



LUND UNIVERSITY

Carbon Footprints in a Global Marketplace

Firm-Level Insights on Trade and the Environment

Duodu, Albert

2024

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Duodu, A. (2024). *Carbon Footprints in a Global Marketplace: Firm-Level Insights on Trade and the Environment*. [Doctoral Thesis (compilation), Lund University School of Economics and Management, LUSEM]. Media-Tryck, Lund University, Sweden.

Total number of authors:

1

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

Carbon Footprints in a Global Marketplace

Firm-Level Insights on Trade and the Environment

Albert Duodu

Lund
Economic
Studies

Number 242



LUND
UNIVERSITY

Carbon Footprints in a Global Marketplace

Carbon Footprints in a Global Marketplace

Firm-Level Insights on Trade and the Environment

by Albert Duodu



LUND
UNIVERSITY

DOCTORAL DISSERTATION

Thesis advisors: Maria Persson, Joakim Gullstrand,
Fredrik NG Andersson
Faculty opponent: Valerie Smeets, Aarhus University.

By due permission of the School of Economics and Management, Lund University, Sweden.
To be defended, at Holger Crafoords Ekonomisentrum EC3:210, on Wednesday, the 22nd of
May 2024 at 14:15.

Organization LUND UNIVERSITY Department of Economics Box 7080 SE-221 00 LUND Sweden		Document name DOCTORAL DISSERTATION	
		Date of disputation 2024-05-22	
Author(s) Albert Duodu		Sponsoring organization	
Title and subtitle Carbon Footprints in a Global Marketplace: Firm-Level Insights on Trade and the Environment			
Abstract This doctoral thesis consists of three independent research papers. All papers are empirical and cover the area of international trade and environmental economics, with particular focus on Swedish manufacturing firms. The first paper investigates how carbon offshoring could contribute to cleaner production. Using Swedish firm-product level data from 2005-2014, combined with instrumental variable and dynamic difference-in-difference estimation techniques, the study finds that increased imports of emission-intensive goods makes firms' production processes cleaner by 5%, but also raises transport emissions by 2%. It also highlights that offshoring through foreign direct investment (FDI) could potentially have a larger impact on reducing emissions compared to importing inputs not produced in-house. The second paper explores how import competition influences the environmental behavior of local firms in Sweden. Using detailed geographical information about the location of all manufacturing firms in Sweden, the study finds that firms facing import competition tend to become more emission efficient, with the effect diminishing as the distance between the producer and the importer increases. This emissions reduction is attributed to productivity spillovers, shifts in product mix, and investments in emissions abatement. The third paper , examines the impact of energy prices on manufacturing firms by using a shift-share instrument and a dynamic difference-in-difference approaches that isolate the exogenous variation in firm-level energy prices. I find that higher energy prices, driven by increased carbon pricing, both benefit the environment by reducing emissions and have potentially harmful economics effects by lowering productivity and employment, particularly for highly skilled workers. Firms are more likely to pass on costs to consumers, worsening inflation, and the most affected are those with high energy intensity and those outside the EU Emissions Trading System (EU-ETS).			
Key words Offshoring, Swedish Firms, Emissions, Import Competition, Energy Price, Productivity, Employment, Carbon leakage, Pass-through			
Classification system and/or index terms (if any) JEL Classification: Q56, Q58, F18, F14, D24, Q50, Q55, O47, O31			
Supplementary bibliographical information		Language English	
ISSN and key title 0460-0029 Lund Economics studies no. 242		ISBN 978-91-8104-029-6 978-91-8104-030-2	
Recipient's notes		Number of pages 198	Price
		Security classification	

I, the undersigned, being the copyright owner of the abstract of the above-mentioned dissertation, hereby grant to all reference sources the permission to publish and disseminate the abstract of the above-mentioned dissertation.

Signature _____

Date 2024-04-09

Carbon Footprints in a Global Marketplace

Firm-Level Insights on Trade and the Environment

Albert Duodu



LUND
UNIVERSITY

Lund Economic Studies Number 242

© Albert Duodu 2024

School of Economics and Management, Department of Economics

ISBN: 978-91-8104-029-6 (print)

ISBN: 978-91-8104-030-2 (pdf)

ISSN: 0460-0029 Lund Economics Studies no. 242

Printed in Sweden by Media-Tryck, Lund University, Lund 2024



Printed matter
3041 0903

Media-Tryck is a Nordic Swan Ecolabel certified provider of printed material. Read more about our environmental work at www.mediatryck.lu.se

MADE IN SWEDEN 

*Dedicated to my Mum
Mercy Ayisah*

Contents

Abstract	I
Acknowledgment	III
Introduction	1
Paper I	23
Paper II	97
Paper III	123

Abstract

This thesis adds to the body of research in international trade, environmental, and energy economics. It comprises of three distinct yet interconnected papers, each addressing a different facet of the green manufacturing transition within the context of global trade, and environmental policies.

The first paper, *Carbon offshoring and manufacturing-cleanup*, investigates the causal evidence of how carbon offshoring could contribute to lower emission intensity of manufacturing firms. Production in manufacturing firms in high income countries is generally becoming cleaner. Some of this trend has been shown to be due to the adoption of new technologies, but carbon offshoring – i.e. when dirty production at home is replaced with imports of carbon-intensive products from abroad – may be an additional factor. If so, this is concerning, because it risks undermining climate policies by simply moving emissions to countries with laxer regulations. This is the focus of this paper. Leveraging rich Swedish firm-product level data between 2006-2014, and employing a combination of shift-share instrumental variables and a difference-in-difference estimation approach, I find a compelling paradox: A 10% increase in the import of emission-intensive goods can result in firms' production processes becoming 5% cleaner but increases transport emissions by 2%. This suggests that carbon offshoring does not only shift emissions elsewhere but also generates new ones (via transportation). Additionally, I show that the type of offshoring matters. For instance, foreign direct investment (FDI) has a much larger emissions-reducing effect than offshoring in the form of imports of inputs not produced in-firm.

The second paper, *Local import competition and firm-level emissions* (joint with Zouheir El-Sahli), examines the effect of spatially localized import competition shocks on the environmental performance of local upstream producers. It is established in the literature that import competition may lead to efficiency gains in the firms facing such competition. It is, however, unclear whether such gains extend to the firms' environmental behavior. We contribute to the literature by investigating how local import competition, defined at different spatial dimensions within Sweden, may affect emissions by the local producing firms. Using detailed geographical information about the location of all manufacturing firms in Sweden, we find no evidence that import competition matters at the national level, but local import competition reduces

firm-level emissions. This effect wanes with the distance between the producer and the importer. The emissions reduction is driven by productivity spillovers, changes in the product mix, and emissions abatement investment.

The third paper, *Environment and the Economy: firm-level responses to energy price shocks*, discusses the trade-off between environmental and economic goals due energy price shocks. Although raising the carbon price is an effective tool for decreasing reliance on carbon-intensive production sources, it has also raised substantial concerns among policymakers that higher energy costs will render manufacturing firms less competitive and potentially lead to increased consumer prices. Using firm-level data from 2006-2014, I examined the impact of energy prices on manufacturing firms using a shift-share instrument and dynamic difference-in-difference approach that isolate the exogenous variation in firm-level energy prices. The analysis reveals a dual impact of energy price inflation. On the one hand, energy price shock contributes to positive environmental outcomes by reducing energy consumption and CO₂ emissions. On the other hand, it exerts detrimental effects on firms' productivity, employment, and the risk of potential carbon leakage. Furthermore, firms demonstrate a propensity to shift the cost burdens to consumers, exacerbating general inflation in the economy. Additional results show that the negative effect on employment affects highly skilled workers disproportionately: employment among workers with university degrees fell considerably in the short run, whereas employment for those with high school degrees increased. The most affected firms are high-energy intensive and non-EU-ETS firms. Overall, the findings suggest a trade-off between environmental and economic goals due to increasing energy taxes.

Acknowledgements

*The curriculum is so much necessary raw material, but warmth is the vital element
for the growing plant and the soul of a child*
— Carl Jung

First, I would love to express my profound honour and gratitude to the Almighty God, the giver of life. This work would not have been completed if not for his abundant mercies, grace, and favour.

Looking back, I feel infinitely lucky to have had the opportunity to study for a PhD, and incredibly blessed with good kind people who took huge bets on me, loved me and made me feel special. I hope that the past five years have molded me into a better economist, a more confident researcher, and a resilient individual. This thesis stands as the pinnacle of that transformative journey, made achievable only through the support of a select group of extraordinary individuals.

I often emphasize to new students the importance of having advisors who genuinely care about them as people. In this dimension, I struck gold. I am overwhelmed with gratitude for my main advisor, Maria Persson. Maria has not only been my academic guide but also the beacon of light I needed in my journey. Her unwavering efforts to nurture my growth and bring out the best in me have touched my heart deeply. It's the little gestures of kindness she shows that make me feel truly seen and cared for as a person, beyond just my research pursuits. Maria's comments, instructions, and profound intelligence have been the cornerstone of this thesis. I owe her a debt of gratitude that words cannot fully express. To Joakim Gullstrand, my heartfelt thanks for being a constant source of inspiration and guidance since the start of my masters degree in Economics. You were the one who introduced me to the intricacies of Swedish micro-level trade data, and your support has been invaluable in navigating through its complexities. Your generosity as a teacher and friend will always be cherished. And to Fredrik NG Andersson, you have fundamentally altered the way I perceive economic concepts. Your boundless enthusiasm and innovative ideas reignite my passion for economics, especially during the toughest moments of my research journey. It's been an honor to learn from you and be supported by you. For inspiring me to aim high in my research, for remarkably insightful suggestions

and for sound counsel, thank you.

Of course, many people who are not my formal supervisors also gave me valuable advice along the way. I am particularly grateful to Ludovica Gazze, whose consistent availability for meetings and decisive input served as a guiding beacon for my research endeavor. Thank you for not just guiding me academically, but for touching my life in profound ways. To my fantastic co-author, Zouheir El-Sahli, I am grateful for your support, encouragement, and great life advice. Additionally, I am indebted to Shon Fergusson and Fredrik Heyman for their thorough feedback and insightful comments during the external review process, which unquestionably enhanced the quality of each individual chapter in this thesis.

I am deeply thankful for the guidance and encouragement I have received from my colleagues within the department. A heartfelt thank you to Bengt, Gunes, Pol, Simon, Petra, Roel, Alexandros, Andreas, and Tommy for their interest in my work and invaluable contributions. Erik Wengström has been an exceptional Director of Studies, and I am grateful for his guidance. Special thanks to Therese Nilsson, Simon Reese, Claudio Daminato, and Sarah Rosenberg for their support during job market practice. I am also immensely grateful to our outstanding administration team—Jenny, Ulf, Peter, Azra, Li, and Marie – for their unwavering support. Valerie Smeets, your willingness to be the opponent at the defense is deeply appreciated. And to Petra Thiemann, Shon Fergusson, and Astrid Kander, thank you for taking the time to join the grading committee. Your support means the world to me.

I would also like to thank my cohort members – Yousef, Steve, Prakrithi, and Emelie, whose unwavering support carried me through the intricate journey of my PhD, nurturing me both intellectually and emotionally. A heartfelt thank you extends to the extensive network of my other fellow PhD colleagues over the years: Adrian, Matthew, Devon, Olga, David S, David W, Demid, Erik, Hampus, Iker, James, August, Wenting, Qianyan, Yunyi, Kajsa, Yuqing, Kristoffer, Ludvig, Lukas, Christina, Madeleine, Marcus, Najmeh, Natalie, Negar, Pelle, Teppo, Thomas, Tilman, Hugo, Shayan, Maxime, Ioannis, and Ruben. Each of you adds immense joy to the experience! Our conversations have consistently been enlightening, and I am truly grateful to have crossed paths with all of you.

I would like to acknowledge the generous financial support provided by the Arne Ryde Foundation for access to data, which significantly contributed to this research. Additionally, I extend my sincere gratitude to the Jan Wallander and Tom Hedelius Foundation for their stipend, which facilitated my research visits abroad to Warwick University, London School of Economics, and the University of Oxford. These visits were instrumental in broadening my perspective and enriching my work. Special thanks are due to Christian Soegaard, Ludovica Gazze, Maarten De Ridder, and Niclas Moneke for their exceptional hospitality and invaluable feedback on my papers. Their insights greatly enhanced the quality and rigor of this study.

The completion of this thesis stands as a testament to the steadfast support of my family and friends. I dedicate this significant achievement to my greatest source of

inspiration, my mother, Mercy Ayisah, whose unwavering belief in me propelled me forward. A big thank you to Mr Mintah, whose generosity and compassion will forever be etched in my heart. In those moments of despair, your kindness became my lifeline – thank you so much. I also extend my dedication to my siblings, Justice and Eukeria, whose constant encouragement lifted my spirits when I needed it most. A million thanks goes to Priscilla who got me back on my feet in the most difficult times, and I will never forget those moments. To the "adjakpakpo boys" - Emmanuel, Kingsley, Kofi, and Mustapha - your wise counsel and support were invaluable in maintaining my sanity throughout this journey. Big thanks to Auntie Felicia for whipping up those mouthwatering omotuo specials and an array of delightful 'home-chos' just for me! I extend heartfelt appreciation to Angela Nunana, Aline, Brown, Joel, Jesslord, Stella, Adrian, Albert F., Nana Yaw (SI), Mckenzie, Claudette, Angela Kazadi, Baaba, Pastor Young, Bro Yaw, and the entire Pentecost International Church for providing me with a second home in Sweden, where I found solace and community. Lastly, I am thankful to everyone I have encountered in my life, whose influence has shaped me from childhood to this moment. To all who have offered assistance in any capacity, your contributions are deeply appreciated, and I pray for abundant blessings upon you.

Albert Duodu
Lund, April 2024

Introduction



Introduction

We cannot solve our problems with the same thinking we used when we created them.
— Albert Einstein

The latest report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC 2023; see also Lewandowsky et al. 2019; Cook 2019) paints a stark picture: our planet is running a fever. This ongoing climate crisis will have substantial as well as unpredictable effects on the conditions for human life, for example by leading to extreme weather events, rising sea levels that prevent habitation in many of the world's most populous areas, elimination of sensitive species which threatens the functioning of wider ecosystems, and much more. It is not controversial to state that the climate crisis is a major challenge for mankind (Burgess et al., 2017; Carleton et al., 2022; Kelley et al., 2015).

The good news is that we have witnessed a significant decrease in emission intensity within the manufacturing sector of many developed countries. One possible explanation is technological expansion. However, another possible explanation is that the production of pollution-intensive goods (and thus emissions) might have shifted towards countries with less stringent policies, i.e., carbon offshoring. Without environmental policy harmonization, this will lead to a concept called carbon leakage. The first paper delves into this issue and provides causal evidence and a mechanism through which carbon offshoring could occur and how it translates to lower emission intensities for firms. However, the connection between trade and carbon emissions is complex. In my second paper (*joint with Zouhier El-Sahli*), we aim to innovate upon the current literature by examining how local import competition exposure, *defined at different spatial dimensions within Sweden*, could affect local firms' environmental behavior. We also delve into the underlying mechanisms of this effect, which include productivity spillovers, adjustments in production strategies by manufacturers (such as shifting towards cleaner product mixes), and changes in pollution abatement investments. Interestingly, when countries implement policies to mitigate the adverse environmental effects of trade, these measures can have economic consequences. In my third paper, I examine how changes in energy prices due to energy tax shocks affect Swedish firms' environmental and economic outcomes. This allows me to evaluate the trade-off, or otherwise, of the effect of embarking on ambitious climate policies.

Overall, this thesis aims to make contributions to two major economics fields – environmental economics and international economics. First, it aims to contribute to our understanding of how globalization, broadly defined, affects the environment through firms’ changing behavior. Second, it seeks to investigate how climate policies affect economic outcomes, such as the location of production, wages, employment and inflation.

Throughout the thesis, a great emphasis will be put on methodological soundness, using causal estimation techniques, and basing the conclusions based on micro-level evidence with the help of a very rich dataset on Swedish manufacturing firms. By adopting this approach, I aim to provide insights that are not only theoretically grounded but also empirically supported by the data. In the following section, I provide a bird’s eye view of my data and methodology used in this thesis. This allows us to appreciate the depth and breadth of the analysis, providing a solid foundation for drawing meaningful conclusions about the environmental and economic impacts of trade and energy price shocks on Swedish manufacturing firms.

1 Data and Methodological overview

1.1 The Swedish Firm-Level Data

As a nation renowned for its environmental consciousness, Sweden serves as an intriguing backdrop for my studies. To explore the intricate interactions between trade, energy prices, the environment, and the economy, I have tapped into extensive firm-level datasets meticulously collected over the period 2005-2014 by Statistics Sweden – the government agency responsible for official statistics in Sweden. The reliability and quality of this data are widely acknowledged to be very high, given that misreporting is punishable by law. In the following subsections, I offer a glimpse into this rich tapestry of data.

Environmental variables. In most empirical research on the environment and climate, obtaining firm-level emission data with such granularity is challenging. Typically, emissions are sourced and utilized at the industry and national levels. This limitation arises because the technology for capturing CO₂ emissions at their source is often unavailable in many firms. One workaround for this data challenge involves gathering data on all energy types used by firms and calculating their CO₂ emissions based on the types of fuel they use. In this context, I gather information on the energy usage of all manufacturing plants with 10 or more employees. Subsequently, I compute CO₂ emissions from fuel inputs using the CO₂ content of these energy sources.

Additionally, this dataset is enriched by Statistics Sweden’s reporting on a subset of firms (approximately 600 per year), detailing their expenditures and investments in pollution abatement. I have access to this valuable data spanning the years 2005 to

2014. Therefore, I have goldmine of environmental variables at my disposal, providing a rich foundation and a direct test of how trade can affect the environmental behaviour of firms.

Another distinctive feature of my dataset is the inclusion of firm-level annual expenditures on and quantities of purchased energy. This facet offers a unique opportunity to compute the unit value of energy for each firm, which is typically not readily available for research on carbon and energy prices (Dechezleprêtre and Sato, 2020). Given that I can compute energy prices at the firm level, I can equally observe energy price shocks and the policy environment surrounding these events and examine how this has impacted firms environmental performance and competitiveness.

Production and Trade. Another important aspect of my dataset is the rich data on firms’ production and trade activities. In particular, I obtain production and trade data from two important databases from the SCB. First, from the Production of Commodities and Industrial Services (IVP) database, I access annual information detailing the quantities and values of production at the 8-digit product levels of about 6,200 manufacturing firms in Sweden. Second, I access trade data from the International Trade in Goods (ITG) database. This database provides detailed country and product trade information for all Swedish firms, encompassing values and quantities of imports and exports at the 8-digit product level. This level of detail in the import data is what enables me to construct a shock in trade activities of firms using a shift-share style instrument (see subsection 1.2). This approach allows me to illustrate how different types of imports (‘dirty’ vs ‘clean’) impact the environmental practices of manufacturing firms.

Economic variables. To complement the aforementioned data and highlight distinct features of the firms in my dataset, I obtain firm-level economic variables from the Structural Business Statistics database (FEK). This database offers a plethora of firm-level variables, including the number of employees, industry classification, and balance sheet variables such as sales, assets, investments, and other production costs. Additionally, I utilize this data to estimate firms’ total factor productivity (TFP) following the methods proposed by Wooldridge (2009) and Levinsohn and Petrin (2003), as well as firms’ markups following the method proposed by De Loecker et al. (2016). Detailed explanations of these methodologies can be found in paper 3, appendix B.

1.2 Methodology

Armed with these panel datasets, I conduct a comprehensive firm-level analysis of the impact of trade and energy prices on changes in two key phenomena over time: firms’ emissions and economic variables such as TFP, employment, and prices. To help clarify the estimation techniques used in this thesis, let’s consider an example from my first paper, where I aim to understand how changes in carbon imports over time affect firms’ emissions. To do this, I specify a linear regression model where

emission intensity (emission per sales) is the dependent variable and carbon imports is the explanatory variable. An important question of identification is whether carbon imports may be biased by a self-selection issue, where only certain types of firms, such as dirty, large, and less-productive firms, engage in carbon imports. Additionally, certain important variables, such as alternative emission-saving technology, technical changes in firms, and climate policy shocks, which are unobservable, may also bias such a relationship. This phenomenon gives rise to what is termed the omission variable bias.

To overcome this issue, econometricians and applied statisticians recommend the use of controls and high-dimensional fixed effects for both time periods and firms or even industries and regions. This helps to deal with factors specific to each case or time period that might otherwise be overlooked and hence reduce the risk of committing inferential error. However, a rapidly growing amount of literature in causal inference has shown that fixed effects regressions could not control for all omitted variables: it could deliver consistent estimates only with strong assumptions about the homogeneity of treatment effects, and may be biased when treatment effects vary over time or by firms (Cengiz et al., 2019; De Chaisemartin and d’Haultfoeuille, 2020; Sun and Abraham, 2021; Goodman-Bacon, 2021; Callaway and Sant’Anna, 2021; Borusyak et al., 2022c). Therefore, my measure of carbon imports, which largely depends on import demand of Swedish importers, may still suffer from endogeneity issues, even after using high-dimensional fixed effects. For example, firms may be encouraged to import dirty intermediate goods if their emissions are high and vice versa. Hence, changes in supply and demand conditions may feed into each other, which would lead to reverse causality.

Shift-share instruments. To mitigate these concerns and estimate the causal effects of carbon import, I must isolate the supply-driven increase in imports (components that are caused by arguably exogenous increases in trade). Following Hummels et al. (2018) and recent discussions by Goldsmith-Pinkham et al. (2020), Borusyak et al. (2022a) and Borusyak et al. (2022b), I use global supply shocks directed to countries other than Sweden and its neighbouring countries as instruments. Think of these shocks as exogenous policies and/or non-policies that affects the exporting products at source countries. For instance, suppose Ghana were to implement export promotion policies that result in increased imports of certain products. This would cause supply shocks for Swedish importing firms of these products.

Thus, my identification strategy requires yearly data on world export supply from source countries, which I obtain from the UN COMTRADE database on bilateral trade. These data, available at the 6-digit HS level, allows me to match them with my firm import data. First, I calculate the world export supply of the product, excluding the supply to Sweden and its immediate neighbouring countries (Denmark, Finland, Germany, and Norway). I expect this variation in the world export supply to be positively correlated with the imports of Swedish firms, as it reflects changes in the relative price and quality of the product in the exporting countries. To make the instrument specific to a particular importing firm and product at a particular time, we multiply the world export supply of the product by the pre-sample share of the

product in the total imports of the importing firm. The resulting firm-time specific instrument is calculated as follows:

$$IV_{it} = \sum sh_{fjc}WX_{jct};$$

where WX_{jct} is the world supply of product j by country c at time t and sh_{fjc} is the pre-sample share of product j imported from country c by the domestic importing firm f . I use pre-sample shares to create an exposure for the specific products imported before entering the sample and also ensure that the input use of the importing firm is not influenced by current technology shocks.

The instrument is valid if it satisfies the standard exclusion restriction. That is, the instrument must be randomly assigned. But an identification under shift-share instruments does not fully require such strict assumption. As explained in Goldsmith-Pinkham et al. (2020), Borusyak et al. (2022a) and Borusyak et al. (2022b), the exogeneity of shift-share instruments can stem from the exogeneity of either the shares or the shocks. In the “shares view”, we would need to assume that unobserved determinants of imports and emissions are unrelated to the choice of initial product offerings of firms, conditional on industry trends. This assumption seems unlikely in my context. Indeed, any product-specific trend would violate the assumption. For instance, import competition has been shown to increase productivity in Swedish manufacturing (Akerman et al., 2021). Thus, firms heavily specialized in products with low trade restrictions over the period would likely have grown faster than other firms, even without the supply shocks. Instead, I adopt the view that foreign supply shocks are as good as randomly assigned with respect to firm outcomes, after controlling for industry trends. This is a less restrictive requirement which simply argues that the instrument will be valid if the world export shocks are uncorrelated with the average firm-level characteristics that determine emissions (Borusyak et al., 2022b). The identifying assumption is that firms did not sort into industries such that the industry characteristics were correlated with the emissions and the import and export shocks. One example of problematic sorting would be if firms that increased their imports systematically, operated in sectors that experienced an increase in emissions and productivity. To address sorting of this kind, I include firm fixed effects and sector-year fixed effects. In some specifications, I add lagged information on firm capital, employment, investment in machines, and the amount of emission rights purchased as additional controls.

Dynamic Difference-in-Difference. Given the quasi-random assignment of shocks, the primary concern regarding identification is the possibility of unobservable firm characteristics influencing the trend of firm-level outcomes and being correlated with the shocks. For instance, firms with more robust market research departments may be better equipped to identify and capitalize on growing foreign markets with laxer environmental policies, leading to independent reasons for their accelerated growth in dirty imports. Also, large multinational firms could influence exporting policies of emerging markets which could mechanically correlate with positive foreign supply shocks. If omitted variables are linking expanding firms to growing foreign markets,

we would expect to observe distinct trends among firms that are projected to experience larger dirty imports in the future, even prior to the shocks. To overcome this empirical issue, I follow Barrows and Ollivier (2021) by employing a dynamic difference-in-differences using current-year-weighted foreign supply shocks as instrumental variables, while controlling for arbitrary industry-by-year trends. Additionally, I instrument these shocks with base-year-weighted shocks and inspect how the pre-trends changes prior to and after the export shocks. This specification has the advantage of assessing the pre-trends and estimating cumulative long-run impact of carbon offshoring on emissions.

The remainder of this introduction provides a short summary of each arm of the thesis, outlining the motivation, empirical strategy and results.

2 Summary of Papers

2.1 How does globalization affect the environment?

My first line of research focuses on the intricate link between globalization and the environment. Globalization, marked by the massive movement of goods, services, capital, and information across borders, has been praised for spurring economic advancement. However, it has also been implicated in exacerbating environmental degradation, raising questions about its sustainability and equity implications. As globalization progresses, it's becoming clearer that the burden of environmental risks isn't evenly shared, with marginalized communities and regions facing a "double exposure" from the negative impacts of globalization and global environmental change (Leichenko and O'Brien, 2008; Thorpe and Figge, 2018). The emergence of pollution offshoring – a phenomenon where carbon-intensive production relocates to countries with less stringent environmental regulations – has added a new layer of complexity to this discourse.

Paper I: "Carbon Offshoring and Manufacturing Cleanup". In recent decades, high-income countries as a group have managed to grow their economies without emitting more pollution. In theory, offshoring could explain this achievement (Baumert et al., 2019; Copeland et al., 2022; Levinson, 2023; Ferguson and Sanctuary, 2019). However, assessing the extent to which high-income countries reduce domestic pollution by importing goods whose production generates foreign pollution is methodologically challenging because it requires an extensive data at the micro level, including product level decisions, imports and emissions and some exogenous variation in offshoring. As a result, there is a lack of causal evidence on this issue. Against this backdrop, this thesis first explores how pollution offshoring might have contributed to the green transition of the manufacturing sector in developed countries. My first paper deepens our understanding of the mechanism through which pollution offshoring can occur at firm-level and how it may have lead to the lower emissions of Swedish manufacturing firms.

Before describing the problem with pollution offshoring, it is worth being clear about what is meant by each word: “pollution” and “offshoring”. Pollution in this context could be referred to as “emissions,” which, according to popular perception, are typically considered as outputs. However, in economics terms, pollution is an input. Manufacturing a product for sale often requires pollution, just as it requires capital and labor. Goods can be manufactured using more pollution and less capital and labor, or less pollution and more of those other inputs. As for offshoring, economists sometimes describe it as “outsourcing,” which occurs at the firm level, when a particular firm contracts with a third party to purchase goods or services – either a final or intermediate inputs – that were previously produced or could have been produced by the firm’s own employees (Levinson, 2023). In this thesis, I use “offshoring” in the more stricter sense, to describe what happens when a firm in a country imports intermediate and/or own-produced goods rather than producing them domestically.

What is wrong with pollution offshoring? Take for example, a firm that produces more than one good (e.g. iWatch, iPhone etc.) and uses intermediate inputs (e.g. Tin, beryllium, mercury, phthalates etc.). This firm has the option to source these intermediate goods either locally or by importing them from abroad. When an intermediate input is both emission-intensive and locally produced, it becomes an integral part of firm’s production process, contributing to higher emissions. If these emissions surpass a predetermined regulatory threshold, the firm can expect to incur environmental penalties, such as higher carbon taxes (Cole et al., 2014). In order to circumvent such environmental penalties, the firm may choose to import these emission-intensive intermediate goods from foreign markets, provided that the cost of importing is more economical than the cost of implementing emission-reduction measures. Thus, the decision of offshoring hinges on the fact that importing these goods from countries with a comparative advantage in emission-intensive production and a more lenient environmental regulatory framework can offer a cost-effective solution. The concern is that pollution offshoring can lead to the so-called “carbon leakage” — where local emissions are moved elsewhere, thereby undermining national climate policies as well as efforts to invest in green innovation and technologies aimed at reducing emissions.

By exploring the causal mechanisms underlying pollution offshoring and its implications for firm-level emissions intensity, my first paper seeks to shed light on the validity of carbon leakage concerns and the efficacy of policy interventions aimed at mitigating environmental externalities in a globalized world.

In particular, the paper examines the carbon offshoring behavior of Swedish manufacturing firms from 2006 to 2014 using detailed data on production, trade, and emissions. For my empirical purpose, I use firm-specific instruments based on importing emission-intensive goods from countries with less stringent environmental policies. This instrument leverages changes in these countries’ export composition to predict shifts in intermediate and final goods imports. Because the shocks are quasi-randomly assigned, my main concern about identification is whether hidden qualities of firms could affect how their emissions trend over time and be linked to the shocks. Thus, I complement my instrumental variable approach by employing a difference-

in-difference strategy with weighted foreign supply shocks as instrumental variables, while controlling for firm and industry-specific trends (Barrows and Ollivier, 2021; Borusyak et al., 2022b; Goldsmith-Pinkham et al., 2020; Hummels et al., 2018).

The main conclusions of the study are threefold. First, I estimate that in a year where carbon content of input import are 10% higher than it typically is for that firm, we would expect production-based CO₂ emission intensity to fall by 5.3%. However, the elasticity is small when the type of good imported is firm’s own-produced good. While there is a positive impact on average production-based emissions, my findings indicate that this is somewhat offset by an increase in transportation emissions. Therefore, it remains uncertain what the net effect on emissions will be. My second set of results show that environmental policy arbitrage may play a role this effect. Offshoring to countries with weaker environmental regulations led to a relatively larger reduction in emissions intensities, with this effect being particularly pronounced in low-income countries. Thirdly, I find that cleanups are larger for multi-product firms, cleaner industries and multinationals.

The paper makes three key contributions to the literature on manufacturing cleanups. Firstly, it extends previous findings from France and the U.S. to Sweden, demonstrating that carbon offshoring reduces emissions at the firm level (Dussaux et al., 2023; Najjar and Cherniwchan, 2021; Levinson, 2010; Li and Zhou, 2017). These studies have overly focused on how firms production-based emissions change as a result of climate policies and trade. My paper, on the other hand, examines the impact of offshoring on both production-based and transport-based emissions, revealing a trade-off where production-based emissions decrease while transport emissions increase.

Secondly, the study contributes to micro-level research on globalization and the environment by quantifying the carbon offshoring channel of trade shocks on emissions. My paper distinguishes between different types of imported goods and finds that carbon offshoring through input reallocation leads to a larger reduction in emissions compared to in-house offshoring. Additionally, it shows that dirty imports do not solely account for improved environmental impact, but other forms of globalization such as outward FDI could contribute to lower emission intensity of firms (Akerman et al., 2021; Dussaux et al., 2023; Leisner et al., 2023; Kander et al., 2020; Li and Zhou, 2017; Shapiro and Walker, 2018; Ferguson and Sanctuary, 2019).

Thirdly, the paper explores the role of environmental policy arbitrage, demonstrating that firms’ importing or migration to countries with lax environmental policies significantly impacts their emission intensity. Using a comprehensive index of environmental policy stringency, it provides a rigorous comparative analysis of offshoring to/from countries with different environmental policies, highlighting the importance of considering environmental policy differences in understanding manufacturing cleanup dynamics (Cole and Elliott, 2005; Cole et al., 2014; Stavropoulos et al., 2018; Koziuk et al., 2019).

Paper II: “Local Import Competition and Firm-level Emissions” (*joint with Zouheir El-Sahli*): Yet, the relationship between trade and carbon emissions is com-

plicated. Existing literature suggests that import competition can drive efficiency improvements in firms. However, it remains uncertain whether such gains translate into better environmental practices by these firms. In the second paper, we show that globalization via localized import competition exposure could be beneficial. Here, we carefully isolate the carbon leakage concern associated with increasing global integration by focusing on how spatially localized import competition shocks affect the environmental performance of local non-offshoring upstream producers.

We innovate upon the current literature, which typically measures import competition at the national level with the assumption that competition increases simultaneously and symmetrically within an economy as soon as an imported product crosses the border. Instead, we argue that manufacturers are increasingly involved in interconnected firms through complex global value chains (GVCs), where firms supply each other with intermediate goods. Consequently, trade shocks will have a more pronounced impact on producers in close proximity to importers, as the shock is relatively contained within a region/locality due to geographical and/or industrial frictions. Thus, we contribute to the literature on import competition by examining the role of proximity in buyer-seller networks and the spatial positioning of firms in studies focusing on domestic competition.

Using detailed geographical information about the location of all manufacturing firms in Sweden during the period 2005-2014, we find evidence that import competition leads to lower CO₂ emission intensity and this result is robust to several import competition measures defined at different geographic dimensions. We then proceed to examine the channels that explain this result. We find evidence that import competition leads to an increase in firm productivity. Hence, emission intensity decreases because the firm becomes more energy-efficient through gains made in productivity. In addition, we find that the producing firm increases the production of its core product while also increasing markups. This suggests that the firm differentiates itself by upgrading the quality of its production while focusing on its core product. Because product upgrading is likely to involve cleaner capital investments, emission intensity also decreases. This is further supported by evidence that import competition also leads to higher intensity in investment in emissions abatement technology.

This study contributes to the literature on buyer-seller networks, emphasizing the importance of proximity in firms' marginal cost, production, markup, and labor market outcomes. Previous research by Gullstrand and Knutsson (2019); Bellone et al. (2016); Autor et al. (2013); Ding et al. (2016) highlights various dimensions of import competition and its effects on firms. However, little is known about import competition's effects on firms' emissions and production processes at the local level. Our analysis fills this gap by examining the spatial dimension of import competition's effects on firms' emissions.

Additionally, our study aligns with research on trade and the environment, particularly studies by Akerman et al. (2021) and Leisner et al. (2023), which focus on how offshoring affects the emission intensity of firms. While these studies focus on importing firms, our paper explores the impact of trade shocks on producing firms in

Sweden’s spatial dimensions, aiming to understand the role of geographical frictions in firms’ responses to import competition.

Furthermore, our study connects to the literature on firm-level productivity and abatement. Research by Newman et al. (2023); Bernard et al. (2019) highlights the importance of technology adoption and product mix in firm-level changes in productivity. Our analysis complements these studies by estimating the effects of import competition on emission intensity at the firm level and directly testing the technological channel using abatement investment data.

2.2 Economic Implications of Stringent Climate Policies

The looming climate crisis has catapulted climate change higher up on the global policy agenda. However, when countries aim to address the negative environmental consequences of trade, those policies may, in turn, have economic repercussions. If the costs of greening the economy are perceived to render firms less competitive, increase unemployment, and be unfairly distributed, this could undermine the success of the transition. Against this backdrop, it is crucial to better understand the economic effects of climate policy.

While there is mounting evidence on the effectiveness of such policies for emission reductions (e.g. Martin et al. 2014; Andersson 2019) less is known about their economic effects. A key transmission channel of carbon pricing policies operates through higher energy prices (Fabra and Reguant, 2014). Because energy demand is relatively inelastic, at least in the short term, this reduces the profits of firms and households. A relatively energy intensive firms tend to spend larger share of their profits on energy and energy-related products and will disproportionately be affected. In order to maintain competitive, this may affect their employment of non-energy inputs such as labour and capital. As such productivity of firms may fall. Additionally, higher energy prices also affect the economy indirectly through second-round effects via prices and wages and hence income and employment. These indirect effects may also be borne unequally across society.

In my second line of research, I explore these consequences. I assess the contemporaneous and dynamic effect of energy price shock in a unified empirical framework to be able to attribute any potential differences in energy price to energy tax design in Sweden. Specifically, I estimate a panel model of Swedish manufacturing firms and identify the effects of energy price by controlling for global and local macro-financial conditions in addition to firm and industry-fixed effects. I use both instrumental variables and dynamic DID approaches (see e.g., Goldsmith-Pinkham et al. 2020; Borusyak et al. 2022a; Barrows and Ollivier 2021) to measure the effect of an increased energy price on environmental goals (i.e. energy consumption and emissions) and economic goals (i.e., firm productivity, employment, and output prices). In doing so, my study bridges two critical aspects often studied separately in public finance and environmental economics: the interplay of energy substitution with other inputs

and the pass-through of input costs. Ultimately, I evaluate the trade-off between the environmental benefits and economic costs of energy prices.

The analysis uses data on 3800 firms with with 10 or more employees and observed during 2006-2014. The paper shows that: (i) even though a 10% increase in energy prices causes a decline in energy use by 8.6% at the firm level, this increment has negative effect on net employment at the firm level, but it motivates a reallocation of production and workers from energy-intensive to energy-efficient firms. (ii) I also find that low-productivity firms are potentially at high risk of reduced productivity, while high-productivity firms continue to experience productivity growth. Overall, the results indicate that an increase in energy prices leads to a crowding-out effect. This means that regardless of whether it enhances energy efficiency or not, a higher energy price hinders other possible productivity improvements in firms. (iii) Lastly, I show varying responses among different types of firms in adjusting their unit prices. Specifically, energy-intensive firms absorb a greater percentage of the cost increase and pass on a smaller portion of the marginal cost increase to consumers. Consequently, the increase in carbon costs leads to an incomplete pass-through of marginal costs to consumers.

The study makes three main contributions. Firstly, it adds to the existing body of research on the environmental effects of carbon policies, particularly focusing on energy pricing. While previous studies have shown evidence supporting the effectiveness of carbon policies at the macro-level (national and sector level), there is limited understanding of how these policies affect emissions at the micro-level, such as firm-level emissions. While some exceptions exist, such as studies by (Martin et al., 2014) and (Martinsson et al., 2022) that demonstrate carbon policies can reduce emissions significantly at the firm-level, this study contributes to the micro-level evidence by providing new causal estimates based on firm-level energy prices. The methods employed in this study, including shift-share instruments and dynamic DID techniques, allow for the isolation of exogenous variations in firm-level energy prices and assessment of both short- and long-term impacts.

This paper contributes to the literature on the economic effects of climate policy by examining both macroeconomic impacts and microeconomic effects on the labor market. While some studies find no significant macroeconomic effects of carbon taxes (Bernard and Kichian, 2021; Konradt and Weder, 2021; Metcalf and Stock, 2020), others identify potential costs such as lower output and higher unemployment (Känzig, 2023). The study adds to this by investigating how energy prices impact labor demand, revealing that firms may substitute high-skilled costly labor for low-skilled cheap labor (Marin and Vona, 2021). Additionally, it explores the relationship between energy prices and firm productivity, contributing to existing research in this area (Andersson, 2020; Dechezleprêtre et al., 2017; Venmans et al., 2020). Furthermore, the study examines the potential for carbon leakage induced by higher energy prices, offering insights into firms' sourcing decisions for inputs and complementing studies such as Ferguson and Sanctuary (2019), who provides valuable insights into firms' import behavior in response to electricity price changes. Overall, the paper contributes to understanding the complex economic effects of energy prices.

This paper also contributes to the cost pass-through literature by examining how manufacturing firms adjust prices in response to energy price changes. It finds that higher energy prices may reduce firms' international competitiveness, but not all firms adjust their prices accordingly. Factors such as productivity levels, multi-product structures, and regulatory status influence firms' ability to absorb energy price inflation. This study's findings align with Ganapati et al. (2020), who examined energy cost pass-through in U.S. manufacturing industries. However, this study extends the analysis to both single and multi-product firms and explores various heterogeneous effects, shedding light on the complexities of pricing and cost-sharing behaviors among different types of firms.

3 References

- Akerman, A., Forslid, R., and Prane, O. (2021). Imports and the CO₂ emissions of firms. *CEPR Discussion Paper No. DP16090*.
- Andersson, F. N. (2020). Effects on the manufacturing, utility and construction industries of decarbonization of the energy-intensive and natural resource-based industries. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 21:1–13.
- Andersson, J. J. (2019). Carbon taxes and CO₂ emissions: Sweden as a case study. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 11(4):1–30.
- Autor, D. H., Dorn, D., and Hanson, G. H. (2013). The geography of trade and technology shocks in the united states. *American Economic Review*, 103(3):220–25.
- Barrows, G. and Ollivier, H. (2021). Foreign demand, developing country exports, and CO₂ emissions: Firm-level evidence from india. *Journal of Development Economics*, 149:102587.
- Baumert, N., Kander, A., Jiborn, M., Kulionis, V., and Nielsen, T. (2019). Global outsourcing of carbon emissions 1995–2009: A reassessment. *Environmental science & policy*, 92:228–236.
- Bellone, F., Musso, P., Nesta, L., and Warzynski, F. (2016). International trade and firm-level markups when location and quality matter. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 16(1):67–91.
- Bernard, A. B., Moxnes, A., and Saito, Y. U. (2019). Production networks, geography, and firm performance. *Journal of Political Economy*, 127(2):639–688.
- Bernard, J.-T. and Kichian, M. (2021). The impact of a revenue-neutral carbon tax on gdp dynamics: The case of british columbia. *The Energy Journal*, 42(3).
- Borusyak, K., Dix-Carneiro, R., and Kovak, B. (2022a). Understanding migration responses to local shocks. *Available at SSRN 4086847*.

- Borusyak, K., Hull, P., and Jaravel, X. (2022b). Quasi-experimental shift-share research designs. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 89(1):181–213.
- Borusyak, K., Jaravel, X., and Spiess, J. (2022c). Revisiting event study designs: Robust and efficient estimation. *Available at SSRN 2826228*.
- Burgess, R., Deschenes, O., Donaldson, D., and Greenstone, M. (2017). Weather, climate change and death in india. *University of Chicago*, pages 577–617.
- Callaway, B. and Sant’Anna, P. H. (2021). Difference-in-differences with multiple time periods. *Journal of Econometrics*, 225(2):200–230.
- Carleton, T., Jina, A., Delgado, M., Greenstone, M., Houser, T., Hsiang, S., Hultgren, A., Kopp, R. E., McCusker, K. E., Nath, I., et al. (2022). Valuing the global mortality consequences of climate change accounting for adaptation costs and benefits. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 137(4):2037–2105.
- Cengiz, D., Dube, A., Lindner, A., and Zipperer, B. (2019). The effect of minimum wages on low-wage jobs. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 134(3):1405–1454.
- Cole, M. A. and Elliott, R. J. (2005). FDI and the capital intensity of “dirty” sectors: a missing piece of the pollution haven puzzle. *Review of Development Economics*, 9(4):530–548.
- Cole, M. A., Elliott, R. J., and Okubo, T. (2014). International environmental outsourcing. *Review of World Economics*, 150(4):639–664.
- Cook, J. (2019). Understanding and countering misinformation about climate change. *Handbook of research on deception, fake news, and misinformation online*, pages 281–306.
- Copeland, R. B., Shapiro, S. J., and Taylor, M. S. (2022). Chapter 2 - globalization and the environment. *Handbook of International Economics*, 89(1):61–146.
- De Chaisemartin, C. and d’Haultfoeuille, X. (2020). Two-way fixed effects estimators with heterogeneous treatment effects. *American Economic Review*, 110(9):2964–2996.
- De Loecker, J., Goldberg, P. K., Khandelwal, A. K., and Pavcnik, N. (2016). Prices, markups, and trade reform. *Econometrica*, 84(2):445–510.
- Dechezleprêtre, A., Lovo, S., Martin, R., and Sato, M. (2017). Does climate change pose a risk to competitiveness? global firm-level evidence. Technical report, Working paper, London School of Economics and Imperial College London.
- Dechezleprêtre, A. and Sato, M. (2020). The impacts of environmental regulations on competitiveness. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*.
- Ding, S., Jiang, W., and Sun, P. (2016). Import competition, dynamic resource allocation and productivity dispersion: micro-level evidence from china. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 68(4):994–1015.

- Dussaux, D., Vona, F., and Dechezleprêtre, A. (2023). Imported carbon emissions: Evidence from french manufacturing companies. *Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d'économique*.
- Fabra, N. and Reguant, M. (2014). Pass-through of emissions costs in electricity markets. *American Economic Review*, 104(9):2872–2899.
- Ferguson, S. and Sanctuary, M. (2019). Why is carbon leakage for energy-intensive industry hard to find? *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies*, 21(1):1–24.
- Ganapati, S., Shapiro, J. S., and Walker, R. (2020). Energy cost pass-through in us manufacturing: Estimates and implications for carbon taxes. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 12(2):303–42.
- Goldsmith-Pinkham, P., Sorkin, I., and Swift, H. (2020). Bartik instruments: What, when, why, and how. *American Economic Review*, 110(8):2586–2624.
- Goodman-Bacon, A. (2021). Difference-in-differences with variation in treatment timing. *Journal of Econometrics*, 225(2):254–277.
- Gullstrand, J. and Knutsson, P. (2019). *The Spatial Dimension of Import Competition*. Department of Economics, School of Economics and Management, Lund University.
- Hummels, D., Munch, J. R., and Xiang, C. (2018). Offshoring and labor markets. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 56(3):981–1028.
- Kander, A., Rubio-Varas, M. d. M., and Stern, D. I. (2020). Energy intensity: the roles of rebound, capital stocks, and trade. In *A Research Agenda for Environmental Economics*, pages 122–142. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Känzig, D. R. (2023). The unequal economic consequences of carbon pricing. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Kelley, C. P., Mohtadi, S., Cane, M. A., Seager, R., and Kushnir, Y. (2015). Climate change in the fertile crescent and implications of the recent syrian drought. *Proceedings of the national Academy of Sciences*, 112(11):3241–3246.
- Konradt, M. and Weder, B. (2021). Carbon taxation and inflation: evidence from the european and canadian experience. Technical report, Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies Working Paper.
- Koziuk, V., Hayda, Y., Dluhopolskyi, O., and Klappiv, Y. (2019). Stringency of environmental regulations vs. global competitiveness: Empirical analysis. *Economics & Sociology*, 12(4):278–298.
- Leichenko, R. and O'Brien, K. (2008). *Environmental change and globalization: Double exposures*. Oxford University Press.
- Leisner, J., Munch, J., Nielsen, A., and Schaur, G. (2023). The impact of offshoring and import competition on firm-level carbon emissions.

- Levinsohn, J. and Petrin, A. (2003). Estimating production functions using inputs to control for unobservables. *The review of economic studies*, 70(2):317–341.
- Levinson, A. (2010). Offshoring pollution: is the united states increasingly importing polluting goods?
- Levinson, A. (2023). Are developed countries outsourcing pollution? *Journal of Economic Perspectives*.
- Lewandowsky, S., Cook, J., Fay, N., and Gignac, G. E. (2019). Science by social media: Attitudes towards climate change are mediated by perceived social consensus. *Memory & cognition*, 47(8):1445–1456.
- Li, X. and Zhou, Y. M. (2017). Offshoring pollution while offshoring production? *Strategic Management Journal*, 38(11):2310–2329.
- Marin, G. and Vona, F. (2021). The impact of energy prices on socioeconomic and environmental performance: Evidence from french manufacturing establishments, 1997–2015. *European Economic Review*, 135:103739.
- Martin, R., De Preux, L. B., and Wagner, U. J. (2014). The impact of a carbon tax on manufacturing: Evidence from microdata. *Journal of Public Economics*, 117:1–14.
- Martinsson, G., Strömberg, P., Sajtos, L., and Thomann, C. J. (2022). Carbon pricing and firm-level CO2 abatement: Evidence from a quarter of a century-long panel. *European Corporate Governance Institute–Finance Working Paper*, (842).
- Metcalf, G. E. and Stock, J. H. (2020). Measuring the macroeconomic impact of carbon taxes. In *AEA papers and Proceedings*, volume 110, pages 101–106. American Economic Association 2014 Broadway, Suite 305, Nashville, TN 37203.
- Najjar, N. and Cherniwchan, J. (2021). Environmental regulations and the cleanup of manufacturing: plant-level evidence. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 103(3):476–491.
- Newman, C., Rand, J., and Tarp, F. (2023). Imports, supply chains and firm productivity. *World Development*, 172:106371.
- Shapiro, J. S. and Walker, R. (2018). Why is pollution from us manufacturing declining? the roles of environmental regulation, productivity, and trade. *American Economic Review*, 108(12):3814–54.
- Stavropoulos, S., Wall, R., and Xu, Y. (2018). Environmental regulations and industrial competitiveness: Evidence from China. *Applied Economics*, 50(12):1378–1394.
- Sun, L. and Abraham, S. (2021). Estimating dynamic treatment effects in event studies with heterogeneous treatment effects. *Journal of Econometrics*, 225(2):175–199.

- Thorpe, A. and Figge, F. (2018). Climate change and globalisation as ‘double exposure’: Implications for policy development. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 90:54–64.
- Venmans, F., Ellis, J., and Nachtigall, D. (2020). Carbon pricing and competitiveness: are they at odds? *Climate Policy*, 20(9):1070–1091.
- Wooldridge, J. M. (2009). On estimating firm-level production functions using proxy variables to control for unobservables. *Economics letters*, 104(3):112–114.

Lund Economic Studies

1. Guy Arvidsson Bidrag till teorin för verkningarna av räntevariationer, 1962
2. Björn Thalberg A Trade Cycle Analysis. Extensions of the Goodwin Model, 1966
3. Bengt Höglund Modell och observationer. En studie av empirisk anknytning och aggregation för en linjär produktionsmodell, 1968
4. Alf Carling Industrins struktur och konkurrensförhållanden, 1968
5. Tony Hagström Kreditmarknadens struktur och funktionssätt, 1968
6. Göran Skogh Straffrätt och samhällsekonomi, 1973
7. Ulf Jakobsson och Göran Norman Inkomstbeskattningen i den ekonomiska politiken. En kvantitativ analys av systemet för personlig inkomstbeskattning 1952-71, 1974
8. Eskil Wadensjö Immigration och samhällsekonomi. Immigrationens ekonomiska orsaker och effekter, 1973
9. Rögnvaldur Hannesson Economics of Fisheries. Some Problems of Efficiency, 1974
10. Charles Stuart Search and the Organization of Marketplaces, 1975
11. S Enone Metuge An Input-Output Study of the Structure and Resource Use in the Cameroon Economy, 1976
12. Bengt Jönsson Cost-Benefit Analysis in Public Health and Medical Care, 1976
13. Agneta Kruse och Ann-Charlotte Ståhlberg Effekter av ATP - en samhällsekonomisk studie, 1977
14. Krister Hjalte Sjörestaureeringens ekonomi, 1977
15. Lars-Gunnar Svensson Social Justice and Fair Distributions, 1977
16. Curt Wells Optimal Fiscal and Monetary Policy - Experiments with an Econometric Model of Sweden, 1978
17. Karl Lidgren Dryckesförpackningar och miljöpolitik - En studie av styrmedel, 1978
18. Mats Lundahl Peasants and Poverty. A Study of Haiti, London, 1979
19. Inga Persson-Tanimura Studier kring arbetsmarknad och information, 1980
20. Bengt Turner Hyressättning på bostadsmarknaden - Från hyresreglering till bruksvärdesprövning, Stockholm 1979
21. Ingemar Hansson Market Adjustment and Investment Determination. A Theoretical Analysis of the Firm and the Industry, Stockholm 1981
22. Daniel Boda Ndlela Dualism in the Rhodesian Colonial Economy, 1981
23. Tom Alberts Agrarian Reform and Rural Poverty: A Case Study of Peru, 1981
24. Björn Lindgren Costs of Illness in Sweden 1964-75, 1981

25. Göte Hansson Social Clauses and International Trade. An Economic Analysis of Labour Standards in Trade Policy, 1981
26. Noman Kanafani Oil and Development. A Case Study of Iraq, 1982
27. Jan Ekberg Inkomsteffekter av invandring, 1983
28. Stefan Hedlund Crisis in Soviet Agriculture?, 1983
29. Ann-Marie Pålsson Hushållen och kreditpolitiken. En studie av kreditrestriktioners effekt på hushållens konsumtion, sparande och konsumtionsmönster, 1983
30. Lennart Petersson Svensk utrikeshandel, 1871-1980. En studie i den intraindustriella handelns framväxt, 1984
31. Bengt Assarsson Inflation and Relative Prices in an Open Economy, 1984
32. Claudio Vedovato Politics, Foreign Trade and Economic Development in the Dominican Republic, 1985
33. Knut Ödegaard Cash Crop versus Food Crop Production in Tanzania: An Assessment of the Major Post-Colonial Trends, 1985
34. Vassilios Vlachos Temporära lönesubventioner. En studie av ett arbetsmarknadspolitiskt medel, 1985
35. Stig Tegle Part-Time Employment. An Economic Analysis of Weekly Working Hours in Sweden 1963-1982, 1985
36. Peter Stenkula Tre studier över resursanvändningen i högskolan, 1985
37. Carl Hampus Lyttkens Swedish Work Environment Policy. An Economic Analysis, 1985
38. Per-Olof Bjuggren A Transaction Cost Approach to Vertical Integration: The Case of Swedish Pulp and Paper Industry, 1985
39. Jan Petersson Erik Lindahl och Stockholmsskolans dynamiska metod, 1987
40. Yves Bourdet International Integration, Market Structure and Prices. A Case Study of the West-European Passenger Car Industry, 1987
41. Krister Andersson and Erik Norrman Capital Taxation and Neutrality. A study of tax wedges with special reference to Sweden, 1987
42. Tohmas Karlsson A Macroeconomic Disequilibrium Model. An Econometric Study of the Swedish Business Sector 1970-84, 1987
43. Rosemary Vargas-Lundius Peasants in Distress. Poverty and Unemployment in the Dominican Republic, 1989
44. Lena Ekelund Axelson Structural Changes in the Swedish Marketing of Vegetables, 1991
45. Elias Kazarian Finance and Economic Development: Islamic Banking in Egypt, 1991
46. Anders Danielson Public Sector Expansion and Economic Development. The Sources and Consequences of Development Finance in Jamaica 1962-84, 1991

47. Johan Torstensson Factor Endowments, Product Differentiation, and International Trade, 1992
48. Tarmo Haavisto Money and Economic Activity in Finland, 1866-1985, 1992
49. Ulf Grönkvist Economic Methodology. Patterns of Reasoning and the Structure of Theories, 1992
50. Evelyne Hangali Maje Monetization, Financial Development and the Demand for Money, 1992
51. Michael Bergman Essays on Economic Fluctuations, 1992
52. Flora Mndeme Musonda Development Strategy and Manufactured Exports in Tanzania, 1992
53. Håkan J. Holm Complexity in Economic Theory. An Automata Theoretical Approach, 1993
54. Klas Fregert Wage Contracts, Policy Regimes and Business Cycles. A Contractual History of Sweden 1908-90, 1994
55. Per Frennberg Essays on Stock Price Behaviour in Sweden, 1994
56. Lisbeth Hellvin Trade and Specialization in Asia, 1994
57. Sören Höjgård Long-term Unemployment in a Full Employment Economy, 1994
58. Karolina Ekholm Multinational Production and Trade in Technological Knowledge, 1995
59. Fredrik Andersson Essays in the Economics of Asymmetric Information, 1995
60. Rikard Althin Essays on the Measurement of Producer Performance, 1995
61. Lars Nordén Empirical Studies of the Market Microstructure on the Swedish Stock Exchange, 1996
62. Kristian Bolin An Economic Analysis of Marriage and Divorce, 1996
63. Fredrik Sjöholm R&D, International Spillovers and Productivity Growth, 1997
64. Hossein Asgharian Essays on Capital Structure, 1997
65. Hans Falck Aid and Economic Performance - The Case of Tanzania, 1997
66. Bengt Liljas The Demand for Health and the Contingent Valuation Method, 1997
67. Lars Pålsson Syll Utility Theory and Structural Analysis, 1997
68. Richard Henricsson Time Varying Parameters in Exchange Rate Models, 1997
69. Peter Hördahl Financial Volatility and Time-Varying Risk Premia, 1997
70. Lars Nilsson Essays on North-South Trade, 1997
71. Fredrik Berggren Essays on the Demand for Alcohol in Sweden - Review and Applied Demand Studies, 1998
72. Henrik Braconier Essays on R&D, Technology and Growth, 1998
73. Jerker Lundbäck Essays on Trade, Growth and Exchange Rates, 1998
74. Dan Anderberg Essays on Pensions and Information, 1998

75. P. Göran T. Hägg An Institutional Analysis of Insurance Regulation – The Case of Sweden, 1998
76. Hans-Peter Bermin Essays on Lookback and Barrier Options - A Malliavin Calculus Approach, 1998
77. Kristian Nilsson Essays on Exchange Rates, Exports and Growth in Developing Countries, 1998
78. Peter Jochumzen Essays on Econometric Theory, 1998
79. Lars Behrenz Essays on the Employment Service and Employers' Recruitment Behaviour, 1998
80. Paul Nystedt Economic Aspects of Ageing, 1998
81. Rasha M. Torstensson Empirical Studies in Trade, Integration and Growth, 1999
82. Mattias Ganslandt Games and Markets - Essays on Communication, Coordination and Multi-Market Competition, 1999
83. Carl-Johan Belfrage Essays on Interest Groups and Trade Policy, 1999
84. Dan-Olof Rooth Refugee Immigrants in Sweden - Educational Investments and Labour Market Integration, 1999
85. Karin Olofsdotter Market Structure and Integration: Essays on Trade, Specialisation and Foreign Direct Investment, 1999
86. Katarina Steen Carlsson Equality of Access in Health Care, 1999
87. Peter Martinsson Stated preference methods and empirical analyses of equity in health, 2000
88. Klas Bergenheim Essays on Pharmaceutical R&D, 2000
89. Hanna Norberg Empirical Essays on Regional Specialization and Trade in Sweden, 2000
90. Åsa Hansson Limits of Tax Policy, 2000
91. Hans Byström Essays on Financial Markets, 2000
92. Henrik Amilon Essays on Financial Models, 2000
93. Mattias Lundbäck Asymmetric Information and The Production of Health, 2000
94. Jesper Hansson Macroeconometric Studies of Private Consumption, Government Debt and Real Exchange Rates, 2001
95. Jonas Månsson Essays on: Application of Cross Sectional Efficiency Analysis, 2001
96. Mattias Persson Portfolio Selection and the Analysis of Risk and Time Diversification, 2001
97. Pontus Hansson Economic Growth and Fiscal Policy, 2002
98. Joakim Gullstrand Splitting and Measuring Intra-Industry Trade, 2002
99. Birger Nilsson International Asset Pricing, Diversification and Links between National Stock Markets, 2002
100. Andreas Graflund Financial Applications of Markov Chain Monte Carlo Methods, 2002

101. Thérèse Hindman Persson Economic Analyses of Drinking Water and Sanitation in Developing Countries, 2002
102. Göran Hjelm Macroeconomic Studies on Fiscal Policy and Real Exchange Rates, 2002
103. Klas Rikner Sickness Insurance: Design and Behavior, 2002
104. Thomas Ericson Essays on the Acquisition of Skills in Teams, 2002
105. Thomas Elger Empirical Studies on the Demand for Monetary Services in the UK, 2002
106. Helena Johansson International Competition, Productivity and Regional Spillovers, 2003
107. Fredrik Gallo Explorations in the New Economic Geography, 2003
108. Susanna Thede Essays on Endogenous Trade Policies, 2003
109. Fredrik CA Andersson Interest Groups and Government Policy, A Political Economy Analysis, 2003
110. Petter Lundborg Risky Health Behaviour among Adolescents, 2003
111. Martin W Johansson Essays on Empirical Macroeconomics, 2003
112. Joakim Ekstrand Currency Markets - Equilibrium and Expectations, 2003
113. Ingemar Bengtsson Central bank power: a matter of coordination rather than money supply, 2003
114. Lars Pira Staples, Institutions and Growth: Competitiveness of Guatemalan Exports 1524-1945, 2003
115. Andreas Bergh Distributive Justice and the Welfare State, 2003
116. Staffan Waldo Efficiency in Education - A Multilevel Analysis, 2003
117. Mikael Stenkula Essays on Network Effects and Money, 2004
118. Catharina Hjortsberg Health care utilisation in a developing country -the case of Zambia, 2004
119. Henrik Degrér Empirical Essays on Financial Economics, 2004
120. Mårten Walleto Temporary Jobs in Sweden: Incidence, Exit, and On-the-Job Training, 2004
121. Tommy Andersson Essays on Nonlinear Pricing and Welfare, 2004
122. Kristian Sundström Moral Hazard and Insurance: Optimality, Risk and Preferences, 2004
123. Pär Torstensson Essays on Bargaining and Social Choice, 2004
124. Frederik Lundtofte Essays on Incomplete Information in Financial Markets, 2005
125. Kristian Jönsson Essays on Fiscal Policy, Private Consumption and Non-Stationary Panel Data, 2005
126. Henrik Andersson Willingness to Pay for a Reduction in Road Mortality Risk: Evidence from Sweden, 2005

127. Björn Ekman Essays on International Health Economics: The Role of Health Insurance in Health Care Financing in Low- and Middle-Income Countries, 2005
128. Ulf G Erlandsson Markov Regime Switching in Economic Time Series, 2005
129. Joakim Westerlund Essays on Panel Cointegration, 2005
130. Lena Hiselius External costs of transports imposed on neighbours and fellow road users, 2005
131. Ludvig Söderling Essays on African Growth, Productivity, and Trade, 2005
132. Åsa Eriksson Testing and Applying Cointegration Analysis in Macroeconomics, 2005
133. Fredrik Hansen Explorations in Behavioral Economics: Realism, Ontology and Experiments, 2006
134. Fadi Zaher Evaluating Asset-Pricing Models in International Financial Markets, 2006
135. Christoffer Bengtsson Applications of Bayesian Econometrics to Financial Economics, 2006
136. Alfredo Schclarek Curutchet Essays on Fiscal Policy, Public Debt and Financial Development, 2006
137. Fredrik Wilhelmsson Trade, Competition and Productivity, 2006
138. Ola Jönsson Option Pricing and Bayesian Learning, 2007
139. Ola Larsson Essays on Risk in International Financial Markets, 2007
140. Anna Meyer Studies on the Swedish Parental Insurance, 2007
141. Martin Nordin Studies in Human Capital, Ability and Migration, 2007
142. Bolor Naranhuu Studies on Poverty in Mongolia, 2007
143. Margareta Ekbladh Essays on Sickness Insurance, Absence Certification and Social Norms, 2007
144. Erik Wengström Communication in Games and Decision Making under Risk, 2007
145. Robin Rander Essays on Auctions, 2008
146. Ola Andersson Bargaining and Communication in Games, 2008
147. Marcus Larson Essays on Realized Volatility and Jumps, 2008
148. Per Hjertstrand Testing for Rationality, Separability and Efficiency, 2008
149. Fredrik NG Andersson Wavelet Analysis of Economic Time Series, 2008
150. Sonnie Karlsson Empirical studies of financial asset returns, 2009
151. Maria Persson From Trade Preferences to Trade Facilitation, 2009
152. Eric Rehn Social Insurance, Organization and Hospital Care, 2009
153. Peter Karpestam Economics of Migration, 2009
154. Marcus Nossman Essays on Stochastic Volatility, 2009
155. Erik Jonasson Labor Markets in Transformation: Case Studies of Latin America, 2009

156. Karl Larsson Analytical Approximation of Contingent Claims, 2009
157. Therese Nilsson Inequality, Globalization and Health, 2009
158. Rikard Green Essays on Financial Risks and Derivatives with Applications to Electricity Markets and Credit Markets, 2009
159. Christian Jörgensen Deepening Integration in the Food Industry – Prices, Productivity and Export, 2010
160. Wolfgang Hess The Analysis of Duration and Panel Data in Economics, 2010
161. Pernilla Johansson From debt crisis to debt relief: A study of debt determinants, aid composition and debt relief effectiveness, 2010
162. Nils Janlöv Measuring Efficiency in the Swedish Health Care Sector, 2010
163. Ai Jun Hou Essays on Financial Markets Volatility, 2011
164. Alexander Reffgen Essays on Strategy-proof Social Choice, 2011
165. Johan Blomquist Testing homogeneity and unit root restrictions in panels, 2012
166. Karin Bergman The Organization of R&D - Sourcing Strategy, Financing and Relation to Trade, 2012
167. Lu Liu Essays on Financial Market Interdependence, 2012
168. Bujar Huskaj Essays on VIX Futures and Options, 2012
169. Åsa Ljungvall Economic perspectives on the obesity epidemic, 2012
170. Emma Svensson Experimenting with Focal Points and Monetary Policy, 2012
171. Jens Dietrichson Designing Public Organizations and Institutions: Essays on Coordination and Incentives, 2013
172. Thomas Eriksson Empirical Essays on Health and Human Capital, 2013
173. Lina Maria Ellegård Political Conflicts over Public Policy in Local Governments, 2013
174. Andreas Hatzigeorgiou Information, Networks and Trust in the Global Economy - Essays on International Trade and Migration, 2013
175. Gustav Kjellsson Inequality, Health, and Smoking, 2014
176. Richard Desjardins Rewards to skill supply, skill demand and skill mismatch: Studies using the Adult Literacy and Lifeskills survey, 2014
177. Viroj Jienwatcharamongkhol What Drives Exports? Empirical Evidence at the Firm Level, 2014
178. Anton Nilsson Health, Skills and Labor Market Success, 2014
179. Albin Erlanson Essays on Mechanism Design, 2014
180. Daniel Ekeblom Essays in Empirical Expectations, 2014

181. Sofie Gustafsson Essays on Human Capital Investments: Pharmaceuticals and Education, 2014
182. Katarzyna Burzynska Essays on Finance, Networks and Institutions, 2015
183. Mingfa Ding Corporate Ownership and Liquidity in China's Stock Markets, 2015
184. Anna Andersson Vertical Trade, 2015
185. Cecilia Hammarlund Fish and Trips in the Baltic Sea - Prices, Management and Labor Supply, 2015
186. Hilda Ralsmark Family, Friend, or Foe? Essays in Empirical Microeconomics, 2015
187. Jens Gudmundsson Making Pairs, 2015
188. Emanuel Alfranseder Essays on Financial Risks and the Subprime Crisis, 2015
189. Ida Lovén Education, Health, and Earnings – Type 1 Diabetes in Children and Young Adults, 2015
190. Caren Yinxia Nielsen Essays on Credit Risk, 2015
191. Usman Khalid Essays on Institutions and Institutional change, 2016
192. Ross Wilson Essays in Empirical Institutional Analysis, 2016
193. Milda Norkute A Factor Analytical Approach to Dynamic Panel Data Models, 2016
194. Valeriia Dzhamaalova Essays on Firms' Financing and Investment Decisions, 2016
195. Claes Ek Behavioral Spillovers across Prosocial Alternatives, 2016
196. Graeme Cokayne Networks, Information and Economic Volatility, 2016
197. Björn Thor Arnarson Exports and Externalities, 2016
198. Veronika Lunina Multivariate Modelling of Energy Markets, 2017
199. Patrik Karlsson Essays in Quantitative Finance, 2017
200. Hassan Sabzevari Essays on systemic risk in European banking, 2017
201. Margaret Samahita Self-Image and Economic Behavior, 2017
202. Aron Berg Essays on informational asymmetries in mergers and acquisitions, 2017
203. Simon Reese Estimation and Testing in Panel Data with Cross-Section Dependence, 2017
204. Karl McShane Essays on Social Norms and Economic Change, 2017
205. Elvira Andersson From Cradle to Grave: Empirical Essays on Health and Economic Outcomes, 2017
206. Yana Pryymachenko Heavy Metal Exposure in Early Life - Health and Labour Market Perspectives, 2017
207. Alemu Tulu Chala Essays on Banking and Corporate Finance, 2017
208. Jim Ingebretsen Essays on economic behavior, focusing and auctions, 2018
- Carlson
209. Jörgen Kratz Essays on Matching, 2018

210. Anna Welander
Tärneberg Essays on Health in Developing Countries, 2018
211. Osmis Areda Habte Essays on competition and consumer choice, 2018
212. Thomas Hofmarcher Essays in Empirical Labor Economics, 2019
213. Hjördis Hardardóttir Time and inequality – A study of individual preferences, 2019
214. Erik Grenestam Essays in Applied Microeconomics, 2019
215. Sara Moricz Institutions, Inequality and Societal Transformations, 2019
216. John Källström Mobility in Science, 2019
217. Mehmet Caglar Kaya Essays on Corporate Growth and Corporate Credit Risk, 2020
218. Dinh-Vinh Vo Essays on risk spillover and information transmission in the financial markets, 2020
219. Kristoffer Persson Essays on Expectations - Information, Formation and Outcomes, 2020
220. Polina Knutsson Empirical Studies on Firm and Labor Market Dynamics, 2020
221. Sanna Ericsson Reaching For Equality: Essays in Education and Gender Economics, 2020
222. Yana Petrova Essays on Panel Data with Multidimensional Unobserved Heterogeneity, 2020
223. Pol Campos-Mercade Incentives in Education and Moral Behavior in Groups, 2020
224. Staffan Lindén Essays on expectations and financial markets, 2020
225. Dominika Krygier Essays on systemic risk and financial market volatility, 2021
226. Sara Mikkelsen Family matters: Essays in Applied Microeconomics, 2021
227. Hampus Poppius Quantitative Studies on Pricing and Consumer Behavior, 2021
228. Danial Ali Akbari Das Human-Kapital: Emerging Patterns in the Class Structure, 2021
229. Matthew Collins Essays on instruction time, grades and parental investments in education, 2022
230. Marco Islam Economic Experiments on Behavior, Beliefs and Preferences, 2022
231. Ovidijus Stauskas Mostly Panel Econometrics: Essays on Asymptotic Analysis and Enhanced Inference, 2022

232. Zahra Hashemzadeh Drivers of Going Green in Financial Markets and Corporate Networks, 2022
233. Demid Getik Essays in Applied Economics, 2022
234. Josefin Kilman Monetary Policy and Economic Inequality, 2022
235. Jonas Lundstedt Essays in Education Economics, 2023
236. Adrian Mehic Essays in Political Economy and Economic Sociology, 2023
237. Duc Hong Hoang Essays on currency markets, 2023
238. Devon Fontaine Spika Gender, Health, the decisions we make and the actions we take, 2023
239. Linn Mattisson Essays on the effect of health care and the environment on health, 2023
240. Haiyue Dong Essays on Household Finance, 2023
241. Olga Lark Globalization, Gender Inequality, and Firm Innovation, 2024
242. Albert Duodu Carbon Footprints in a Global Marketplace: Firm-Level Insights on Trade and the Environment, 2024



**SCHOOL OF
ECONOMICS AND
MANAGEMENT**

Lund University
Department of Economics
ISBN: 978-91-8104-029-6
ISSN: 0460-0029

