



LUND UNIVERSITY

Narrow and broad functions of negation in a miniature artificial language

Farshchi, Sara; Paradis, Carita; Andersson, Richard

2016

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Farshchi, S., Paradis, C., & Andersson, R. (2016). *Narrow and broad functions of negation in a miniature artificial language*. Abstract from Architectures and Mechanisms for Language Processing, Bilbao, Spain.

Total number of authors:

3

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

Narrow and broad functions of negation in a miniature artificial language

Sara Farshchi, Carita Paradis and Richard Andersson

Lund University

Negated forms have been shown to cause a higher processing cost for language comprehension in the form of higher error rates and longer processing times. Studies that tested prefixal negation (e.g. *unhappy*) found conflicting results as to whether these forms are processed as negated forms or as single lexical items without any processing cost (Hoosain, 1973; Sherman, 1976, 1973). The present study set out to challenge the findings on prefixal negation using an artificial language learning task. The experiment comprised a learning phase and a testing phase. In the learning phase, participants learned three artificial prefixes corresponding to two negation forms and one no-negation form: 1. *ka*: narrow negation (*kareft=unhappy*), 2. *va*: wide negation (*vareft=not happy*), 3. *sa*: empty prefix with no meaning (*sareft=happy*). Next, participants memorized 8 artificial adjectives that were later used in the testing phase. The testing phase comprised a verification task in which participants were tested on the combination of the artificial prefixes and words previously memorized. Longer response times were found for *ka* (corresponding to a negative prefix) and *va* (corresponding to 'not'), compared to *sa* (empty prefix). Moreover, a lower accuracy rate was observed for the two negative prefixes compared to the empty prefix.