods used can be found in their respective paper(s).

Ethical permission

In Sweden, virtually all research done using animals requires an application to the Swedish Board of Agriculture (*Jordbruksverket*) and approval from a regional board for research animal ethics (*regional djurförsöksetisk nämnd*) (Jordbruksverket, 2022). Researchers in Sweden conducting animal-based experiments must also follow the European Union (EU) directive for conducting research on animals though Swedish rules are considered stricter in comparison. In Sweden as well as the EU, consideration of the 3 R's is required when conducting research on animals: replacement, reduction, and refinement (EU Parliament and Council, 2010; Jordbruksverket, 2019). Replacement refers to whether an alternative to the use of live animals is available and adequate to address the research question at hand. Examples of such alternatives are cell cultures or computer modelling. Reduction poses the question whether fewer animals can be used in answering the research question. Lastly, refinement is the optimization of the experimental procedure to minimize suffering and promote the wellbeing of the research animal(s).

As this PhD project required the use of laboratory animals, ethical permission was obtained. From our perspective, the use of laboratory animals was necessitated by

the fact that cell cultures, including 3D cultures, do not yet capture the complexity of the brain in terms of regionality, connectivity, and cellular diversity, among other reasons. Even the use of primary neuronal cultures, which requires an ethical permit, is not yet entirely replaceable by, at best, human cells reprogrammed into neurons when studying synapses and due to some practical aspects, but advances in methodology make this argument increasingly less convincing.

Briefly, the relevant ethical permits approved by the Malmö/Lund Ethics Committee on Animal Testing in Sweden used in this PhD work will be described. In Paper I, two ethical permits were applicable: Ethical permit 5.8. 18-05983/2019 allowed for the sacrifice of mouse embryos and neonates for primary cell cultures. Ethical permit 5.8 18-12561/2020 allowed for the intracerebral injections of cell and tissue homogenate into mice. In papers II and III, ethical permit 5.8. 18-01107/2018 was needed to conduct the maternal separation protocol and behavioral tests. Note that all ethical permits also require information on animal breeding and sacrifice.

Mouse models

All papers included in this thesis utilize 5xFAD AD-transgenic mice on a B6SJL hybrid background from The Jackson Laboratory and their non-carrier, WT littermates as controls (The Jackson Laboratory, 2023). 5xFAD mice are also available on the more common C57BL/6J background, but according to the breeding company, the phenotype in 5xFAD mice with a congenic C57BL/6 background is less robust compared to in 5xFAD with a B6SJL hybrid background.

The name 5xFAD comes from the fact that they have 5 familial AD mutations. These mutations are located in transgenes encoding mutant human *APP* and *PSEN1*: there are three mutations in *APP* (the Swedish double mutation [K670N/M671L], the Florida mutation [I716V], and the London mutation [V717I]) and two mutations in *PSEN1* (M146L and L286V). This is summarized in Figure 9. The transgenes are expressed under a neuron-specific mouse *Thy1* promotor leading transgenic protein expression to increase over time similarly to the expression of Thy1 (Feng et al., 2000). As the equivalent endogenous genes are not knocked-out, 5xFAD mice also express mouse APP and PSEN1. The transgenic mice used are hemizygous as these mice are viable and can be used for breeding.

The primary reason for using 5xFAD mice in this PhD project was practicality. These mice develop A β plaques at 10 weeks of age and intraneuronal A β even earlier (Oakley et al., 2006). However, our observations in Paper IV and others suggest that plaques can be detected earlier beginning at 4 to 6 weeks of age in the mammillary nuclei (Gail Canter et al., 2019). Given the time-limited contract and requirement to publish a first-author, peer-reviewed paper as a PhD student, the use of a more aggressive mouse model makes sense.



Figure 9. Illustrated description the of 5xFAD mose model. These mice express two transgenes: a mutant human *APP* with three familial AD mutations and a mutant human *PSEN1* with two familial AD mutations. The timeline below the mouse indicates aggregated A β progression. Four to six weeks after birth (P0), these mice show prominent intraneuronal A β and some early plaques. By eight to ten weeks of age, the amount of plaques in the brain becomes notable and continues to increase with age. Sw = Swedish, FI = Florida, Lon = London.

We are aware that knock-in mouse models present a more ideal alternative because they express proteins of interest at a more physiological level. For the work done here, $App^{NL-G-F/NL-G-F}$ mice would have been the knock-in alternative as these mice develop cortical plaques at two months of age (Saito et al., 2014). Still, $App^{NL-G-F/NL-}$ $^{G-F}$ mice have the Arctic mutations (G), in addition to the Swedish (NL) and Beyreuther/Iberian (F) mutations, which promotes A β aggregation but produces primarily diffuse plaques rather than dense-core plaques and, thus, a different neuroinflammatory response (Kalimo et al., 2013). On that note, regardless of the mouse model, they all have their differences, which comes into play. For a comparison of different AD mouse models, see Yokoyama et al. (2022).

Intracerebral injection

Using the mouse models, we can devise experiments that allow us to ask regionspecific questions. Intracerebral injections are one technique that allow us to target specific brain regions of interest and downstream regions. To achieve this, stereotactic frames are a key tool as they allow the precise targeting of brain regions based off coordinates in three planes: anterior-posterior, usually relative to bregma, the point where the anterior cortical suture and sagittal suture intersect on the skull; medial-lateral, wherein positive or negative values can indicate right or left relative to the midline; and dorsal-ventral starting from the dura. The desired coordinates can be obtained from species-specific stereotaxic atlases, such as *The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates* that was used to in our work (Franklin and Paxinos, 1997), but optimization of the coordinates is often required due to differences in strain, sex. For the work done here, coordinates were primarily obtained from previous publications and work.

In Paper I, brain homogenate or cell lysate containing seed-competent $A\beta$ was injected into the hippocampus, particularly Cornu ammonis (CA1) stratum lacunosum moleculare, of 5xFAD mice. Mice injected with brain homogenate were sacrificed at different times post-injection in order to observe where and how the A β induction progressed. Additionally, an adeno-associated virus (AAV) with the code for the fluorophore mCherry was injected into the lateral entorhinal cortex layer II in order visualize the downstream axon terminals.

In Paper IV, biotinylated dextran amine (BDA) 10,000 molecular weight, an anterograde tracer, was used to show the axon terminals of dorsal subiculum neurons burdened with intraneuronal A β in young 5xFAD mice. Note that BDA-10,000 can be transported retrogradely but is preferentially transported anterogradely (Brandt and Apkarian, 1992; Veenman et al., 1992); lower molecular weight BDA, such as BDA-3000, are preferentially transported retrogradely and are better suited for retrograde tracing (Fritzsch, 1993). There are two main ways to inject BDA: pressure injection or iontophoretic injection. Both methods work for anterograde tracing with BDA-10,000, though iontophoretically injecting BDA can help with producing smaller injection sites compared to pressure injecting (Reiner et al., 2000). Here, we used pressure injection.

In both Papers I and IV, intracerebral injection was used to help visualize downstream axon terminals. There are pros and cons for using a virus or a tracer. For a visual comparison, the Allen Brain Atlas systematically injected a recombinant AAV followed by BDA-10,000 into various regions in the mouse brain and uploaded the processed samples into an online resource (Allen Brain Atlas, 2023; Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas, 2014). Here, the decision whether to use virus or BDA came down to practicality, for the most part. The use of an AAV with mCherry in Paper I was a choice made by collaborators (Rana Mabrouk and Professor Heikki Tanila) who conducted the experiment.

In Paper IV, the first tracing experiments were done using a lentiviral vector encoding EGFP under a synapsin promotor, generated by local collaborators (Luis Quintino and Professor Cecilia Lundberg). One major advantage of using viral vectors is that fluorophore expression can be made cell-specific by using the appropriate promotor. In our case, the synapsin promotor restricted EGFP expression to neurons. Still, though the injections were precise, they were not accurate as EGFP was not detected in the target region (dorsal subiculum). We attributed this to the coordinates being inaccurate and needing optimization as the 5xFAD mice that we were using were only 4 weeks old. Moreover, there came an additional issue: EGFP fluorescence intensity drops at low pH (Haupts et al., 1998). This was problematic as optimal detection of intraneuronal A β uses an antigen retrieval step with relatively concentrated formic acid, which will be discussed more later (Christensen et al., 2009). The use of a different fluorophore that is more tolerant to low pH could remedy this issue (see Shinoda et al., 2018 for a table listing fluorophores and their pK_{a}). However, because of time constraints and other practical issues, we decided to move forward with BDA-10,000 and using slightly older mice (around 6 to 8 weeks of age at time of injection) to improve coordinate accuracy.

A last point to consider is that the insertion of a needle when doing experiments like these is that increased $A\beta_{42}$ expression can be noticed in the needle track (Gouras et al., 2010). The implications of this may not be so relevant if analyses will be done on downstream regions. However, this also raises the possibility of studying the needle track area as a model of TBI.

Maternal separation

Early-life stress in mice can be induced by different means. Here, maternal separation was used in Papers II and III, and the sequelae were studied. The protocol used involved separating pups from their dams starting from postnatal day 2 to postnatal day 14 for 3 hours per day, illustrated in Figure 10. In Paper II, mice were sacrificed at postnatal day 15 and at 4 months of age, and in Paper III, mice were sacrificed at 6 weeks of age.

Aside from maternal separation, there exists other paradigms for inducing early-life stress (for a review early-life stress, see Cattane et al., 2022). These other paradigms differ not only in the way early-life stress is achieved but also when the protocol is administered. As early-life stress is not a strictly defined term, the life stages that are included spans from gestation up to adolescence. As many biological changes occur during development, stress that is induced during different early life stages will likely have a differential effect based on the exact timing. In addition, sex also requires consideration as there are sex-specific sequalae, which we noted in Papers II and III. Ultimately, paradigms of early-life stress help us understand the resulting

molecular and cellular alterations, among other aspects, that may promote the development of disorders and diseases.



Figure 10. Modified schematic from Paper III showing the timeline for maternal separation and endpoints analyzed according to their respective paper.

Behavioral tests

Alterations in cognitive function can be seen as a culmination of molecular and cellular alterations towards some tipping point. Viewed another way, there can be molecular and cellular alterations without cognitive impairment. For example, A β plaques can be found in clinically normal aged individuals (Mormino and Papp, 2018). When it comes to animal models, behavioral tests are valuable for evaluating the cognitive effects of a treatment or manipulation. There are many types of behavioral tests that aim to evaluate a specific cognitive aspect. Here, we used behavioral tests to determine whether maternal separation affected cognition.

In Paper II, we utilized the open field test, novel object recognition test, and the forced swim test. The open field test was used to measure anxiety-like behavior. To evaluate recognition memory, we utilized the novel object recognition test. The forced swim test was used to measure depressive-like behavior.

In Paper III, we used the Y maze, elevated plus maze, and the tail suspension test. The Y maze tested spatial working memory. The elevated plus maze was used to evaluate anxiety-like behavior. The tail suspension test was used to examine depressive-like behavior.

Ultimately, treatments for AD should improve cognitive function or prevent cognitive decline, so the inclusion of behavioral tests when testing treatments at the preclinical phase can be a valuable complement.

Tissue collection

Though part of many experiments, tissue collection may not seem like the most glamorous part of the materials and methods section. However, failure to adapt tissue collection parameters to the research question can lead to larger consequences downstream, the worst possibly being significantly lost time and wasted resources.

In all the work done here, how the tissue would be processed was considered before sacrificing the mice based on the planned downstream analyses and research questions. For tissue to be used only for immunohistochemistry, mice were perfused with paraformaldehyde to fix and preserve the tissue more evenly before collection. From there, downstream analysis involved immunolabeling followed by microscopy and image analysis (see Figure 11 for an overview).



Figure 11. General workflow for fixed tissue. Brain tissue is collected from mice and sectioned. These sections are then used for immunolabeling, which is visualized using a microscope. The images are then analyzed at a computer.

When downstream analysis included using molecular biology techniques, like in Papers II and III, perfusion with paraformaldehyde was not done but rather saline to clear out the blood. Instead, the brains were split into their two hemispheres; one hemisphere was snap frozen for use with molecular biology techniques, and the other hemisphere was incubated in paraformaldehyde for immunohistochemistry. There a few advantages for splitting the brain like this: fewer mice and materials are needed and results from different methods can be correlated within the same mouse. However, using only one hemisphere means less tissue available, which can make analysis more difficult. Moreover, in Paper II, spleens were also collected but processed almost immediately for flow cytometry. Lastly, in Paper I, the collection of embryos for primary neurons might be considered tissue processing collection in a way but will be discussed in a later section.

Cell culture

Cell cultures are particularly useful for studying molecular mechanisms and subcellular compartmentalization. They can be manipulated relatively easily and are fairly easy to obtain and use. Paper I utilized both cell lines and primary neurons to study $A\beta$ dynamics.

To study A β intra- and extracellular pool dynamics, neuro-2a (N2a) cells were used. These cells were derived from a mouse neuroblastoma and are used to model neurons in culture (Augusti-Tocco and Sato, 1969). Compared to primary neurons, N2a cells are easier to use, more readily available, and more robust. However, they are not neurons *per se* and do not form synapses like neurons would. In addition, as a cell line, N2a cells risk genetic drift and significant variation in responses with increasing passage number (Ben-David et al., 2018; Gutbier et al., 2018). Still, the availability of N2a lines that express WT APP and human APP with the Swedish mutation made this model suitable for the questions being asked (Thinakaran et al., 1996).

Primary neuronal cultures, as used here, originate from embryonic mouse brain though they can also be obtained from neonatal mice (Kaar et al., 2017). Unlike N2a cells, primary neurons can better capture the morphology of a neuron and the connectivity between neurons. Another advantage is that more region-specific neurons can be obtained by careful dissection of the brain before the cell dissociation step. However, primary neuronal cultures tend to be more finicky and difficult to obtain a than cell line. Nevertheless, research questions involving synapses and neuronal compartmentalization like in Paper I are better answered using primary neuron cultures rather than N2a cells or similar cell lines.

Molecular biology techniques

In Papers I, II, and III, levels of messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) and/or protein were measured from cell or brain homogenate. For mRNA, we utilized quantitative real-time PCR analysis with brain homogenate in Papers II and III. In Papers I, II, and III, protein was analyzed using dot blot, Western blot, a sensitive multiplex immunoassay, or some combination of the methods. The different methods used to measure proteins was dependent on the level of sensitivity needed, i.e., how much protein was available in the samples of a given experiment that would fall within the measurable range of a technique.

Compared to mRNA, protein measurements are often more insightful as proteins are the primary participants in cellular function. Protein can also be easier to work with as RNA is more sensitive to degradation than protein and requires thorough cleaning and preparation to ensure adequate sample quality for analysis. Still, mRNA and proteins levels do not always correspond, though these differences can be indicative of a cellular state, for example, if both were measured in a given experiment (Liu et al., 2016; Perl et al., 2017).

Immunolabeling

Immunolabeling was used extensively in all the papers included here. In all papers, mouse brain tissue was immunolabeled. Additionally, in Papers I and II, cells were also immunolabeled.

As the name suggests, antibodies are the key component of this method to detect desired targets within intact tissue or cells. Beyond identifying the presence of a protein, antibody can bind specifically depending on conformation, posttranslational modifications, and more. Thus, antibodies are powerful tools that can address a variety of research questions depending on the specific target of the antibody and the method for detecting the antibody or antibodies after binding.

Antibodies can be detected either directly or indirectly. Direct detection refers to the use of a primary antibody with the label directly conjugated to it, such as a fluorophore or enzyme. There were two experiments wherein direct antibody detection was used: to define peripheral immune cell populations using flow cytometry in Paper II and to characterize microglial and neuronal cell populations in the mammillary bodies with spatial profiling in Paper IV (see Figure 12).



Figure 12. Direct detection in spatial profiling (Paper IV). A panel of antibodies directly conjugated to a type of barcode were incubated on a slide with mouse brain sections. Using a directed light, the barcodes were cleaved in the regions (circled on the brain to the left) and cells of interest, allowing for a degree of spatial resolution. The barcodes were then carefully collected for each region and counted to yield a quantitative measure of expression that could be analyzed.

Indirect detection requires the use of a secondary reagent to detect the primary antibody. For the majority of the immunolabeling experiments we conducted, we utilized indirect detection via species-specific secondary antibodies conjugated to a label, usually a fluorophore, to detect the primary antibody like in Figure 13. This provides several advantages over direct detection immunolabeling: Signal from the label can be more easily detected for proteins with less-than-high expression due to the amplifying nature of indirect detection. Additionally, being able to use a given secondary antibody with several primary antibodies reduces costs. Likewise, being able to utilize different labels for different experiments with a given primary antibody has a similar benefit.



Figure 13. The process of indirect immunolabeling starting from left to right. A primary antibody binds to a target. Several secondary antibodies conjugated to a fluorophore bind to the primary antibody, allowing for detection with an amplified signal.

However, running an immunolabeling experiment using indirect detection may also require more optimization and steps in a protocol and may be more limiting compared to directly labeled primary antibody. This includes considering which primary antibodies to include in an experiment based on host species and conducting negative control tests.

Moreover, there are other aspects to consider when immunolabeling, for example, primary antibody concentration, cross-reactivity, antigen retrieval, and microscopes and their equipped hardware. Case in point, pretreatment with formic acid is often preferred to detect aggregated intracellular $A\beta$ and enhances detection of extracellular $A\beta$ in plaques, which we do in Papers I, III, and IV (Christensen et al., 2009; Kitamoto et al., 1987). Still, this is not the only option for enhancing $A\beta$ signal (Kai et al., 2012).

Microscopy and image analysis

Microscopy and, in turn, image analysis are reliant on sample quality, which begins long before the sample reaches the microscope. "Garbage in, garbage out" is a key tenant when doing microscopy and image analysis. Even the best microscope cannot make a poor-quality sample better, and trying to make a poor-quality sample and/or microscopy image better at the image analysis phase risks crossing over into data falsification territory.

However, even with a good quality sample, microscopy is a skill of its own, and there are many things to consider before and at the microscope. First and foremost, what is the research question being asked? For example, a question regarding a whole brain region may require differently sized field of view and microscope objective compared to the requirements for a question looking at subcellular components. Is confocal quality necessary or is the epifluorescence microscope good enough? Though obtaining the best quality images via confocal microscope would be ideal, this comes at the cost of time and file size.

There are other points to keep in mind that may seem inconsequential but can have a large impact under certain circumstances as they can reduce image quality or cause aberrations. For example, microscope objectives tend to be configured for a specific thickness of coverslip, which is typically 0.17 mm or a #1.5 coverslip. (There are microscope objectives that can be adjusted to account for different coverslip thicknesses but seem less common than the fixed kind.) Moreover, mismatching refractive indices can have a similar impact, though this is more notable when imaging at higher numerical aperture. For example, it may be that the mounting medium does not have the same refractive index as the immersion medium between the coverslip and the objective.

Similarly, the workflow when doing image analysis also requires consideration of many factors. However, for much of the quantitative image analysis done in the work here, deciding how to threshold an image was the biggest point of consideration. Is manual or algorithm-based thresholding better? Do the images need some kind of pre-processing to work with the threshold better? (For a perspective on thresholding, see the section "Considerations during image segmentation" on the Principles of Scientific Imaging webpage at imagej.net.) Nevertheless, all images should be treated the same, so optimization of the image analysis pipeline can take considerable time but is often a necessary step to ensure accurate results.

Statistics

In our humble efforts to understand nature, statistics helps us make sense of the data that we acquire. Though statistics is often described last in the materials and methods section of journal articles, statistical consideration ideally occurs when the experiment is being planned. Deciding the statistical tests later when the data is already collected risks biasing the analysis and, in worst case, producing false positive results. Nevertheless, the reality of exploratory work or even planned experimental studies that evolve with time is that statistical tests may be determined once the is data acquired, though not in a "choose the test that gives the best p-value" kind of way. An example of this is in Paper I, wherein datasets first underwent normality^{‡‡} testing to decide whether to move forward with a parametric or non-parametric statistical test.

Core to statistical hypothesis testing is the null hypothesis and the alternative hypothesis (note that there can be multiple alternative hypotheses). Statistical hypotheses are not the same as the experimental or research hypotheses; statistical hypotheses are specific, mathematical statements that can be rejected based on statistical test results. The statistical hypotheses are not often stated within a research article, but they can be inferred based on the statistical tests used, which should always be stated. Understanding null and alternative hypotheses is important for understanding p-values.

The use of p-values is widespread in scientific literature, and low p-values, usually $p \le 0.05$, are emphasized as they are used to show that a significant difference or effect exists. The actual definition of a p-value is the probability of observing a given or more extreme result assuming that the null hypothesis is true. A more concrete way of viewing this is taking a p-value, for example p = 0.01, and reading it as "there is a 1% chance that we would observe the given result or a result even more extreme if the null hypothesis was true, i.e., there is, in reality, no difference. Because the significance threshold was set to $p \le 0.05$, the null hypothesis is rejected. It is important to note that the significance threshold can be set to any value between 0 and 1, but 0.05 is conventional. (This author's preference is to avoid the use of asterisks to denote significance levels in graphs, which this author did in Paper III, so that the reader can more easily critically examine the results.) Even more ideal would be to include confidence intervals and estimated effect size to give an expanded view of whether a statistically significant effect is biologically relevant or not (Nakagawa and Cuthill, 2007).

^{‡‡} Normality refers to whether the frequency of outcome values follows a normal or Gaussian distribution, a.k.a. a bell curve.

Summary of key results

Detailed results can be found in their respective paper, which are included in the printed version of the dissertation book (see Appendix).

Paper I – Prion-like spread of intracellular A β and A β dynamics

Here, we showed that a purely intracellular source of A β from prion-like N2a cell lysates can induce plaque pathology in an AD-transgenic mouse model though less potently than injecting brain homogenate from an aged AD-transgenic mouse. Follow-up experiments using the brain homogenate as the A β seeding source showed spatial-temporal patterns of plaque induction in regions downstream of the injection site. Interestingly, we noted small wisp-like A β aggregates near the site of injection in CA1 stratum oriens. The appearance of these aggregates coincided with a drop in NeuN-immunoreactivity in CA1 stratum oriens neurons in their vicinity. In addition, we observed that intracellular A β levels were lower in the injected side CA1 pyramidal neurons, which have their basal dendrites in stratum oriens where the wisp-like A β was located. Meanwhile, we observed increased intracellular A β in layer II lateral entorhinal cortex neurons which had seeded induced A β near in their terminal fields in the dentate gyrus. We then injected an AAV encoding a fluorophore into the lateral entorhinal cortex and observed that the terminal fields were sites of early plaque formation.

Looking *in vitro*, we treated primary neurons with aged AD-transgenic mouse brain ultracentrifugate as the A β seed source and noticed a relocation of intracellular A β from the soma to the neurites, which is consistent with what we saw *in vivo*. Lastly, we explored the connection between the extra- and intracellular pools of A β . There, we also utilized the prion-like N2a cell line that maintains aggregated intracellular A β . to disturb the extra-/intracellular A β dynamic and study the consequences.

Key takeaways

^{**D**} An intracellular source of aggregated A β can seed plaques *in vivo*.

^{**D**} Seeding using brain homogenate leads to Aβ plaque induction in downstream, connected brain regions (Figure 14).



Figure 14. Mice were sacrified a different time points (4, 6, 10, and 16 weeks) post-injection of the seeding material and induction of plaques was noted on the side ipsilateral to the injection compared to the contralateral uninjected side. Corresponds to Fig. 1b in the original article.

^{**D**} Intracellular pools of Aβ are coordinated with extracellular levels of Aβ such that low levels of extracellular Aβ promote increased β-cleavage and efflux of non-aggregated intracellular, thus replenishing the extracellular pool (Figure 15 and 16).



Figure 15. Schematic of A β intra- and extracellular dynamics with a media change experiment to deplete the extracellular pool of A β . Intracellular A β efflux is followed by increased β -cleavage,replentishing intracellular A β pools and, eventually, extracellular A β levels. Figure corresponds to Fig. 5h in the original article.



Figure 16. Aggregated intracellular $A\beta$ is resistant to efflux and disrupts the $A\beta$ intra-/extracellular equilibrium. Even with low extracellular $A\beta$ levels, the aggregated intracellular $A\beta$ remains within the cell. However, like in Figure 13, β -cleavage is upregulated with low extracellular $A\beta$ levels. This suggests that the extracellular pool of $A\beta$ determines β -secretase activity as a means of eventually replenish that pool. However, intracellular $A\beta$ can become a compounded problem with removal of extracellular pools of $A\beta$. This may have implications for anti- $A\beta$ immunotherapies seeking to reduce extracellular $A\beta$ plaque load. Figure corresponds to Fig. 6e in the original article.

Paper II – Immediate and long-term effects of early-life stress on inflammatory systems and brain function

In Paper II, we observed that exposure to early-life stress has the potential to modulate inflammatory systems in a sex-dependent manner. In addition, immediate effects may foreshadow long-term effects depending on the genotype. To model early-life stress, we used a protocol for maternal separation in WT and 5xFAD AD-transgenic mice. We noted an immediate effect of maternal separation on microglia: microglial area was increased in the hippocampus of male WT mice, and microglial morphology was more reactive in prefrontal cortex of female WT mice compared to their control counterparts. In the prefrontal cortex of adult mice, maternally separated WT male mice had increased microglial coverage. The last aspect of inflammation that we looked at was peripheral immune cell populations in the spleens of adult mice: we found that different peripheral immune cell populations were affected by maternal separation in a sex- and genotype-specific manner.

While analyzing brain function, we found that maternal separation was associated with impaired recognition memory in female and male WT mice and female 5xFAD mice. Depressive-like behavior was also affected as it was increased in maternally separated male WT mice and female 5xFAD mice. At a molecular level, we found that levels of *Arc* and *Bdnf* expression were decreased in maternally separated male mice: 5xFAD male mice with *Arc* and WT and 5xFAD mice with *BDNF*. With the genetic background of the 5xFAD mice, we could also study whether early-life stress affects $A\beta$ levels in adulthood. There, we noted that female mice had increased plaque load and proto-fibrils in the prefrontal cortex associated with maternal separation.

Key takeaways

■ Maternal separation can lead to immediate effects on microglial status in a sexand genotype-specific manner (Figure 17).



Figure 17. Microglial coverage (Iba1) is increased in maternally separated (MS) male WT mice. Figure corresponds to part of Fig. 1c in the original article.

■ Early-life stress can alter peripheral immune cell populations even into adulthood of mice.

■ By adulthood, early-life stress may impact recognition memory and modulate depressive-like behavior in a sex- and genotype-specific manner.

Paper III – Alterations in A β and neuroinflammation due to early-life stress in young AD-transgenic mice

Like in Paper II, we utilize maternal separation as a model of early-life stress but focus on effects during the adolescence only in an AD-vulnerable mouse model. We found that early-life stress can modulate intracellular A β levels as well as overall A β levels in specific brain regions. Likewise, microglial state was affected by early-life stress but primarily in the basolateral amygdala. Looking more at the neuroinflammatory environment, we noted some changes in cytokine expression in hippocampal extract of only male mice due to maternal separation. Moreover, we found a broad effect of maternal separation on neuronal marker gene expression in both male and female mice. Lastly, we noted no significant alterations in spatial working memory nor anxiety-like and depressive-like behavior.

Key takeaways

• Early-life stress promote increased Aβ levels, including increased intraneuronal Aβ levels, in a sex-specific manner (Figure 18).



Figure 18. The anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) of maternally separated (MS) 6-week-old female 5xFAD mice had increased overall and intraneuronal A β -immunoreactivity compared to their coutnerparts. Figure corresponds to Fig. 1B in the original article.

■ Synapse and neuronal gene marker expression seems broadly affected by earlylife stress but does not yet manifest as behavioral alteration by the adolescent stage. [■] The microglia in the basolateral amygdala may be particularly sensitive to the effects of maternal separation even into the adolescent stage (Figure 19).



Figure 19. Measures of microglial coverage and morphology were different in maternally separated (MS) male and female mice in the basolateral amygdala (BLA). Figure corresponds to part of Fig. 2B in the original article.

g

ο

Paper IV – Neuroinflammatory interactions with early aggregated $A\beta$ in the medial mammillary nuclei of young AD-transgenic mice

In Paper IV, we observed that the medial mammillary nuclei of 5xFAD mice are early sites of aggregated A β and started to probe for neuroinflammatory alterations at that point. Previous literature and our own preliminary data suggest that the A β in the medial mammillary nuclei originate from the terminal fields of dorsal subicular neurons. This connection gives us the potential to probe how neuroinflammatory systems interface with plaque development of presynaptic-associated A β to gain a better understanding of early neuroinflammatory alterations in AD.

Key takeaways

The aggregated A β in the medial mammillary nuclei likely originates from the axon terminals of subicular neurons.

The medial mammillary nuclei develop early, plaque-like aggregated A β alongside the punctate intracellular A β in the subiculum of 5xFAD mice (Figure 20).



Figure 20. Early aggregated A β in 6-week-old pre-plaque 5xFAD mice in the subiculum and medial mammillary nuclei. Arrowheads highlight the morphological difference between aggregated A β in the subiculum compared to the mammillary nuclei.

^{**D**} Microglia seem to physically interact with the aggregated Aβ in the mammillary nuclei of young 5xFAD mice (Figure 21).



Figure 21. 3D surface model of a microglial cell (green) in contact with aggregated A β (magenta) in the mammillary nuclei of a 5xFAD mouse.

Discussion

In the grand scheme of things – putting the papers in context

Our overarching goal for this work was to understand mechanisms and modulations related to early AD. We looked from different angles, including prion-like spread of intracellular A β , sex-specific early-life stress effects on inflammatory systems as well as neurons and A β , and neuroinflammatory cell interactions with early aggregated presynaptic-associated A β .

Paper I built upon the work done by the first author previously. In the first part of Paper I, the source of aggregated intracellular AB came from an isolated clonal line that had persistent aggregated intracellular A β , referred to as prion-like cells (Olsson et al., 2018). Paper I then went further by exploring whether that aggregated intracellular Aß source would persist in vivo by inducing Aß plaques, which had not been done in the 2018 paper. Additionally, Paper I also utilized the prion-like cells when testing the dynamics of extra- and intracellular pools of AB. Because the aggregated intracellular A β in the prion-like cells does not efflux, this helped show that low extracellular but not low intracellular AB levels induce B-cleavage and, consequently, intracellular A β generation. One implication of this is that, if an anti-A β immunotherapy removes extracellular A β , then more intracellular A β will be generated to replenish the extracellular pool. Interestingly, a previous study by Oddo et al. (2006) explored this by injecting an anti-Aβ antibody in vivo. They found that extracellular pools of AB, i.e., plaques, were cleared first by the antibody with intracellular AB depleting after. After 15 days post-injection, intracellular AB levels recovered to control levels with plaques reappearing later, consistent with intracellular Aß generation to replenish extracellular Aß levels. It would be interesting to build on this study and Paper I with constant anti-A^β treatment, which would be used with $A\beta$ immunotherapies in humans. This could continually drive the extra-/intracellular dynamic so that the continual production of intracellular AB may increase the possibility of the A β aggregating. All in all, consideration needs to be given with the rapeutics that aim to remove extracellular A β .

Papers II and III highlight the importance of considering sex (and gender) in AD research. Papers II and III do so explicitly Though not considered as a factor during statistical analysis in Paper I, both mice of both sexes were used for the experiments. This was similar for Paper IV, but plans for future experiments and analyses include

looking for sex-specific effects when possible. As can be inferred from the disproportionate demographic of women with AD compared to men, sex is an important aspect to consider in AD research. Adding to that, sex and gender^{§§} can have modifying effects on other AD risk factors, but the mechanisms underlying this are still poorly understood. In epidemiological studies of AD, the same lack of understanding exists, and sex and gender require further exploration (Mielke et al., 2014; Nebel et al., 2018). More still is the lack of differentiating between sex and gender and looking more specifically into subgroups within each.

Paper IV builds off of previous work in the lab on neuroinflammatory alterations in 5xFAD mice of similar age (Boza-Serrano et al., 2018). However, here, additional consideration is given to neuroinflammatory alterations that interact with early aggregated A β and synapses. This interplay is one that is not well known, but there have been studies pointing towards potential mechanisms, including complement-mediated synaptic pruning (Hong et al., 2016) and neuron-based inflammatory signaling (Welikovitch et al., 2020). Furthermore, we have the opportunity to study some of the earliest appearing A β aggregates in this model (Gail Canter et al., 2019) in a relatively well-mapped region, the mammillary bodies (Shibata, 1989; Umaba et al., 2021). If our educated guess holds – that the source of the A β in the medial mammillary nuclei is the terminal fields of subicular projection neurons– then we would also have the possibility to study potential differences in neuroinflammation with early aggregated A β at the axon terminals versus in the somatodendritic compartment.

In sum, based on our work and others, we believe that $A\beta$ has a crucial role in AD pathogenesis and that the pathogenesis of AD can be exacerbated by neuroinflammation and even factors that promote peripheral inflammation. These arguments for the role of $A\beta$ in AD are sometimes conflated with the amyloid cascade hypothesis, which proposes that extracellular plaque appearance is the cause of downstream effects, including neurofibrillary tau tangles and cognitive impairment (Hardy and Allsop, 1991; Hardy and Higgins, 1992). However, the two are not one and the same, and reevaluating the amyloid cascade hypothesis may be a beneficial for the field and development of therapeutics. Adding to that point is evidence that there is a poor correlation between plaques and cognitive decline (Terry et al., 1991) and that plaques can be present in the brains of cognitively normal aged individuals (Nelson et al., 2012). Therefore, the overlooked phenomena of intracellular $A\beta$ aggregation, which precedes plaque appearance, and its detrimental effects on synapses and neuronal function may help explain these gaps.

^{§§} Sex is broadly defined as the biological and physiological characteristics that determine male or female categorization. Gender can be defined as the socially constructed role that an individual adopts based on a complex combination of factors, such as culture and biology. Sex and gender classifications are often aligned in an individual but can differ.

Limitations

The age of the mice used here is a potential point of contention. Aging is the biggest risk factor for AD, none of the mice that we used were older than 5 months. When equating mouse age to human life stages, 5-month-old mice are only "mature adults" and not "old," which in humans would be when the risk of AD starts to increase (Hagan, 2017). Nevertheless, the overall research interest here was the earliest events in AD. Additionally, it may be informative to see whether changes that occur earlier on persist later. In Paper II, we looked at the effect of maternal separation already one day after the end of the protocol. We also looked at mice months postprotocol, allowing us some idea of whether earlier alterations laid some groundwork to the effects seen later.

Sample size, especially with experimental models, is usually brought up as they often fall short of numbers that are considered "ideal" for statistical analysis. This is a fair concern as statistical power is a function of sample size and effect size, so experiments with small sample sizes may fail to capture phenomena with a smaller effect size. In Papers II and III, a balance had to be reached between the number of groups and sample size per group with practicality. In addition, sex-specific effects due to stress are documented in the literature, so pooling sexes together to achieve a higher n would not have made sense given that and our research question. An alternative strategy that we took was to leverage paired design. In Paper I, because the injections were done unilaterally, the uninjected side could be used as the control for the injected size to reduce variability and improve statistical signal to noise.

As the goal of using mouse models of disease is to apply that knowledge to humans, translatability is always a concern. Ideally, we would be able to study AD in human brains in a non-invasive manner and with the same cellular and molecular resolution of *in vivo* and *in vitro* models. Practically though, we rely on models to answer research questions that can be difficult, if not impossible, to do in humans. The use of knowledge gained from animal models to humans holds some validity as many biological molecules and processes are conserved across species. Table 2 lists the $A\beta_{42}$ amino acid sequence in different animals to illustrate how the sequence is relatively conserved across species.

Species	Aβ (1-42) amino acid sequence
Human (Homo sapiens)	DAEFRHDSGYEVHHQKLVFFAEDVGSNKGAIIGLMVGGVVIA
Mouse (Mus musculus)	DAEF <mark>G</mark> HDSGFEV <mark>R</mark> HQKLVFFAEDVGSNKGAIIGLMVGGVVIA
Cat (Felis silvestris catus)	DAEFRHESGYEVHHQKLVFFAEDVGSNKGAIIGLMVGGVVIA
Dog (Canis lupus familiaris)	DAEFRHDSGYEVHHQKLVFFAEDVGSNKGAIIGLMVGGVVIA
Guinea pig (Cavia porcellus)	DAEFRHDSGYEVHHQKLVFFAEDVGSNKGAIIGLMVGGVVIA
Chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes)	DAEFRHDSGYEVHHQKLVFFAEDVGSNKGAIIGLMVGGVVIA

Table 2. The sequence for A β 42 is relatively conserved between species. Select animals and their A β (1-42) amino acid sequence. Amino acids in red denote a difference relative to the human sequence. Sequences were obtained from UniProt (The UniProt Consortium, 2023).

Future perspectives

Looking beyond Alzheimer's disease for clues

Some pathological phenomena observed in AD can be seen in other animals, which may aid our understanding of the disease in humans. For example, senior cats, which are cats age 7 and older, are at risk of developing feline dementia, which has histopathological similarities with AD (Head and Gunn-Moore, 2017), and cats are not the only animals that experience this with aging (Youssef et al., 2016). In additional, as brought up earlier, there is a strong degree of conservation in the sequence of A β between species. Moreover, observations from less conventional animal models may provide insight into factors that modulate A β or tau AD-like pathology as well as promote healthy aging. Consider these examples: In hibernating animals, tau becomes hyperphosphorylated in neurons, and this hyperphosphorylation is reversed after coming out of hibernation (Arendt et al., 2015). Naked mole rats do not appear to develop plaques despite their relatively high expression of A β_{42} and long lifespan (Edrey et al., 2013). Bowhead whales are the longest-lived mammals with an estimated lifespan of over 200 years and seem resistant to age-related diseases (Keane et al., 2015).

Relative to how much we know about $A\beta$ in disease conditions, relatively little is known about its "normal," i.e., physiological, functions. While it is easy to dismiss $A\beta$ as just being a toxic byproduct because of its role in AD, the interplay between $A\beta$, activity, and synaptic function, among other things, suggests that the peptide has a role to play under non-pathological conditions (Brothers et al., 2018; Galanis et al., 2021; Martinsson et al., 2022). Similarly, the physiological role of APP remains unclear but also likely plays a role in synaptic function (Martinsson et al., 2019). Moreover, because protein aggregation is a big part of the pathology of AD as well as other neurodegenerative diseases, the topic of functional amyloids is also worth considering (Otzen and Riek, 2019). In sum, the understanding of "normal" functions can help guide treatment strategies but also optimization as further disruption of physiological function could decrease the effectiveness of a treatment (Bishop and Robinson, 2004).

The next experiments

It feels like there are a near infinite number of questions that can be asked as followup to the work presented here. Some of these unanswered questions were raised by the reviewers during peer review but never got addressed then because they involved impractical – for one reason or another – experiments. Others are questions that we would have liked to explore and include in our paper but never managed to do so – again, for one reason or another. Regardless, after being involved in the papers included in this thesis, there remain some areas that this author would like to explore further (if time and money allowed).

Of particular interest is the exploration of selective vulnerability and the role of neuroinflammatory system plays in driving the pathology in those vulnerable regions. For example, interneurons are a vulnerable cell population in AD, and their loss has far-reaching, network-level consequences. Uncovering whether there are region-specific markers that are involved in the interactions between cell types, namely microglia and astrocytes with neurons, particularly at synapses, could have therapeutic implications, assuming such markers exist. In addition, studying this in human tissue would be extremely insightful and desired, but mouse models would allow for manipulations, such as intracerebral injections, and study of the sequalae. One such manipulation could be modulating activity in a specific region as microglial and astrocytic activity and the production of $A\beta$ both have an activity-dependent component.

A common question asked at a PhD defense is what experiments the PhD student would do if they had a large amount of money to do so. In that scenario, some kind of -omics experiment could be on the table, though consideration needs to be given to the large amount of data that -omics produces and the time and effort needed to work through it. Still, as a continuation to this PhD work, it would be interesting to analyze the molecular signatures of different cell populations, such as interneurons, microglia, and astrocytes, in AD vulnerable brain regions using the newer knock-in mouse models. Like with the other work in this thesis, the focus would be on the earliest stages of intraneuronal A β accumulation and appearance of extracellular, wispy aggregated pre-plaque A β . Overall, with the development and increasing availability of knock-in mouse models of AD, more experiments remain to be done in those models as they may give more translatable insight into the earliest molecular and cellular events in AD.

Concluding remarks

The overall aim of this PhD work was to uncover any neuroinflammatory and $A\beta$ alterations, mainly related to intracellular $A\beta$ and $A\beta$ aggregation, in early AD. Factors that may modulate pathogenesis and their mechanisms were also investigated experimentally. Ultimately, an increased understanding of how AD develops and progresses in early stages may guide the development of effective AD treatment strategies that can, one day, slow or prevent the disease.

References

- Abbott NJ et al. 2006. Astrocyte–endothelial interactions at the blood–brain barrier. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 7:41–53. doi:10.1038/nrn1824
- Allen Brain Atlas. 2023. BDA/AAV Comparison. https://connectivity.brainmap.org/bda-aav
- Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas. 2014. BDA VS. rAAV Comparison (Technical white paper).
- Alliot F et al. 1999. Microglia derive from progenitors, originating from the yolk sac, and which proliferate in the brain. *Dev Brain Res* **117**:145–152. doi:10.1016/S0165-3806(99)00113-3
- Alzforum. n.d. Mutations Database. https://www.alzforum.org/mutations
- Alzheimer A. 1907. Über eine eigenartige Erkrankung der Hirnrinde. *Allg Z Psychiatr Psych-Gerichtl Med* **64**:146–148.
- Alzheimer A. 1906. Über einen eigenartigen schweren Er Krankungsprozeb der Hirnrinde. *Neurol Cent* **23**:1129–1136.
- Andrews SJ et al. 2019. Protective Variants in Alzheimer's Disease. *Curr Genet* Med Rep 7:1–12. doi:10.1007/s40142-019-0156-2
- Arboleda-Velasquez JF et al. 2019. Resistance to autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease in an APOE3 Christchurch homozygote: a case report. *Nat Med* 25:1680–1683. doi:10.1038/s41591-019-0611-3
- Arendt T et al. 2015. Brain hypometabolism triggers PHF-like phosphorylation of tau, a major hallmark of Alzheimer's disease pathology. *J Neural Transm* 122:531–539. doi:10.1007/s00702-014-1342-8
- Asami-Odaka A et al. 1995. Long Amyloid β-Protein Secreted from Wild-Type Human Neuroblastoma IMR-32 Cells. *Biochemistry* **34**:10272–10278. doi:10.1021/bi00032a022
- Augusti-Tocco G, Sato G. 1969. Establishment of functional clonal lines of neurons from mouse neuroblastoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 64:311– 315.
- Baker E et al. 2023. What does heritability of Alzheimer's disease represent? *PLOS ONE* **18**:e0281440. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0281440

- Ball MJ. 1978. Topographic distribution of neurofibrillary tangles and granulovacuolar degeneration in hippocampal cortex of aging and demented patients. A quantitative study. *Acta Neuropathol (Berl)* 42:73– 80. doi:10.1007/BF00690970
- Beam CR et al. 2018. Differences Between Women and Men in Incidence Rates of Dementia and Alzheimer's Disease. J Alzheimers Dis 64:1077–1083. doi:10.3233/JAD-180141
- Bellaver B et al. 2021. Astrocyte Biomarkers in Alzheimer Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Neurology* **96**:e2944–e2955. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000012109
- Bellenguez C et al. 2022. New insights into the genetic etiology of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias. *Nat Genet* 1–25. doi:10.1038/s41588-022-01024-z
- Bellou V et al. 2017. Systematic evaluation of the associations between environmental risk factors and dementia: An umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Alzheimers Dement* **13**:406–418. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2016.07.152
- Ben-David U et al. 2018. Genetic and transcriptional evolution alters cancer cell line drug response. *Nature* **560**:325–330. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0409-3
- Berchtold NC, Cotman CW. 1998. Evolution in the Conceptualization of Dementia and Alzheimer's Disease: Greco-Roman Period to the 1960s. *Neurobiol Aging* **19**:173–189. doi:10.1016/S0197-4580(98)00052-9
- Bergem ALM et al. 1997. The Role of Heredity in Late-Onset Alzheimer Disease and Vascular Dementia: A Twin Study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **54**:264–270. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.1997.01830150090013
- Bernier L-P et al. 2019. Nanoscale Surveillance of the Brain by Microglia via cAMP-Regulated Filopodia. *Cell Rep* 27:2895-2908.e4. doi:10/ggq4k6
- Berrios GE. 1986. Presbyophrenia: the rise and fall of a concept. *Psychol Med* **16**:267–275. doi:10.1017/S0033291700009089
- Bettcher BM et al. 2021. Peripheral and central immune system crosstalk in Alzheimer disease a research prospectus. *Nat Rev Neurol* **17**:689–701. doi:10.1038/s41582-021-00549-x
- Bishop GM, Robinson SR. 2004. Physiological Roles of Amyloid-β and Implications for its Removal in Alzheimer's Disease. *Drugs Aging* **21**:621–630. doi:10.2165/00002512-200421100-00001
- Blocq P, Marinescu G. 1892. Sur les lésions et la pathogénie de l'épilepsie dite essentielle. *Sem Médicale*.
- Blom ES et al. 2008. Low prevalence of APP duplications in Swedish and Finnish patients with early-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Eur J Hum Genet* **16**:171–175. doi:10.1038/sj.ejhg.5201966

- Bolmont T et al. 2008. Dynamics of the Microglial/Amyloid Interaction Indicate a Role in Plaque Maintenance. *J Neurosci* **28**:4283–4292. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4814-07.2008
- Boyles JK et al. 1985. Apolipoprotein E associated with astrocytic glia of the central nervous system and with nonmyelinating glia of the peripheral nervous system. *J Clin Invest* **76**:1501–1513. doi:10.1172/JCI112130
- Boza-Serrano A et al. 2022. Galectin-3 is elevated in CSF and is associated with A β deposits and tau aggregates in brain tissue in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol (Berl)*. doi:10.1007/s00401-022-02469-6
- Boza-Serrano A et al. 2018. Innate immune alterations are elicited in microglial cells before plaque deposition in the Alzheimer's disease mouse model 5xFAD. *Sci Rep* **8**:1550. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-19699-y
- Braak H et al. 2006. Staging of Alzheimer disease-associated neurofibrillary pathology using paraffin sections and immunocytochemistry. *Acta Neuropathol (Berl)* **112**:389–404. doi:10.1007/s00401-006-0127-z
- Braak H, Braak E. 1991. Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer-related changes. *Acta Neuropathol (Berl)* 82:239–259. doi:10.1007/BF00308809
- Brandt HM, Apkarian AV. 1992. Biotin-dextran: a sensitive anterograde tracer for neuroanatomic studies in rat and monkey. *J Neurosci Methods* **45**:35–40. doi:10.1016/0165-0270(92)90041-B
- Brothers HM et al. 2018. The Physiological Roles of Amyloid-β Peptide Hint at New Ways to Treat Alzheimer's Disease. *Front Aging Neurosci* **10**:118. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2018.00118
- Carson MJ et al. 2006. CNS immune privilege: hiding in plain sight. *Immunol Rev* **213**:48–65. doi:10.1111/j.1600-065X.2006.00441.x
- Cattane N et al. 2022. Preclinical animal models of mental illnesses to translate findings from the bench to the bedside: Molecular brain mechanisms and peripheral biomarkers associated to early life stress or immune challenges. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* **58**:55–79. doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2022.02.002
- Cavazzoni P. 2021. FDA's Decision to Approve New Treatment for Alzheimer's Disease. *FDA*. https://www.fda.gov/drugs/news-events-human-drugs/fdas-decision-approve-new-treatment-alzheimers-disease
- Cheng-Hathaway PJ et al. 2018. The Trem2 R47H variant confers loss-offunction-like phenotypes in Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurodegener* **13**:29. doi:10.1186/s13024-018-0262-8
- Christensen DZ et al. 2009. Formic acid is essential for immunohistochemical detection of aggregated intraneuronal Aβ peptides in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Res* **1301**:116–125. doi:10/fqdpkv

- Cline EN et al. 2018. The Amyloid-β Oligomer Hypothesis: Beginning of the Third Decade. *J Alzheimers Dis* **64**:S567–S610. doi:10.3233/JAD-179941
- Condello C et al. 2015. Microglia constitute a barrier that prevents neurotoxic protofibrillar A β 42 hotspots around plaques. *Nat Commun* **6**:6176. doi:10/gg8685
- Corbo RM, Scacchi R. 1999. Apolipoprotein E (APOE) allele distribution in the world. Is APOE*4 a 'thrifty' allele? *Ann Hum Genet* **63**:301–310. doi:10.1046/j.1469-1809.1999.6340301.x
- Corder EH et al. 1994. Protective effect of apolipoprotein E type 2 allele for late onset Alzheimer disease. *Nat Genet* 7:180–184. doi:10.1038/ng0694-180
- Corder EH et al. 1993. Gene Dose of Apolipoprotein E Type 4 Allele and the Risk of Alzheimer's Disease in Late Onset Families. *Science* **261**:921–923. doi:10.1126/science.8346443
- Crismon ML. 1994. Tacrine: First Drug Approved for Alzheimer's Disease. Ann Pharmacother 28:744–751. doi:10.1177/106002809402800612
- Cruchaga C et al. 2018. Polygenic risk score of sporadic late-onset Alzheimer's disease reveals a shared architecture with the familial and early-onset forms. *Alzheimers Dement* 14:205–214. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2017.08.013
- D'Andrea MR et al. 2004. The microglial phagocytic role with specific plaque types in the Alzheimer disease brain. *Neurobiol Aging*, Challenging Views of Alzheimer's Disease - Round II **25**:675–683. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2003.12.026
- D'Andrea MR et al. 2001. Evidence that neurones accumulating amyloid can undergo lysis to form amyloid plaques in Alzheimer's disease. *Histopathology* **38**:120–134. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2559.2001.01082.x
- De Strooper B. 2007. Loss-of-function presenilin mutations in Alzheimer disease. *EMBO Rep* 8:141–146. doi:10.1038/sj.embor.7400897
- Diniz BS et al. 2013. Late-life depression and risk of vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of communitybased cohort studies. *Br J Psychiatry* **202**:329–335. doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.112.118307
- Dovey HF et al. 1993. Cells with a familial Alzheimer's disease mutation produce authentic β-peptide. *NeuroReport* **4**:1039.
- Durkee CA, Araque A. 2019. DIVERSITY AND SPECIFICITY OF ASTROCYTE-NEURON COMMUNICATION. *Neuroscience* **396**:73– 78. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2018.11.010
- Edrey YH et al. 2013. Amyloid beta and the longest-lived rodent: the naked molerat as a model for natural protection from Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* **34**:2352–2360. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.03.032

- Edwards G et al. 2017. Amyloid-beta and tau pathology following repetitive mild traumatic brain injury. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, SI: Neurodegeneration **483**:1137–1142. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.07.123
- Eikelenboom P, Stam FC. 1982. Immunoglobulins and complement factors in senile plaques. *Acta Neuropathol (Berl)* **57**:239–242. doi:10.1007/BF00685397
- Eikelenboom P, Veerhuis R. 1996. The role of complement and activated microglia in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging*, Inflammatory Mechanisms and Anti-Inflammatory Therapy in Alzheimer's Disease **17**:673–680. doi:10.1016/0197-4580(96)00108-X
- Engelhardt B, Coisne C. 2011. Fluids and barriers of the CNS establish immune privilege by confining immune surveillance to a two-walled castle moat surrounding the CNS castle. *Fluids Barriers CNS* **8**:4. doi:10.1186/2045-8118-8-4
- EU Parliament and Council. 2010. Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes.
- Farrer LA et al. 1997. Effects of Age, Sex, and Ethnicity on the Association Between Apolipoprotein E Genotype and Alzheimer Disease: A Metaanalysis. *JAMA* 278:1349–1356. doi:10.1001/jama.1997.03550160069041
- Feng G et al. 2000. Imaging Neuronal Subsets in Transgenic Mice Expressing Multiple Spectral Variants of GFP. *Neuron* 28:41–51. doi:10/c9k4z5
- Ferretti MT et al. 2018. Sex differences in Alzheimer disease the gateway to precision medicine. *Nat Rev Neurol* **14**:457–469. doi:10.1038/s41582-018-0032-9
- Fischer O. 1912. Ein weiterer Beitrag zur Klinik und Pathologie der presbyophrenen Demenz. Z Für Gesamte Neurol Psychiatr 12:99–134. doi:10.1007/BF02866372
- Fischer O. 1910. Die presbyophrene demenz, deren anatomische grundlage und klinische Abgrenzung. Z Für Gesamte Neurol Psychiatr **3**:371–471. doi:10.1007/BF02893605
- Fischer O. 1907. Miliare Nekrosen mit drusigen Wucherungen der Neurofibrillen, eine regelmässige Veränderung der Hirnrinde bei seniler Demenz. *Jahrb Für Psychiatr Neurol* 22:361–372. doi:10.1159/000211873
- Fleminger S et al. 2003. Head injury as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease: the evidence 10 years on; a partial replication. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 74:857–862. doi:10.1136/jnnp.74.7.857
- Franklin KBJ, Paxinos G. 1997. The mouse brain in stereotaxic coordinates. San Diego: Academic Press.

- Friedrich RP et al. 2010. Mechanism of amyloid plaque formation suggests an intracellular basis of Aβ pathogenicity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**:1942–1947. doi:10.1073/pnas.0904532106
- Fritzsch B. 1993. Fast axonal diffusion of 3000 molecular weight dextran amines. *J Neurosci Methods* **50**:95–103. doi:10.1016/0165-0270(93)90060-5
- Gail Canter R et al. 2019. 3D mapping reveals network-specific amyloid progression and subcortical susceptibility in mice. *Commun Biol* **2**:360. doi:10/ggbmxp
- Galanis C et al. 2021. Amyloid-Beta Mediates Homeostatic Synaptic Plasticity. J Neurosci 41:5157–5172. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1820-20.2021
- Gatz M et al. 2006. Role of Genes and Environments for Explaining Alzheimer Disease. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **63**:168–174. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.63.2.168
- Glenner GG, Wong CW. 1984. Alzheimer's disease: Initial report of the purification and characterization of a novel cerebrovascular amyloid protein. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **120**:885–890. doi:10.1016/S0006-291X(84)80190-4
- Goedert M. 2009. Oskar Fischer and the study of dementia. *Brain* **132**:1102–1111. doi:10.1093/brain/awn256
- Gouras GK et al. 2012. Critical role of intraneuronal Aβ in Alzheimer's disease: Technical challenges in studying intracellular Aβ. *Life Sci* **91**:1153–1158. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2012.06.004
- Gouras GK et al. 2010. Intraneuronal β-amyloid accumulation and synapse pathology in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol (Berl)* **119**:523–541. doi:10/fdwcvm
- Gouras GK et al. 2005. Intraneuronal Aβ accumulation and origin of plaques in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* **26**:1235–1244. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2005.05.022
- Gouras GK et al. 2000. Intraneuronal Aβ42 accumulation in human brain. *Am J Pathol* **156**:15–20. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9440(10)64700-1
- Greicius MD et al. 2004. Default-mode network activity distinguishes Alzheimer's disease from healthy aging: Evidence from functional MRI. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **101**:4637–4642. doi:10.1073/pnas.0308627101
- Greicius MD et al. 2003. Functional connectivity in the resting brain: A network analysis of the default mode hypothesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **100**:253–258. doi:10.1073/pnas.0135058100
- Gudala K et al. 2013. Diabetes mellitus and risk of dementia: A meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. *J Diabetes Investig* **4**:640–650. doi:10.1111/jdi.12087

- Guerreiro R et al. 2013a. SnapShot: Genetics of Alzheimer's Disease. *Cell* **155**:968-968.e1. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.10.037
- Guerreiro R et al. 2013b. TREM2 Variants in Alzheimer's Disease. *N Engl J Med* **368**:117–127. doi:10/gghwbt
- Gustavsson A et al. 2023. Global estimates on the number of persons across the Alzheimer's disease continuum. *Alzheimers Dement* **19**:658–670. doi:10.1002/alz.12694
- Gutbier S et al. 2018. Major changes of cell function and toxicant sensitivity in cultured cells undergoing mild, quasi-natural genetic drift. *Arch Toxicol* **92**:3487–3503. doi:10.1007/s00204-018-2326-5
- Haga S et al. 1989. Demonstration of microglial cells in and around senile (neuritic) plaques in the Alzheimer brain. Acta Neuropathol (Berl) 77:569–575. doi:10.1007/BF00687883
- Hagan C. 2017. When are mice considered old? Jackson Lab.
- Han RT et al. 2021. Astrocyte-immune cell interactions in physiology and pathology. *Immunity* **54**:211–224. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2021.01.013
- Hardy J, Allsop D. 1991. Amyloid deposition as the central event in the aetiology of Alzheimer's disease. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **12**:383–388. doi:10.1016/0165-6147(91)90609-V
- Hardy JA, Higgins GA. 1992. Alzheimer's Disease: The Amyloid Cascade Hypothesis. *Science*.
- Harrison BJ et al. 2008. Consistency and functional specialization in the default mode brain network. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **105**:9781–9786. doi:10.1073/pnas.0711791105
- Hartmann T et al. 1997. Distinct sites of intracellular production for Alzheimer's disease Aβ40/42 amyloid peptides. *Nat Med* **3**:1016–1020. doi:10.1038/nm0997-1016
- Haupts U et al. 1998. Dynamics of fluorescence fluctuations in green fluorescent protein observed by fluorescence correlation spectroscopy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 95:13573–13578. doi:10.1073/pnas.95.23.13573
- Head E, Gunn-Moore D. 2017. Neuropathology of Feline Dementia In: Landsberg G et al., editors. Canine and Feline Dementia: Molecular Basis, Diagnostics and Therapy. Cham: Springer International Publishing. pp. 103–111. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-53219-6_6
- Hjärnfonden. 2021. Vad är Alzheimers sjukdom? *Hjärnfonden*. https://www.hjarnfonden.se/om-hjarnan/diagnoser/alzheimers/
- Hong S et al. 2016. Complement and microglia mediate early synapse loss in Alzheimer mouse models. *Science* **352**:712–716. doi:10.1126/science.aad8373

- Huang Y et al. 2021. Microglia use TAM receptors to detect and engulf amyloid β plaques. *Nat Immunol* 1–9. doi:10.1038/s41590-021-00913-5
- Hyman BT, Trojanowski JQ. 1997. Editorial on Consensus Recommendations for the Postmortem Diagnosis of Alzheimer Disease from the National Institute on Aging and the Reagan Institute Working Group on Diagnostic Criteria for the Neuropathological Assessment of Alzheimer Disease. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 56:1095–1097. doi:10.1097/00005072-199710000-00002
- in 't Veld BA et al. 2001. Nonsteroidal Antiinflammatory Drugs and the Risk of Alzheimer's Disease. *N Engl J Med* **345**:1515–1521. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa010178
- Jonsson T et al. 2013. Variant of TREM2 Associated with the Risk of Alzheimer's Disease. *N Engl J Med* **368**:107–116. doi:10/gf9mhh
- Jonsson T et al. 2012. A mutation in APP protects against Alzheimer's disease and age-related cognitive decline. *Nature* **488**:96–99. doi:10.1038/nature11283
- Jordbruksverket. 2022. Försöksdjur. https://jordbruksverket.se/djur/ovrigadjur/forsoksdjur-och-djurforsok/forsoksdjur
- Jordbruksverket. 2019. Föreskrifter och allmänna råd om försöksdjur SJVFS 2019:9.
- Jung CKE et al. 2015. Fibrillar Amyloid Plaque Formation Precedes Microglial Activation. *PLOS ONE* **10**:e0119768. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119768
- Kaar A et al. 2017. An efficient and cost-effective method of generating postnatal (P2–5) mouse primary hippocampal neuronal cultures. *J Neurosci Methods* **286**:69–77. doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2017.05.020
- Kai H et al. 2012. Enhanced Antigen Retrieval of Amyloid β
 Immunohistochemistry: Re-evaluation of Amyloid β Pathology in
 Alzheimer Disease and Its Mouse Model. J Histochem Cytochem.
 doi:10/f4dvng
- Kalimo H et al. 2013. The Arctic AβPP mutation leads to Alzheimer's disease pathology with highly variable topographic deposition of differentially truncated Aβ. *Acta Neuropathol Commun* **1**:60. doi:10.1186/2051-5960-1-60
- Kang SS et al. 2018. Microglial translational profiling reveals a convergent APOE pathway from aging, amyloid, and tau. *J Exp Med* **215**:2235–2245. doi:10.1084/jem.20180653
- Karch CM, Goate AM. 2015. Alzheimer's Disease Risk Genes and Mechanisms of Disease Pathogenesis. *Biol Psychiatry*, The New Psychiatric Genetics: Toward Next Generation Diagnosis and Treatment 77:43–51. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.05.006

- Keane M et al. 2015. Insights into the Evolution of Longevity from the Bowhead Whale Genome. *Cell Rep* **10**:112–122. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.12.008
- Kelényi G. 1967. Thioflavin S fluorescent and congo red anisotropic stainings in the histologic demonstration of amyloid. Acta Neuropathol (Berl) 7:336– 348. doi:10.1007/BF00688089
- Kern S et al. 2015. The Distribution of Apolipoprotein E Genotype Over The Adult Lifespan and in Relation to Country of Birth. Am J Epidemiol 181:214–217. doi:10.1093/aje/kwu442
- Khan UA et al. 2014. Molecular drivers and cortical spread of lateral entorhinal cortex dysfunction in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Neurosci* **17**:304–311. doi:10/ggqp85
- Kitamoto T et al. 1987. Formic acid pretreatment enhances immunostaining of cerebral and systemic amyloids. *Lab Investig J Tech Methods Pathol* **57**:230–236.
- Kobro-Flatmoen A et al. 2016. Reelin-immunoreactive neurons in entorhinal cortex layer II selectively express intracellular amyloid in early Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Dis* **93**:172–183. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2016.05.012
- Konishi H et al. 2020. Astrocytic phagocytosis is a compensatory mechanism for microglial dysfunction. *EMBO J* **39**:e104464. doi:10.15252/embj.2020104464
- Kraepelin E. 1910. Psychiatrie Ein Lehrbuch für Studierende und Ärzte, 8th ed. Leipzig: Barth.
- Kummer MP, Heneka MT. 2014. Truncated and modified amyloid-beta species. *Alzheimers Res Ther* **6**:28. doi:10/gcbsn6
- Lane CA et al. 2017. Alzheimer's disease. *Eur J Neurol* **25**:59–70. doi:10.1111/ene.13439
- Lane-Donovan C, Herz J. 2017. ApoE, ApoE receptors, and the Synapse in Alzheimer's Disease. *Trends Endocrinol Metab TEM* **28**:273–284. doi:10.1016/j.tem.2016.12.001
- Lanfranco MF et al. 2021. Expression and secretion of apoE isoforms in astrocytes and microglia during inflammation. *Glia* **69**:1478–1493. doi:10.1002/glia.23974
- Lee J-H et al. 2022. Faulty autolysosome acidification in Alzheimer's disease mouse models induces autophagic build-up of Aβ in neurons, yielding senile plaques. *Nat Neurosci* 1–14. doi:10.1038/s41593-022-01084-8
- Lim U et al. 2022. Risk of Alzheimer's disease and related dementia by sex and race/ethnicity: The Multiethnic Cohort Study. *Alzheimers Dement* **18**:1625–1634. doi:10.1002/alz.12528
- Liu C-C et al. 2021. APOE3-Jacksonville (V236E) variant reduces selfaggregation and risk of dementia. *Sci Transl Med* **13**:eabc9375. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.abc9375
- Liu Y et al. 2016. On the Dependency of Cellular Protein Levels on mRNA Abundance. *Cell* **165**:535–550. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.03.014
- Llamas-Rodríguez J et al. 2022. Entorhinal Subfield Vulnerability to Neurofibrillary Tangles in Aging and the Preclinical Stage of Alzheimer's Disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* **87**:1379–1399. doi:10.3233/JAD-215567
- Lopera F et al. 2023. Resilience to autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease in a Reelin-COLBOS heterozygous man. *Nat Med* **29**:1243–1252. doi:10.1038/s41591-023-02318-3
- Maccora J et al. 2020. What does (low) education mean in terms of dementia risk? A systematic review and meta-analysis highlighting inconsistency in measuring and operationalising education. SSM - Popul Health 12:100654. doi:10.1016/j.ssmph.2020.100654
- Mackenzie IR et al. 1995. Role of microglia in senile plaque formation. *Neurobiol Aging* **16**:797–804. doi:10/fs6f83
- Martinsson I et al. 2022. Aβ/Amyloid Precursor Protein-Induced Hyperexcitability and Dysregulation of Homeostatic Synaptic Plasticity in Neuron Models of Alzheimer's Disease. *Front Aging Neurosci* **14**.
- Martinsson I et al. 2019. APP depletion alters selective pre- and post-synaptic proteins. *Mol Cell Neurosci* **95**:86–95. doi:10/ggktnr
- Mathys H et al. 2019. Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* **570**:332–337. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1195-2
- Maurer K et al. 1997. Auguste D and Alzheimer's disease. *The Lancet* **349**:1546–1549. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(96)10203-8
- McGeer PL et al. 1987. Reactive microglia in patients with senile dementia of the Alzheimer type are positive for the histocompatibility glycoprotein HLA-DR. *Neurosci Lett* **79**:195–200. doi:10.1016/0304-3940(87)90696-3
- Medway CW et al. 2014. ApoE variant p.V236E is associated with markedly reduced risk of Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurodegener* **9**:11. doi:10.1186/1750-1326-9-11
- Mentis A-FA et al. 2021. Non-genetic risk and protective factors and biomarkers for neurological disorders: a meta-umbrella systematic review of umbrella reviews. *BMC Med* **19**:6. doi:10.1186/s12916-020-01873-7
- Mevel K et al. 2011. The Default Mode Network in Healthy Aging and Alzheimer's Disease. *Int J Alzheimers Dis* **2011**:535816. doi:10.4061/2011/535816

- Meyer P-F et al. 2019. INTREPAD: A randomized trial of naproxen to slow progress of presymptomatic Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* **92**:e2070. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000007232
- Mielke MM et al. 2014. Clinical epidemiology of Alzheimer's disease: assessing sex and gender differences. *Clin Epidemiol* **6**:37–48. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S37929
- Mori C et al. 2002. Intraneuronal Aβ42 accumulation in Down syndrome brain. *Amyloid* 9:88–102. doi:10/gmqgv9
- Mormino EC, Papp KV. 2018. Amyloid accumulation and cognitive decline in clinically normal older individuals: implications for aging and early Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis JAD* **64**:S633–S646. doi:10.3233/JAD-179928
- Nakagawa S, Cuthill IC. 2007. Effect size, confidence interval and statistical significance: a practical guide for biologists. *Biol Rev* 82:591–605. doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.2007.00027.x
- Nebel RA et al. 2018. Understanding the impact of sex and gender in Alzheimer's disease: A call to action. *Alzheimers Dement* **14**:1171–1183. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2018.04.008
- Nelson PT et al. 2012. Correlation of Alzheimer Disease Neuropathologic Changes With Cognitive Status: A Review of the Literature. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 71:362–381. doi:10.1097/NEN.0b013e31825018f7
- Nichols E et al. 2022. Estimation of the global prevalence of dementia in 2019 and forecasted prevalence in 2050: an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet Public Health* 7:e105–e125. doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00249-8
- Nimmerjahn A et al. 2005. Resting Microglial Cells Are Highly Dynamic Surveillants of Brain Parenchyma in Vivo. *Science* **308**:6. doi:10.1126/science.1110647
- Nixon RA. 2007. Autophagy, amyloidogenesis and Alzheimer disease. *J Cell Sci* **120**:4081–4091. doi:10.1242/jcs.019265
- Oakley H et al. 2006. Intraneuronal β-Amyloid Aggregates, Neurodegeneration, and Neuron Loss in Transgenic Mice with Five Familial Alzheimer's Disease Mutations: Potential Factors in Amyloid Plaque Formation. J Neurosci 26:10129–10140. doi:10/bx3d6k
- Oddo S et al. 2006. A Dynamic Relationship between Intracellular and Extracellular Pools of Aβ. *Am J Pathol* **168**:184–194. doi:10.2353/ajpath.2006.050593

- Office of the Commissioner. 2023a. FDA Grants Accelerated Approval for Alzheimer's Disease Treatment. *FDA*. https://www.fda.gov/newsevents/press-announcements/fda-grants-accelerated-approval-alzheimersdisease-treatment
- Office of the Commissioner. 2023b. FDA Converts Novel Alzheimer's Disease Treatment to Traditional Approval. *FDA*. https://www.fda.gov/newsevents/press-announcements/fda-converts-novel-alzheimers-diseasetreatment-traditional-approval
- Ohgami T et al. 1991. Increased senile plaques without microglia in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol (Berl)* **81**:242–247. doi:10.1007/BF00305864
- Olmos-Alonso A et al. 2016. Pharmacological targeting of CSF1R inhibits microglial proliferation and prevents the progression of Alzheimer's-like pathology. *Brain* **139**:891–907. doi:10.1093/brain/awv379
- Olsson TT et al. 2018. Prion-like seeding and nucleation of intracellular amyloidβ. *Neurobiol Dis* **113**:1–10. doi:10/gcz9nr
- Otzen D, Riek R. 2019. Functional Amyloids. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 11:a033860. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a033860
- Palmqvist S et al. 2017. Earliest accumulation of β -amyloid occurs within the default-mode network and concurrently affects brain connectivity. *Nat Commun* **8**:1214. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01150-x
- Paolicelli RC et al. 2022. Microglia states and nomenclature: A field at its crossroads. *Neuron* **110**:3458–3483. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2022.10.020
- Parhizkar S et al. 2019. Loss of TREM2 function increases amyloid seeding but reduces plaque-associated ApoE. *Nat Neurosci* **22**:191–204. doi:10/gfsvzs
- Parhizkar S, Holtzman DM. 2022. APOE mediated neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease. *Semin Immunol* 101594. doi:10.1016/j.smim.2022.101594
- Paul R et al. 2021. INVOKE-2: A phase 2 randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of AL002 in participants with early Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 17:e054615. doi:10.1002/alz.054615
- Pensalfini A et al. 2014. Intracellular amyloid and the neuronal origin of Alzheimer neuritic plaques. *Neurobiol Dis* **71**:53–61. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2014.07.011
- Perl K et al. 2017. Reduced changes in protein compared to mRNA levels across non-proliferating tissues. *BMC Genomics* **18**:305. doi:10.1186/s12864-017-3683-9

- Petit D et al. 2022. Aβ profiles generated by Alzheimer's disease causing PSEN1 variants determine the pathogenicity of the mutation and predict age at disease onset. *Mol Psychiatry* **27**:2821–2832. doi:10.1038/s41380-022-01518-6
- Pitas RE et al. 1987. Astrocytes synthesize apolipoprotein E and metabolize apolipoprotein E-containing lipoproteins. *Biochim Biophys Acta BBA* -*Lipids Lipid Metab* **917**:148–161. doi:10.1016/0005-2760(87)90295-5
- Plassman BL et al. 2000. Documented head injury in early adulthood and risk of Alzheimer's disease and other dementias. *Neurology* **55**:1158–1166. doi:10.1212/WNL.55.8.1158
- Poirier J et al. 1993. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease. *The Lancet* **342**:697–699. doi:10.1016/0140-6736(93)91705-Q
- Prince M et al. 2015. Alzheimer's disease international: World alzheimer report 2015: The global impact of dementia: an analysis of prevalence, incidence, cost and trends. 2015. *Alzheimer's Dis Int Lond*.
- Principles of Scientific Imaging. n.d. . *ImageJ Wiki*. https://imagej.github.io/imaging/principles
- Prokop S et al. 2019. Impact of TREM2 risk variants on brain region-specific immune activation and plaque microenvironment in Alzheimer's disease patient brain samples. *Acta Neuropathol (Berl)* **138**:613–630. doi:10/ggznsv
- Raichle ME et al. 2001. A default mode of brain function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **98**:676–682. doi:10.1073/pnas.98.2.676
- Rajendran L, Annaert W. 2012. Membrane Trafficking Pathways in Alzheimer's Disease. *Traffic* **13**:759–770. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2012.01332.x
- Redlich E. 1898. Über miliare Sklerose der Hirnrinde bei seniler Atrophie. *Jahrb Für Psychiatr Neurol* 17:208–216.
- Reiner A et al. 2000. Pathway tracing using biotinylated dextran amines. J Neurosci Methods 103:23–37. doi:10.1016/S0165-0270(00)00293-4
- Reitz C et al. 2020. Late-onset vs nonmendelian early-onset Alzheimer disease: A distinction without a difference? *Neurol Genet* **6**. doi:10.1212/NXG.00000000000512
- Rich JB et al. 1995. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* **45**:51–55. doi:10.1212/WNL.45.1.51
- Ridge PG et al. 2016. Assessment of the genetic variance of late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* **41**:200.e13-200.e20. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2016.02.024

- Rovelet-Lecrux A et al. 2006. APP locus duplication causes autosomal dominant early-onset Alzheimer disease with cerebral amyloid angiopathy. *Nat Genet* **38**:24–26. doi:10.1038/ng1718
- Saito T et al. 2014. Single App knock-in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Neurosci* 17:661–663. doi:10/f5x7kw
- Sando SB et al. 2008. APOE ε4 lowers age at onset and is a high risk factor for Alzheimer's disease; A case control study from central Norway. *BMC Neurol* **8**:9. doi:10.1186/1471-2377-8-9
- Schafer DP et al. 2013. The "quad-partite" synapse: microglia-synapse interactions in the developing and mature CNS. *Glia* **61**:24–36. doi:10.1002/glia.22389
- Schafer DP, Stevens B. 2015. Microglia Function in Central Nervous System Development and Plasticity. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 7:a020545– a020545. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a020545
- Scherr M et al. 2021. Effective connectivity in the default mode network is distinctively disrupted in Alzheimer's disease—A simultaneous restingstate FDG-PET/fMRI study. *Hum Brain Mapp* **42**:4134–4143. doi:10.1002/hbm.24517
- Schneider ALC et al. 2021. Head injury and 25-year risk of dementia. *Alzheimers Dement* 17:1432–1441. doi:10.1002/alz.12315
- Serrano-Pozo A et al. 2015. APOEε2 is associated with milder clinical and pathological Alzheimer disease. *Ann Neurol* **77**:917–929. doi:10.1002/ana.24369
- Seshadri S et al. 1997. Lifetime risk of dementia and Alzheimer's disease: The impact of mortality on risk estimates in the Framingham Study. *Neurology* 49:1498–1504. doi:10.1212/WNL.49.6.1498
- Sevigny J et al. 2016. The antibody aducanumab reduces A β plaques in Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* **537**:50–56. doi:10/f8zrpz
- Sheng JG et al. 1997. Neuritic plaque evolution in Alzheimer's disease is accompanied by transition of activated microglia from primed to enlarged to phagocytic forms. *Acta Neuropathol (Berl)* **94**:1–5. doi:10/b974zv
- Shibata H. 1989. Descending projections to the mammillary nuclei in the rat, as studied by retrograde and anterograde transport of wheat germ agglutinin-horseradish peroxidase. *J Comp Neurol* **285**:436–452. doi:10.1002/cne.902850403
- Shinoda H et al. 2018. Fluorescent Proteins for Investigating Biological Events in Acidic Environments. *Int J Mol Sci* **19**:1548. doi:10.3390/ijms19061548
- Sirkis DW et al. 2022. Dissecting the clinical heterogeneity of early-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Psychiatry* **27**:2674–2688. doi:10.1038/s41380-022-01531-9

- Sleegers K et al. 2006. APP duplication is sufficient to cause early onset Alzheimer's dementia with cerebral amyloid angiopathy. *Brain J Neurol* **129**:2977–2983. doi:10.1093/brain/awl203
- Small SA, Swanson LW. 2018. A Network Explanation of Alzheimer's Regional Vulnerability. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 83:193–200. doi:10.1101/sqb.2018.83.036889

Sofroniew MV, Vinters HV. 2010. Astrocytes: biology and pathology. *Acta Neuropathol (Berl)* **119**:7–35. doi:10.1007/s00401-009-0619-8

- Sosna J et al. 2018. Early long-term administration of the CSF1R inhibitor PLX3397 ablates microglia and reduces accumulation of intraneuronal amyloid, neuritic plaque deposition and pre-fibrillar oligomers in 5XFAD mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurodegener* **13**:11. doi:10/ggks6n
- Stalder M et al. 1999. Association of Microglia with Amyloid Plaques in Brains of APP23 Transgenic Mice. *Am J Pathol* **154**:1673–1684.
- Stelzmann RA et al. 1995. An english translation of alzheimer's 1907 paper, "über eine eigenartige erkankung der hirnrinde." *Clin Anat* **8**:429–431. doi:10.1002/ca.980080612
- Stranahan AM, Mattson MP. 2010. Selective Vulnerability of Neurons in Layer II of the Entorhinal Cortex during Aging and Alzheimer's Disease. *Neural Plast*.
- Takahashi RH et al. 2002. Intraneuronal Alzheimer Aβ42 Accumulates in Multivesicular Bodies and Is Associated with Synaptic Pathology. *Am J Pathol* **161**:1869–1879. doi:10/bbcpg5
- Terry RD et al. 1991. Physical basis of cognitive alterations in alzheimer's disease: Synapse loss is the major correlate of cognitive impairment. *Ann Neurol* **30**:572–580. doi:10/cz9254
- Thal DR et al. 2002. Phases of Aβ-deposition in the human brain and its relevance for the development of AD. *Neurology* **58**:1791–1800. doi:10.1212/WNL.58.12.1791
- The Alzheimer's Disease Anti-inflammatory Prevention Trial Research Group. 2013. Results of a follow-up study to the randomized Alzheimer's Disease Anti-inflammatory Prevention Trial (ADAPT). *Alzheimers Dement J Alzheimers Assoc* 9:10.1016/j.jalz.2012.11.012. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2012.11.012
- The Jackson Laboratory. 2023. B6SJL-Tg(APPSwFlLon,PSEN1*M146L*L286V)6799Vas/Mmjax. https://www.jax.org/strain/006554
- The UniProt Consortium. 2023. UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. *Nucleic Acids Res* **51**:D523–D531. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac1052

- Thinakaran G et al. 1996. Metabolism of the "Swedish" Amyloid Precursor Protein Variant in Neuro2a (N2a) Cells: Evidence that cleavage at the "βsecretase" site occurs in the golgi apparatus. *J Biol Chem* **271**:9390–9397. doi:10.1074/jbc.271.16.9390
- Tsai MS et al. 1994. Apolipoprotein E: risk factor for Alzheimer disease. *Am J Hum Genet* **54**:643–649.
- Tsitsopoulos P, Marklund N. 2013. Amyloid-β Peptides and Tau Protein as Biomarkers in Cerebrospinal and Interstitial Fluid Following Traumatic Brain Injury: A Review of Experimental and Clinical Studies. *Front Neurol* **4**.
- Umaba R et al. 2021. Monosynaptic connection from the subiculum to medial mammillary nucleus neurons projecting to the anterior thalamus and Gudden's ventral tegmental nucleus. *Neurosci Res* **171**:1–8. doi:10.1016/j.neures.2021.01.006
- van Dyck CH et al. 2023. Lecanemab in Early Alzheimer's Disease. *N Engl J Med* **388**:9–21. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2212948
- Veenman CL et al. 1992. Biotinylated dextran amine as an anterograde tracer for single- and double-labeling studies. *J Neurosci Methods* **41**:239–254. doi:10.1016/0165-0270(92)90089-V
- Wang C et al. 2021. Selective removal of astrocytic APOE4 strongly protects against tau-mediated neurodegeneration and decreases synaptic phagocytosis by microglia. *Neuron* **109**:1657-1674.e7. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2021.03.024
- Wang Y et al. 2016. TREM2-mediated early microglial response limits diffusion and toxicity of amyloid plaques. *J Exp Med* **213**:667–675. doi:10/f8wzrt
- Wang Y et al. 2013. Altered default mode network connectivity in older adults with cognitive complaints and amnestic mild cognitive impairment. J Alzheimers Dis JAD 35:751–760. doi:10/ggqn9n
- Watts JC, Prusiner SB. 2018. β-Amyloid Prions and the Pathobiology of Alzheimer's Disease. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* **8**:a023507. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a023507
- Weggen S, Beher D. 2012. Molecular consequences of amyloid precursor protein and presenilin mutations causing autosomal-dominant Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther* **4**:9. doi:10.1186/alzrt107
- Welikovitch LA et al. 2020. Early intraneuronal amyloid triggers neuron-derived inflammatory signaling in APP transgenic rats and human brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **117**:6844–6854. doi:10/ggn4cz
- Wilson EH et al. 2010. Trafficking of immune cells in the central nervous system. *J Clin Invest* **120**:1368–1379. doi:10.1172/JCI41911

- Wingo TS et al. 2012. Autosomal Recessive Causes Likely in Early-Onset Alzheimer Disease. *Arch Neurol* **69**:59–64. doi:10.1001/archneurol.2011.221
- Xu W et al. 2016. Education and Risk of Dementia: Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *Mol Neurobiol* **53**:3113–3123. doi:10.1007/s12035-015-9211-5
- Yokoyama M et al. 2022. Mouse Models of Alzheimer's Disease. *Front Mol Neurosci* **15**:912995. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2022.912995
- Youssef SA et al. 2016. Pathology of the Aging Brain in Domestic and Laboratory Animals, and Animal Models of Human Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Vet Pathol* **53**:327–348. doi:10.1177/0300985815623997
- Yuan P et al. 2016. TREM2 Haplodeficiency in Mice and Humans Impairs the Microglia Barrier Function Leading to Decreased Amyloid Compaction and Severe Axonal Dystrophy. *Neuron* **90**:724–739. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.05.003
- Zhao R et al. 2017. Microglia limit the expansion of β-amyloid plaques in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurodegener* **12**:47–47. doi:10.1186/s13024-017-0188-6
- Zhu X-C et al. 2015. Rate of early onset Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Transl Med* **3**:38. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2305-5839.2015.01.19
- Ziegler-Graham K et al. 2008. Worldwide variation in the doubling time of Alzheimer's disease incidence rates. *Alzheimers Dement* **4**:316–323. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2008.05.2479

Acknowledgements

This PhD thesis would not be possible without my supervisors, Professor **Tomas Deierborg** and Professor **Gunnar Gouras**. I am extremely grateful for the chance that they took and the opportunity that they gave me to do my PhD in their labs and with their guidance. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor Dr. **Tomas Roos** for the opportunity that he gave me before starting my PhD studies and that led to all of this. To my co-supervisor Dr. **Sara Bachiller**, thank you for letting me get involved with your project and for the time, knowledge, and experience that you have shared with me that has helped me to become a better scientist.

I truly enjoyed my time as a PhD student because I was surrounded by wonderful colleagues. A special thank you to **Bodil**, our *biomedicinsk analytiker*, for being the pillar in lab for all things practical! Your help has been indispensable, and we are lucky to have you! A huge thank you to my past and present groupmates, whom I got to spend significant amounts of time with having lunches, conversations, retreats, fika and fun times. In the Gouras lab, thank you Isak, Sabine, Laura, Emma N., Nils, Charley, Mo, Oliver, Gehua, Katarina, Albert, and Lina. In the Deierborg lab, thank you Yiyi, Martina, Oscar, Rosa, Lluís, Nadiia, Emelie, Agnes, Carletto, Jakob, Antonio, Björn, Juan, Javier, Hairuo, and Marta.

Beyond my groups, I am lucky to have met wonderful people in other groups and on other floors. I am lucky that B11 is a wonderful floor to work on because of all the amazing people there. Special shoutout to past and present B11 floormates who I got/get to interact with especially much: Jonatan, Marina, Andreas B., Viji, Maithe, Xiaowen, Anna, Sertan, Sujeeth, Steven, Yu, Alrik, Elina, Emma H., and Sofie. On A10, Kajsa the best fika buddy, Alex, Lautaro, Filip, Itzia, Andreas E., Martino, Elna, Di, and Xiaoni. Elsewhere in BMC, Chang, Radhika, Nadja, Shelby, Roberta, Nick, Komal, and Gloria. If I have forgotten to name anyone, it's because I did a brain fart. Regardless, I appreciate everyone who I have crossed paths with even if our interaction was brief.

To my high school AP biology teacher **Mr. Brannon**: you made learning biology so interesting that I wanted to pursue it further. You always emphasized looking at the big picture, and that has stuck with me even to this day.

Thank you to my first PI, Dr. Eric Zorrilla, for giving me my first lab home back in San Diego during my time as an undergrad. I learned so much during my time there, and I am extremely grateful that you reached out and provided me with that opportunity. A shout out to my lab mates at that time who helped and taught me so much: Grace, Marian, and Mary.

A huge thank you to my family has played a large role in my life and in how I ended up here: My mom Maria and dad Eduardo, who always encourage me to do what makes me happy even if that means moving halfway across the globe. My older sister Edmarie, who guided me from an early age wanting me to succeed, especially academically. My wonderful husband Martin for being my rock and always taking care of me even when I'm bad at doing it myself. My cats Sixten and Svante for making my days brighter and less lonely and for occasionally making Zoom meetings a bit more interesting. My niece Saria for consistently asking when I would graduate and be done with school. And to my other family members – I may not know exactly what to say as of writing this, but that does not diminish their contributions in my journey and my appreciation for them: my late grandmother Nazaria, my oldest sister Jennifer, my late brother-in-law Jovany, my youngest niece Aaliyah, my nieces' grandmother Ana, my brother-in-law Gabe, and my Swedish family-in-law with special mention to my mother-in-law Anna-Carin and father-in-law Ulf. I would also like to acknowledge all my Swedish friends, who are essentially a part of my Swedish family, and my old roommate Sylvia for being there for me since starting our college days (also basically family at this point too). Though most of my family did not exactly understand what I have been doing the last couple of years, their support and love has been invaluable in the process.

Not to be forgotten, thank you to the organizations who funded this work and provided financial support to allow this work and my time as a PhD student happen, especially *Vetenskapsrådet* and MultiPark. Ultimately, funds from these agencies come from the public, and it humbles me that I could carry out this work because of all these numerous but unique individuals.

Last but not least, the doctoral defense would not even be possible without my opponent, committee members, and speaker. I appreciate all of you for taking the time to read and critically evaluate my work as well as travel to be in-person.

Appendix (Papers I – IV)

Neuroinflammation and amyloid- β in early Alzheimer's disease



This California native was a shared PhD student between the Experimental Neuroinflammation Laboratory and Experimental Dementia Research Unit in the Biomedical Center at Lund University. The PhD project written here was a unique opportunity bringing together the expertise of her two research groups in order to understand a research area that synergizes the specialties of both: the interplay between neurons and synapses with microglia and neuroinflammation in early Alzheimer's disease.





Department of Experimental Medical Science

Lund University, Faculty of Medicine Doctoral Dissertation Series 2023:116 ISBN 978-91-8021-457-5 ISSN 1652-8220

