



LUND UNIVERSITY

The Quality of the Craft

Eklöv Pettersson, Paul

Published in:
EXARC journal

2013

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Eklöv Pettersson, P. (2013). The Quality of the Craft. *EXARC journal*, (2013/1).
<https://exarc.net/ark:/88735/10098>

Total number of authors:
1

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

The content is published under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 License.

The Quality of the Craft

E exarc.net/issue-2013-1/at/quality-craft

Persistent Identifier

<https://exarc.net/ark:/88735/10098>

EXARC Journal Issue 2013/1

Publication Date

2013-02-15

Author(s)

Paul Eklöv Pettersson ¹ ✉

¹ Lund University, Historical museum at Lund University, Krafts torg 1, 223 50 Lund, Sweden.



In this study the sustainability of crucibles used during the Scandinavian Bronze Age is tested. Due to the crucible's high or low sustainability the idea of it being a disposable object may be ratified or discarded. Earlier experiments focusing on the casting process in Scandinavian Bronze Age have concluded that crucibles such as the ones used during Bronze Age were disposable objects due to low sustainability. However, to make a more thorough study one has to replicate not only shape but also temper and clay, something that was not done in these earlier studies. In this study replicas were made and tested to investigate sustainability but also traces of use. The results are that the crucibles have a sustainability of more than 20 castings, in contrary to earlier studies suggesting one to ten castings. Another conclusion is that the traces of use correspond to the ones that are visible in the original Broåsen material.

The project in short

The workshops where bronze objects were produced during the Scandinavian Bronze Age are long gone. A study of what went on in and around the production process is dependent on the archaeological material that we have available through excavations, earlier studies and discussions. An aspect of this information that has not yet been studied thoroughly in Scandinavia is the ceramic melting crucibles used to melt and cast bronze objects from this period. They are one of few categories of artefacts that the Bronze Age workshop consisted of that are still available for study today. This supports the study of crucibles in order to further understand the concept of bronze artefact production in Scandinavia.

The aim of the project was to test whether crucibles in southern Scandinavian archaeology were disposable objects. Earlier experiments have shown us that crucibles made in the same shape as original archaeological material, or even by own design tends, to have a very low sustainability (Nilsson 2008, 21; Kjærulf Andersen 2007). It is often claimed to be around five or fewer times that a crucible can be used before it has to be discarded. However, to make a better estimation of the sustainability not only the shape but also temper and clay must be replicated. Therefore this project was initiated to prove/disprove the above mentioned claims of low sustainability.

Since there are different types of archaeological materials, perhaps representing different kinds of production in southern Scandinavia [for example, at Lejeby (one sherd) and Bokenäs (more than 100 sherds) (Oldeberg 1960, 46f & 50)] the focus was on large-scale production sites. This selection was made on the presumption that if you are looking for the maximal sustainability, a large-scale production site is the place to look. As an example Broåsen was chosen, which is situated in the parish Grimeton, southern Sweden. The material from Broåsen contains potsherds, a glass bead and a socket axe, but also more than 200 sherds of crucibles and almost an equal amount of mould fragments (Svensson 1940,100). Also, three whole crucibles have been found and a furthermore 17 have been refitted. In order to test how many times the crucibles from Broåsen were used before being discarded, a first step would be to investigate how many times they could have been used (maximum). To do so the material and shape of the crucibles/sherds were investigated. Several replicas were then made on the basis of that study. A workshop was also built with the help of the current knowledge available (Johansson 1993; Thrane 1994; Rønne 1996). The crucibles were then used to melt and pore bronze as many times as possible until they were either in need of mending or had to be discarded.

The archaeological material

The crucibles found at Broåsen correspond well with the Bronze Age crucibles in southern Scandinavia in general. They are oval shaped, low and without a lid (See Figures 1 and 2). When studying the crucibles it is clear that they have, in most cases, been exposed to

extensive heat from above. This indicates that they have been used in a workshop where the heat is intensified by an added oxygen flow coming from above. The reddish colour that is present inside and on top of the rim (See Figures 1 and 2) derives from copper-oxide coming from the copper-alloy in connection with the melting. The shape of the crucibles found at Broåsen does not vary much. However, the size does to some extent. The twenty refitted and whole crucibles were roughly divided into three approximate sizes: small (8 x 6 cm), medium (10 x 6 cm) and large (13 x 8 cm).

Reconstruction: shape, usage and material


By ocular assessment of the temper used when making the crucibles at Broåsen, four different types of temper were observed. Ten sherds that were assumed to represent the difference in temper within the material were then taken for further examination by thin section petrography. Using image analysing software (Nikon NIS Elements) it was possible to determine four types of temper as shown below (See Figure 4 and Table 1).


	Grain size concentration * (mm.)	Max/Min grain size (mm.)	Percentage	Organic material	Clay type	Number of sherds
Temper1	0.125 – 0.5	0.063 – 1.0	60,00%		course**	1
Temper2	0.355 – 1.4	0.063 – 2.2	60,00%		course**	3
Temper3	0.5 – 2.0	0.063 – 2.2	60,00%		course**	5
Temper4	0.5 – 2.0	0.063 – 2.2	60,00%	x	course**	1

Table 1. Four different types of temper were observed in the material.

* The interval in grain size were 75% of the volume of the grains are concentrated


** Diamikt course clay





Graph 1. Grain size distribution in the original crucibles divided up in four different types of temper (See Table 1). Tempers 2 and 3 are an average representing all the eight sherds that were involved.

In order to reconstruct the different types of temper several sand and crushed granite samples were studied and compared with the original temper to find a similar match. The organic temper was also studied by a dendrochronologist in order to determine species. When investigating the different samples and original minerogenic temper, focus was laid on the grain size distribution (See Graph 1). This resulted in three types of reconstructed temper labelled Temper Types 1, 2 and 3 (Table 2). Temper 4, represented in one of 10 sherds, was never reconstructed due to the time frame of the project. However, it was concluded that it most probably consisted of crushed granite and pine/spruce charcoal.






	0-0,063	0,063-0,09	0,09-0,125	0,125-0,18	0,18-0,250	0,250-0,355
Temper1	4.8951049	7.92540793	10.4895105	13.5198135	17.9487179	21.4452214
Dyklärr sand	0.72357939	1.39405204	4.66343601	16.4630908	22.1986192	30.941317
	0,355-0,5	0,5-0,71	0,71-1,0	1,0-1,4	1,4-2,0	2,0-5,0
Temper1	11.4219114	10.2564103	2.0979021	0	0	0
Dyklärr sand	18.9557886	4.22198619	0.39498141	0.04314923	0	0

Table 2. The grain size distribution of the original temper was used to find a similar match for the replicas. In order to make an as accurate choice as possible eight ceramic samples were made of clay tempered with sand. The temper (sand) were first sieved to investigate the grain size distribution, the finished samples were then again investigated in thin section (using a picture analysis program mentioned above) to estimate the grain size distribution of the temper (sand) a second time but with another method. In this way the method of using thin section analysis to estimate temper was evaluated. Thus the left diagram shows how sand is viewed when conducting thin section analysis. As an example Temper 1 was replicated using quartz rich sand from Dyklärr, Småland south Sweden (right).

Most of the crucibles in the original archaeological material were defined as large after the

definitions mentioned earlier in this article. In order to not exceed the project's time frame the large type of crucible was chosen to represent the material from Broåsen.

The clay used to make the original crucibles was coarse clay estimated to correspond to a clay diamicton. Clay from Minnesberg, Skåne was chosen for the reconstructions due to its similarities with the original clay used for the crucibles. The Minnesberg clay is a clay diamicton containing around 17 vol % clay, 55% silt and 28% sand/gravel (See Graph 2).



Contents	Grain Size	Percentage
gravel	2.0 mm and bigger	1.17%
sand	0.06 – 2.0 mm	26.35%
silt	0.002 – 0.06 mm	55.27%
clay	less than 0.002 mm	17.21%

Graph 2. The grain size distribution within the clay used when reconstructing the crucibles. As can be seen, the clay, deriving from Minnesberg in Skåne south Sweden, contained around 17% clay, while the major component is silt (around 55%). Measurements were conducted at the Department of Geology, Lund University by the author.

Based on these studies and using the material presented above, seven new crucibles were made. Since Temper 3 was present in five of 10 original crucible sherds Temper 3 was used in four of the seven reconstructions. Temper 2 was used in two replicas and Temper 1 was used in one of the replicas. These reconstructed crucibles were labelled 1A, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C and 3D according to the type of temper used (See Figure 5).

The experiments

Using earlier studies of Bronze Age workshops (Johansson 1993; Thrane 1994; Rönne 1996) a small hearth was built in order to conduct the experiments in an as accurate way as possible (See Figure 6). A Cu-alloy consisting of 10%Sn and 90%Cu (bronze) was melted in the crucibles. The metal was put inside the crucible that was situated on top of a layer of charcoal directly under the airflow from the tuyère. After that it was covered with charcoal, air was pumped through the tuyère using bellows and the melting began. When the metal was melted the crucible was taken out of the hearth and the metal was poured out. No mould was used since the casted object was not in focus for this study, instead a cavity in a soapstone was used to dispose the metal. Each crucible was used as many times as possible during one day and then left in the hearth to cool off during the night. The day after, the crucibles were inspected for visible cracks, and if cracks were not present the experiments continued (See Figure 7). When using a crucible it is exposed to enormous changes in temperature. The hearth must hold a temperature of around 1100°C at the lowest in order to melt the copper alloy and to make sure it stays in liquid state during the whole casting process. Outside the hearth the temperature, in this case, is on average around 10 to 15°C. When moving the crucible from the hearth to the mould in order to do the casting it is vital that the crucible does not break due to the enormous temperature fluctuations. Ceramics have a tendency to break when cooling off or heating up too quickly. One of the critical points in the heating / cooling process is 572° C. This is sometimes referred to as the quartz point, where the quartz crystal goes from α -stage to β -stage and back (Blackburn and Dennen 1988, 74f & 311f) something that results in heavy strains on the ware. During the experiments it was clear that the crucibles endured such ordeals. In spite of this, no visible cracks were detected when inspecting the crucibles at the beginning of the workday. Even though the crucibles were used several times and also by an unskilled craftsman (the author) they did not crack or fall apart into sherds after being used up to ten times as is indicated by earlier studies. On the contrary, all the crucibles (with the exception of crucible 1A which lasted for six castings) were still in one piece when the experiments were concluded. When studied both during the experiment and after it was clear that each crucible had traces of use depending on how many times they were used. The sintering of the ware started from the top/rim and on the inside and slowly spread as the crucible was used repeatedly times. A red layer of copper oxide started to cover the inside of the crucibles and got more intense as they were used. A crucible used for 20 castings showed an even buff red layer of copper oxide mainly on the rim and inside, the ware had sintered mostly on the

parts facing the airflow from the tuyère. Due to the traces of use on the replica crucibles, when comparing the replicas with the archaeological material it is clear that the Broåsen crucibles were used for minimum 20 castings.

Conclusions

The first conclusion that can be made from these experiments is that we have to reconsider the statements of earlier studies. In some cases crucibles might have had a low sustainability, but evidently there are also sites that show the contrary. So far there are too few studies focusing on the connection between choice of clay/temper and sustainability in order to get a good overview of the difference between the quality of crucibles. It is highly plausible that the sustainability of crucibles varied between sites and craftspersons depending on factors such as production rate, level of knowledge and available resources (as discussed by among others such as Stilborg 2008). In the literature concerning Scandinavian and European Bronze Age the focus is on who the bronze caster and the people connected to the production of bronze objects were (for example Goldhahn 2007; Kristiansen & Larsson 2005). This is intimately connected to the study of crucibles because there may have been a difference in who made the crucibles at different sites and different types of production. A highly skilled group of craftspersons would probably have both needed and used a sustainable crucible to conduct their work in an effective way. In another scenario, if only one casting was needed, the crucible did not need to have sustainability higher than one time. In conclusion, we now have evidence that crucibles could have had a high level of sustainability, however the sustainability of crucibles from other sites may vary in comparison to the Broåsen material.

Experiments yet to be made

Continuing studies will be conducted on archaeological materials assumed to represent low scale production. The purpose is to investigate whether the high sustainability among the crucibles from Broåsen is also present in that type of material even though it is assumed not to have been necessary.

Acknowledgements

Special thank to Anders Lindahl, Stella Macheridis and Andreas Nilsson for reading and commenting on the text.

Bibliography

BLACKBURN, W. H., and W. H. DENNEN, *The principles of mineralogy*, Dubuque, WCB/McGraw-Hill, 1988.

EKLÖV PETTERSSON, P., "En hållbar utveckling? -Hållbarheten för bronsålderns

keramiska deglar", *Institute of archaeology and ancient society*, vol. MA, Lund, Lund University, Department of Archaeology and Ancient History, 2011.

GOLDHAHN, J., "Dödens hand -en essä om brons- och hållsmeden", *GOTARC Series C*, vol. Arkeologiska Skrifter No 65, Göteborg, Göteborgs Universitet, Institutionen för arkeologi och antikens kultur, 2007.

JOHANSSON, T., *Forntida Teknik*, Västerås, Institut för Forntida Teknik, pp. 176, 1993.

KRISTIANSEN, K., and T. B. LARSSON, *The Rise of Bronze Age Society: Travels, Transmissions and Transformations*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2005.

NILSSON, A., "Gjutfel och dålig ornamentik", *Institute of archaeology and ancient society*, vol. MA, Lund, Lund University, Department of Archaeology and Ancient History, 2008.

OLDEBERG, A., *Skälbyfyndet*, Stockholm, 1960.

RØNNE, P., "Flydende bronze i digler og forme", *Naturens Verden*, vol. 41, København, Naturens Verdens Fond, pp. xx-xx, 1996.

STILBORG, O., "A technological study of crucibles from Helgö and Bäckby", *Excavations at Helgö*, vol. XVII, Stockholm, pp. xx-xx, 2008.

SVENSSON, B., "En gjuteriverkstad från bronsåldern", *Göteborgs och Bohusläns Fornminnesförenings Årsskrift*, pp. xx-xx, 1940.

THRANE, H., "Bronzens mestre", *Skalk*, issue 2, Århus, pp. 10-13, 1994.







Fig 1. One of the refitted crucibles from Broåsen (GAM 5842), Grimeton parish southern Sweden. This crucible, together with the other material, was used as a reference when replicating the temper, clay and shape of crucibles during the Bronze Age in this area.



Fig 2. Crucible GAM 5842 from Broåsen, Grimeton parish.







Fig 3. Map of southern Sweden showing the sites mentioned in the text.







Fig 4. Thin sections of crucible sherds containing four different types of temper.



Fig 5. Making the replica (left), the finished crucible (middle) and the used but still intact crucible (right).








Fig 6. Picture showing the hearth construction (after sketch in Eklöv Pettersson 2011).



Fig 7. The inexperienced bronze caster (author) is poking around in the hearth. Inside lies a crucible containing (hopefully) melted bronze.



Graph 1. Grain size distribution in the original crucibles divided up in four different types of temper (Table 1). Tempers 2 and 3 are an average representing all the eight sherds that were involved.



0,001

0,01

0,1

1

10

100

Graph 2. The grain size distribution within the clay used when reconstructing the crucibles. As can be seen, the clay, deriving from Minnesberg in Skåne south Sweden, contained around 17% clay, while the major component is silt (around 55%). Measurements were conducted at the Department of Geology, Lund University by the author.




Table 1. Four different types of temper were observed in the material.